369

ISSN 1392-3196 / e-ISSN 2335-8947 Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, vol. 105, No. 4 (2018), p. 369–376 DOI 10.13080/z-a.2018.105.047

Bacillus isolates as potential biocontrol agents of *Fusarium* clove rot of garlic

Dragana BJELIĆ¹, Maja IGNJATOV¹, Jelena MARINKOVIĆ¹, Dragana MILOŠEVIĆ¹, Zorica NIKOLIĆ¹, Jelica GVOZDANOVIĆ-VARGA¹, Maja KARAMAN²

¹Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Maksima Gorkog 30, 21 000 Novi Sad, Serbia E-mail: dragana.bjelic@nsseme.com

²University of Novi Sad Trg Dositeja Obradovića 2, 21 000 Novi Sad, Serbia

Abstract

Clove rot caused by *Fusarium* spp. is a very important disease of common garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) occuring in many areas of the world. However, there is a lack of data about biocontrol of these pathogens. *Bacillus* species are attractive for research due to their potential use in the biological control of fungal diseases. The aim of this study was to select effective biocontrol agents from a series of indigenous *Bacillus* spp. isolated from soil. Bacterial isolates positive for hydrolytic enzymes production were screened for antifungal activity against *Fusarium* spp. isolated from infected garlic cloves. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses were used for molecular identification of bacterial (16S rDNA gene) and fungal (EF-1 α gene) isolates, and detection of biosynthetic genes for antimicrobial lipopeptides (surfactin, iturin, bacillomycin D and fengycin) in *Bacillus* spp.

The obtained results confirmed the presence of *Fusarium tricinctum*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. acuminatum* and *F. verticillioides* as the causal agents of garlic clove rot. Four bacterial isolates identified as *Bacillus subtilis* exhibited the highest antagonistic effect during *in vitro* testing of antifungal activity (up to 71% reduction in fungal growth), and caused a significant suppression of garlic clove infection (up to 58% reduction in rot symptoms) *in situ*. Analysis of the antifungal compounds involved in the antagonistic activity of the examined isolates revealed their ability to produce the antibiotic lipopeptide surfactin. The most effective isolates of *B. subtilis* could be used as potential biocontrol agents of garlic clove rot.

Key words: Allium sativum, antifungal activity, Bacillus subtilis, lipopeptides.

Introduction

Common garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) is one of the major vegetable crops grown on average on an area of 1.5 million ha, with an annual production of 24.9 million tons (FAO, 2014, http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC). Beside for culinary purposes, garlic is also widely used as a prophylactic as well as therapeutic medicinal plant. Different compounds in garlic are thought to have antimicrobial, anticancer, antidiabetic, antiinflammatory, antioxidant and cardioprotective activities (Martins et al., 2016). The unique flavour and health-promoting functions are usually attributed to organosulfur compounds in garlic, such as allicin, ajoene and diallyl sulfides (Rahman, 2007). Regardless, garlic is prone to several diseases, which indicates the severity of the causing pathogens.

Rot disease caused by *Fusarium* spp. is one of the most serious threats to garlic production worldwide. Fungi are transmitted by soil and can persevere for a long time in the soil and plant residues (Koleva, 2004). The disease may occur both in the field and in the storage, with intense development when environmental conditions are suitable and plants are susceptible to infection (Palmero et al., 2013). Disease is favoured by higher (20–30°C) temperatures and high humidity. Garlic bulbs are quite perishable because of their high moisture content (Schwartz, Mohan, 2008). Plants may show decreased germination and necrosis of leaves, while infected bulbs become undersized, softened, brown and watery, with white, light purple or red mycelium on the cloves (OSU, 2008). Additionally, *Fusarium* spp. lead to a serious reduction in yield and represent an important safety interest due to their ability to produce numerous mycotoxins such as fumonisin, moniliformin, beauvericin, fusaric acid and fusaroproliferin (Stanković et al., 2007).

Different strategies have been used for the suppression of garlic rot, including fungicide treatments and preventive detection of infection before seeding, but none of them are enough to control the disease (Dugan et al., 2007). The demand for alternative control strategies, particularly the use of biopesticides, has been increasing steadily due to environmental awareness

Please use the following format when citing the article:

Bjelić D., Ignjatov M., Marinković J., Milošević D., Nikolić Z., Gvozdanović-Varga J., Karaman M. 2018. *Bacillus* isolates as potential biocontrol agents of *Fusarium clove* rot of garlic. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, 105 (4): 369–376. DOI 10.13080/z-a.2018.105.047

and potential health hazards from chemical pesticides (Ansari et al., 2012). *Bacillus* spp. are attractive for research due to their possible utilization in the biological control of fungal diseases (Mardanova et al., 2017). They offer several preferences over other microorganisms because of their ability to form endospores and tolerate adverse environmental conditions (Islam et al., 2012). Furthermore, their long term viability and rapid growth in a liquid medium simplify the production of commercial preparations (Wu et al., 2015).

Antimicrobial activities of *Bacillus* spp. were usually associated to the synthesis of secondary metabolites with antibiotic properties such as cyclic lipopeptides, namely surfactin, iturin and fengycin (Cawoy et al., 2015). These lipopeptides can also influence the root colonization and interaction of *Bacillus* spp. with plants by stimulating host defence mechanisms (Ongena, Jacques, 2008). Other biocontrol mechanisms of *Bacillus* spp. involve the excretion of cell wall hydrolases, competition for nutrients and/or elicitation of induced systemic resistence (Lugtenberg et al., 2013). Moreover, *Bacillus* spp. had the ability to promote plant growth through asymbiotic nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of phytohormones, siderophores and enzymes (Borriss, 2011).

