Soft drink and juice consumption and renal cell carcinoma incidence and mortality in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition

Alicia K Heath (1), Joanna L Clasen (1), Nick P Jayanth (1), Mazda Jenab (2), Anne Tjønneland (3,4), Kristina Elin Nielsen Petersen (3), Kim Overvad (5), Bernard Srour (6), Verena Katzke (6), Manuela M Bergmann (7), Matthias B Schulze (8,9), Giovanna Masala (10), Vittorio Krogh (11), Rosario Tumino (12), Alberto Catalano (13), Fabrizio Pasanisi (14), Magritt Brustad (15), Karina Standahl Olsen (15), Guri Skeie (15), Leila Luján-Barroso (16,17), Miguel Rodríguez Barranco (18,19,20), Pilar Amiano (20,21), Carmen Santiuste (20,22), Aurelio Barricarte Gurrea (20,23,24), Håkan Axelson (25), Stina Ramne (26), Börje Ljungberg (27), Eleanor L Watts (28), Inge Huybrechts (2), Elisabete Weiderpass (2), Elio Riboli (1), David C Muller (1)

Affiliations:

(1) School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

(2) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC-WHO), Lyon, France

(3) Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

(4) Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

(5) Department on Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

(6) Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

(7) German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Nuthetal, Germany

(8) Department of Molecular Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Nuthetal, Germany

(9) Institute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Nuthetal, Germany

(10) Cancer Risk Factors and Life-Style Epidemiology Unit. Institute for Cancer Research,

Prevention and Clinical Network-ISPRO, Florence, Italy

(11) Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori di Milano, Milano, Italy

(12) Cancer Registry and Histopathology Department, Provincial Health Authority (ASP 7) Ragusa, Italy

(13) Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, Italy

(14) Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

(15) UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

(16) Unit of Nutrition and Cancer. Cancer Epidemiology Research Program, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

(17) Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute – IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

(18) Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública (EASP), Granada, Spain

(19) Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Granada, Spain

(20) Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública

(CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

(21) Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, BioDonostia Research Institute, Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain

(22) Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Council, IMIB-Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain

(23) Navarra Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain

(24) Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA) Pamplona, Spain

(25) Division of Translational Cancer Research, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

(26) Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden
(27) Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
(28) Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Running title: Soft drink and juice consumption and renal cell carcinoma

Keywords: sweetened beverages; soft drinks; juice; kidney cancer; renal cell carcinoma

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; HR, hazard ratio; IQR, interquartile range; RCC, renal cell carcinoma

Financial support: Cancer Research UK Population Research Fellowship (DCM); Imperial College London President's PhD Scholarship (JLC); ELW was supported by the Nuffield Department of Population Health Early Career Research Fellowship. The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and also by the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London which has additional infrastructure support provided by the NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). The national cohorts are supported by: Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue Contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke (DIfE), Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Germany); Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro-AIRC-Italy, Compagnia di SanPaolo and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Health Research Fund (FIS) - Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra, and the Catalan Institute of Oncology - ICO (Spain); Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Research Council and County Councils of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer Research UK (14136 to EPIC-Norfolk; C8221/A29017 to EPIC-Oxford), Medical Research Council (1000143 to EPIC-Norfolk; MR/M012190/1 to EPIC-Oxford). (United Kingdom).

Corresponding authors:

Alicia K Heath <u>a.heath@imperial.ac.uk</u> School of Public Health Imperial College London London, UK, W2 1PG +44(0)20 7594 1266

David C Muller david.muller@imperial.ac.uk School of Public Health Imperial College London London, UK, W2 1PG +44 (0)20 7594 0856

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

Background

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for more than 80% of kidney cancers in adults and obesity is a known risk factor. Regular consumption of sweetened beverages has been linked to obesity and several chronic diseases including some types of cancer. It is uncertain whether soft drink and juice consumption is associated with risk of RCC.

We investigated the associations of soft drink and juice consumption with RCC incidence and mortality in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC).

