



### UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS DA UNICAMP REPOSITÓRIO DA PRODUÇÃO CIENTIFICA E INTELECTUAL DA UNICAMP

## Versão do arquivo anexado / Version of attached file:

Versão do Editor / Published Version

# Mais informações no site da editora / Further information on publisher's website: https://bioone.org/journals/applications-in-plant-sciences/volume-3/issue-8/apps.1500035/Microsatellite-Markers-for-Studies-with-the-Carnivorous-Plant-Philcoxia-minensis/10.3732/apps.1500035.full

DOI: 10.3732/apps.1500035

# Direitos autorais / Publisher's copyright statement: © by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

DIRETORIA DE TRATAMENTO DA INFORMAÇÃO

Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz Barão Geraldo CEP 13083-970 – Campinas SP Fone: (19) 3521-6493 http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br



PRIMER NOTE

### MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR STUDIES WITH THE CARNIVOROUS PLANT *PHILCOXIA MINENSIS* (PLANTAGINACEAE)<sup>1</sup>

ANDRÉ V. SCATIGNA<sup>2,4</sup>, FERNANDA A. OLIVEIRA<sup>3</sup>, CAMILA C. MANTELLO<sup>3</sup>, PATRÍCIA M. FRANCISCO<sup>3</sup>, ANETE P. SOUZA<sup>2,3</sup>, AND ANDRÉ O. SIMÕES<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil; and <sup>3</sup>Centro de Biologia Molecular e Engenharia Genética, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

- *Premise of the study:* Microsatellite markers were developed for the critically endangered carnivorous species *Philcoxia minensis* (Plantaginaceae) for further population genetic studies aiming at its conservation.
- *Methods and Results:* We identified 29 clones containing 40 microsatellites from a genomic enriched library. A total of 27 primer pairs were developed and evaluated in 30 individuals of a natural *P. minensis* population. Seventeen markers successfully presented amplification products within the expected size range, of which 12 were polymorphic. The expected and observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.03 to 0.65 and from 0.00 to 0.77, respectively. Positive transferability with the related species *P. bahiensis* was observed for the same 17 markers.
- *Conclusions:* The 12 polymorphic microsatellite markers are suitable for studies in genetic diversity and structure, mating system, and gene flow in *P. minensis* and also may be useful for similar issues regarding the related species *P. bahiensis*.

Key words: conservation genetics; Espinhaço Range; Gratioleae; *Philcoxia minensis*; Plantaginaceae; simple sequence repeat (SSR).

Philcoxia P. Taylor & V. C. Souza is a carnivorous plant genus, endemic to the Brazilian cerrado and caatinga biomes (Pereira et al., 2012; Carvalho and Queiroz, 2014). The genus is characterized by terrestrial herbs with underground stems and leaves under or on the soil surface. It comprises five species: P. bahiensis V. C. Souza & Harley and P. tuberosa M. L. S. Carvalho & L. P. Queiroz, from Bahia; P. goiasensis P. Taylor, from Goiás; P. minensis V. C. Souza & Giul., from Minas Gerais (Taylor et al., 2000); and a newly described species (Scatigna et al., unpublished), also from Minas Gerais. Only a small population of *P. minensis* is known from a sand patch at the Serra do Cabral State Park, in Minas Gerais State (Souza and Giulietti, 2009). Due to its rarity and the vulnerability of the formation where it occurs, this species could be treated as critically endangered. In addition, very little is known about the general biology of P. minensis. One important step for studies supporting its conservation is the characterization of its genetic diversity and structure, gene flow, breeding ratio of populations, and mating system.

<sup>1</sup>Manuscript received 28 March 2015; revision accepted 4 June 2015.

The authors thank C. G. Pereira, G. H. Shimizu, P. M. Gonella, P. C. Baleeiro, I. Lins, and F. Rivadavia for field support; G. M. Mori, W. Forster, and V. C. Souza for text review; the Instituto Estadual de Florestas (IEF) for providing the permits to conduct field work in Serra do Cabral State Park; and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da São Paulo (FAPESP; 2012/12927-9) for financial support. A.V.S. is grateful to the Post-Graduate program in Plant Biology, Instituto de Biologia, UNICAMP; Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES); and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the scholarship.

