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#### Abstract

A series of $\beta$-carboline derivatives with amino or guanidinium were synthesized and evaluated in vitro against anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis and for antiproliferative activities against nine human cancer cell lines. The compounds 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline ( $24.9 \mathrm{gg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline ( $26.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) were the most active against M. Tuberculosis (MTB). Compounds 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline, which had the same substituted groups, inhibited the growth of all human tumor cell lines with growth inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ values from 1.37 to $9.20 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$. Also in this series, compounds 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline and 1-(3-nitrophenyl)3 -carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline demonstrated significant activity against NCI/ADR cells. Among compounds with a terminal guanidine group, compounds 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3carboxamide(ethyl)guanidine $\beta$-carboline ( $27.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) and 1-(3-nitrophenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethyl) guanidine $\beta$-carboline ( $37.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}$ - $)$ demonstrated the greatest activity against MTB. Additionally, compounds 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethyl)guanidine $\beta$-carboline ( $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.45 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) effectively inhibited growth and was highly selective against NCI/ADR. The in silico study revealed that 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline, 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline, 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline, 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline and 1 -(3-nitrophenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline compounds follow the rules established by Lipinski, suggesting that this compound has no problems with oral bioavailability.
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## Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) exhibits high morbidity and mortality. The long-term treatment regimen can cause patients to be non-compliant in completing the treatment, thus leading to emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDRTB) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR-TB) TB strains. Infections caused by MDR-TB and XDR-TB do not respond to first-line drugs that are used to treat TB , and alternative treatment regimens include mostly injected drugs and prolonged treatments. ${ }^{1,2}$ Due to the appearance of

[^0]resistant strains and given high toxicity of anti-tuberculosis drugs, to the need to develop new drugs that are more effective and less toxic than current drugs, which would reduce time and complexity of treatment, is urgent. ${ }^{3,4}$ The discovery of new drugs is also necessary for the treatment of cancer, because most chemotherapeutic agents exhibit severe toxicity and cause many undesirable side effects; additionally, current agents are very expensive, mutagenic, carcinogenic and teratogenic. ${ }^{5}$ Tuberculosis, caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), ${ }^{1}$ and cancer ${ }^{6}$ have affected human health for thousands of years and remain a major cause of diseases affecting public health around the world, and the possible interaction of mycobacterial
pathogens with cancer cells may be influenced by genetic alterations in the tumor cells.

Synthetic $\beta$-carboline derivatives exhibit a wide range of pharmacological activities, including antitumor and antituberculosis activities. ${ }^{7-14}$ Our research group demonstrated that $\beta$-carboline derivatives with various substituents at positions-1, 3 and 9 of the $\beta$-carboline skeleton presented significant in vitro antitumoral, antiviral, antitrypanosomal and antileishmanial activities. ${ }^{15-23}$ Other studies have shown that $\beta$-carboline derivatives with a methyl-substituted group at position-1 and a guanidinium group-terminated side chain at C-3 exhibited anti-HIV-1 activity in MT4 cells by hindering the essential interaction of the regulatory protein Tat with trans-activation response region (TAR). ${ }^{24,25}$ Some results cited above indicated that $\beta$-carboline derivatives containing 4-hydroxyphenyl, 4-methoxyphenyl or 3-nitrophenyl group at $\mathrm{C}-1$ showed potent anticancer activity for some of the human cancer cell lines tested. This led us to study novel $\beta$-carboline analogs that might serve as antitumoral and antituberculosis agents as part of our ongoing research program.

Based on the idea that the addition of appropriate substituents at positions-1 and -3 might result in more potent compounds, we synthesized novel 1 -substituted-phenyl- $\beta$-carboline with an amino or guanidinium groupterminated side chain at C-3 and evaluated the in vitro antituberculosis, antiproliferative properties and in silico study.

## Experimental

## General procedure

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclear magnetic ressonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury Plus (Palo Alto, EUA) spectrometer operating at 300 and 75.5 MHz , respectively, using deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and methanol $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ as solvent, and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as potassium bromide pellets on a BOMEM spectrometer model MB100 (Houston, USA). Melting points were determined in a Micro-Química apparatus MQAPF-301 model (Palhoça, Brazil) and are uncorrected. The reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) conducted on Merck TLC plates (Silica Gel 60 F254, Darmstadt, Germany). All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers.