It has been found that *Bacillus* spp. exert beneficial effects on plant growth and disease suppression of various crops (Mnif, Ghribi, 2015). However, the lack of data about biocontrol of garlic pathogens is evident in literature. Considering the increasing occurrence of *Fusarium* rot on garlic in Serbia (Stanković et al., 2007; Lević et al., 2009; Ignjatov et al., 2017 a; b), it is necessary to investigate the possibilities of using *Bacillus* spp. as potential biocontrol agents of the disease. The aim of this study was to isolate *Bacillus* spp. from soil and to evaluate their antifungal activities toward *Fusarium* spp. isolated from infected garlic cloves.

Materials and methods

Isolation and molecular identification of *Bacillus* and *Fusarium* species were performed during 2015–2016, while polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection of antimicrobial lipopeptides, hydrolytic and antifungal activity assays were conducted during 2016–2017. All experiments were performed in the Laboratory for Microbiology and Laboratory for Seed Testing of Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia.

Isolation of Bacillus spp. Bacillus spp. were isolated from soil samples collected in several locations in Serbia as described by Bjelić et al. (2017). Soil samples included the rhizosphere of plants, agricultural and non-agricultural soils, and differed in their physical and chemical properties, cropping and tillage history. In short, soil samples were taken up to a depth of 20 cm, sieved and stored at 4°C until processed. Soil suspensions (1 g of soil in 9 ml of 0.85% NaCl) were serially diluted (10⁻³-10⁻⁶) and 0.1 ml aliquots were spread on plates containing nutrient agar. Followed by 24 h incubation at 30°C and several recultivations of single colonies on nutrient agar, the bacterial isolates were characterized according to morphological and biochemical properties (Cappuccino, Welsh, 2016).

Isolation of Fusarium spp. *Fusarium* spp. were isolated from rot symptomatic garlic bulbs collected from several storages in Vojvodina Province, northern Serbia. Cloves were separated from the bulbs, and surface disinfested in 1% NaOCl for 2 to 3 min, rinsed with sterilized distilled water three times, and then dried on sterile filter paper under aseptic conditions. Pieces of infected clove tissues (3 to 4 mm) were cut and plated onto a potato dextrose agar (PDA) amended with 300 mg l^{-1} streptomycin sulfate (w/v). Plates were incubated at 25°C in the dark. Seven days later, *Fusarium* colonies were recognized morphologically and isolates were subcultured in PDA using the single spore technique.

Pathogenicity. Confirmation of pathogenicity was conducted for twenty Fusarium spp. isolates. Cloves of the common garlic (Allium sativum L.) cultivar 'Bosut' (developed at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia) were surface sterilized in 0.5% NaOCl for 1 min, rinsed in four changes of sterile water and wounded to a depth of 4 mm using a 1-mm diameter probe (Palmero et al., 2012). Each of the 7 dayold fungal isolates was inoculated into five wounded cloves and incubated in a growth chamber at 25°C for 3 weeks. Six randomly selected isolates of Fusarium spp. were re-isolated from artificially inoculated garlic cloves fulfilling Koch's postulates, and incubated at 25°C for 7 days before use. Morphology of macroconidia, microconidia and chlamydospore was assessed from cultures grown on PDA and carnation leaf agar (CLA) (Leslie, Summerell, 2006).

In vitro hydrolytic activity assay. Screening for lytic enzyme production in indigenous Bacillus spp. isolates included determination of lipase, phospholipase, pectinase and cellulase activity (Djuric et al., 2011). Lipase production was confirmed on a medium with the addition of polysorbate Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), after 7 days of incubation at 28°C, by the formation of dark and opaque zone around the colonies. Production of phospholipase was assayed on a medium supplemented with egg yolk, after 48 h of incubation at 28°C, by the appearance of an opaque zone around the colonies. Pectinase production was determined on a pectin agar after 48 h of incubation at 28°C and pouring with 2 M HCl, by the appearance of a clear zone around the colonies. Production of cellulase was assayed on the carboxyl methyl cellulose (CMC) agar, after 7 days of incubation at 28°C and pouring 0.1% Congo Red solution into 1 M NaCl, by the appearance of a clear zone around the colonies.

Molecular species identification. Bacillus spp. isolates for DNA extraction were grown on nutrient agar plates for 24 h, while DNA from Fusarium spp. isolates was extracted from the 7-day-old mycelium (100 mg wet weight) grown on PDA plates. DNA from bacterial and fungal isolates was extracted using a DNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Germany). Universal primers fD1 (27F) (AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG) and rP3 (1492R) (TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT) were used for the amplification of 16S rDNA gene fragments of Bacillus isolates (Weisburg et al., 1991). The translation elongation factor-1 alpha (EF-1a) gene region of Fusarium isolates was amplified with the primer pair EF1 (ATGGGTAAGGAGGACAAGAC) and EF2 (GGAAGTACCAGTGATCATGTT) (Geiser et al., 2004). Amplifications were performed in a Mastercycler PCR device (Eppendorf, Germany). Amplicons were electrophoresed in a 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.5 µg ml⁻¹). All sequences were deposited in the GenBank and compared with the previously reported sequences available in the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information).

In vitro antifungal activity assay. The ability of Bacillus spp. isolates to inhibit the growth of six Fusarium spp. isolates was examined using a dual plate assay (Zhao et al., 2010). The 7-day-old mycelial plugs on PDA (6 mm in diameter) of each fungus were aseptically transferred on the same medium, previously streaked with a broth culture of the tested bacteria. After 7 days of incubation at 25°C, the fungal growth in the control (C) and dual cultures (R1) were measured and the percent of growth inhibition (PGI) was calculated according to the formula: PGI (%) = [(C – R1) / C] × 100. Antifungal activity assay was repeated twice, with three replications for each fungal and bacterial isolate. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection of antimicrobial lipopeptides. Detection of biosynthetic genes for antimicrobial lipopeptides: surfactin (*sfp*), iturin (*itu*), bacillomycin D (*bamC*) and fengycin (*fenD*) in *Bacillus* spp. isolates was carried out using four sets of primers. Primers and corresponding genes for PCR detection of antimicrobial lipopeptides are listed in Table 1. DNA from *Bacillus* spp. was isolated as described earlier. The PCR for the detection of the antimicrobial lipopeptides was programmed as described by Dimkić et al. (2013). Following PCR, the amplified products were electrophoresed as described earlier.

