THE DYNAMIC MODEL OF A FOUR CONTROL MOMENT GYROSCOPE SYSTEM MODELO DINÁMICO DE UN SISTEMA DE CONTROL DE PAR POR CUATRO GIRÓSCOPOS

EUGENIO YIME

Doctor en Automática y Robótica, Universidad Tecnológica de Bolivar, eyime@unitecnologica.edu.co

CÉSAR PEÑA

Doctor en Automática y Robótica, Universidad de Pamplona, cesarapc@unipamplona.edu.co

MAURICIO ROJAS

Magister en Ciencias Computacionales, Universidad de Pamplona, mrojas@unipamplona.edu.co

Recibido para revisar: D-M-2012, aceptado: D-M-A, versión final: D-M-A.

RESUMEN: El modelo dinámico de un sistema de control de par utilizando cuatro giróscopos (4-CMG) tradicionalmente se obtiene al calcular la derivada de la ecuación del momento angular total. Aunque este enfoque conduce a un modelo dinámico relativamente simple, recientemente se han introducido nuevos modelos debido a términos que no se han tenido en cuenta, o se desprecian, durante el cálculo de la ecuación del momento angular. En este artículo, se propone un nuevo modelo dinámico para un 4-CMG basado en el algoritmo de Newton-Euler, el cual es bien aceptado en robótica. Con este nuevo enfoque se logra obtener un modelo dinámico bastante completo. **PALABRAS CLAVE**: Dinámica, Giróscopo, Modelo y Control.

ABSTRACT: The dynamic model of a Four Control Moment Gyroscope (4-CMG) is traditionally obtained after computing the derivative of the angular momentum equation. Although this approach leads to a simple dynamic model, new models had been introduced due to terms not taken into account during the computation of the angular momentum equation. In this paper, a new dynamic model for a 4-CMG based on the Newton-Euler algorithm, which is well accepted in Robotics, was developed. This new approach produces a complete dynamic model. **KEYWORDS**: Dinamics, Gyroscope, Model and Control.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Four Control Moment Gyroscope (4-CMG) is an angular momentum exchange device used on satellites, [1], [2], [3], submarines [4], [5], to control attitude. It is composed by four gyroscopes arranged in a pyramidal form, see figure 1, with the torque amplification property being its principal advantage [6]. Moreover, when used in satellites no fuel or gas propeller is required, because the motors use electricity to operate.

The dynamic model of a 4-CMG is usually obtained by differentiation of the angular momentum equation [7]. This is done in [3], [4] and [8]. Probably the most exact dynamic model using this approach is the developed by Ford and Hall, [8].

The first comparison between a robot arm and a 4-CMG was performed by Bedrossian et al. [9]; in this work an analogy of velocities was considered to study the singularities on a 4-CMG. No further analogies with robot arms were performed.

In this paper a kinematics comparison between a 4-CMG and a robotic arm is used to develop a new dynamic model. The advantages of this approach are: use of a widely accepted methodology to compute a dynamic model, and more precise equations.

2. DYNAMIC EQUATIONS FOR A CMG



Figure 1. Control Moment Gyroscope with Pyramidal Array.

Figure 1 illustrates a CMG with four gyroscopes, each of them composed by a flywheel and a gimbal. A coordinate frame x_i , y_i , z_i is located at the center of the flywheel, which serves as a reference for the motion of gimbals and flywheels. The flywheels turns at a constant speed, while the gimbals can rotate around y_i axis without any restriction. The angle of rotation of a *i*-th gimbal is represented by θ_i , with the zero position being illustrated in the figure. The position of the four denoted by gyroscopes is the vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4]^T$. The angle $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the pyramid's skew angle.



Figure 2. Equivalent kinematic chain of a 4-CMG.

Figure 2 illustrates the equivalent open kinematics chain for a 4-CMG; there the circles represent rotational joints. To compute the dynamic model, two steps are performed, see figure 3. The first step is the Newton-Euler algorithm for each gyroscope, this led to the reaction forces and moments applied to the base body. The second step is the dynamic equation for the base body, where the reaction forces and moments exerted be each gyroscope, \mathbf{M}_i and \mathbf{F}_i , are involved. The angular and linear velocity of the base body, \mathbf{v}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ respectively, plus the angular velocities of each joint, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i,1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i,2}$ are required in the Newton-Euler algorithm to perform the direct kinematics of the gyroscopes [10], [11].



