Oecanthus nigricornis (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) as the first known host of Stylogaster neglecta (Diptera: Conopidae)

Erik Etzler

Affiliation: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto at Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Road N, Mississauga, Ontario, L5L 1C6, Canada Email: erik.etzler@mail.utoronto.ca

William Brown

Affiliation: Department of Biology, State University of New York at Fredonia,
Fredonia, New York, 14063, United States of America
Email: william.brown@fredonia.edu

Luc F. Bussière

Affiliation: Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, United Kingdom

Email: luc.bussiere@stir.ac.uk

Darryl Gwynne

Affiliation: Department of Biology, University of Toronto at Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Road N, Mississauga, Ontario, L5L 1C6, Canada

Email: darryl.gwynne@utoronto.ca

1 Abstract

- 2 The conopid fly *Stylogaster neglecta* Williston (Diptera: Conopidae) is a parasitoid with no
- 3 known host. We report this species as the first recorded dipteran parasitoid of *Oecanthus*
- 4 *nigricornis* Walker (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) (blackhorned tree crickets). We reared field-collected
- 5 O. nigricornis juveniles over several months in 2017 and found that larval S. neglecta emerged
- 6 from them during late July into August. We estimated the incubation period for S. neglecta
- 7 larvae to be around 30 days based on the length of time it took for them to emerge from the host
- 8 and pupate (subsequently all hosts died). We documented several cases of multiple parasitism. In
- 9 2018, we dissected O. nigricornis sampled from four sites across southern Ontario, Canada and
- upstate New York, United States of America and found that the percentage of juvenile O.
- 11 *nigricornis* parasitised ranged from 2–39%. Further sampling will be necessary to determine
- whether this variation represents consistent population differences or between year variation in
- parasitism.

14 Introduction

15

16 Gibson et al. 2013). Stylogaster Macquart (Diptera: Conopidae) is the sister group to other 17 conopids (Gibson and Skevington 2013; Marshall 2012). Stylogaster species target nymphs and 18 adult Gryllidae (Orthoptera) and Blattodea, whereas other conopid genera use adult aculeate 19 Hymenoptera (Freeman 1966; Kotrba 1997; Woodley and Judd 1998; Schmid-Hempel 2001; 20 Rasmussen and Cameron 2004; Marshall 2012; Gibson et al. 2013). Stylogaster eggs have been 21 found on other species, such as other Diptera, but the lack of egg development indicates that 22 these may be accidental ovipositions (Couri et al. 2019; Couri and Barros 2010; Stuke 2012). 23 Stylogaster species have been studied mainly in Africa and the Neotropics and are 24 associated with army ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), using hosts that have been flushed out by 25 the ants (Lopes 1937; Rettenmyer 1961; Burt et al. 2015). The flies are thought to either stab 26 their ovipositors into the abdomen of the host and insert the egg under a sclerite, or shoot the egg 27 from the ovipositor via a flipping motion of the abdomen (Kotrba 1997; Woodley and Judd 28 1998). While most studies have focussed on tropical species, Stylogaster neglecta, S. biannulata 29 (Say), and S. beresfordi Burt are found in the Nearctic region (including Canada), typically in 30 forest understoreys where adults feed on yellow/white flowers (Burt et al. 2015). Only S. biannulata has a recorded host, Gryllus rubens Scudder (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) (Woodley and 31 32 Judd 1998). While incubation times were not examined, Woodley and Judd (1998) found that in 33 the few cases were adult flies emerged, it was 19–25 days after the puparia were formed. Little is 34 known of the life history of *Stylogaster*. *Sicus* Latreille, and *Physocephala* Schiner (Diptera: 35 Conopidae), which parasitise *Bombus* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Europe, North 36 America, and Japan, oviposit during periods of host reproduction (Schmid-Hempel 2001) from

Conopidae (Diptera) larvae are obligate endoparasitoids (Gibson and Skevington 2013;

July until mid-September (Macfarlane *et al.* 1995). They kill their hosts within 10–12 days of oviposition. Hosts are induced to burrow into the ground and the fly pupates inside the dead host (Schmid-Hempel 2001).