| Table 1. Primers and | l corresponding genes | for polymerase of | chain reaction (P | PCR) detection | of antimicrobial lipopeptides |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | |

| Lipopeptide | Gene | Primer | Sequence | Product size bp | |
|----------------|------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Surfactin | sfp | P17 | ATGAAGATTTACGGAATTTA | ~675 | |
| | | P18 | TTATAAAAGCTCTTCGTACG | ~073 | |
| Iturin | itu | ITUP1F | AGCTTAGGGAACAATTGTCATCGGGGGCTTC | ~2000 | |
| | | ITUP2R | TCAGATAGGCCGCCATATCGGAATGATTCG | ~2000 | |
| Bacillomycin D | bamC | BACC1F | GAAGGACACGGCAGAGAGTC | ~875 | |
| | | BACC1R | CGCTGATGACTGTTCATGCT | ~8/3 | |
| Fengycin | fenD | FEND1F | TTTGGCAGCAGGAGAAGTT | ~964 | |
| | | FEND1R | GCTGTCCGTTCTGCTTTTTC | ~904 | |

In situ antifungal activity assay. Antifungal activity of Bacillus spp. on garlic cloves infected with Fusarium spp. isolates was examined with a modification of the method described by Palmero et al. (2012). Cloves of garlic cultivar 'Bosut' were surface disinfested in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 min, washed with sterile water four times, and then injured to a depth of 4 mm using a 1-mm diameter probe. Each of the 7-day-old fungal isolates on PDA was inoculated into six wounded cloves. Infected wounds were then inoculated with drops of bacterial suspension of selected Bacillus isolates (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹). For each fungal isolate, another set of six infected cloves was used as a positive control without bacterial treatment. Clove sets inoculated only with sterile PDA or with bacterial suspensions were used as negative control. Treated cloves were incubated in folded, plastic boxes in a growth chamber at 25°C for 3 weeks, and then monitored for the development of rot symptoms. Disease symptoms were graded into five classes: 1 = <10% rotted cloves, 2 = 11-20%rotted cloves, 3 = 21-50% rotted cloves, 4 = 51-80%rotted cloves, 5 = 81 - 100% rotted cloves and severe symptoms on cloves. Assay was repeated once, with three replications for each fungal and bacterial isolate. A disease severity index was calculated as the mean of six cloves and three test replicates.

Statistical analysis. Data were processed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were compared using Tukey's multiple comparisons of means test for 95% and 99% confidence levels. All analyses were performed in STATISTICA 10 (StatSoft Inc., USA).

Results and discussion

Our results confirmed the presence of *Bacillus* spp. in soil samples collected at different locations in Serbia. Species of *Bacillus* are characterized as Grampositive, motile, aerobic or facultatively anaerobic and usually catalase positive. Cells are straight rods, often arranged in pairs or chains. Colonies are round, with entire or wavy margins, typically cream and dry or pasty looking.

In search of efficient biocontrol agents, 65 indigenous *Bacillus* spp. isolates were primarily characterized according to their ability to produce hydrolytic enzymes. Lytic enzymes such as chitinases, glucanases, cellulases, lipases, and proteases, degrade fungal and bacterial cell wall and prevent plant infection caused by pathogens. According to Raza et al. (2008), isolation and characterization of strains which can produce lytic enzymes should be done to achieve maximal survival of bacteria under detrimental environmental conditions and intrusion of pathogens. In this study, hydrolytic activity, i.e. production of one or more enzymes, was found in 32 isolates, while among them, activity of lipase, phospholipase, cellulase, and pectinase was determined in 43.75, 12.5, 81.2 and 37.5 % of Bacillus spp. isolates, respectively (Table 2). It has been found that isolates of Bacillus spp. which have the capability to produce lytic enzymes are more effective in the suppression of plant pathogens (Abdallah et al., 2017). Considering the above facts, isolates positive for hydrolytic enzyme production were identified and further screened for their biocontrol potential by examination of antifungal activity and lipopeptide production.

The *Bacillus* species differentiation is difficult because of their large number (over 200 described species and subspecies) and often incomplete descriptions of the newly-reported species. In this study, identification of *Bacillus* isolates based on 16S rDNA homology was performed using PCR with the primers 27F and 1492R (Weisburg et al., 1991). The presence of amplicon of ~1460 bp in size was confirmed in all investigated samples.

Comparison of the sequences with the *Bacillus* ID-database identified seven isolates as *Bacillus megaterium* (B8, B9, B12, B14, B15, B16 and B17), six as *B. cereus* (B6, B24, B27, B29, B30 and B31), five as *B. pumilus* (B3, B11, B21, B22 and B23), four as *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* (B1, B4, B10 and B25) and *B. subtilis* (B5, B7, B13 and B32), three as *B. thuringiensis* (B18, B20 and B28), and one isolate as *B. safensis* (B2), *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* (B19) and

