

UDC 633.16:551.506.8

49

Interrelationships between Phenology and Grain Yield of Winter Barley in Semiarid Environment

- Original scientific paper -

Novo PRŽULJ¹, Vojislava MOMČILOVIĆ¹, Jovan TODOROVIĆ² and Desimir KNEŽEVIĆ³ ¹Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad ²Faculty of Agriculture, Banja Luka ³Faculty of Agriculture Lešak, University of Priština, Lešak

Abstract: Each yield component of barley is determined by developmental events during specific phenological phases. The number of spikes per unit area is established from tillering to jointing. The number of kernels per spike is established from jointing, i.e., it starts from double-ridge of apical meristem development and sets shortly after anthesis. Duration and rate of grain filling (GF) determines kernel weight. The objectives of this study were to examine the variation and relationships among phenology and yield components.

Twenty-four winter barley cultivars were used in this investigation. The stage of leaf development of the main culm was referenced to the Haun scale. All phenological measurements were assessed using growing degree days (GDD) with a base temperature of 0°C. The relationship between kernel weight and GDD accumulated from anthesis for each plot was determined by fitting the quadratic polynomial.

The duration from planting to flag leaf elongation stage was 1223 GDD across tworowed varieties and 1304 GDD across six-rowed varieties. The variety NS 519 had the shortest GF period (648 GDD), the variety Marinka the longest (940 GDD). GF rate was mainly determined by genotype (44.3% of total variation) and GxY interaction (31.1% of total variation). GF rate across two-rowed varieties was rather higher (7.251 mg 100 GDD⁻¹) than across six-rowed varieties (6.395 mg 100 GDD⁻¹). The duration of the vegetative and generative phases should be balanced, since neither too early nor too late a flowering will bring maximum yields. Our results show that the pre-heading period varied more than the GF period in the tested varieties. Selection for shorter vegetative period and longer GF period is recommended in the development of varieties for semiarid conditions of growing.

Key words: Grain filling, grain yield, components phenology, vegetative period, winter barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L).

Introduction

Each yield component of barley is determined by developmental events occurring during specific phenological phases. Number of spikes per unit area is establishing from tillering to jointing. In winter barley, tillering typically starts in the fall and it finishes in the spring. Number of tillers depends on genetic factors, i.e., tillering capacity, ecological factors, which ensure the realization of tillering capacity, and the interaction of these two factors, Davidson and Chevalier, 1990. Number of kernels per spike, the second most important yield component, sets in the period from double-ridge stage of apical meristem till shortly after anthesis, Kirby and Applevard, 1981. In this period, rate and duration of spikelet development and floret generation as well as the effectiveness of pollination define the final number of kernels. Generally, spikes develop between single ridge stage and flag leaf elongation. Kernel weight is the third most important yield component. During first two weeks after anthesis, the number of kernel cells is determined, Brocklehurst, 1977 and, after that, kernel weight is determined by the duration and rate of grain filling (GF), Wiegand and Cuellar, 1981. Plant genotype and environment, fist of all temperature and moisture, control the process of kernel filling, Sofield et al., 1977.

According to our knowledge, limited data are available about relationships between phenology and yield components of winter barley in semiarid regions of southeastern Europe. The objectives of this study were to examine (i) the variation of phenology and yield components and (ii) the relationships between growth and yield components.

Material and Methods

Twenty-four winter barley cultivars, twelve two-rowed and twelve sixrowed, differing in origin, duration of vegetative and generative phases and other physiological traits were used in this investigation. The trials consisted of two identical blocks. The experimental design of each block was randomized complete block in three replicates. Each plot consisted of six rows 15 cm apart and 5m long (5m²). Seedling dates were October 4, 1999, October 3, 2000, and October 8, 2001. The sowing rates were 200 seeds m⁻² for the six-rowed and 250 for the two-rowed cultivars. Shortly after emergence, five random plants in the second row, 30 cm away from plot border, were tagged for observation during the growing season. The stage of leaf development of the main culm was referenced to the Haun scale, *Haun*, 1973, at intervals of 3-4 days from emergence to heading. The Haun scale is based on the number of leaves and sequence of leaf insertion on the main stem. All phenological measurements were assessed using growing degree days (GDD) with the base temperature of 0°C.

At anthesis, 60 main spikes from each plot of the first trial that flowered at the same day were tagged. Samples of five tagged spikes/plant were collected from 50 J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58 each plot at 3-4 days intervals beginning five days after anthesis till harvest maturity for determination GF rate and above ground dry matter accumulation. The relationship between kernel weight and GDD accumulated from anthesis to physiological maturity was determined for each plot by fitting the quadratic polynomial $W=a+bt+ct^2$, where W is kernel dry weight, t is time (GDD) from anthesis and a, b, c are regression coefficients, *Pržulj*, 2001.

