



UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS DA UNICAMP REPOSITÓRIO DA PRODUÇÃO CIENTIFICA E INTELECTUAL DA UNICAMP

Versão do arquivo anexado / Version of attached file:

Versão do Editor / Published Version

Mais informações no site da editora / Further information on publisher's website: https://www.osapublishing.org/ome/abstract.cfm?uri=ome-10-1-57

DOI: 10.1364/OME.10.000057

Direitos autorais / Publisher's copyright statement: © 2019 by Optical Society of America. All rights reserved.

DIRETORIA DE TRATAMENTO DA INFORMAÇÃO

Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz Barão Geraldo CEP 13083-970 – Campinas SP Fone: (19) 3521-6493 http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br



Ar/Cl₂ etching of GaAs optomechanical microdisks fabricated with positive electroresist

RODRIGO BENEVIDES,^{1,2} D MICHAËL MÉNARD,³ GUSTAVO S. WIEDERHECKER,^{1,2} AND THIAGO P. MAYER ALEGRE^{1,2,*}

¹Applied Physics Department, Gleb Wataghin Physics Institute, University of Campinas, 13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil

²Photonics Research Center, University of Campinas, Campinas 13083-859, SP, Brazil

³Department of Computer Science, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, QC H2X 3Y7, Canada ^{*}alegre@unicamp.br

Abstract: A method to fabricate GaAs microcavities using only a soft mask with an electrolithographic pattern in an inductively coupled plasma etching is presented. A careful characterization of the fabrication process pinpointing the main routes for a smooth device sidewall is discussed. Using the final recipe, optomechanical microdisk resonators are fabricated. The results show very high optical quality factors of $Q_{\text{opt}} > 2 \times 10^5$, among the largest already reported for dry-etching devices. The final devices are also shown to present high mechanical quality factors and an optomechanical vacuum coupling constant of $g_0 = 2\pi \times 13.6$ kHz enabling self-sustainable mechanical oscillations for an optical input power above 1 mW.

© 2019 Optical Society of America under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement

1. Introduction

Harnessing the confinement of light with wavelength-scale waveguides and cavities has enabled the realization of table-top scale nonlinear optical phenomena in silicon-compatible photonic chips. Recent examples show the versatility of this integrated photonics approach, such as frequency comb generation [1,2], quantum computation [3-8], low voltage electro-optical modulation [9], and optical to microwave coherent conversion [10]. One key ingredient that may also boost this revolution is the interaction between light and mechanical degrees of freedom, enabling both read-out and actuation of mesoscale mechanical modes in waveguides [11] and cavities [12–15], paving the road towards the sound circuits revolution [16,17]. Throughout the quest for better-suited cavity geometries that can host optical and mechanical waves, microdisk cavities have proven to be a simple and effective choice. Their tight radial confinement of whispering gallery optical waves and rather strong interaction with radial breathing mechanical modes lead to high optomechanical coupling rates [18-20], which are necessary for efficient device-level functionalities [7,21-23]. Other ingredients in the optomechanical enhancement are the cavity or waveguide material properties. Although silicon is widespread in many optomechanical devices [14,24–27] due to its mature fabrication, the interest in III-V materials for optomechanical devices has increased [28–32] due to their unique optical, electronic and mechanical properties. Gallium Arsenide (GaAs), for instance, is advantageous because of its very high photoelastic coefficient [33], which leads to large electrostrictive forces and optomechanical coupling [18,34]. Moreover, optically active layers can be easily grown on GaAs wafers, allowing the fabrication of light-emitting optomechanical devices [35–37].

Despite the tougher challenges in fabricating high optical quality factor in GaAs cavities, in comparison to the more mature fabrication of silicon, both wet and dry chemistry etching have been successfully developed. Wet etching, followed by surface passivation, resulted in record high intrinsic optical quality factors of 6×10^6 in GaAs microdisks [38]. Nonetheless, the fabrication of large aspect ratio features and small gaps challenge this route due to wet etch isotropic characteristics and diffusion-limited etching reaction. One alternative is to use

inductively coupled plasma reactive ion etching (ICP-RIE) [39–42], which enables independent control of the plasma density and ion acceleration, allowing finer control of side-wall roughness and verticality. The difficulty with this technique is the low resist etch resistance that often demands the use of hard etching mask – in general silicon nitride [43]. The need for a hard mask not only complicates the lithography process but also requires a mask removal step.

In this work we report an optimized fabrication process for GaAs-based optomechanical devices that combines standard dry etching chemistry with an electro-resist soft mask, yielding high optical and mechanical quality factors. By avoiding hard masks we ease the GaAs fabrication process and widen its potential exploration in more complex optomechanical cavity designs [26]. A complete characterization of the most important ICP-RIE parameters is included, offering a path for the fabrication of GaAs optical microdevices. By controlling the sidewall roughness and verticality, we produced microdisks with optical quality factor as high as $Q = 2 \times 10^5$ – among the highest reported using soft or hard-mask assisted dry etching [32,42–45]. Moreover, the mechanical characterization of the devices shows mechanical modes with quality factors up to $Q_{\text{mec}} = 760$ and an optomechanical vacuum coupling rate of $g_0 = 2\pi \times 13.6$ kHz.

