tion and similar papers at core.ac.uk

brought to you by

provided by

Original scientific paper

# VARIABILITY OF LEAF CADMIUM CONTENT IN TETRAPLOID AND HEXAPLOID WHEAT

Marija KRALJEVIĆ-BALALIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Novica MLADENOV<sup>2</sup>, Igor BALALIĆ<sup>2</sup> and Miroslav ZORIĆ<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad <sup>2</sup>Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia <sup>3</sup>Institute of Field Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade

Kraljević-Balalić M., N. Mladenov, I.Balalić and M. Zorić (2009): Variability of leaf cadmium content in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat.– Genetika, Vol. 41, No. 1, 1-10.

Cadmium (Cd) is a toxic trace metal pollutant for humans, animals, and plants. It is a heavy metal present in soils from natural and anthropogenic sources. Much of the Cd taken up by plants is retained in the root, but a portion is translocated to the aerial portions of the plant and into the seed. The objective of this research was to determine the variability and diversity of Cd content in the leaves of 30 wheat cultivars with different ploidy level, during two years. Analyses of Cd content (ppm) in the leaves at heading stage were performed with an atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS). Significant differences between the mean values of the genotypes in Çd content were found. Tetraploid wheat genotypes had higher Cd content than hexaploid genotypes. Cd content was predominantly influenced by the

**Corresponding author:** Prof. dr Marija Kraljević Balalić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovića 8, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

year of growing (73%). The influence of genotype on Cd content amounted 16% and the interaction genotype  $\times$  year 11%. The cluster of the genotypes consists of four groups. In the groups three and four were some of the genotypes (Kalyan Sona, Partizanka and NS Rana 5) with lowest Cd content in the leaves. They could be chosen as parents in the hybridization for lower cadmium concentration.

*Key words:* Cd content, interaction genotype×year, heading stage, variability, wheat

### INTRODUCTION

Cadmium (Cd) belongs to the group of so-called "heavy metals". It is highly toxic to plants, animals and human organisms (ALLOWAY, 1990). A series of determinations of lead and cadmium concentrations in blood of population that lives in environment nearby Kosovo thermo power plants, have shown direct effects in biochemical parameters CRE (Creatinin), DB (Direct Bilirubine), TB (Total Bilirubine), AST (Aspartat Aminotransferaza), CK (Creatin Kinaza) and CHE (Cholenisteraza) in human organism (ZENELI *et al.*, 2008). Cadmium in food is a potential health risk as it is accumulated in the kidneys, and if the dietary intake is too high this can lead to kidney damage with time. Exposure to Cd can also cause brittle bones, i.e. osteoporosis (ALFVÉN *et al.*, 2000). Effects on human kidney function have already been reported at current exposure levels in areas with moderate pollution (JÄRUP *et al.*, 2000, OLSSON *et al.*, 2005).

The main source of contamination of soil and crops with Cd is industrial effluents. Many reports have shown that the use of Cd-containing fertilizers increased Cd uptake by plants (ANDERSON and SIMON, 1991). Atmospheric deposition of Cd on to the leaf surfaces of cereals can be important because cereal based foods are consumed in large amounts, representing 54 % of the food (i.e. dry matter) consumed worldwide (GRAHAM and WELSH, 1996). The emission of toxic substances and ions destroy or damage cell structures, leading to metabolic disturbances, enzyme inhibition and modifications in photosynthesis and plant biomass distribution (DAS *et al.*, 1997, STARCK, 1998).

Although unnecessary for the plant growth, Cd is readily taken up by their root system and leaves, with its uptake being usually proportionate to the Cd content present in the environment. High levels of Cd in food crops are a concern in human diets because of possible negative effects on health. Cereal grains represent a large portion of our diet and are therefore a major contributor to Cd intake (WAGNER, 1993). The concentration of Cd in food crops are subject to regulation by national and international agencies. The maximum tolerable intake of Cd for humans, recommended by FAO/WHO is 70  $\mu$ g/day (VASILEV and YORDANOVA, 1997). CHAUDRI et al., 2001, in wheat genotype Soissons have found that Cd content in the grain was greater than the EU limit (0.24 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry wt). Production of crops not contaminated with Cd requires continuous monitoring of the content of trace

elements in fertilizers and systematic reduction of effluents emitted to the atmosphere. Increase in the amount of cadmium in the agricultural environment is primarily the result of use the Cd containing fertilizers, application of Cd-containing sevage sludge and atmospheric deposition of Cd on crop or soil surfaces (RYAN *et al.*, 1982, NICKOLSON and JONES, 1994).

