

This is a repository copy of *Lipid optimisation in lower extremity peripheral arterial disease*..

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/174260/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Sucharitkul, PPJ, Jones, KL, Scott, DJA orcid.org/0000-0003-2894-9923 et al. (1 more author) (2021) Lipid optimisation in lower extremity peripheral arterial disease. Annals of Vascular Surgery. ISSN 0890-5096

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2021.03.055

© 2021, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Revised Manuscript 21st March 2021

1	Title:
2	Lipid optimisation in lower extremity peripheral arterial disease
3	
4	Short title:
5	Lipid optimisation in LEAD
6	
7	Manuscript type:
8	General Review. Full manuscript.
9	
10	Authors:
11	Penelope Poppy Janpen Sucharitkul 1,2.
12	Keely Louise Jones 3, 4.
13	David Julian Ashbridge Scott 3.
14	*Marc Aaron Bailey 2, 3.
15	
16	Institution:
17	1. University of Leeds, School of Medicine, Worsley Building, Woodhouse, LS2 9JT.
18	2. Leeds Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, LIGHT Laboratories, University of
19	Leeds, LS2 9JT.
20	3. Leeds Vascular Institute, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Great
21	George Street, Leeds, LS1 3EX.
22	4. Medicines Management and Pharmacy Service, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds Teaching
23	Hospitals NHS Trust, Great George Street, Leeds, LS1 3EX.
24	Corresponding author:
25	*Marc Aaron Bailey. Leeds Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, LIGHT Laboratories,
26	University of Leeds, LS2 9JT. M.a.bailey@leeds.ac.uk
27	

29 **Contributions**:

- 30 PPJS: Main contributor in designing, researching, and writing of the review.
- 31 KLJ: Vascular pharmaceutical input and reviser of manuscript.
- 32 DJS: Co-supervisor and reviser of manuscript.
- 33 MAB: Main supervisor of project, project conception and reviser of manuscript.
- 34

35 Acknowledgments:

- 36 PS is an intercalating MRes student at the University of Leeds, she is funded by Heart Research UK
- and the Royal College of Surgeons of England. MAB is supported by the British Heart Foundation.
- 38 These funding sources have had no influence on the writing of the review.

39

40 **Prior dissemination:**

- 41 This review has not been submitted for consideration elsewhere.
- 42

43 **Disclosures and Conflicts of Interest:**

44 The authors do not have any disclosures or conflicts of interests to declare.

45

46 **Funding**:

- 47 Heart Research UK Scholarship to PS
- 48 Royal College of Surgeons of England Scholarship to PS
- 49 British Heart Foundation Intermediate Clinical Research Fellowship to MAB

50

- 51 Word count: 3945
- 52 Abstract: 209

54 Abstract

55 Aims:

56 This review aims to explore the current guidance and issues surrounding lipid optimisation of patients

57 with peripheral arterial disease (PAD).

58 Methods:

A narrative review of the global PAD guidance, specifically focusing on low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) reduction methods including; 'treating to target', 'fire and forget' and LDL-C percentage reduction. Advanced literature searches were carried out in Pubmed and Google Scholar databases comparing most recent PAD lipid guidance.

63 **Results:**

64 PAD lipid guidance could be improved internationally to help clinicians implement the best lipid-65 reduction strategies for their patients and challenge the arbitrary 1.4mmol/L LDL-C target in line with 66 novel proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors (PCSK-9i) trials. By educating primary 67 and secondary care staff on the benefits of maximal lipid-reduction therapies, we can reduce major 68 adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and major adverse limb events (MALE). Championing PAD 69 community clinics may lead to earlier prevention. Research comparing lipid-reduction strategies in 70 practice is needed to improve outcomes internationally, and ongoing practice audited to understand 71 the extent of under-prescribing in PAD.

72 Conclusions:

This review highlights the current PAD lipid-reduction treatments and the clarity issues of global
 guidance. Further research is needed to tackle ongoing mortality and morbidity rates in PAD patients
 against their better off cardiovascular disease (CVD) peers.

76

MESH Key Terms: "Cholesterol", "Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors", "Ezetimibe",
"Evolocumab", "Alirocumab", "Peripheral Arterial Disease", "Vascular Disease", "Atherosclerosis",
"Secondary Prevention", "Lipoprotein, LDL".

81	Lipid Conversions
82	
83	Table 1. Lipid conversions adapted from (1)
84	
85	Introduction
86	
87	This review aims to explore the current guidance and issues surrounding lipid optimisation of patients
88	with lower extremity peripheral arterial disease (PAD).
89	
90	Lower Extremity Peripheral Arterial Disease
91	
92	Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) has multiple aetiologies (2). The majority of PAD cases are caused
93	by atherosclerotic plaque accumulation in the lower limb arterial tree leading to a reduction in arterial
94	blood flow (3). Clinically this manifests as intermittent claudication which can progress to chronic
95	limb threatening ischaemia (4).
96	
97	Additional causes include thrombo-embolic disease, vasculitis and extrinsic compression. Important
98	clinical risk factors include smoking, black ethnicity, diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia,
99	decreased eGFR and poor lifestyle (2,5).
100	
101	Prevalence of PAD
102	
103	Globally, PAD affects approximately 5.6% of people over 25 (6,7) and represents over a quarter of
104	cardiovascular disease worldwide (8). PAD is often defined as an ankle-brachial pressure index
105	(ABPI) of less than or equal to 0.9 at rest (9,10). This may exclude patients with calcified arteries,
106	where ABPI may be greater than 1.3 (11). Diabetes, heavy smoking and chronic kidney disease can
107	all increase arterial stiffening. An estimated 41% of PAD patients also have type 2 diabetes mellitus

(12). Several previous studies have cited the greater prevalence of PAD in men (13,14). However,
it is now suspected that women may be of equal prevalence, and present 10-20 years later than men
(15–18). Patients living with PAD can present to general practice or directly to secondary care with
symptoms ranging from intermittent claudication (IC) to chronic limb threatening ischaemia (CLTI).

112

113 Secondary Prevention

114

115 Both symptomatic and asymptomatic PAD patients carry an increased risk of cardiovascular events 116 (19). Although patients suffering with PAD may be most concerned about amputation, major adverse 117 cardiovascular events (MACE) occur more frequently in PAD patients than limb loss (20-22). Their 118 ten-year risk of amputation stands at 10%, whereas, their five-year risk of MACE (defined in the 119 Secondary Manifestations of ARTerial disease (SMART) study as nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and 120 vascular mortality) is 13.2% (21-24). In the Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research With 121 PCSK9 Inhibition in Patients With Elevated Risk (FOURIER) trial, PAD patients without previous MI 122 or stroke had 10.3% (MACE) verses 2.6% major adverse limb events (MALE) across a two and half 123 year follow up period (25).

124

125 PAD patients were also found to be at a higher risk of cardiovascular events than patients with 126 coronary artery disease (CAD) or patients with previous myocardial infarction (MI) (25, 26). In the 127 SMART study statins were prescribed to 74% of the CAD patients, compared to 53% of PAD patients 128 (25). Aspirin was used by 89% of CAD patients as opposed to 65% of PAD patients, see table 2. 129 Women with PAD were also found to be the least medically optimised, compared to male post-MI 130 patients who were the most well medicated (25). Overall PAD patients had a higher mortality and an 131 increased event rate of ischaemic coronary events than patients with CAD and up to four times 132 higher the risk of vascular death than patients with angina or cardiovascular disease (CVD) (25). In 133 PAD patients, previous angina or MI does not predict mortality (26).

