

# WORDS RELATED TO SOME ANNUAL EGUMES IN SLAVIC AND OTHER INDO-EUROPEAN LANGUAGES

Milena Mikić-Vragolić<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar Mikić<sup>2A</sup>, Branko Ćupina<sup>3</sup>, Vojislav Mihailović<sup>2A</sup>, Sanja Vasiljević<sup>2A</sup>, Đorđe Krstić<sup>3</sup>, Mirjana Vasić<sup>2B</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Matica srpska, Novi Sad, Serbia
 <sup>2</sup>Alnstitute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Forage
 Crops Department, Novi Sad, Serbia
 <sup>2</sup>Blnstitute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Vegetable Crops
 Department, Novi Sad, Serbia
 <sup>3</sup>University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field
 and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia

**Summary:** The Indo-European languages form the largest and the most widely distributed linguistic family in the world today. There is an extensive vocabulary common to all Slavic languages, including numerous words related to legumes. A large number of annual legumes were domesticated in the regions inhabited by Indo-European tribes, such as faba bean, pea, lentil, vetches and vetchlings. The Primitive Slavic \*bob\* was derived from the Primitive Indo-European root \*bhabhā, denoting something swelling. There are Slavic languages with two words for lentil, with one of them derived from the Primitive Indo-European root \*s(w)ok\*-, meaning juice, and another from the Primitive Indo-European root \*lent- and \*lent-s-. The Primitive Slavic \*gorch\* has its root in the Primitive Indo-European \*ghArs-, being one of the words denoting a leguminous plant. The Primitive Slavonic word for grain, \*zъrno, has its origin in the Primitive Indo-European \*g\*er[a]n- and \*grān-, meaning both grain and to mature.

**Key words:** annual legumes, Indo-European languages, Serbian language, Slavic languages.

#### Introduction

The Indo-European languages form the largest and the most widely distributed linguistic family in the world today, consisting of about ten branches with more than one hundred languages (Table 1). There are branches with extinct languages, such as Celtic, with Celtiberian and Gaulish, and Balto-Slavic, with Old Prussian and Polabian, as well as entire branches that left no living descendant, such as Anatolian and Tocharian. In the light of rich linguistic evidence, it is generally believed that the original language of the common ancestors of modern Indo-European nations, called Proto- or Primitive Indo-European, was conceived before 3,000 BC somewhere in Eastern Europe, most probably in present Ukraine, and that it split up into different branches and languages during the subsequent millennium (Crystal, 1991).

Table 1. Structure of the Indo-European linguistic family

Branch	Group	Subgroup	Language				
Anatolian	Carian, Hittite, Lui	uwian, Lycian, Lydian, Palaic					
Balto-Slavic	Baltic		Latvian (Lettish), Lithuanian, Old Prussian				
	Slavic	East Slavic	Belarusian, Russian, Rusyn, Ukrainian				
		South Slavic	Bosnian, Bulgarian, Croatian, Macedo- nian, Old Church Slavonic, Serbian, Slovenian				
		West Slavic	Czech, Kashubian, Lower Sorbian, Polabian, Polish, Pomeranian, Slovak, Slovincian, Upper Sorbian				
Celtic	Brythonic		Breton, Cornish, Welsh				
	Continental	Celtiberian, Gaulisi	h				
	Goidelic (Gaelic)		Irish Gaelic, Manx, Scottish Gaelic				
Germanic	East Germanic Burgundian, Gothic, Vandal						
	North Germanic		Danish, Faroese, Icelandic, Norwegian, Old Norse, Swedish				
	West Germanic	High German	High German, Yiddish				
		Low German	Afrikaans, Dutch, English, Flemish, Frisian, Low German				
Hellenic	Aeolic, Arcadian, Attic, Byzantine Greek, Doric, Ionic, Koiné		Modern Greek				
Indo-Iranian	Indic	Central Indic	Hindi, Hindustani, Urdu				
		Dardic	Kafiri, Kashmiri, Khowar, Kohistani, Romany (Gypsy), Shina				
		East Indic	Assamese, Bengali, Bihari, Oriya				
		Northwest Indic	Punjabi, Sindhi				
		Pahari	Central Pahari, Eastern Pahari (Nepa- lese), Western Pahari				
		Sanskrit	Pali, Prakrit, Vedic				
		South Indic	Marathi, Sinhalese				
		West Indic	Bhili, Gujarati, Rajasthani				
	Iranian	East Iranian, Old Persian, Avestan	Baluchi, <i>Khotanese</i> , <i>Khwarazmian</i> , Ossetic, Pushtu, <i>Sogdian</i> , Yaghnobi				
		West Iranian	Kurdish, Modern Persian (Farsi), Middle Persian (Pahlavi), Parthian, Tajiki				
Italic	Osco-Umbrian	Faliscan, Oscan, Ur	nbrian				
	Latin	Eastern Romance	Italian, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romanian, Sar- dinian				
		Western Romance	Catalan, French, Ladino, Portuguese, Provençal, Spanish				
Illyrian	Illyrian, Thracian		Albanian				
Phrygian		Phrygian, Old Armenian	Modern Armenian				
Tokharian		Tokharian A (Agnea	an), Tokharian B (Kuchean)				