<sup>4</sup>Author for correspondence: andre\_vs13@hotmail.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1500035

The use of microsatellite markers for population genetics and biodiversity conservation has increased in the past decade (Ouborg et al., 2010) and is now a well-established technique. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to develop microsatellite markers or simple sequence repeats (SSR), aiming to elucidate questions concerning aspects of *P. minensis* biology, such as its genetic structure, variability, and mating system.

#### METHODS AND RESULTS

A silica gel-dried inflorescence of a single individual of *P. minensis* was used in the initial DNA extraction, using the NucleoSpin Plant II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), following the manufacturer's protocol. A voucher specimen of that individual (*Scatigna, A. V. 42*) was deposited at the UEC herbarium at the Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil. The inflorescence of *Philcoxia* individuals is used for DNA extraction to (1) avoid killing the plant, as the leaves are situated on or below the soil surface; and (2) prevent contamination of the template DNA by the abundant nematodes stuck on the leaf surfaces.

A microsatellite-enriched genomic library was constructed following the protocol proposed by Billotte et al. (1999), with slight modifications. Genomic DNA was digested with AfaI enzyme (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) for 3 h incubation at 37°C and ligated to the double-stranded adapters 5'-CTCTTGCTTACGCGTGGACTA-3' and 5'-TAGTCCACGCGTAAGCA-AGAGCACA-3' for 2 h incubation at 20°C. Enrichment was performed using a hybridization-based capture with (CT)8 and (GT)8 biotin-linked probes and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (MagneSphere Magnetic Separation Products; Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The enriched fragments were amplified by PCR, and the amplification products were cloned into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega Corporation). Competent XL1-Blue Escherichia coli (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) were transformed with the recombinant plasmids and cultivated on agar medium containing ampicillin and 100 µg/mL of X-galactosidase. Eighty recombinant colonies were selected using blue/white screening and sequenced in an automated ABI 3500xL Genetic Analyzer (Perkin Elmer-Applied Biosystems,

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(8): 1500035; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2015 Scatigna et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

Foster City, California, USA) using T7 and SP6 primers and the BigDye Terminator version 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Perkin Elmer-Applied Biosystems). Sequences containing microsatellites were identified using the SSR Identification Tool (SSRIT; Temnykh et al., 2001). As a criterion for the SSR selection, sequences that showed at least five dinucleotide repeats; four trinucleotide repeats; and three tetra-, penta-, and hexanucleotide repeats were selected. A total of 40 SSRs were identified in 29 clones, representing a 36.25% rate of enrichment success. The most abundant motifs in the sequences of microsatellites were dinucleotide (70%), followed by tetranucleotide (17.5%), trinucleotide and pentanucleotide (5% each), and hexanucleotide (2.5%). Most of the SSRs found were classified as perfect (67.5%). Twenty-seven primer pairs, complementary to the genomic sequences flanking the microsatellite region, were designed using Primer3Plus (Untergasser et al., 2007). PCR was conducted with a final volume of 15 µL containing 2.5 ng of template DNA, 1× PCR buffer (20 mM Tris HCl [pH 8.4] and 50 mM KCl), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 10 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 mM of each primer, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). The PCR program for all loci amplification consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min 30 s, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at specific temperature for 1 min (Table 1), extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. Amplification products were checked through 3% agarose gels prior to vertical electrophoresis using 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gels for genotyping through silver-staining (Creste et al., 2001). The product sizes were determined using a 10-bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen).