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

In old fields at the University of Toronto at Mississauga in August 1996, one of us (W.B.) first found Stylogaster neglecta larvae (identified by Jeff Skevington, Canadian National Collection of Arthropods, Arachnids, and Nematodes, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) emerging from juvenile Oecanthus nigricornis Walker (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) (blackhorned tree crickets), pupating and then eclosing. The larvae emerged and pupated between late August and mid September, with adults eclosing from late November to early January (L.F.B., unpublished data). Oecanthus nigricornis are found across eastern North America, hatching around mid June and reaching maturity in August. Eggs are laid in August and September and hatch the following June (Fulton 1915; Vickery and Kevan 1985). Oecanthus are parasitised by several hymenopteran egg parasitoids (Smith 1930a, 1930b; Udine and Pinckney 1940) and some helminths (Denner 1968; Sonin 1990). However, there are currently no known dipteran parasitoids of the genus, despite other Orthoptera (including gryllids) commonly being parasitised by Sarcophagidae (Diptera) and Tachinidae (Diptera). This includes the well-known genus *Ormia* Robineau-Desvoidy, which is attracted to songs of male gryllid hosts (Rees 1973; Cade 1975; Lehmann 2003). Our observations are the first case to our knowledge of *Oecanthus* juveniles or adults as hosts for a dipteran parasitoid and the first report of a host for S. neglecta.

We collected further *O. nigricornis* samples at University of Toronto at Mississauga (Ontario, Canada) in the summer of 2017 and 2018 and identified emerging adults as *S. neglecta* using Burt *et al.* (2015). In 2017, *O. nigricornis* were collected from natural populations at

discrete time intervals to determine when in the season conopid parasitism occurs. In 2018, *O. nigricornis* were sampled from several sites to determine population level variation in parasitism.

Methods

Natural history of Stylogaster neglecta

In 2017 we sampled *O. nigricornis* approximately every two weeks from 28 June until 13 September (Fig. 1, Table 1–2) from old fields at the University of Toronto, Mississauga Campus (43.55°N, 79.66°W) (total = 131 *O. nigricornis*). The start date was chosen as the first day in which were successfully able to sample *O. nigricornis* from the site. The end date was chosen as the first sample in which we no longer found any *S. neglecta* larva emerging from the sampled *O. nigricornis*. We reared *O. nigricornis* individually in open-ended plastic cylinders, 8 cm in diameter and 7.5 cm long, with metal mesh covering the ends, at 25 °C and 70% humidity. *Oecanthus nigricornis* were fed pollen and dry dog food and containers were sprayed with water every second day. We recorded the date on which *O. nigricornis* were caught, when they died, and whether a parasitoid emerged. *S. neglecta* pupae found in the containers were removed and placed in a petri dish on filter paper and sprayed with water daily. Chi-squared tests were used to determine if there were significant differences in the proportion of *O. nigricornis* parasitised on different sampling dates. Confidence intervals were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method. Cases of multiple conopid parasitism of a single host were also recorded.

To examine whether *S. neglecta* was actively laying eggs the entire summer or during a shorter period of time, an analysis of variance was performed to determine if the length of time

between when we collected the host and parasitoid emergence differed between collection dates. If *S. neglecta* oviposits on hosts throughout the summer, then larvae in the sampled *O. nigricornis* should be at different stages of development and there will be no correlation between date of sampling and length of time from host capture until parasitoid emergence. If however, *S. neglecta* females oviposited roughly concurrently, then we expect *O. nigricornis* sampled on the same date would have parasitoids that emerge after similar lengths of time, and that the length of time between when the host *O. nigricornis* was sampled and the parasitoid emerging will be longer earlier in the summer and shorten as the season progresses. Specimens were deposited at the Royal Ontario Museum (Toronto, Ontario, Canada).