The second trials was used for the determination of grain yield components in each year and plot, i.e., number of spikes m⁻², number of kernels spike-1, kernel weight spike-1, and grain yield. Analysis of variance for each trait was conducted by using MSTAT-C program. Variance components were estimated using expected mean squares to compare the relative magnitude of main effect and interaction variances, *Comstock* and *Moll*, 1963. Simple correlation coefficients were calculated for the relationships between vegetative period and grain yield components and GF period and grain yield components.

Results and Discussion

Durations of periods from planting to flag leaf elongation stage and from planting to heading were determined mainly by genotype of the tested varieties, although year and GxY interaction also significantly affected these phenological phases (Table 1). Genotype controlled the largest part of the variation, as confirmed by high heritability (0.90). The duration from planting to flag leaf elongation stage was 1223 GDD across the two-rowed varieties and 1304 GDD across the six-rowed varieties (from Table 2). The duration from planting to heading ranged from 1337 GDD, in the two-rowed barley variety NS 331, to 1554 GDD, in the six-rowed variety Gerbel (Table 2). Across years and varieties, the two-rowed type of barley accumulated during this period 87 GDD less than the six-rowed type (Table 1). A general conclusion can be drawn that the two-rowed varieties from Novi Sad, i.e., from the place where the experiment was conducted, had shorter periods from planting to flag leaf elongation and planting to heading than the two-rowed barley varieties from Germany, France, England, and Holland which headed 3 to 10 days later. The six-rowed varieties also exhibited significant differences in the duration of vegetative period but these differences amounted to a few days only and they were not consistent in relation to the geographic origin of the varieties.

Duration of GF stage depended of year, variety and specific behavior of the varieties in the tested years (P<0.01). The interaction genotype x year determined the main part of variation (50.6%) of this trait. The variety NS 519 had the shortest GF period (648 GDD), the variety Marinka the longest (940 GDD). There was no consistency between variety origin and GF duration, i.e., among both Serbian and foreign varieties there were genotypes with either short or long GF periods. GF rate was mainly determined by genotype (44.3% of total variation) and by GxY interaction (31.1% of total variation) (Table 1). GF rate across the two-rowed *J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke* 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58 51

Table 2. Effect of Cultivar and Year on Duration of Period From Planting to Flag Leaf Appearance, Planting to Heading, Flag Leaf Appearance to Heading, Grain Filling (GF) Duration, Grain Filling Rate (mg 100GDD⁻¹), Number of Spikes per m², Number of Kernels per Spike, Kernel Weight per Spike, and Grain Yield (kg ha¹) in 24 Winter Barley Cultivars across Three Years

Uticaj sorte i godine na dužinu perioda od setve do pojave zaliska, setve do klasanja, pojave zaliska do klasanja, dužina nalivanja zrna, brzina nalivanja zrna, broj klasova po m^2 , broj zrna po klasu, težina zrna po klasu i prinos zrna kod 24 sorte ozimog ječma tokom tri godine

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cultivar (origin	Planting to flag	Planting to	GF duration	GF rate (mg 100)		Kernels	Kernel wt.	Grain yield
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	and spike	leaf	heading	Dužina	(GDD ⁻¹)	Spikes		spike ⁻²	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			klasanja	zma		Klas/m ²	-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		zaliska			zma				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-1-	1100	1051	(())	7.270	57 0	25		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								2.70	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	1238	1460	665	6.862	343	50	2.56	6172
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	1335	1517	763	6.175	368	51	2.32	6622
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	1302	1460	656	6.561	437	55	2.69	5021
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19		1439	702	6.376	430	51	2.18	5861
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	1348	1554	826	5.459	350	58	2.63	6277
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	1320	1499	855	5.728	421	55	2.44	8367
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	1328	1498	675	6.501	437	54	2.68	7994
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	1326	1523	690	5.874	451	55	2.32	3989
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	1288	1460	710	6.361	385	63	2.84	7539
2000123514237896.448640452.1594132001129514656836.851452361.8654042002126014766767.166438391.884565LSD ₀₀₅ 7860.065171.10.09241	LSD _{0.05}	19	22	16	0.184	42	3.1	0.25	680
2000123514237896.448640452.1594132001129514656836.851452361.8654042002126014766767.166438391.884565LSD ₀₀₅ 7860.065171.10.09241	LSD _{0.01}	25	30	21	0.243	62	4.1	0.33	898
2002126014766767.166438391.884565LSD_{0.05}7860.065171.10.09241			1423	789	6.448	640	45	2.15	9413
2002126014766767.166438391.884565LSD_{0.05}7860.065171.10.09241				683			36		
LSD _{0.05} 7 8 6 0.065 17 1.1 0.09 241									