Methods

389,220 EPIC participants with median age 52 years at recruitment (1991-2000) were included. Cox regression yielded adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for RCC incidence and mortality in relation to intakes of juices and total, sugar-sweetened, and artificially-sweetened soft drinks.

Results

888 incident RCCs and 356 RCC deaths were identified. In models including adjustment for body mass index and energy intake, there was no higher risk of incident RCC associated with consumption of juices (HR per 100 g/day increment=1.03, 95% CI 0.97-1.09), total soft drinks (HR=1.01, 0.98-1.05), sugar-sweetened soft drinks (HR=0.99, 0.94-1.05), or artificially-sweetened soft drinks (HR=1.02, 0.96-1.08). In these fully-adjusted models, none of the beverages were associated with RCC mortality (HR, 95% CI per 100 g/day increment 1.06, 0.97-1.16; 1.03, 0.98-1.09; 0.97, 0.89-1.07; and 1.06, 0.99-1.14, respectively).

Conclusions

Consumption of juices or soft drinks was not associated with RCC incidence or mortality after adjusting for obesity.

Impact

Soft drink and juice intakes are unlikely to play an independent role in RCC development or mortality.

Introduction

Consumption of sweet beverages such as soft drinks and juices has been rising worldwide (1). These beverages contribute to adiposity (1, 2) and contain additives and chemical contaminants from food packaging that might have carcinogenic properties (3). Sweetened beverage consumption has been suggested to be associated with the incidence of obesity-related cancers such as kidney cancer, but results from epidemiological studies are inconclusive (4-6), and kidney cancer mortality remains unexplored.

We investigated soft drink and juice consumption in relation to renal cell carcinoma (RCC) incidence and mortality in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC).

Materials and Methods

Participants

EPIC is a prospective cohort study of >520,000 participants aged 30-70 years, recruited between 1991-2000 in 10 European countries. At recruitment, data on diet, lifestyle, medical history, anthropometric measurements and blood samples were collected (7). All participants provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the ethics committees of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and each participating centre.

Soft drink and juice consumption

Baseline soft drink and juice consumption was mostly assessed by diet questionnaires covering the past year (7). Total soft drinks combined carbonated/soft/isotonic drinks and diluted syrups, and was subdivided into sugar-sweetened and artificially-sweetened soft drinks. Types of soft drinks were unmeasured in Italy, Spain, and Umeå (Sweden), and these centres were excluded from this part of the analyses. Juices comprised fruit and vegetable juices and nectars.

Ascertainment of cases

Cancer cases and deaths were ascertained through linkage to population registries or active follow-up, depending on the study centre. RCC was defined as ICD-10 C64. Participants were followed from recruitment until date of first invasive cancer diagnosis (for RCC incidence analyses), death, emigration, or end of follow-up, whichever occurred first.

Statistical analysis

Multivariable Cox regression models with age as the timescale were used to estimate hazard

ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for RCC incidence and mortality in relation to intakes of juices and total, sugar-sweetened, and artificially-sweetened soft drinks modelled continuously (per 100g/day increment) and as 3-knot restricted cubic splines. Models were stratified by sex and country and adjusted for age at recruitment, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, juice intake (for soft drink analyses), and total soft drink intake (for juice analyses). Models for sugar-sweetened and artificially-sweetened soft drinks were mutually adjusted. Separate models additionally adjusted for body mass index (BMI) and total energy intake. Interactions with sex were evaluated with likelihood ratio tests. Sensitivity analyses were performed additionally adjusting for fruit and vegetable intake, excluding the first two years of follow-up, and excluding participants with self-reported diabetes at baseline. All analyses were conducted using Stata 13.1 (StataCorp, USA).

Results

389,220 participants with complete data were included, in whom 888 incident RCCs and 356 RCC deaths occurred during a mean follow-up of 15 years for incidence and 16 years for mortality (range 0–22.8). **Table 1** displays characteristics of participants.