Site differences in parasitism

In late July 2018, we collected *O. nigricornis* in southern Ontario (Canada) and New York State (United States of America): from the Koffler Scientific Reserve (King City Ontario, 44.03°N, 79.53°W), *rare* Charitable Research Reserve (referred to as *rare*) (Cambridge Ontario, 43.38°N, 80.39°W), our University of Toronto at Mississauga site previously sampled in 2017, and the Edmund Niles Huyck Preserve and Biological Research Station (referred to as Huyck) (Rensselaerville New York, 42.10°N, 74.10°W). Late July was chosen based on the results from the 2017 study, which indicated that this was the peak of *S. neglecta* oviposition activity. For each site, two to four old fields within a 1-km radius of each other were sampled by sweep netting. *Oecanthus nigricornis* caught ranged from third to fifth instar. *Oecanthus nigricornis* were frozen and then preserved in 70% ethanol before being dissected and their abdomens examined using a Wild M5A dissecting microscope (Wetzlar, Hesse, Germany). They were

scored as parasitised if *S. neglecta* eggs or larvae were found (Fig. 2). A chi-squared test was performed to determine if there were significant differences in the proportion of infected *O. nigricornis* between sites. Confidence intervals were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method.

107 Results

Natural history of Stylogaster neglecta

Twenty-four (20%) of the 120 University of Toronto at Mississauga O. nigricornis were parasitised by S. neglecta. There was a significant difference in the proportion of parasitised O. nigricornis collected on different dates ($\chi^2 = 37.014$, df = 2, P < 0.01) with the highest proportion of parasitised individuals found in the 14 August sample (Fig. 3). Parasitoid larvae emerged from the near the anus of O. nigricornis and pupated outside the host. Host O. nigricornis remained alive for hours to days after emergence and several observations of O. nigricornis after parasitoid emergence suggested that they had lost the use of their metathoracic legs. There were several cases of multiple parasitism: four O. nigricornis had two S. neglecta larvae, and one hosted three. Parasitoids that shared a host appeared to be smaller than parasitoids that did not (personal observation). Of the pupae, only one eclosed (in December 2017). Mean time from a O. nigricornis being collected to parasitoid emergence was 16.6 days (standard deviation = 9.04; range 3–31 days). No O. nigricornis collected before 17 July or after 29 August were parasitised. Consistent with this narrow oviposition period, mean time from host collection to parasitoid emergence was significantly shorter at later sampling dates (F = 32.36, P < 0.001) (Fig. 4).

Site differences in parasitism

Of 674 *O. nigricornis* from all four sites, 149 or 22% (CI = 0.246, 0.324) were parasitised. There were large differences in parasitism between sites (Fig. 5): at Huyck 2% (n = 104, CI = 0.0024, 0.069), at Koffler 11% (n = 178, CI = 0.079, 0.189), at *rare* 26% (n = 193, CI = 0.272, 0.434), and at University of Toronto at Mississauga 39% (n = 199, CI = 0.539, 0.717) ($\chi^2 = 70.23$, P < 0.001). *Oecanthus nigricornis* hosts were mostly third to fifth instars, and of all *O. nigricornis* parasitised, only one had reached adulthood.

Discussion

We report *S. neglecta* as the first dipteran parasitoid of *O. nigricornis* as the first known host for this parasitoid. Like *S. biannulata*, *S. neglecta* uses a gryllid cricket host in which the larvae develop internally, pupates outside the host, and occasionally exhibits superparasitism (Woodley and Judd 1998). Like the *Bombus* specialists *Physocephala* and *Sicus*, *S. neglecta* have a narrow time window of a few weeks in which hosts are parasitised (Macfarlane *et al.* 1995; Schmid-Hempel 2001; Otterstatter 2004). Unlike the *Bombus*-hunting conopids, *S. neglecta* pupates outside of the host. *Stylogaster neglecta* also appear to have longer incubation times than the 10–12 days reported for the *Bombus* hunters (Schmid-Hempel 2001). Further work should examine the nature of overwintering strategies in *S. neglecta* and whether it resembles that of other conopids.

