DOI: 10.46909/cerce-2020-018

Available online: www.uaiasi.ro/CERCET\_AGROMOLD/ Print ISSN 0379-5837: Electronic ISSN 2067-1865 **Original Article** 

Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova Vol. LIII, No. 2 (182) / 2020: 207-216

# EFFECT OF LEVENTIS FOUNDATION YOUTH AGRICULTURAL EMPOWERMENT PROGRAMME ON TRAINEES' FOOD OUTPUT IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

K.A. ADELOYE<sup>1,\*</sup>, A.O. AJAYI<sup>1</sup>, A.O. SOTOMI<sup>1</sup>

\*E-mail: kolaadeloye@oauife.edu.ng

Received: Apr. 06, 2020. Revised: June 15, 2020. Accepted: June 23, 2020. Published online: July 18, 2020

ABSTRACT. The study assessed the effect of Leventis Foundation Youth Agricultural Empowerment Programme (LFYAEP) on trainees' food output in Osun State, Nigeria. A total of 248 extrainees of LFYAEP were selected and interviewed in the study area through a systematic random sampling technique using list of Leventis Foundation Agricultural School, Ilesa ex-trainees between the year 2010 and 2017 as sample frame. Data collected were presented using appropriate descriptive and inferential statistics. The mean age of the respondents was 30.0±6.2 and their farming experience was 7.2±4.4. About 74.7 and 77.6% got information about the empowerment through their community leaders and media respectively; also, they all participated to better their lot in life. In addition, there were high knowledge and skill proficiency in all the farm enterprises engaged in after the empowerment. Furthermore, the respondents recorded increased food output in all the farm enterprises engaged in after empowerment. The findings revealed that at p< 0.05, respondents' reasons for participation ( $\chi^2 = 31.612$ ) had significant association with their food output. Furthermore, at p< 0.05,farming experience (r = 0.483), age (r = 0.322), years of formal education (r = 0.153), knowledge possessed (r = 0.148) and skill proficiency (r = 0.221) of respondents had significant relationship with their food output. Finally, there was significant difference between food output before and after the empowerment (F = 65.59). The study concludes that there was a significant improvement in the quantity and quality of food produced by the exafter the empowerment trainees programme. It was recommended that similar empowerment should be put in to enhance place for all vouth productivity.

**Keywords:** food security; farm management; ex-trainees.

### INTRODUCTION

The youth, who are leaders of tomorrow, full of strengths should be encouraged to take to agricultural production. This could be achieved by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

empowering the youth in sustainable methods of agricultural production, which are devoid of drudgery, with early gratification and commensurable income. The emphasis is placed on youth empowerment for household food security in order to tap the advantage of their numerical strength.

Aiavi (2001) noted that the success of any youth targeted agricultural programme will depend on the interest of the youth, the prevailing norms and values of the people. Therefore, the government, the non-governmental organizations, academics and the developmental agencies have a role to play in this matter. This was what prompted the establishment Agricultural Empowerment by the Leventis Foundation

### Leventis Foundation Agricultural Empowerment Programme (LFAEP)

Leventis Foundation Youth Agricultural **Empowerment** Programme commenced in 1988 with the inauguration of two agricultural training schools one at Ilesa in Osun State and another at Dogondawa in Kaduna State. In 1990, another school was established in Panda, Kano State. Ιn 2008 the foundation collaboration with the government established additional two schools at Yaba in the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) and Tumu, in Gombe State. In 2009, another school was established Ido-Ani. Ondo State in in collaboration with the state government. The main objective of this programme is to train young Nigerian small scale farmers in more efficient farm management practices, thereby encouraging them to take up farming as a profession. To meet this objective, the programme lay more emphasis on training for skill acquisition by devoting 80% of the training for practical while theory takes only 20% of the curriculum.

The programme is a one year intensive training (January to December) and each trainee is exposed to the following curriculum:

- 1. Crops and agroforestry: trainees are exposed to the production of arable crops such as maize (early and late), soybean, yam, pepper, oil palm seedling raising, cocoa seedling raising, beekeeping, snails rearing and grasscutter rearing.
- 2. Livestock and fisheries: trainees are exposed to various skills necessary in poultry, such as broiler production, pullet (egg-laying) rearing and cockerel production. Others are pig, rabbit aid fish production.
- 3. Agricultural engineering: trainees are taught on how to maintain farm tools, carry out construction and repairs of some farm buildings.
- 4. Rural Enterprise development: trainees are taught on how to keep farm records, process some farm products for storage such as fruits into fruit juice and jam, pepper into tomato without using anv chemical preservative. To equip the trainees for income season generating enterprises, the trainees are also trained on and dye textile tie production.