2. Microfabrication process

The fabrication steps used in this work follow those of a standard top-down microfabrication process. We start with an intrinsic GaAs/Al_{0.7}Ga_{0.3}As wafer (250 nm/2000 nm). These wafers were grown over a GaAs substrate using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) (CMC Microsystems, Canada). This technique enables control at the atomic monolayer level [46]. It uses an atomic beam of the materials to be deposited in an ultra-high vacuum atmosphere ($\sim 10^{-11}$ Torr) while the substrate is kept at a modest temperature (400 – 800°C), when compared to other techniques, which reduces impurity atoms diffusion along the deposited layer. Therefore, a high purity device layer of GaAs is obtained, reducing imperfections in microdevices fabrication that also impact optical and mechanical quality factors of the final devices.

A thin layer of electroresist (ZEP520A - Zeon Chemicals) is spun (Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)) over the MBE-deposited GaAs layer. Then, the electroresist is patterned with electron-beam lithography, as detailed in section 2.1, yielding the microdisk shaped patterns illustrated in Fig. 1(c). The next step consists of the removal of the superficial GaAs layer using ICP-RIE chlorine-based plasma etching. Although this is a straightforward method, it possesses a large number of parameters (chamber pressure, gases flow, RF powers) that can be tuned to improve surface roughness and control the sidewall etching angle (anisotropy). In sections 2.3 and 2.4 we explored several of these parameters to understand their role in the etching process. Next, a wet release step of the devices if performed and finalized with a surface cleaning procedure detailed in section 2.5.

2.1. Lithography parameters

The biggest challenge in using an electroresist soft mask for GaAs etching is their low etch resistance. Here we use a positive electro-resist, ZEP520A, which has both high sensitivity and spatial resolution, yet its etch selectivity to GaAs is high enough to withstand the dry etching process. In this work, we used a 30 kV electron beam lithography tool (eLINE Plus from Raith Inc.).

The samples were cleaned with hot acetone (~ 50°C) and hot isopropyl alcohol (~ 70°C), for 5 minutes, followed by a 30 seconds HF:H₂O (1:10) dip to remove native oxide. Then, they were pre-baked for 5 minutes in a hot plate at 180°C, in order to remove residual water and improve adherence of the electroresist. Then ZEP520A is spun at 2000 rpm during 60 seconds, resulting in a ~ 500 nm thick resist. Thereafter, we bake the sample again at 180°C for 2 minutes, to evaporate solvents present in the resist.

Electron diffusion through the resist and scattering are known to affect the angle of the developed resist pattern edges. An exposure dose test was performed to find the optimum doses



Fig. 1. Fabrication procedure. Over a MBE-epitaxy grown GaAs/AlGaAs wafer (250 nm/2000 nm)(\mathbf{a}), an electroresist layer (500 nm) is spun (\mathbf{b}). Electron beam lithography features the resist (\mathbf{c}), with transfer to GaAs layer done with ICP-etching (\mathbf{d}). The resist is removed (\mathbf{e}) and a wet HF-release with post-cleaning is performed, yielding a suspended disk (\mathbf{f}).

for our samples, as shown in Figs. $2(\mathbf{a})-2(\mathbf{c})$. At higher doses, a clearly sloped edge is formed. We choose to work with the vertical profiles as the diameters of the patterned disks are more accurate.



Fig. 2. Electroresist edges profile. Different walls slope are obtained changing the electron beam exposure dose from **a**) 45 μ C/cm², to **b**) 60 μ C/cm², to **c**) 75 μ C/cm². All images were taken at 30 kV using secondary electron detector. The resist layer was false-ed as red.

2.2. Reflow of electroresist

Minimizing the resist's sidewall roughness is critical as it will be transferred to the GaAs layer during the dry etching process. However, evaluating developed resist sidewall roughness through SEM images is rather challenging. Indeed, despite the apparent sidewall smoothness, shown in Fig. 2, the etched GaAs sidewalls still presented noticeable roughness after plasma etching, as it is noticeable in Fig. 3(a). This suggests that that further treatment of the developed resist is required to improve sidewall roughness.

