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PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NON-HOMOGENOUS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS


### 1.0 Introduction

Boundary value problems of partial differential equations are very often solved by the method of ${ }^{\ll}$ separation of variables ${ }^{\gg}$ or Fourier method. The method can be used without any difficulty in homogenous problems, that is, in prohlems where de differential equation and the boundary conditions are homogenous. Most of the textbooks concentrate their attention on such problems and for the inhomogenous case they merely suggest using an integral transform procedure. Nevertheless the Fourier method may be extented to treat the inhomogenous problems. A recent text by Tolstov (see reference l), treats the case when the differential equation is not homogenous but not the case when the boundary conditions are also inhomogenous. Kaplan (see reference 2), in his Advanced Calculus treats relatively simple cases of inhomogenous boundary conditions.

A general case with inhomogenous boundary conditions
 $\underset{\sim}{c h a n} \underset{\sim}{i c s}$ (see reference 3 ), on vibration of beams with ti-me-dependent boundary conditions. The method is valid not only for vibration of beams but also for other types of inhomogenous problems.

The object of this paper is to exhibit and apply the method to some particular problems. We will explain the
method using the problem of vibration of beams but we will also apply that general procedure for another type of problem. This is done only for convenience when working the examples.

### 2.0 Method of solution

The theory of elasticjey establishes that trarsverse displacements of a prismatical beam are governed by the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho A} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}= & \text { deflection of the beam. } \\
\mathrm{x}= & \text { position along the beam; } \mathrm{x}=0 \text { is one end } \\
& \text { and } \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L} \text { is the other end of the beam. } \\
\rho= & \text { density. } \\
\mathrm{A}= & \text { cross-sectional area of the beam. } \\
\mathrm{a}^{2}= & \frac{\mathrm{EI}}{\rho A}, \text { where } \mathrm{E} \text { and } \mathrm{I} \text { are the Young } \mathrm{s} \text { mo- } \\
& d u l u s \text { and the second moment of area of the } \\
& \text { cross section of the beam respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$q(x) p(t)=$ external load per unit length of beam. When the load does not vary with time, $p(t)=1$.

The boundary conditions migth, for example be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
W(0, t) & =f_{1}(t)  \tag{2}\\
W_{x}(0, t) & =f_{2}(t) \\
W_{x x}(L, t) & =f_{3}(t) \\
W_{x X x}(L, t) & =f_{4}(t)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(x, 0)=W_{0}(x)  \tag{3}\\
& W_{t}(x, 0)=\dot{W}_{0}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

The gist of the method consists in assuming that the solution will be given in two parts, one of which is later adjusted so as to simplify the boundary conditions on the other. On this account we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, t)=\tau(x, t)+\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(t) g_{i}(x) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we substitue equation (4) into (1), (2) and (3) we find that $\boldsymbol{\tau}(x, t)$ must satisfy

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
a^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \tau}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tau}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho A}-\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left[a^{2} f_{i}(t) g_{i}^{l v}(x)+\right. \\
\left.f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) g_{i}(x)\right] \\
\tau(0, t)=f_{1}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(t) g_{i}(0) \\
\tau_{x}(0, t)=f_{2}(t)-\sum_{i=1} f_{i}(t) g_{i}^{\prime}(0) \\
\tau_{x x}(L, t)=f_{3}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(t) g_{i}^{\prime \prime}(L) \\
\tau_{x x x}(L, t)=f_{4}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(t) g_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}(L)
\end{array}\right\}(6)
$$

Now comes the key of this method and that consists in choosing the functions $g_{i}(x)$ in such a way as to reduce $\tau(0, t), \tau_{x}(0, t), \tau_{x x}(1, t)$ and $\tau_{x x x}(L, t)$ to zero.