For polymorphism evaluation in *P. minensis*, we sampled a total of 30 specimens from the only known population, in Serra do Cabral (voucher: *Scatigna, A. V. 43* [UEC]), Municipality of Joaquim Felicio, Minas Gerais State, Brazil (17°42′23″S, 44°11′39″W). Furthermore, four specimens of *P. bahiensis* from a population in Chapada Diamantina (voucher: *Scatigna, A. V. 107* [UEC]), near the municipality of Piatã, Bahia State, Brazil (13°02′S, 41°57′W), were

used to evaluate the transferability of the markers. Individuals were collected at a distance of at least 1 m to avoid sampling of clones. We ran the identity analysis test in CERVUS v. 3.0.7 (Kalinowski et al., 2007), allowing one mismatch to check if there were clones sampled. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsatellite Toolkit v.3.1.1 (Park, 2001) to calculate the number of alleles per locus (A), expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ), observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), and polymorphism information content (PIC). FreeNA (Chapuis and Estoup, 2007) was used to identify the possible occurrence of null alleles ( $F_{\text{NULL}}$ ). Linkage disequilibrium (LD) testing was performed between all loci pairs using a G-test. For Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), we followed the probability test approach (Guo and Thompson, 1992) using GENEPOP v. 4.2 (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). The unbiased estimator of Wright's inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) was calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984) using GENEPOP v. 4.2. Of 27 markers tested, 17 were successfully amplified, of which 12 loci were polymorphic, five were monomorphic, and 10 did not amplify after PCR optimization (Table 1). The same 17 primer pairs successfully amplified with P. bahiensis samples.

No matching genotypes (clones) were found within the samples. A ranged from two to five, with an average of 3.5;  $H_0$  and  $H_e$  ranged from 0.00 to 0.77 and from 0.03 to 0.65, respectively (Table 2). The PIC values ranged from 0.032 to 0.577, showing medium levels of polymorphism (Table 2). Five loci showed significant deviation from HWE (Table 2). No significant LD between loci was detected when applying Bonferroni correction (*P* value for 5% = 0.000758), but null alleles were observed for two loci (null allele frequency estimate  $\geq 0.2$ ; Table 2). The significant and negative  $F_{1S}$  values for Pm21 are due to an excess of observed heterozygote genotypes. It is likely that the significant and positive  $F_{1S}$  estimates for the loci Pm10, Pm13, Pm15, and Pm16 (Table 2) can be explained by its proportions of null allele frequencies. These results were consistent with our expectations, because the population is apparently small and isolated, and the individuals propagate vegetatively.

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 17 microsatellite loci that successfully amplified in *Philcoxia minensis* samples.