Figure 3. Gyroscope kinematics and Base body dynamics.

Computation of the Newton Euler Equations for a serial robot is also done using two steps, Tsai [12]. The first one is the kinematics calculus toward the extreme of the robot, as shown in table I. The second step is the dynamic calculus of backward computation, as can be seen in table II. Note, only rotational joints are considered in both tables.

Table 1. RECURSIVE NEWTON-EULERFORMULATION. FORWARD KINEMATICS

FORWARD F	KINEMATICS				
Angular Velo	city Propagati	on			
Г	$cos(\theta_i)$	$sin(heta_i)$	0]		
$i\mathbf{R}_i = -cc$	$os(\alpha_i)sin(\theta_i)$	$cos(\alpha_i)cos(\theta_i)$	$sin(\alpha_i)$		
sin	$i(\alpha_i)sin(\theta_i)$	$-sin(\alpha_i)cos(\theta_i)$	$cos(\alpha_i)$		
$\begin{vmatrix} i-1 \mathbf{z}_{i-i} = \end{vmatrix}$	$0 \ 0 \ 1^{T}$				
Angular Acceleration Propagation					
$\dot{\omega}_i = \dot{\omega}_{i-1} + \dot{\omega}_i$	$\mathbf{z}_{i-1}\ddot{ heta}_i + oldsymbol{\omega}_{i-1}$	$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z}_{i-1} \dot{\theta}_i$			
$i\dot{\omega}_i =^i \mathbf{R}_{i-1}$	$\dot{\omega}_{i} = {}^{i} \mathbf{R}_{i-1} \left({}^{i-1} \dot{\omega}_{i-1} + {}^{i-1} \mathbf{z}_{i-1} \ddot{ heta}_{i} + {}^{i-1} \omega_{i-1} imes {}^{i-1} \mathbf{z}_{i-1} \dot{ heta}_{i} ight)$				
Linear Velocit	y Propagation	1	,		
$\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_{i-1} + \mathbf{v}_i$	$oldsymbol{\omega}_i imes \mathbf{r}_i$				
$ {}^{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} = {}^{i} \mathbf{R}_{i-1} {}^{i-1} \mathbf{v}_{i-1} + {}^{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} imes {}^{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}$					
$i\mathbf{r}_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & a_i \end{bmatrix}$	$d_i sin(\alpha_i) d_i c$	$\cos(\alpha_i)]^T$			
Linear Acceleration Propagation					
$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{i-1} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i imes \mathbf{r}_i + \boldsymbol{\omega}_i imes (\boldsymbol{\omega}_i imes \mathbf{r}_i)$					
$ {}^{i}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{i} = {}^{i}\mathbf{R}_{i-1}{}^{i-1}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{i-1} + {}^{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{i} \times {}^{i}\mathbf{r}_{i} + {}^{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times ({}^{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times {}^{i}\mathbf{r}_{i})$					
Linear Acceleration of the Center of Mass					
$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{ci} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i + \dot{\omega}_i + \dot{\omega}_i imes \mathbf{r}_{ci} + \omega_i imes (\omega_i imes \mathbf{r}_{ci})$					
$ {}^{i}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{ci} = {}^{i}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{i} + {}^{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{i} + {}^{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{i} \times {}^{i}\mathbf{r}_{ci} + {}^{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times ({}^{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times {}^{i}\mathbf{r}_{ci})$					
Acceleration of Gravity					
$ i \mathbf{g} = {}^{i} \mathbf{R}_{i-1} {}^{i-1} $	$^{-1}\mathbf{g}$				