Intakes of juices and total, sugar-sweetened, or artificially-sweetened soft drinks were not associated with RCC incidence (**Table 2**). Total and artificially-sweetened soft drinks were positively associated with RCC mortality in models unadjusted for BMI and energy intake, but not after adjustment. Juice consumption was positively associated with RCC mortality in women, even after adjustment for BMI and energy intake (HR per 100 g/day increment=1.17, 95% CI 1.05-1.29, *P*_{interaction} by sex=0.02). There was no strong evidence of non-linearity of associations (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2), and in fully-adjusted models HRs (95% CIs) for 400 g/day compared with no intake of juices, total soft drinks, sugar-sweetened soft drinks, and artificially-sweetened soft drinks were 1.06 (0.85-1.34), 1.13 (0.93-1.38), 1.00 (0.77-1.29), and 1.21 (0.91-1.61) respectively for RCC incidence, and 1.25 (0.87-1.79), 1.01 (0.75-1.37), 0.86 (0.59-1.27), and 1.38 (0.93-2.05) for RCC mortality (Supplementary Table S1). Results were similar in sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Tables S2, S3, and S4).

Discussion

In this prospective European study, intakes of juices or soft drinks were not associated with RCC incidence or mortality independent of obesity.

The absence of clear associations between consumption of juices and RCC risk in EPIC is consistent with other prospective studies (4, 8). The higher RCC mortality associated with higher juice intake in women is not interpretable and could be a chance finding.

The lack of association between soft drink consumption and RCC mortality aligns with previous EPIC findings showing no association between soft drink consumption and overall cancer mortality, despite a strong association with all-cause mortality (9). A meta-analysis did not identify associations between soft drink consumption and several cancer types, including kidney cancer (5), and other prospective studies investigating RCC/kidney cancer similarly have not found clear associations (4, 6).

Strengths of this study include its prospective design in European populations with different food and beverage habits, long follow-up time, many RCC cases, and detailed personal and lifestyle information which enabled control for multiple covariates. Limitations include the single assessment of diet at baseline, incomplete data on soft drink types in some countries, and inability to distinguish between juice types (fruit/vegetable/nectars/added sugars). Since few participants had very high intakes of these beverages, we cannot rule out the possibility that higher consumption levels might be associated with RCC.

In conclusion, in this large European prospective cohort study, consumption of soft drinks or juices was not associated with RCC incidence or mortality independent of obesity.

Disclaimer

Where authors are identified as personnel of the International Agency for Research on Cancer / World Health Organization, the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer / World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participants in the EPIC cohort for their invaluable contribution to the study. We acknowledge the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands, for their contribution and ongoing support to the EPIC Study and acknowledge the use of data from the EPIC-France cohort, PIs Gianluca Severi and Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault, EPIC-Utrecht cohort, PI Roel Vermeulen, EPIC-Asturias cohort, PI José Ramón Quirós, and EPIC-Norfolk cohort, PI Nick Wareham.

Availability of data and materials

For information on how to submit an application for gaining access to EPIC data and/or biospecimens, please follow the instructions at <u>http://epic.iarc.fr/access/index.php</u>