134

Table. 2 Comparison between PAD and CVD (previous MI patients) adapted from results from the
SMART trial (n= 3563) (25).

137

Persistent PAD under-prescribing at the secondary prevention stage as found by the PINNACLE registry analysis and other studies, demonstrates the need for specific solutions for this patient group (6,27–29). Current literature commonly focuses on adverse cardiac outcomes, with PAD as part of a subgroup analysis (30).

142

143 Lifestyle modification

144

All PAD patients should have documented smoking cessation which is followed up regularly (11,31,32). Peripheral bypass in smokers carries a threefold risk of graft failure according to a metaanalysis of 29 studies (33). Patients with intermittent claudication who continue to smoke also have an increased risk of amputation (34). A review of the most effective treatments for smoking specifically in PAD patients found that clinician advice did encourage patients to quit, and thus holds an important part in the vascular consultation (35).

151

152 Exercising to the point of maximal pain is recommended by UK NICE (National Institute for Clinical 153 Excellence), ESC (European Society of Cardiology) and ESVS (European Society of Vascular 154 Surgery) and improves claudication symptoms and overall walking distance (11,32). Supervised 155 exercise, although more effective at improving walking distance than unsupervised exercise, is not 156 available in many countries (36–39). Un-supervised patients are advised to walk for at least 30 mins, 157 two to three times a week for 12 weeks (11,36,40). However, participants in one study reported that 158 they avoided exercise following vascular intervention because they believed that the pain on walking 159 causes "damage to their muscles and legs" (20). Thus, indicating the future benefit of qualitative 160 studies in PAD.

162 UK NICE guidance summary

163

164 In the socialised UK National Health Service (NHS), evidence based, cost effective treatments are recommended by the National Institute for health and Care Excellence (NICE). UK NICE PAD 165 166 Guidelines recommend smoking cessation, diabetic control, lipid management, hypertension 167 management, antiplatelet therapy, diet and unsupervised exercise in claudicants, managed by 168 primary care physicians / general practitioners (11). PAD diagnosis should be by vascular 169 examination and measurement of ankle brachial pressure index (ABPI). The majority of imaging 170 should only be requested if an intervention is planned. Patients with severe lifestyle limiting short 171 distance claudication or CLTI should be referred to a vascular surgeon. Since 2014, NICE has 172 recommended high-intensity statin prescription and measurement of a full lipid profile (total 173 cholesterol, HDL-C, non-HDL-C and triglycerides) in PAD patients (11). Lipid levels should then be 174 re-checked at three months. Newer UK NICE lipid-specific guidance (April 2020), places increasing 175 emphasis on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) reduction targets of 40% from baseline or below 1.8mmol/L for all patients diagnosed with PAD (41). UK NICE guidance advises symptomatic 176 177 PAD patients to be prescribed high-intensity statin therapy as per secondary prevention algorithms, 178 see figure 3 (41).

179

180

181 Statins

182

Penicillium citrinum, a species of fungi, aided the production of the first statin in 1976. It produced a substance with molecular similarities to 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMG-CoA) (42,43). HMG-CoA reductase catalyses the reaction of HMG-CoA into Mevalonic acid at the start of the cholesterol synthesis pathway in the liver, see figure 1. The substance acted as a competitive inhibitor for HMG-CoA. The substance 'compactin', an early version of today's

pravastatin, inspired scientists to find similar compounds, which were effective at loweringcholesterol in humans (42, 43).

190

191 Fig.1 Statin inhibition in the cholesterol synthesis process

192

193 Statin Types and Lipid Reduction

194

195 Fig. 2 Adapted from (44), Chemical structure of statin types used for cholesterol reduction

196

High-intensity statin therapy is defined by NICE as causing an LDL-C reduction of >40% and includes: atorvastatin 20mg or above and rosuvastatin 10mg or above, or ezetimibe 10mg alongside the maximum tolerated statin dose (41). Patients with PAD who were prescribed appropriate highintensity statin dosing over moderate-intensity statin dosing had a 15% lower risk of mortality and a 22% decrease in amputation risk over 5.9 years average follow-up (45).

202

203 Simvastatin 80mg does meet the LDL-C reduction target at 42%, however is contraindicated due to 204 the high risk of muscle toxicity (41). Pravastatin caused a maximum effect of 29% reduction and is 205 classed as a low-intensity statin (41). Fluvastatin 80mg had a medium-intensity effect at 33% 206 reduction and thus, is not suitable for secondary prevention (41). Only the five types of statin 207 mentioned above are available on NHS prescription, see figure 2 (46). High-intensity dosing, or the 208 'fire and forget' method, may not be sufficient and should be paired with repeat full lipid screening, 209 with follow up of ezetimibe 10mg if the target of LDL-C <1.8mmol/L, or a 40% reduction in LDL-C is 210 not met. It is also important for clinicians to record adherence to statins, lifestyle advice and 211 acknowledgement of lipid treatment targets (41). However, there is a lack of clarity globally on lipid 212 targets and statin treatment strategies, as the ESC now recommend a lower LDL-C treatment target 213 of 1.4mmol/L (see global LDL-C summary in table 5) (47). Recent proprotein convertase

- subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors (PCSK-9I) trials, have started to challenge these arbitrary LDL-C
 targets by showing ongoing cardiovascular benefit below 10mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) LDL-C (25).
- 216

217 Statin Intolerance

218

219 Fig. 3 Adapted from (48), UK NICE Statin intolerance algorithm June 2020

220

221 UK NICE produced a statin intolerance algorithm in June 2020, after increasing concerns of negative 222 media coverage of statin muscle side effects, see figure 3 (48). The 'nocebo' effect may have added 223 to statin discontinuation in three quarters of patients who stop taking their statins after two years 224 (48). Real world statin intolerance reaches up to 18%, compared to just 5% in randomised blinded 225 controlled trials (49). Statin-related muscle toxicity presents as "symmetrical pain and/or weakness 226 in large proximal muscle groups, worsened by exercise", which are similar to claudication symptoms 227 in PAD. True statin intolerances can be tackled by de-challenge and re-challenge approaches set 228 out by UK NICE; providing the creatinine kinase (CK) does not exceed four times the upper limit of 229 normal. A CK above this would require specialist assessment for statin-induced rhabdomyolysis, 230 which has an average incidence of four per 100,000 patients (48). Patients with genuine statin 231 intolerance may be suitable for ezetimibe or PCSK-9i (41,48).

232

233 Other side effects such as statin-induced diabetes and haemorrhagic stroke (atorvastatin) are rare, 234 with up to 100 and 10 patients per 10,000 respectively experiencing these adverse events (50,51). 235 The pleiotropic benefits of statins outweigh these risks (50). 'Alternative dosing' is recommended by 236 ESC/ European atherosclerosis society (EAS) and American College of Cardiology (ACC)/ American 237 Heart Association (AHA), where statins are taken on alternative days to reduce patient symptoms 238 and increase adherence to medication (47,52). NICE statin intolerance pathway advocates for a de-239 challenge and re-challenge approach, starting patients on lower doses, monitoring their CK levels if 240 symptomatic and changing statin type (48). UK NICE also recommends discussing adherence with

patients if their LDL-C target is not met after three months on high-intensity dosing (48). Timing of statins is also important as previous studies have suggested that simvastatin is more potent at night due to its short-half life (53). Patients may also find the large size of statin tablets difficult to swallow; more qualitative research is needed into the adherence of statins to understand the patientperspective.