From equations (6) we can see that in order to have $\tau(0, t), \tau_{x}(0, t), \tau_{x x}(L, t)$ and $\tau_{x x x}(L, t)$ equal to zero,
we should choose the functions $g_{i}(x)$ under the following 16 conditions


We can notice that each column in equations (8) gives us four conditions for each function $g_{i}(x)$ and since derivatives of the fourth order of $g_{i}(x)$ are involved in equation (5), in order to satisfy these conditions we will choose polynomials of fifth degree in $x$, like the following:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
g_{1}(x)=a_{1}+b_{1} x+c_{1} x^{2}+d_{1} x^{3}+e_{1} x^{4}+f_{1} x^{5} \\
g_{2}(x)=a_{2}+b_{2} x+c_{2} x^{2}+d_{2} x^{3}+e_{2} x^{4}+f_{2} x^{5} \\
g_{3}(x)=a_{3}+b_{3} x+c_{3} x^{2}+d_{3} x^{3}+e_{3} x^{4}+f_{3} x^{5}  \tag{9}\\
g_{4}(x)=a_{4}+b_{4} x+c_{4} x^{2}+d_{4} x^{3}+e_{4} x^{4}+f_{f x^{5}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The procedure of finding the polynomials $g_{i}(x)$ is reduced now to solving systems of four equations. It could happen however, that the number of unknowns is more that the number of equations; in those cases we should make zero the coefficient of the term of highest degree in $x$ and also, if necessary, the coefficient of the term of second highest degree in the original system of equations. Again, if some of the constants $a_{i}, b_{i}, \ldots, f_{i}$, do not
appear in the system of equations, we should set them equal to zero also.

It is worthy to notice that the computation of $g_{i}(x)$ is only necessary when the corresponding $f_{i}(t)$ does not vanish.

Once the polynomials $g_{i}(x)$ have been found we can say that the problem has been reduced to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a^{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{4} \tau}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tau}{\partial t^{2}} & =\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho_{A}}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4}\left[a^{2} f_{i}(t) g_{i}^{i v}(x)+f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) g_{i}(x)\right] \\
\tau(0, t) & =0 ; \quad \tau_{x}(0, t)=0 \\
\tau_{x x}(L, t) & =0 ; \tau_{x x x}(L, t)=0  \tag{10}\\
\tau(x, 0) & =W_{0}(x)-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x) \\
\tau_{t}(x, 0) & =\dot{W}_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1} f_{i}^{\prime}(0) g_{i}(x)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Arriving at this state is really the aim of the method and in fact, as we can see, the time-dependence has been removed from the boundary conditions.

From that state on, the classical methods for free or forced vibrations can be used. However, we will complete the solution of the problem explaining its next stages.

A solution of (5) will be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n} T_{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{n}=X_{n}(x) \text { and } T_{n}=T_{n}(t)
$$

and we assume that the functions $X_{n}$ will be orthogonal with respect to the interval ( $O, L$ ), as indeed happens when the ends of the beams are fixed or free or simply supported or restarined against translation or rotation
by linear springs. The fact the functions $X_{n}$ are orthogoal implies we can expand the functions $q(x), g_{i}(x)$ and $g^{i v}(x)$ in series of functions $X_{n}$ using expansion formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{n} X_{n} \\
g_{i}(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{i n} X_{n}  \tag{12}\\
g_{i}^{i v}(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_{i n} X_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $Q_{n}, G_{i n}, \tilde{G}_{i n}$ are given by the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n}=\left[\int_{0}^{L} q(x) X_{n} d x\right] /\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & \\
\int_{0}^{2} & x_{n}^{2} d x
\end{array}\right] \\
& G_{\text {in }}=\left[\int_{0}^{L} g_{i}(x) X_{n} d x\right] /\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & \\
\int_{0}^{2} & x_{n}^{2} d x
\end{array}\right] \quad, i=1, \ldots, 4 \\
& \tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{\text {in }}=\left[\int_{0}^{L} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{iv}}(x) X_{n} d x\right] /\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & x_{n}^{2} d x \\
0 &
\end{array}\right], i=1, \ldots, 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, let us substitute equations (11) and (12) in equalion (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad a^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}^{i v_{T}} N_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n} T_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{p(t)}{\rho A} \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{n} X_{n}- \\
& -\Sigma_{i=1}^{4}\left[a^{2} f_{i}(t) \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_{i n} X_{n}+f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)^{\left.\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{i n} X_{n}\right]}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a^{2} X_{n}^{i v_{n}} n_{n}+X_{n} T_{n}^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n} X_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n} X_{n}\right.$