References

- 1. Luger M, Lafontan M, Bes-Rastrollo M, Winzer E, Yumuk V, Farpour-Lambert N. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Weight Gain in Children and Adults: A Systematic Review from 2013 to 2015 and a Comparison with Previous Studies. Obes Facts. 2017;10(6):674-93.
- 2. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness. Available from: dietandcancerreport.org [accessed 4 November 2020].
- Fiolet T, Srour B, Sellem L, Kesse-Guyot E, Allès B, Méjean C, et al. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and cancer risk: results from NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort. BMJ. 2018;360:k322.
- 4. Allen NE, Balkwill A, Beral V, Green J, Reeves G, Million Women Study Collaborators. Fluid intake and incidence of renal cell carcinoma in UK women. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(9):1487-92.
- 5. Boyle P, Koechlin A, Autier P. Sweetened carbonated beverage consumption and cancer risk: meta-analysis and review. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2014;23(5):481-90.
- 6. Hodge AM, Bassett JK, Milne RL, English DR, Giles GG. Consumption of sugarsweetened and artificially sweetened soft drinks and risk of obesity-related cancers. Public Health Nutr. 2018;21(9):1618-26.
- 7. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, et al. European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. Public Health Nutrition. 2002;5(6b):1113-24.
- 8. Lee JE, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Adami HO, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, et al. Intakes of coffee, tea, milk, soda and juice and renal cell cancer in a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies. International Journal of Cancer. 2007;121(10):2246-53.
- 9. Mullee A, Romaguera D, Pearson-Stuttard J, Viallon V, Stepien M, Freisling H, et al. Association Between Soft Drink Consumption and Mortality in 10 European Countries. JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179(11):1479-90.

	Wo	men	Μ	len	Overall			
	Total	Incident RCC cases	Total	Incident RCC cases	Total	Incident RCC cases		
n	264,652	373	124,568	515	389,220	888		
Age (years), median (IQR)	51.4 (45.0-58.0)	57.1 (50.7-62.1)	52.7 (46.2-59.2)	56.0 (50.8-61.2)	51.8 (45.3-58.5)	56.5 (50.8-61.5)		
Country ^a , % (n)								
Denmark	10.8 (28,596)	16.6 (62)	21.0 (26,171)	24.1 (124)	14.1 (54,767)	21.0 (186)		
France	23.1 (61,105)	1.1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	15.7 (61,105)	0.5 (4)		
Germany	10.3 (27,316)	14.5 (54)	17.0 (21,122)	20.8 (107)	12.4 (48,438)	18.1 (161)		
Italy	11.5 (30,465)	18.5 (69)	11.1 (13,785)	11.3 (58)	11.4 (44,250)	14.3 (127)		