246

247 Non-statin Lipid Reduction Therapies

248 Ezetimibe

249

Instead of inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase, ezetimibe targets niemann-pick C1-like 1 protein (NPC1L1) in the jejunum and liver (54). NCP1L1 aids absorption of micelles into enterocytes and hepatocytes (54). Newer studies have proposed that ezetimibe promotes reverse cholesterol transport in the liver, by exposing the hepatocytes to lower cholesterol levels (55). Ezetimibe is metabolised separately to statins, and there is little evidence of any major interactions with drugs used regularly for lipid-reduction (56).

256

Despite the success of the IMProved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International (IMPROVE-IT) trial in patients with acute coronary syndrome, the Ezetimibe and Simvastatin in Hypercholesterolemic Enhances Atherosclerosis Regression (ENHANCE) study found no benefits of ezetimibe plus simvastatin over simvastatin alone in carotid stenosis patients (57,58). However, a smaller study of 100 patients with carotid stenosis, ezetimibe plus atorvastatin over atorvastatin alone showed lower non-HDL-C levels and decrease in artery plaque area (59).

263

Studies specifically for the use of ezetimibe in the PAD patient population remain scarce and of poor quality. 67 PAD patients on simvastatin 40mg, whom when added ezetimibe, showed further progression in their atherosclerotic plaques (60). The authors admitted the study was hugely underpowered (72%), and after a year of simvastatin 40mg and ezetimibe, the LDL-C reached 1.75

268 mmol/L (32, 41). Narrowly hitting the current 2020 UK NICE target of 1.8mmol/L LDL-C but missing 269 the ESC/ESVS target of less than 1.4mmol/L (41). NICE classifies simvastatin 40mg as medium-270 intensity statin dosing, which is now unsuitable for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events; 271 the study was completed in 2011 (41). The Effect of Lipid Modification on Peripheral Artery Disease 272 after Endovascular Intervention (ELIMIT) trial with 102 PAD patients also reported no difference 273 between simvastatin 40mg and ezetimibe over simvastatin alone in 2013 (61). A third study did find 274 a difference between LDL-C in ezetimibe and simvastatin 40mg and simvastatin alone after two 275 years: 1.7 mmol/L compared to 2.4 mmol/L respectively. But, found no corresponding differences 276 between tissue perfusion and exercise limits in the groups (62).

277

Ezetimibe, when used in conjunction with statins, has been shown to provide an additive benefit reduction of 23-24% in LDL-C levels in patients with coronary artery disease (41). Novel NICE guidance recommends addition of ezetimibe if non-HDL-C levels have not reduced by over 40% from baseline after three months (41). Doubling of statin dose is also less likely to achieve higher rates of non-HDL-C/LDL-C reduction than adding in ezetimibe (48). In statin intolerant patients, ezetimibe may be prescribed as monotherapy (41,52,63).

284

285 PCSK-9 inhibitors

286

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK-9) inhibitors are monoclonal antibodies that target the PCSK-9 protein which promotes degradation of low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-R) in hepatocytes (64,65). LDL-C attaches onto LDL-R and is absorbed intercellularly. By interfering with the degradation of LDL-R caused by PCSK-9, LDL-C can continue to be absorbed from the blood (64,66). Statins have also been shown to be less effective in patients with high PCSK-9 activity (66).

Familial Hypercholesterolemia (FH) a genetically inherited condition which affects around 1 in 200500 people, is mainly caused by a mutation in LDL-R (67,68). However, it can also be caused by

PCSK-9 gain of function mutations (69). These mutations prevent hepatic regulation of LDL-C,
causing early death from atherosclerotic conditions (66). UK NICE only offers evolocumab, a PCSK9i, to specific patient populations outlined in table 3 (70).

298

Table 3. Adapted from NICE Evolocumab guidance, showing which patient groups may receive
Evolocumab (70). FH= Familial Hypercholesterolemia. High Risk= Includes PAD. Very High Risk=
polyvascular disease.

302

303 Unfortunately, due to these therapies costing up to £4,400 (\$6,045, €5,016) per patient per annum,
304 only patients who are very high risk may be able to benefit (71).

305

306 The FOURIER trial demonstrated that lipid reduction beyond current targets of 1.8 or 1.4mmol/L 307 LDL-C had added cardiovascular benefit with no short-term side effects (25). Evolocumab also 308 reduced the risk of MALE (defined as ALI, amputation, or urgent revascularisation) by 42% in PAD 309 patients (n=3642) (65). There were also no significant differences in major adverse side effects when 310 compared to placebo (1.3% Evolocumab versus 1.5% placebo, P=0.57) (65). Evolocumab also 311 drove lipoprotein(a) levels down 20-30%, where patients with greater reductions appeared to gain 312 more coronary benefit (72). This is interesting as potentially 90% of a person's lipoprotein(a) is 313 inherited (73,74). Further research is needed into the link between lipoprotein(a) and cardiovascular 314 risk alongside LDL-C (72).

315

Alirocumab in the Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab (ODYSSEY) trial (n= 18 924) when combined with statin in recent CAD patients produced a 15% relative risk reduction in the end point (defined as cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, or unstable angina) (75). Unfortunately, there was no PAD cohort to support MALE figures from the FOURIER trial. Potential long-term effects are yet to come to light (72). However, bococizumab, another potential PCSK-9i, had to be discontinued after trials showed

a decrease in LDL-C lowering and production of autoantibodies (76). The PCSK-9i studies were
 limited by the lack of ezetimibe prescriptions (75).

324

325 Lipid Management Guidance for PAD

326

327 While there are some areas of agreement between newer UK NICE lipid guidance, European and 328 American societies; there is much controversy surrounding lipid targets and statin use globally, as 329 illustrated by table 5. With non-HDL-C targets set to anywhere between 2.2-2.6 mmol/L and none, it 330 is understandable that there are treatment differences between clinicians (9,32,41,77). UK NICE 331 2020 lipid management guidance sets out clear lipid targets for general cardiovascular prevention 332 which encourages doctors to perform a full lipid screen at baseline and annually with repeats every 333 three months if statin is titrated (41). It also outlines the LDL-C reduction capabilities for each statin, 334 highlighting the need for initial treatment on high-intensity dose statins with over 40% LDL-C 335 reduction (9,31). The lipid specific European and American guidance sets out a more ambitious LDL-336 C reduction target of over 50% (47,52).

337

The additive combination effects of ezetimibe and PCSK-9i are starting to be implemented in practice (25,75). However, with a single PCSK-9i Quality of Life Year (QALY) costing up to \$450,000 (£325,000) (€370,000), it is not currently cost effective to start all PAD patients on extreme lipid lowering therapies (31,52). Even though 95% of patients given Evolocumab hit LDL-C targets when paired with high-intensity statin therapy in ACS patients (78). See table 3 for UK NICE PSCK-9i treatment boundaries.

344

However, there appears to be key differences over the risk category of PAD patients. Both UK NICE 2020 and ESC/EAS 2019 lipid specific guidance put PAD patients in the 'very high risk' category for secondary cardiovascular prevention (32,41). Whereas ACC/AHA in 2018 decided that 'very high risk' patients must have previous multiple atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD)

349 conditions or any major ASCVD event to be eligible for LDL-C reduction >1.8mmol/L (52). Despite 350 this, ACC/AHA guidance takes a harsher approach with secondary prevention in general, citing that 351 all ASCVD patients should be on high-intensity statin doses which reduce their LDL-C by over 50%, 352 compared to the 40% target of UK NICE lipid guidance (41,52). The Asia-Pacific society of 353 atherosclerosis and vascular diseases stated no specific lipid reduction targets or intensity of statin 354 dosing in relation to LDL-C reduction (79).

