LIBRARY. A & M COLLEGE.

CAMPUS

# TEXAS AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

A. B. CONNER, DIRECTOR, College Station, Texas

BULLETIN NO. 600

APRIL 1941

PRODUCTIVE LEAF MEAL, DRIED BUTTERMILK, CASEIN, TONSEED MEAL, AND TANKAGE AS MEASURED PRODUCTION OF ENERGY BY GROWING CHICKENS OF CORN MEAL, ALFALFA FAT AND FLESH COT-

G. S. FRAPS AND E. C. CARLYLE

Division of Chemistry



AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE T. O. WALTON, President OF TEXAS

0.72

# [Blank Page in Original Bulletin]

This is a report of part of a comprehensive investigation of the value of feeds and foods for productive energy as measured by the production of fat and flesh in growing chickens. In 11 experiments with 256 chicks previously reported, it was found that the productive energy of a primary mixed ration for production of fat and flesh on growing chicks was 278 calories per 100 grams of effective digestible nutrients. The ration used was composed of 51 per cent vellow corn meal, 19 per cent wheat gray shorts, 10 cent dried buttermilk, 6 per cent cottonseed meal, 5 per cent alfalfa leaf meal, 4 per cent tankage, 2 per cent bone meal, 2 per cent oyster shell, and 1 per cent salt. The object of the work here reported was to ascertain the productive energy of the feeds named above and also of casein. A standard ration containing corn meal, or (in some cases corn meal and casein), was fed to one group of baby chicks. At the same time other groups were fed similar rations except that the feeds to be tested replaced part of the corn meal or corn meal and casein. At the end of 3 weeks, the chicks were analyzed and the gains in protein and fat determined. Analyses of the feeds and the rations were also made as well as digestion experiments on the rations. A preliminary comparison was made of the energy value of the corn meal with the other feeds in the primary mixed ration previously tested. From these data, the previous mixed ration was found to have 91 per cent of the productive energy of corn meal, thus making the productive energy of the corn meal 305 calories per 100 grams of effective digestible nutrients. The average productive energy per 100 grams of the effective digestible nutrients was found to be for alfalfa leaf meal (5 exp.) 241 Calories, dried buttermilk (4 exp.) 243 Calories, casein (5 exp.) 298 Calories, cottonseed meal (5 exp.) 280 Calories, tankage (7 exp.) 240 Calories, and wheat gray shorts (5 exp.) 270 Calories as compared with corn meal (standard) 305 Calories. When the productive energy of the ration and the corn meal were again calculated with these revised values, the productive energy of the corn meal was found to be 3.00 Calories per gram of effective digestible nutrients. The productive energy of the feed and the utilization of protein by the chicks and other matters pertaining to the productive energy are discussed.

# CONTENTS

Terretain tue ong dalam serio merika berina dalam dala	PAGE
Introduction	5
Method of procedure	6
Details and data of the work	8
Calculation of maintenance requirements and productive energy of	
rations	19
Tentative productive energy of certain feeds	26
Calculation of revised productive energy of corn meal	29
Revised productive energy values of certain feeds	29
Effect of high protein content	35
Productive energy of other feeds	35
Utilization of protein in the rations	35
Acknowledgment	40
Summary	40
References	41

# PRODUCTIVE ENERGY OF CORN MEAL, ALFALFA LEAF MEAL, DRIED BUTTERMILK, CASEIN, COTTONSEED MEAL, AND TANKAGE AS MEASURED BY PRODUCTION OF FAT AND FLESH BY GROWING CHICKENS

By G. S. Fraps, Chief, and E. C. Carlyle, Associate Chemist,
Division of Chemistry

The work here presented is a part of a comprehensive investigation of the value of the energy of different kinds of feeds as measured by the production of fat and flesh on growing chickens. Previous publications have discussed the digestibility of some chicken feeds (3), the utilization of energy of feeds by growing chickens (6), the utilization of the energy of wheat products by chickens (7), the energy values of corn bran, rice bran, and rye flour (8), the relation of gain in weight to gain in energy content of growing chickens (9), and the metabolizable energy of chicken feeds (10).

The object of the work is to measure the energy value of feeds in terms of the use made of the energy by chickens. Values of feeds have been compared in terms of digestible nutrients and of metabolizable energy on the assumption that these are measures of the utilization of the food by animals. Previous work (1, 2, 4, 7, 12) has shown that measured in terms of gains of fat and flesh by the animals, neither digestible nutrients or metabolizable energy are always correct measures for the energy value of feeds (7).

Extensive work has been done on the utilization of the energy of feed by ruminants by Kellner, Armsby, Forbes, and others. As the literature has already been summarized in previous publications (5, 6), it will not be again summarized here. A deficiency of protein (5) or of calcium (11) or vitamins of other elements or compounds necessary for growth may in some cases decrease the utilization of the energy of feed by animals. When productive energy is measured, the feed should be fed in a balanced ration which is not deficient in any substance needed for the assimilation or utilization of the digested feed or which contains any substance which interferes with the utilization of the energy.

A previous publication (Bulletin 571) reports measurements of the energy value of a mixed ration for production of flesh and fat on growing chickens which shall herein be termed the primary ration. In 11 experiments with 256 chicks, the mixture was fed at two levels, one of full feed and the other approximately half of full feed. Analyses of representative chickens at the beginning and of the experimental chickens at the end of the experiment permitted the determination of the gain in fat and flesh and the calculation of the Calories gained. Maintenance requirements of the chicks and productive energy of the primary ration were calculated

on the assumption that maintenance requirements vary directly with the weight. Calculations on this basis were found to be more in accordance with previous results of other workers than those calculated on the assumption that the maintenance requirements vary directly with the surface area of the chickens. The average productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients of the primary ration was found to be 278 Calories per 100 grams. The primary ration was composed of 51 per cent yellow corn meal, 19 per cent wheat gray shorts, 10 per cent dried buttermilk, 6 per cent cottonseed meal, 5 per cent alfalfa leaf meal, 4 per cent tankage, 2 per cent bone meal, 2 per cent oyster shell, and 1 per cent salt.

For preliminary comparisons of corn meal with other feeds, we assumed that the effective digestible nutrients of the corn meal had the same productive energy as the primary ration. However, comparisons of corn meal with wheat gray shorts (7) and some other constitutents of the primary ration showed that their digestible nutrients have lower productive energy values than those of corn meal, so that the productive energy of corn meal must be greater than that of the primary ration (2.78 Calories per gram). The next step, therefore, is to ascertain the productive energy of the constituents of the primary ration previously tested, as compared with corn meal, and from these data to calculate more closely the productive energy of corn meal. The corrected value of corn meal then can be used in the determination of the productive energy of other feeds which are compared with corn meal.

The objects of the work here presented are to determine the productive energy of the constituent feeds used in the primary ration previously studied, as compared with corn meal, in order to secure a more nearly correct productive energy value of the corn meal, and to calculate the productive energy of the other feeds relative to this new energy value for corn meal. Since casein was also used in comparing some of the feeds tested, determination of its productive energy compared with that of corn meal was also necessary. Some other feeds than those used in the primary ration were included in these experiments, and the data regarding them are given in order not to divide the experiments, but the values of these additional feeds will be discussed in more detail in connection with other work done in other experiments.

### Method of Procedure

The method of procedure is similar to that used in the previous work already mentioned (7). The feeds to be tested were compared with corn meal or casein and corn meal as standards. Sixty or more baby chicks were placed upon the standard corn meal ration for a preliminary period of approximately one week. They were then weighed, and five groups of chicks were selected so as to have the same average weight and to be as nearly uniform as possible. The chickens left over after selecting the five groups were used for digestion experiments. One group was killed and analyzed. One of the four experimental groups received a standard

balanced ration containing 50 per cent or more of corn meal or corn meal and casein, while in the rations for the other three groups the corn meal or casein, or both, was partly replaced by the feed to be tested. The chickens were fed individually in battery brooders, each compartment being divided by a screen wire, so as to furnish two spaces, each to contain one chicken. The brooders were electrically heated and controlled by thermostats to a temperature of 92-94° F. The chickens were fed individually for 3 weeks and weighed at the end of each week. They were then killed, the intestinal contents removed, and the entire chick prepared for analysis. In experiments up to and including No. 8, boric acid and 3% ground filter paper were added before grinding. In the experiments after No. 8, ground filter paper alone was added. The filter paper absorbs the water which would otherwise separate, and gives a more uniform sample.

Beginning with experiment No. 26, the chickens were cooked before being ground for analysis. They are placed in fruit jars, heated in steam at 15 pounds pressure for 3 hr. in an autoclave, allowed to cool overnight, and then ground in a food chopper with 3% ground filter paper. The cooked chicks are more easily ground to a uniform mixture than the uncooked chicks. There is always some loss of water in cooking the chicks, which is ascertained by weighing the jars containing them before and after cooking. In tests with jars which contained water, only, the loss from 200 grams of water was about 4 per cent.

Protein (N x 6.25) was determined by the Kjeldahl-Gunning Method on 3.5 gram samples. Fat was determined on 4 gram portions by extraction with ether after drying under reduced pressure at 100° C. and grinding in a mortar. Three or more determinations were made on each chick. After correcting for the added quantities of filter paper and boric acid (when used) and the loss of water when the chicken was cooked, the energy content was calculated by the use of the factors 5.66 for protein and 9.35 for fat. This method was found in previous work (7) to give results agreeing with the heats of combustion of dried chicks as determined in a bomb calorimeter.

In Bulletin 589 (10) we showed that for chicken feeds or rations, the metabolizable energy for maintenance can be calculated with an excellent degree of accuracy from the digestible nutrients by means of the values of 4.4 Calories per gram of protein, 4.2 Calories per gram of nitrogenfree extract and of crude fiber, and 9.47 Calories per gram of ether extract. The digestible nutrients are reported in this publication in terms of effective digestible nutrients, which are the sum of the digestible protein, the digestible ether extract multiplied by 2.25, and the digestible nitrogen-free extract. For the purposes of this work, the grams of effective digestible nutrients have been multiplied by 4.2 calories to secure the metabolizable energy. The value so secured is probably a little low for feeds high in protein. Any correction for this lower value, if needed, can be made in subsequent publications.

### Details and Data of the Work

The ingredients of the standard corn meal rations used in the various experiments are given in Tables 1, 2, and 3. In the other rations, the feed to be tested was substituted for part of the corn meal, and was usually 50 per cent of the total ration. In experiments with some of the high protein feeds, the standard ration was made up to be high in protein by use of casein and corn meal. The feed tested replaced part of the corn meal and part of the casein. Some of the feeds, such as alfalfa leaf meal, could not be fed in quantities as high as 50 per cent of the ration, as the ration killed some or all of the chicks, while others, such as dried buttermilk, caused illness, such as diarrhea. It was, therefore, necessary to use less than 50 per cent of such feeds in the rations fed. High protein feeds in some tests replaced corn meal to the extent of 50 per cent of the ration, resulting in a high protein content of the ration. In other experiments, the quantities were adjusted so that all the rations contained equal quantities of protein.

The quantities of feed which replaced the corn meal in the experimental rations, together with the identifying numbers of the feeds, are given in Table 4.