The time from host capture until parasitoid emergence decreased over the season with longer intervals in July and shorter ones in August. We did not find any parasitised *O*.

had already been developing for some time before we collected their hosts. *Stylogaster neglecta* appears to parasitise hosts from late July to early August and is not active throughout the rest of the summer. This is consistent with unpublished adult collection records of this species by Burt and others (unpublished data), which examined records of adult *S. neglecta* in collections across North America. Of the 128 *S. neglecta* caught in southern Ontario, eight were caught in June, 114 in July, five in August, and one in September. Such a narrow time of parasitoid adult activity is expected in hosts with discrete generation times (Godfray 1994). Parasitoids that emerge too early may suffer from greater mortality waiting until hosts emerge, while those emerging late may have difficulty either in finding unparasitised hosts, or in subduing larger-sized hosts.

Assuming that all *S. neglecta* larvae have a similar incubation times, and the longer lengths of time from host capture until parasitoid emergence are roughly equivalent to the incubation time, then it would appear that *S. neglecta* has an incubation period of about 30 days in its host. This estimate is higher than estimates for other conopid genera, such as 10–12 days found in *Physocephala* and *Sicus* (Schmid-Hempel 2001). However, as noted earlier, the mean time from our collecting the host *O. nigricornis* until parasitoid emergence will be shorter than actual mean incubation time given that eggs had been laid before collection. The actual incubation time is likely to be longer than we recorded but less than 44 days, as there were no indications of parasitism two weeks before the earliest sample.

We found significant differences in the proportion of *O. nigricornis* that were parasitised across four different sites in southern Ontario and upstate New York. The proportion of parasitised individuals ranged from 39% in University of Toronto at Mississauga to 2% in the Huyck Preserve. While there were significant differences between sites in terms of parasitism

rate, our sampling only took place over a single season so we cannot confirm if these are long term site differences. There appears to be an inter-year difference in parasitism at University of Toronto at Mississauga, with the parasitism rate in late July 2018 being higher than the parasitism rates found in July 2017. However, the parasitism rate in August 2017 was higher than the single 2018 sample. It appears that the rate of parasitism at University of Toronto at Mississauga peaked slightly earlier in 2018 than in 2017. Parasitoid-host relationships often show cyclical population dynamics across multiple years (Hassell and May 1973; Godfray 1994; Godfray *et al.* 1994) which may explain the between-site differences. Long term sampling will be necessary to determine if these differences are consistent from year to year.

Acknowledgements

We thank John Ratcliffe, Helene Wagner, Nicole Mideo, Andrew Mason, and Ahmed Hasan for their support and edits when preparing this manuscript; the *rare* Charitable research reserve, Edmund Niles Huyck Preserve, and Koffler Scientific Reserve for allowing us to work at their sites as well as their respective contacts at each location; and Jenna Quinn, Anne Rhoads, Adam Caprio, Stephan Schneider, and John Stinchcombe for facilitating the process. We thank the undergraduate students in our laboratory, Ali Al Wafi, Kavya Manikonda, Alanah Joyce, and Samantha Hasbum, who helped collect samples. Thanks also to Jessica Browne for her help in the field and comments on the manuscript.

188 References

Burt, T.O., Skevington, J.H., and Rocha, L. 2015. Revision of Nearctic Stylogaster (Diptera:

190 Conopidae). The Canadian Entomologist, 147: 125–147. 191 https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2014.39. 192 Cade, W. 1975. Acoustically orienting parasitoids: fly phonotaxis to cricket song. Science, 190: 193 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.190.4221.1312. 194 Couri, M.S. and Barros, G.P.D.S. 2010. Diptera hosts of *Stylogaster Macquart* (Diptera, 195 Conopidae) from Madagascar and South Africa. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia, 54: 196 361–366. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0085-56262010000300003. 197 Couri, M.S., Jordaens, K., Geeraert, L., Matheus, R., and Vieira-Araújo, A. P. 2019. Ethiopian 198 muscids (Diptera, Muscidae) egg-carriers of Stylogaster Macquart (Diptera, Conopidae). 199 Anais Da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias, 91: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-200 3765201920180901. 201 Denner, M. 1968. Biology of the nematode *Mermis subnigrescens* Cobb. Doctoral dissertation. 202 Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America. Available from 203 https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4728&context=rtd [accessed 19 March 204 2020]. 205 Freeman, B.A. 1966. Notes on conopid flies, including insect host, plant and phoretic 206 relationships (Diptera: Conopidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society, 39: 123– 207 131 208 Fulton, B.B. 1915. Tree-crickets of New York: life-history and bionomics. Bulletin of the New 209 York State Agricultural Experiment Station, **42**: 1–46. 210 Gibson, J.F. and Skevington, J.H. 2013. Phylogeny and taxonomic revision of all genera of 211 Conopidae (Diptera) based on morphological data. Zoological Journal of the Linnean 212 Society, **167**: 43–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00873.x.