355

356 UK NICE guidance on lipid management of PAD falls in line with general cardiovascular prevention 357 (31, 41). It is not specific to patients with PAD. Lipid screening does not mention LDL-C measurement 358 in initial screening recommendations 1.3.4 UK NICE 2014 or in the required blood tests before statins 359 1.3.13 (41). However, it does advise that statins should be started on high-intensity doses, such as 360 atorvastatin 80mg or rosuvastatin 40mg, for secondary prevention purposes. UK NICE advises a 361 repeat lipid profile at three months and recommends an annual lipid screen to inform annual 362 prescription reviews. Non-HDL-C should have a 40% reduction, if not reached, clinicians should 363 increase statin dose, consider lifestyle changes, and discuss adherence to medication (41). 364 Ezetimibe is mentioned only in relation to hypercholesterolaemia, which was last reviewed in 2018, 365 despite having an additional effect of up to 24% LDL-C reduction in the IMPROVE-IT trial (41,58). 366 However, UK NICE guidance released in April 2020, includes lipid measurements; total cholesterol, 367 HDL-C, non-HDL-C, LDL-C, triglycerides (41). Only excluding the HDL-C/LDL-C ratio.

368

369 Treatment strategies for lowering LDL-C

370

Two different statin treatment strategies have been previously discussed in cardiovascular literature. Fire and forget (F&F)' consists of prescribing a low to moderate dose statin, but without any lipid screening or clinician follow-up or consequent statin titration (80,81). Those for this strategy argue that giving 10mg of atorvastatin to eight patients is four times as effective as giving 80mg to one person from a dose-responsive perspective (81). No lipid screening means less phlebotomy visits

and less statin side effects (81). One paper suggested that further cholesterol reduction in patients
with already reduced levels may only have limited vascular benefits and therefore further reductions
may be "overly zealous" (82). However, more recent trials have suggested that benefits increase
below 10mg/dL or 0.2mmol/L of LDL-C (25,83).

380

The 'treating to target' (T2T) method allows more individualised patient care by prescribing a statin and re-checking lipid levels after three months or annually and titrating the statin up as necessary (80). A study looking at the two different statin treatment strategies found that treating to target LDL-C level with follow up, significantly increased adherence to statins, and patients had lower cardiovascular disease event rates (80). This suggests that despite the initial cost-effectiveness of the F&F method, patients are cardiovascularly worse off.

387

A potential third lipid reduction strategy is the use of personalised reduction targets, where their LDL-C target is set at a 50% of their baseline. LDL-C percentage reduction is mentioned frequently in global guidance, but there remains a lack of comparison between strategies and implementation in practice. This enables major clinical differences in the management of hyperlipidaemic PAD patients, as those with higher base line LDL-C may not achieve the lower threshold targets set by the ESC of 1.4 mmol/L, as seen in table 4.

394

395 Table 4. Percentage reduction verses named targets

396

Table 5. Current global PAD and Lipid guidance summary. UK NICE= National Institute for Health
and Care Excellence. ESC= European Society of Cardiology. ESVS= European Society of Vascular
Surgery. ACC= American College of Cardiology. AHA= American Heart Association. EAS=
European Atherosclerosis Society. VHR= Very High Risk. *Alternative Dosing, taking statins on
alternative days.

402

Under-prescribing of Statins in PAD

404

405 Under-prescribing in PAD is well documented in the literature, where patients receive inadequate 406 statin dosing, antiplatelet or anticoagulation medicines compared to comparator groups with 407 coronary or cerebral vascular atherosclerosis (22,84-87). A Canadian vascular clinic study (n=208), 408 where half had PAD, found that of the 88% of patients taking a statin, 43% were moderate intensity 409 only (84). 32% of patients did not reach an LDL-C target of <2 mmol/L (84). An Irish study of 180 410 vascular patients found 86% were on statin therapy, but failed to segregate by dose and type, and 411 nevertheless urged that vascular surgeons take on more responsibility for medical management 412 (86).

413

More recently, a larger study by UK Vascular and Endovascular Research Network (VERN) n=440, found that PAD patients in ten vascular care centres across the UK had suboptimal care against UK and European guidance (87). The study found that only 11% of patients were on high-dose statin therapy and 39% anti-thrombotic agent; PAD patients also had a mean LDL-C of 2.7 mmol/L (87). Importantly, they found that medical optimisation of this cohort would lead to an absolute risk reduction of the ten-year cardiovascular risk by 29% (87).

420

In contrast, 83% of CAD patients were prescribed statins in the UK carotid interventions audit (88,89). Simple interventions could be the answer in improving statin prescription, and one study highlighted 'untapped' quality improvement lead by vascular junior doctors, which achieved an inpatient statin prescription rate of 100% (88). Teaching of the juniors included 20-minute presentations, a statin compliance form added to patient notes and senior positive input to remember statin prescription (88). This intervention could easily be applied to CLI and ALI inpatients, to ensure patients are discharged on high-intensity statins.

428

429 **Recommendations**

There is much room for change within global guidance. Firstly, to bring down lipid targets to 1.4mmol/L falling in line with ESC guidance or lower based on the emerging data from the PCSK-9i trials (47). Increasing clarity of the guidance specifically for PAD patients to be on high-intensity statins, using the T2T method, see figure 4 with appropriate adjunctive use of ezetimibe and PCSK-9i.

436

437 Fig. 4 Treat to Target recommendation by Sucharitkul et al., March 2021

438

Further research into PCSK-9i will give the scientific community a greater understanding of the longterm risks and benefits for patients. Additionally, the long-term effects of decreasing LDL-C to zero may provide evidence to support treating LDL-C to below 1.8mmol/L. Furthermore, comparative research between lipid-reduction strategies in PAD should be made a priority, as it is unknown which is superior. Qualitative studies of statins in PAD are needed to address statin adherence and intolerance.

445

446 UK and European guidance should be audited to understand the scale of the under prescribing of
447 statins and other medications in PAD patients, including under-represented groups. Overall an
448 international effort is needed to evaluate the medical care of PAD patients.

449

450 **Conclusion**

451

This review highlights the current lipid lowering treatments in patients suffering with PAD and advocates a T2T approach. All clinicians treating patients with PAD should prioritise lipid optimisation, however, further research is needed to determine the optimal lipid reduction strategy in PAD.

456

458 **Bibliography**

459

460 1. Rugge B, Balshem H, Sehgal R, Relevo R, Gorman P, Helfand M. Lipid Conversion Factors.

461 2011 [cited 2020 Nov 5]; Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK83505/

462 2. Peripheral arterial disease - Aetiology | BMJ Best Practice [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 14].
463 Available from: https://bestpractice.bmj.com/topics/en-gb/431/aetiology

464 3. Garcia LA. Epidemiology and Pathophysiology of Lower Extremity Peripheral Arterial Disease.

Journal of Endovascular Therapy [Internet]. 2006 Feb 25 [cited 2020 Oct 14];13(2_suppl):II-3-II–9.