Chemical analyses were made of all the feeds and also of the mixed rations. The percentage composition of the feeds and their effective

Table 1. Names, registration numbers, and percentages of feeds used in standard rations Exp. 1-66, 8, 9, 11, 12, 21, 22, and 23

Lab. No.	and the months in the	Exp. 1-66	Exp. 8	Exp. 9	Exp. 11	Exp. 12	Exp. 21	Exp. 22	Exp. 23
53890	Corn meal	51.0							
48440 48986	Corn meal		56.0	56.0	56 8				112.3
49468	Corn meal					56.8			
48361	Casein		12.0	12.0	12.0				
49469	Casein					12.0			20.0
54170 43898	Casein	10 0					3.0	5.0	
48265	Wheat gray shorts	13.0	20.0						
48740	Wheat gray charte			20 0	20 0				
49467	Wheat gray shorts					20.0			
54168	Wheat gray shorts Dried buttermilk	10.0					10.5	10.5	16.3
43901 43903	Cottonseed meal	6.0							
43900	Tonkogo	1 0	DOWN THE COURSE	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	W. San Tallact	1			
43902	Alfalfa leaf meal	5.0							
48263	Alfalfa leaf meal		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		4.0	4.0
$54172 \\ 48262$	Alfalfa leaf meal Yeast		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			
54171	Yeast						6.0	6.0	6.0
70402	Yeast		1.0						
70632	Cod liver oil			1.0		0.2			
$48426 \\ 71153$	Cod liver oil (fortified) Cod liver oil				0.2			0.2	0.5
54169	Dried skim milk						10.0	10.0	
54330	Dried skim milk								10.0
33303	Bone meal	2.0							
	Oyster shell		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Calcium carbonate		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
	Tri-calcium phosphate			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.

Table 2. Names, registration numbers, and percentages of feeds used in standard rations for Experiments 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, and 31

Lab. No.	the production of the second	Exp. 24	Exp. 26	Exp. 27	Exp. 28	Exp. 30	Exp. 31
54347	Corn meal						
64984	Corn meal						
5087	Corn meal						
5225	Corn meal						
5463	Corn meal						
55588	Corn meal						40.0
4371	Casein	20.0				20.0	20.0
4168	Wheat gray shorts	16.3					
4821	Wheat gray Shorts		16.3	16.3	16.3		
5462	Wheat gray shorts						16.3
4330	Dried skim milk		10.0			10.0	
5226	Dried skim milk					10.0	. 10.
5587	Dried skim milk						
4822	Yeast						
5227	YeastYeast					6.0	
4172	Alfalfa leaf meal	4.0					
4985	Alfalfa leaf meal		4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
1153	Cod liver oil	0.2					4.0
7434	Cod liver oil		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
1404	Calcium carbonate	1 5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.
100	Tri-calcium phosphate		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
THE PARTY	Salt		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.

Table 3. Names, registration numbers, and percentages of feeds used in standard rations Experiments 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36

Lab. No.		Exp. 32	Exp. 33	Exp. 34	Exp. 35	Exp. 36
55731 55840 56046 56076	Corn meal Corn meal Corn meal		50.0	40.0		
56436 55732 55462	Corn meal Corn meal Casein Wheat gray shorts	20.0	16.3	20.0 16.3	20.0	40.0
56077 55587 56047 56437	Wheat gray shorts Dried skim milk Dried skim milk Dried skim milk	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	16.3
55733 55227 55841	Cottonseed meal	6.0	10.0		6.0	6.0
54985	Alfalfa leaf meal Calcium carbonate Tri-calcium phosphate	4.0	4.0 1.5 1.0	4.0 1.5 1.0	4.0 1.5 1.0	4.0 1.5
77762	SaltFish liver oil	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.2

organic constituents are given in Table 5. As stated in Bulletin 571, the percentage of effective organic constituents is the percentage of protein plus the percentage of fat multiplied by 2.25 plus the percentage of nitrogen-free extract. The water, the ash, and the crude fiber are not included for the reason that they have practically no energy value for the growing chickens. The chemical composition of the rations as found by analysis is given in Table 6.

## Table 4. Percentages of feed which replaced corn meal or corn meal and casein, and identifying numbers

Experiment 66.
Oat meal 43891, 51; milo 43899, 51; wheat gray shorts 43898, 40.

Experiment 8. Patent flour 48435, 50; low grade flour 48436, 50; wheat gray shorts 47764, 50.

Experiment 9. Wheat gray shorts 47764, 50; wheat bran 48741, 50; corn bran 48706, 50.

Experiment 11. Casein 48261, 38; starch 49094, 50.8; Wesson oil 49095, 15.

Experiment 12. Casein 49469, 38; starch 49094, 50.8; Wesson oil 49095, 15.

Experiment 21. Casein 54170, 50; cottonseed meal 54177, 50; tankage, 54178, 50.

Experiment 22. Casein 54170, 50; dried buttermilk 49628, 50; alfalfa leaf meal 54172, 50.

Experiment 23.
Dried buttermilk 49648, 25% replacing 15% corn meal and 10% casein; cottonseed meal 54177, 43% replacing 23% corn meal and 20% casein; tankage 54178 28% replacing 20% casein and 8% corn meal.

Experiment 24.
Cottonseed meal 54177, 43% replacing 20% casein and 23% corn meal; dried buttermilk 49648, 25% replacing 10% casein and 15% corn meal; alfalfa leaf meal, 25% replacing 23% corn meal and 2% casein 54371.

Experiment 26.
Tankage 54178, 32% replacing 30% corn meal and 2% casein; alfalfa leaf meal 30% replacing 15% corn meal and 15% casein; meat meal 54664, 37%, replacing 20% casein and 17% corn meal.

Experiment 27. 50% protein meat and bone meal 54872, 35% replacing 20% casein and 15% corn meal; tankage 54873, 29% replacing 20% casein and 9% corn meal; cottonseed flour 54679, 30% replacing 20% casein and 10% corn meal.

Experiment 28.

Cottonseed meal 54903, 43% replacing 20% casein and 23% corn meal; meat scraps 54664, 37% replacing 20% casein and 17% corn meal; alfalfa leaf meal 54985, 30% replacing 20% casein and 10% corn meal.

Experiment 30.
Tankage 55081, 29% replacing 20% casein and 9% corn meal; 50% protein meat and bone meal 55082, 35% replacing 20% casein and 15% corn meal; corn gluten meal 55121, 38% replacing 20% casein and 18% corn meal.

Experiment 31.

Corn gluten feed, 55120, 39% replacing 9% casein and 30% corn meal; tankage 54873, 28% replacing 20% casein and 8% corn meal; soy bean oil meal 54665, 37% replacing 20% casein and 17% corn meal.

Experiment 32.
Cottonseed meal 55733, 43% replacing 20% casein and 23% corn meal; meat meal 54f64, 37% replacing 20% casein and 17% corn meal; alfalfa leaf meal, 30% replacing 5% casein and 25% corn meal.

Experiment 33. Wheat gray shorts 55840, 50; delinted cottonseed hulls 54860, 50; oat hulls 55505, 50.

Experiment 34.

Dried buttermilk 49648, 25% replacing 10% casein and 15% corn meal; dried skim milk 56047, 25% replacing 12% corn meal and 13% casein; cottonseed flour 54679, 30% replacing 20% casein and 10% corn meal.

Experiment 35.
Tankage 54873, 28% replacing 20% casein and 8% corn meal; 50% protein meat and hone meal 54872, 35% replacing 20% casein and 15% corn meal; peanut meal 54798, 40% replacing 20% casein and 20% corn meal.

Experiment 36.

Alfalfa leaf meal 56437, 30% replacing 5% casein and 25% corn meal; casein 55572, 30% replacing 30% corn meal; wheat gray shorts 56077, 50% replacing 30% corn meal and 20% casein.

Table 5. Percentage composition of feeds

Lab. No.	Strategies with the strategy	Used in Exp. No.	Protein	Ether Extract	Crude fiber	Nitrogen- free extract	Water	Ash	Effective organic constit- uents
43902 48263 54172 54985	Alfalfa leaf meal Alfalfa leaf meal Alfalfa leaf meal Alfalfa leaf meal	21, 22, 23, 24	18.83 20.15 20.41	2.52 2.88 1.95	17.62 17.04 16.86	38.79 38.19 40.13	8.64 7.83 7.28	13.60 13.91 13.37	63.3 64.8 64.9
		35, 36	21.58	1.81	15.84	41.38	8.05	11.34	67.0
56438	Alfalfa leaf meal	36	23.27	3.77	11.27	41.17	8.00	12.52	72.9
33303	Bone meal	66	25.56	1.73		4.51	6.37	61.83	34.0
43901 49648	ButtermilkButtermilk, dried	66 22, 24, 34	$\frac{34.64}{31.73}$	.54 5.48	.42 .12	49.92 46.55	6.70 6.83	7.78 9.29	85.8 90.6
48261 49469 54170 54371 55732	Casein Casein Casein Casein Casein	8, 9, 11 12 21, 22 24, 26, 28 32, 36	81.90 81.93 81.65 81.10 85.04	.27 .17 1.74 1.79 .18	.29 .16 .08 .20 .14	4.67 5.39 2.59 1.51 1.97	8.23 8.51 10.05 11.14 8.50	4.64 3.84 3.89 4.26 4.17	87.2 87.7 88.2 86.6 87.4
48706	Corn bran	9	8.83	7.00	13.01	60.19	8.82	2.15	84.8
55120 55121	Corn gluten feed	31 30	$\frac{26.09}{47.40}$	1.24 1.22	8.54 4.83	47.60 34.69	10.11 8.30	$\frac{6.42}{3.56}$	76.5 84.8
$\begin{array}{c} 43890 \\ 48440 \\ 48986 \\ 49468 \\ 54173 \\ 54347 \\ 54714 \\ 54984 \\ 55087 \\ 55225 \\ 55463 \\ 555840 \\ 56076 \\ 56076 \\ 56436 \end{array}$	Corn meal	66 8, 9 11 11 21, 22, 23 23, 24 24 26 27 28 30 31 32 32 33 34 35 36	10.08 11.88 11.98 10.05 10.76 10.34 10.79 10.22 11.12 10.31 9.85 10.31 10.00 10.25 9.70 9.95 9.66	4.31 4.96 4.84 3.20 2.59 2.59 2.35 2.15 3.04 4.06 3.03 2.94 3.43 2.98 2.48	2.13 1.58 1.45 1.06 1.08 1.27 1.11 1.24 1.26 1.32 1.22 1.00 1.04 1.01 1.45 57	71 . 24 69 . 63 69 . 74 73 . 23 74 . 35 73 . 88 74 . 26 74 . 84 74 . 77 74 . 22 74 . 85 74 . 42 73 . 75 73 . 07 74 . 60 73 . 55	10.82 10.27 10.31 11.46 9.91 10.58 10.58 10.52 9.13 9.56 9.49 9.79 10.51 10.85 11.03 10.85 12.52	1.42 1.68 1.68 1.00 1.31 1.29 1.25 1.50 1.00 1.16 1.01 1.01 1.32 1.05 1.05	91.0 92.7 92.6 90.5 90.9 90.1 90.0 90.1 90.8 91.9 92.0 91.2 90.5 91.3 88.8