$$
\left.-\frac{p(t)}{p A} Q_{n} T T_{n}\right]=0
$$

Now, since the series is xero, the generating term w will be also equal to zero and after we divide that term by $X_{n} T{ }_{n}$, the variables are separated

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2} \frac{X_{n}^{i v}}{X_{n}}+\frac{T_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{n}} & +a^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n}}{T_{n}}+\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}}{T_{n}} \\
& -\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho A T_{n}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2} \frac{X_{r_{1}}^{i v}}{X_{n}} & =\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho A T_{n}}-\frac{T_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{n}}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} \frac{a^{2} f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n}}{T_{n}}+\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}}{T_{n}} \\
& =\lambda_{n}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this last equation we can get the equations governing $X_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ in the classical way

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{2} X_{n}^{i v}-\lambda_{n}^{4} X_{n}=0  \tag{14}\\
\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{P A T_{n}}-\frac{T_{n}^{\prime}}{T_{n}}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} \frac{a^{2} f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n}}{T_{n}}+\frac{f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}}{T_{n}}=\lambda_{n}^{4} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution of equation (14) is

$$
X_{n}=A_{n} \cos \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\sqrt{a}} X+B_{n} \sin \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\sqrt{a}} X+C_{n} \cosh \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\sqrt{a}} X+D_{n} \sinh \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\sqrt{a}} X
$$

where the constants $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ are given by the boundary conditions (10). These will determine a countably infinite set of eigenvalues, $\lambda_{n}$.

Also, once we know $X_{n}$, we will be able to compute the value of the constants $Q_{n}, G_{i n}$ and $\tilde{G}_{i n}$ which will be used in solving (15).

For convenience, suppose that we substitute

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(m_{n} \sqrt{a}\right) / L
$$

and after we use the boundary conditions (10) to determine the $m_{n}$, for convenience, in (15) set

$$
a m_{n}^{2}=w_{n} L^{2} .
$$

Then the general solution of (14) will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=A_{n} \cos \frac{m_{n} X}{L}+B_{n} \sin \frac{m_{n} X}{L}+C_{n} \cosh \frac{m_{n} X}{L}+D_{n} \sinh \frac{m_{n} X}{L} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (15) will become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho_{A T}}-\frac{T_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{n}}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} \frac{a^{2} f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n}+f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}}{T_{n}}= \\
=w_{n}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

or which is the same

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho_{A}}-T_{n}^{\prime}-\Sigma_{i=1} a^{2} f_{i}(t) \tilde{G}_{i n}+f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}= \\
=w_{n}^{2} T_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In order to avoid a large handling of terms let us call

$$
\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho A}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4}\left\{a^{2} f_{i}(t) \tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{i n}+f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) G_{i n}\right\}=P_{n}(t) .
$$

Thus we have the differential equation for $T_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{\prime \prime}+w_{n}^{2} T_{n}=P_{n}(t) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be solved using the method of variation of parameters which is applicable whenever we can solve
the reduced equation; in fact, the reduced equation

$$
T_{n}^{\prime \prime}+W_{n}^{2} T_{n}=0
$$

has two linearly independent solutions $\sin w_{n} t$ and $\cos W_{n} t$, so we try for $T_{n}$ a solution of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=v_{1} \sin w_{n} t+v_{2} \cos w_{n} t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, the parameters, are functions of $t$. After we use the method of variation of parameters we find for $T_{n}$ a solution like this:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{1}{w_{n}} \int_{0}^{t} P_{n}(s) \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we know, this is only a particular solution of the complete equation (17); ${ }^{2}$ general solution is given by adding to any particular solution of the complete equation the general solution of the reduced equation; in our case, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}=E_{n} \cos w_{n} t & +F_{n} \sin w_{n} t+ \\
& +\frac{1}{w_{n}} \int_{0}^{t} P_{n}(s) \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s . ~(20)
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of $\tau(x, t)$ will be given then as the sum from $n=1$ to $n=\infty$ of the product of (16) and (20).