The Netherlands	9.1 (24,087)	12.9 (48)	5.9 (7,388)	2.1 (11)	8.1 (31,475)	6.6 (59)		
Spain	9.3 (24,645)	10.5 (39)	12.1 (15,051)	15.5 (80)	10.2 (39,696)	13.4 (119)		
Sweden	9.9 (26,098)	14.5 (54)	17.6 (21,949)	16.1 (83)	12.3 (48,047)	15.4 (137)		
United Kingdom	16.0 (42,340)	11.5 (43)	15.3 (19,102)	10.1 (52)	15.8 (61,442)	10.7 (95)		
Education level, % (n)								
None/primary school	28.6 (75,751)	46.9 (175)	33.2 (41,410)	38.4 (198)	30.1 (117,161)	42.0 (373)		
Technical/professional school	21.8 (57,741)	27.1 (101)	25.3 (31,495)	21.6 (111)	22.9 (89,236)	23.9 (212)		
Secondary school	24.2 (63,992)	12.3 (46)	13.5 (16,864)	14.4 (74)	20.8 (80,856)	13.5 (120)		
Longer education	25.4 (67,168)	13.7 (51)	27.9 (34,799)	25.6 (132)	26.2 (101,967)	20.6 (183)		
Smoking status, % (n)								
Never	58.7 (155,273)	54.4 (203)	34.0 (42,410)	26.2 (135)	50.8 (197,683)	38.1 (338)		
Former	22.7 (60,121)	20.1 (75)	37.0 (46,030)	37.7 (194)	27.3 (106,151)	30.3 (269)		
Current	18.6 (49,258)	25.5 (95)	29.0 (36,128)	36.1 (186)	21.9 (85,386)	31.6 (281)		
Physical activity, % (n)								
Inactive	22.3 (58,926)	26.5 (99)	17.6 (21,923)	20.6 (106)	20.8 (80,849)	23.1 (205)		
Moderately inactive	36.3 (96,167)	37.5 (140)	31.8 (39,601)	37.9 (195)	34.9 (135,768)	37.7 (335)		
Moderately active	24.7 (65,315)	17.4 (65)	24.8 (30,892)	22.7 (117)	24.7 (96,207)	20.5 (182)		
Active	16.7 (44,244)	18.5 (69)	25.8 (32,152)	18.8 (97)	19.6 (76,396)	18.7 (166)		
Hypertension ^b , % (n)	17.8 (47,199)	29.8 (111)	20.2 (25,108)	30.5 (157)	18.6 (72,307)	30.2 (268)		
Diabetes ^b , % (n)	2.1 (5,577)	3.8 (14)	3.4 (4,176)	4.7 (24)	2.5 (9,753)	4.3 (38)		
BMI (kg/m ²), median (IQR)	24.0 (21.8-27.1)	25.6 (23.2-28.9)	26.1 (24.0-28.5)	27.1 (24.8-29.7)	24.8 (22.4-27.7)	26.5 (24.2-29.5)		
Alcohol intake (g/day), median (IQR)	4.2 (0.6-12.1)	1.8 (0.2-8.7)	12.9 (4.2-30.2)	13.6 (4.4-31.7)	6.4 (1.1-16.7)	7.5 (1.0-22.4)		
Energy intake (kcal/day), median (IQR)	1907.7 (1580.6-2293.2)	1806.2 (1496.6-2207.5)	2356.8 (1953.3-2818.4)	2341.6 (1986.0-2791.0)	2038.3 (1669.2-2478.1)	2119.5 (1724.6-2578.0)		
Fruit and vegetable juice intake (g/day), median (IQR) ^c	47.1 (10.7-120.0)	35.7 (8.3-120.0)	32.1 (8.3-101.9)	28.6 (8.2-103.4)	42.9 (9.0-120.0)	33.3 (8.3-120.0)		
Total soft drink intake (g/day), median (IQR) ^c	41.9 (13.4-138.5)	56.0 (14.8-175.5)	62.6 (19.7-194.9)	71.4 (16.4-157.1)	48.6 (16.4-157.1)	63.4 (16.4-171.4)		
Sugar-sweetened soft drink intake (g/day), median (IQR) ^{c,d}	28.6 (4.8-107.1)	31.5 (12.2-117.0)	45.5 (14.0-153.5)	46.3 (7.3-127.5)	32.1 (6.6-113.2)	35.4 (8.6-121.4)		
Artificially-sweetened soft drink intake (g/day), median (IQR) ^{c,d}	14.3 (2.0-85.7)	21.8 (6.6-103.8)	16.4 (3.3-85.7)	16.4 (3.3-89.0)	14.3 (2.0-85.7)	19.7 (6.5-92.3)		