		-	1		
Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	Repeat motif	Allele size (bp)	$T_{\rm a}(^{\circ}{ m C})$	GenBank accession no.	
F: CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG	(GA) <sub>17</sub>	234	60	KR019066	
R: GCCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT					
F: AAGACGTTTGCCAGCCTTA	$(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3$	173	60	KR019067	
	(TCC) <sub>5</sub>	161	60	KR019068	
	$(GT)_5(CT)_8$	156	60	KR019069	
	$(GT)_8$	232	60	KR019070	
	$(CT)_{19}$	214	60	KR019071	
	$(AC)_9$	239	60	KR019072	
	$(CA)_{11}(TC)_7$	183	55	KR019073	
	$(CA)_{10}$	213	60	KR019074	
	$(AC)_5(GA)_5$	216	60	KR019075	
	$(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3$	244	60	KR019076	
	$(AG)_{26}$	161	60	KR019077	
	$(AG)_{10}(AC)_{10}$	199	60	KR019078	
	$(CA)_{10}$	181	60	KR019079	
	$(TC)_{10}(CA)_9$	181	60	KR019080	
		214	<i>(</i> 0	1100000	
	$(AC)_{10}$	246	60	KR019081	
	$(CAAGC)_4$	225	60	KR019082	
R: GAGTGAAAGGGTGGTTTGTGA					
	F: CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG R: GCCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT F: AAGACGTTTGCCAGCCTTA R: TGCATTTATCCCCACAGACA F: CCCAGCTTCACCTCACTCTC R: CGTTAGGGCTTTTCTGTTGG F: AGTTGCCTTCCATCATCGTT	F:CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG $(GA)_{17}$ R:GCCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTATF:F:AAGACGTTTGCCAGCCTTA $(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3$ R:TGCATTTATCCCCACAGACAF:F:CCCAGGTTCACCTCACTCTC $(TCC)_5$ R:CGTTAGGGCTTTTCTGTTGGGT)_5(CT)_8R:TGGCTGAGAATCACTGACAAAGT)_8F:TGGAAACCCCAATCCCATAA $(GT)_8$ R:CACAGCGTATCAAGGAAGAGT)_9R:CATTACTGCACCAAGCCTGA $(CT)_{19}$ R:CATTACTGCACCAAGCCTGA $(CA)_{11}(TC)_7$ R:TACATGCGTTCCAAGCATAA $(CA)_{10}$ R:AGCCATGGATATGTTCCCACA $(CA)_{10}$ R:AGCCATGGATATGTTCTCACC $(AC)_5(GA)_5$ R:AGCTTGTCAGGCAGCTCA $(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3$ R:TCATATGCTGGGGCAGCTCA $(AG)_{26}$ R:AGCATGGATATGCTGAGCCTGT $(AG)_{10}(AC)_{10}$ R:TATATAGGGGACGCAGAGAG $(CA)_{10}(AC)_{10}$ R:TACATGCGTCCTCCTCCTCCTCC $(CA)_{10}$ R:TACAAAGCTCGCTGTTCC $(CA)_{10}$ R:TACAAAGCTCGCTCGTTCC $(CA)_{10}$ R:TACAAAGCTCGCTCCTCCCCC $(CA)_{10}$ R:TACAAAGCTCGCTCTCTCCAAT $(AC)_{10}$ R:TGGAGATTCTTGCTGAACC $(TC)_{10}(CA)_9$ R:CGGATTCTCTTGCTTAACG $(CA)_{10}$ R:TGGAGGATGCTGTTGTTGTCG $(AC)_{10}$ R:TGGAGGATGCTGTTGCTGCAACC $(CA)_{10}$ R:TGGAGGATGCTGTTGCTTCCCAAT $(AC)_{10}$ R:TGGAGGATGCTGTTGCTGCAAT $(AC)_{10}$ R: <td>F:CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG<math>(GA)_{17}</math><math>234</math>R:GCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3173R:TGCATTATCCCCACAGCA(TAC)_5161R:CGTAGGCCTTCCTCTCTC(TCC)_5161R:CGTAGGCCTTCCATCATCGTT(GT)_5(CT)_8156R:TGCCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA(GT)_8232F:TGCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA(GT)_19214R:CATACCCCAATCCCGGTGTT(CT)_{19}214R:CATACTCCCACCAGCCTGA(AC)_9239R:ACATAGACCATTCCGGGGAGA183F:CGCTTCTCAAGCCTGAA(CA)_{11}(TC)_7183R:TACATGGGTTCCAAGCCTAA(CA)_{10}213R:ACATAGGCATTCCAGGCAGCAT(AC)_5(GA)_5216R:AGCCATGGATATGTTCTCACC(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTGCTACACCCAACA(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTCCACACACACACA(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTCCACACACACACAC(AG)_{10}(AC)_{10}199R:TTATTAGGGGACGAGAG(AC)_{10}181R:AACAAAGCTCGCTCTCTC(AC)_{10}246R:CGGGATTCCTTGCCACACAC(AC)_{10}246R:CGGGGTTCCTTGCTCACAC(AC)_{10}246R:CTGACGGCTATCCTTCCCACC(CAAGC)_4225</td> <td>F:       CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG       <math>(GA)_{17}</math>       234       60         R:       GCCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT       <math>(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3</math>       173       60         R:       TGCATTATCCCACCAGACCTA       <math>(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3</math>       173       60         R:       TGCATTATCCCCACAGACCTA       <math>(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3</math>       173       60         R:       TGCATCACCTCACCACACTCC       <math>(TCC)_5</math>       161       60         R:       CCCAAGGCTTCCACTCACCGTT       <math>(GT)_5(CT)_8</math>       156       60         R:       TGGCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA       <math>(GT)_5(CT)_8</math>       156       60         R:       TGGCTACACAAGCAAAA       <math>(GT)_5(CT)_8</math>       232       60         R:       TGCATAGACCAATCACTGACAAA       <math>(GT)_7</math>       214       60         R:       CATAGACCATCAGGAACATTC       <math>(AC)_9</math>       239       60         R:       ACATAGACCATTCGGGGAA       <math>(CA)_{11}(TC)_7</math>       183       55         R:       TACATAGACCATTCGGGAGA       <math>(CA)_{10}</math>       213       60         R:       ACATAGACCATCAGACCAAC       <math>(AC)_5(GA)_5</math>       216       60         R:       ACATAGACCATACACACCAACA       <math>(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3</math>       244       60         R:       TCCATATGCTGAGACATACCAC       <t< td=""></t<></td>	F:CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG $(GA)_{17}$ $234$ R:GCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3173R:TGCATTATCCCCACAGCA(TAC)_5161R:CGTAGGCCTTCCTCTCTC(TCC)_5161R:CGTAGGCCTTCCATCATCGTT(GT)_5(CT)_8156R:TGCCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA(GT)_8232F:TGCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA(GT)_19214R:CATACCCCAATCCCGGTGTT(CT)_{19}214R:CATACTCCCACCAGCCTGA(AC)_9239R:ACATAGACCATTCCGGGGAGA183F:CGCTTCTCAAGCCTGAA(CA)_{11}(TC)_7183R:TACATGGGTTCCAAGCCTAA(CA)_{10}213R:ACATAGGCATTCCAGGCAGCAT(AC)_5(GA)_5216R:AGCCATGGATATGTTCTCACC(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTGCTACACCCAACA(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTCCACACACACACA(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3244R:TCATATGCTGGGCATACCTG(AG)_{26}161R:AGCAGTCCACACACACACAC(AG)_{10}(AC)_{10}199R:TTATTAGGGGACGAGAG(AC)_{10}181R:AACAAAGCTCGCTCTCTC(AC)_{10}246R:CGGGATTCCTTGCCACACAC(AC)_{10}246R:CGGGGTTCCTTGCTCACAC(AC)_{10}246R:CTGACGGCTATCCTTCCCACC(CAAGC)_4225	F:       CCAACAGAAAAGCCCTAACG $(GA)_{17}$ 234       60         R:       GCCCACAGTCCCTTGAGTAT $(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3$ 173       60         R:       TGCATTATCCCACCAGACCTA $(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3$ 173       60         R:       TGCATTATCCCCACAGACCTA $(TAG)_4(TGTA)_3$ 173       60         R:       TGCATCACCTCACCACACTCC $(TCC)_5$ 161       60         R:       CCCAAGGCTTCCACTCACCGTT $(GT)_5(CT)_8$ 156       60         R:       TGGCTGAGAATCACTGACAAA $(GT)_5(CT)_8$ 156       60         R:       TGGCTACACAAGCAAAA $(GT)_5(CT)_8$ 232       60         R:       TGCATAGACCAATCACTGACAAA $(GT)_7$ 214       60         R:       CATAGACCATCAGGAACATTC $(AC)_9$ 239       60         R:       ACATAGACCATTCGGGGAA $(CA)_{11}(TC)_7$ 183       55         R:       TACATAGACCATTCGGGAGA $(CA)_{10}$ 213       60         R:       ACATAGACCATCAGACCAAC $(AC)_5(GA)_5$ 216       60         R:       ACATAGACCATACACACCAACA $(AC)_5(ACAAA)_3$ 244       60         R:       TCCATATGCTGAGACATACCAC <t< td=""></t<>	