## Preparation of 1-(substituted phenyl)-3-carboxamide-ethylguanidine- $\beta$-carboline (4a-c)

The 1-(substituted phenyl)-3-carbomethoxy- $\beta$-carboline were obtained as previously reported. ${ }^{21}$ The 1-(substituted-
phenyl)-3-ethylamine-carboxamide $\beta$-carboline 2a-c were obtained by reaction of the methyl esters $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) with 1,2-ethylenediamine ( $6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) at room temperature, stirred for 36 h . The formed solids were collected by filtration. The 1-(substituted-phenyl)-3-prophylamine-carboxamide $\beta$-carboline 3a-c were obtained by reaction of the methyl esters $\mathbf{1 a - c}\left(1.7 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ with 1,3-propanediamine ( $5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ ) in $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was refluxed for 32 h . The formed solids were collected by filtration. ${ }^{26}$

To a solution of S -methylisothiourea sulfate $\left(0.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ in water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $2 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a suspension of $\beta$-carboline-3-ethylamine-carboxamide $\mathbf{2 a - c}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was kept under stirring, at room temperature by 1 h and later under reflux for 24 h , was again added a solution of S-methylisothiourea sulfate ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) in water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and reflux for 24 h . The formed solids were collected by filtration and washed with cold water for obtained 1-(substituted phenyl)-3-carboxamide-ethylguanidine $\beta$-carboline (4a-c). ${ }^{26}$ The characterization data of the compounds obtained are given bellow that corroborates with correlation spectroscopy (COSY), $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ detected multiquantum coherence (HMQC) and heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC) two-dimensional NMR spectra.

1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline (2a)

Yield $68 \%$; mp $171-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3090, 1684, 1480, 1460; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-ethyl), $3.07(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), 7.01 (d, 2H, J 8.7 Hz , Phenyl-H); 7.28 (t, 1 H , $J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.57$ (td, 1H, J 7.7, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7$ ), 7.67 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 7.99$ (d, 2H, J 8.7 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.37 (d, 1H, J 7.8 Hz, H-5); 8.73 (s, 1H, H-4); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 41.2,41.9,112.1,112.7,115.7$, $120.3,121.5,121.8,128.5,129.2,129.7,130.0,134.8$, 138.7, 141.4, 141.8, 157.9, 167.1.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline (2b)

Yield $74 \%$; mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3354, 3106, 1686, 1590, 860; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 2.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-ethyl), 3.87 (t, 2H, J $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl); 3.95 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.17 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Phenyl-H), 7.36 (ddd, 1H, J7.8, 7.5, 2.1 Hz , H-6), 7.58 (d, 1H, J7.5 Hz, H-8), 7.61 (ddd, 1H, J7.8, 7.5, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7), 8.02$ (d, 2H, J 8.1 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.24 (d, 1H, $J 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 37.6,39.3,55.4,113.1,114.6,115.1$,
121.0, 122.4, 122.8, 130.8, 131.6, 132.3, 132.9, 133.6, $139.5,141.9,142.0,144.3,162.5$.

1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethylamine) $\beta$-carboline (2c)

Yield $66 \%$; mp 172-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3190$, 1636, 1555, 1496, 1420; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 2.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-ethyl), $3.63(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-8, Phenyl-H), 7.81 (t, 1H, J 7.8 Hz, H-7), 8.23 (dd, 1H, $J 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.37$ (dd, 1H, J 7.0, 2.0 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.40 (d, 1H, J 7.0 Hz, Phenyl-H), 8.86 (sl, 1H, Phenyl-H), 8,89 (s, 1H, H-4); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 39.9, 40.7, 112.4, 114.3, 120.8, 121.6, 121.7, 123.4, 123.5, 129.1, 129.9, 131.1, 134.7, 135.0, 138.6, 138.9, 139.4, 141.8, 148.5, 167.1.