It remains only to compute the values of the constants $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$, which can be done by using conditions (7). Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(x, 0)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} X_{n}=W_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x) \\
& \tau_{t}(x, 0)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n} X_{n} w_{n}=\dot{W}_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}^{\prime}(0) g_{i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar reasoning to that which led to equations (12).
and (13) allows us to compute $E_{n}$ and $F_{n}$ from the last two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L}\left[W_{0}(x)-\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x)\right] X_{n} d x}{\int_{0}^{L} X_{n}^{2} d x}  \tag{21}\\
& F_{n} \int_{0}^{L}\left[W_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{i}^{\prime}(0) g_{i}(x)\right] X_{n} d x \\
& W_{n}^{L} X_{n}^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

which finally completes the formal solution of the whole problem since we have found $\tau(x, t)$ and $g_{i}(x)$, and thus, the two parts of dour solution.

### 3.0 Application of the method

$\underset{\sim}{P} \underset{\sim}{r o b} \underset{\sim}{b l e m}$ 1. Consider the one-dimensional heat flow with sources and variable end temperatures, where the temperature $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-k \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=q(x) p(t)  \tag{1}\\
& u(0, t)=f_{1}(t)  \tag{2}\\
& u(L, t)=f_{2}(t) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), 0 \leq x \leq L \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us try a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(t) g_{i}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of
(4) into
(1), (2) and (3) gives

$$
\tau_{t}(x, t)-k \tau_{x x}(x, \dot{t})=q(x) p(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{\sum\left[k f_{i}(t) g_{i}(x)-f_{i}^{\prime}(t) g_{i}(x)\right]}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(0, t)=f_{1}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(t) g_{i}(0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(L, t)=f_{2}(t)-\varepsilon_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(t) g_{i}(L) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we want conditions (6) to be homogenous we should choose $g_{i}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
g_{1}(0)=1 & ; & g_{2}(0)=0  \tag{8}\\
g_{1}(L)=0 & ; & g_{2}(L)=1
\end{array}
$$

First degree polynomials in $x$ are enough for this example, so $g_{1}(x)=a_{1}+b_{1} x$ and $g_{2}(x)=a_{2}+b_{2} x$. Application of conditions (8) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}(x)=1-\frac{x}{L} \\
& g_{2}(x)=\frac{x}{L} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

With these polynomials the problem has been reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{t}(x, t)-k \tau_{x x}(x, t) & =q(x) p(t)-\Sigma_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) g_{i}(x)  \tag{10}\\
\tau(0, t) & =0  \tag{11}\\
\tau(L, t) & =0 \\
\tau(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we try for $\tau(x, t)$ a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n} T_{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n} T_{n}^{\prime}-k \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}^{\prime} T_{n}=q(x) p(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) g_{i}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider that $q(x)$ and $g_{i}(x)$ can be expanded in series of functions $X_{n}$ by means of the expansion formulas

$$
q(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{n} X_{n} \quad ; \quad g_{i}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{i n} X_{n}
$$

where

$$
Q_{n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L} q(x) x_{n} d x}{G_{i n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x}{g_{i}(x) x_{n} d x}} \frac{\int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x}{}
$$

then we can write (14) in the following form:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[X_{n} T_{n}^{\prime}-k X_{n}^{\prime \prime}-p(t) Q_{n} X_{n}+\Sigma_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) G_{i n} X_{n}\right]=0
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{T_{n}^{\prime}}{T_{n}}-\frac{k X_{n}^{\prime}}{X_{n}}-\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{T_{n}}+\frac{1}{T_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) G_{i n}=0
$$

or also

$$
\frac{X_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{n}}=\frac{T_{n}^{\prime}}{k T_{n}}-\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{k T_{n}}+\frac{1}{k T_{n}} \Sigma_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) G_{i n}=-\lambda .
$$