In order to reduce the sidewall roughness transferred to the GaAs, we perform a thermal reflow of the patterned resist, which is another advantage of using ZEP 520A. This step consists of baking the resist on a hot plate at a temperature higher than the resist softening point, allowing its molecular redistribution and internal stress relaxation that prevents cracks. The manufacturer suggest a reflow temperature of $140 - 145^{\circ}C$ [47] for ZEP520A. However, this temperature is not high enough to significantly reduce roughness, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). This can be attributed to a higher molecular resist weight after exposure, which has been reported to translate into a higher reflow temperature for resists based on molecular chain scission [47–49]. Indeed, an increase of the reflow temperature significantly improves sidewalls profile, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). This confirms our hypotheses that the exposed resist still exhibited residual roughness, despite it was hard to observe this issue in the SEM images shown in Fig. 2. It is also clear from



Fig. 3. Reflow process. Microdisks fabricated with resist reflowed at different temperatures: **a**) without reflow, **b**) 140° C, **c**) 160° C and **d**) 180° C for 2 minutes at a hot plate. Etching parameters were gas flow $Ar/Cl_2 = 12/8$ sccm, RF power = 150 W, ICP power = 210 W, and chamber pressure = 4.5 mTorr. All images were taken at 20 kV using secondary electron detector. The top resist layer was false-ed as red, the brightness contrast between the top GaAs layer and AlGaAs is intrinsic to the SEM image. The image in (**c**) is also shown in Fig. 4(**b**) and Fig. 5(**a**), for easier comparison.

Fig. 3 that the reflow process impacts the angle of the patterned disk sidewall [47]. This is a consequence of an arched or even nearly semi-spherical resist topography after reflow, translating into non-uniform protective layer thickness, that is transferred to the GaAs layer, especially at the patterned resist edges [50].

2.3. Argon (Ar) and chlorine (Cl_2) flow

Typical ICP-etching equipment allows control over several etching parameters, including gases flow, chamber pressure and plate and coil powers. In this section, we describe the role of gases flow in GaAs/AlGaAs etching with the ZEP520A masks. We fixed the total gas flow (20 sccm), such that changes in the gases proportion would not impact the chamber base pressure. Different proportions of the mixture $Ar+Cl_2$ were used and the profiles obtained can be seen in Fig. 4. Throughout these steps, we used a reflowed resist mask in the most suitable temperature (2 min at 160°C) in order to isolate the etch chemistry sidewall roughness.

Figure 4(a) shows a very smooth wall achieved with a high percentage (80%) of argon in the mixture. However, a bit of roughness is still noticeable on the resist's upper surface, what could reflect on a higher amount of superficial defects in the disks. This is caused by the sputtering resulting from the presence of argon ions in the plasma and can be reduced by increasing the proportion of chlorine in the mixture, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Further increase of the chlorine flow significantly enhances chemical reactions in the plasma, resulting in a rougher etch, as can be seen in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). Such roughness sets an upper limit to the chlorine proportion in the mixture.

In addition to the surface roughness of the walls, it is necessary to calibrate the etch rate of each plasma recipe, especially to fabricate devices with tight geometrical constraints [26]. These different rates were obtained using an atomic force microscope, which can fully characterize



Fig. 4. Gases flow. Etched disks sidewall profile for Ar/Cl_2 flow of **a**) 16/4 sccm, **b**) 12/8 sccm, **c**) 8/12 sccm and **d**) 4/16 sccm. Etching parameters were RF power = 150 W, ICP power = 210 W and chamber pressure = 4.5 mTorr and a 2 minutes resist reflow at 160° C. The etch duration were 90 s for **a**) and **b**), 35 s for **c**) and 25 s for **d**). All images were taken at 20 kV using secondary electron detector and false-ed. The image in **b**) is also shown in Fig. 3(**c**) and Fig. 5(**a**), for easier comparison.

the sample topography. The measurements are performed in three steps: the topography of the sample is obtained i) before ICP-etching, ii) after ICP-etching with the electroresist and iii) after removing the electroresist. We can precisely measure the isolated etch rates of GaAs or AlGaAs, and the overall etch selectivity between the resist and GaAs/AlGaAs can be calculated, as shown in Table 1. We observe a large increase in the GaAs/AlGaAs etching rate when there is more chlorine in the plasma, with a significantly lower resist etching rate. This indicates the possibility of using a plasma of a high percentage of chlorine combined with a ZEP520A soft mask to achieve deep-etched [51] devices. Due to the large GaAs/AlGaAs etch rate obtained for the highest chlorine flow (4/16 Ar/Cl₂), we used a shorter duration time for this etch when compared to the other gas mixtures. Contrary to the trend observed for the lower chlorine mixtures, this led to a smaller resist etch rate. Given the etch short duration, this lower rate suggests a non-uniform resist etch rate.

Ar/Cl ₂ flow (sccm)	GaAs/AlGaAs rate (nm/s)	Resist rate (nm/s)	
16/4	5.9	3.7	
12/8	10.2	5.2	
8/12	22.8	8.4	
4/16	27.2	4.8	

Table	1.	Etching	rate	dependence	on	gas	flow.
-------	----	---------	------	------------	----	-----	-------

2.4. Chamber pressure

Chamber pressure in ICP etching changes the ions mean free path and can significantly modify the chemical profile of the etch process [46]. In order to evaluate the impact of this parameter on



the etch results, we fabricated microdisks using different chamber pressures, as can be seen in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Chamber pressure. SEM images of microdisks etched at chamber pressures of **a**) 4.5 mTorr, **a**) 6.0 mTorr, **a**) 7.5 mTorr and **a**) 9.0 mTorr. Changes in sidewall roughness, angle and depth can be observed. These devices were fabricated with Ar/Cl_2 flow = 12/8 sccm, RF power = 150 W, ICP power = 210 W and a resist reflow process of 2 minutes at 160° C. The image in **a**) is also shown in Fig. 3(**c**) and Fig. 4(**b**), for easier comparison.