extinct

Although the Slavic tribes in their original homeland in East Europe were scarcely discernible to both Greek and Roman civilisations, their remarkable expansion from the beginning of the fourth century AD made them a well-known race. Baltic and Slavic are considered one branch of the Indo-European linguistic family, with many similarities in vocabulary and grammar as a result of mutual influences and occupying adjacent areas from time immemorial. The first written Slavic language, in fact a dialect of the Salonika region from the ninth century, known as Old Church Slavic or Old Slavic, is regarded as being not far removed from Primitive Slavic, and as such it would have been readily understood in all Slavic-speaking lands. Although modern Slavic languages are considerably differentiated, their underlying genius is highly recognisable, and the difference between some of their literary languages is still relatively small (Lockwood, 1977). As in certain other branches of the Indo-European family, there exists an extensive vocabulary of numerous words common to all Slavic languages, retaining nearly the same forms despite the fifteen centuries long separation. Among these we find words related to animals and wild and cultivated plants, especially fruits, cereals and legumes.

Although soya bean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), originating in the Chinese-Japanese centre of diversity, and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), from the Central American and Mexican centre of diversity (Zeven & Zhukovsky, 1975), are exceptions, a large number of the most important annual legumes today were domesticated in the regions inhabited by Indo-European tribes: pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.), vetches (*Vicia* spp.) and vetchlings (*Lathyrus* spp.) in the Near Eastern and the Mediterranean centres of diversity, and faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in the Central Asian centre of diversity (Mihailović, 2006). Nearly all these species have been grown for centuries as both food and feed crops with diverse ways of utilisation, such as green forage, hay, forage meal, immature pods, grain and straw, as well as grazing and green manure (Mikić et al., 2006). This has ensured them a special place in modern trends, such as organic farming and sustainable agriculture (Ćupina et al., 2004).

## Words related to the genera and species of annual legumes

The Slavic words for faba bean are rather uniform (Table 2), meaning both faba bean and pod in certain languages, such as Russian and Ukrainian. The words for faba bean in Hungarian (bab) and Romanian (bob) prove that both peoples adopted this species from the Balkan Slavs (Skok, 1971). The Primitive Slavic \*bob $\tau$  shares the common Primitive Indo-European root \*bhabh $\bar{a}$ , designing literally something swelling (Hawtin & Hebblethwaite, 1983), with the Latin faba, the Old Prussian babo, the Albanian bath $\ddot{e}$  and the High German Bohne (Pokorny, 1959), as well as with the English bean, the Welsh ffa and the Breton fav, all denoting beans, and the Greek  $\phi \alpha \kappa \acute{o} \zeta$ , meaning lentil (Vasmer, 1953).

It is notable that there are Slavic languages which have two different words for lentil (Table 2). One of them, with forms such as couble o in Serbian, cauabiya in Belarusian or sok in Lower Sorbian, is derived from the Primitive Slavic \* $so\check{c}evica$  (Vasmer, 1958), being related to the Primitive Slavic \* $sok_b$  and the Primitive Indo-European \* $s(w)ok_b$ , both meaning juice (Nikolayev, 2007). Another word, resembled in the Old Church Slavic  $lja\check{s}ta$ , the Macedonian neka, the

Bulgarian *neuqa* and the Russian *naua* (Vasmer, 1955) is derived from the Primitive Slavic \**letjā* (Skok, 1972) and shares the same Primitive Indo-European root \**lent-* and \**lent-s-* (Nikolayev, 2007) as the Latin *lens* and the High German *Linse*, having been borrowed into Hungarian as *lencse*.

The words for pea in all modern Slavic languages have nearly the same form (Table 2), derived from the Primitive Slavic \* $gorch_{\mathcal{D}}$  (Skok, 1971), with Serbian, Croatian and Rusyn words ( $\bar{z}pauua\kappa$ , grašak and  $\bar{z}pauqo\kappa$ ) representing diminutive forms. Analogous words are found in Baltic languages, such as garšas and garšva for the species Archangelica officinalis in Lithuanian, and in Germanic languages, such as Giersch for the species Aegopodium podagraria in High German (Vasmer, 1953). All of these have their root in the Primitive Indo-European \*ghArs-, which was one of the words for a leguminous plant (Nikolayev, 2007). The Primitive Indo-European root meaning pea is \* $ereg^{uv}(h)o$ - and \* $erog^{uv}(h)o$ -, becoming  $Opo\betao\zeta$  in Greek, ervum in Latin, Erbse in High German and orbaind in Irish, either with the original or with a slightly changed meaning (Pokorny, 1959), as well as the Serbian ypos for the species Vicia ervilia (L.) Willd. The Latin word pisum is derived from pinsere, meaning to shell, which is the predecessor of the words for pea in modern Romance languages and by borrowing is also found in English (Marin & Tatić, 2004).