This last equation shows the variables separated, hence:

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda X_{n}=0  \tag{15}\\
T_{n}^{\prime}+\lambda k T_{n}=p(t) Q_{n}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) G_{i n}=P_{n}(t) \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution of (15) comes in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=A_{n} \sin x \sqrt{\lambda}+B_{n} \cos x \sqrt{\lambda} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying conditions (11) we get

$$
\lambda=\left(n^{2} \pi^{2}\right) / L^{2} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{n}=\sin ((n \pi x) / L)  \tag{18}\\
T_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}} k T_{n}=P_{n}(t) \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

The general solution for (19) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=e^{-\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k t}{L^{2}}}\left[C_{n}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k}{L^{2}} s P_{n}(s) d s\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we apply condition (12) we will have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n} T_{n}(0)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L}=u_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x)
$$

Therefore

$$
C_{n}=\frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left[u_{0}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}(0) g_{i}(x)\right] \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} d x
$$

And that completes the solution.
$\underset{\sim}{\text { Prob }} \underset{\sim}{2} \underset{\sim}{2} 2$. Let us consider a particular example of problem 1 and suppose then that

$$
\begin{gathered}
q(x) p(t)=0 ; \quad f_{1}(t)=0 ; \quad f_{2}(t)=F(t) \\
u_{0}(x)=0,0 \leq x \leq L
\end{gathered}
$$

With this data, let us start computing $C_{n}$ given by the equation (21) in problem l:

$$
C_{n}=-\frac{2 F(0)}{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{I} x \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} d x=\frac{2 F(0)(-1)^{n}}{n \pi}
$$

In order to compute $P_{n}(s)$ we only need $G_{2 n}$ because
$f_{l}^{\prime}(t)=0$, therefore

$$
G_{2 n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L} x X_{n} d x}{L \int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x}=-\frac{(-1)^{n} L / 2 \pi}{L / 2}=-\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n \pi}
$$

Now $P_{n}(s)$ is found to be

$$
P_{n}(s)=p(t) Q_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(t) G_{i n}=\frac{2(-1)^{n_{F}} F^{\prime}(s)}{n \pi}
$$

and from that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k s}{L^{2}}} P_{n}(s) d s=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k s}{L^{2}}} F^{\prime}(s) d s \\
= & \frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n \pi L^{2}}\left\{L^{2}\left[e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k t}{L^{2}}} \cdot F(t)-F(0)\right]-n^{2} \pi^{2} k \int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k s}{L^{2}}} F(s) d s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this values $T_{n}$ becomes

$$
T_{n}(t)=\frac{2(-1)^{n}}{n \pi L^{2}}\left[L^{2} F(t)-n^{2} \pi^{2} k e^{-\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k t}{L^{2}}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k s}{L^{2}}} F(s) d s\right]
$$

And the final solution will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t)= & \frac{x}{L} F(t)+\frac{2}{\pi L^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n}\left[L^{2} F(t)-n^{2} \pi^{2} k u\right. \\
& \left.e^{-\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k t}{L^{2}}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} k s}{L^{2}}} F(s) d s\right] \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next problem will be applications of MINDLIN and GOODMAN's procedure.
$\underset{\sim}{\text { Prob }}$ dem 3. Let us consider a cantilever beam whose free end is under the action of a cam producing vibrations with the following characteristics:

Boundary conditions:

$$
W(0, t)=P_{0} \sin k t ; W_{x x}(0, t)=0 ; W_{x}(L, t)=0
$$

$$
W(L, t)=0
$$

Initial conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(x, 0)=0 \\
& W_{t}(x, 0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The reason for these conditions is that we are starting from the position of equilibrium. Then the problem is to solve