| Isolate | Isolation source | Lipase | Phospholipase | Cellulase | Pectinase | Total enzymes |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| B1 | Agricultural soil | + | - | + | - | 2 |
| B2 | Non-agricultural soil | + | - | + | - | 2 |
| B3 | Rhizosphere (wheat) | + | - | + | + | 3 |
| B4 | Non-agricultural soil | - | - | + | - | 1 |
| B5 | Rhizosphere (sunflower) | + | + | + | + | 4 |
| B6 | Non-agricultural soil | + | - | - | + | 2 |
| B7 | Rhizosphere (maize) | + | - | + | + | 3 |
| B8 | Rhizosphere (pepper) | - | - | + | + | 2 |
| B9 | Rhizosphere (alfalfa) | - | - | + | - | 1 |
| B10 | Non-agricultural soil | - | - | + | + | 2 |
| B11 | Non-agricultural soil | + | + | + | - | 3 |
| B12 | Agricultural soil | _ | - | _ | + | 1 |
| B13 | Rhizosphere (maize) | + | + | _ | + | 3 |
| B14 | Rhizosphere (sunflower) | - | - | + | - | 1 |
| B15 | Non-agricultural soil | + | - | + | - | 2 |
| B16 | Rhizosphere (maize) | _ | - | + | - | 1 |
| B17 | Rhizosphere (wheat) | _ | - | + | - | 1 |
| B18 | Agricultural soil | - | - | + | - | 1 |
| B19 | Non-agricultural soil | _ | - | + | - | 1 |
| B20 | Non-agricultural soil | _ | - | + | - | 1 |
| B21 | Agricultural soil | - | - | + | + | 2 |
| B22 | Rhizosphere (maize) | + | - | + | + | 3 |
| B23 | Rhizosphere (wheat) | + | - | + | + | 3 |
| B24 | Rhizosphere (maize) | _ | - | + | _ | 1 |
| B25 | Non-agricultural soil | _ | _ | + | _ | 1 |
| B26 | Agricultural soil | + | - | _ | _ | 1 |
| B27 | Non-agricultural soil | _ | + | - | _ | 1 |
| B28 | Agricultural soil | _ | _ | + | _ | 1 |
| B29 | Non-agricultural soil | + | _ | _ | _ | 1 |
| B30 | Agricultural soil | _ | _ | + | _ | 1 |
| B31 | Non-agricultural soil | _ | _ | + | _ | 1 |
| B32 | Non-agricultural soil | + | _ | + | + | 3 |
| 1052 | Total production (% isolates) | 43.75 | 12.5 | 81.2 | 37.5 | |

Table 2. Hydrolytic enzyme production of indigenous Bacillus spp. isolates

B. mycoides (B26). The gene sequences had the values 99% to 100% similar to those deposited in the GenBank: Bacillus megaterium BOFC15 (KU851253), B. cereus ISE27 (KX035061), B. pumilus LX11 (KP192031), Lysinibacillus fusiformis VC-1 (HQ610620), B. subtilis CR26 (KR780430), B. thuringiensis S40 (KY120371), B. safensis ZN9 (KJ542766), Lysinibacillus sphaericus ARD1 (KX023224) and B. mycoides FJAT-44618 (KX767102). Sequences of the isolated Bacillus species were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under a unique accession number (B1-B11: KU953922-KU953931, B12-B26: KX444638-KX444652 and B27-B32: KX766368-KX766373). Similarly, Mandic-Mulec and Prosser (2011) found that up to 95% of the sequences of Gram-positive bacteria from agricultural soils originate from Bacillus species, while B. cereus and B. megaterium were the most commonly found isolates. Also, a high genetic diversity of Bacillus species implies that investigation and identification of new strains may increase the number of potential biocontrol agents and improve understanding of mechanisms associated with antagonistic interactions (Mardanova et al., 2017).

According to the morphological characterization of 20 fungal isolates obtained from garlic cloves,

Table 3. Isolates of Fusarium spp. from garlic

6 representative isolates of *Fusarium* spp. were confirmed as causal agents of rot disease. Although plants did not show symptoms of infection in the field, bulb rot later occurred in storage. Our research results confirm that the postharvest storage conditions greatly influence the intensity of garlic clove rot caused by Fusarium (Palmero et al., 2013). Fusarium spp. caused similar symptoms on stored garlic and it was difficult to distinguish them based on the symptoms and morphological characteristics. Molecular identification may assist in further epidemiological studies and development of efficient disease control for this pathosystem. In this study, identification of Fusarium isolates based on EF-1a gene was performed using PCR with the primers EF1 and EF2 (Geiser et al., 2004) and the presence of amplicon ~700 bp in size was detected in all examined isolates.

In comparison with the sequences from the *Fusarium* ID-database, isolates were identified as *Fusarium tricinctum* (BL12), *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae* (BL13), *F. proliferatum* (BL16 and BL18), *F. acuminatum* (BL20) and *F. verticillioides* (BL21) (Table 3). BLASTn queries of gene sequences showed 99% to 100% identity to *F. tricinctum*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. acuminatum* and *F. verticilioides*, to

| Isolate | Isolation source | Species | NCBI account No. |
|---------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| BL12 | Garlic genotype JBL12 | Fusarium tricinctum | KX611146 |
| BL13 | Garlic genotype JBL13 | Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cepae | KX611148 |
| BL16 | Garlic genotype JBL531 | Fusarium proliferatum | KX752415 |
| BL18 | Garlic genotype JBL535 | Fusarium proliferatum | KX752417 |
| BL20 | Garlic genotype JBL539 | Fusarium acuminatum | KX752419 |
| BL21 | Garlic genotype R1 | Fusarium verticillioides | KX752420 |

accessions EU744838, KP964881, KP964907, KJ194170 and KU372138, respectively. Sequences of the isolated *Fusarium* species were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database (Table 3).