466 Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/15266028060130s204

467 Hardman RL, Jazaeri O, Yi J, Smith M, Gupta R. Overview of classification systems in 4. peripheral artery disease [Internet]. Vol. 31, Seminars in Interventional Radiology. Thieme Medical 468 469 Publishers, Inc.; 2014 [cited 2021 Feb 2]. 378–88. Available from: р. 470 /pmc/articles/PMC4232437/?report=abstract

5. Selvin E, Erlinger TP. Prevalence of and risk factors for peripheral arterial disease in the
United States: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2000.
Circulation [Internet]. 2004 Aug 10 [cited 2020 Oct 15];110(6):738–43. Available from:
https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.0000137913.26087.F0

Song P, Rudan D, Zhu Y, Fowkes FJI, Rahimi K, Fowkes FGR, et al. Global, regional, and
national prevalence and risk factors for peripheral artery disease in 2015: an updated systematic
review and analysis. The Lancet Global Health [Internet]. 2019 Aug 1 [cited 2020 Oct 8];7(8):e1020–
30. Available from: www.thelancet.com/lancetgh

Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abate KH, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional,
and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for
195 countries, 1990-2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The
Lancet [Internet]. 2017 Sep 16 [cited 2020 Oct 8];390(10100):1211–59. Available from:
https://vizhub.

Bauersachs R, Zeymer U, Brière J-B, Marre C, Bowrin K, Huelsebeck M. Burden of Coronary
 Artery Disease and Peripheral Artery Disease: A Literature Review. Cardiovascular Therapeutics
 [Internet]. 2019 Nov 26;2019:1–9. Available from:
 https://www.hindawi.com/journals/cdtp/2019/8295054/

9. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, Nehler MR, Harris KA, Fowkes FGR. Inter-Society
Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II) [Internet]. Vol. 45, Journal
of Vascular Surgery. Elsevier; 2007 [cited 2020 Oct 15]. p. S5–67. Available from:
http://www.jvascsurg.org/article/S0741521406022968/fulltext

492 10. Aboyans V, Lacroix P, Doucet S, Preux P-M, Crigui MH, Laskar M. Diagnosis of peripheral 493 arterial disease in general practice: can the ankle-brachial index be measured either by pulse 494 palpation or an automatic blood pressure device?*. International Journal of Clinical Practice 495 [Internet]. 2008 May 6 [cited 2020 Oct 15];62(7):1001-7. Available from: 496 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2008.01784.x

497 11. Recommendations | Peripheral arterial disease: diagnosis and management | Guidance |
498 NICE.

12. Novo S. Classification, epidemiology, risk factors, and natural history of peripheral arterial
disease. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism [Internet]. 2002 Mar [cited 2020 Oct 8];4(s2):S1–6.
Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1463-1326.2002.0040s20s1.x

502 13. Criqui MH. Peripheral arterial disease - Epidemiological aspects. In: Vascular Medicine 503 [Internet]. Arnold; 2001 [cited 2020 Oct 15]. 3–7. p. Available from: 504 https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1358836x0100600i102

505 14. Shammas NW. Epidemiology, classification, and modifiable risk factors of peripheral arterial
506 disease [Internet]. Vol. 3, Vascular Health and Risk Management. Dove Press; 2007 [cited 2020 Oct
507 15]. p. 229–34. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC1994028/?report=abstract

508 15. Vavra AK, Kibbe MR. Women and Peripheral Arterial Disease. Women's Health [Internet].

509 2009 Nov;5(6):669–83. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.2217/WHE.09.60

510 Krishna SM, Moxon J v., Golledge J. A review of the pathophysiology and potential 16. 511 biomarkers for peripheral artery disease [Internet]. Vol. 16, International Journal of Molecular 512 Sciences. MDPI AG; 2015 [cited 2020 Oct 8]. p. 11294-322. Available from: 513 /pmc/articles/PMC4463701/?report=abstract

514 17. Higgins P, Higgins A. Epidemiology of Peripheral Arterial Disease in Women. Journal of
515 Epidemiology [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2020 Oct 15];13(1):1–14. Available from:
516 http://joi.jlc.jst.go.jp/JST.Journalarchive/jea1991/13.1?from=CrossRef

517 18. Teodorescu VJ, Vavra AK, Kibbe MR. Peripheral arterial disease in women. Journal of
518 Vascular Surgery. 2013 Apr 1;57(4 SUPPL.):18S-26S.

519 19. Ankur Sethi RRA. Medical management and cardiovascular risk reduction in peripheral
520 arterial disease. Experimental and Clinical Cardiology. 2008;13(3):113–9.

Aber A, Lumley E, Phillips P, Woods HB, Jones G, Michaels J. Themes that Determine
Quality of Life in Patients with Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Systematic Review [Internet]. Vol. 11,
Patient. Springer International Publishing; 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 10]. p. 489–502. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29736612/

525 21. Firnhaber JonathonPCS. Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease: Diagnosis and 526 Treatment. Amercian Family Physician. 2019;

Achterberg S, Cramer MJ m., Jaap KL, Gert Jan de B, Visseren FL j., Yolanda Van Der G,
et al. Patients with coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial obstructive disease differ in risk
for new vascular events and mortality: The SMART study. European Journal of Preventive
Cardiology [Internet]. 2010 Aug 1 [cited 2020 Oct 10];17(4):424–30. Available from:
http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1097/HJR.0b013e3283361ce6

532 23. Muluk SC, Muluk VS, Kelley ME, Whittle JC, Tierney JA, Webster MW, et al. Outcome events
533 in patients with claudication: A 15-year study in 2777 patients. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2001
534 Feb 1;33(2):251–8.

Anand SS, Caron F, Eikelboom JW, Bosch J, Dyal L, Aboyans V, et al. Major Adverse Limb
Events and Mortality in Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease The COMPASS Trial. 2018 [cited
2020 Oct 10]; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.03.008

Bonaca MP, Nault P, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, Pineda AL, Kanevsky E, et al. Low-Density
Lipoprotein Cholesterol Lowering with Evolocumab and Outcomes in Patients with Peripheral Artery
Disease: Insights from the FOURIER Trial (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research with PCSK9
Inhibition in Subjects with Elevated Risk). Circulation [Internet]. 2018 Jan 23 [cited 2020 Oct
18];137(4):338–50.

543 https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032235

26. Caro J, Migliaccio-Walle K, Ishak KJ, Proskorovsky I. The morbidity and mortality following
a diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease: Long term follow-up of a large database. BMC
Cardiovascular Disorders [Internet]. 2005 Jun 22 [cited 2020 Oct 15];5(1):1–8. Available from:
https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2261-5-14

54827.David W Lee PKGASSCSABTMMSLDSSVMACPCGDYL and MAC. Abstract 19292:549Cardiovascular Secondary Prevention Therapies are Underprescribed in Patients With Peripheral550Artery Disease: Findings From the NCDR PINNACLE Registry. Circulation [Internet]. 2018 [cited5512020Sep14];136.Available

552 https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/circ.136.suppl_1.19292

55328.Mizzi A, Cassar K, Bowen C, Formosa C. The progression rate of peripheral arterial disease554in patients with intermittent claudication: a systematic review. Journal of Foot and Ankle Research555[Internet].2019Dec6;12(1):40.Availablefrom:

556 https://jfootankleres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13047-019-0351-0