*Note*:  $T_a$  = specific annealing temperature.

\* Monomorphic loci.

TABLE 2. Results of initial polymorphic microsatellite marker screening in the population of *Philcoxia minensis* (N = 30).

Locus	Α	Allele size range (bp)	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	PIC	$F_{IS}^{a}$	$F_{\rm NULL}$
Pm02	3	163-181	0.3	0.264	0.233	-0.14	-0.08
Pm03	2	163-165	0.033	0.033	0.233	-0.14	-0.08
	-		0.000	0.000	0.00	0	0
Pm04	4	146-166	0.5	0.417	0.379	-0.2	-0.13
Pm09	4	192-220	0.64	0.634	0.552	-0.01	-0.02
Pm10	4	239-269	0.067	0.098	0.095	0.33*	0.30 <sup>b</sup>
Pm11	3	177-185	0.448	0.402	0.361	-0.12	-0.06
Pm13	4	109-215	0.367	0.434	0.389	0.16**	0.15
Pm15	4	214-220	0.364	0.518	0.418	0.30**	0.17
Pm16	2	242-244	0	0.089	0.083	1.00*	0.71 <sup>b</sup>
Pm20	4	189-201	0.552	0.591	0.527	0.07	0.01
Pm21	5	155-185	0.773	0.655	0.577	-0.18*	-0.12
Pm27	3	221-227	0.455	0.502	0.391	0.1	0.05