1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline (3a)

Yield $72 \%$; mp 180-182 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3226$, 1640, 1550, 1496, 1440; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 1.65 (q, 2H, J $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 2.65 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 3.45 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 7.00 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Phenyl-H), 7.29 (t, 1H, J $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), 7.57 (t, 1H, J7.2 Hz, H-7), 7.67 (d, 1H, J $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 8.02 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Phenyl-H), 8.36 (d, 1H, J $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 32.8,36.9$, $39.5,112.0,112.6,115.6,120.1,121.3,121.9,128.3,128.4$, $129.6,130.1,133.8,139.7,140.9,141.5,158.5,164.9$.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline (3b)

Yield $60 \%$; mp $188-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\mathrm{v}_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3200, 1678, 1550, 1520, 1448, 1010, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.64$ (qt, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 2.64 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), $3.44(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Phenyl-H), 7.30 (t, 1H, J7.2 Hz, H-6), 7.58 (t, 1H, J 8.1 Hz , H-7), 7.67 (d, 1H, J $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Phenyl-H), 8.38 (d, 1H, J 7.2 Hz, H-5), 8.75 (s, 1H, H-4); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 33.0,36.9,37.0,55.4$, $112.3,112.6,114.2,120.1,121.3,121.9,128.4,129.7$, 130.0, 130.1, 133.9, 139.8, 140.4, 141.6, 159.9, 164.5.

1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-3-carboxamide(propylamine) $\beta$-carboline (3c)

Yield $55 \%$; mp 178-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3160$, 1686, 1534, 1474, 1440; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 1.65 (qt, 2H, J $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 2.65 (t, 2H, J 6.0 Hz , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 3.46 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-prophyl), 7.33 (t, 1H, J 7.5 Hz, H-6), 7.63 (m, 2H, H-8, Phenyl-H), 7.72
(d, 1H, J 7.8 Hz, H-8), 7.91 (t, 1H, J 7.8 Hz, H-7), 8.33 (dd, 1H, J 8.1, 1.5 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.45 (d, 1H, J 7.8 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.64 (d, 1H, J 8.1 Hz, H-5), 8.86 (s, 1H, H-4); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 32.8,36.8,37.0,112.6$, 113.7, 120.4, 121.2, 122.2, 123.5, 123.6, 128.9, 130.2, $130.4,134.7,135.1,138.2,138.9,139.6,141.6,166.5$.

1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethyl)guanidine $\beta$-carboline (4a)

Yield $30 \%$; mp $176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3260, 1670, 1544, 1424, 1460, 1236; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.22$ ( t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), $3.49(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), $5.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 6.63 (s, 1H, NH), 7.05 (d, 2H, J 8.4 Hz , Phenyl-H), 7.28 (t, 1H, J7.5 Hz, H-6), 7.56 (t, 1H, J7.5 Hz, H-7), 7.67 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 8.05$ (d, 2H, J 8.4 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.37 (d, 1H, J7.8 Hz, H-5), 8.72 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 37.3,40.5,112.4,113.0$, $116.0,120.5,121.5,122.1,128.6,128.8,129.9,130.5$, 134.1, 139.6, 141.3, 141.7, 158.6, 159.6, 163.1.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethyl)guanidine $\beta$-carboline (4b)

Yield $45 \%$; mp 190-194 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3320$, 1670, 1550, 1520, 1448; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.25\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-ethyl), $3.45(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.18(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.22 (d, 2H, J 8.7 Hz , Phenyl-H), 7.34 (t, 1H, J 7.0 Hz, H-6), $7.60(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.70$ (d, 1H, J $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 8.20$ (d, 2H, J 8.7 Hz, Phenyl-H), 8.41 (d, 1H, J 8.1 Hz, H-5), 8.78 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.84 (t, 1H, $J 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 38.6,40.3,55.4,112.3,112.6,114.2,120.8$, 121.2, 121.9, 129.7, 129.9, 130.1, 133.8, 138.4, 139.6, $140.5,141.5,159.0,159.9,165.1$.

1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-3-carboxamide(ethyl)guanidine $\beta$-carboline (4c)

Yield $38 \%$; mp $192-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3204, 1668, 1530, 1520, 1448; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-ethyl), $3.42(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-ethyl), $5.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.34 (t, 1H, J $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8)$, 7.62 (t, 1H, J 7.8 Hz , Phenyl-H), 7.95 (t, 1H, J 8.0 Hz , H-7), 8.39 (d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz, H-5), 8.45 (d, 1H, J 8.1 Hz , Phenyl-H), 8.65 (d, 1H, J 7.5 Hz, Phenyl-H), 8.86 (s, 1H, Phenyl-H), 8.89 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.90 (s, 1H, NH), 12.02 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 38.7,40.5$, 112.6, 113.8, 114.2, 120.4, 121.2, 122.3, 123.4, 123.6, $128.9,130.4,130.5,134.4,135.5,138.1,138.9,140.1$, 141.7, 148.3, 159.01, 164.9.

## Anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis activity

M. tuberculosis $\mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{Rv}$ (ATCC27294) strains were grown in Ogawa-Kudoh (OK) medium for 10 days at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For testing, aliquots were removed and cultured in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco, Sparks, USA) supplemented with oleic acid, bovine serum albumin, dextrose and catalase (OADC enrichment BBL/BectonDickinson); $0.5 \%$ glycerol was added as a carbon source and $0.5 \%$ Tween 80 was added to prevent the appearance of lumps. The culture was maintained for 15 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The bacterial suspensions were prepared and adjusted to the No. 1 of the McFarland scale.

Stock solutions of the test compounds were solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, USA) and diluted in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco, Sparks, USA) supplemented with OADC enrichment BBL/Becton Dickinson. Rifampicin and isoniazid were solubilized according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and used as positive controls.

Antimycobacterial activity was determined using the resazurin microtiter assay (REMA). ${ }^{27}$ Briefly, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of supplemented Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco, Sparks, USA) was dispensed into each well of a sterile flat-bottom 96-well plate; then, serial dilutions of the test compounds ( $0.98-250 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ) and reference drugs ( $0.004-1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) were prepared. One hundred microliters of bacterial suspension ( $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{UFC} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) was then added to each well. Plates were incubated for 7 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after was added $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) in sterile water $(0.01 \%)$ in whole plate, and the samples were incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The change in absorbance, at 492 nm wavelength, was measured using a microplate reader TP-Reader (Thermo Plate ${ }^{\circledR}$, Männedorf, Switzerland). Each compound was analyzed in triplicate on alternate days. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration that resulted in $90 \%$ inhibition of the growth of M. tuberculosis. ${ }^{28}$ MIC values were used to classify a compound's activity as follows: inactive, $>150 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$; moderate, between $>10$ and $<100 \mu \mathrm{gL}^{-1}$; and active, $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$.

## In vitro antiproliferative assay

The tested compounds were evaluated in vitro against a nine-cell line panel comprising melanoma UACC-62, breast MCF7, lung NCI-460, leukemia K-562, ovarian OVCAR, prostate PCO-3, colon HT29, renal 786-0 and adriamycin drug-resistant ovarian cancer NCI/ADR cells.

The tests were performed using the colorimetric method with sulforhodamine B according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) standard protocol; doxorubicin was used as a positive control. ${ }^{29}$ Assays were performed in a 96 -well plate using four serial 10 -fold dilutions ( $0.25-250 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}$ - ) for each test compound. The anticancer activity was determined based on concentration-response curves, and three concentration response parameters, growth inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$, growth inhibition (TGI) and cytotoxic activity $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$ were calculated. The response parameter $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ refers to the drug concentration that produces $50 \%$ reduction of cell growth when compared to untreated control cells. The TGI and $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ parameters refer to the drug concentrations required for total growth inhibition and for $50 \%$ cell mortality, respectively. Compounds with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values $<100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ were considered active.

## In silico study

The in silico computational study of compounds were performed to determine Lipinski's rules of five ${ }^{30}$ (hydrogen bond donors $\leq 5$; hydrogen bond acceptors $\leq 10$; molecular weight $\leq 500$; the $\log \mathrm{P}$ is $\leq 5$ ), topological polar surface area (TPSA) and percentage of absorption (\%ABS). Calculations were performed using Molinspiration online property calculation toolkit software ${ }^{31}$ and OSIRIS property explorer software. ${ }^{32}$ The percentage of absorption was estimated using the following equation: $\% \mathrm{ABS}=10-[0.345 \times \mathrm{TPSA}]$.

## Results and Discussion

## Chemistry

The synthetic pathway for the preparation of 1,3 -disubstituted $\beta$-carbolines is presented in Scheme 1 . The methyl esters in 1a-c were prepared by a Pictet-Spengler condensation of L-tryptophan with the appropriate aromatic aldehydes in acidic media, subsequent esterification of the resulting carboxylic acids with methanol and sulfuric acid, and oxidation with sulfur in refluxing xylene. ${ }^{22}$ Compounds $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ were obtained by the reaction of $\beta$-carboline methyl ester with 1,2 -ethylenediamine and 1,3-propanediamine, respectively, and resulted in an amino group-terminated side chain at C-3. Finally, the coupling of $\beta$-carboline carboxamide derivatives $2 \mathbf{2 a}$-c with S-methylisothiourea yielded compounds 4a-c, which include a terminal guanidinium group.