557 29. McDermott MM, Mandapat AL, Moates A, Albay M, Chiou E, Celic L, et al. Knowledge and 558 Attitudes Regarding Cardiovascular Disease Risk and Prevention in Patients With Coronary or 559 Peripheral Arterial Disease. Archives of Internal Medicine [Internet]. 2003 Oct 13;163(18):2157. 560 Available from: http://archinte.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/archinte.163.18.2157

30. Bevan GH, White Solaru KT. Evidence-Based Medical Management of Peripheral Artery
Disease. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology [Internet]. 2020 Mar;40(3):541–53.
Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/ATVBAHA.119.312142

31. 1 Recommendations | Cardiovascular disease: risk assessment and reduction, including
lipid modification | Guidance | NICE [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 12]. Available from:
https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg181/chapter/1-Recommendations#lipid-modification-therapyfor-the-primary-and-secondary-prevention-of-cvd-2

32. Aboyans V, Ricco J-B, Bartelink M-LEL, Björck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, et al. 2017 ESC
Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the
European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). European Heart Journal [Internet]. 2018 Mar
1;39(9):763–816. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/39/9/763/4095038

Willigendael EM, Teijink JAW, Bartelink ML, Peters RJG, Büller HR, Prins MH. Smoking and
the patency of lower extremity bypass grafts: A meta-analysis. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2005
Jul 1;42(1):67–74.

34. JUERGENS JL, BARKER NW, HINES EA. Arteriosclerosis Obliterans: Review of 520 Cases
with Special Reference to Pathogenic and Prognostic Factors. Circulation [Internet]. 1960 Feb [cited
2020 Oct 21];21(2):188–95. Available from:
https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.21.2.188

35. Hobbs SD, Bradbury AW. Smoking cessation strategies in patients with peripheral arterial
disease: An evidence-based approach. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery.
2003 Oct 1;26(4):341–7.

582 36. Lane R, Harwood A, Watson L, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication [Internet].
583 Vol. 2017, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2017 [cited 2020
584 Oct 22]. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD000990.pub4

585 37. Piepoli MF, Corrà U, Benzer W, Bjarnason-Wehrens B, Dendale P, Gaita D, et al. Secondary 586 prevention through cardiac rehabilitation: From knowledge to implementation. A position paper from 587 the cardiac rehabilitation section of the European association of cardiovascular prevention and

588 rehabilitation [Internet]. Vol. 17, European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. 589 SAGE Publications Inc.; 2010 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. p. 1–17. Available from: 590 http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1097/HJR.0b013e3283313592

38. McDermott MM. Exercise training for intermittent claudication. In: Journal of Vascular Surgery
[Internet]. Mosby Inc.; 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. p. 1612–20. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28874320/

594 39. AE Harwood GSEBTCDCIC. Access to supervised exercise services for peripheral vascular 595 disease [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available patients from: 596 https://publishing.rcseng.ac.uk/doi/pdf/10.1308/rcsbull.2017.207

597 40. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, Nehler MR, Harris KA, Fowkes FGR. Inter-Society
598 Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II) [Internet]. Vol. 45, Journal
599 of Vascular Surgery. J Vasc Surg; 2007 [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from:
600 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17223489/

41. NICE. Summary of national guidance for lipid management [Internet]. NHS England, editor.

602 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 16]. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/aac/publication/summary-of-

603 national-guidance-for-lipid-management/

Endo A. A historical perspective on the discovery of statins [Internet]. Vol. 86, Proceedings
of the Japan Academy Series B: Physical and Biological Sciences. The Japan Academy; 2010 [cited
2020 Oct 10]. p. 484–93. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3108295/?report=abstract

43. Pinal-Fernandez I, Casal-Dominguez M, Mammen AL. Statins: pros and cons [Internet]. Vol.

150, Medicina Clinica. Ediciones Doyma, S.L.; 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 10]. p. 398–402. Available from:

609 /pmc/articles/PMC6019636/?report=abstract

44. Vaccinationist. Statin Images. Own work, based on PubChem, Public Domain,
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=53627829.

45. Aday AW, Everett BM. Statins in peripheral artery disease: What are we waiting for? [Internet].

Vol. 137, Circulation. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 10]. p. 1447–9. Available

from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.033092

615 46. Statins - NHS [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 10]. Available from:
616 https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/statins/

617 Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS 47. Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. 618 619 European Heart Journal 2020 1;41(1):111-88. Available [Internet]. Jan from: 620 https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/41/1/111/5556353

48. Khatib, R. and Neely, D. 2020. NICE Statin intolerance Pathway. [Accessed 20 March 2021].
Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/aac/wp-content/uploads/sites/50/2020/09/statinintolerance-pathway-03092020.pdf

624 49. Li Y-H, Ueng K-C, Jeng J-S, Charng M-J, Lin T-H, Chien K-L, et al. 2017 Taiwan lipid 625 guidelines for high risk patients. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association [Internet]. 2017 626 Apr;116(4):217–48. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0929664616304302 627 Collins R, Reith C, Emberson J, Armitage J, Baigent C, Blackwell L, et al. Interpretation of 50. 628 the evidence for the efficacy and safety of statin therapy [Internet]. Vol. 388, The Lancet. Lancet 629 Publishing Group: 2016 [cited 2020 Oct 18]. p. 2532-61. Available from: 630 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27616593/

631 51. ATORVASTATIN | Drug | BNF content published by NICE [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 18].
632 Available from: https://bnf.nice.org.uk/drug/atorvastatin.html

633 52. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 634 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the 635 Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart 636 Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Journal of the American College of 637 Cardiology [Internet]. 2019 Jun 25 [cited 2020 Oct 16];73(24):e285-350. Available from: 638 https://www.onlinejacc.org/content/73/24/e285

639 53. Wallace A, Chinn D, Rubin G. Taking simvastatin in the morning compared with in the
640 evening: Randomised controlled trial. British Medical Journal [Internet]. 2003 Oct 4 [cited 2020 Oct
641 18];327(7418):788. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC214096/

54. Phan BAP, Dayspring TD, Toth PP. Ezetimibe therapy: Mechanism of action and clinical
update [Internet]. Vol. 8, Vascular Health and Risk Management. Dove Press; 2012 [cited 2020 Oct
12]. p. 415–27. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3402055/?report=abstract

55. Davidson MH, Voogt J, Luchoomun J, Decaris J, Killion S, Boban D, et al. Inhibition of
intestinal cholesterol absorption with ezetimibe increases components of reverse cholesterol
transport in humans. Atherosclerosis. 2013 Oct 1;230(2):322–9.

Kosoglou T, Statkevich P, Johnson-Levonas AO, Paolini JF, Bergman AJ, Alton KB.
Ezetimibe: A review of its metabolism, pharmacokinetics and drug interactions [Internet]. Vol. 44,
Clinical Pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacokinet; 2005 [cited 2020 Oct 19]. p. 467–94. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15871634/

57. Kastelein JJP, Akdim F, Stroes ESG, Zwinderman AH, Bots ML, Stalenhoef AFH, et al.
Simvastatin with or without Ezetimibe in Familial Hypercholesterolemia. New England Journal of
Medicine [Internet]. 2008 Apr 3 [cited 2020 Oct 12];358(14):1431–43. Available from:
http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa0800742

58. Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, McCagg A, White JA, Theroux P, et al. Ezetimibe
Added to Statin Therapy after Acute Coronary Syndromes. New England Journal of Medicine
[Internet]. 2015 Jun 18;372(25):2387–97. Available from:
http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410489

Wang J, Ai XB, Wang F, Zou YW, Li L, Yi XL. Efficacy of ezetimibe combined with atorvastatin
in the treatment of carotid artery plaque in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with
coronary heart disease. International Angiology [Internet]. 2017 Oct 1 [cited 2020 Oct 12];36(5):467–
73. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28641407/

664 60. West AM, Anderson JD, Meyer CH, Epstein FH, Wang H, Hagspiel KD, et al. The effect of 665 ezetimibe on peripheral arterial atherosclerosis depends upon statin use at baseline. 666 Atherosclerosis. 2011 Sep 1;218(1):156–62.