Table 5. Percentage composition of feeds-Continued

Lab. No.		Used in Exp. No.	Protein	Ether Extract	Crude fiber	Nitrogen- free extract	Water	Ash	Effective organic constit- uents
54679	Cottonseed flour	27, 34	57.38	7.03	2.37	21.42	5.38	6.42	94.6
54860	Cottonseed hulls, delinted	33	3.01	.34	40.68	43.77	9.54	2.66	47.6
43903 54177 54715 54993 55733	Cottonseed meal. Cottonseed meal. Cottonseed meal. Cottonseed meal. Cottonseed meal.	66 21, 23 24 28 32, 33	42.21 44.45 44.59 41.81 41.25	6.34 7.17 7.38 6.39 6.55	12.61 8.82 9.11 12.86 11.74	26.18 26.80 25.33 26.97 28.58	6.50 6.28 7.22 6.36 6.43	6.16 6.48 6.37 5.61 5.45	82.7 87.4 86.5 83.2 84.6
48435 48436	Flour, patentFlour, low-grade	8	13.96 18.78	$\frac{1.01}{2.08}$	.40 .50	70.88 64.89	13.22 12.74	1.01	87.1 88.4
54664	Meat scraps	26, 32	48.45	9.61	1.53	2.92	5.88	31.61	73.0
54872 55082	50% meat and bone scraps 50% protein meat and bone scraps	27, 35 30	50.69 51.30	8.51 9.60	1.76 2.31	1.68	6.59 5.09	30.77 31.60	$\frac{71.5}{73.0}$
54169 54330 55226 55587 56047 56437	Milk, dried skim	21, 22 3, 24, 26, 27 28, 30 31, 32, 33 34, 35 36	35.47 35.11 36.25 36.68 34.56 34.23	.79 1.25 1.49 1.30 1.19	.08 .16 .14 .14 .20 .13	50.97 50.13 50.19 49.30 49.88 50.36	5.00 5.72 4.03 4.70 6.53 6.45	7.69 7.63 7.90 7.88 7.64 7.64	88.2 88.1 89.8 88.9 87.1 87.3
13899	Milo, whole ground	66	11.75	2.95	2.16	71.02	10.30	1.82	89.4
55505	Oat hulls	33	3.51	.81	29.90	50.66	8.71	6.41	56.0
13895	Oatmeal	66	14.84	5.57	1.61	66.20	9.97	1.81	93.6
19095	Oil, cottonseed (Wesson)	11, 12		100.00					225.0
4798	Peanut meal	35	44.23	6.74	8.89	25.54	7.12	7.48	84.9
4665	Soybean oil meal	31	47.34	,33	5.37	31.79	9.13	6.04	80.0
19094	Starch	11, 12	.62	.03	.13	87.02	12.13	.07	87.7

43900 54178 54873 55081	Tankage       66         Tankage       21, 23, 26         60% digester tankage       27, 31, 35         60% digester tankage       30	58.80 54.85 61.48 59.75	7.16 12.99 6.65 8.63	2.28 1.97 1.30 2.65	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.27 \\ 2.25 \\ .05 \\ 1.96 \end{bmatrix}$	7.06 3.94 7.10 8.23	23.43 24.00 23.42 18.78	76.2 86.3 76.5 81.1
48741	Wheat bran 9	19.62	4.06	9.05	50.52	10.71	6.04	79.3
43898 47764 48265 48740 49467 54168 54821 55462	Wheat gray shorts.       66         Wheat gray shorts.       8         Wheat gray shorts.       9         Wheat gray shorts.       12         Wheat gray shorts.       21         22       23         24       27         28       30         31       32         32       33         34       35         36       35         36       35         36       35         36       35         36       35         36       36         36       36	17.40 19.03 19.50 20.96 21.13 18.20 19.17	4.26 4.24 4.62 4.46 2.65 3.92 4.60 4.33 4.16	5.88 6.43 6.47 5.78 6.60 5.51 6.00	56.49 54.81 54.94 51.49 52.65 58.57 53.64 56.98 56.84	11.12 10.51 9.57 12.76 12.08 9.52 12.39	4.85 4.98 4.90 4.55 4.89 4.28 4.20 4.09	83.5 83.4 84.8 82.5 79.7 85.6 83.2 84.4 85.7
48262 54171 54822 55227 55841	Yeast	45.42 48.32 48.67 52.85 44.60	.99 4.31 4.58 3.90 1.52	6.26 .66 3.77 1.97 1.17	33.20 34.30 31.30 28.52 41.89	5.53 5.70 5.13 5.23 3.49	8.60 6.71 6.55 7.53 7.33	80.9 92.3 90.3 90.2 89.9

Table 6. Percentage chemical composition of rations

Lab. No.	Name of ration	Protein	Fat	Crude fiber	Nitro- gen-free extract	Water	Ash
43964	Corn meal Exp. 1-66	18.13	3.61	4.14	55.56	10.06	8.51
43965	Oat meal	21.12 21.51 19.21	4.39 3.76	3.80	54.08 50.79	8.54 8.54	8.07
43966	Wheat gray shorts	21.51	3.76	5.53	50.79	8.54	9.87
43967	Milo	19.21	2.96	3.86	56.33	9.34	8.30
48466	Corn meal Exp. 8	$\begin{bmatrix} 22.71 \\ 24.01 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.22 \\ 2.92 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3.26}{2.76}$	54.13 56.17	8.85	$\frac{5.83}{5.39}$
48467 48468	Low grade flour	25.75	3.48	3 04	52.02	8.75 10.29	5.42
48469	Low grade flour. Wheat gray shorts. Corn meal Exp. 9. Corn bran. Wheat gray shorts.	26.37	4.59	3.04 5.72 3.18	46.87	9.49	6.96
48791	Corn meal Exp. 9	22.81	5.06	3.18	53.80	9.38	5.77
48792	Corn bran	22.10	6.22	9.31	48.49	8.09	5.79
48793	Wheat gray shorts	26.60	4.89	5.48	47.08	8.84	7.11
48794	Wheat bran	26.75	$\frac{4.51}{3.77}$	7.00 3.00	44.64	9.09	8.01 5.87
49205	Wheat bran. Corn meal Exp. 11 Casein. Starch. Wesson oil. Corn meal Exp. 12 Casein. Starch.	26.75 22.75 49.23	2.11	2.63	53.80 30.35	10.81 9.70	5.98
49207	Starch	17.63	1.35	2.41	62.86	10.73	5.02
49208	Wesson oil	20.78	17 66	2.93	43.88	9.29	5.46
49478	Corn meal Exp. 12	22.08	2.84	3.03	55.90	10.53	5.62 5.93
49479	Casein	49.35	1.83	2.66 2.32 2.94	30.81 63.79 46.39	$ \begin{array}{c c} 9.42 \\ 10.95 \end{array} $	5.93 4.99
49480 49481	Wassen oil	$ \begin{array}{c c} 16.90 \\ 20.50 \end{array} $	1.05 16.87	2.34	46 30	8.07	5.23
54182	Corn meal Eyn 21	20.85	2.44	2.25	59.59	8 71	6.13
54179	Casein	56.38	1.35	1.61	24 52	8.94	7.20
54180	Wesson oil	37.33	5.00	6.20	35.81		8.53
54181	Tankage. Corn meal Exp. 22. Casein. Dried buttermilk. Corn meal Exp. 23. Dried buttermilk Cottonseed meal.	43.14 20.30 56.02	$\frac{7.56}{2.59}$	$\frac{2.69}{2.34}$	35.81 24.24	5.46 9.06	16.91
54242	Corn meal Exp. 22	20.30	1.26	1.70	59.59 24.02	9.06	$\frac{6.12}{7.66}$
54243 54244	Dried buttermilk	31.01	4.16	1.77	45.31	7.78	9.97
54348	Corn meal Exp 23	30.71	2.15	2.13	48 77	8.90	7.34
54349	Dried buttermilk	29.36	3.36	2.10	48.46 41.96 43.21	7 27 1	8.85
54350	Cottonseed meal	31.42 29.44	4.91	6.13	41.96	6.86	8.72
54351	TankageCorn meal Exp. 24	29.44	5.79	2.86	43.21	5.85	12.85
54716	Corn meal Exp. 24	30.26 31.09	2.14	$\frac{2.11}{5.95}$	48.95 41.85	9.28 7.61	7.26 8.73
54717 54718	Dried buttermilk	28.98	$\frac{4.77}{3.27}$	2.00	48.66		8.98
54719	Alfalfa leaf meal	31.55	2 25	6.24	41.55	8.05	10.36
54989	Corn meal Exp. 26	31.61 31.53	2.15	$\frac{6.24}{2.20}$	41.55 48.66	9.10	6.23
54990	Alfalfa leaf meal	31.53	5.95	2.89	40.89	6.09	12.65
54991	Meat meal	30.90	5.38	2.79	36.83	7.04 7.29	17.06
54992 55088	Alfalfa leaf meal	31.51 31.38	2.11 2.16	$7.11 \\ 2.26$	42.79	8 62	$\frac{9.19}{6.36}$
55089	50% meat and hone scrap	31.21	4.70	2.69	49.22 37.53 42.25	8.62 7.29 7.53	16.58
55090	60% tankage	31.84	4.70 3.83	2.47	42.25	7.53	12.08
55091	Cottonseed flour	31.21 31.84 30.79	4.00	2 84	47.36	7.73	7.28
55264	Cottonseed flour	31.26	2.61	2.13	48.85	8.54	6.61
55265	Cottonseed meal	30.23 31.15	4.75 5.88	7.65 2.83	42.16 36.07	7.44 6.96	7.77 17.11
55266 55267	Meat scrap Alfalfa leaf meal Corn meal Exp. 30 60% tankage 50% meat and bone	31 19	2.40	6.58	42.47	7.98	9.38
55464	Corn meal Exp. 30	31.19 30.81	2.40	2.32	49.44	8.69	6.34
55465	60% tankage	30.89	4.63	3.08	42.83	7.93	10.64
55466	50% meat and bone	30.96	5.63	3.06	37.32	6.60	16.43
55467	Corn gluten meal		2.38	3.88 2.19	48.59 49.55	8 55	6.69 $6.52$
55589 55590	Corn gluten meal Corn meal Exp. 31 Corn gluten feed	30.74 30.22 30.60	2.45 2.20 3.96	4.87	45.88	7.71 8.55 8.35	8.48
55591			3.96	2.10	45.88 44.23	7.69	11.42
55592	Soybean oil meal	29.59	2.20	4.27	48.17	8.15	7.62
55734	Corn meal Exp. 32	31.80	2.46	1.66	48.98	8.94	6.16
55735	Cottonseed meal	29.50	4.71 5.48	7.67 2.34 6.03	42.80	7.76 7.67	7.56
55736 55737	Alfalfa loof moal	31.01 30.95	2.08	6.03	34.91 42.77	8.58	9.59
55842	Meat meal Alfalfa leaf meal Corn meal Exp. 33 Wheat gray shorts Delinted cottonseed hulls	19.36	2.08 3.25	3.34	58.37	9.44	6.24
55843	Wheat gray shorts	23.19	3.76	5.59	50.85	9.02	7.59
55844	Delinted cottonseed hulls .	16.08	2.09	22.75	43.68	8.46	6.94
55845	Oat hulls	16.18	2.20	18.10	46.60	8.05 9.33	8.87
56048	Oat hulls	30.15	2.20 2.37 3.09	1.61	50.05 49.51	8.81	8.09
56049 56050	Dried skim milk	28.63 27.14	2.04	1.78	53.15	8.22	7.67
56050	Cottonseed flour	28.99	3 70	3.00	47.24	9.67	7.40
56078	Cottonseed flour	21 94	2.24	1.77	49.13	9.36	6.26
56079	60% tankage	30.80	3.80	1.77 2.07	43.65 37.93	7.94 7.71	11.74
56080	50% meat and bone meal.	30.35	4.81 4.22	2.81	37.93	7.71	16.39
56081	Peanut meal	29.80	4.22	5.44	44.07	8.13	8.34 6.13
56439	Alfalfa leaf mas)	30.80	2.07 2.65	1.86	49.55 43.10	9.57 8.73	9.49
56440 56441	60% tankage. 50% meat and bone meal. Peanut meal. Corn meal Exp. 36. Alfalfa leaf meal. Wheat gray shorts.	21 01	3.56	4.03	55.59	8.92 9.36	6.89
	Casein	54.61	1.38	1.37	25.87	0 20	7.41