The antifungal activity of *Bacillus* spp. isolates against six isolates of *Fusarium* spp. was examined using a dual plate assay. The results showed that 10 out of the 32 isolates of *Bacillus* spp. from soil were positive for antifungal activity (Table 4). *Bacillus* spp. exhibited the highest antifungal activity against fungal isolate *F. tricinctum* BL12, while they had the least antagonistic effect on *F. proliferatum* BL16 and *F. acuminatum* BL20. On average, percent of growth inhibition (PGI) obtained by confrontation of the active *Bacillus* spp. isolates with tested phytopathogenic fungi ranged from 15.97% to 58.14%. The highest antifungal activity toward *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae* BL13, *F. proliferatum* BL18, *F. acuminatum* BL20 and *F. verticillioides* BL21 was exhibited by isolate *B. subtilis* B5 (from 41.96% to 66.51% reduction in fungal growth), while isolate *B. subtilis* B32 showed the highest antagonistic effect against *F. tricinctum* BL12 and *F. proliferatum* BL16 (from 44.31% to 71.37% reduction in fungal growth). *B. subtilis* isolates B7 and B13 also demonstrated good antifungal potential (PGI up to 69.01% and 63.14%), while the effect of *B. safensis* (B2) and *B. pumilus* (B3, B11, B21, B22 and B23) isolates varied depending on the tested fungi. Also, effective *Bacillus* spp. isolates were better producers of lytic enzymes (Table 2).

Table 4. Mean values and analysis of variance (significance) for antifungal activity of effective *Bacillus* spp. isolates against *Fusarium* spp.

| Isolate | <i>F. tricinctum</i> BL12 | <i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cepae</i> BL13 | <i>F. proliferatum</i> BL16 | F. proliferatum BL18 | F. acuminatum BL20 | F. verticillioides BL21 | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Percent of growth inhibition % | | | | | | |
| B. safensis B2 | 49.4 de | 30.4 d | 19.2 de | 42.7 d | 13.2 d | 28.0 d | |
| B. pumilus B3 | 54.3 cd | 12.7 e | 19.8 de | 42.4 d | 12.7 d | 30.0 d | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> B5 | 65.5 ab | 59.6 a | 43.1 a | 62.6 a | 42.0 a | 66.5 a | |
| B. subtilis B7 | 69.0 ab | 54.9 ab | 34.3 b | 53.3 bc | 35.5 b | 52.2 b | |
| B. pumilus B11 | 44.1 e | 36.7 cd | 28.8 bc | 40.4 d | — | — | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> B13 | 63.1 abc | 43.3 c | 27.3 с | 49.0 c | 16.2 d | 42.0 c | |
| B. pumilus B21 | 55.7 cd | 32.0 d | 16.4 e | - | - | 15.2 e | |
| B. pumilus B22 | 47.7 de | — | 4.5 f | — | — | — | |
| B. pumilus B23 | 61.2 bc | 29.6 d | 25.7 cd | 39.8 d | 14.9 d | 28.4 d | |
| B. subtilis B32 | 71.4 a | 50.6 b | 44.3 a | 57.5 b | 25.3 c | 63.7 a | |
| Average Isolate | 58.1 ** | 35.0 ** | 26.3 ** | 38.8 ** | 16.0 ** | 32.6 ** | |

Note. Means with different lowercase letters in the same column within the same fungal isolate and effective *Bacillus* spp. isolates are significantly different (p < 0.05, Tukey test); ** – significance at 0.01 probability level.

In our previous study, we observed very strong antifungal activity of *B. subtilis* B5 and B7 against *F. proliferatum* BL5 (KX092461) and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae* BL7 (KX092466), while the antagonistic effect of *Bacillus* isolates toward *F. verticillioides* BL7 (KX092464) was not detected (Bjelić et al., 2017).

Antifungal compounds involve mainly peptides that are generated ribosomally or non-ribosomally. The most frequent antibiotic and surface-active compounds in *Bacillus* spp. are cyclic lipopeptides of surfactin, iturin and fengycin families, synthesized non-ribosomally by large multienzyme complexes (Cawoy et al., 2015). The surfactin family (surfactin, lichenysins and pumilacidins) comprises heptapeptides containing a β -hydroxy fatty acid with a number of carbon atoms between 13 and 16. Lipopeptides of the iturin family (iturin, mycosubtilin and bacillomycin) are composed of heptapeptides interlinked with a β -amino fatty acid chain, consisting of 14–17 carbons. Members of the fengycin family, represented by plipastatin, are cyclic lipodecapeptides with a β -hydroxy fatty acid having side chain length of 16–19 carbon atoms. In this study, PCR amplifications using specific primers were performed to search the genes involved in the surfactin (*sfp*), iturin (*itu*), bacillomycin D (*bamC*) or fengycin (*fenD*) antibiotics biosynthesis in 32 *Bacillus* spp. isolates. Consequently, only the *sfp* gene (675 bp) was amplified from *B. subtilis* B5, B7, B13 and B32, suggesting that these four isolates could produce surfactin (Fig.).

Previous studies showed that most strains of *Bacillus* produce lipopeptides from one family, while a few were identified as co-producers of lipopeptides belonging to different families (Ongena, Jacques, 2008). Moreover, it was determined that the antifungal activity of *Bacillus* spp. depends on the quantity and diversity in the production of lipopeptides (Nagorska et al., 2007). Four isolates of *B. subtilis* which exhibited the highest antagonistic effect during *in vitro* testing of antifungal



M - PCR marker (Step Ladder, 50-1500 bp), - negative control

Figure. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) screening for antibiotic biosynthetic genes in *Bacillus* spp. isolates – detection of sfp gene in B1–B11 (A), B12–B21 (B) and B22–B32 (C) isolates

activity (Table 4), as well as the ability to produce lipopeptide surfactin (Fig.), were selected for *in situ* antifungal activity assay on garlic cloves infected with isolates of *Fusarium* spp.

By assessing the symptoms of rotted cloves in control treatments, the highest disease severity indexes were determined for *Fusarium acuminatum* BL20, followed by *F. proliferatum* BL16 and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae* BL13, while *F. tricinctum* BL12 and *F. proliferatum* BL18 had the lowest pathogenicity (Table 5). On average, all four *Bacillus* isolates reduced the occurence of rot symptoms (from 28% to 40%). Bacterial isolates had the highest antifungal activity toward *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae* BL13 and *F. proliferatum* BL16, followed by their equally good antagonistic effect on other fungal isolates.