### LEVENTIS FOUNDATION PROGRAMME ON TRAINEES' FOOD OUTPUT IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

From the modest 24 all male enrolment in 1988, Leventis Foundation agricultural school Ilesa has trained 2,445 both male and female trainees up to 2017 (LFN, 2017). The general administration and supervision of these schools are the responsibility of the Head office of the foundation in Lagos.

The Foundation has been in operation to train and give micro credit assistance to interested youth to establish farms and value added enterprises for more than two decades, the impact of this organization needs to be studied. Adeloye (2004) and Alabi et al. (2017) in their separate on Leventis Foundation studies agricultural programme, evaluated the programme on the basis of training and infrastructural adequacy, but none of these studies made any reference to the change in knowledge, skill and attitude of the participants, which is significant in assessing food security and youth empowerment programme, like that of the Leventis Foundation, hence the focus of this work.

### Objective of the study

The main objective of the study is to investigate the effect of Leventis Foundation youth agricultural empowerment programme on trainees' food output in Osun State, Nigeria. The specific objectives of the study are to: describe the socioeconomic characteristics of Leventis Foundation ex-trainees in the study area; determine ex-trainees level of knowledge and skills in farming enterprises; determine the output of

the ex-trainees in quantity and quality before and after the empowerment programme in the study area.

### Hypotheses of the study

There is no significant relationship between selected socioeconomic characteristics of Leventis Foundation ex-trainees and food output.

There is no significant relationship between the knowledge possessed, skill practiced by the extrainees and their food output.

There is no significant difference between the ex-trainees food output before and after the empowerment programme.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study area was Osun State Nigeria, created on 27th August, 1991, situated in the Southwestern region of the country and lies within the co-ordinates of latitude 7°30'N of the equator and longitude 4°30'E of the Greenwich Meridian. The list of Leventis Foundation Agricultural School, Ilesa ex-trainees between the year 2010 and 2017 served as sample frame. A systematic random sampling technique with a random start at an interval of two was used to select thirty percent of ex-trainees from each selected year. A number of 248 respondents were selected for the exercise. Descriptive statistical techniques, such as frequency counts, percentages, mean, weighted mean scores pie chart and standard deviation, were used to describe the data. The relationship between the dependent variable (trainees food output) and the independent variables were determined by the use of chi-square analysis, Pearson product moment correlation (PPMC) and analysis of variance (ANOVA).

### Measurement of variable Dependent variable

The dependent variable was conceptualized as the food output of trainees of Leventis Foundation vouth agricultural empowerment programme. The food output of the ex-trainees after the empowerment programme in various agricultural enterprises, such as crop, poultry, piggery, fishery and beekeeping, were given in kilogramme for crop and fishery, number for poultry and piggery and liter for bee keeping. These outputs were then converted to monetary value, summation of which was used as the food output of these ex-trainees per annum.

### Ex-trainee's knowledge level

This was measured by using a knowledge checklist selected on management practices in crop production. pig production, poultry production and beekeeping. Score 1 for each of the knowledge statement answered correctly and 0 for wrongly answered. Maximum of 11points for crop, 9 points for poultry, 7 points for pig production, and 7 points beekeeping. Summation of all respondents score was calculated and the grand mean was used to categorize the respondents, those with score above the grand mean as high and those below the grand mean as low.

#### Ex-trainee's skill proficiency

This was measured by listing skills in crop production, poultry production, pig production and bee- keeping. The extrainees were asked to indicate their proficiency level on each skill on 5 scale level and were scored as follows: very much = 4, much = 3, average = 2, little = 1 and nothing = 0. The score was used to categorise the ex - trainees skill proficiency on each enterprise as high,

medium and low using mean score +/\_ standard deviation.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Socioeconomic characteristics of ex-trainees

Results in Table 1 reveals that the mean age of the respondents was 30.0, with standard deviation of 6.2. This implies that the respondents comprised people of active minds and bodies, which might be versatile in making use of knowledge and skills acquires through the programme. Majority (93.1%) of them was male, results indicates that outreaches were gender sensitive. The table also shows that the respondents interviewed had an average farming experience of 7.2, with standard deviation of 4.4. Since very few (0.4%) were not having years of formal education less than 10; it implies that majority were literate and it is in conformity with LFN (2017) that reported that the minimum requirement for academic the programme was Junior Secondary School Certificate. In addition, the result indicates that information about the programme in the study area was mainly through media (75.6%),community leaders (74.7%)personnel of the programme (71.4%). Furthermore. the respondents participated in the programme to better their lot in life: this is a departure from previous reasons for participating in development outreaches (mere interest and leisure). as reported by Olujide and Adeogun

(2006). Majority (72.6) of the respondents produce for consumption

and sale, with average farm size of 1.6±0.3 hectares.