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a^{2} & \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho A} \\
W(0, t) & =P_{0} \sin k t=f_{1}(t) \\
W_{x x}(0, t) & =0=f_{2}(t) \\
W_{x}(L, t) & =0=f_{3}(t) \\
W(L, t) & =0=f_{4}(t) \\
W(x, 0) & =0  \tag{3.3}\\
W_{t}(x, 0) & =0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

According to the method and our given conditions, the solution $W(x, t)$ will be given in the form

$$
W(x, t)=\tau(x, t)+P_{o} g_{1}(x) \text { sin } k t: \quad \text { (3.4) }
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{4} W}{\partial x^{4}}=\tau_{x}^{i v}(x, t)+P_{o} g_{l}^{\iota v}(x) \text { sin } k t, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial t^{2}}=\tau_{t}^{\prime \prime}(x, t)-k^{2} P_{o} g_{l}(x) \sin k t,
\end{aligned}
$$

and substitution of these last two equations in (3.1) givest us

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2} \tau_{x}^{\iota v}(x, t)+ & a^{2} P_{0} g_{1}^{\prime v}(x) \sin k t+\tau_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x, t)- \\
& -k^{2} P_{0} g_{1}(x) \sin k t=\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho A}
\end{aligned}
$$

By conditions (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(0, t)+P_{0} g_{1}(0) \sin k t=P_{0} \sin k t \\
& \tau_{\mathrm{xx}}(0, t)+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0) \sin k t=0 \\
& \tau_{x}(L, T)+P_{0} g_{l}^{\prime}(L) \sin k t=0 \\
& \tau(L, t)+P_{0} g_{1}(L) \sin k t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we want to have

$$
\tau(0, t)=0 ; \tau_{x x}(0, t)=0 ; \tau_{x}(L, t)=0 ; \tau(L, t)=0,
$$

$g_{1}(x)$ should be chosen such that

$$
g_{1}(0)=1 ; \quad g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0 ; \quad g_{1}^{\prime}(L)=0 ; g_{1}(L)=0 .
$$

Suppose that we choose a third degree polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=a_{1}+b_{1} x+c_{1} x^{2}+d_{1} x^{3}, \\
& g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=b_{1}+2 c_{1} x+3 d_{1} x^{2}, \\
& g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 c_{1}+6 d_{1} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the prior conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & =1 ; \quad c_{1}=0 \\
1+b_{1} L+d_{1} L^{3} & =0 ; \quad b_{1}=\frac{-1-d_{1} L^{3}}{L} \\
b_{1}+3 d_{1} L^{2} & =0 ; \quad b_{1}=3 d_{1} L^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 d_{1} L^{2}=\frac{1+d_{1} L^{3}}{L} ; \quad 2 d_{1} L^{3}=1 ; \\
d_{1}=\frac{1}{2 L^{3}} ; \quad b_{1}=-\frac{3}{2 L} . \\
g_{1}(x)=1-\frac{3}{2 L} x+\frac{1}{2 L^{3}} x^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now that we know $g_{1}(x)$ equation (3.5) becomes

$$
a^{2} \tau_{x}^{\mathrm{iv}}(x, t)+\tau_{t}^{\prime \prime}(x, t)=k^{2} P_{o} \cdot\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right) \sin k t+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{q(x) p(t)}{\rho_{A}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, conditions (3.3) applied to (3.4) gives us

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau(x, 0)=0, \\
\tau_{t}(x, 0)+k P_{0}\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Summing up the work that we have done so far, the problem has been reduced to solve equation (3.6) and the conditions for ( $x, t$ ) that we got from (3.2) and (3.3), that is (3.6) and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\tau(0, t) & =0 ; \tau_{x x}(0, t)=0  \tag{3.7}\\
\tau_{x}^{\prime}(L, t) & =0 ; \quad \tau(L, t)=0 \\
\tau(x, 0) & =0 \\
\tau_{t}^{\prime}(x, 0) & =-k P_{0}\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

This, of course, is a problem of forced motion but the time-dependence has been removed from the boundary conditions.