In general, changes in chamber pressure did not significantly modify the sidewall roughness of the GaAs, but it did so for the AlGaAs. An overall selectivity between GaAs/AlGaAs and resist higher than 3.75 was observed, as shown in the Table 2, indicating the suitability of this recipe to thicker GaAs-layer devices.

Pressure (mTorr)	GaAs/AlGaAs rate (nm/s)	Resist rate (nm/s)		
4.5	10.2	5.2		
6.0	13.5	5.7		
7.5	16.9	3.0		
9.0	22.5	6.0		

Table 2. Etching rate dependence on chamber pressure.

We also observe a change in the sidewall angles depending on the pressure, from a ~ 25° angle in Fig. 5(a) for $P_{ch} = 4.5$ mTorr to an almost vertical wall in Fig. 5(d) for $P_{ch} = 9.0$ mTorr. At lower chamber pressures, the effective mean free path of argon ions increases, which favors the physical sputtering etch through the process. Also ion milling is known to be strongly angle-dependent, with higher etch rates for ions colliding with the surface at low angles [46], translating into an angled GaAs sidewall profile. This degree of control of the sidewall angle could be used to manipulate the optical mode overlap with the disk edge, which has been shown to increase the optical quality factors [52]. We conclude this section with an optimized fabrication recipe, consisting of an lithography dose of 50 µC/cm², a 2 minutes reflow step at 160°C and ICP-RIE etching with RF power = 150 W, ICP power = 210 W, Ar/Cl₂ flow = 12/8 sccm and P_{ch} = 4.5 mTorr. After the etching process, the residual resist is removed by dipping the sample

for 5 minutes in trichloroethylene (TCE), 1 minute in acetone, 1 minute in isopropyl alcohol and then blow dry with N_2 .

2.5. Undercut and cleaning steps

In order to ensure the mechanical degrees of freedom of the microdisk cavities, a final wet isotropic etching of the AlGaAs layer is performed to undercut the cavity. After the TCE cleaning step, the disks are released using a diluted solution of HF:H₂O (1:60), which is known to result in AlF₃ and Al(OH)₃ residues [53]. These residues are cleaned with a dip in a H₂O₂ solution (30%) for 1 minute. A final dip in a KOH solution (20% in mass, at 80°C) during 3 minutes is performed to remove the oxidized surface layer [53]. These final cleaning steps are crucial to ensure a clean sample and high optical quality factors.

3. Optical and mechanical characterization

The GaAs microdisks fabricated with the optimized recipe exhibit high optical and mechanical quality factors, among the highest reported using soft or hard-mask assisted dry etching [32,42–45]. A schematic of the setup used for device characterization can be seen in Fig. 6(a). A tunable laser is sent to an optical fiber circuit, an 1% tap feeds a calibrated fiber-based Mach-Zehnder interferometer and acetylene gas cell for frequency detuning reference and absolute frequency reference. Light is coupled to the cavity through the evanescent field of a tapered optical fiber ($\approx 2 \mu m$ diameter). The transmitted signal is split (10%) to feed a transmission monitoring slow photodetector, and (90%) to feed a fast photodetector (≈ 800 MHz bandwidth) to monitor the RF intensity modulation induced on the light field by the cavity mechanical modes [12]. Also, a phase modulator is used to calibrate the optomechanical coupling following the technique by Gorodetsky et al [54].

A typical optical transmission spectrum of a 10 µm radius microdisk fabricated with the optimized recipe is shown in Fig. 6(b) with the highest optical quality factor resonance highlighted in red. An optical microscopy image of the corresponding device is shown in Fig. 6(c). This highest Q mode is also shown in Fig. 6(d), where the fitted model reveals an intrinsic optical quality factor of $Q_{opt} = 2.0 \times 10^5$; the observed splitting is accounted for in the fitting model and is due to clockwise and counter-clockwise mode coupling. This quality factor is on pair with those obtained through dry-etching using hard [43] or soft mask [32], yet lower than those of passivated wet-etched disks [31].

We have also performed further analysis of the optical modes to infer their transverse mode polarization and radial order. In the Fig. 6(b), it is possible to identify a periodic pattern of the modes, related to the free spectral range (FSR) of the transverse optical mode families. In fact, we find that the FSR of higher Q_{opt} modes closely match those of TE-modes calculated with finite element method (with material dispersion [55] included). Finally, we have statistically compared our optimized etching recipe but differing at the resist reflow step. We show the results in Fig. 6(e) with the quality factors of all modes observed in the tested wavelength range. The orange bars represent the cavity without the resist reflow process, showing a distribution more localized at lower Q. On the other hand, the blue bars represent the reflowed device, showing a distribution with a higher Q and peak values around $Q_{opt} \sim 2 \times 10^5$. As a result, an overall improvement in the optical quality of the GaAs microdisks is seen after resist reflow, which is achieved by combining our optimized process steps.