Table 1 - Socio-economic characteristics of respondents (n=248)

Variables	Percentages	
Age (years)		
Below 24	8.1	Mean = 30.0
24-31	44.7	Standard deviation = 6.2
Above 32	47.2	_
Farming experience (years)		
Below 6	46.8	Mean = 7.2
6-12	42.0	Standard deviation = 4.4
Above 12	14.2	
Sex		
Male	93.1	
Female	6.9	
Years of formal education		
Below 10	0.4	Mean = 12.5
10-12	84.3	Standard deviation = 1.4
Above 12	15.3	
*Sources of information abo	ut the progran	nme
programme's personnel	71.4	
Neighbours/ Friends	59.8	
Community leaders	74.7	
Media	75.6	
*Reasons for participation in	n the programn	ne
To make ends meet	75.0	
Personal interest	60.4	
For leisure	25.0	
To better my lot in life	100.0	
Farm size (hectares)		
Below 5	24.8	Mean = 1.6
5-10	51.2	Standard deviation = 0.3
Above 10	24.0	

Source: Field survey, 2018; \*Multiple responses

### Ex-trainees' knowledge on farm enterprises

Result in *Table 2* further revealed that majority (91%) of the ex-trainees have high knowledge in crop production management practices, it also show that majority (90.7%) of the ex-trainees have high knowledge level in poultry production. In addition, it shows that majority (87.5) of the ex-trainees

exhibit high knowledge level in pig production. Furthermore, it show that majority of the ex-trainees (70.6%) possessed high knowledge level in bee keeping, while 29.4% have low knowledge level. The high knowledge level in all the farm enterprise may be attributed to the prior training that these ex-trainees have been exposed to. This finding corroborates that of Alabi *et al.* (2017), who reported that

#### K.A. ADELOYE, A.O. AJAYI, A.O. SOTOMI

ex-trainees of LFAEP ranked highest crop production as the leading

enterprise they were exposed to during their training.

Table 2 - Distribution of the ex-trainees' knowledge on farm enterprises by categories (n=248)

Category	Scores	Frequence	%	Mean
Crop production				
High knowledge	> 10.8	226	91	
Low knowledge	< 10.8	22	9	10.8
Poultry				
High knowledge	> 8.8	225	90.7	
Low knowledge	< 8.8	23	9.3	8.8
Pig production				
High knowledge	>6.8	217	87.5	
Low knowledge	<6.8	31	12.5	6.8
Beekeeping				
High knowledge	>6.6	175	70.6	
Low knowledge	<6.6	73	29.4	6.6

Source: Field survey, 2018

### Ex-trainees by skill proficiency level on enterprises

Result in *Table 3* revealed that many (55.2%) have medium skill proficiency level in crop production, also many (46.8%) of the ex-trainees have high skill proficiency in poultry production; however, majority (84.7%) of the ex-trainees have medium skill in pig production, and many (47.2%) of the ex-trainees have medium skill in bee keeping enterprise.

This findings is in tandem with that of Sotomi (2012), which reported that the Leventis Foundation School of Agriculture ex-trainees have high skill proficiency in crop and pig production.

### Quantity of food output before and after empowerment programme

Result in *Table 4* revealed that the mean value of maize was №19,192.00 and №163,904.03 per annum before and after the

empowerment programme, respectively. Crops, such as plantain and pineapple, were not planted by the ex-trainees before the empowerment programme, but have appreciable mean values of ₹192,631.58 and ₹135,806.45 per annum, respectively, after the empowerment programme.

It also revealed ₹11,244.96 and ₩154, 744.15 per annum as mean values for broiler production before and after the empowerment programme, respectively. There was an appreciable improvement in pullet production, which was not practiced before the empowerment programme, but recorded ₹ 62, 903.27 per annum, as mean value after the empowerment. In addition, it shows the mean value of pig as №5,584.68 before empowerment programme and N162,903.27 per annum after the empowerment programme.