Disease severity index values from garlic cloves inoculated with both fungal and bacterial isolates were significantly lower than in controls inoculated with *Fusarium* spp. isolates. Isolate B5 significantly reduced (up to 58%) the occurrence of rot symptoms on cloves infected with fungal isolates BL13, BL16, BL18, BL20 and BL21. A significant suppression of infection compared to the control treated with isolates BL13, BL18 and BL20 was also achieved by isolate B7 (up to 52%), while isolate B32 led to significant decrease of garlic rot caused by fungal isolates BL12, BL13 and BL21 (up to 45%). Isolate B13 also reduced (up to 27%) the symptom development on infected cloves, although without significant differences compared to control treatments.

Table 5. Mean values and analysis of variance (significance) for antifungal activity of selected *Bacillus* isolates on garlic cloves infected with *Fusarium* spp.

| Isolate | F. tricinctum BL12 | <i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cepae</i> BL13 | <i>F. proliferatum</i> BL16 | <i>F. proliferatum</i> BL18 | F. acuminatum BL20 | F. verticillioides BL21 | Average |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| | | | Disease se | everity index | | | |
| Pathogen | 3.7 a | 4.2 a | 4.3 a | 3.8 a | 4.8 a | 4.0 a | 4.1 |
| B.subtilis B5 | 2.7 ab | 2.5 b | 1.8 b | 2.2 b | 2.7 b | 2.3 b | 2.4 |
| B. subtilis B7 | 2.7 ab | 2.0 b | 2.7 ab | 2.7 b | 3.2 b | 3.2 ab | 2.7 |
| B. subtilis B13 | 3.2 ab | 3.3 ab | 3.2 ab | 3.0 ab | 4.0 ab | 3.5 ab | 3.4 |
| B. subtilis B32 | 2.0 b | 2.5 b | 2.7 ab | 2.8 ab | 3.7 ab | 2.5 b | 2.7 |
| Average | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | |
| Isolate | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | * | |

Note. Means with different lowercase letters in the same column within the same fungal isolate and selected *Bacillus* spp. isolates are significantly different (p < 0.05, Tukey test); ** – significance at 0.01 probability level, * – significance at 0.05 probability level.

Unlike the tested fungal species which showed different sensitivity in antifungal activity assays, the antagonistic effect of the particular *Bacillus* isolates via *in vitro* and *in situ* testing largely coincided. Interestingly, newly-isolated *Bacillus* spp. exhibited the least *in vitro* antagonistic effect on fungal isolates which had the highest *in situ* pathogenicity. Similarly, Dimkić et al. (2015) found that *Fusarium* spp. are among the most resistant phytopathogenic fungi to the antagonistic effect of *Bacillus* spp., while within genus there was a higher resistance of *F. verticillioides* and *F. oxysporum* in relation to *F. tricinctum*.

The present study showed that the highest and the most extensive antagonistic effect toward all the tested fungi was achieved by isolates of B. subtilis, probably due to the production of lipopeptide surfactin and hydrolytic enzymes. In fact, Stein (2005) showed that B. subtilis had strong inhibitory effect against more pathogens as a result of the production of antibiotics. Additionally, Mardanova et al. (2017) established that B. subtilis was able to suppress Fusarium infection through the production of hydrolytic enzymes and cyclic lipopeptides. Numerous studies revealed that antagonistic strains of B. subtilis which inhibit the fungal growth under in vitro conditions also reduce the production of mycotoxins, as well as the occurrence and frequency of Fusarium caused diseases of various plants in greenhouse and field conditions (Cavaglieri et al., 2005; Baysal et al., 2008; Zalila-Kolsi et al., 2016). However, these are the first data about possible use of *B. subtilis* in biocontrol of *Fusarium* spp. isolated from infected garlic cloves, so further research will be of great importance. In addition to their effect on Fusarium, Bacillus isolates show antagonistic activities against numerous fungal and bacterial pathogens (Wu et al., 2015). Besides their role in biocontrol, Bacillusbased preparations should also have the ability to colonize

rhizosphere and promote plant growth (Borriss, 2011). The isolation and characterization of these bacteria is very important from the viewpoint of biocontrol research and could increase the number of strains identified as biocontrol agents of various diseases covering a wider range of plant species.

Conclusions

1. Isolates of *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. safensis* and *B. pumilus* as superior enzyme producers showed antifungal activity against *Fusarium tricinctum*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cepae*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. verticillioides* and *F. acuminatum*.

2. The highest antagonistic effect toward this important pathosystem was observed for *B. subtilis* isolates, and very strong antifungal activity of these isolates was confirmed by significant suppression of common garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cloves infection.

3. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of antimicrobial compounds showed that antifungal activity of *B. subtilis* isolates was merit of lipopeptide surfactin production.

4. Based on our results, *B. subtilis* isolates could be used as biocontrol agents of garlic clove rot caused by *Fusarium* spp., and to our knowledge, this is the first experimental confirmation on using *Bacillus* spp. in biocontrol of the pathogens involved in this disease.

5. This study revealed that the indigenous *Bacillus* isolates from the soil have strong biocontrol potential and could be used for suppression of plant diseases and improvement of plant productivity.

6. Further research of effective *Bacillus* isolates through greenhouse and field trials will be necessary in order to establish their efficiency as biopesticides in different environmental conditions.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (projects No. TR-31030 and TR-31072).

