

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

The Production and Nutritional Composition of Forage Sorghum and Hybrid Forage Millet Cultivars as Pasture Crops

A. Swanepoel Western Cape Department of Agriculture, South Africa

Philip R. Botha Western Cape Department of Agriculture, South Africa

H. S. Gerber Western Cape Department of Agriculture, South Africa

Robin Meeske Western Cape Department of Agriculture, South Africa

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/15-1/23

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in

Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

The production and nutritional composition of forage sorghum and hybrid forage millet cultivars as pasture crops

A. Swanepoel, P.R. Botha, H.S. Gerber and R. Meeske*

Department of Agriculture Western Cape, Institute for Plant Production, * Institute for Animal Production. Outeniqua Research Farm, George, Republic of South Africa.e-mail:annelenes@elsenburg.com

Key words : forage sorghum ,hybrid forage millet ,production ,nutritional composition

Introdction Forage sorghum (Sorghum x Sudan grass hybrid) and hybrid forage millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) cultivars are palatable, high producing annual summer grasses used as forage for dairy and beef cattle in the Southern Cape of South Africa. The aim of this study was to quantify the dry matter production and quality of forage sorghum and hybrid forage millet cultivars as pasture crops .

Materials and methods The trial was carried out during the summers of 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 in a randomized small plot trial under irrigation on a Estcourt soil type. Fertiliser was applied to raise phosphorus level to 35 mg kg⁻¹, potassium level to 80 mg kg⁻¹ and pH (KCL) to 5.5 Three weeks after emergence 60 kg N ha⁻¹ and 30 kg K ha⁻¹ were applied as top dressing and this was repeated following each cutting. The forage sorghum and hybrid forage millet cultivars were planted on the 25th of November 2005 and 24th of November 2006 in 150 mm rows at 22 kg ha⁻¹ and 12 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The dry matter (DM) production, crude protein (CP) content (%), total CP, metabolisable energy ME (MJ/kg DM), total ME (MJ ME ha⁻¹) and NDF of samples were determined when the first forage sorghum cultivar reached a height of 1 meter. All the cultivars were cut at a height of 150 mm and samples dried at 60°C for 72 hours.

Results The hybrid forage millet cultivars Hy Pearl Millet , Nutrifeed and Speedfeed produced more than 10 tons of DM ha⁻¹ under intensive defoliation conditions (Table 1) . The CP content and ME of hybrid forage millet and forage sorghum cultivars were high when repeatedly cut at a height of 1 meter . The NDF $\frac{6}{10}$ of hybrid forage millet and forage sorghum cultivars was similar . The total CP (kg ha⁻¹) and ME (MJ ha⁻¹) were predominantly influenced by the DM production (kg ha⁻¹) . The hybrid forage cultivars Hy Pearl Millet , Nutrifeed and Speedfeed are , under frequent cutting conditions , a better option for forage production than forage sorghum cultivars .

Table 1 The DM production, crude protein content (CP), total CP ha^{-1} , NDF, ME and total ME ha^{-1} of frequently cut irrigated forage sorghums and hybrid forage millet cultivars for one season (2005/2006) at Outeniqua Research Farm, George

Cultivars (2005/2006)	DM (ton ha ⁻¹)	CP (%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Total CP} \\ (\text{kg ha}^{-1}) \end{array}$	NDF (%)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm ME}\\ ({\rm MJ}{\rm kg}{\rm DM}^{-1} \end{array}$	Total ME (MJ ha ⁻¹)	
Hy Pearl Millet [*]	11 .3ª	20	2232	60	10	113000	
Nutrifeed [*]	11 .0 ^{ab}	22	2393	57	9.8	107800	
Speedfeed*	10 .2 ^{bc}	21	2168	57	10.2	104040	
Silk	9.4 ^{cd}	19	1786	56	10 .1	94940	
Milkstar*	9.4 ^{cd}	19	1739	60	9.6	90240	
Iumbo	9.3 ^{cde}	19	1767	58	10.5	97650	
PAC 8288	9.3 ^{cde}	20	1883	58	10.4	96720	
Super King	9.1 ^{def}	20	1820	58	10.5	95550	
Greengrazer	8.9 ^{def}	20	1780	59	10.5	93450	
Superdan 401	8.9 ^{def}	19	1669	58	10.5	93450	
Kow Kandy	8 .7 ^{def}	19	1675	57	10.4	90480	
Everlush	8.7 ^{def}	19	1653	57	10.8	93960	
NS 1	8.7 ^{def}	19	1653	58	10.7	92020	
SAC 710	8 .6 ^{defg}	19	1634	58	10.5	89250	
Haymaker	8.4^{efg}	19	1596	57	11 .0	92400	
Classic Grazer	8 .3 ^{fgh}	19	1577	57	10.7	87740	
Superdan	$8 2^{\text{fghi}}$	19	1558	58	10.7	86670	
Kow Kandy Extra	$8 2^{\text{fghi}}$	20	1640	57	10.9	88290	
Hunnigreen	7 .6 ^{ghij}	19	1444	58	10.7	81320	
Rambo	7 .4 ^{hijk}	20	1480	57	10.5	77700	
AGR 3404	7 4 ^{hijk}	19	1406	58	10.7	78110	
Hygro 1 (Wei 6)	7 .3 ^{ijk}	21	1533	73	10.8	77760	
Revolution BMR	$7 .1^{jk}$	20	1420	56	10.8	75600	
Kow Kandy BMR	6 .6 ^{kl}	20	1320	57	11.1	73260	
BMR Grazer	6.6 ^{kl}	20	1320	56	11.5	74750	
AGR 6201	5.9^{1}	18	1062	58	10.6	61480	
Advanta BMR	4 .1 ^m	19	779	57	11 .6	47560	

abcde : Means with no common superscript differ significantly ($P{<}0.05)$ LSD (0.05) = 0.96

* hybrid forage millet

Grasslands/Rangelands Production Systems ---- Forage Quality ,Conservation and Utilization