 Table 2.
 RECURSIVE NEWTON-EULER

 EORMULATION
 BACKWARD DYNAMICS

TORMULATION. BACKWARD DINAMICS
BACKWARD DYNAMICS
Inertial Forces and Moments
$\mathbf{f}_i^* = -m_i \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{ci}$
$\mathbf{n}_i^* = -\mathbf{I}_i \dot{oldsymbol{\omega}}_i - oldsymbol{\omega}_i imes (\mathbf{I}_i oldsymbol{\omega}_i)$
Force and Torque Balance Equations about the center of mass
$\mathbf{f}_{i,i-1} = \mathbf{f}_{i+1,i} - m_i \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{f}_i^*$
$\mathbf{n}_{i,i-1} = \mathbf{n}_{i+1,i} + (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_{ci}) \times \mathbf{f}_{i,i-1} - \mathbf{r}_{ci} \times \mathbf{f}_{i+1,i} - \mathbf{n}_i^*$
Torque in Rotational Joint
$\tau_i = {}^{i-1} \mathbf{n}_{i,i-1}^T {}^{i-1} \mathbf{z}_{i-1}$

The following assumptions have been made to simplify the equations of the Newton-Euler methodology:

• Mass and inertia of the gimbals are approximately zero or negligible.

• The center of mass of the Flywheel is aligned with the gimbal axis.

• Velocity and acceleration of the base body are not equal to zero.

The mass and inertia of the gimbal frame is neglected beacuse the flywheel has the major contribution in the mass and inertia of the gyroscopes.

2.1. Data of the Links

For the Newton-Euler approach, one gyroscope is com- posed by three links: base body, gimbal and flywheel. Each of these links are joined by a rotational joint, figure 3. Before computing the forward kinematics and backward dynamics, each link must have a coordinate frame. Figure 4 illustrates the frames and vectors defined for each link. A new frame, $[\mathbf{x}_{0,i}, \mathbf{y}_{0,i}, \mathbf{z}_{0,i}]$, is used to express the forces and moment exerted by the gyroscopes. This frame is fixed in the base body. The other two frames are $[\mathbf{x}_{1,i}, \mathbf{y}_{1,i}, \mathbf{z}_{1,i}]$ and $[\mathbf{x}_{2,i}, \mathbf{y}_{2,i}, \mathbf{z}_{2,i}]$, with the former being the frame of the gimbals link and the latter being the frame of the flywheel link. These two frames are located at the same point, the center of the flywheel. The homogeneous matrix between the frames fixed in the base body, $[\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{z}_0]$ and $[\mathbf{x}_{0,i}, \mathbf{y}_{0,i}, \mathbf{z}_{0,i}]$, is,

$${}^{b}\mathbf{A}_{0,i} = \begin{bmatrix} ca_{i} & -sa_{i}c\beta & -sa_{i}s\beta & -sa_{i}r\\ sa_{i} & ca_{i}c\beta & ca_{i}s\beta & ca_{i}r\\ 0 & -s\beta & c\beta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where sa_i , ca_i , $s\beta$ and $c\beta$ stands for $sin(a_i)$, $cos(a_i)$, $sin(\beta)$ and $cos(\beta)$ respectively; r is the radius of the circle where the gyroscopes are located; a_i is the angle of the turn around axis z_0 to align y_0 with y_i , and it has any of the values of $\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 2\pi/3\}$ radians.



Figure 4. Frames used in the Newton-Euler algorithm.

The Denavit-Hantemberg parameters for one gyroscope according to figure 4, are shown in table III. In this table $L = ||\mathbf{r}_{1,i}||$, where $\mathbf{r}_{1,i}$ is the vector from frame $\{0, i\}$ to frame $\{1, i\}$.

Table 3. DENAVIT - HARTEMBERGPARAMETERS

ſ	Joint-i	α_i	a_i	d_i	θ_i			
ſ	1	$\pi/2$	0	L	$\theta_i - \pi/2$			
	2	0	0	0	$\theta_{i,2}$			

1) Homogeneous Transformation Matrices: The DH transformation matrices for each link can be also computed, these are:

$${}^{0,i}\mathbf{A}_{1,i} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\theta_i) & 0 & -\cos(\theta_i) & 0 \\ -\cos(\theta_i) & 0 & -\sin(\theta_i) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)
$${}^{1,i}\mathbf{A}_{2,i} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_{i,2}) & -\sin(\theta_{i,2}) & 0 & 0 \\ \sin(\theta_{i,2}) & \cos(\theta_{i,2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