> Received 19 12 2017 Accepted 27 06 2018

References

- 1. Abdallah R. A. B., Stedel C., Garagounis C., Nefzi A., Jabnoun-Khiareddine H., Papadopoulou K. K., Daami-Remadi M. 2017. Involvement of lipopeptide antibiotics and chitinase genes and induction of host defense in suppression of Fusarium wilt by endophytic Bacillus spp. in tomato. Crop Protection, 99: 45-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.05.008
- Ansari M., Ahmad N., Hasan F. 2012. Potential of biopesticides in sustainable agriculture. Malik A., Grohmann E. (eds). Environmental protection strategies for sustainable development. Springer, p. 529-595.
- Baysal Ö., Çalışkan M., Yeşilova Ö. 2008. An inhibitory effect of a new Bacillus subtilis strain (EU07) against sp. radicis-lycopersici. Fusarium oxvsporum f. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 73 (1-3): 25-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2008.11.002
- Bjelić D., Ignjatov M., Marinković J., Spremo N., Karaman M., Nikolić Z., Ivanović Ž. 2017. Antifungal 4. activity of indigenous Bacillus spp. isolated from soil. Matica Srpska Journal for Natural Sciences, 133: 261-269. https://doi.org/10.2298/ZMSPN1733261B
- 5. Borriss R. 2011. Use of plant-associated Bacillus strains as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents in agriculture. Maheshwari D. K. (ed.). Bacteria in agrobiology: plant growth responses. Springer, p. 41–76. Cappuccino J. G., Welsh C. T. 2016. Microbiology: a
- 6. laboratory manual (11th ed.). Pearson, 560 p.
- 7. Cavaglieri L., Orlando J., Etcheverry M. 2005. In vitro influence of bacterial mixtures on Fusarium verticillioides growth and fumonisin B1 production: effect of seeds treatment on maize root colonization. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 41: 390-396. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005.01785.x
- 8. Cawoy H., Debois D., Franzil L., De Pauw E., Thonart P., Ongena M. 2015. Lipopeptides as main ingredients for inhibition of fungal phytopathogens by Bacillus subtilis/ amyloliquefaciens. Microbial Biotechnology, 8 (2): 281-295. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12238
- Dimkić I., Živkovic S., Berić T., Ivanović Ž., Gavrilović V., Stanković S., Fira Dj. 2013. Characterization and evaluation of two Bacillus strains, SS-12.6 and SS-13.1, as potential agents for the control of phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi. Biological Control, 65: 312-321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.03.012
- 10. Dimkić I., Berić T., Stević T., Pavlović S., Šavikin K., Fira D., Stanković S. 2015. Additive and synergistic effects of Bacillus spp. isolates and essential oils on the control of phytopathogenic and saprophytic fungi from medicinal plants and marigold seeds. Biological Control, 87: 6-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.04.011
- 11. Djuric S., Pavic A., Jarak M., Pavlovic S., Starovic M., Pivic R., Josic D. 2011. Selection of indigenous fluorescent pseudomonad isolates from maize rhizospheric soil in Vojvodina as possible PGPR. Romanian Biotechnological Letters, 16: 6580-6590.
- 12. Dugan F. M., Hellier B. C., Lupien S. L. 2007. Pathogenic fungi in garlic seed cloves from the United States and China, and efficacy of fungicides against pathogens in garlic germplasm in Washington State. Journal of Phytopathology, 155: 437-445. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2007.01255.x

- 13. Geiser D. M., del Mar Jiménez-Gasco M., Kang S., Makalowska I., Veeraraghavan N., Ward T. J., Zhang N., Kuldau G. A., O'Donnell K. O. 2004. Fusarium-ID v. 1.0: a DNA sequence database for identifying Fusarium. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 110: 473-479. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EJPP.0000032386.75915.a0
- 14. Ignjatov M., Bjelić D., Nikolić Z., Milošević D., Gvozdanović-Varga J., Marinković J., Ivanović Ž. 2017 (a). First report of Fusarium acuminatum causing garlic bulb rot in Serbia. Plant Disease, 101 (6): 1047.
- 15. Ignjatov M., Milošević D., Nikolić Z., Gvozdanović-Varga J., Tatić M., Popović T., Ivanović Ž. 2017 (b). First report of Fusarium tricinctum causing garlic bulb rot in Serbia. Plant Disease, 101 (2): 382.
- 16. Islam M. R., Jeong Y. T., Lee Y. S., Song C. H. 2012. Isolation and identification of antifungal compounds from Bacillus subtilis C9 inhibiting the growth of plant pathogenic fungi. Mycobiology, 40 (1): 59-66. https://doi.org/10.5941/MYCO.2012.40.1.059
- 17. Koleva K. 2004. Variety of species and spread of fungi of genus Fusarium related to rotting of garlic. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 10: 177–180.
- 18. Leslie J. F., Summerell B. A. 2006. The fusarium laboratory manual. Blackwell Publishing, 388 p. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470278376
- 19 Lević J., Stanković S., Krnjaja V., Bočarov-Stančić A. 2009. Fusarium species: the occurrence and the importance in agriculture of Serbia. Matica Srpska Proceedings for Natural Sciences, 116: 33-48. https://doi.org/10.2298/ZMSPN0916033L
- 20. Lugtenberg B., Malfanova N., Kamilova F., Berg G. 2013. Microbial control of plant diseases. Bruijn de F. J. (ed.). Molecular microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, p. 67–91.
- 21. Mandic-Mulec I., Prosser J. I. 2011. Diversity of endospore-forming bacteria in soil: characterization and driving mechanisms. Logan N., Vos P. (eds). Endosporeforming soil bacteria. Springer, p. 31-59.
- Mardanova A. M., Hadieva G. F., Lutfullin M. T., Khilyas I. V., Minnullina L. F., Gilyazeva A. G., Bogomolnaya L. M., Sharipova M. R. 2017. *Bacillus* subtilis strains with antifungal activity against the phytopathogenic fungi. Agricultural Sciences, 8: 1-20. https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2017.81001
- 23. Martins N., Petropoulos S., Ferreira I. 2016. Chemical composition and bioactive compounds of garlic (Allium sativum L.) as affected by pre- and post-harvest conditions: a review. Food Chemistry, 211: 41-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.029
- 24. Mnif I., Ghribi D. 2015. Potential of bacterial derived biopesticides in pest management. Crop Protection, 77: 52-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.07.017
- 25. Nagorska K., Bikowski M., Obuchowki M. 2007. Multicellular behaviour and production of a wide variety of toxic substance support usage of Bacillus subtilis as powerful biocontrol agent. Acta Biochimica Polonica, 254: 495–508.
- 26. Ongena M., Jacques P. 2008. Bacillus lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. Trends in Microbiology, 16 (3): 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2007.12.009
- 27. OSU. 2008. Fusarium rot of garlic bulbs. Oregon State University, USA. http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/bpp/ Plant Clinic/Garlic/Fusarium.pdf
- 28. Palmero D., De Cara M., Nosir W., Galvez L., Cruz A., Woodward S., Gonzalez-Jaen M. T., Tello J. C. 2012. Fusarium proliferatum isolated from garlic in Spain: identification, toxigenic potential and pathogenicity on related Allium species. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 51 (1): 207-218.
- 29. Palmero D., Gálvez L., García M., Gil J., Benito S. 2013. The effects of storage duration, temperature and cultivar on the severity of garlic clove rot caused by Fusarium proliferatum. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 78: 34-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2012.12.003