To assess the optomechanical performance of our microdisk we analyzed the radio-frequency (RF) spectrum of the transmitted light. For these measurements, a 3.6 µm radius disk was used. We use a disk with smaller radius to increase the optomechanical coupling and, consequently, the transduction signal [32]. Exciting the disk at its highest Q mode at 1563.4 nm, we were able to observe the RF tone corresponding to the fundamental mechanical breathing mode (see inset of Fig. 7(a)) at $\Omega_{mec} = 2\pi \times 370$ MHz. The corresponding mechanical quality factor



Fig. 6. a) Experimental setup used to characterize optomechanical disks. ϕ -mod, PD, DAQ, MZ, Acet., FPD and ESA stand for phase modulator, photodetector, analog-digital converter, Mach-Zehnder interferometer, acetylene cell, fast photodetector and electrical spectrum analyzer, respectively. b) Broaband spectrum of of a 10 μ m radius disk. The fitted intrinsic quality factor is $Q_{opt} = 2.0 \times 10^5$. c) Microscope image of the fabricated sample, TPL stands for the taper parking lot used to stabilize the tapered fiber position.d) Optical modes of a 10 μ m radius disk, with intrinsic $Q_{opt} = 1.55 \times 10^5$ and $Q_{opt} = 2.0 \times 10^5$ respectively. e) Instrinsic optical quality factors for a cavity with (blue bars) and a cavity without resist reflow (orange bars). We see that the optimized recipe shows higher quality factors.

 $Q_{\text{mec}} = 760$ is obtained by fitting the lorentzian shape shown in Fig. 7(a). The signal of a calibrated phase-modulator is also shown and was used for the calibration of the optomechanical vacuum coupling constant [54], yielding $g_0 = 2\pi \times 13.6$ kHz. This coupling rate is 50% larger than one would achieve with a similar geometry using a silicon based device, mainly caused by the large photoelastic coefficients of GaAs [33], responsible for more than 30% of the total optomechanical coupling rate in this case.

One property of optomechanical devices is that the mechanical modes may experience a backaction force from the optical fields. When the laser is blue-detuned with respect to the mode, the positive feedback force can drive the mechanical system into self-sustained oscillations. Although this is rather straightforward, semiconductor-based cavities often suffer from a detrimental nonlinear loss that prevents reaching this regime. For our devices, when the blue-detuned pump power was increased to $P \sim 1$ mW, the self-sustained oscillation threshold was easily reached. A strong RF tone (> 50 dBm of signal above noise) was observed and shown in Fig. 7(b). It is also possible to see a slight red-shift of the mechanical frequency in the oscillating peak of ≈ 3.5 MHz, which is attributed to thermal softening of GaAs [56]. This shows that disks produced with our fabrication process can be used as mechanical oscillators, a key aspect to investigate other optomechanical phenomena, such as nonlinear dynamics [57,58], coherent optical conversion



Fig. 7. a) Mechanical mode at $\Omega_m = 2\pi \times 370$ MHz observed for a 3.6 µm radius disk, with a quality factor of $Q_{mec} = 760$. A phase modulator calibration tone can be seen at 374 MHz, yielding an optomechanical coupling rate of $g_0 = 2\pi \times 13.6$ kHz. The inset shows a Finite Element Simulation (FEM) of normalized displacement profile of the fundamental mechanical breathing mode. b) Self-sustained oscillation of the fundamental mechanical mode is shown with a peak of more than 50 dB above the noise floor.

[22] and classical and quantum synchronization [59,60]. Although not explored here, achieving self-sustaining oscillation shows that optical cooling [14] is within reach of our devices.

4. Conclusion

We have shown that it is possible to control the roughness and the sidewall profile in GaAs microdisks by fully characterizing the ICP plasma etching conditions. This process simplifies fabrication, removing the need for a hard mask and reducing the number of steps in the production of the devices. High optical confinement can be achieved, with optical quality factor as high as 2×10^5 . Further improvement in optical quality factor can be done if one performs surface post-treatment as indicated in [31]. Comparing data and simulations, we have evidence that the higher optical quality factors is due to the reduction in sidewall roughness. We have also investigated the impact of resist reflow in our fabrication process. The observation of radial breathing mechanical modes and excitation of self-sustaining oscillations with low optical powers shows that GaAs devices could also be used in nonlinear optomechanics experiments.

Funding

São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) (2012/17610-3, 2012/17765-7, 2016/18308-0, 2018/15577-5, 2018/15580-6, 2019/01402-1); Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) (Finance Code 001).