213 Gibson, J.F., Skevington, J.H., and Kelso, S. 2013. A phylogenetic analysis of relationships 214 among genera of Conopidae (Diptera) based on molecular and morphological data. 215 Cladistics, **29**: 193–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2012.00422.x. 216 Godfray, H.C.J. 1994. Parasitoids: behavioral and evolutionary ecology. Princeton University 217 Press, Princeton, New Jersey, United States of America. 218 Godfray, H.C.J., Hassell, M.P., and Holt, R.D. 1994. The population dynamic consequences of 219 phenological asynchrony between parasitoids and their hosts. Journal of Animal Ecology, 220 **63**: 1–10. 221 Hassell, M.P. and May, R.M. 1973. Stability in insect host-parasite models. Journal of Animal 222 Ecology, **42**: 693-726. https://doi.org/10.2307/3133. 223 Kotrba, M. 1997. Shoot or stab? Morphological evidence on the unresolved oviposition 224 technique in Stylogaster Macquart (Diptera: Conopidae), including discussion of behavioral 225 observations. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, 99: 614–622. 226 Lehmann, G. U. C. 2003. Review of biogeography, host range and evolution of acoustic hunting 227 in Ormiini (Insecta, Diptera, Tachinidae), parasitoids of night-calling bushcrickets and 228 crickets (Insecta, Orthoptera, Ensifera). Zoologischer Anzeiger, 242: 107–120. 229 https://doi.org/10.1078/0044-5231-00091. 230 Lopes, H.D.S. 1937. Contribuição ao conhecimento do gênero "Stylogaster" Macquart, 1835 231 (Dipt.: Conopidae). Archivos Do Instituto de Biologia Vegetal, 3: 257–293. 232 Macfarlane, R.P., Lipa, J.J., and Liu, H.J. 1995. Bumble bee pathogens and internal enemies. 233 Bee World, 76: 130–148. https://doi.org/10.1080/0005772X.1995.11099259. 234 Marshall, S.A. 2012. Flies - the natural history and diversity of Diptera. Firefly Books, 235 Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada.

236 Otterstatter, M.C. 2004. Patterns of parasitism among conopid flies parasitizing bumblebees. 237 Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 111: 133–139. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0013-238 8703.2004.00162.x. 239 Rasmussen, C. and Cameron, S.A. 2004. Conopid fly (Diptera: Conopidae) attacking large 240 orchid bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Eulaema). Journal of the Kansas Entomological 241 Society, 77: 61–62. https://doi.org/10.2317/0306.16.1. 242 Rees, N.E. 1973. Arthopod and nematode parasites, parasitoids and predators of Acrididae in 243 America north of Mexico. Technical Bulletin of the Agriculture Research Services, United 244 States Department of Agriculture, 1460: 1–288. 245 Rettenmyer, C.W. 1961. Observations on the biology and taxonomy of flies found over swarm 246 raids of army ants (Diptera: Tachinidae, Conopidae). University of Kansas Science Bulletin, 247 **62**: 993–1066. 248 Schmid-Hempel, P. 2001. On the evolutionary ecology of host-parasite interactions: addressing 249 the question with regard to bumblebees and their parasites. Naturwissenschaften, 88: 147– 250 158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140100222. 251 Smith, L.M. 1930a. *Macrorileya oecanthi* Ashm. A hymenopterous egg parasite of tree crickets. 252 Univiversity of California Publications in Entomology, **5**: 165–172. 253 Smith, L.M. 1930b. The snowy tree cricket and other insects injurious to raspberries. Bulletin of 254 the California Agricultural Experiment Station, **505**: 1–38. 255 Sonin, M.D. 1990. Helminths of insects. Oxonian Press, New Delhi, India. 256 Stuke, J.H. 2012. A revision of Afrotropical species of *Stylogaster Macquart* (Diptera: 257 Conopidae), with descriptions of twenty-one new species and an identification key. African

Invertebrates, **53**: 267–354. https://doi.org/10.5733/afin.053.0118.