Table 7. Average composition, weights and calories per 100 grams for chickens

Number of experiment and name of ration	No. averaged	Live weight at beginning, gm.	Live weight at end, gm.	Empty weight at end, gm.	Per cent empty wt. of live weight	Weight after prepara- tion, gm.	Protein %	Fat %	Calories per 100 gm empty weight
Experiment 1–66 Preliminary chicks	4		79.3 125.6	72.0	90.86		18.32	3.70	138.2
Corn meal ration, 28 days. Corn meal ration, 35 days. Oat meal ration, 28 days. Oat meal ration, 36 days. Wheat gray shorts ration, 28 days. Wheat gray shorts ration, 35 days. Milo ration, 28 days. Milo ration, 35 days.	3 2 3 1 3 2	76.4 73.5 76.4 76.5 74.6 71.9 76.0 73.6	217.0 200.1 216.3 174.0 178.1 161.9 208.4 195.3	211.7 190.7 206.6 168.1 170.4 145.6 196.0 189.5	97.55 94.98 95.65 96.61 95.76 90.68 94.18 97.04	206.2 183.8 201.5 165.0 164.7 141.9 190.7 185.0	20.69 20.57 20.79 21.85 21.41 20.53 20.77 20.81	7.75 5.98 4.37 2.22 2.93 2.14 5.50 4.45	189.6 172.3 158.5 144.5 148.6 136.2 169.0 159.4
Experiment 8 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Patent flour ration Low grade flour ration Wheat gray shorts ration	6	60.5 59.6 59.9 60.4	59.9 161.4 209.7 194.7 201.0 199.9	58.2 203.2 189.7 194.7 193.9	97.07 96.91 97.46 96.90 96.98	58.6 203.4 189.7 193.7 193.8	18.17 20.34 20.93 20.79 21.03	6.79 8.04 7.04 5.04 3.70	166.3 190.3 184.3 164.8 153.7
Experiment 9 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration. Corn bran ration. Wheat gray shorts ration. Wheat bran ration	4 5 6 6 6	59.4 60.2 60.6 60.4	61.6 154.8 216.5 215.2 209.1 193.0	59.2 208.8 205.9 201.8 184.3	96.10 96.38 95.82 96.53 95.80	59.6 209.4 200.9 200.7 182.2	17.45 21.45 23.04 22.94 22.69	6.67 6.66 3.13 2.97 2.02	161.1 183.7 159.6 157.6 147.3
Experiment 11 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration. Casein ration. Starch ration. Wesson oil ration.	6 6 6	62.5 62.9 63.3 63.0	63.3 164.8 212.6 156.9 161.3 162.9	61.7 207.3 152.4 157.7 159.0	97.61 97.49 97.16 97.77 97.63	62.2 203.8 150.1 155.0 155.2	18.58 20.97 21.62 19.96 20.25	6.81 7.38 2.62 9.58 9.87	168.9 187.7 146.8 202.6 206.9

PRODUCTIVE ENERGY BY GROWING CHICKENS

Table 7. Average composition, weights and calories per 100 grams for chickens-Continued

Number of experiment and name of ration	No. averaged	Live weight at beginning, gm.	Live weight at end, gm.	Empty weight at end, gm.	Per cent empty wt. of live weight	Weight after prepara- tion, gm.	Protein %	Fat %	Calories per 100 gm. empty weight
Experiment 12 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration. Casein ration. Starch ration. Wesson oil ration.	6 6	60.0 60.6 60.1 60.0	59.6 169.1 190.5 162.8 137.8 151.6	58.0 185.8 157.0 134.4 146.8	97.40 97.56 96.39 97.53 96.90	57.7 182.0 154.2 133.3 143.8	17.48 21.04 21.39 19.59 19.97	7.98 7.55 2.84 9.31 12.00	173.6 189.6 147.6 197.9 225.2
Experiment 21 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Casein ration Cottonseed meal ration Tankage ration.	6 5 6	60.9 61.9 61.2 61.7	59.8 149.5 209.7 166.9 205.8 177.9	58.3 205.5 162.4 200.8 170.3	97.47 	55.7 204.4 162.9 198.3 168.5	17.39 20.79 22.44 22.06 21.38	5.88 8.71 2.97 2.89 3.47	153.4 199.1 154.8 151.9 154.0
Experiment 22 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Casein ration Dried buttermilk ration	6 5	51.6 51.5 52.4	49.9 131.7 199.1 155.9 141.7	47.9 194.8 152.0 136.9	95.92 	47.6 191.6 150.8 135.0	17.70 20.53 21.27 21.50	3.97 9.29 2.63 2.36	137.3 203.1 144.9 143.7
Experiment 23 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Dried buttermilk ration Cottonseed meal ration Tankage ration	6 6 5	57.3 57.9 57.0 57.5	57.0 129.3 215.6 214.6 188.1 168.5	54.4 213.0 211.2 182.7 162.7	95.46 	54.7 209.4 207.8 179.6 159.6	17.97 22.04 22.29 22.16 21.78	3.61 4.99 3.43 3.03 4.56	135.4 171.5 158.2 153.7 165.9
Experiment 24 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Cottonseed meal ration Dried buttermilk ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration.	6 4 5	45.1 45.8 46.1 47.1	45.6 157.9 188.5 187.1 172.0 123.7	43.8 184.8 182.3 168.6 119.4	96.09 	42.7 182.3 181.7 166.1 117.2	17.49 21.67 21.60 21.34 21.08	6.98 4.46 3.71 3.69 1.47	164.3 164.3 156.9 155.3 133.1

Experiment 26 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Tankage ration. Meat meal ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 52.5 5 53.2 6 52.7 6 52.8	51.9 125.2 210.6 165.3 202.4 171.6	49.8 206.6 160.8 197.5 167.2	96.04 	47.2 196.7 153.1 188.5 159.7	18.31 20.51 21.53 21.53 21.57	2.86 5.35 3.39 4.00 2.04	130.4 116.1 153.5 159.3
Experiment 27 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Meat and bone meal ration	6 47.9 6 48.9	48.5 129.3 181.6 157.3	46.9 177.0 153.4	96.79 97.47 97.44	43.6 166.8 147.7	17.28 21.38 22.00	3.83 4.25 2.89	141.1 133.6  160.7 151.6
Tankage ration	6 48.3 49.4	156.8 194.3	153.1 189.3	97.47 97.42	147.9 183.1	$\frac{22.11}{21.93}$	3.74 4.70	160.1 168.2
Preliminary chicks	6 48.1	47.8 139.8 213.9	46.3	96.81 97.12	45.3	18.33	4.35	144.4
Cottonseed meal rationMeat meal rationAlfalfa leaf meal ration	4 48.5	179.7 177.5 175.7	174.4 169.7 166.3	96.96 95.53 94.50	166.1 159.7 155.4	21.90 21.89 21.95	2.57 3.02 2.88	148.0 152.1 151.1
Experiment 30 Preliminary chicks		51.5 132.8	49.4	95.99	46.7	17.72	4.07	138.4
Corn meal ration. Tankage ration. Meat and bone meal ration. Corn gluten meal ration.	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	171.8 143.6 154.4 181.8	167.0 138.7 150.7 175.8	97.06 96.57 97.75 96.67	156.8 131.0 141.5 167.3	21.39 20.79 22.64 21.50	3.67 3.23 3.11 2.70	155.4 147.8 158.3 147.0
Experiment 31 Preliminary chicks		53.1 129.2	50.0	94.21	44.8	17.24	4.23	137.1
Corn meal ration Corn gluten feed ration. Tankage ration. Soybean oil meal ration	6 53.4	207.7 186.9 191.5 207.6	202.0 180.1 185.4 202.6	97.22 96.44 96.83 97.59	196.8 175.1 178.1 198.9	22.17 22.00 21.82 21.98	4.83 2.73 3.88 3.29	170.6 150.1 159.8 155.2
Experiment 32 Preliminary chicks		58.4 139.7	56.3	96.34	54.4	18.62	4.24	145.0
Corn meal ration Cottonseed meal ration Meat meal ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 58.4 6 58.2 6 58.5	236.5 238.0 240.0 174.4	230.7 228.2 229.3 168.8	97.50 95.91 95.52 96.73	211.9 219.0 217.7 160.1	21.54 22.15 21.68 22.31	4.91 3.40 3.38 2.53	167.8 157.2 154.3 150.0

Table 7. Average composition, weights and calories per 100 grams for chickens-Continued

Number of experiment and name of ration	No. averaged	Live weight at beginning, gm.	Live weight at end, gm.	Empty weight at end, gm.	Per cent empty wt. of live weight	Weight after prepara- tion, gm.	Protein %	Fat %	Calories per 100 gm empty weight
Experiment 33 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration. Wheat gray shorts ration. Cottonseed hulls ration. Oat hulls ration	6	57.2 55.4 56.6 54.6	56.8 147.7 201.7 204.1 139.1 115.0	54.7 194.2 197.0 131.9 109.5	96.33 	53.0 	18.81 	5.02 7.51 2.79 1.28 1.29	153.3 
Experiment 34 Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Dried buttermilk ration. Dried skim milk ration Cottonseed flour ration	6 6 6 6 6	55.4 55.8 56.1 55.6	55.9 137.2 203.8 184.2 174.1 207.4	53.7 197.5 177.1 166.7 200.2	96.10 96.88 96.17 96.03 96.46	40.0 187.1 169.2 160.6 192.4	19.18 22.54 22.07 22.55 21.78	3.66 4.07 3.42 2.63 4.08	142.8 165.7 156.9 152.2 161,4
Experiment 35* Preliminary chicks Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration Tankage ration Meat and bone meal ration Peanut meal ration.	10 10 10 10 10	58.6 58.6 58.7 59.0	58.2 138.6 224.2 205.1 201.0 224.0	55.0 217.0 195.8 192.9 217.1	94.39 96.80 95.48 95.95 96.88	46.0 210.3 191.1 184.7 211.4	19.45 21.57 22.03 22.19 22.03	3.93 4.81 3.36 2.76 4.10	146.9 167.0 156.1 151.4 163.1
Experiment 36 Preliminary chicks. Calories per 100 gms. Corn meal ration. Alfalfa leaf meal ration Wheat gray shorts ration Casein ration.		54.4 53.5 54.2 54.0	53.7 129.4 194.0 181.7 177.6 146.5	51.2 187.9 175.7 170.7 141.1	95.20 96.92 96.66 96.08 96.26	47.9 179.5 169.9 164.8 136.2	18.06 21.38 21.86 22.20 21.93	3.60 4.87 2.19 4.15 3.15	135.9 166.5 144.3 164.4 153.5

<sup>\*</sup>Analyses made of composite sample of 10 chicks fed same ration.