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- B. Stern, X. Ji, Y. Okawachi, A. L. Gaeta, and M. Lipson, "Battery-operated integrated frequency comb generator," Nature 562(7727), 401–405 (2018).
- M. Karpov, M. H. P. Pfeiffer, J. Liu, A. Lukashchuk, and T. J. Kippenberg, "Photonic chip-based soliton frequency combs covering the biological imaging window," Nat. Commun. 9(1), 1146 (2018).
- M. Gräfe, R. Heilmann, M. Lebugle, D. Guzman-Silva, A. Perez-Leija, and A. Szameit, "Integrated photonic quantum walks," J. Opt. 18(10), 103002 (2016).

Research Article

Optical Materials EXPRESS

- J. E. Sharping, K. F. Lee, M. A. Foster, A. C. Turner, B. S. Schmidt, M. Lipson, A. L. Gaeta, and P. Kumar, "Generation of correlated photons in nanoscale silicon waveguides," Opt. Express 14(25), 12388 (2006).
- A. Mohanty, M. Zhang, A. Dutt, S. Ramelow, P. Nussenzveig, and M. Lipson, "Quantum interference between transverse spatial waveguide modes," Nat. Commun. 8(1), 14010 (2017).
- X. Qiang, X. Zhou, J. Wang, C. M. Wilkes, T. Loke, S. O'Gara, L. Kling, G. D. Marshall, R. Santagati, T. C. Ralph, J. B. Wang, J. L. O'Brien, M. G. Thompson, and J. C. F. Matthews, "Large-scale silicon quantum photonics implementing arbitrary two-qubit processing," Nat. Photonics 12(9), 534–539 (2018).
- J. Wang, S. Paesani, Y. Ding, R. Santagati, P. Skrzypczyk, A. Salavrakos, J. Tura, R. Augusiak, L. Mančinska, D. Bacco, D. Bonneau, J. W. Silverstone, Q. Gong, A. Acín, K. Rottwitt, L. K. Oxenløwe, J. L. O'Brien, A. Laing, and M. G. Thompson, "Multidimensional quantum entanglement with large-scale integrated optics," Science 360(6386), 285–291 (2018).
- A. Faraon, A. Majumdar, D. Englund, E. Kim, M. Bajcsy, and J. Vučković, "Integrated quantum optical networks based on quantum dots and photonic crystals," New J. Phys. 13(5), 055025 (2011).
- C. Wang, M. Zhang, B. Stern, M. Lipson, and M. Lončar, "Nanophotonic lithium niobate electro-optic modulators," Opt. Express 26(2), 1547–1555 (2018).
- K. C. Balram, M. I. Davanço, J. D. Song, and K. Srinivasan, "Coherent coupling between radiofrequency, optical and acoustic waves in piezo-optomechanical circuits," Nat. Photonics 10(5), 346–352 (2016).
- G. S. Wiederhecker, P. Dainese, and T. P. Mayer Alegre, "Brillouin optomechanics in nanophotonic structures," APL Photonics 4(7), 071101 (2019).
- M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, "Cavity optomechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 86(4), 1391–1452 (2014).
- R. Riedinger, S. Hong, R. A. Norte, J. A. Slater, J. Shang, A. G. Krause, V. Anant, M. Aspelmeyer, and S. Gröblacher, "Nonclassical correlations between single photons and phonons from a mechanical oscillator," Nature 530(7590), 313–316 (2016).
- J. Chan, T. P. M. Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Gröblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, "Laser cooling of a nanomechanical oscillator into its quantum ground state," Nature 478(7367), 89–92 (2011).
- D. Navarro-Urrios, N. E. Capuj, M. F. Colombano, P. D. García, M. Sledzinska, F. Alzina, A. Griol, A. Martínez, and C. M. Sotomayor-Torres, "Nonlinear dynamics and chaos in an optomechanical beam," Nat. Commun. 8(1), 14965 (2017).