Table 1: Characteristics of EPIC participants included in analyses of soft drink and juice consumption and risk of renal cell carcinoma.

^aGreece was excluded and Norway was not included in analyses since data on physical activity index was not available.

^bSelf-reported at recruitment.

^cMedian (IQR) among consumers. Overall ranges of intake were 0-4000 g/day for juices, 0-4202 g/day for total soft drinks, 0-4202 g/day for sugar-sweetened soft drinks, and 0-3389 g/day for artificially-sweetened soft drinks.

^dInformation on types of soft drinks was not available in Umeå (Sweden) and centres in Italy and Spain.

BMI, body mass index; IQR, interquartile range; n, number of participants; RCC, renal cell carcinoma

Table 2: Renal cell carcinoma incidence and mortality in relation to a 100 g/day increment in the consumption of juices, total soft drinks, sugar-sweetened soft drinks, and artificially-sweetened soft drinks in the EPIC study.

	RCC incidence ^a								RCC mortality ^b							
			Adjusted model ^c		Additionally adjusted for BMI and energy intake ^d				Adjusted model ^c			Additionally adjusted for BMI and energy intake ^d				
	Participants	Cases	HR (95% CI) per 100 g/day	Р	P interaction	HR (95% CI) per 100 g/day	Р	P interaction	Deaths	HR (95% CI) per 100 g/day	Р	P interaction	HR (95% CI) per 100 g/day	Р	P interaction	
Juice intake										0.						
Overall	389,220	888	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	0.31		1.03 (0.97-1.09)	0.39		356	1.08 (0.99-1.17)	0.11		1.06 (0.97-1.16)	0.20		
Women	264,652	373	1.02 (0.93-1.12)	0.71	0.78	1.02 (0.92-1.12)	0.74	0.82	158	1.18 (1.07-1.30)	0.001	0.02	1.17 (1.05-1.29)	0.003	0.02	
Men	124,568	515	1.04 (0.97-1.11)	0.32		1.03 (0.96-1.10)	0.40		198	0.94 (0.80-1.11)	0.50		0.93 (0.79-1.09)	0.38		
Total soft drink																
intake																
Overall	389,220	888	1.02 (0.99-1.06)	0.21		1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.46		356	1.05 (1.00-1.10)	0.06		1.03 (0.98-1.09)	0.28		
Women	264,652	373	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	0.08	0.25	1.04 (0.98-1.10)	0.19	0.32	158	1.09 (1.02-1.17)	0.01	0.16	1.07 (1.00-1.15)	0.07	0.19	
Men	124,568	515	1.01 (0.96-1.06)	0.76	0.23	1.00 (0.95-1.05)	0.99		198	1.02 (0.95-1.09)	0.66		1.00 (0.93-1.08)	0.97		
Sugar-sweetened																
soft drink intake ^e																
Overall	281,483	589	1.00 (0.95-1.06)	0.95		0.99 (0.94-1.05)	0.84		265	0.99 (0.91-1.09)	0.90		0.97 (0.89-1.07)	0.56		
Women	197,502	242	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	0.42	0.38	1.03 (0.94-1.13)	0.53	0.38	123	1.07 (0.95-1.20)	0.27	0.16	1.05 (0.93-1.18)	0.44	0.15	
Men	83,981	347	0.98 (0.92-1.06)	0.67		0.98 (0.91-1.05)	0.53		142	0.94 (0.83-1.07)	0.35		0.92 (0.81-1.05)	0.21		
Artificially-																
sweetened soft																
drink intake ^e																
Overall	281,483	589	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	0.32		1.02 (0.96-1.08)	0.61		265	1.08 (1.01-1.16)	0.03		1.06 (0.99-1.14)	0.11		
Women	197,502	242	1.06 (0.98-1.15)	0.13	0.32	1.05 (0.96-1.14)	0.28	0.37	123	1.10 (1.01-1.21)	0.03	0.52	1.08 (0.98-1.19)	0.11	0.57	
Men	83,981	347	1.00 (0.93-1.09)	0.93		0.99 (0.91-1.08)	0.85		142	1.06 (0.95-1.17)	0.30		1.04 (0.93-1.15)	0.49	0.57	

^aIncident RCC was defined as histologically-confirmed first invasive RCC diagnosis coded according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) C64.

^bRCC deaths included all deaths where the underlying cause of death was ICD-10 C64.

^cMultivariable Cox regression models were stratified by sex and country and adjusted for age at recruitment (years), educational attainment (none/primary school, technical or professional school, secondary school, longer education including university), smoking status (never, former, current), alcohol consumption (continuous, g/day), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active), juice intake (continuous, g/day; for soft drink analyses), and total soft drink intake (continuous, g/day; for juice analyses). Sugar-sweetened and artificially-sweetened soft drinks were mutually adjusted.

^dModels were as described for the adjusted model^c and additionally adjusted for body mass index (continuous, kg/m²) and total energy intake (continuous, kcal/day).

^eUmeå (Sweden) and centres in Italy and Spain were not included in these analyses as information on types of soft drinks was not available.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; RCC, renal cell carcinoma