DOI: 10.1515/cerce-2017-0016 Available online: www.uaiasi.ro/CERCET_AGROMOLD/ Print ISSN 0379-5837; Electronic ISSN 2067-1865 Original Article

Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova Vol. L, No. 2 (170) / 2017: 73-81

SEED YIELD INCREASE IN NIGER CROP IN TO RELATION TO HONEYBEE AND OTHER POLLINATORS

PRASHANT B. SANDIPAN¹*, P.K. JAGTAP¹, M.C. PATEL¹, B.P. SOLANKI¹, SAPANA R. SHARMA¹, N. RATHOD¹

*E-mail: prashantsandipan@gmail.com

Received: Feb. 16, 2017. Revised: Apr. 29, 2017. Accepted: May 10, 2017. Published online: June 30, 2017

ABSTRACT. Niger (Guizotia abyssinica Cass) is an important minor oilseed crop of hilly and tribal regions and it is used for oil as well as for various other purposes only by the tribal people. Therefore, a systematic study was arranged to document about the increase in the seed yield of niger crop in relation to honeybees (Aphis mellifera), as a pollinator in niger crop with paired plot technique at the Niger Research Station (NRS) at Navsari Agricultural University (NAU) and at farmer's field, Vanarasi, Navsari, Gujarat (India) and also studied its relation in terms of cost benefit ratio (CB). The trial was conducted at Niger Research Station (NRS), Vanarasi for 3 years (2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16) and also at farmer's field to ascertain the role and involvement of honey bees (Aphis mellifera) in swelling the seed yield of niger crop (due to pollination) and its effect on income due to increase in the niger seed yield. Significant differences were observed for number of capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula, 1000 seed weight and seed yield in both the location for the consecutive 3 years. However, the seed yield and gross returns were considerably higher in first location of T_1 Natural plot/ open pollinated with beehive (*Aphis mellifera*) in all the 3 years data with the maximum seed yield with the gross return was obtained in this treatment.

Keywords: *Aphis mellifera*; pollination; oilseed; insect pollinator; pair plot technique.

INTRODUCTION

Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* Cass) is a crop of tribal region and is one of the most important minor oilseed crops of India. Niger hasmany different local names but, the most commonly is as *ramtil*, *jagni* or *jatangi* (Hindi), *ramtal* (Gujarati), *karale* or *khurasani* (Marathi), *uhechellu* (Kannada), *payellu* (Tamil), *verrinuvvulu* (Telgu), *alashi* (Oriya), *sarguza* (Bengali), *ramtil* (Punjab) and *sorguja* (Assamese), in various

¹ Main Cotton Research Station (MCRS), Navsari Agricultural University (NAU), Surat (Gujarat), India

parts of the country (Rao and Ranganatha, 1989). The natural habitat is disturbed for many reasons and the vegetation cover is declining now a day's worldwide (Kearns et al., 1998). Agriculture plays a role in declining native pollinators through the modification and elimination of pollinator habitats and the use of agricultural excess chemicals including pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers (Donaldson, 2002). Free (1993) stated that clean and intensive cultivation of land may affect wild pollinators. He mentioned insect practices, such as destruction of hedgerows and rough verges, which destroyed many natural food sources and nesting sites of wild pollinating insects. Generally, it has been concluded that habitat degradation. pesticide misuse. diseases and intensive cultivation of lands may be the causes of decline in managed honeybees and wild pollinators (Gallai et al., 2009). At present, it is grown/ cultivated in the area (land) of about 1.8 lakh ha (Duhoon, 2001). In addition, it is cultivated to a limited extend in Ethiopia, South Africa, West Indies, Zimbabye and India, In India, it is mainly cultivated in tribal pockets of Madhva Pradesh. Orissa. Maharashtra, Bihar, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. It is also grown sizeable area in certain region of Arunachal Pradesh, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Rajasthan. Niger although considered as a minor oilseed, is very important in terms of quality and taste of its oil and export potential (Rajpurohit, 2011). Honeybee pollinators are estimated to be involved in producing up to 30 % of the human food supply directly or indirectly; farmers rely on managed honeybees throughout the world to provide these services (Greenleaf and 2006). Honeybees Kremen. are responsible for 70-80% of insect pollination (Johannsmeier and Mostert, 2001). The contribution of managed honeybee pollination to crop production and quality has been estimated to be more than the value of honey and wax production (Shrestha. 2004).