- 16. A. H. Safavi-Naeini, D. Van Thourhout, R. Baets, and R. Van Laer, "Controlling phonons and photons at the wavelength scale: integrated photonics meets integrated phononics," Optica 6(2), 213 (2019).
- B. J. Eggleton, C. G. Poulton, P. T. Rakich, M. J. Steel, and G. Bahl, "Brillouin integrated photonics," Nat. Photonics 13(10), 664–677 (2019).
- L. Ding, C. Baker, P. Senellart, A. Lemaitre, S. Ducci, G. Leo, and I. Favero, "High Frequency GaAs Nano-Optomechanical Disk Resonator," Phys. Rev. Lett. 105(26), 263903 (2010).
- W. C. Jiang, X. Lu, J. Zhang, and Q. Lin, "High-frequency silicon optomechanical oscillator with an ultralow threshold," Opt. Express 20(14), 15991–15996 (2012).
- X. Sun, X. Zhang, and H. X. Tang, "High-Q silicon optomechanical microdisk resonators at gigahertz frequencies," Appl. Phys. Lett. 100(17), 173116 (2012).
- A. G. Krause, M. Winger, T. D. Blasius, Q. Lin, and O. J. Painter, "A high-resolution microchip optomechanical accelerometer," Nat. Photonics 6(11), 768–772 (2012).
- J. T. Hill, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. Chan, and O. Painter, "Coherent optical wavelength conversion via cavity optomechanics," Nat. Commun. 3(1), 1196 (2012).
- 23. M. Metcalfe, "Applications of cavity optomechanics," Appl. Phys. Rev. 1(3), 031105 (2014).
- M. Eichenfield, J. Chan, R. M. Camacho, K. J. Vahala, and O. Painter, "Optomechanical crystals," Nature 462(7269), 78–82 (2009).
- R. Benevides, F. G. Santos, G. O. Luiz, G. S. Wiederhecker, and T. P. Mayer Alegre, "Ultrahigh-Q optomechanical crystal cavities fabricated in a CMOS foundry," Sci. Rep. 7(1), 2491 (2017).
- F. G. Santos, Y. Espinel, G. de Oliveira Luiz, R. Benevides, G. Wiederhecker, and T. P. Alegre, "Hybrid confinement of optical and mechanical modes in a bullseye optomechanical resonator," Opt. Express 25(2), 508–1001 (2017).
- G. Luiz, R. Benevides, F. Santos, Y. Espinel, T. Mayer Alegre, and G. Wiederhecker, "Efficient anchor loss suppression in coupled near-field optomechanical resonators," Opt. Express 25(25), 31347–31361 (2017).
- C. Xiong, W. H. P. Pernice, X. Sun, C. Schuck, K. Y. Fong, and H. X. Tang, "Aluminum nitride as a new material for chip-scale optomechanics and nonlinear optics," New J. Phys. 14(9), 095014 (2012).
- J. Liu, K. Usami, A. Naesby, T. Bagci, E. S. Polzik, P. Lodahl, and S. Stobbe, "High-Q optomechanical GaAs nanomembranes," Appl. Phys. Lett. 99(24), 243102 (2011).
- K. Usami, A. Naesby, T. Bagci, B. Melholt Nielsen, J. Liu, S. Stobbe, P. Lodahl, and E. S. Polzik, "Optical cavity cooling of mechanical modes of a semiconductor nanomembrane," Nat. Phys. 8(2), 168–172 (2012).
- B. Guha, S. Mariani, A. Lemaître, S. Combrié, G. Leo, and I. Favero, "High frequency optomechanical disk resonators in III-V ternary semiconductors," Opt. Express 25(20), 24639–24649 (2017).
- K. C. Balram, M. Davanço, J. Y. Lim, J. D. Song, and K. Srinivasan, "Moving boundary and photoelastic coupling in GaAs optomechanical resonators," Optica 1(6), 414 (2014).