Digestion experiments were made with all of the rations, usually two being made on each ration. The results will be presented in a subsequent publication. The digestion coefficients of the rations were averaged separately for each experiment on productive energy and, together with the analysis of each ration, were used to calculate the effective digestible nutrients of each ration. The effective digestible nutrients of each ration are given in Table 9. They were used to calculate the effective digestible nutrients of each feed, in comparison with those of the standard corn meal.

The average live weights, percentages of protein and fat, and Calories per 100 grams of chicken, as well as other data, are given in Table 7. The averages are made from the data of each individual chicken. Variations which may occur in the composition of individual chickens, with standard deviations, have already been discussed from other data in Bulletin 571, so that additional calculations were not made with the data in Table 7.

### Calculation of Maintenance Requirements and Productive Energy of Rations

The maintenance requirements are calculated from the data secured with the standard corn meal ration, and these are used to calculate the productive energy of the other rations used at the same time in the experiment. Average data for the calculations of the maintenance requirements are given in Table 8. The productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients of the corn meal ration was taken to be 2.78 Calories per gram as was found to be the average of previous results (Bulletin 571). For each experiment the productive energy of the corn meal used was ascertained by multiplying the effective digestible nutrients of the corn meal ration, as found in the digestion experiments, by 2.78. The maintenance requirements are calculated with use of the average weights by periods. This has been shown (6) to give more consistent results than the use of the average of the first and last weights and better in accord with the previous work of others than the use of the surface area (6).

Since corn meal is used as the standard, the Calories of productive energy used for maintenance under the conditions of each experiment are calculated from the data from the corn meal ration, as given in Table 8. The initial energy content of the chicks is calculated from the initial live weight and the initial energy per gram, as found by analysis (Table 7). The final energy content is calculated from the final empty weight and final energy content of the chicks. The productive energy of the ration eaten is the grams eaten multiplied by the productive energy per gram of ration as given in Table 8 (1.98 in case of experiment No. 8). The productive energy used for maintenance is the productive energy of the ration eaten less the gain of energy in calories of the chicks, since, by definition, the productive energy is measured by the gain in energy. The maintenance requirements are calculated as Calories of productive energy required to maintain 100 gm. of chicken for the period of each experiment.

Table 8. Average data and calculation of maintenance requirements for chickens fed on the standard corn meal ration

									Fo	r Maintena	nce
Experiment number	Number averaged	wt. by experiods co	Initial energy content Cal.	Final energy content Cal.	Gain of energy Cal.	Prod. energy of ration Cal. per gm.	Ration eaten gm.	Prod. energy of feed eaten Cal.	Total prod. energy Cal.	Prod. energy per period per 100 gm. Cal.	Prod. energy per day per 100 gm Cal.
1–66	(28 days) 3 (35 days)	132.4	95.9	402.5	306.5	1.672	503.3	841.5	535.0	404.2	14.43
1-66 8 9 11 12 21	2 6 5 6	119.1 131.9 131.5 140.4 124.0 138.7	92.3 97.6 91.9 103.9 101.4 91.0	333.3 386.8 383.2 389.0 352.8 409.1	241.0 289.2 291.3 286.1 251.3 318.1	1.672 1.980 1.979 1.876 1.899 1.923	546.9 $288.6$ $322.8$ $315.9$ $280.0$ $363.1$	914.4 571.4 638.8 592.6 531.7 698.3	673.4 282.2 347.5 306.5 280.4 380.2	565.1 214.2 264.5 220.0 226.4 275.8	16.15 10.20 12.60 10.50 10.79 13.13
22	6 6	133.2 138.1 118.6 131.4 117.7 129.4	68.0 74.1 71.3 65.8 62.0 67.3	396.3 365.3 304.1 342.6 284.8 347.3	328.3 291.2 232.9 276.8 222.9 280.0	1.933 1.878 1.798 1.871 1.911 1.926	354.7 354.6 301.5 335.2 293.4 313.4	685.6 665.9 542.2 627.2 560.6 603.5	357.3 374.7 309.3 350.4 337.8 323.5	268.3 271.5 261.5 268.0 288.3 249.8	12.78 12.93 12.45 12.76 13.73 11.89
30 31 32 33 34	6 6 5 6	116.4 131.3 160.1 136.8 140.0	68.4 68.6 82.0 84.5 76.0	261.2 346.1 403.1 367.3 326.4	192.8 277.5 321.1 282.9 250.4	1.896 1.884 1.892 1.800 1.825	269.4 318.5 377.9 373.4 340.4	510.8 600.1 715.0 671.9 621.2	318.0 322.7 393.8 389.0 370.8	274.2 246.8 246.2 285.2 265.1	13.06 11.75 11.73 13.58 12.62
35 36	10 5	144.0 124.3	81.2 70.4	362.5 312.7	281.3 242.2	1.816 1.885	335.9 291.6	610.0 549.6	328.7 307.4	228.3 247.1	10.87 11.46
Average (20)											12.49

PRODUCTIVE ENERGY BY GROWING CHICKENS

Table 9. Data and calculation for average productive energy of rations and their effective digestible nutrients

Experiment number and name of ration	Number averaged	Average wt. by periods gm.	Initial energy content Cal.	Final energy content Cal.	Gain of energy Cal.	Ration eaten gm.	Used for main- tenance Cal.	For gain and main-tenance Cal.	Prod. energy of ration Cal. per gm.	Effective digestible nutrients of ration per 100 gm
Experiment 1-66 Oatmeal	(28 days) 3 (35 days)	131.5	96.0	328.6	232.7	503.3	531.1	763.8	1.51	
Oatmeal	(35 days) 1 (28 days)	113.9	96.1	242.8	146.7	521.9	643.5	790.2	1.51	64.7
Wheat gray shorts	(28 days) 3 (35 days)	113.5	94.2	251.9	157.7	503.3	458.5	616.3	1.22	
Wheat gray shorts	(28 days)	98.4	90.3	198.5	108.2	546.9	555.7	663.9	1.21	54.2
Milo	(28 days) 3 (35 days)	125.5	95.4	332.0	236.6	503.3	506.9	743.5	1.48	
Milo	2 (35 days)	114.8	92.4	308.8	216.4	546.9	648.4	864.7	1.58	62.0
Experiment 8 Patent flour Low grade flour Wheat gray shorts	6 6 6	128.4 127.7 124.1	96.1 96.7 97.5	$351.8 \\ 321.6 \\ 297.9$	255.0 224.9 200.4	299.8 289.2 376.1	274.8 273.3 265.7	529.8 498.2 466.1	1.76 1.72 1.25	69.9 65.2 53.5
Experiment 9 Corn bran Wheat gray shorts Wheat bran	6 6 6	135.7 $132.1$ $126.2$	93.1 93.9 93.4	$329.7 \\ 320.4 \\ 271.4$	236.6 226.5 178.0	$442.2 \\ 362.2 \\ 423.3$	358.4 348.7 333.3	594.9 575.1 511.3	1.35 1.58 1.21	48.4 54.7 49.0
Experiment 11 Casein Starch Wesson oil	6 6 6	112.8 113.2 116.7	103.6 104.3 103.9	$224.0 \\ 321.6 \\ 330.9$	120.3 217.3 227.0	$220.0 \\ 270.1 \\ 237.0$	$248.1 \\ 249.1 \\ 256.7$	368.4 466.4 483.7	1.67 $1.72$ $2.05$	66.2 70.4 83.3
Experiment 12 CaseinStarchWesson oil	6 6 6	111.1 100.9 108.5	102.4 $101.7$ $101.5$	232.3 268.2 333.4	129.9 166.5 231.9	213.1 238.7 217.4	$251.1 \\ 228.0 \\ 245.2$	381.0 394.5 477.1	$1.80 \\ 1.65 \\ 2.19$	69.7 69.5 87.9
Experiment 21 Casein Cottonseed meal Tankage	6	117.1 139.9 125.3	$92.5 \\ 91.5 \\ 92.3$	251.7 306.6 264.2	159.3 215.1 171.9	252.6 408.7 375.5	323.2 386.2 345.9	482.4 601.3 517.9	1.90 1.47 1.39	64.1 54.8 48.7

Table 9. Data and calculation for average productive energy of rations and their effective digestible nutrients-Continued

Experiment number and name of ration	Number averaged	Average wt. by periods gm.	Initial energy content Cal.	Final energy content Cal.	Gain of energy Cal.	Ration eaten gm.	Used for main- tenance Cal.	For gain and main- tenance Cal.	Prod. energy of ration Cal. per gm.	Effective digestible nutrients of ration per 100 gm.
Experiment 22 Casein Dried buttermilk	5	108.8	67.9	220.4	152.6	243.9	291.5	444.0	1.82	61.5
	5	108.3	69.1	198.3	129.2	300.7	290.3	419.5	1.39	56.0
Experiment 23 Dried buttermilk Cottonseed meal Tankage	6	143.3	74.9	334.4	259.5	377.8	388.3	647.9	1.73	63.2
	5	125.8	73.7	281.8	208.1	372.5	341.0	549.0	1.47	55.9
	6	120.8	74.4	270.3	195.9	364.8	327.3	523.2	1.43	58.3
Experiment 24 Cottonseed meal Dried buttermilk Alfalfa leaf meal	4 5 4	122.8 112.2 87.2	72.4 $72.8$ $74.4$	286.3 262.1 159.1	213.9 189.4 84.8	377.8 321.0 252.1	320.4 292.9 227.6	534.3 482.3 312.3	1.42 1.51 1.25	54.6 61.9 49.5
Experiment 26 Tankage Meat meal Alfalfa leaf meal	5	116.7	66.7	247.5	180.8	333.8	312.7	493.5	1.48	57.4
	6	134.4	66.0	315.2	249.2	365.4	360.1	609.3	1.67	56.9
	6	120.3	66.1	236.2	170.2	355.3	322.5	492.6	1.39	51.1
Experiment 27 Meat and bone scraps. Tankage Cottonseed flour	6	112.7	63.2	232.7	169.5	310.1	324.6	494.1	1.60	56.9
	6	109.4	62.4	247.8	185.3	295.9	314.9	500.3	1.69	61.0
	5	127.0	63.9	319.4	255.5	316.2	365.8	621.3	1.98	63.0
Experiment 28 Cottonseed meal Meat scraps Alfalfa leaf meal	6	118.1	67.2	262.0	194.8	351.9	295.2	490.0	1.38	54.3
	4	114.6	67.8	259.0	191.1	317.8	286.4	477.5	1.50	57.5
	4	113.8	65.4	254.0	188.7	348.5	284.5	473.2	1.34	50.1
Experiment 30 Tankage Meat and bone meal Corn gluten meal	5 4 6	$   \begin{array}{c}     107.5 \\     117.5 \\     122.0   \end{array} $	69.3 69.4 68.9	206.3 238.9 259.1	137.0 169.5 190.1	283.6 306.0 315.5	294.5 321.8 334.2	431.5 491.3 524.3	1.52 1.60 1.66	58.4 58.0 60.1
Experiment 31 Corn gluten feed Tankage Soybean oil meal	6	120.2	69.0	271.0	202.1	377.0	296.9	498.9	1.32	49.9
	6	128.6	69.1	296.6	227.6	349.9	317.7	545.3	1.56	60.9
	6	136.6	68.6	311.1	245.9	395.4	337.4	583.3	1.48	54.8