2) Position Vectors: The position of the frame $\{1, i\}$ with respect to $\{0, i\}$ is defined by vector ${}^{0,i}\mathbf{r}_{c0,i}$. The vector ${}^{2,i}\mathbf{r}_{2,i}$ defines the position of $\{2, i\}$ related to $\{1, i\}$. The mass centre of each

link is defined by vectors ${}^{0,i}\mathbf{r}_{c0,i}$ and ${}^{1,i}\mathbf{r}_{c1,i}$. These vectors have the following values,

$${}^{1,i}\mathbf{r}_{1,i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & L \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(4)
$${}^{2,i}\mathbf{r}_{2,i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(5)
$${}^{0,i}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}_{0,i}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(6)
$${}^{1,i}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}_{1,i}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(7)

 ${}^{0,i}\mathbf{r}_{c0,i}$ is zero because the mass and inertia of the gimbals are neglected.

3) Inertia and mass for links: For both links the values of mass and inertia are,

$$m_{1,i} = 0$$
 (8)
 $m_{1,i} = m_{1,i}$ (9)

$$m_{2,i} = m_2$$
 (9)
 $^{1,i}\mathbf{I}_{1,i} = \mathbf{0}$ (10)

$${}^{2,i}\mathbf{I}_{2,i} = diag(\begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} & I_{yy} & I_{zz} \end{bmatrix})$$
(11)

4) Base Body conditions: Different to a typical robot, the base body of a 4-CMG is in motion, which allows it to have an angular and linear velocity as well as non zero acceleration.

 ${}^{0,i}\omega_{0,i}, {}^{0,i}\dot{\omega}_{0,i}, {}^{0,i}\mathbf{v}_{0,i}, {}^{0,i}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i}$ notequal to zero.

2.2. Forward Kinematics

The following equations are derived after using the forward kinematics, table I,

1) First link - gimbal axis: The first link has the following velocity and acceleration,

Angular Velocity

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1,i} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i \tag{12}$$

Angular Acceleration

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1,i} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{z}_{0,i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i \tag{13}$$

Linear Velocity

$$\mathbf{v}_{1,i} = \mathbf{v}_{0,i} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \tag{14}$$

because $\mathbf{z}_{0,i}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{1,i}$ are parallel.

Linear Acceleration

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{1,i} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(15)

Acceleration of the center of mass

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{c1,i} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{1,i} \tag{16}$$

2) Second Link - flywheel axis: Perfoming the same steps, as the first link, the results are,

Angular Velocity

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2,i} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i + \mathbf{z}_{1,i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2,i} \tag{17}$$

Angular Acceleration

$\dot{oldsymbol{\omega}}_{2,i}$	=	$\dot{oldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} heta_i + oldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} imes \mathbf{z}_{0,i} heta_i + oldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} imes \mathbf{z}_{1,i} heta_{2,i}$	
		$+\dot{ heta}_i\dot{ heta}_{2,i}\mathbf{x}_{1,i}$	(18)

Linear Velocity

$$\mathbf{v}_{2,i} = \mathbf{v}_{0,i} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \tag{19}$$

Linear Acceleration

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{2,i} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(20)

Acceleration of the center of mass

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{c2,i} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{2,i} \tag{21}$$

2.3. Backward Dynamics

The following dynamics equations for one gyroscope are obtained after applying the equations in table II.

1) Second Body: By using the backward dynamics, the torque required by the flywheel motor can be computed as the Inertial Forces and Moments

$$\mathbf{f}_{2,i}^{*} = -m_{2}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} - m_{2}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} -m_{2}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(22)
$$\mathbf{n}_{2,i}^{*} = -\mathbf{I}_{2,i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i}I_{yy}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2,i}I_{zz}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} - \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i}I_{yy}\mathbf{y}_{1,i} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2,i}I_{zz}\mathbf{x}_{1,i}$$
(23)

where the following relations were used,

$$\mathbf{I}_{2,i} \mathbf{z}_{0,i} = I_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i}$$
(24)
$$\mathbf{I}_{2,i} \mathbf{z}_{1,i} = I_{zz} \mathbf{z}_{1,i}$$
(25)

$$\mathbf{I}_{2,i}\mathbf{z}_{1,i} = \mathbf{I}_{zz}\mathbf{z}_{1,i}$$
(25)
$$\mathbf{I}_{2,i}\mathbf{x}_{1,i} = \mathbf{I}_{zz}\mathbf{x}_{1,i}$$
(26)