Language	Faba bean	Lentil	Pea	Vetch	Vetchlings
Belarusian	баб	сачав <b>і</b> ца	<i>ī</i> арох		
Bulgarian	бакла, боб	леща	$\bar{\imath}pax$	фий, глушина	секирче
Croatian	bob	leća	grašak	grahorica	graholika
Czech	bob	čočka, sočovice	hrách	vikev	hrachor
Kashubian	bób		groch		
Lower Sorbian	bob	sok	groch		
Macedonian	бакла	леќа	<i>īрашок</i>	уров, глушина	<i>īраворика</i>
Polabian			gorch		
Polish	bób	soczewica	groch	wyka	groszek
Russian	$\delta o \delta$	чечевица, ляча	<i>ī</i> орох	вика, горошќк	чина
Rusyn	$\delta o \delta$	ленча	<i>īращок</i>	лєдн <b>і</b> к	
Serbian	606	сочиво, лећа	грашак	<i>грахорица</i>	<i>ī</i> рахор
Slovak	bôb	šošovica	hrach	vika	hrachor
Slovenian	bob	leča	grah	grašica	grahor
Upper Sorbian	bob	soka	hroch	woka	hróšik
Ukrainian	б <b>і</b> б	сочевиця	<i>ī</i> орох	вика	

The native Slavic words for various species of vetches, excluding faba bean, are mostly derived from the words for pea, such as  $\bar{\imath}opom\ddot{e}\kappa$  in Russian and *grahorica* in Serbian and Croatian (Table 2). The alternative words, such as vika in Slovak and  $su\kappa a$  in Ukrainian and Russian, were introduced from the Old High German wichha through the Polish wyka (Vasmer, 1953). All of them, including the words for vetches in modern Romance and Celtic languages, such as gwyg in Welsh and gweg in Breton, were borrowed from the Latin vicia and vincire, meaning to catch, with a reference to tendrils (Marin & Tatić, 2004), that is, from

the Primitive Indo-European root \*weik- or \*weig- with the same meaning (Nikolayev, 2007). The Bulgarian  $\phi u \ddot{u}$ , which is very close with the Turkish fig, may have the same origin, but with certain peculiarities in its development. The Rusyn  $\Lambda e \partial \mu i \kappa$  is reflected in the Hungarian lednek, meaning vetchling.

Although the origin of uuha in Russian (Vasmer, 1958) and  $cac\overline{u}puua$  in Serbian (Stefanović, 1818), denoting Lathyrus sativus L., remain not completely explained, it is obvious that the Slavic peoples regarded vetchlings as close to pea as well, with the names as grahor in Serbian, hrachor in Czech and  $hr\acute{o}šik$  in Upper Sorbian (Table 2). The Latin lathyrus is derived from the Greek  $\lambda\alpha\theta ov\rho\iota$ , generally accepted as a combination of two words with a meaning of very strong, due to its supposed aphrodisiac properties (Gligić, 1954), and with a possible connection with the Latin lens.

## Words related to the organs and products of annual legumes

Apart from the same word designing faba bean, there are Slavic words for pod, as one of the most prominent morphological characteristics in legumes, such as *mahuna* in Serbian and Croatian, derived from  $meh_{\bar{\nu}}$  (Skok, 1972), meaning bag, and aycka in Russian, meaning membrane and to shell (Vasmer, 1955).

All Slavonic words for *seed*, such as *s(j)eme* in Serbian and Croatian, *céms* in Belarusian and *sema* in Polabian, originate from the Primitive Slavonic \*seme (Vasmer, 1955), having the Primitive Indo-European root \*sey-, as *semen* in Latin or \*sat- in Primitive Celtic (Nikolayev, 2007).

Generally, the word for forage is rather similar in all Slavonic languages, such as  $kr \circ ma$  in Old Church Slavonic, krma in Serbian and Croatian,  $\kappa op M$  in Russian and kjarm in Lower Sorbian, and is related to the Celtic words for beer, such as the Gaulish  $\kappa \sigma v \rho \mu$  and the Irish coirm and cuirm (Vasmer, 1953), as well as the Welsh cwrw and the Breton koref, sharing the Primitive Indo-European root \*k'ore- and \*k're-, meaning to feed (Nikolayev, 2007).