The novel compounds 2a-c, 3a-c and 4a-c were characterized using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy, as detailed in the Experimental section. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra


Scheme 1. Synthetic route for the preparation of the $\beta$-carbolines derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) 1,2-ethylenediamine, at room temperature, 36 h or 1,3-propanediamine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 32 h ( $55-72 \%$ ); (b) S-methylisothiourea, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, 4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, reflux, 48 h ( $30-45 \%$ ).
of carboxamides $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ showed additional signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.64-3.95$ (reflecting the integration of two protons) and at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.01-8.94$ (corresponding to aromatic hydrogens). The presence of the ethylamine or propilamine carboxamide in position $\mathrm{C}-3$ group was confirmed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, which showed signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 32.8-41.9 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 162.5-167.1$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{ON})$. Derivatives 4a-c was characterized by the presence of an additional signal at $\delta_{c}$ 159.01-159.90, corresponding to the guanidinium group.

## Anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis activity (MTB)

Derivatives 2a-c, 3a-c and 4a-c were evaluated in vitro for their antimycobacterial activity against M. tuberculosis H37Rv (ATCC 27294) using the REMA method. ${ }^{27}$ The MIC values ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ and $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) were measured with respect to two standard antitubercular drugs, isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RFP), and the screening results are presented in Table 1. Among the nine compounds evaluated against MTB, seven presented moderate activity, with MIC values ranging from 58.3-24.9 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$; in particular, compounds $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $24.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ), 2b $\left(26.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$, 4a ( $27.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) and $4 \mathbf{c}\left(37.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ presented interesting activity. Compounds $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$, which had $p$-hidroxyphenyl and p-methoxyphenyl substituents, respectively, at position-1 and ethylenediamine moieties at $\mathrm{C}-3$ were the most active derivatives in this series. The length of the terminated side chains affected the activities of these compounds. Substituting the guanidinium group led to reduced activity (compare compounds $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ ). The effect of the guanidinium group was particularly significant when comparing compounds $2 \mathbf{c}\left(57.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$ ( $37.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ). The substituents at positions-1 and 3 strongly affected anti-MTB activities of these compounds.

Earlier studies reported the synthesis and investigations of the antimycobacterial activity, e.g., guanidinium-modified
compounds, which demonstrated potent antitubercular activity against $M$. tuberculosis, aminopyrimidine derivatives exhibit moderate to potent anti-MTB activity, with MIC values ranging from $12.5-3.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{33}$ The introduction of an ethylguanidinium group at the upper rim resulted in high antimycobacterial activities for the unsubstituted, 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridyl and 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bithiazolyl analogs, with MIC values of 1.51 and $2.69 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$, respectively, values that were similar to those of current commercially available antituberculosis agents. ${ }^{34}$

Table 1. Anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis $\mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{RV}$ activity of compounds $\mathbf{2 a - c}, \mathbf{3 a - c}$ and 4a-c

| Compound | R | n | $\mathrm{MIC}^{\mathrm{a}} /$ <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{MIC} /$ <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 2 | 24.9 | 75.4 |
| 2b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2 | 26.9 | 74.6 |
| 2c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 2 | 57.9 | 153.5 |
| 3a | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 3 | $>250$ | $>500$ |
| 3b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3 | 58.3 | 155.7 |
| 3c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 3 | $>250$ | $>500$ |
| 4a | $4-\mathrm{OH}^{4}$ | 2 | 27.8 | 74.4 |
| 4b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2 | 57.5 | 142.6 |
| 4c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 2 | 37.4 | 88.3 |
| Isoniazid | - | - | 0.05 | 0.3 |
| Rifampicin | - | - | 0.01 | 0.01 |

${ }^{4}$ MIC $=$ minimum inhibitory concentration (REMA assay), values quoted are the means of results for triplicate samples.

## Antiproliferative activity

The antiproliferative activities of the synthesized 1,3-disubstituted $\beta$-carbolines derivatives (2a-c, 3a-c and 4a-c) were evaluated in vitro against nine human tumor cell lines. The results for compounds 2a-c and 3a-c,
which were amino group-terminated at $\mathrm{C}-3$, demonstrated that compounds $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, with $p$-hydroxyphenyl and p-methoxyphenyl groups, respectively, at position-1, inhibited growth in all human tumor cell lines with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values ranging from $1.37-9.20 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. Also in this series, compounds $\mathbf{3 a}\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.33 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}, \mathrm{TGI}=50.28 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ and $3 \mathbf{c}\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.71 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right.$, TGI $=11.08 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{LC}_{50}=26.62 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) showed significant activity and high selectivity against adriamycin drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells (NCI/ADR) (Tables 2 and 3).