As it is known that leaf cadmium content in wheat is highly correlated with grain cadmium content, it would be useful to determine variability of Cd content in the leaves and on the basis of the results to predict cadmium content in wheat grain. This information could be used to facilitate breeding of cultivars with low grain cadmium concentration.

The aim of the study was to get information on the variability and diversity of wheat with different ploidy level, regarding Cd content in the leaves at heading stage.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The variability of Cd content in the leaves was investigated under field conditions in thirty wheat genotypes with different level of ploidy, belonging to *Triticum* sp., and originating from different parts of the world (Tab. 1). The trial was carried out using RCB design in three replications during two vegetation periods at the experimental station of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia. The sample consisted of 10 plants per replication.

Analyses of Cd content (*ppm*) in the leaves at heading stage were performed with an atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS) in the chemical laboratory of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad.

In order to assort genotypes according to Cd content, hierarchical cluster analysis, using "Euclidian distance" was employed. Two-way ANOVA was used to analyze main effects (genotype, year) and interaction genotype x year.

The calculations were made with STATISTICA 7.0 software.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Between the mean values for Cd content in *Triticum* sp. significant differences were found. Cd concentration varied between 0.465 ppm in *Triticum aestivum* ssp *vulgare* var. *nigracolor* to 3.035 ppm in variety Timgalen, originating from Australia, in average for two years (Tab. 1). Differences between wheat cultivars in their ability to accumulate Cd have also been shown by OLIVER *et al.* (1995) and STOLT (2002).

Table 1. Origin, mean values and variability of Cd content in Triticum sp. leaves at heading stage