Looking on significance in terms of oil extraction, which having high medicinal values, but knowledge of the diseases of this Niger crop merits attention, niger is a crop of dry areas grown mostly by tribal in interior places due to which desired attention has not been given. Now, the crop is gaining importance and studies are being made on to ascertain there is tremendous contribution of honey bees (Aphis mellifera) and many other insects. flies and butterflies in increasing the seed yield of niger crop at the time of flowering (due to pollination) and ultimately its maximizes the income of farmers due to seed yield increase (Sandipanet al., 2015a, b). Therefore, a study was planned to document about the role of honeybees as а pollinator in increasing the seed yield in niger crop with paired plot technique at the Niger Research Station (NRS) at Navsari Agricultural University (NAU) and at farmer's field, Vanarasi, Navsari, Gujarat (India) and also studied its cost benefit ratio (CB) of this niger cultivar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Objectives	:	To ascertain the contribution of Italian bee						
		(Apismellifera) in increasing the seed yield of niger crop.						
Location and agro-	:	Niger Research Station (NRS), Vanarasi and at farmers'						
climatic subregion		field (Vanarasi village), Navsari, Gujarat (India), South						
		Gujarat Heavy Rainfall Zone						
Details of experiment:								
I st location (NRS farm)	:	Natural plot with beehive (uncovered)						
	:	Covered plot with beehive (covered)						
2 nd location (Farmers'	:	Open pollinated without beehive						
field)	:	Covered plot with beehive (covered)						
Crop and variety		Niger, variety GN-1						
Treatments	:	-						
Design	:	Pair plot technique						
Plot size	:	Gross : 20 x 10 m						
Spacing	:	30 cm between two rows						
	:	10 cm between plant to plant						
Replications	:	Non replicated						
Fertilizer								
Basal dose	:	10:20:00 NPK/ha						
Top dressing	:	10:00:00 NPK/ha						
Sowing dates	:	2013-14 :14.08.2013						
		2014-15 : 08.08.2014						
		2015-16 : 21.07.2015						
Date of harvesting	:	2013-14 : 23.12.2013						
		2014-15 : 01.12.2014						
		2015-16 : 02.12.2015						

Crop condition

During all the 3 year seasons, the average rainfall was 1568.33 mm, but in the last year it was 929 mm, which was less in comparison to the last two years. The plant population and crop growth were healthy. However, at the time of flowering, the box of honeybee with five frame compartment was placed in the crop. They were seen late in the morning and disappeared early in the evening time (before 5 o'clock), which effect on the seed yield of the niger crop as the pollination activity is reduced.

Calculations

Observation for number of capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula,

1000 seed weight and seed yield in both the locations was recorded and accordingly cost benefit ratio was worked out.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

It is now apparent that most of the pulses and oilseeds, fruits and orchard crops including vegetables heavily depend on bees for their pollination. This is also true for seed production of vegetables like onion, cabbage, cauliflower, tabacco, sunnhemp, alfalfa and clovers (http:// agritech.tnau.ac.in/ farm_enterprises/ fe_api_beefloraapollin.html). The number of colonies of honeybees required per hectare very much depends on the strength of foraging bees in the colony, the crops and prevailing weather conditions.

differences Significant were observed for number of capitula/plant. number of seeds/capitula, 1000 seed weight and seed yield in both the location. However, the seed yield and returns were considerably gross higher in the first location of T1 Natural plot/open pollinated with beehive (Aphis mellifera). The maximum seed yield with the gross return was obtained in this treatment. (Tables 1, 2 and 3). Steffan-Dewenter and Tscharntke (1999) found that isolation natural from habitats

diminishes abundance and species richness of bees, which are the most flower-visiting important insects. Honeybees were by far the most frequently recorded insects on onion flowers. The high proportion of honeybees, compared to other insects visiting the flowers, indicated that honeybees were the major pollinators of the onion crop at our field site, with both honeybee abundance and seed quality increasing vield and proportionally. Yücel and Duman (2005) reported that the germination rate was greater on average by 12% in onion with honevbee activity (Figs. 1 and 2).



Figure 1 - Honeybees and other pollinators activity in niger crop



Figure 2 - Open and covered plot of niger field with honeybee cage

Table 1 - Seed	vield with cost	benefit ratio (CE	B ration) fo	r the vear 2013-14

т	reatment	No. of capitula/pl	No. of seeds/ capitula	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Gross returns (Rs.)	Cost of cultivation	Net income (Rs.)	BC ratio
Location (NRS)	T₁ Natural plot/ open pollinated with beehive (uncovered)	33	39	4.95	401	24060	9938	14122	2.42
1 st	T ₂ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	27	32	4.72	339	20340	10938	9402	1.85
cation s' field)	T ₃ Open pollinated without beehive	26	30	4.59	287	17220	7938	9282	2.16
2 nd Loc (Farmer	T₄ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	25	28	4.58	319	19140	10938	8202	1.74

Cost of niger seed calculated Rs. 6000 per quintal

Cost of honey not included

PRASHANT B. SANDIPAN ET AL.