The compounds with terminal guanidinium groups, including compound $\mathbf{4 b}\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.45 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right.$; TGI $=72.09 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ) effectively inhibited growth and was highly selective against adriamycin drug-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines (NCI/ADR) when compared
with compounds $\mathbf{2 a - c}$, which are amino group-terminated. However, the guanidinium-terminated compounds did not demonstrate any important interaction that could account for the cell growth inhibition. Substituting the phenyl group with electron-donating substituents at position-1 influenced each series differently.

A previous study evaluated the in vitro antitumor activities of several benzenesulfonamide derivatives with various substituted aminoguanidine groups. Compound 1-allyl-2-[4-chlorophenylcarbamoyl)-2-methylthiobenzenesulfonyl]-3-(5-nitrofurfurylideneamino) exhibited remarkable activity against 21 human tumor cell lines representing leukemia and melanoma and lung, colon, ovarian, renal, prostate and breast cancers $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.3-3.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right) .{ }^{35}$

Table 2. In vitro cell growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ of compounds $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- c}, \mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{- c}$ and $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ against neoplastic cells

| Compound | R | n | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}{ }^{\text {a }} /\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | UACC-62 <br> melanoma | MCF7 <br> breast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCI-460 } \\ & \text { lung } \end{aligned}$ | K-562 <br> leukemia | OVCAR <br> ovarian | PCO-3 <br> prostate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HT29 } \\ & \text { colon } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 786-0 \\ \text { renal } \end{gathered}$ | NCI/ADR <br> ovarianresistant |
| 2a | 4-OH | 2 | 5.15 | 9.55 | 9.64 | 2.93 | 9.20 | 8.69 | 4.27 | 9.64 | 8.18 |
| 2b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2 | 38.75 | 44.49 | 44.49 | 53.07 | 17.14 | * | > 100 | 33.11 | 8.36 |
| 2 c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 2 | 10.34 | 11.72 | 88.26 | > 100 | 9.36 | * | 25.82 | 21.64 | 2.42 |
| 3a | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 3 | 25.14 | 16.19 | 13.59 | 13.01 | 22.75 | 23.57 | 23.57 | 18.71 | 0.33 |
| 3b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3 | 9.66 | 6.98 | 7.35 | 5.20 | 7.09 | 2.39 | 9.02 | 4.40 | 1.37 |
| 3c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 3 | 43.22 | 23.94 | 55.16 | 18.89 | 16.76 | 11.18 | 27.48 | 27.48 | 0.71 |
| 4a | $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | 2 | > 100 | $>100$ | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | > 100 | - | - | 3.61 | > 100 |
| 4b | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2 | 95.93 | 35.46 | 27.17 | 63.41 | 39.94 | 15.54 | 75.64 | 63.41 | 0.45 |
| 4c | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 2 | 51.85 | 99.17 | > 100 | 31.03 | 87.51 | 91.82 | 25.02 | 31.03 | 1.71 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{GI}_{50}=$ growth inhibitory activity; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ not determined; ${ }^{\text {c not tested. }}$
Table 3. Total growth inhibition (TGI) and lethal concentration ( $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ - in parentheses) of compounds 2a-c, 3a-c and 4a-c ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ )
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccccccc}\hline \text { Compound } & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{n} & \begin{array}{c}\text { UACC-62 } \\ \text { melanoma }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { MCF7 } \\ \text { breast }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NCI-460 } \\ \text { lung }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { K-562 } \\ \text { leukemia }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { OVCAR } \\ \text { ovarian }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { PCO-3 } \\ \text { prostate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { HT29 } \\ \text { colon }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}786-0 \\ \text { renal }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { an } \\ \text { ovarian- } \\ \text { resistant }\end{array}\right]$