### LEVENTIS FOUNDATION PROGRAMME ON TRAINEES' FOOD OUTPUT IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

Furthermore, it shows the mean value of fish production to be nothing and ₹162, 701.71 per annum before and after the empowerment programme, respectively.

The implication of this result was that the respondents have

increase in food output in all the enterprises engaged in after the empowerment; this might be connected with knowledge and skill proficiencies exposed to during their trainings.

Table 3 - Distribution of the ex-trainees categorization by skill proficiency level on enterprises (n=28)

Categories	Scores	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	S.D
Crop					
Low	< 28.4	39	15.6		
Medium	28.4-36.6	137	55.2	32.5	4.1
High	> 36.6	72	29.2		
Poultry					
Low	<13.8	67	27.0		
Medium	13.8- 24.7	65	26.2	19.1	5.6
High	>24.7	116	46.8		
Pig					
Low	<7.0	18	7.2		
Medium	7.0-17.2	210	84.7	12.1	5.1
High	>17.2	20	8.1		
Beekeeping					
Low	<12.1	41	16.5	•	
Medium	12.1-26.1	117	47.2	19.1	7.0
High	>26.1	90	36.3	•	

Source: Field survey, 2018

### Quality of food output before and after empowerment programme

Result in *Table 5* revealed that many (38.7%) of the respondents sold their maize crop fresh, while only 5.2% processed the crop before the empowerment. Majority (76.6%) of the respondents sold their maize fresh, while 14.9% processed the crop after the empowerment programme. From the interaction on the field it was revealed that fresh maize brings higher returns on investment in some localities, hence the preference for fresh sales. It also revealed that all the poultry products were sold life before

and after the empowerment programme. The implication of this is that the ex-trainees are not adding value to their poultry products. In revealed addition. it that respondents sold their pig life before empowerment and after the programme. It however revealed that none of the respondents was involved fish production before in empowerment, and all the ex-trainees involved in fish production after the empowerment programme sell their products fresh. Furthermore, it shows that all ex-trainees producing honey sold their products unpackaged before

#### K.A. ADELOYE, A.O. AJAYI, A.O. SOTOMI

the empowerment programme. However, 19.8% sell their honey unpackaged, while 13.3% packaged their products before sale after the empowerment product.

Table 4 - Distribution of the ex-trainees by quantity of food output before and after the empowerment programme (n=248)

Enternrice	Mean value of quantity output (놲)		
Enterprise	Before empowerment	After empowerment	
Crop			
Maize	19,192.00	163,904.03	
Cassava	18,932.25	121,330.64	
Yam	114,102.82	161,552.42	
Vegetables	11,483.87	97,492.98	
Cocoa	13,121.46	144,737.90	
Oil palm	13,180.62	164,681.45	
Plantain	0.000	192,631.58	
Pineapple	0.000	135,806.45	
Poultry			
Broiler	11,244.96	154,744.15	
Pullet	0.000	162,903.27	
Cockerel	11,260.08	126,157.26	
Local chicken	1,500.00	11,705.00	
Turkey	11,132.39	67,161.29	
Duck	11.120.17	77,288.31	
Piggery	15,584.68	165,221.77	
Fishery	0.000	162.701.61	
Beekeeping (honey)	11,135.08	75,040.32	

Source: Field survey, 2018; Note: Naira value was used (\$1= \displays959.61).

## Food output and socioeconomic characteristics of LFYAEP ex-trainees

Result in *Table 6* reveals that at 0.05 level of significance, sex ( $\chi^2 = 32.851$ ) and reasons for participating in LFYAEP ( $\chi^2 = 31.612$ ) had significant association with food output of the ex-trainees.

Result in *Table 7* reveals that at 0.05 level of significance, LFYAEP ex-trainees farming experience (r = 0.483), farm size (r = 0.501), age (r = 0.322), years of formal education (r = 0.153), knowledge possessed (r = 0.148) and skill proficiency (r = 0.221) had significant relationship

with their food output.

## Relationship between ex-trainees food output before and after LFYAEP

In order to test this hypothesis, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used on food output of the ex-trainees before the empowerment and their food output after the empowerment programme. F value = 65.59 at p $\leq 0.05$  revealed that there was a significant difference between the extrainees food output before the empowerment programme and the food output after the empowerment programme.