| 5.       Tr. durum hordeiformae       LV       AB       0.985       1.295         6.       Tr. dicoccum var. forrum       LV       AB       1.110       1.490         7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LV       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.900       1.290         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.  | No. | Genoype                       | Origin   | Genome     | e C               | Cd concentration (ppm) |         |  |
|---|-----|-------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|--|
| 2.       Tr. polonicum var. $gracila$ LV       AB       0.790       0.940         3.       Tr. turgidum var.       LV       AB       1.710         4.       Tr. durum var. cerulescens       LV       AB       0.970       0.860         5.       Tr. durum hordeiformae       LV       AB       0.985       1.295         6.       Tr. durum hordeiformae       LV       AB       0.980       1.015         7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LV       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.       vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG   |     |                               |          |            | 2000              | 2001                   | Average |  |
| 3.       Tr. turgidum var.       LV       AB         nigrobarbatum       0.800       1.710         4.       Tr. durum var. cerulescens       LV       AB       0.970       0.860         5.       Tr. durum hordeiformae       LV       AB       0.985       1.295         6.       Tr. dicoccum var. forrum       LV       AB       1.110       1.490         7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LV       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.990       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.  | 1.  | Tr. dicoccoides               | LV       | AB         | 0.890             | 1.360                  | 1.125   |  |
| nigrobarbatum         0.800         1.710           4.         Tr. durum var. cerulescens         LV         AB         0.970         0.860           5.         Tr. durum hordeiformae         LV         AB         0.985         1.295           6.         Tr. dicoccum var. forrum         LV         AB         1.110         1.490           7.         Tr. dicoccum var. africanum         LV         AB         0.890         1.015           8.         NS Rana 5         YUG         AB         0.990         0.715           9.         NSD 1/93         YUG         AB         1.180         1.410           10.         Triticum macha         LV         ABD         0.900         1.290           12.         Pobeda         YUG         ABD         0.900         1.290           13.         Triticum aestivum ssp.   | 2.  | Tr. polonicum var. gracila    | LV       | AB         | 0.790             | 0.940                  | 0.865   |  |
| 4. $Tr. durum var. cerulescens$ LV $AB$ $0.970$ $0.860$ 5. $Tr. durum hordeiformae$ LV $AB$ $0.985$ $1.295$ 6. $Tr. dicoccum var. forrum$ LV $AB$ $0.985$ $1.295$ 6. $Tr. dicoccum var. africanum$ LV $AB$ $0.990$ $0.715$ 7. $Tr. dicoccum var. africanum$ LV $AB$ $0.990$ $0.715$ 8.       NS Rana 5       YUG $AB$ $1.180$ $1.410$ 10. $Triticum macha$ LV $ABD$ $0.990$ $0.715$ 9.       NSD 1/93       YUG $AB$ $1.180$ $1.410$ 10. $Triticum macha$ LV $ABD$ $0.990$ $1.290$ 12.       Pobeda       YUG $ABD$ $0.950$ $2.430$ 13. $Triticum aestivum ssp.       vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD 0.960 0.330         14.       Chinese Spring       CHI ABD 1.215 1.465         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       $  | 3.  | Tr. turgidum var.             | LV       | AB         |                   |                        |         |  |
| 5.       Tr. durum hordeiformae       LV       AB       0.985       1.295         6.       Tr. dicoccum var. forrum       LV       AB       1.110       1.490         7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LV       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.900       1.290         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.  |     | nigrobarbatum                 |          |            | 0.800             | 1.710                  | 1.255   |  |
| 6.       Tr. dicoccum var. forrum       LN       AB       1.110       1.490         7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LN       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       1.345       1.585         11.       Triticum acha       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.900       1.290         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.   | 4.  | Tr. durum var. cerulescens    | LV       | AB         | 0.970             | 0.860                  | 0.915   |  |
| 7.       Tr. dicoccum var. africanum       LN       AB       0.890       1.015         8.       NS Rana 5       YUG       ABD       0.990       0.715         9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       1.345       1.585         11.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.  | 5.  | Tr. durum hordeiformae        | LV       | AB         | 0.985             | 1.295                  | 1.140   |  |
| 8.         NS Rana 5         YUG         ABD         0.990         0.715           9.         NSD 1/93         YUG         AB         1.180         1.410           10.         Triticum macha         LV         ABD         1.345         1.585           11.         Triticum spelta         LV         ABD         0.900         1.290           12.         Pobeda         YUG         ABD         0.950         2.430           13.         Triticum aestivum ssp.  | 6.  | Tr. dicoccum var. forrum      | LV       | AB         | 1.110             | 1.490                  | 1.300   |  |
| 9.       NSD 1/93       YUG       AB       1.180       1.410         10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       1.345       1.585         11.       Triticum spelta       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.900       1.290         13.       Triticum spelta       LV       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.       vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD       0.960       0.330         14.       Chinese Spring       CHI       ABD       1.215       1.490         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       <   | 7.  | Tr. dicoccum var. africanum   | LV       | AB         | 0.890             | 1.015                  | 0.953   |  |
| 10.       Triticum macha       LV       ABD       1.345       1.585         11.       Triticum spelta       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.       vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD       0.960       0.330         14.       Chinese Spring       CHI       ABD       1.215       1.490         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       0   | 8.  | NS Rana 5                     | YUG      | ABD        | 0.990             | 0.715                  | 0.853   |  |
| 11.       Triticum spelta       LV       ABD       0.900       1.290         12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.       vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD       0.960       0.330         14.       Chinese Spring       CHI       ABD       1.215       1.490         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.950       1.245         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       0.950       1.055         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.75   | 9.  | NSD 1/93                      | YUG      | AB         | 1.180             | 1.410                  | 1.295   |  |
| 12.       Pobeda       YUG       ABD       0.950       2.430         13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.   | 10. | Triticum macha                | LV       | ABD        | 1.345             | 1.585                  | 1.465   |  |
| 13.       Triticum aestivum ssp.         vulgare var. nigracolor       LV       ABD       0.960       0.330         14.       Chinese Spring       CHI       ABD       1.215       1.490         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       0.950       1.055         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD <td>11.</td> <td>Triticum spelta</td> <td>LV</td> <td>ABD</td> <td>0.900</td> <td>1.290</td> <td>1.095</td> | 11. | Triticum spelta               | LV       | ABD        | 0.900             | 1.290                  | 1.095   |  |