667 61. Brunner G, Yang EY, Kumar A, Sun W, Virani SS, Negi SI, et al. The Effect of Lipid
668 Modification on Peripheral Artery Disease after Endovascular Intervention Trial (ELIMIT).
669 Atherosclerosis. 2013 Dec 1;231(2):371–7.

670 62. West AM, Anderson JD, Epstein FH, Meyer CH, Wang H, Hagspiel KD, et al. Low-density 671 lipoprotein lowering does not improve calf muscle perfusion, energetics, or exercise performance in 672 peripheral arterial disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology [Internet]. 2011 Aug 30 673 [cited 2020 Oct 12];58(10):1068–76. Available from: https://www.onlinejacc.org/content/58/10/1068 674 Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, Emberson J, Wheeler DC, Tomson C, et al. The effects of 63. 675 lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease 676 (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet [Internet]. 677 2011 Available Jun;377(9784):2181–92. from:

678 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673611607393

679 64. Chaudhary R, Garg J, Shah N, Sumner A. PCSK9 inhibitors: A new era of lipid lowering
680 therapy. World Journal of Cardiology [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 19];9(2):76. Available from:
681 /pmc/articles/PMC5329749/?report=abstract

682 Bonaca MP, Nault P, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, Pineda AL, Kanevsky E, et al. Low-Density 65. 683 Lipoprotein Cholesterol Lowering With Evolocumab and Outcomes in Patients With Peripheral Artery 684 Disease. Circulation [Internet]. 2018 23;137(4):338-50. Available from: Jan 685 https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032235

686 66. Pokhrel B, Yuet WC, Levine SN. PCSK9 Inhibitors [Internet]. StatPearls. StatPearls
687 Publishing; 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 19]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28846236
688 67. Singh S, Bittner V. Familial Hypercholesterolemia—Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Screening
689 [Internet]. Vol. 17, Current Atherosclerosis Reports. Current Medicine Group LLC 1; 2015 [cited 2020
690 Oct 20]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25612857/

68. Benito-Vicente A, Uribe KB, Jebari S, Galicia-Garcia U, Ostolaza H, Martin C. Familial
hypercholesterolemia: The most frequent cholesterol metabolism disorder caused disease [Internet].

Vol. 19, International Journal of Molecular Sciences. MDPI AG; 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 20]. Available
from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30388787/

695 69. Mabuchi H, Nohara A, Noguchi T, Kobayashi J, Kawashiri M aki, Inoue T, et al. Genotypic 696 and phenotypic features in homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia caused by proprotein 697 convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) gain-of-function mutation. Atherosclerosis [Internet]. 698 2014 [cited 2020 Oct 20];236(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25014035/

70. 1 Recommendations | Evolocumab for treating primary hypercholesterolaemia and mixed
dyslipidaemia | Guidance | NICE.

701 71. PCSK9 inhibitors: an alternative to statins? | BHF [Internet]. [cited 2020 Oct 19]. Available
 702 from: https://www.bhf.org.uk/for-professionals/healthcare-professionals/blog/2018/pcsk9-inhibitors

703 72. O'Donoghue M, Giugliano R, Keech A, Kanevsky E, Im K, Pineda AL, et al. Lipoprotein(a),
 704 PCSK9 Inhibition and cardiovascular risk: Insights from the Fourier trial. Atherosclerosis [Internet].

705 2018 Aug 1 [cited 2020 Oct 18];275:e9–10. Available from: http://www.atherosclerosis-706 journal.com/article/S0021915018312899/fulltext

707 73. Albers JJ, Wahl P, Hazzard WR. Quantitative genetic studies of the human plasma Lp(a)
708 lipoprotein. Biochemical Genetics [Internet]. 1974 [cited 2020 Oct 20];11(6):475–86. Available from:
709 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00486079

74. Boerwinkle E, Leffert CC, Lin J, Lackner C, Chiesa G, Hobbs HH. Apolipoprotein(a) gene
accounts for greater than 90% of the variation in plasma lipoprotein(a) concentrations. Journal of
Clinical Investigation. 1992 Jul 1;90(1):52–60.

713 75. Schwartz GG, Steg PG, Szarek M, Bhatt DL, Bittner VA, Diaz R, et al. Alirocumab and 714 Cardiovascular Outcomes after Acute Coronary Syndrome. New England Journal of Medicine 715 [Internet]. 2018 Nov 29 [cited 2020 Oct 16];379(22):2097–107. Available from: 716 http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1801174

717 76. Ridker PM, Tardif J-C, Amarenco P, Duggan W, Glynn RJ, Jukema JW, et al. Lipid-Reduction
718 Variability and Antidrug-Antibody Formation with Bococizumab. New England Journal of Medicine

719 [Internet]. 2017 Apr 20 [cited 2020 Oct 18];376(16):1517–26. Available from:
720 http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1614062

721 77. Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, et al.
2016 AHA/ACC Guideline on the Management of Patients With Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery
723 Disease: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart
724 Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation [Internet]. 2017 Mar 21;135(12).
725 Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.000000000000470

726 78. Koskinas KC, Windecker S, Pedrazzini G, Mueller C, Cook S, Matter CM, et al. Evolocumab
for Early Reduction of LDL Cholesterol Levels in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes
(EVOPACS). Journal of the American College of Cardiology [Internet]. 2019 Nov 19 [cited 2020 Nov
3];74(20):2452–62. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31479722/

730 79. Abola MTB, Golledge J, Miyata T, Rha SW, Yan BP, Dy TC, et al. Asia-Pacific consensus
r31 statement on the management of peripheral artery disease: A report from the Asian Pacific society
r32 of atherosclerosis and vascular disease Asia-Pacific peripheral artery disease consensus statement
r33 project committee. Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct
r34 16];27(8):809–907. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32624554/

Wei L, MacDonald TM, Watson AD, Murphy MJ. Effectiveness of two statin prescribing
strategies with respect to adherence and cardiovascular outcomes: Observational study.
Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety [Internet]. 2007 Apr [cited 2020 Oct 30];16(4):385–92.
Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16998946/

81. Lewis LS. The CASE for fire and forget [Internet]. Vol. 336, BMJ. BMJ Publishing Group;
2008 [cited 2020 Oct 30]. p. 406. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2249647/

82. Shepherd J. Statins for Primary Prevention: Strategic Options to Save Lives and Money.
Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine [Internet]. 2004 Feb 23 [cited 2020 Oct 30];97(2):66–71.
Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/014107680409700205

Navarese EP, Robinson JG, Kowalewski M, Kołodziejczak M, Andreotti F, Bliden K, et al.
Association between baseline LDL-C level and total and cardiovascular mortality after LDL-C
lowering a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical
Association [Internet]. 2018 Apr 17 [cited 2020 Nov 19];319(15):1566–79. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29677301/