Experiment 32 Cottonseed meal Meat meal Alfalfa leaf meal	6	155.8	81.3	358.9	277.5	465.6	383.2	660.7	1.42	52.9
	6	156.2	81.6	353.1	271.4	486.3	384.3	655.8	1.36	53.1
	6	124.6	82.2	253.2	171.0	359.6	306.6	477.6	1.32	48.8
Experiment 33 Wheat gray shorts Cottonseed hulls Oat hulls	6 6 4	140.3 106.6 99.2	81.8 83.6 80.6	295.0 175.4 152.7	213.2 91.8 72.2	451.0 672.8 538.8	399.9 303.8 282.6	613.1 395.6 354.6	1.36 .59 .66	$49.3 \\ 23.0 \\ 24.0$
Experiment 34 Dried buttermilk Dried skim milk Cottonseed flour	6 6 6	127.3 127.6 142.4	76.6 77.0 76.3	278.9 254.4 323.8	202.3 177.4 247.6	340.0 343.4 373.7	337.4 338.1 377.4	539.7 515.5 625.0	1.58 1.49 1.67	$60.1 \\ 59.2 \\ 58.7$
Experiment 35 Tankage Meat and bone meal Peanut meal	10	136.9	81.3	305.7	224.5	387.8	312.2	536.6	1.38	57.9
	10	136.1	81.4	292.0	210.6	400.2	310.3	520.9	1.30	50.5
	10	149.4	81.8	353.9	272.1	435.9	340.6	612.8	1.41	55.9
Experiment 36 Alfalfa leaf meal Wheat gray shorts Casein	6	121.1	69.3	255.0	185.7	380.9	299.2	484.9	1.27	51.0
	6	123.5	70.1	280.8	210.7	370.7	305.0	515.7	1.40	54.7
	6	103.3	69.8	218.1	148.3	234.1	255.1	403.4	1.72	63.8

Table 10. Variations in average productive energy of rations as calculated from data from individual chickens

	Number		ive energy of lories per gr		Average difference	Standard deviation
Experiment number and name of ration	averaged	Average	Maximum	Minimum	difference	
Experiment 1–66 Oat meal ration. Wheat gray shorts ration. Milo ration.	4	1.51	1.65	1.44	.07	.10
	5	1.22	1.33	1.16	.05	.07
	5	1.52	1.72	1.43	.10	.13
Experiment 8 Patent flour ration Low grade flour ration. Wheat gray shorts ration	6	1.76	1.88	1.57	.11	.13
	6	1.72	1.84	1.63	.06	.08
	6	1.25	1.45	1.09	.13	.16
Experiment 9 Corn bran ration Wheat gray shorts ration Wheat bran ration	6	1.35	1.48	1.18	.10	.12
	6	1.58	1.81	1.33	.13	.17
	6	1.21	1.26	1.16	.03	.04
Experiment 11 Casein rationStarch ration. Wesson oil ration.	6	1.67	1.80	1.56	.07	.09
	6	1.72	1.80	1.48	.08	.12
	6	2.05	2.32	1.75	.19	.23
Experiment 12 Casein ration Starch ration. Wesson oil ration.	6	1.80	1.97	1.64	.08	.11
	6	1.65	1.82	1.35	.14	.18
	6	2.19	2.47	1.69	.25	.31
Experiment 21 Casein ration. Cottonseed meal ration. Tankage ration.	5	1.90	2.04	1.60	.15	.19
	6	1.47	1.55	1.39	.06	.07
	5	1.39	1.54	1.29	.09	.11
Experiment 22 Casein ration Dried buttermilk ration	5 5	1.82 1.39	1.93 1.58	1.68 1.20	.08	.10 .15
Experiment 23 Dried buttermilk ration. Cottonseed meal ration Tankage ration.	5	1.73 1.47 1.43	2.21 1.56 1.57	1.42 1.30 1.33	.16 .08 .05	.26 .11 .08

Experiment 24 Cottonseed meal ration. Dried buttermilk ration. Alfalfa leaf meal ration.	4	1.42	1.44	1.36	.03	.04
	5	1.51	1.54	1.41	.04	.06
	4	1.25	1.37	1.13	.08	.10
Experiment 26 Tankage ration. Meat meal ration. Alfalfa leaf meal ration.	5	1.48	1.63	1.38	.07	.10
	6	1.67	1.81	1.54	.06	.09
	6	1.39	1.47	1.26	.05	.07
Experiment 27  Meat and bone scraps ration  Tankage ration  Cottonseed flour ration	6	1.60	1.74	1.45	.09	.11
	6	1.69	1.83	1.53	.10	.12
	5	1.98	2.21	1.85	.11	.14
Experiment 28 Cottonseed meal ration Meat scraps ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6	1.38	1.56	1.22	.09	.12
	4	1.50	1.55	1.41	.05	.06
	4	1.34	1.44	1.16	.09	.12
Experiment 30 Tankage ration. Meat and bone meal ration. Corn gluten meal ration.	5	1.52	1.61	1.32	.08	.12
	4	1.60	1.75	1.50	.10	.12
	6	1.66	1.80	1.52	.10	.11
Experiment 31 Corn gluten feed ration. Tankage ration. Soybean oil meal ration.	. 6	1.32	1.49	1.21	.07	.10
	6	1.56	1.61	1.44	.04	.06
	6	1.48	1.54	1.39	.04	.05
Experiment 32 Cottonseed meal ration	6	1.42	1.51	1.33	.05	.06
	6	1.36	1.47	1.23	.09	.10
	6	1.32	1.41	1.18	.05	.08
Experiment 33 Wheat gray shorts ration	6	1.36	1.45	1.25	.08	.09
	6	.59	.65	.56	.02	.03
	4	.66	.68	.63	.02	.02
Experiment 34 Dried buttermilk ration. Dried skim milk ration. Cottonseed flour ration.	6	1.58	1.64	1.53	.03	.04
	6	1.49	1.66	1.30	.11	.13
	6	1.67	1.84	1.51	.09	.11
Experiment 36 Alfalfa leaf meal ration. Wheat gray shorts ration. Casein ration.	6	1.27	1.37	1.16	.06	.07
	6	1.40	1.53	1.16	.11	.14
	6	1.72	1.83	1.54	.10	.12

The average maintenance requirement per day per 100 grams, as shown in Table 8, is 12.49 Calories of productive energy. The maximum average of an experiment is 16.15, and the minimum average of an experiment is 10.20 calories per day per 100 grams. It is pointed out in Bulletin 571 that these variations from one experiment to another appear not to affect the productive energy, which is compared with the use of the maintenance value obtained in the same experiment.

The maintenance requirements calculated in Table 8 are used in Table 9 to calculate the productive energy of the rations containing the feeds compared with corn meal. The method of procedure in each case is indicated in the headings of the table. The energy used for maintenance is calculated by multiplying the average weight by periods by the Calories required to maintain 1 gram of chicken as found by the use of the corn meal ration for the same experiment, and is given in Table 8. The sum of the Calories used for gain and for maintenance divided by the quantity of feed eaten gives the Calories of productive energy of one gram of the ration. These are given in the next to last column of Table 9.

The values given in Tables 8 and 9 are averages of those calculated from the data for individual chickens. The results would be slightly different had average data been used in the calculating of the maintenance requirements or of the productive energy. The standard difference from the mean, the standard deviation, and the standard error of the mean are given in Table 10.

### Tentative Productive Energy of Certain Feeds

The method used for calculating the productive energy of the individual feeds from that of the ration when corn meal alone is replaced is shown in Table 11. (Test 7-6-8-7-6-36). The difference between the assumed productive energy value of 1 gm. of the corn meal ration (1.980 Cal.) and of the patent flour ration (1.764) gives the effect (— .216) of substitution of 0.5 gm. of patent flour for 0.5 gm. of corn meal. This difference added to the productive energy of 0.5 gm. of the corn meal (1.130) makes the productive energy of 0.5 gm. of the patent flour to be 0.914 Cal. or 1.828 for one gram. The preliminary value for the productive energy values of the other feeds used to replace corn meal alone were calculated in a similar way.

In some of the experiments, however, the calculation was slightly different, since both corn meal and casein were used in the standard ration, and the feed tested (usually high in protein) was substituted partly for corn meal and partly for casein. The method for calculating the data for such feeds is illustrated in Table 12. The productive energy used for casein, 1.97 Calories per gram, is the average of 4 of the 5 experiments shown in Table 13.

These preliminary calculations are based upon the assumption that corn meal has the average productive energy of 2.78 Calories per gram of effective digestible nutrients found for the primary ration used in previous work (6). The effective digestible nutrients of the feeds com-

Table 11. Calculation of productive energy of feed substituted for corn meal Experiment 8

Line No.	Automatic Arthur St.	Corn meal	Patent flour	Low grade flour	Wheat gray shorts
A B	Productive energy, calories per gram.	2.260			
-	Productive energy of mixture, Tables 8 and 9  Effect of substitution, Line B minus	1.980	1.764	1.723	1.250
C	Effect of substitution, Line B minus		216	257	730
D	Productive energy (.5 gm.) corn meal				
E	Productive energy 0.5 gm. feed sub-		1.130	1.130	1.130
-	stituted (Line C plus line D)		.914	.873	.400
F	Productive energy of 1 gram feed substituted (Line E divided by 0.5).	2.260	1.828	1.746	.800
G	Effective digestible nutrients of 1 gm.			44.	
Н	feed (Table 13) Productive energy of effect. digest.	.813	.787	.692	.459
	nut. (Line F divided by line G)	2.780	2.323	2.523	1.743
I	Relative productive energy of effect. digest. nut. with corn meal as 100 (Line H divided by 2.780 and				
	multiplied by 100)	100	84	91	63

Table 12. Calculation of productive energy of feed substituted for corn meal and casein, Experiment 36

Line No.		Corn meal	Alfalfa leaf meal	Wheat gray shorts	Casein
A	Productive energy, calories per gram.	2.174			
В	Productive energy of mixture,	4 005	4 000	4 005	
C	Tables 8 and 9 Effect of substitution, line B minus	1.885	1.270	1.395	1.721
4			615	490	164
D	Casein substituted, gm		.05 gm.	.20 gm.	.104
E	Prod. energy of quantity of casein				
	substituted (1.97 cal. per gm.)		.099	.394	
F	Corn meal substituted, gm		.25 gm.	.30 gm.	.30 gm.
G	Prod. energy of quantity of corn meal		.544	.652	.652
Н	substituted Prod. energy of casein and corn meal		.544	.032	.002
	substituted (Line E plus line G)		. 643	1.046	11.51
J	Quantity of feed substituted (grams).		.30 gm.	.50 gm.	.30 gm.
J	Prod. energy of quantity of feed sub-		And the second		
K	stituted (Line C plus line H)		.028	.556	.488
IV.	Prod. energy of 1 gram feed substituted (Line J divided by line I)		.093	1.112	1.626
L	Effective digestible nutrients of 1 gm.		.095	1.112	1.020
	feed (Table 13)	.782	.214	.499	.681
M	Prod. energy of effect. digest. nut.				
	(Line K divided by line L)	2.780	.435	2.228	2.390
	Relative prod. energy of effect. digest.				
	nut. with those of corn meal as 100	128			
	(Line L divided by 2.780 and multiplied by 100)	100	16	80	86

pared with corn meal were calculated from the digestion experiments by methods similar to those used for calculating the productive energy, as described above.

The tentative productive energy of certain feeds, their effective digestible nutrients, the productive energy per gram of effective digestible nutrients of each feed, and the relative value of the productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients as compared with that of corn meal as 100, are given in Table 13.