| vulgare var. nigracolor         LV         ABD         0.960         0.330           14.         Chinese Spring         CHI         ABD         1.215         1.490           15.         Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum         LV         AB         1.025         1.465           16.         Rodna         YUG         ABD         1.305         0.780           17.         Frontana         BRA         ABD         1.030         1.735           18.         Renesansa         YUG         ABD         1.035         1.185           19.         Libelulla         ITA         ABD         1.025         1.065           20.         Trakija         BGR         ABD         0.950         1.245           21.         Odeskaya 51         RUS         ABD         0.730         1.195           22.         Peking 11         CHI         ABD         0.950         1.055           23.         Evropa 90         YUG         ABD         0.945         0.990           24.         Timgalen         AUS         ABD         0.750         0.745           27.         Kavkaz         RUS         ABD         0.860         1.915           27                                   | 12. | Pobeda                        | YUG      | ABD        | 0.950             | 2.430                  | 1.690   |  |
| 14.       Chinese Spring       CHI       ABD       1.215       1.490         15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LV       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.035       1.185         19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       0.730       1.195         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       0.725       0.645         <   | 13. | Triticum aestivum ssp.        |          |            |                   |                        |         |  |
| 15.       Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum       LN       AB       1.025       1.465         16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.035       1.185         19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       0.950       1.055         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       0.725       0.645 <td< td=""><td></td><td>vulgare var. nigracolor</td><td>LV</td><td>ABD</td><td>0.960</td><td>0.330</td><td>0.645</td></td<>        |     | vulgare var. nigracolor       | LV       | ABD        | 0.960             | 0.330                  | 0.645   |  |
| 16.       Rodna       YUG       ABD       1.305       0.780         17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.035       1.185         19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.025       1.0670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       0.725       0.645         Aver   | 14. | Chinese Spring                | CHI      | ABD        | 1.215             | 1.490                  | 1.353   |  |
| 17.       Frontana       BRA       ABD       1.030       1.735         18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.035       1.185         19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.025       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645   | 15. | Tr. paleocolch. var. vulpinum | LV       | AB         | 1.025             | 1.465                  | 1.246   |  |
| 18.       Renesansa       YUG       ABD       1.035       1.185         19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.125       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645  | 16. | Rodna                         | YUG      | ABD        | 1.305             | 0.780                  | 1.043   |  |
| 19.       Libelulla       ITA       ABD       1.025       1.065         20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.125       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.860       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645   | 17. | Frontana                      | BRA      | ABD        | 1.030             | 1.735                  | 1.383   |  |
| 20.       Trakija       BGR       ABD       0.950       1.245         21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.125       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.750       0.745         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645   | 18. | Renesansa                     | YUG      | ABD        | 1.035             | 1.185                  | 1.110   |  |
| 21.       Odeskaya 51       RUS       ABD       0.730       1.195         22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.125       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       1.360       4.710         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645  | 19. | Libelulla                     | ITA      | ABD        | 1.025             | 1.065                  | 1.045   |  |
| 22.       Peking 11       CHI       ABD       1.125       0.670         23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       1.360       4.710         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645  | 20. | Trakija                       | BGR      | ABD        | 0.950             | 1.245                  | 1.097   |  |
| 23.       Evropa 90       YUG       ABD       0.950       1.055         24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       1.360       4.710         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645  | 21. | Odeskaya 51                   | RUS      | ABD        | 0.730             | 1.195                  | 0.963   |  |
| 24.       Timgalen       AUS       ABD       1.360       4.710         25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645  | 22. | Peking 11                     | CHI      | ABD        | 1.125             | 0.670                  | 0.898   |  |
| 25.       Bezostaya 1       RUS       ABD       0.945       0.990         26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645   | 23. | Evropa 90                     | YUG      | ABD        | 0.950             | 1.055                  | 1.003   |  |
| 26.       Partizanka       YUG       ABD       0.750       0.745         27.       Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28.       Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645   | 24. | Timgalen                      | AUS      | ABD        | 1.360             | 4.710                  | 3.035   |  |
| 27. Kavkaz       RUS       ABD       0.800       1.440         28. Nevesinjka       YUG       ABD       0.860       1.915         29. GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30. Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645         Average       0.997       1.325  | 25. | Bezostaya 1                   | RUS      | ABD        | 0.945             | 0.990                  | 0.968   |  |
| 28.         Nevesinjka         YUG         ABD         0.860         1.915           29.         GK Othalom         HUN         ABD         1.055         0.985           30.         Kalyan Sona         IND         ABD         0.725         0.645           Average         0.997         1.325   | 26. | Partizanka                    | YUG      | ABD        | 0.750             | 0.745                  | 0.748   |  |
| 29.       GK Othalom       HUN       ABD       1.055       0.985         30.       Kalyan Sona       IND       ABD       0.725       0.645         Average       0.997       1.325  | 27. | Kavkaz                        | RUS      | ABD        | 0.800             | 1.440                  | 1.120   |  |
| 30.         Kalyan Sona         IND         ABD         0.725         0.645           Average         0.997         1.325   | 28. | Nevesinjka                    | YUG      | ABD        | 0.860             | 1.915                  | 1.388   |  |
| Average 0.997 1.325   | 29. | GK Othalom                    | HUN      | ABD        | 1.055             | 0.985                  | 1.020   |  |
| 0   | 30. | Kalyan Sona                   | IND      | ABD        | 0.725             | 0.645                  | 0.685   |  |
|   |     |                               |          | Average    | 0.997             | 1.325                  |         |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |     |                               | I SD and | G<br>0 194 | <i>Y</i><br>0.050 | <i>G/Y</i><br>0 274    |         |  |