J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58

53

*1) NS 183 (SMN, 2R), 2) NS 293 (SMN, 2R), 3) NS 331 (SMN, 2R), 4) NS 519 (SMN, 2R), 5) Sonate (DEU, 2R), 6) Hanna (DEU, 2R), 7) Marylin (DEU, 2R), 8) Melusine (FRA, 2R), 9) Belivia (FRA, 2R), 10) Waveney (GBR, 2R), 11) Mallard (GBR, 2R), 12) Marinka (NLD, 2R), 13) NS 27 (SMN, 6R), 14) NS 313 (SMN, 6R), 15) Galeb (SMN, 6R), 16) NS 717 (SMN, 6R), 17) Katja (DEU, 6R), 18) Majo (DEU, 6R), 19) Robur (FRA, 6R), 20) Gerbel (FRA, 6R), 21) Kozir (RUS, 6R), 22) Michailo (RUS, 6R), 23)Kearney (USA, 6R), 24) Dundy (RUS, 6R)

varieties was higher (7.251 mg 100 GDD-1) than across the six-rowed varieties (6.395 mg 100 GDD⁻¹). The negative correlation between the number of kernels per spike and GF rate confirms this finding (Table 3). Sonate and NS 27 had the fastest GF rates within the two-rowed and six-rowed varieties, respectively. The slowest GF rates were found in Marinka among the two-rowed varieties and Kearney among the six-rowed varieties. GF duration was under strong ecological influence ($h^2=0.55$) but GF rate was under strong genetic influence (h²=0.80). Van Sanford, 1985, Campbell et al., 1990, Hunt et al., 1991, found that genetic factors determine to a larger extent the rate of GF while environmental factors, first of all temperature, determine to a large extent the duration of GF. Nass and Reiser, 1975, Gebeyehou et al., 1982, and Wong and Baker, 1986 reported a positive correlation between an effective filling period and grain yield, but van Sanford, 1985, and Darroch and Baker, 1990, pointed out that high kernel weight is associated with a high rate of GF. In a previous study, Pržuli, 2001, found that in semiarid conditions the environment favors a higher rate and shorter duration of GF, i.e., cultivars with faster rate and shorter duration of GF produce higher yields. The duration of the vegetative and generative phases should be balanced, since neither too early nor too late a flowering will bring maximum yields. Choosing genotypes with a high GF rate, whose developmental dynamics is suitable for particular growing conditions, represents a safe way to develop stable, adaptable and high-yielding cultivars. A negative correlation exists between GF duration and rate which have an opposite effect on grain yield.

Number of spikes m⁻² was influenced by all three factors approximately to the same measure, while the other two yield components were mainly determined by the genotype, as confirmed by a high heritability value (Table 1). Close to 80% of grain yield variability was due to ecological factors, as confirmed by a rather low heritability value. The two-rowed varieties had a higher number of spikes m⁻², a lower number of kernels spike⁻¹ and a lower kernel weight spike⁻¹ than the six-rowed varieties. Number of spikes m⁻² was negatively correlated with the periods from planting to flag leaf elongation and from planting to heading. This apparently puzzling statement could be explained by the ability of barley to self-regulate stand density. Indeed, varieties with a long period from planting to heading develop a large number of tillers and a large number of kernels per spike in the course of fall and spring. In 2000 and 2002, the droughts that occurred after tillering reduced the number of spikes that had already been formed, which caused a situation that the later-heading varieties had a lower spike number m⁻² than the early-heading ones.

54

J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58

55

56

This explains the negative correlation between the length of vegetative period and grain yield. All three yield components, spikes m⁻², kernels spike⁻¹, and kernel weight spike⁻¹, were in positive correlation with grain yield (Table 3).

Our results showed that the tested varieties differed more in the duration of the pre-heading period than in the duration of the GF period. Selection for reduced vegetative period and increased GF period is recommended in the development of varieties for semiarid conditions of growing.