- R. W. Dixon, "Photoelastic Properties of Selected Materials and Their Relevance for Applications to Acoustic Light Modulators and Scanners," J. Appl. Phys. 38(13), 5149–5153 (1967).
- C. Baker, W. Hease, D.-T. Nguyen, A. Andronico, S. Ducci, G. Leo, and I. Favero, "Photoelastic coupling in gallium arsenide optomechanical disk resonators," Opt. Express 22(12), 14072 (2014).
- W. Yang, S. A. Gerke, K. W. Ng, Y. Rao, C. Chase, and C. J. Chang-Hasnain, "Laser optomechanics," Sci. Rep. 5(1), 13700 (2015).
- D. Princepe, G. S. Wiederhecker, I. Favero, and N. C. Frateschi, "Self-Sustained Laser Pulsation in Active Optomechanical Devices," IEEE Photonics J. 10(3), 1–10 (2018).
- X. Xi, J. Ma, and X. Sun, "Carrier-mediated cavity optomechanics in a semiconductor laser," Phys. Rev. A 99(5), 053837 (2019).
- B. Guha, F. Marsault, F. Cadiz, L. Morgenroth, V. Ulin, V. Berkovitz, A. Lemaître, C. Gomez, A. Amo, S. Combrié, B. Gérard, G. Leo, and I. Favero, "Surface-enhanced gallium arsenide photonic resonator with a quality factor of six million," Optica 4(2), 218–221 (2017).
- 39. Y. W. Chen, B. S. Ooi, G. I. Ng, K. Radhakrishnan, and C. L. Tan, "Dry via hole etching of GaAs using high-density Cl2/Ar plasma," J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B: Microelectron. Process. Phenom. 18(5), 2509 (2000).
- K. Liu, X.-M. Ren, Y.-Q. Huang, S.-W. Cai, X.-F. Duan, Q. Wang, C. Kang, J.-S. Li, Q.-T. Chen, and J.-R. Fei, "Inductively coupled plasma etching of GaAs in Cl2/Ar, Cl2/Ar/O2 chemistries with photoresist mask," Appl. Surf. Sci. 356, 776–779 (2015).
- A. Matsutani, F. Ishiwari, Y. Shoji, T. Kajitani, T. Uehara, M. Nakagawa, and T. Fukushima, "Chlorine-based inductively coupled plasma etching of GaAs wafer using tripodal paraffinic triptycene as an etching resist mask," Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 55(6S1), 06GL01 (2016).
- A. Faraon, "Locally controlled photonic crystal devices with coupled quantum dots: physics and applications," Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University (2009).
- K. Srinivasan, M. Borselli, T. J. Johnson, P. E. Barclay, O. Painter, A. Stintz, and S. Krishna, "Optical loss and lasing characteristics of high-quality-factor AlGaAs microdisk resonators with embedded quantum dots," Appl. Phys. Lett. 86(15), 151106 (2005).
- 44. S. Buckley, M. Radulaski, J. L. Zhang, J. Petykiewicz, K. Biermann, and J. Vučković, "Nonlinear frequency conversion using high-quality modes in GaAs nanobeam cavities," Opt. Lett. 39(19), 5673 (2014).
- K. Rivoire, S. Buckley, and J. Vučković, "Multiply resonant photonic crystal nanocavities for nonlinear frequency conversion," Opt. Express 19(22), 22198 (2011).
- M. J. Madou, "Fundamentals of Microfabrication: The Science of Miniaturization," Fundamentals of Microfabrication: The Science of Miniaturization p. 49 (2002).
- R. Kirchner, V. A. Guzenko, I. Vartiainen, N. Chidambaram, and H. Schift, "ZEP520A A resist for electron-beam grayscale lithography and thermal reflow," Microelectron. Eng. 153, 71–76 (2016).
- S. Pfirrmann, A. Voigt, A. Kolander, G. Grützner, O. Lohse, I. Harder, and V. A. Guzenko, "Towards a novel positive tone resist mr-PosEBR for high resolution electron-beam lithography," Microelectron. Eng. 155, 67–73 (2016).
- A. Schleunitz, V. A. Guzenko, M. Messerschmidt, H. Atasoy, R. Kirchner, and H. Schift, "Novel 3D micro- and nanofabrication method using thermally activated selective topography equilibration (TASTE) of polymers," Nano Convergence 1(1), 7 (2014).
- 50. A. Baca and C. I. Ashby, Fabrication of GaAs devices (IET, 2009), 2nd ed.
- 51. M. N. Mudholkar, G. Sai Saravanan, K. Mahadeva Bhat, C. Sridhar, H. P. Vyas, and R. Muralidharan, "Etching of 200 μm deep GaAs via holes with near vertical wall profile using photoresist mask with inductively coupled plasma," *Proceedings of the 14th International Workshop on the Physics of Semiconductor Devices, IWPSD* pp. 466–468 (2007).
- H. Lee, T. Chen, J. Li, K. Y. Yang, S. Jeon, O. J. Painter, and K. J. Vahala, "Chemically etched ultrahigh-Q wedge-resonator on a silicon chip," Nat. Photonics 6(6), 369–373 (2012).
- L. Midolo, T. Pregnolato, G. Kirsanske, and S. Stobbe, "Soft-mask fabrication of gallium arsenide nanomembranes for integrated quantum photonics," Nanotechnology 26(48), 484002 (2015).
- M. L. Gorodetsky, A. Schliesser, G. Anetsberger, S. Deleglise, and T. J. Kippenberg, "Determination of the vacuum optomechanical coupling rate using frequency noise calibration," Opt. Express 18(22), 23236 (2010).
- 55. T. Skauli, P. S. Kuo, K. L. Vodopyanov, T. J. Pinguet, O. Levi, L. A. Eyres, J. S. Harris, M. M. Fejer, B. Gerard, L. Becouarn, and E. Lallier, "Improved dispersion relations for gaas and applications to nonlinear optics," J. Appl. Phys. 94(10), 6447–6455 (2003).
- 56. R. Benevides, N. C. Carvalho, M. Ménard, N. C. Frateschi, G. S. Wiederhecker, and T. P. M. Alegre, "Overcoming optical spring effect with thermo-opto-mechanical coupling in GaAs microdisks," in "Latin America Optics and Photonics Conference," (Optical Society of America, Lima, 2018), OSA Technical Digest, p. W4D.4.
- K. Børkje, A. Nunnenkamp, J. D. Teufel, and S. M. Girvin, "Signatures of Nonlinear Cavity Optomechanics in the Weak Coupling Regime," Phys. Rev. Lett. 111(5), 053603 (2013).
- A. G. Krause, J. T. Hill, M. Ludwig, A. H. Safavi-naeini, J. Chan, F. Marquardt, and O. Painter, "Nonlinear radiation pressure dynamics in an optomechanical crystal," Phys. Rev. Lett. 115(23), 233601 (2015).
- M. A. Zhang, G. S. Wiederhecker, S. Manipatruni, A. Barnard, P. McEuen, and M. Lipson, "Synchronization of Micromechanical Oscillators Using Light," Phys. Rev. Lett. 109(23), 233906 (2012).
- G.-J. Qiao, H.-X. Gao, H.-D. Liu, and X. X. Yi, "Quantum synchronization of two mechanical oscillators in coupled optomechanical systems with Kerr nonlinearity," Sci. Rep. 8(1), 15614 (2018).