Interpretation

Significant differences were observed for number of capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula, 1000 seed weight (NS) and seed yield in the both location. However, the seed yield and gross returns were considerably higher in T_1 Natural plot with *Aphis* mellifera (Fig. 3). The maximum seed yield of 401 kg/ha with the gross return of Rs.14122 was obtained in this treatment, followed by open pollinated without beehive (*Table 1* and pooled results *Table 4*).

т	reatment	No. of capitula/pl.	No. of seeds/ capitula	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Gross returns (Rs.)	Cost of cultivation	Net income (Rs.)	BC ratio
Location (NRS)	T₁ Natural plot/ open pollinated with beehive (uncovered)	22	21	4.10	275	16500	9538	6962	1.72
1 st	T ₂ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	18	16	4.00	238	14280	10538	3742	1.35
2 nd Location (Farmers' field)	T ₃ Open pollinated without beehive	15	13	3.85	175	10500	7038	3462	1.49
	T₄ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	17	14	3.95	225	13500	10538	2962	1.28

Cost of niger seed calculated Rs. 6000 per quintal

Cost of honey not included

Interpretation

Significant differences were observed for number of capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula, 1000 seed weight (NS) and seed yield in the both location. However, the seed yield and gross returns were considerably higher in first location of T_1 Natural plot/open pollinated with beehive (*Aphis mellifera*). The maximum seed yield of 275 kg/ha with the gross return of Rs.16500 was obtained in this treatment (*Table 2 and pooled results Table 4*).

Т	reatments	No. of capitula /pl.	No. of seeds/ capitula	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg/ ha)	Gross returns (Rs.)	Cost of cultivation	Net income (Rs.)	BC ratio
ocation IRS)	T ₁ Natural plot/ open pollinated with beehive (uncovered)	27	23	4.00	337	20220	8038	12182	2.51
۲۲ (N	T ₂ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	21	17	3.80	283	16980	9538	7442	1.78
cation s' field)	T ₃ Open pollinated without beehive	18	15	3.85	271	16260	7038	9222	2.31
2 nd Loc (Farmer:	T₄ Covered plot with beehive (covered)	19	15	3.90	269	16140	9538	6602	1.69

Table 3 - Seed yield with cost benefit ratio (CB ration) for the year 2015-16

Cost of niger seed calculated Rs. 60 per kg

Cost of honey not included

Interpretation

Significant differences were observed for number of capitula/plant, number of seeds/capitula, 1000 seed weight (NS) and seed yield in the both location. However, the seed yield and gross returns were considerably higher in first location of T_1 Natural plot/open pollinated with beehive (*Aphis mellifera*). The maximum seed yield of 337 kg/ha with the gross return of Rs. 20220 was obtained in this treatment (*Table 3 and pooled results Table 4*).

Table 4 -	Year wise	and pool	ed analy	sis (Two	sample t	t- test /	Independent	sample
	test)							

Treatment	Year 2013		Year 2014		Year	r 2015	Pooled	
	t value	Result	t value	Result	t value	Result	t value	Result
T ₁ & T ₂	3.927	**	3.077	**	2.983	*	3.757	**
T ₃ & T ₄	0.674	NS	1.214	NS	0.579	NS	0.476	NS
S ₁ & S ₂	3.796	**	3.441	*	3.464	*	2.692	*
S ₃ & S ₄	1.303	NS	0.622	NS	0.000	NS	0.161	NS
$W_1\&W_2$	0.930	NS	0.474	NS	0.903	NS	1.059	NS
$W_3 \& W_4$	0.110	NS	0.448	NS	0.352	NS	0.339	NS

PRASHANT B. SANDIPAN ET AL.

Treatment	Year 2013		Year 2014		Year	2015	Pooled	
meatment	t value	Result	t value	Result	t value	Result	t value	Result
T ₁ & T ₃	4.359	**	5.283	**	5.534	**	5.213	**
S ₁ & S ₃	5.295	**	5.688	**	4.177	**	4.063	**
$W_1 \& W_3$	1.390	NS	1.103	NS	0.725	NS	1.541	NS

* Significant; **Highly significant; NS=Non significant

T1: Natural plot with beehive (uncovered), 1st location (NRS) - No. of capitula/ plant