Now let us try a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}(x) T_{n}(t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the fact that $g_{1}(x)$ and $q(x)$ can be expanded in series of the orthogonal functions, gives us the following results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{1 n} X_{n} \quad(3.10) \\
& q(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{n} X_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as we know, $G_{1 n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are given by formulas (13) in section 1.0.

As it is explained in section 2.0 , substitution of
(3.9) and (3.10) in (3.6) will lead us to a solution for $X_{n}$ like the following

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{n}=A_{n} \cos \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{a}} x+B_{n} \sin \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{a}} x+C_{n} \sinh \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{a}} x \\
+D_{n} \cosh \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{a}} x . \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Conditions (3.7) imply

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X_{n}(0)=0 & ; & X_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0  \tag{3.12}\\
X_{n}^{\prime}(L)=0 & ; & X_{n}(L)=0
\end{array}
$$

So, let us compute $X_{n}^{\prime}$ and $X_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ :

And from conditions (3.12)

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{n}+D_{n}=0 ; A_{n}=-D_{n}  \tag{i}\\
-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{a} A_{n}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{a} D_{n}=0 ;-A_{n}-A_{n}=0  \tag{ii}\\
A_{n}=0 \quad ; D_{n}=0  \tag{iii}\\
B_{n} \sin \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}+C_{n} \operatorname{senh} \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}=0  \tag{iv}\\
B_{n} \cos \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}+C_{n} \cosh \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
C_{n} \sinh \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}} \cos \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}=C_{n} \cosh \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}} \sin \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}}=\tan \frac{\lambda L}{\sqrt{a}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So in order to find $\lambda$ we have to solve the trascendentale equation (3.13) and then we will find the ratio between $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ by equation (iii) or (iv). Let
us call, for simplicity

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lambda L / \sqrt{\mathrm{a}}
$$

Then equation (3.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan m_{n}=\tanh m_{n} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It could be shown that this equation has an infinite number of roots $m_{n}$ and let us suppose that they have been computed, then

$$
C_{n}=-B_{n} \frac{\sin m_{n}}{\sinh m_{n}}
$$

Therefore, except for a constant, the general solution of $X_{n}$ will be

$$
X_{n}=\sinh m_{n} \sin \frac{m_{n} x}{L}-\sin m_{n} \sinh \frac{m_{n} x}{L} \quad \text { (3.15) }
$$

Now that we know $X_{n}(x)$ we can compute the coefficient $\mathrm{G}_{1 \mathrm{n}}$ :
$G_{1 n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L} g_{1}(x) X_{n} d x}{\int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x}=\frac{\int_{0}^{L}\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right) X_{n} d x}{\int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x}=\frac{2}{m_{n}\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}$

Notice that very good simplifications are obtained by using the identity (3.14). Our next task is to solve the equation governing $T_{n}$, which is now

$$
T_{n}^{\prime \prime}+w_{n}^{2} T_{n}=\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\rho A}+\frac{2 k^{2} P_{0} \sin k t}{m_{n}\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}
$$

where

$$
w_{n}^{2}=a^{2} m_{n}^{4} / L^{4}
$$

Its solution is given by (20) in section 2.0 and for our example it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(t)= & E_{n} \cos w_{n} t+F_{n} \sin w_{n} t+ \\
& +\frac{1}{w_{n}} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{p(t) Q_{n}}{\varrho A}+\frac{2 k^{2} P_{0} \sin k s}{m_{n}\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}\right] \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients $E_{n}$ and $F_{n}$ can be computed using conditions (3.8) and formulas (21) in section 2.0. That give us

$$
E_{n}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n} & =\frac{\int_{0}^{t}-k P_{0}\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right) X_{n} d x}{w_{n} \int_{0}^{L} x_{n}^{2} d x} \\
& =-\frac{2 k P_{0}}{m_{n} w_{n}\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