259 Udine, E.J. and Pinckney, J.S. 1940. Some egg parasites of *Oecanthus quadripunctatus* Beut. 260 and of a species of *Orchelimum*. Proceedings of the Pennsylvania Academy of Science, 14: 261 81–84. 262 Vickery, V.R., and Kevan, D.K.M. 1985. The grasshoppers, crickets, and related insects of 263 Canada and adjacent regions. Canadian Government Services, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. 264 Woodley, N.E. and Judd, D.D. 1998. Notes on the host, egg and puparium of *Stylogaster* 265 Biannulata (Say) (Diptera: Conopidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of 266 Washington, **100**: 658–664. 267 268 269 **Figure 1**. Stylogaster neglecta female raised from a pupa after emerging from Oecanthus 270 nigricornis. Collected as a pupa on 28 August 1996 by Luc Bussière and emerged as an adult 9 271 January 1997. 272 **Figure 2**. Juvenile fourth instar *Oecanthus nigricornis*, parasitised by *Stylogaster neglecta*. 273 Caught at *rare* Charitable Research Reserve. 274 Figure 3. Proportion of *Oecanthus nigricornis* collected in the summer of 2017 that were 275 parasitised at the University of Toronto at Mississauga, with exact binomial confidence intervals 276 and sample sizes. 277 Figure 4. Time in days between collection of parasitised *Oecanthus nigricornis* (University of Toronto at Mississauga 2017) and when larval *Stylogaster neglecta* emerged for all *O*. 278 279 nigricornis.

Figure 5. Time in days between collection of parasitised *Oecanthus nigricornis* (University of Toronto at Mississauga 2017) and when larval *Stylogaster neglecta* emerged, averaged for each collection date, with standard deviation.

Figure 6. Proportion of *Oecanthus nigricornis* parasitised from samples collected across four sites in July 2018. Exact binomial confidence intervals and sample sizes are given. Huyck, Edmund Niles Huyck Preserve and Biological Research Station; KSR, Koffler Scientific Reserve; UTM, University of Toronto at Mississauga; rare, *rare* Charitable Research Reserve.

Table 1. Sex, location, voucher identification number, and collector of samples used in analysis and referenced.

| Voucher ID | Species | Location | Date | Collector | Sex |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|
| ROME179756 | Oecanthus nigricornis | University of Toronto at Mississauga | 31 July 2017 | Erik Etzler | Male |
| ROME179757 | Oecanthus nigricornis | University of Toronto at Mississauga | 28 June 2017 | Erik Etzler | Female |
| ROME179758 | Oecanthus nigricornis | University of Toronto at Mississauga | 31 July 2017 | Erik Etzler | Female |
| ROME179759 | Oecanthus nigricornis | University of Toronto at Mississauga | 17 July 2017 | Erik Etzler | Male |
| ROME179768 | Stylogaster neglecta | University of Toronto at Mississauga | 11 August 2017 | Erik Etzler | N/A |
| ROME179769 | Stylogaster neglecta | University of Toronto at Mississauga | December 2017 | Erik Etzler | N/A |
| ROME179770 | Stylogaster neglecta | University of Toronto at Mississauga | January 1997 | Luc Bussière | Male |

| ROME179771 | Stylogaster | University of | 28 November 1996 | Luc Bussière | Female |
|------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------|
| | neglecta | Toronto at | | | |
| | | Mississauga | | | |

Table 2. Dates of collections done over the summer of 2017, with number of samples collected and number of parasitised individuals in each collection.

| Collection | Date | Number of samples | Number parasitised |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 26 June | 21 | 0 |
| 2 | 17 July | 22 | 4 |
| 3 | 31 July | 20 | 3 |
| 4 | 14 August | 25 | 13 |
| 5 | 29 August | 23 | 4 |
| 6 | 13 September | 20 | 0 |