T₁: Natural plot with beehive (uncovered), Ist location (NRS) - No. of capitula/ plant
T₂: Covered plot with beehive (covered), Ist location (NRS) - No. of capitula/ plant
T₃: Open pollinated without beehive, 2nd location (farmers' field) - No. of capitula/ plant
T₄: Covered plot with beehive (covered), 2nd location (farmers' field) - No. of capitula/ plant
S₁: Natural plot with beehive (uncovered), 1st location (NRS) - No. of seeds/ capitula
S₂: Covered plot with beehive (covered), 1st location (NRS) - No. of seeds/ capitula
S₃: Open pollinated without beehive, 2nd location (farmers' field) - No. of seeds/ capitula
S₄: Covered plot with beehive (uncovered), 1st location (NRS) - No. of seeds/ capitula
W₁: Natural plot with beehive (uncovered), 1st location (NRS) - 1000 seed weight (g)
W₂: Covered plot with beehive (uncovered), 1st location (NRS) - 1000 seed weight (g)

 W_2 : Covered plot with beehive (covered), 1st location (NRS) - 1000 seed weight (g) W_3 : Open pollinated without beehive, 2nd location (farmers' field) - 1000 seed weight (g)

 W_4 : Covered plot with beehive (covered), 2nd location (farmers' field) - 1000 seed weight (g)



Figure 3 - Average seed yield of niger crop

Economics:

Average cost of niger seed @ Rs. 60 per kg

Cost of niger cultivation @ Rs. 7038

Cost of beehive (five frame compartment): 2500

Last year (2015) the cost of beehive was only 1000 - charged as only the maintenance amount was taken for the beehive.

CONCLUSION

It is said from the conducted experiment that the use of insect pollinators as honeybees (Apis *mellifera*) in niger crop is significantly helpful to increase the seed yield of niger crop in T₁ Natural plot with beehive (uncovered). As the honeybee pollinator increases the pollination activity by which the cultivator can increase their niger seed vield and income.

Acknowledgement. Author is extremely thankful to the ALL INDIA COORDINATED RESEARCH PROJECT ON SESAME AND NIGER (AICRP) and Niger Research Station (NRS) and its staff persons for providing the required facility to conduct the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Donaldson, J.S. (2002). Pollination in agricultural landscapes, a South African perspective. In: Kevan P. and Imperatriz Fonseca VL (Eds.) *Pollinating Bees The Conservation Link between Agriculture and Nature*, Ministry of Environment, Brasilia: 97-104.
- Duhoon, S.S. (2001). Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* Cass) nucleus and breeder seed production manual. AICRP on sesame and Niger (ICAR) JNKVV Jabalpur, p. 1.
- Free, J.B. (1993).Insect pollination of crops (2nd ed.). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Gallai, N., Salles, J.M., Settele, J. & Vaissiere, B.E. (2009). Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol. Econ.*, 68: 810-821.
- Greenleaf, S.S. & Kremen, C. (2006). Wild bees enhance honeybees' pollination of hybrid sunflower. *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci.*, USA, 103(37): 13890-13895.
- Johannsmeier, M. F. & Mostert, J.N. (2001). Crop pollination. In: Johannsmeier, M.F. (Ed.), Beekeeping in South Africa, 3nd ed., revised, *Plant Protection Research Institute handbook* 14. Agricultural Research Council of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa: 235-245.

- Kearns, C.A., Inouye, D.W. & Waser, N.M. (1998). Endangered mutualisms: the conservation of plant-pollinator interactions. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. System., 28: 83-112.
- Rajpurohit, T.S. (2011). Diseases of Niger their management. *Plant. Sci. Feed.*, 1(2): 19-22.
- Rao, V.L.N. & Ranganatha, A.R.G. (1989). Niger in agriculture in Andhara Pradesh, Vol.II Crops, SAA (Ed.), Hyderabad: 184-186.
- Sandipan, Prashant B. & Jagtap, P.K. (2015a). Role of honeybees as pollinators in increasing the seed yield and income in the Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* Cass) a tribal crop under South Gujarat region. *Trends in Biosciences*,8(6): 1602-1605.
- Sandipan, Prashant B. & Jagtap, P.K. (2015b). Honeybee - a natural pollinator in increasing the seed yield and income in the Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica* Cass) a traditional tribal crop of South Gujarat region. Journal of Plant Development Sciences,7(6): 499-502.
- Shrestha, J.B. (2004). Honeybees and environment. Agriculture and anvironment. Gender Equity and Environment Division. Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, HMG,Nepal:1-8.
- Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tscharntke, T. (1999). Effects of habitat isolation on pollinator communities and seed set. *Oecologia*, 121(1999): 432- 440.
- Yücel, B. & Duman, I. (2005). Effects of foraging activity of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) on onion (*Allium cepa*) seed production and quality. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 8(1) 123-126.
- http://agritech.tnau.ac.in/farm_enterprises/ fe_api_beefloraapollin.html