Table 5 - Distribution of the ex-trainees by quality of food output before and after the empowerment programme (n=28)

*Enterprise	Before empowerment		After empowerment	
Crop	Fresh F (%)	Processed F (%)	Fresh F (%)	Processed F (%)
Maize	98 (38.7)	14 (5.6)	190 (76.6)	37 (14.9)
Cassava	74 (29.8)	5 (2.0)	80 (32.3	0 (0.0)
Yam	26 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	26 (10.5)	0 (0.0)
Vegetables	8 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	47 (19.6)	0 (0.0)
Cocoa	4 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	12 (4.4)	0 (0.0)
Oil palm	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (4.0)	3 (1.2)
Plantain	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (4.4)	1 (0.4)
Pineapple	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (2.4)	0 (0.0)
Poultry	Life F (%)	Processed F (%)	Life F (%)	Processed F (%)
Broiler	5 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	129 (52.0)	0 (0.0)
Pullet	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	113 (45.6)	0 (0.0)
Cockerel	5 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	142 (57.7)	0 (0.0)
Local chicken	33 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	30 (12.4)	0 (0.0)
Turkey	2 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.6)	0 (0.0)
Duck	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Pig	15 (8.8)	0 (0.0)	83 (33.5)	0 (0.0)
Fish	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	10 (4.0)	0 (0.0)
Beekeeping	Unpacked	Packed	Unpacked	Packed
Honey	2 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	49 (19.8)	33 (13.3)

Source: Field survey, 2018; \*Multiple responses

Table 6 - Relationship between selected socioeconomic characteristics of LFYAEP ex-trainees and their food output

Variables	χ² Value	DF	p-value
Sex	32.851	2	0.003*
Sources of information about the progeamme	4.370	6	0.635
Reason for participating in the programme	31.612	4	0.001*

**Source:** Calculated from field survey, 2018; \**p*≤ 0.05; DF- Degree of freedom

Table 7 - Relationship between other socioeconomic characteristics of LFYAEP ex-trainees and their food output

Variables	Correlation coefficient (r)	Coefficient of determination (r <sup>2</sup> )
Age	0.322*	0.1037
Farming experience	0.483*	0.2530
Years of formal education	0.153*	0.0234
Farm size	0.501*	0.2510
Knowledge possessed	0.148*	0.0219
Skill practiced	0.221*	0.0488

**Source:** Calculated from field survey, 2018; \**p*≤ 0.05

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, it was concluded that LFYAEP ex-trainees possessed high knowledge and skills in many agricultural enterprises embarked upon after the empowerment programme; also, there was a significant improvement in the quantity and quality of food produced by the ex-trainees after the empowerment programme.

From the study, it was found that a very few female ex-trainees engaged in farming business; therefore, it is recommended that agricultural enterprises which are female oriented, such as marketing and processing, should be given attention to in the training.

Many of the ex-trainees are practicing on less than 1 ha of farmland, as a result of non-availability of farmland; therefore, efforts should be made to collaborate with relevant government agencies that will assist these youths in land acquisition for farming activities.

There is need to intensify efforts on product processing and packaging in order to add value to farm products so as to maximize profit on these products.

Since improvement was noticed in food output in quantity, quality and variety after the empowerment programme, therefore, Leventis Foundation Youth Agricultural Empowerment Programme is recommended for all youth both

practicing and intended ones to enhance productivity.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adeloye K.A. (2004). An evaluation of the role of non-governmental organisations (NGOs) in agricultural development in Osun State. Case study of Leventis Foundation Nigeria School of Agriculture Ilesa, Osun State, Nigeria. Unpublished B. Agric. Thesis in Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- Ajayi A. A. (2001). Participation of rural children in farming activities in Oyo State in Nigeria. Unpublished Postgraduate Thesis Ph.D. in Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- Alabi, O. S., Ajayi, A. O. & Akinwale, E. O. (2017). Agricultural production practices of ex-trainees of Leventis Foundation School of Agriculture, llesa, Osun State. *Moor J.Agric. Res.*, 18: 12-21.
- **LFN (2007).** Leventis Foundation (Nigeria) Ltd/Gte, Cardillac press, pp. 5-214.
- Olujide, M.G. & Adeogun, S.O. (2006):

  Assessment of cocoa growers' farm management practices in Ondo State, Nigeria.
- Olujide, M.G. & Adeogun, S.O. (2006).

  Assessment of cocoa growers' farm management practices in Ondo State, Nigeria. Span.J.Agric.Res., 4(2): 173-179.
- Sotomi, A.O. (2012). Effect of Leventis
  Foundation Youth Agricultural
  Empowerment Programme on
  trainees' food output in Osun State,
  Nigeria. Unpublished Master of
  Science Thesis in Department of
  Agricultural Extension and Rural
  Development, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.