- Rahman M. S. 2007. Allicin and other functional active components in garlic: health benefits and bioavailability. International Journal of Food Properties, 10: 245–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910601113327
- Raza W., Yang W., Shen Q-R. 2008. Paenibacillus polymyxa: antibiotics, hydrolytic enzymes and hazard assessment. Journal of Plant Pathology, 90 (3): 419–430.
- Schwartz H. F., Mohan S. K. 2008. Compendium of onion and garlic diseases and pests. APS Press, 127 p.
- 33. Stanković S., Lević J., Petrović T., Logrieco A., Moretti A. 2007. Pathogenicity and mycotoxin production by *Fusarium proliferatum* isolated from onion and garlic in Serbia. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 118: 165–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9126-8
- 34. Stein T. 2005. Bacillus subtilis antibiotics: structures, syntheses and specific functions. Molecular Microbiology, 56: 845–857. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04587.x

- Weisburg W. G., Barns S. M., Pelletier D. A., Lane D. J. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. Journal of Bacteriology, 173 (2): 697–703. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.2.697-703.1991
- Wu L., Wu H-J., Qiao J., Gao X., Borriss R. 2015. Novel routes for improving biocontrol activity of *Bacillus* based bioinoculants. Frontiers in Microbiology, 6: 1395. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01395
- 37. Zalila-Kolsi I., Mahmoud A. B., Ali H., Sellami S., Nasfi Z., Tounsi S., Jamoussi K. 2016. Antagonist effects of *Bacillus* spp. strains against *Fusarium graminearum* for protection of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. subsp. *durum*). Microbiological Research, 192: 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2016.06.012
- Zhao Z., Wang Q., Wang K., Brian K., Liu C., Gu Y. 2010. Study of the antifungal activity of *Bacillus vallismortis* ZZ185 *in vitro* and identification of its antifungal components. Bioresource Technology, 101: 292–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.07.071

ISSN 1392-3196 / e-ISSN 2335-8947 Zemdirbyste-Agriculture, vol. 105, No. 4 (2018), p. 369–376 DOI 10.13080/z-a.2018.105.047

Bacillus izoliatai česnakų skiltelių fuzarinio puvinio biokontrolei

D. Bjelić¹, M. Ignjatov¹, J. Marinković¹, D. Milošević¹, Z. Nikolić¹, J. Gvozdanović-Varga¹, M. Karaman²

¹Serbijos lauko ir daržo augalų institutas ²Novi Sado universitetas, Serbija

Santrauka

Skiltelių fuzarinis puvinys yra labai žalinga valgomojo česnako (*Allium sativum* L.) liga, pasitaikanti daugelyje pasaulio regionų, tačiau trūksta žinių apie jos sukėlėjo biologinę kontrolę. *Bacillus* rūšys mokslui yra įdomios dėl galimo jų panaudojimo grybinių ligų biologinei kontrolei. Tyrimo tikslas – atrinkti veiksmingas biokontrolės medžiagas iš vietinių *Bacillus* spp. izoliatų, išskirtų iš dirvožemio. *Fusarium* spp. buvo išskirti iš užkrėstų česnako skiltelių ir su jais buvo atlikti *Bacillus* spp. izoliatų, sintetinančių hidrolitinius fermentus, antibakterinio aktyvumo tyrimai. Polimerazės grandininė reakcija (PGR) buvo taikyta molekuliniams bakterinių (16S rDNR genas) ir grybiniams (EF-1α genas) izoliatams identifikuoti ir antimikrobinių lipopeptidų (surfaktino, iturino, bacilomicino D ir fengicino) biosintezės genams nustatyti *Bacillus* spp. rūšyse.

Tyrimo rezultatai patvirtino, kad Fusarium tricinctum, F. oxysporum f. sp. cepae, F. proliferatum, F. acuminatum ir F. verticillioides yra česnakų skiltelių fuzarinio puvinio sukėlėjai. Keturi bakteriniai izoliatai, identifikuoti kaip Bacillus subtilis, pademonstravo didžiausią antagonistinį poveikį, antigrybinį aktyvumą tiriant in vitro (grybų augimas sumažintas 71 procentu), ir smarkiai slopino česnakų skiltelių infekciją in situ (grybų augimas sumažintas 58 procentais). Antigrybinių junginių, dalyvaujančių tirtų izoliatų antagonistinėje veikloje, analizė atskleidė jų gebą gaminti antibiotinį lipopeptidą surfaktiną. Patys veiksmingiausi B. subtilis izoliatai gali būti naudojami kaip potencialios biologinės česnakų skiltelių puvinio kontrolės medžiagos.

Reikšminiai žodžiai: Allium sativum, antigrybinis aktyvumas, Bacillus subtilis, lipopeptidai.