One more step in this problem could be the substitution of the value of one of the integrals involving $T_{n}$, that is

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \sin k s \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s=\frac{1}{w_{n}^{2}-k^{2}}\left(w_{n} \sin k t-k \sin w_{n} t\right)
$$

This substitution gives the following general solution for $T_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{2 k P_{0}\left(k \sin k t-w_{n} \sin w_{n} t\right)}{m_{n}\left(w_{n}^{2}-k^{2}\right)\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}+\frac{Q_{n}}{w_{n} \rho A} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the general solution of this problem is

$$
W(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sinh m_{n} \sin \frac{m_{n} x}{L}-\sin m_{n} \sinh \frac{m_{n} x}{L}\right] x
$$

$\left[\frac{2 k P_{0}\left(k \sin k t-w_{n} \sin w_{n} t\right)}{m_{n}\left(w_{n}^{2}-k^{2}\right)\left(\sinh m_{n}-\sin m_{n}\right)}+\frac{Q_{n}}{w_{n} \rho A} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \sin w_{n}(t-s) d s\right]$ $+P_{0}\left(1-\frac{3 x}{2 L}+\frac{x^{3}}{2 L^{3}}\right) \sin k t$
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## SOCIEDAD COLOMBIANA DE MATEMATICAS

El día 8 de Febrero tuvo Iugar la reunión de Ia Asamblea General de la Sociedad Colombiana de Matemáticas de Colombia.

Bajo la Presidencia del miembro Jaime Lesmes, Vice-presidente Víctor Albis, Vocales Yu Takeuchi, Alberto Medina, José María Muñoz, Secretario, Tesorero Víctor Hugo Prieto y Jefe de Publicaciones Rafael Mariño y la asistencia de 50 socios. A las 11 a.m. el Señor Presidente declaró instalada la asamblea; se discutió el acta de la sesión anterior la cual fué aprobada.

El señor Presidente propuso a la discusión de la honorable Asamblea la creación de filiales en aquellos departamentos donde sea conveniente según el número de profesores y las facilidades de comunicación existentes. Después de consideraciones en uno y otro aspecto se aprobó con algunas modificaciones el proyecto que est a Sociedad envió a cada uno de los socios con la debida anticipación.

Se propuso también una modificación en el valor de las cuotas que los socios deben pagar a la Sociedad y la modificación del sistema de cobros las cuales fueron aprobadas de la siguiente manera:

| $\$ 100.00$ | Semestrales | Socio Efectivo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 60.00$ | $\prime \prime$ | $\prime \prime$ | Adjunto |
| $\$ 10.00$ | $\prime \prime$ | $\prime \prime$ | Estudiante |

las cuales se deben cubriren los primeros 45 días de cada semestre.
Luego se entró a elegir algunos miembros de la Junta Directiva, pues la ausencia de los titulares había dejado en interinidad el puesto de algunos de los miembros de dicha mesa directiva y además se deseaba dar representación a los socios Adjuntos por lo cual se eligieron dos entre ellos de manera que la formación definitiva del consejo directivo de la Sociedad Colombiana de Matemáticas quedó constituída así:
Presidente
Vicepresidente
Secretario Tesorero
Vocales

Director de Publicaciones :

JAIME LESMES
VICTOR ALBIS
CAMILO RUBIANO
YU TAKEUCHI
CARLOS LEMOINE
LUZ DE CAMPOS
MARIO GUTIERREZ
ALBERTO MEDINA JESUS HERNANDO PEREZ

# A correction on the paper "Some non maxima arithmetic groups" by Nelo D. Allan 

In the above mentioned article of the author which apeared in this journal, Vol II, 1968, p. 21-28, it turns out that theorem 3 is not valid unless $\alpha=\beta+1$. The proof of lemma 3 is incorrect.