*Note:* A = number of alleles sampled;  $F_{IS} =$  inbreeding coefficient index;  $F_{NULL} =$  occurrence of null alleles;  $H_e =$  expected heterozygosity;  $H_o =$  observed heterozygosity; PIC = polymorphism information content.

<sup>a</sup> Significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium: \*P < 0.05 and \*\*P < 0.01.

<sup>b</sup>Markers with the probability of occurrence of null alleles.

### CONCLUSIONS

The SSR markers herein described are the first developed for *P. minensis*. These microsatellites are important tools for genetic studies in *P. minensis* and may be used to evaluate the genetic variability of the related species *P. bahiensis*, aiming to elucidate questions regarding genetic diversity, spatial genetic structure, mating system, and gene flow. Data from such studies may contribute to conservation and management plans for *Philcoxia* species.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BILLOTTE, N., P. J. L. LAGODA, A. M. RISTERUCCI, AND F. C. BAURENS. 1999. Microsatellite-enriched libraries: Applied methodology for the development of SSR markers in tropical crops. *Fruits* 54: 277–288.
- CARVALHO, M. L. S., AND L. P. QUEIROZ. 2014. Philoxia tuberosa (Plantaginaceae), a new species from Bahia, Brazil. Neodiversity 7: 14–20.

- CHAPUIS, M. P., AND A. ESTOUP. 2007. Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24: 621–631.
- CRESTE, S., A. T. NETO, AND A. FIGUEIRA. 2001. Detection of single sequence repeat polymorphisms in denaturing polyacrylamide sequencing gels by silver staining. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 19: 299–306.
- GUO, S. W., AND E. A. THOMPSON. 1992. Performing the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportion for multiple alleles. *Biometrics* 48: 361–372.
- KALINOWSKI, S. T., M. L. TAPER, AND T. C. MARSHALL. 2007. Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology* 16: 1099–1106.
- OUBORG, N. J., C. PERTOLDI, V. LOESCHCKE, R. BIJLSMA, AND P. W. HEDRICK. 2010. Conservation genetics in transition to conservation genomics. *Trends in Genetics* 26: 177–187.
- PARK, S. D. E. 2001. Trypanotolerance in West African cattle and the population genetic effects of selection. PhD. Thesis, University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.
- PEREIRA, C. G., D. P. ALMENARA, C. E. WINTER, P. W. FRITSCH, H. LAMBERS, AND R. S. OLIVEIRA. 2012. Underground leaves of *Philcoxia* trap and digest nematodes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 109: 1154–1158.
- RAYMOND, M., AND F. ROUSSET. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86: 248–249.
- SOUZA, V. C., AND A. M. GIULIETTI. 2009. Levantamento das espécies de Scrophulariaceae sensu lato nativas do Brasil. *Pesquisa Botânica* 60: 7–288.
- TAYLOR, P., V. C. SOUZA, A. M. GIULIETTI, AND R. M. HARLEY. 2000. *Philcoxia*: A new genus of Scrophulariaceae with three new species from eastern Brazil. *Kew Bulletin* 55: 155–163.
- TEMNYKH, S., G. CLERCK, A. LUKASHOVA, L. LIPOVICH, S. CARTINHOUR, AND S. MCCOUCH. 2001. Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Frequency length variation transposon associations and genetic marker potential. *Genome Research* 11: 1441–1452.
- UNTERGASSER, A., H. NUVEEN, X. R. AO, T. BISSELING, R. GEURTS, AND J. A. M. LEUNISSEN. 2007. Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35: W71–W74.
- WEIR, B. S., AND C. C. COCKERHAM. 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 38: 1358–1370.