The Primitive Slavonic word for grain is \* $z_{\overline{b}}rno$  (Skok, 1973), with the forms such as zrno in Serbian and Croatian and sepho in Russian (Vasmer, 1953), having the same origin as the Latin granum, the Old Irish gran, the Welsh grawn, the Breton greun, and the High German Korn in the Primitive Indo-European \* $g^er[a]n$ - and \*gran- (Nikolayev, 2007), meaning both grain and to mature (Pokorny, 1959). The Lithuanian form zirnis, denoting pea, was introduced with the same meaning to the Finno-Ugric languages, such as hernes in Estonian and herne in Finnish.

The Serbian and Croatian word *boranija*, designing immature pods of grain legumes, especially of *Phaseolus* beans, was introduced through the Turkish word *borani* and *burani* from the Arabic  $b\bar{u}r\bar{a}niyy\ddot{a}$ , with the same meaning (Vujaklija, 1980).

Having rather similar derivatives in all Slavic languages, such as *sjano* in Old Church Slavonic, s(ij)eno in Serbian and Croatian,  $cì\mu o$  in Ukrainian and *sano* in Kashubian (Vasmer, 1955), the Primitive Slavonic word for hay was \*sekno, akin to \*sienas\* in Lithuanian, \*fenum\* in Latin and \*saka\* in Old Indian, originating from the Primitive Indo-European \* $k \ensuremath{\overline{e}} k^w$ - (Nikolayev, 2007).

In the form of \*k'alam- (Nikolayev, 2007), the Primitive Indo-European root meaning straw produced the Primitive Slavonic \*sólmā, with its derivatives in

modern Slavonic languages, such as *slama* in Old Church Slavonic, Serbian, Croatian and Slovak and conoma in Belarusian (Vasmer, 1955), as well as the Latvian  $s\tilde{a}lms$ , the Greek καλαμοζ, the Latin culmus, with a borrowing and the Tocharian *kulmämts* (Pokorny, 1959).

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to express their sincerest gratitude to Dr. Rhisiart Hincks of Adran y Gymraeg (Department of Welsh), Prifysgol Cymru (University of Wales), Aberystwyth, Cymru (Wales), United Kingdom, for reading this paper before publication.

#### References

- Crystal, D. (1991): The Cambridge Encyclopaedia of Language, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 472.
- Oligić, V. (1954): Etimološki botanički rečnik, Veselin Masleša, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 258.
- Hawtin, G. C., Hebblethwaite, P. D. (1983): Background and history of faba bean production. In: Hebblethwaite, P. D. (ed.) Faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*), Butterworths, London, United Kingdom, 3-22.
- Lockwood, W. B. (1977): Indo-European Philology, Historical and Comparative, Hutchinson, London, United Kingdom, 193.
- Marin, P., Tatić, B. (2004): Etimološki rečnik naziva rodova i vrsta vaskularne flore Evrope, NNK internacional, Belgrade, Serbia, 230.
- Mihailović, V., Mikić, A., Vasiljević, Sanja, Ćupina, B., Krstić, Đ., Milić, D., Katić, S., Vasić, Mirjana (2006): A collection of annual legumes at its beginnings. Proceedings of the II International Symposium of Ecologists of the Republic of Montenegro, Kotor, Montenegro, 20-24 September 2006, 431-441.
- Nikolayev, S. L. (2007): Indo-European Etymology, The Tower of Babel, http://starling.rinet.ru
- Pokorny, J. (1959): Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch, 1, Francke, Bern, Switzerland, 1183.
- Skok, P. (1971): Etimologijski rječnik hrvatskoga ili srpskoga jezika, 1 (A J), Jugoslavenska akademija znanosti i umjetnosti, Zagreb, Croatia, 788.
- Skok, P. (1972): Etimologijski rječnik hrvatskoga ili srpskoga jezika, 2 (K Poni1), Jugoslavenska akademija znanosti i umjetnosti, Zagreb, Croatia, 700.
- Skok, P. (1973): Etimologijski rječnik hrvatskoga ili srpskoga jezika, 3 (poni2 Ž), Jugoslavenska akademija znanosti i umjetnosti, Zagreb, Croatia, 703.
- Stefanović, V. (1818): Srpski rječnik, istolkovan njemačkim i latinskim rječma. P. P., Armeniern, Wien, Austria, 928.
- Vasmer, M. (1953): Russisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, 1 (A K), Carl Winters Universitätsverlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 712.
- Vasmer, M. (1955): Russisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, 2 (L Ssuda), Carl Winters Universitätsverlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 712.
- Vasmer, M. (1958): Russisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, 3 (Sta Y), Carl Winters Universitätsverlag, Heidelberg, 697.
- Vujaklija, M. (1980): Leksikon stranih reči i izraza, Prosveta, Belgrade, Serbia.
- Zeven, A. C., Zhukovsky, P. M. (1975): Dictionary of Cultivated Plants and Their Centres of Diversity, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, 219.