Forces and Moments in the center of mass

$$\mathbf{f}_{21,i} = -m_2 \mathbf{g} + m_2 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} + m_2 \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$

$$(27)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{21,i} = \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \mathbf{x}_{1,i}$$
(28)

Torque in joint

$$\tau_{2,i} = \mathbf{z}_{1,i}^T \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{1,i}^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \mathbf{z}_{1,i}^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i}$$
(29)

2) First Body: In these steps the torque required by gimbal motor and the reaction moments and forces in the base body are computed. Inertial Forces and Moments

$$\mathbf{f}_1^* = \mathbf{0} \tag{30}$$

$$n_1^* = 0$$
 (31)

Forces and Moments in the center of mass

$$\mathbf{f}_{10,i} = -m_2 \mathbf{g} + m_2 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} + m_2 \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(32)
$$\mathbf{n}_{10,i} = \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} - m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \mathbf{g} + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(33)

Torque in Joint

$$\tau_{1,i} = \mathbf{z}_{0,i}^{T} \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{2,i} I_{zz} \mathbf{z}_{0,i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i} I_{yy}$$
(34)

2.3. Dynamic Equation for Base Body

The total force and moment exerted on the base body, is the sum of the force and torque for each gyroscope's equation, (32) and (33).

$$\mathbf{F}_{ext} + m_0 \mathbf{g} = m_0 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_b + \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{f}_{10,i}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ext} = \mathbf{I}_b \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b + \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{I}_b \boldsymbol{\omega}_b$$
(35)

$$xt = \mathbf{I}_{b}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{b} \times \mathbf{I}_{b}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{b} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\mathbf{n}_{10,i} + \mathbf{r}_{i} \times \mathbf{f}_{10,i})$$
(36)

If \mathbf{r}_{i} , $\mathbf{v}_{0,i}$, $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i}$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,i}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0,i}$ are defined by the following expressions,

$$\mathbf{r}_{1} = [0, r, 0]^{T}$$
(37)

$$\mathbf{r}_{2} = [-r, 0, 0]^{T}$$
(38)

$$\mathbf{r}_{3} = [0, -r, 0]^{T}$$
(39)

$$\mathbf{r}_{4} = [r, 0, 0]^{T}$$
(40)
(10) = (1)

$$\mathbf{v}_{0,i} = \mathbf{v}_b + \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} &= \dot{\mathbf{v}}_b + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \end{aligned}$$
(44)

$$\mathbf{f}_{10,i} = -m_2 \mathbf{g} + m_2 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_b + m_2 \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i + m_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i + m_2 \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(45)
$$\mathbf{n}_{10,i} = \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b + \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b + \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} - m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \mathbf{g} + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \dot{\mathbf{v}}_b + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i}$$
(46)

The equation of forces is obtained after replacing (45) in (35). This preliminary result is simplified if the relationship for \mathbf{r}_i is used in conjunction with the fact that for a symmetrical 4-CMG the vectors $\mathbf{r}_{1,i}$ are,

$$\mathbf{r}_{1,0} = -\mathbf{r}_{1,2}$$
(47)

$$\mathbf{r}_{1,1} = -\mathbf{r}_{1,3}$$
(48)

$$\mathbf{F}_{ext} = (m_0 + 4m_2)\dot{\mathbf{v}}_b - (m_0 + 4m_2)\mathbf{g}$$
(49)

Before computing the dynamic equation for moments, the expression $\mathbf{n}_{10,i} + \mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{f}_{10,i}$ is simplified by using the following relations,

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{'} = \mathbf{r}_{i} + \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \tag{50}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{4} m_2 \mathbf{r}'_i \times \mathbf{g} = 0 \tag{51}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{4} m_2 \mathbf{r}'_i \times \dot{\mathbf{v}}_b = 0 \tag{52}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$$
(53)

the obtained result is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} (\mathbf{n}_{10,i} + \mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{f}_{10,i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} (\mathbf{I}_{2,i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b - m_2 \mathbf{r}'_i \times \mathbf{r}'_i \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_b + \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{I}_{2,i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b - m_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}'_i \times \mathbf{r}'_i \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_b + \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \boldsymbol{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\theta}_i I_{my} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} + \dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} \mathbf{x}_{1,i})$$
(54)