750 84. Chan J, Rajalingam T, Fossella J, Zhou H, Eisenberg N, Roche-Nagle G. Vascular Quality
751 of Care Assessment: Clinicians' Adherence to Lipid-Lowering Therapy for Patients with
752 Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. Annals of Vascular Surgery [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct
753 12]; Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32554202/

85. Gornik HL, Creager MA. Contemporary management of peripheral arterial disease: I.
Cardiovascular risk-factor modification. Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine [Internet]. 2006 [cited
2020 Oct 21];73(SUPPL.4):30–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17385389/

757 86. Coveney AP, O'Brien GC, Fulton GJ. ACE up the sleeve - are vascular patients medically
758 optimized? Vascular Health and Risk Management [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2020 Oct 21];7(1):15–21.
759 Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21339909/

760 Saratzis A, Jaspers NEM, Gwilym B, Thomas O, Tsui A, Lefroy R, et al. Observational study 87. 761 of the medical management of patients with peripheral artery disease. British Journal of Surgery 762 [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 21];106(9):1168-77. Available Aug 1 from: 763 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31259387/

764 Agha RA, Camm CF, Edison E, Browning N. Improving Compliance with Statins in Patients 88. 765 with Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Quality Improvement Study. Annals of Medicine and Surgery 766 [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2020 Oct 21];1:30–3. Available from: 767 /pmc/articles/PMC4523151/?report=abstract

89. Magill Hons R, Kamugasha BEng Hons D, Hoffman LCST Stroke Programme Manager A,
Grant DipStat R, Lowe D, Lees FRCS T, et al. Public Report The Clinical Standards Department
Royal College of Physicians of London UK Audit of Vascular Surgical Services & Carotid

Endarterectomy Report compiled by Clinical authors and advisors Acknowledgements [Internet].
2010 [cited 2020 Oct 21]. Available from: www.rcplondon.ac.uk

90. Li Y-H, Ueng K-C, Jeng J-S, Charng M-J, Lin T-H, Chien K-L, et al. 2017 Taiwan lipid
guidelines for high risk patients. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association [Internet]. 2017

Apr;116(4):217–48. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0929664616304302

776

REVISED FIGURES: 21st March 2021

Sucharitkul Et al. Lipid optimisation in peripheral arterial disease

To Convert from mmol/L to mg/dL				
Total/HDL-C/LDL-C mmol/L	*38.67 = mg/dL			
For Triglycerides mmol/L	*88.57 = mg/dL			
To Convert from mg/dL to mmol/L				
Total/HDL-C/LDL-C mg/dL	/38.67 = mmol/L			
For Trialycerides ma/dl	/00 EZ			

Table 1. Lipid conversions adapted from (1)

SMART trial	CVD patients	PAD patients
Statin prescription	74%	53%
Aspirin prescription	89%	65%
Annual risk of vascular events	3.10%	3.20%

Table 2. Comparison between peripheral arterial disease patients (PAD) and cardiovascular

disease (CVD). Adapted from results from the Secondary Manifestations of ARTerial disease (SMART) trial (n= 3563) (22).

		With CVD		
	Without CVD	High Risk	Very High Risk	
Primary non-FH or Mixed	Not	Only if LDL-	Only if LDL-C	
dyslipidaemia	recommended	C >4mmol/L	>3.5 mmol/L	
Primary heterozygous FH	Only if LDL-C >5.0mmol/L	Only if LDL-C >3.5 mmol/L		

Table 3. Adapted from NICE Evolocumab guidance, showing which patient groups may

receive Evolocumab (70). FH= Familial Hypercholesterolemia. High Risk= Includes PAD.

Very High Risk= polyvascular disease (disease in multiple vascular beds).

Baseline LDL-C mmol/L	>50% reduction mmol/L	Meets ESC target of <1.4mmol/L?
7	<3.5	No
5	<2.5	No
3	<1.5	No
2	<1.0	Yes

Table 4. Percentage reduction verses named targets. ECS= European Society of Cardiology.

PAD/Lipid guidance	LDL-C Target	Non-HDL-C Target	Statin type/dose	Statin Intolerance	Ezetimibe	PCSK-9i
NICE PAD 2008/2014 (11)	Refers to CVD guidance	Refers to CVD guidance	Refers to lipid guidance	Refers to lipid guidance	Refers to lipid guidance	Refers to lipid guidance
ESC/ESVS PAD 2017 (32)	<1.8mmol OR greater than or equal to 50%	Not mentioned	Not mentioned, 'Statin' only	Not mentioned	is beneficial	Fourier trial noted- awaiting further trials
ACC/AHA PAD 2016 (77)	No Target	No Target	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Not mentioned
Asia- Pacific 2018 (79)	No target	No target	Not mentioned, 'Statin' only	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Not mentioned
Lipid specific NICE 2020 (41)	Reduce LDL- C by 40% OR <1.8mmol/L	<2.5mmol/L	Atorvastatin 80mg OR Rosuvastatin 40mg	De- challenge and Re- Challenge	Add after 3mth if targets not met	LDL-C >3.5mmol/L AND VHR on max ezetimibe/statin PAD= VHR
Lipid specific ESC/EAS 2019 (47)	<1.4 mmol/L Reduce LDL greater or equal to 50% of baseline	<2.2mmol/L	Statins which reduce LDL by more than 50%	Alternative dosing*	Add if target not achieved on max statin	Add if target not achieved on max statin PAD= VHR
Lipid specific ACC/AHA 2018 (52)	Reduce LDL- C by 50% in ASCVD OR <1.8 mmol/L if VHR	<2.6mmol/L	High- intensity statins which reduce LDL- C by more than 50%	Alternative dosing* or re- challenge	Add if target not achieved on max statin	Add if target not achieved on max statin. But consider long term unknown side effects
Lipid Specific Taiwan 2018 (90)	<2.5 mmol/L for PAD only <1.4mmol/L (ACS and DM)	<2.5mmol/L	High- intensity statins which reduce LDL- C by more than 50%	Not mentioned	Add if target not achieved on max statin	Consider for statin intolerant/statin resistant or FH

Table 5. Current global PAD and Lipid guidance summary. UK NICE= National Institute for
 Health and Care Excellence. ESC= European Society of Cardiology. ESVS= European
 Society of Vascular Surgery. ACC= American College of Cardiology. AHA= American Heart
 Association. EAS= European Atherosclerosis Society. VHR= Very High Risk. *Alternative
 Dosing, taking statins on alternative days



814
815 Figure 2. Chemical structure of statin types used for cholesterol reduction. Adapted from
816 (44).



CRP=C Reactive Protein, CK= Creatine Kinase ULN=Upper Limit of Normal. Adapted from UK NICE Statin Intolerance Pathway June 2020. *Seek specialist advice, check local guidance

826 827 828

Figure 3. Summary of UK NICE Statin intolerance algorithm June 2020. Adapted from (48).



LDL-C = Low-Density Lipoprotein cholesterol, LFT= Liver Function Tests, U&E= Urea and Electrolytes, HbA1c= Glycated haemoglobin, eGFR= Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate

**Check local guidance, LDL-C 3.5>mmol/L PCSK9i threshold based on UK NICE lipid guidance 2020 (41)

- Figure 4. Treat to Target recommendation by Sucharitkul et al., March 2021. LDL-C= Low density lipoprotein cholesterol. PCSK9i= Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9.
 Based on (11, 41, 32, 47, 52, 80).
- 834

^{*}if eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² offer up to atorvastatin 20mg Max intensity= atorvastatin 80mg or rosuvastatin 40mg, LDL-C reduction of greater than 40% (41)