[^1]Table 4. Lipinski's rule and percentage of absorption (\%ABS), topological polar surface area (TPSA), for compounds 2a-c, 3a-c and 4a-c

| Compound | \% $\mathrm{ABS}^{\text {a }}$ | TPSA ${ }^{\text {/ }} \AA^{2}$ | Lipinsk's parameter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{nHBA}{ }^{\text {c. }}$ ( nON ) | $\mathrm{nHBD}{ }^{\text {d }}$ ( nOHNH ) | Log $\mathrm{P}^{\text {e,h }}$ | MW ${ }^{\text {f.h }}$ | $n$ violations $^{\text {b }}$ | Log S ${ }^{\text {s,i }}$ |
| 2a | 73.11 | 104.03 | 6 | 5 | 2.02 | 346.39 | 0 | -4.23 |
| 2b | 76.91 | 93.04 | 6 | 4 | 2.56 | 360.42 | 0 | -4.54 |
| 2c | 64.28 | 129.63 | 8 | 4 | 2.43 | 375.39 | 0 | -4.98 |
| 3a | 73.11 | 104.03 | 6 | 5 | 2.29 | 360.42 | 0 | -4.50 |
| 3b | 76.91 | 93.04 | 6 | 4 | 2.83 | 374.44 | 0 | -4.81 |
| 3c | 64.28 | 129.63 | 8 | 4 | 2.71 | 389.42 | 0 | -5.25 |
| 4a | 60.74 | 139.91 | 8 | 7 | 2.08 | 388.43 | 1 | -3.87 |
| 4b | 64.53 | 128.92 | 8 | 6 | 2.61 | 402.46 | 1 | -4.18 |
| 4c | 51.90 | 165.51 | 10 | 6 | 2.49 | 417.43 | 1 | -4.62 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \% \mathrm{ABS}=109-\left[0.345 \times\right.$ TPSA]; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{TPSA}=$ topological polar surface area; ${ }^{\mathrm{c} n H B A}$ [number hydrogen bond acceptor (nON)] $\leq 10$; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ nHBD [number hydrogen bond donors $(\mathrm{OHNH})] \leq 5$; ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{Log} \mathrm{P}$ (octanol-water partition coefficient) $<5$; ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{MW}$ (molecular weigth) $\leq 500$; ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Log S (solubility) between -1 and -5 ; ${ }^{\text {hr reference }} 31$; ${ }^{\text {reference }} 32$.

## Lipinski's rule of five

The drug-likeness concept helps optimize the pharmacokinetic properties of a compound, such as absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) in the human body. ${ }^{36}$ Lipinski's rule of five is a refinement of drug-likeness and is used to predict whether a chemical compound will have pharmacological or biological activity as an orally active drug in humans. This rule was formulated based on the observation that most medication drugs are relatively small and lipophilic molecules. ${ }^{33}$ The results of the analysis are shown in Table 4 and indicate that the compounds are in agreement with the values determined by Lipinski, except 4a-c derivatives, which showed the number of hydrogen bond donors $(\mathrm{nHBD})>5$, in violation of the Lipinski rules. The calculated percent absorption (\%ABS) of all compounds ranged from $51.90-76.91 \%$, indicating good cell membrane permeability. Another important factor is obtained by the volume analysis and TPSA by the compounds showed lower than $140 \AA^{2}$ indicating that these derivatives have good absorption in the intestine, except the compound $\mathbf{4 c}($ TPSA $=165.51)$. The compounds 2a-c, 3a-b and 4a-c exhibited good solubility ( $\log S=-3.87$ to -4.98 ), except the compound $\mathbf{3 c}$ which showed a value of $\log S$ less than -5 . Compounds with high solubility are easily metabolized and eliminated from the body, thus resulting in a lower probability of adverse effects and bioaccumulation.

## Conclusions

Thus, our results showed for the first time the synthesis and antitumor and anti-MTB activity of compounds with an amino or guanidinium group-terminated side chain at C-3 of a 1-substituted-phenyl- $\beta$-carboline nucleus. Compounds
$\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$ and 4a were the most active against $M$. Tuberculosis $\mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{Rv}$ (ATCC27294). Compound 2a and 3b demonstrated promising antiproliferative activity for all cancer cell lines. Eight compounds inhibited the cell growth of adriamycin drug-resistant ovarian (NCI/ADR), showed activity and high selectivity for the $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$. Compound $\mathbf{2 a}$ demonstrated promising antiproliferative and anti-MTB activity, in addition to follow as established Lipinski's rule of five, suggesting that this compound has no problems with oral bioavailability, and indicates good permeability a in the plasma membrane of the cell, which may represent a precursor to development of new molecules. Further studies are required to explore the mechanism of action of these compounds in detail.
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