 $0.05 \quad 0.194 \quad 0.050 \\ 0.01 \quad 0.258 \quad 0.066$ 

0.364

Genotypic variation in grain Cd content has been reported in both common (OLIVER *et al.*, 1995), and *durum* wheat (PENNER *et al.*, 1995). Also, CLARKE *et al.* (1997) have found differences in Cd content in the leaves of *durum* wheat.

In average Cd content was significantly higher in 2001 than in 2000, which could be due to the climatic conditions in these years (Tab. 1).

|                     | Df | MS    |    | Fe      |  |
|---------------------|----|-------|----|---------|--|
| Source of variation | DI | Value | %  | ге      |  |
| Genotype (G)        | 29 | 0.721 | 16 | 38.4**  |  |
| Year (Y)            | 1  | 3.441 | 73 | 182.5** |  |
| G/Y                 | 29 | 0.528 | 11 | 28**    |  |
| Е                   | 60 | 0.019 |    |         |  |


Table 2. ANOVA for Cd content in the leaves of Triticum sp. at heading stage


Highly significant differences were found for all sources of variation. Cd content was predominantly influenced by the year of growing (73 %). The influence of genotype on Cd content amounted 16 % and the interaction 11 % (Tab. 2). Similar results stated OLIVER *et al.* (1995). They also identified significant cultivar effects for Cd content in wheat grain, but these were less significant than the site effects.

Taking into account that leaf Cd content is highly correlated with grain Cd content (r = 0.87-0.89. P < 0.01), as reported by CLARKE *et al.* (1997), leaf Cd content could predict the plant phenotype which would be useful on backcrossing to low Cd trait into high Cd cultivar. In durum wheat the heritability for Cd content was high and the inheritance simple. According to CLARKE *et al.* (1997) grain cadmium content is largely controlled by a single gene, with low cadmium dominant. MCLAUGLIN *et al.*, (1999) reported that cadmium accumulation in grain of high- and low-accumulating near-isogenic lines of durum wheat was correlated with Cd accumulation in the leaves of the seedlings under field conditions. This suggests that differences in Cd accumulation in grain, or at least be indicative of Cd transport to grain during grain filling. This information could be used to facilitate breeding of cultivars with low grain cadmium content. The data from the Swedish soil monitoring programme showed a significant positive correlation between the N and Cd contents in grain of winter wheat, oats and barley (WANGSTRAND *et al.*, 2007)