It is a common practice to represent the torque's equation in the base body coordinate frame. In this frame, the following matrices are defined,

$${}^{b}\mathbf{X}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} b \mathbf{x}_{1,1} & \cdots & b \mathbf{x}_{1,4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(55)

$${}^{\boldsymbol{o}}\mathbf{Y}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathbf{y}_{1,1} & \cdots & {}^{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathbf{y}_{1,4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(56)
$$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} & \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} & {}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(57)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(57)
$$\boldsymbol{\ddot{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_1 & \cdots & \ddot{\theta}_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(58)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{t} = {}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{b} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=4} \left({}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i}\mathbf{I}_{i}{}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} - m_{2}{}^{b}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{'b}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{'} \right)$$
(59)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{D}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=4} \left({}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i}\mathbf{I}_{i}{}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} - m_{2}{}^{b}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{'b}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{'} \right)$$
(60)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{t} = {}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{b} + {}^{b}\mathbf{D}_{i} \tag{61}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ is the matricial equivalent of the cross product, $\mathbf{a} \times$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(62)

Therefore, the torque equation over the base body is then expressed as,

$${}^{b}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ext} = {}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{t}{}^{b}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} + {}^{b}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}{}^{b}\mathbf{I}_{t}{}^{b}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{b} + I_{yy}{}^{b}\mathbf{Y}_{1}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \dot{\theta}_{2}I_{zz}{}^{b}\mathbf{X}_{1}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + I_{yy}{}^{b}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}{}^{b}\mathbf{Y}_{1}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \dot{\theta}_{2}I_{zz}{}^{b}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}\sum_{i=1}^{4}{}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,i}$$
(63)

where ${}^{b}\mathbf{X}_{1}$, ${}^{b}\mathbf{Y}_{1}$, ${}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,i}$ and ${}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{i}$ are,

$${}^{b}\mathbf{X}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} s\theta_{1} & c\beta c\theta_{2} & -s\theta_{3} & -c\beta c\theta_{4} \\ -c\beta c\theta_{1} & s\theta_{2} & c\beta c\theta_{3} & -s\theta_{4} \\ s\beta c\theta_{1} & s\beta c\theta_{2} & s\beta c\theta_{3} & s\beta c\theta_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(64)
$${}^{b}\mathbf{Y}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -s\beta & 0 & s\beta \\ s\beta & 0 & -s\beta & 0 \\ c\beta & c\beta & c\beta & c\beta \end{bmatrix}$$
(65)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} -c\theta_{1} & -c\beta s\theta_{1} & s\beta s\theta_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(66)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} c\beta s\theta_{2} & c\theta_{2} & s\beta s\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(67)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,3} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta_3 & c\beta_3\theta_3 & s\beta_3\theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(68)
$${}^{b}\mathbf{z}_{1,4} = \begin{bmatrix} -c\beta_3\theta_4 & -c\theta_4 & s\beta_3\theta_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(69)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} s\theta_{1} & 0 & -c\theta_{1} \\ -c\beta c\theta_{1} & s\beta & -c\beta s\theta_{1} \\ s\beta c\theta_{1} & c\beta & s\beta s\theta_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(70)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} c\beta s\theta_{2} & -s\beta & c\beta s\theta_{2} \\ s\theta_{2} & 0 & c\theta_{2} \\ s\beta c\theta_{2} & c\beta & s\beta s\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(71)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\theta_{3} & 0 & c\theta_{3} \\ c\beta c\theta_{3} & -s\beta & c\beta s\theta_{3} \\ s\beta c\theta_{3} & c\beta & s\beta s\theta_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(72)

$${}^{b}\mathbf{R}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\theta_{4} & 0 & -c\theta_{4} \\ s\beta c\theta_{4} & c\beta & s\beta s\theta_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(73)