Table 13. Tentative productive energy of certain feeds

		and the	Effecti	ve digestible i	nutrients
Exp. No.		Productive energy of feed, calories per gram	In feed, per cent	Productive energy calories per gram	Productive energy compared with those of corn meal as 100
24 26 28 32 36	Alfalfa leaf meal 54172. 54985. 54985. 54985. 56438. Average (5) Average (4) (24 excluded)	0 .547 .243 .293 .093 .235 .294	17.4 23.5 15.0 14.8 21.4 18.4	0 2.328 1.620 1.980 .435 1.273	0 84 58 71 16 46 57
8 9 -66 33 36	Wheat gray shorts 47764. 47764. 43898 (Brown). 55462. 56077. Average (5).	.800 1.468 1.093 1.326 1.112 1.160	45.9 48.5 65.4 48.8 49.9 51.7	1.744 3.037 1.671 2.717 2.228 2.279	63 109 60 98 80 82
22 23 24 34	Dried buttermilk 49648	1.138 1.516 .940 1.148 1.186	52.9 58.5 64.0 54.7 57.5	2.152 2.591 1.469 2.099 2.078	77 93 53 76 75
21 23 24 28 32	Cottonseed meal 54177. 54177. 54715. 54993. 55733. Average (5).	1.312 1.142 1.200 .856 1.014 1.105	51.1 48.1 51.1 40.5 41.7 46.5	2.567 2.374 2.348 2.114 2.432 2.367	92 85 84 76 88 85
21 23 26 27 31 30 35	Tankage 54178 54178 54178 54178 54873 54873 55081 54873 Average (7)	1.156 .432 .822 1.279 .889 .779 .504 .837	39.0 40.1 41.8 45.6 48.1 39.4 48.4 43.2	2.966 1.077 1.967 2.805 1.848 1.977 1.041 1.954	107 39 71 101 66 71 37 70
11 12 21 22 36	Casein 48261. 49469. 54170. 54170. 55732. Average (5).	1.724 1.950 2.178 2.004 1.626 1.896	77.9 83.4 69.7 64.0 68.1 72.6	2.213 2.338 3.123 3.132 2.390 2.639	80 84 112 113 86 95

The differences in the values of the same feed compared with corn meal are greater than are desirable, especially with alfalfa leaf meal. The errors of the work on the digestion experiments as well as those in determining the productive energy are all assigned to the productive energy. It is considered, however, that the average values are approximately correct. Additional determinations are needed and will be furnished later.

### Calculation of Revised Productive Energy of Corn Meal

The relative productive energy of the feeds compared with corn meal listed in Table 13 were used to calculate a more nearly correct value of the productive energy of corn meal. The productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients of each primary ration for which the productive energy was ascertained in Bulletin 571 was calculated by the procedure outlined in Table 14. The percentage each ingredient contributed to the total of 70.45 per cent of effective digestible nutrients in the primary ration was calculated. Each of these percentages was multiplied by its average relative productive energy (Table 13) as compared with corn meal, and the total gives the productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients of the primary ration Mixture 14 to be 90.9% of that of corn meal (Table 14). Similar calculations were made for other primary rations, Mixtures 18, 22, 23, 24, and 25. The average productive energy of all the primary rations is 91.0% of that of corn meal. Since the average productive energy per gram of the effective digestible nutrients of the primary ration was 2.78 Calories, the effective digestible nutrients of corn meal have a productive energy of 2.78 divided by 0.91, which is 3.05 calories per gram.

The corrected productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients of corn meal, therefore, becomes 3.05 calories per gram, instead of the 2.78 calories previously used. (This value was subsequently found to be a little high as will be seen on a later page.) Since the other feeds are compared with corn meal as a standard, the use of this revised productive energy for corn meal makes necessary the revision of the productive energy values obtained for the other feeds.

### Revised Productive Energy Values of Certain Feeds

The productive energy values of all the feeds compared with corn meal in the work reported in this publication were calculated with use of the value of 3.05 calories per gram for the effective digestible nutrients for corn meal and the revised value of 2.14 calories per gram for the casein. The results for those feeds used in the primary ration are summarized in Table 15.

A comparison of the revised values in Table 15 with the tentative values in Table 13 is given in Table 16 and shows that the revised values are higher for the effective digestible nutrients of the various feeds compared with those of corn meal. This is particularly noticeable with

Table 14. Calculated productive energy of primary ration No. 14 as compared with corn meal

Lab. No.	Name of feed	Ingredients	Effective digestible nutrients in feed	Effective digestible nutrients in mixture	Per cent effective digestible nutrients	Relative value to corn meal dig.	Relative contribution of each ingredient to productive energy
33918 35673 33972 35675 33973 32790 33303 33304	Yellow corn meal Wheat gray shorts Dried buttermilk Cottonseed meal. Alfalfa leaf meal Tankage Bone meal Oyster shell	$ \begin{array}{c c} 19.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.0 \end{array} $	82.62 60.66 79.42 70.29 28.90 65.48 27.31	42.14 11.53 7.94 4.22 1.45 2.62 .55	59.8 16.4 11.3 6.0 2.0 3.7 .8	1.00 .82 .75 .85 .46 .70 .70	59.80 13.45 8.48 5.10 .92 2.59 .56
	Total			70.45	100.0		90.90

Table 15. Productive energy in terms of feed, effective organic constituents, effective digestible nutrients, and metabolizable energy

			all	u metaboliz	able energy					
							Pro	ductive en	ergy	1.
Name and laboratory number of feed	Exp. No.	Per cent of ration	Effective organic con- stituents per cent	Effective digestible nutrients per cent	Metabo- lizable energy Cal. per 100 gm.	Total feed Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective organic con- stituents Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective digestible nutrients Cal. per 100 gm.	Rank with effective digestible nutrients of corn meal as 100	In per- centage of metab- olizable energy
Alfalfa leaf meal 54172 54985 54985 54985 54985 56438 Average (5)	24 26 28 32 36	25 30 30 30 30 30	64.9 67.0 67.0 67.0 72.9 67.8	17.4 23.5 15.0 14.8 21.4 18.4	73 99 63 62 90 77	18 75 45 50 29 43	28 112 67 75 40 64	106 320 302 338 137 241	35 105 99 111 45 79	25 76 71 81 32 57
Buttermilk, dried 49648	22 23 24 34	50 25 25 25 25	90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6 90.6	52.9 58.5 64.0 54.7 57.5	222 246 269 230 242	135 171 114 135 139	149 189 126 149 153	256 293 178 246 243	84 96 58 81 80	61 70 42 59 58
Casein 48261 49469 54170 54170 55732 Average (5)	11 12 21 22 36	38 38 50 50 30	87.2 87.7 88.2 88.2 87.4 87.7	77.9 83.4 69.7 64.0 68.1 72.6	327 350 293 269 286 305	195 216 239 222 196 214	224 246 271 252 224 243	250 259 344 347 288 298	82 85 113 114 94 98	60 62 82 83 69 71
Corn meal (standard) 43890 48440 48440 48440 48986 49468 54173 54173 54347 54714 54984 55087 55025	1-66 8 9 11 12 21 22 23 24 26 27 28		91.0 92.7 92.7 92.6 90.5 90.9 90.1 90.0 90.1 90.8 91.9	80.1 81.3 81.3 81.3 79.7 79.9 79.9 79.3 79.0 79.3 79.7 80.9	336 341 341 341 335 336 336 333 332 333 335 340	244 248 248 248 248 243 244 244 242 241 242 243 247	268 268 268 268 269 268 268 269 268 268 268 269	305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73

Table 15. Productive energy in terms of feed, effective organic constituents, effective digestible nutrients, and metabolizable energy—Continued

			and met	abolizable e	nergy—Con	inueu				
						647	Pro	ductive en	ergy	
Name and laboratory number of feed	Exp. No.	Per cent of ration	Effective organic con- stituents per cent	Effective digestible nutrients per cent	Metabo- lizable energy Cal. per 100 gm.	Total feed Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective organic con- stituents Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective digestible nutrients Cal. per 100 gm.	Rank with effective digestible nutrients of corn meal as 100	In per- centage of metab- olizable energy
Corn meal—Cont. 55463 55588 55731 55840 56046 56076 56436 Average (19)	30 31 32 33 34 35 36		93.2 92.0 91.2 90.6 90.5 91.3 88.8 91.1	82.2 81.0 80.4 79.8 79.7 80.4 78.2 80.2	345 340 338 335 335 338 328 337	251 247 245 243 243 245 239 245	269 268 269 268 269 268 269 268	305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305 305	100 100 100 100 100 100 100	73 73 72 73 73 73 73 73
Cottonseed meal 54177. 54177. 54715. 54993. 55733. Average (5).	21 23 24 28 32	50 43 43 43 43	87.4 87.4 86.5 83.2 84.6 85.8	51.1 48.1 51.1 40.5 41.7 46.5	215 202 215 170 175 195	153 134 139 105 121 130	175 153 161 126 143 152	299 278 273 260 290 280	98 91 89 85 95 92	71 66 65 62 69 67
Tankage 54178 54178 54178 54178 54873 55081 54873 54873 Average (7)	21 23 26 27 30 31 35	50 28 32 29 29 29 28 28	86.3 86.3 76.5 81.1 76.5 76.5 81.4	39.0 40.1 41.8 45.6 39.4 48.1 48.4 43.2	164 168 176 192 165 202 203 181	137 61 101 146 97 108 69 103	159 71 117 191 120 141 90	352 153 241 321 245 224 142 240	115 50 79 105 80 73 46 78	84 36 57 76 59 53 34 57
Whe it gray shorts 43898 47764 47764 55462 56077 Average (5)	1-66 8 9 33 36	40 50 50 50 50	83.5 83.4 83.4 84.4 85.7 84.1	65.4 45.9 48.3 48.8 49.9 51.7	275 193 203 205 210 217	131 102 169 154 131 137	157 122 203 182 153 163	200 222 350 316 262 270	66 73 115 104 86 89	48 53 83 75 62 64

alfalfa leaf meal, with which, for example, in Experiment 24 the preliminary relative value of 0 was changed to 35, and in Experiment 36, the preliminary value of 16 is changed to 45. The explanation for the increase is that when the feed is substituted for corn meal, the higher revised productive energy of the corn meal gives a higher revised value to the same quantity of feed substituted. Since the percentage of effective

Table 16. Average tentative and corrected productive energy of digestible nutrients and their standard deviations, standard errors, and percentile errors

	Number of tests	Prod. energy per 100 gm. Cal.	Standard deviation Cal.	Standard error Cal.	Standard error per cent
Alfalfa leaf meal. Tentative	5 5	127 241	101 110	45 49	35 21
Buttermilk, dried. Tentative Corrected	4 4	208 243	46 48	23 24	11 10
Casein. Tentative Corrected	5 5	264 298	43 46	19 20	7 7
Cottonseed meal. Tentative Corrected	5 5	236 280	17 15	7 6	3 3
Tankage. Tentative Corrected	7 7	195 240	75 78	28 29	14 11
Wheat gray shorts. Tentative Corrected	5 5	228 270	60 63	26 28	11 10

Table 17. Average constituents and productive energy of certain feeds

	Alfalfa leaf meal	Butter- milk, dried	Casein	Corn meal (stand- ard)	Cotton- seed meal	Tankage	Wheat gray shorts
Number of tests	5	4	5		5	7	5
Effective organic constituents, per cent	61.8	90.6	87.7	91.1	85.8	81.4	84.1
Effective digestible nutri- ents, per cent	18.4	57.5	72.6	80.2	46.5	43.2	51.7
Metabolizable energy, cal. per 100 gm	77	242	305	337	195	181	217
Productive energy			13			Thomas a	
Total feed, cal. per 100 gm.	43	139	214	245	130	103	137
Effective organic constit- uents, cal. per 100 gm.	64	153	243	268	152	127	163
Effective digestible nutri- ents, cal. per 100 gm Rank with effective digest-	241	243	298	305	280	240	270
ible nutrients of corn meal as 100	79	80	98	100	92	78	89
In percentage of metabo- lizable energy	57	58	71	73	67	57	64

digestible nutrients of the feed substituted remains the same, and is lower than those for corn meal, the productive energy of the effective digestible nutrients becomes proportionately larger compared with that of corn meal. Greater increases in relative value thus occur with feed of low digestibility, namely, the alfalfa leaf meal, while the increase is not great with feeds whose effective digestible nutrients are not widely different from those of corn meal. There is little difference in the standard deviation and standard error of the tentative and of the revised values for productive energy of any of the feeds except alfalfa leaf meal. On account of the higher revised productive energy, the percentage of error is lower.