In our experiment Cd content was higher in tetraploid than in hexaploid wheat genotypes (Tab. 1). These results are in agreement with the report of MEYER *et al.* (1982). They have also gotten higher levels of Cd in durum than in common wheat. It is stated that durum wheat used to accumulate more Cd than most other small grains (CHANEY *et al.*, 1996, CLARKE *et al.* 1997). Higher Cd accumulation in grains of durum wheat's may be related to their higher sensitivity to Zn deficiency than bread wheats (GRAHAM *et al.* 1992, CACMAK *et al.*, 1998). On average diploid

wheats (AA) absorbed and translocated more <sup>109</sup>Cd than other wheats. The largest variation in <sup>109</sup>Cd uptake was found within tetraploid wheats (BBAA). Primitive tetraploid wheats (ssp. *dicoccum*) had a greater uptake capacity for <sup>109</sup>Cd than moderm tetraploid wheats (ssp. *durum*), according to CACMAK *et al.* (2000). Content of cadmium in durum wheat grain is influenced by differences in geographic location, soil conditions, cropping season and cultivar (LI *et al.*, 1994, CLARKE *et al.*, 1997, NORVELL *et al.*, 2000). Compared to bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), durum wheat (*T. turgidum* L. var *durum*) has a genetic propensity to accumulate Cd in grain (MEYER *et al.*, 1982) to levels often exceeding proposed international limits for Cd in cereal grains (LI *et al.*, 1997, CLARKE *et al.*, 2002). Consequently, there is a need to develop low Cd-accumulating durum cultivars and agronomic management practices to minimise soil-to-plant transfer of Cd.





The cluster of the genotypes concerning Cd content in the leaves can be divided into five groups. The genotype Timgalen (24), with highest mean value for Cd content, could be considered as independent of the group. The most Cd efficient are Kalyan Sona (30), Partizanka (26) and NS Rana 5 (8) belonging to the group IV or III. They could be used as parents for hybridization as they are genotypes with

lowest Cd content in the leaves because they were most Cd efficient in our experiment (Graph. 1).

The results could be useful to simplify breeding of wheat genotypes with low cadmium content.

Received December 17<sup>th</sup>, 2008 Accepted February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2009

#### REFERENCES

- ALFVÉN, T., CG. ELINDER, MD. CARLSSON, A. GRUBB, L.HELLSTRÖM, B. PERSSON, C. PETTERSSON, G.SPANG, A.SCHÜTZ, and LJÄRUP (2000): Low level cadmium exposure and osteoporosis. J. Bone Miner. Res. 15, 1579–1586.
- ALLOWAY, B. (1990): Cadmium. In: Heavy metals in soil. Alloway B. (Ed.), John Wiley&Sons, New Jersey, 100-124.
- ANDERSON, A. and G. SIMON (1991): Levels of Cd and some other trace elements in soils and crops as influenced by lime and fertilizer level. Acta Agric. Scand. 41: 3-11.
- CAKMAK, I., B. TORUN, B. ERENOGLU, L. OZTÜRK, H. MARSCHNER, M.KALAYCI, H.EKIZ, and A. YILMAZ (1998): Morphological and physiological diffrences in cereals in response to Zn deficiency. Euphytica 100:349-357.
- CAKMAK, I., RM.WELSH, J.HART, WA. NORVELL, L. OZTÜRK, and LV. KOCHIAN (2000): Uptake and retranslocation of leaf-applied cadmium (<sup>109</sup>Cd) in diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid wheats. J. Exp. Bot. *51*:221-226.
- CIECKO, Ž., M.WZSYKOWSKZ, W.KRAJEVSKI, and J.ZABIELSKA (2001): Effect of organic matter and liming on the reduction of cadmium uptake from soil by triticale and spring oilseed rape. The Science of the Total Environment 281: 37-45.
- Chaudri AM., Allan C.G., Badawy SH., Adams ML., McGrath SP., and Chambers BJ. (2001): Cadmium content of wheat grain from a long-term field experiment with sewage sludge. J. Environ. Quality 30: 1575-1580.
- CHANEY, RL., JA.RYAN, YM. LI, RM. WELCH, and PG. REEVES (1996): Phyto-availability and bio-availability in risk assessment for Cd in agricultural environments. In: Sources of cadmium in the environments. Organization for economic co-operation and development (OECD) publications service, Paris, France, p. 49-78.
- CLARKE, JM, D. LESLIE and GL. KOPYTKO (1997): Inheritance of cadmium concentration in five durum wheat crosses. Crop Sci. 37:1722-1726.
- CLARKE, JM, WA. NORVELL, FR. CLARKE, WT. BUCKLEY (2002): Concentration of cadmium and other elements in the grain of near-isogenic durum lines. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 82:27-33
- DAS, P., S. SAMANTARAY, GR. ROUT (1997): Studies on cadmium toxicity in plants: a review. Environ. Poll. 98:29-35.
- GRAHAM, RD., JS.ASCHER, and SC. HYNES (1992): Selecting Zn efficient cereal genotypes for soils of low zink status. Plant and Soil 46:241-250.
- GRAHAM, RD., and RM. WELSH (1996): Breeding for staple food crops with high micronutrient density.Working paper No. 3, Washington DC, IFPRI.
- JÄRUP, L., L.HELLSTRÖM, T. ALFVÉN, MD.CARLSSON, A.GRUBB, B. PERSSON, C. PETTERSSON, G. SPANG, G. SCHÜTZ, and CG. ELINDER (2000). Low level exposure to cadmium and early kidney damage: the OSCAR study. Occup. Environ. Med. 57, 668–672.
- LI, YM., RL.CHANEY, and AA.SCHNEITER (1994): Effect of soil chloride level on cadmium concentration in sunflower kernels. Plant Soil 167:375-388.