Finally, the torque equations, (34) and (29), can be resorted and expressed in base body coordinates as,

$$\tau_{2,i} = {}^{b} \mathbf{z}_{1,i}^{T\,b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{i}{}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{T\,b} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} + {}^{b} \mathbf{z}_{1,i}{}^{b} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}{}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{i}{}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{Tb} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{b} + \dot{\theta}_{i} I_{yy}{}^{b} \mathbf{z}_{1,i}{}^{i} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}{}^{b} \mathbf{y}_{1,i}$$

$$\tau_{1,i} = {}^{b} \mathbf{v}_{1,i}^{T\,b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{Tb} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} + {}^{b} \mathbf{v}_{1}{}^{T\,b} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}{}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{i}{}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}{}^{Tb} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{b}$$

$$(74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{1,i} &= {}^{b} \mathbf{y}_{1,i}^{T} {}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{i} {}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} + {}^{b} \mathbf{y}_{1,i}^{T} {}^{b} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} {}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{i} \mathbf{I}_{i} {}^{b} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} \\ &+ \dot{\theta}_{2,i} I_{zz} {}^{b} \mathbf{y}_{1,i}^{T} {}^{b} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b} {}^{b} \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + \ddot{\theta}_{i} I_{yy} \end{aligned}$$
(75)

This equations are useful to select the motors for each actuated joint.

3. CONCLUSIONS

A new dynamic model for a 4-CMG was derived using the Newton-Euler algorithm, a methodology commonly used in Robotics. Although some simplifications were done, the dynamic model is useful to study the behaviour of a 4-CMG. The obtained dynamic model can also be used for computing a control law for a 4-CMG. Torque equations for the rotational joints were also found. These equations are used to select the proper motors that will drive the joints.

REFERENCES

[1] KUHNS, M. And RODRIGUEZ, A. "Singularity avoidance control laws for a multiple cmg spacecraft attitude control system," in Proceedings of the American Control Conference, pp 2892–2893, Baltimore, Meryland: IEEE, 1994.

[2] KUHNS, M. And RODRIGUEZ, A., "A prefered trajectory tracking steering law for spacecraft with

redundant cmgs", in Proceedings of the American Control Conference, pp. 3111–3115, Seattle, Washington: IEEE. 1995.

[3] OH, S. and VADALI, S. R. "Feedback control and steering laws for spacecraft using single gimbal control moment gyros," J. Astronautical Sciences, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 183–203, 1991.

[4] THORNTON, B., URA, T., NOSE, Y. and TURNOCK, S. "Internal actuation of underwater robots using control moment gyros," Oceans 2005, vol. 1, pp. 591–598, IEEE, 2005.

[5] Thornton, B., Ura, T. and Nose, Y. "Wind-up AUVs: Combined energy storage and attitude control using control moment gyros" Oceans 2007, vol. 1, pp. 1-9, IEEE, 2007

[6] LAPPAS, V. J., STEYN, W. H. and UNDERWOOD, C. I. "Torque amplification of control moment gyros," IEEE Electronics Letters, vol. 38, no. 15, pp. 837–839, IEEE, 2002.

[7] Tekinalp, O., Elmas, T. and Yavrucuk, I. "Gimbal angle restricted control moment gyroscope clusters", 4th International Conference on Recent Advances in Space Technologies, RAST '09, pp585-590, 2009.

[8] FORD, K. A. and HALL, C. D. "Singular direction avoidance steering for control-moment gyros," Journal Guidance Control and Dynamics, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 648–656, 2000.

[9] BEDROSSIAN, N. S., PARADISO, J., BERGMANN, E. V. and ROWELL, D. "Redundant single gimbal control moment gyroscope singularity analysis," J. Guidance, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1096–1101, 1990.

[10] Toz, M. And Kucuk, S. "A comparative study for computational cost of fundamental robot manipulators", International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT), pp 289-293, IEEE, 2011.

[11] Negrean, I., Schonstein, C., Negrean, D.C., Negrean, A.S. and Duca, A.V. "Formulations in robotics based on variational principles", International Conference on Automation Quality and Testing Robotics (AQTR), pp: 1-6, IEEE, 2010.

[12] TSAI, L. W. "Robot Analysis: The Mechanics of Serial and Parallel Manipulators". Jon Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999.