References

- Brocklehurst, P.A. (1977): Factors controlling grain weight in wheat. Natura 266: 348-349.
- Campbell, C.A., H.W. Cutfort, F. Selles, R.M. Depauw and J.M. Clarke (1990): Dynamics of dry matter, N. and P accumulation in the developing kernels of four spring wheat cultivars for irrigation and dryland. Can. J. Plant Sci. 70: 1043-1056.
- *Comsttock, R.E.* and *R.H. Moll* (1963): Genotype-environmental interactions. *In*: W.D. Hanson and H.F. Robinson (Eds.), Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding, pp 164-196, National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council: Washington, DC.
- *Darroch, B.A.* and *R.J. Baker* (1990): Grain filling in three spring wheat genotypes: Statistical analysis. Crop Sci. 30: 525-529.
- *Davidson, D.J.* and *P.M. Chevalier* (1990): Preanthesis tiller mortality in spring wheat. Crop Sci. 30: 882-836.
- *Gebeyehou, G., D.R. Knott* and *R.J. Baker* (1982): Rate and duration of grain filling in durum wheat cultivars. Crop Sci. 22: 337-340.
- Haun, J.R. (1973): Visual quantification of wheat development. Agron. J. 65: 116-119.
- *Hunt, L.A., G. Van der Poorten* and *S. Pararajasingham* (1991): Postanthesis temperature effects and rate of grain filling in some winter and spring wheats. Can. J. Plant Sci. 71: 609-617.
- *Kirby, E.J.M.* and *M.Appleyard* (1981): Cereal development guide. Cereal Unit, National Agricultural Centre, England.
- *MSTAT-C* (1991): A software program for the design, management, and analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigen State University, USA.
- *Nass, H.G.* and *B. Reiser* (1975): Grain filling period and grain yield relationships in spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. 55: 673-678.
- *Pržulj, N.* (2001): Cultivar and year effect on grain filling of winter barley. Plant Beeding and Seed Science 45:2: 45-58.
- *Sofield, I., L.T. Evans, M.G. Cook* and *I.W. Wardlaw* (1977): Factors influencing the rate and duration of grain filling in wheat. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 4: 787-797.

- *Van Sanford, D.A.* (1985): Variation in kernel growth characters among soft red winter wheats. Crop Sci. 25: 626-630.
- *Wiegand, C.L.* and *J.A. Cuellar* (1981): Duration of grain filling and kernel weight of wheat as affected by temperature. Crop Sci, 21: 95-101.
- *Wong, L.S.L.* and *R.J. Baker* (1986): Developmental patterns in five spring wheat genotypes varying in time to maturity. Crop Sci. 26: 1167-1170.

*

Received: 10/09/2006 Accepted: 1210/2006

J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58

57

UDK 633.16:551.506.8

Odnos fenoloških faza i prinosa zrna kod ozimog ječma u semiaridnim uslovima

- Originalni naučni rad -

Novo PRŽULJ¹, Vojislava MOMČILOVIĆ¹, Jovan TODOROVIĆ² i Desimir KNEŽEVIĆ³ ¹Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, Novi Sad ²Poljoprivredni fakultet, Banja Luka ³Poljoprivredni fakultet Lešak, Univerzitet u Prištini, Lešak

Rezime

Komponente prinosa ječma formiraju se u određenim fenološkim fazama: broj klasova tokom bokorenja, broj zrna po klasu tokom vlatanja pa do završetka cvetanja, a masa zrna od formiranja zrna do fiziološke zrelosti. Cilj ovog istraživanja bio je da se utvrdi odnos između fenoloških faza i komponenti prinosa kod 24 sorte ozimog ječma. Faze razvoja lista glavnog stable određene su na osnovu skale po Haun-u. Kao vremenska skala kod fenoloških opažanja korišćena je suma temperatura većih od 0°C (GDD). Odnos između mase zrna i sume temperature u toku perioda rasta određen je pomoću kvadratne jednačine.

Prosečna suma aktivnih temperatura od nicanja do pojave lista zastavičara bila je 1223 GDD kod dvoredih sorti i 1304 GDD kod šestoredih sorti ječma. Sorta NS 519 imala je najkraći (648 GDD) a sorta Marinka najduži period nalivanja zrna (940 GDD). Period nalivanja zrna uglavnom je zavisio od sorte (44,3% od ukupne varijanse) i interakcije GxY (31,1% od ukupne varijanse). Intenzitet nalivanje zrna kod dvoredih sorti (7.251 mg 100 GDD⁻¹) bio je značajno veći nego kod šestoredih sorti (6,395 mg 100 GDD⁻¹). Kako bi se ostvario maksimalan prinos i kvalitet, trajanje vegetativne faze, tokom koje se formira broj klasova i zrna, i generativne faze, tokom koje se formira veličina i masa zrna, treba da bude uravnotežen. Naši rezultati su pokazali da kod ječma u semiaridnim uslovima dužina perioda do klasanja varira više nego dužina perioda nalivanja zrna. Selekcija sorti sa kraćim vegetativnim periodom i dužim periodom nalivanja zrna preporučuje se prilikom izbora sorti namenjenih za gajenje u semiaridnim uslovima.

Primljeno: 10.09.2006. Odobreno: 12.10.2006.

Adresa autora: Novo PRŽULJ Naučni institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo Maksima Gorkog 30 21000 Novi Sad Srbija E-mail: przulj@ifvcns.ns.ac.yu 58 J. Sci. Agric. Research/Arh. poljopr. nauke 67, 240 (2006/4), 49-58