- LI, YM, RL CHANEY, AA SCHNEITER, JF,MILLER EM. ELIAS, and JJ. HAMMOND (1997): Screening for low grain cadmium phenotypes in sunflower, durum wheat and flax. *Euphytica* 94:23-30
- MCLAUGHLIN, MJ, DR.PARKER, and JM. CLARKE (1999): Metals and micronutrients food safety issues. *Field Crops Res.* 60:143-163.
- MEYER, MW., FL.FRICKE, GGS.HOLMGREN, J.KUBOTA, and RL. CHANEY (1982): Cadmium and lead in wheat grain and associated surface soils of major wheat production areas in the United States. *Agron. Abs.* 34.
- NICKOLSON, FA. and KS. JONES (1994): Effect of phospate fertilizers and atmospheric deposition on longterm changes in the cadmium content of soils and crops. Environ. Sci. Technology 28:2170-2175.
- NORVELL, WA., J. WU, DG. HOPKINS, and RM. WELCH (2000): Assotiation of cadmium in durum wheat grain with soil chloride and chelate-extractable soil cadmium. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64:2162-2168.
- OLIVER, DP., JP.GARTRELL, KG.TILLER, R.CORREL, GD.COZENS, and BL. YOUNGBERG (1995): Differnetial responses of Australian wheat cultivars to cadmium concentration in wheat grain. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 46: 873-886.
- OLSSON, IM., J.ERIKSSON, I.ÖBORN, S.SKERFVING, and A. OSKARSSON (2005): Cadmium in food production systems-a health risk for sensitive population groups. Ambio 34, 344–351.
- PENNER, GA., JM.CLARKE, LJ. BEZTE, and D. LEISLE (1995): Identification of RAPD markers linked to a gene governing cadmium uptake in durum wheat. Genome 38: 543-547.
- RYAN, JA., HR. PAHREN, and JB. LUCAS (1982): Controling cadmium in the human chain: review and ratinale based on health effects. Envirin. Res. 28:251-302.
- STARCK, Z. (1998): Response of plants to multiply stresses in aspect of source-sink relations. In: Grzesiak S., Skoczkowski A., Miszalski A. (Eds.) Ecophysiological aspects of plant responses to stress factors. ZFR PAN Kraków, 21-31.
- STOLT, P. (2002): Cadmium, a challenge for organisms. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Crop Science, The Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Alnarp, ISBN 91-576-6165-0.
- VASILEV, A., and J.YORDANOVA (1997): Reductive analysis of factors limiting growth of cadmium-treated plants. A review. Bulg. J. Plant Physiol. 23: 114-133.
- WAGNER, G. (1993): Accumulation of cadmium in crop plants and its cosequences to human health. Advances in Agronom. 51: 173-211.
- WANGSTRAND, H., J. ERIKSSON, and I. ÖBORN (2007): Cadmium concentration in winter wheat as affected by nitrogen fertilization. Europ. J. Agron. 26:209–214
- ZENELI, L, NM. DACI, MN. DACI-AJVAZI, and H.PAÇARIZI (2008): Effects of pollution on lead and cadmium concentration and correlation with biochemical parameters in blood of human population nearby Kosovo thermo power plants. American J. Biochem.&Biotechnology 4: 273-276, 2008.
- ROELFS, A. P. (1985): Epidemiology in North America. p. 403-434 in A. P. Roelfs and W. R. Bushenell. eds. The Cereal Rusts Vol. II; Diseases, Distribution, Epidemiology and Control. Academic Press, Orlando.

