#### **Chapman University**

### **Chapman University Digital Commons**

Student Scholar Symposium Abstracts and Posters

Center for Undergraduate Excellence

Spring 5-2021

# Analyzing Speech Recognition for Individuals with Down Syndrome

Yingying 'Yuki' Chen

Franceli L. Cibrian

Deanna Hughes

Vivian Genaro Motti

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd\_abstracts

Part of the Engineering Commons



## Analyzing Speech Recognition for Individuals with Down Syndrome

Yingying 'Yuki' Chen\*, Franceli L. Cibrian, Vivian Genaro Motti, Deanna Hughes \*<u>yingchen@chapman.edu</u>, Fowler School of Engineering, Chapman University

#### Introduction



- Speech recognition technologies are the base for voice assistants
- Speech recognition technologies are trained on "typical speech" patterns[1].
- They have trouble recognizing speeches from people with speech differences such as people with Down Syndrome[3].



Results

**Ground True**: *I* feel like I'm hit by a lovebug or something. A female with DS

**YouTube**: *what* I feel like I'm here like a like here something..

**IBM Watson:** I feel like I'm hit like yellow blanket or something.

#### Methods

- 10 YouTube videos with interviews of 15 people with Down Syndrome and 6 neurotypical.
- Get transcript from YouTube and IBM Watson
- Develop a python script to compare the match, mismatch, insert, delete, and word error rate [2] of people from different groups.



#### Conclusion

- **Interviewer:** highest match, lowest word error rate, lowest mismatch, insert, delete,
- **Neurotypical:** high match, low word error rate, low mismatch, insert, delete
- **Down Syndrome:** lowest match, highest word error rate, highest mismatch, insert, delete

People with disabilities like Down Syndrome are the ones who need voice assistant the most. Speech recognition technologies should be more inclusive



# References

- [1] Emily M Bender and Batya Friedman. 2018. Data statements for natural language processing: Toward mitigating system bias and enabling be er science. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics 6 (2018), 587–604.
- [2] Lanna Lima, Vasco Furtado, Elizabeth Furtado, and Virgilio Almeida. 2019. Empirical Analysis of Bias in Voice-based Personal Assistants. In Companion Proceedings of The 2019 World Wide Web Conference. 533–538.
- [3] Yingxin Pan, Danning Jiang, Lin Yao, Michael Picheny, and Yong Qin. 2010. E ects of automated transcription quality on non-native speakers' comprehension in real-time computer-mediated communication. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI

Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1725–1734.

 [4] Alisha Pradhan, Kanika Mehta, and Leah Findlater. 2018. "Accessibility Came by Accident" Use of Voice-Controlled Intelligent Personal Assistants by People with Disabilities. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference of

Intelligent Personal Assistants by People with Disabilities. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–13.