- ROELFS, A. P. R. P. SINGH and E. E. SAARI (1992): Rust Diseases of Wheat:Concepts and methods of desease management. Mexico, D. F. CIMMYT. 81 pages.
- SAMBORSKI, D. J. and P. L. DYCK (1982): Enhancement of resistance to *Puccinia recondita tritici* by interaction of resistance genes in wheat. Canadian journal of Plant Pathology 4, 152-156.
- SCHACHERMAYR, G., C.FEUILLET, M.MESSMER, M. WINZELER, and B..KELLER, (1996): Development of molecular markkers linked to the Lr1, Lr9 and Lr24 leaf rust resistance genes in wheat. Proc of the 9<sup>th</sup> European and Mediterranean Cereal Rusts&Powdery Mildews Conference, Lunteren, The Netherlands: 188-191.
- SINGH, R. P. and R. A. MCINTOSH (1984): Complementary genes for resistance to *Puccinia recondita tritici* in Triticum aestivum II. Cytogenic studies. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 26:736-742.
- STATLER, G. D, J. D. MILLER and D. C. HIRSCH (1985): Wheat leaf rust in North Dakota during 1982-1984. Plant dis, 69:720-721.
- STUBBS, R. W, E. FUCHS, H. VECHT and E. J. W. BASSEST, (1974): The intenational survey of factors of virulence of *Puccinia striiformis* Westend. in 1969, 1970, 1971. Ned. Graan Centrum Tech. Ber. 21; 1-88.

## VARIJABILNOST SADRŽAJA KADMIJUMA U LISTOVIMA TETRAPLOIDNE I HEKSAPLOIDNE PŠENICE

Marija KRALJEVIĆ-BALALIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Novica MLADENOV<sup>2</sup>, Igor BALALIĆ<sup>2</sup> i Miroslav ZORIĆ<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Poljoprivredni fakultet, Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Novi Sad
 <sup>2</sup> Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo Novi Sad
 <sup>3</sup>Institut za ratarstvo, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Beograd-Zemun, Srbija

### $I \mathrel{z} v \mathrel{o} d$

Kadmijum (Cd) pripada grupim "teških metala". On je tosičan za biljke, životinje i ljude. Njegovo prisustvo u zemljištu potiče iz prirodnih i intropogenih izvora. Veći deo usvojenog Cd zadržava se u korenu, ali deo se translocira u nadzemne delove biljke i u seme. Cilj ovog istraživanja je bio da se ispita varijabilnost i divergentnost saržaja Cd u listovima kod 30 genotipova pšenice različitog nivoa ploidnosti. Ogled je izveden u toku dve vegetacione sezone. Sadržaj Cd (ppm) u listovima pšenice u fazi klasanja određen je primenom AAS. Ustanovljene su značajne razlike u srednjim vrednostima između ispitivanih genotipova. Tetraploidni genotipovi imali su veći sadržaj Cd u odnosu na heksapolidne. Glavni efekti (genotip i godina) i interakcija pokazali su visoko značajne razlike. Sadržaj Cd je najvećim delom bio uslovljen godinom ispitivanja (73%). Uticaj genotipa na sadržaj Cd iznosio je 16%, dok je interakcija genotip×godina iznosila 11%. Klaster genotipova sastojao se od četiri grupe. U grupi tri i četiri nalazili su se genotipovi sa najmanjim sadržajem Cd u listovima tj. najefikasniji u korišćenju Cd (Kalyan Sona, Partizanka i NS Rana 5). Oni bi se mogli koristititi kao roditelji u hibridizaciji na niži sadržaj Cd.

> Primljeno 17. XII 2008. Odobreno 10. II. 2009.