When the productive energy of the primary ration is recalculated with the new values compared to corn meal given in Table 16, but otherwise using the same procedure, the value relative to corn meal is 90 instead of 91. Use of this new figure would give a revised productive energy of corn meal of 3.01 instead of 3.05 calories per gram of effective digestible nutrients. The value rounded off to 3.00 will be used in subsequent work. The corresponding productive energy per gram of corn meal would be 2.40 and for the effective organic constituents it would be 2.63.

The productive energy values are summarized in Table 17 for the feed, the effective organic constituents, the effective digestible nutrients, and the metabolizable energy. The standard deviation and error are also given in Table 18.

Table 18. Standard deviation and standard error of productive energy of the feed, the effective organic constituents, and the metabolizable energy

	Alfalfa leaf meal	Butter- milk, dried	Casein	Cotton- seed meal	Tankage	Wheat gray shorts
Number of tests	5	4	5	5	7	5
Prod. energy per 100 gm., Cal	43	139	214	130	103	137
Standard deviation, Cal	22	24	19	18	32	26
Standard error, Cal	10	12	8	8	12	12
Standard error, per cent	21	8	4	6	12	8
Effective organic constituents Prod. energy per 100 gm., Cal Standard deviation, Cal Standard error, Cal Standard error, per cent.	64	153	243	152	127	163
	33	26	20	19	41	31
	15	13	9	8	15	14
	24	9	4	5	12	8
In percentage of metabolizable energy						
Prod. energy per 100 gm., Cal	57	58	71	67	57	64
Standard deviation, Cal	26	12	11	4	19	15
Standard error, Cal	12	6	5	2	7	6
Standard error, per cent	21	10	7	3	11	10

### Effect of High Protein Content

In some of the experiments, a high protein feed replaced corn meal, with a resulting high protein content of the ration. In most of the experiments in which high protein feeds were used, these feeds replaced corn meal and casein in such a way that the protein content of the rations remained around 30 per cent. A high protein content of the rations did not, however, appear to decrease the utilization of the energy of the ration. When casein replaced 50% corn meal (Table 16) it had a higher productive energy than when only 30 to 38% of corn meal was replaced. Cottonseed meal and tankage replacing 50% corn meal had higher productive energy values than when the protein was lower. The evidence indicates that a high protein content of the ration does not interfere with the utilization of the energy of the ration. It has other effects, however, since high protein in the ration produces chickens with a low fat content, as can be seen from the data in Table 7.

### Productive Energy of Other Feeds

The productive energy of some other feeds was determined in the experiments here reported, in addition to those discussed in the preceding pages, and the results are given in Table 19. The data for corn meal are based on the value of 3.05 calories per gram of effective digestible constituents and not on the comparisons, since corn meal is itself the standard.

Additional experiments are being made on other samples of the same kinds of feeds as are listed in Table 19 and their productive energy will be discussed more fully when the additional data are reported.

Delinted cottonseed hulls and oat hulls had no productive energy. The productive energy of cottonseed oil was lower than could be expected. The digestible constituents of corn bran, corn gluten meal, and cottonseed flour had a productive energy equal to that of corn meal.

### Utilization of Protein in the Rations

Analyses of the chicks, of the rations, and the digestion experiments furnished data as to the retention of digestible protein by the chicks. These data are summarized in Table 20.

The highest retention of the digestible protein is usually with the corn meal ration containing about 20 per cent protein, in which retention was 50 to 56 per cent. With corn meal rations containing above 30 per cent protein, the retention was about 40 per cent. The lowest retention of digestible protein was with the high-protein rations containing casein, in Exp. 11, 12, 21, 22, and 36, in which the retention was 21 to 26 per cent. In spite of this low retention of protein, however, the casein had a high productive energy, as shown in Table 15. The next lowest retention,

Table 19. Productive energy as determined for certain feeds

						Productive energy						
Labora- tory Name of ration Exp. number of feed Effective organic constituents per cent	Effective digestible nutrients per cent	Metabo- lizable energy Cal. per 100 gm.	Total feed Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective organic con- stituents Cal. per 100 gm.	Effective digestible nutrients Cal. per 100 gm.	Rank with effective digestible nutrients of corn meal as 100	In per- centage of metab olizable energy					
48706	Corn bran	9	84.8	35.7	150	121	143	340	111	81		
55120	Corn gluten feed	31	76.5	32.4	136	94	123	291	96	69		
55121	Corn gluten meal	30	84.8	53.9	226	170	200	315	103	75		
54679 54679	Cottonseed flour	27 34	94.6 94.6	53.7 52.1	226 219	247 172	261 182	459 330	151 108	109 -79		
54860	Delinted cottonseed hulls	33	47.6	-3.8	-16	1	2	0	0	0		
48436	Flour, low grade	8	88.4	69.2	291	197	223	284	93	68		
48435	Flour, patent	8	87.1	78.7	331	205	235	260	85	62		
54872 55082 54872	Meat and bone scraps Meat and bone scraps Meat and bone scraps	27 30 35	71.5 73.0 71.5	39.8 45.6 33.7	167 192 142	136 146 81	190 200 113	343 320 239	112 105 78	81 76 57		
54664 54664 54664	Meat meal	26 28 32	73.0 73.0 73.0	45.8 42.6 35.8	192 179 150	171 114 84	234 156 115	374 267 235	123 88 77	89 64 56		
56047	Milk, dried skim	34	87.1	50.4	212	95	109	188	62	45		
43899	Milo	1-66	89.4	83.8	352	219	241	258	84	62		
55505	Oat hulls	33	56.0	-1.8	-8	15	27	0	0	0		
43895	Oat meal	1-66	93.6	89.0	374	213	228	240	79	57		
49095 49095	Cottonseed oil, Wesson	11 12	225.0 225.0	186.5 210.3	783 883	367 438	163 195	197 208	65 68	47 50		
54798	Peanut meal	35	84.9	52.9	222	127	150	240	79	57		
54665	Soybean oil meal	31	80.0	39.5	166	119	149	302	99	72		
49094 49094	Starch	11 12	87.7 87.7	86.7 81.9	364 344	217 194	247 222	251 237	82 78	60 56		
48741	Wheat bran	9	79.3	36.8	155	94	119	254	83	61		

Table 20. Protein gained by chicks and eaten in rations

	Number		In chicks		In r	ations	Digestible retained
Number of experiment and name of ration	averaged	At beginning gm.	At end gm.	Gain gm.	Total gm.	Digestible gm.	by chicks, per cent
Experiment 1-66 Corn meal ration, 28 days. Corn meal ration, 35 days. Oat meal ration, 28 days. Oat meal ration, 35 days. Wheat gray shorts ration, 28 days. Wheat gray shorts ration, 35 days. Milo ration, 28 days. Milo ration, 28 days. Milo ration, 35 days.	3	12.72	43 . 82.	31.10	91.26	60.72	51
	2	12.24	38 . 90	26.66	99.15	65.97	40
	3	12.72	42 . 88	30.16	106.31	68.87	44
	1	12.74	36 . 73	23.99	110.23	71.41	34
	3	12.48	36 . 43	23.95	108.27	69.35	35
	2	11.96	29 . 87	17.91	112.63	72.14	25
	3	12.65	40 . 71	28.06	96.69	63.43	44
	2	12.26	39 . 51	27.26	105.05	68.92	40
Experiment 8 Corn meal ration Patent flour ration Low grade flour ration Wheat gray shorts ration	6	10.67	41.32	30.66	65.58	52.36	59
	6	10.51	39.67	29.15	71.66	57.08	51
	6	10.56	40.46	29.91	74.47	58.22	51
	6	10.65	40.79	30.14	99.33	74.45	40
Experiment 9 Corn meal ration Corn bran ration Wheat gray shorts ration Wheat bran ration	5 6 6 6	$\begin{array}{c} 9.95 \\ 10.08 \\ 10.16 \\ 10.12 \end{array}$	44.78 47.41 46.33 41.76	34.83 37.33 36.17 31.64	73.62 97.72 96.35 113.23	61.56 72.50 73.23 82.15	57 51 49 39
Experiment 11 Corn meal ration Casein ration Starch ration Wesson oil ration	6	11.33	43.33	31.99	71.87	56.96	56
	6	11.41	32.97	21.56	108.31	90.28	24
	6	11.48	31.45	19.97	47.62	38.26	52
	6	11.43	32.17	20.74	49.08	38.67	54
Experiment 12 Corn meal ration Casein ration Starch ration Wesson oil ration	6	10.22	39.11	28.89	61.82	49.63	58
	6	10.31	33.60	23.28	105.16	90.99	26
	6	10.24	26.29	16.05	40.35	31.58	51
	6	10.22	29.23	19.01	44.57	36.54	52
Experiment 21 Corn meal ration Casein ration Cottonseed meal ration Tankage ration	6 5 6 5	10.32 10.49 10.38 10.47	$\begin{array}{c} 42.73 \\ 36.33 \\ 44.22 \\ 36.74 \end{array}$	32.41 25.83 33.84 26.85	75.71 142.40 152.58 162.01	59.68 115.79 115.71 75.35	54 22 29 36

Table 20. Protein gained by chicks and eaten in rations-Continued

	Number		In chicks		In r	ations	Digestible retained
Number of experiment and name of ration	averaged	At beginning gm.	At end gm.	Gain gm.	Total gm.	Digestible gm.	by chicks, per cent
Experiment 22 Corn meal ration Casein ration Dried buttermilk ration	6 5 5	8.77 8.75 8.91	39.81 32.33 29.47	$31.04 \\ 23.58 \\ 20.56$	72.00 136.64 93.24	54.94 109.00 62.95	56 22 33
Experiment 23  Corn meal ration  Dried buttermilk ration  Cottonseed meal ration  Tankage ration	6 6 5 6	9.83 9.94 9.79 9.87	46.82 46.99 40.54 35.35	36.99 37.05 30.75 25.48	108.89 110.91 117.04 107.40	86.42 80.79 85.99 58.82	43 46 36 43
Experiment 24  Corn meal ration  Cottonseed meal ration  Dried buttermilk ration  Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 4 5 4	7.59 7.71 7.75 7.92	40.03 39.35 36.08 25.20	32.43 31.64 28.33 17.27	91.25 114.95 93.03 79.55	71.06 84.16 69.06 57.78	46 38 41 30
Experiment 26 Corn meal ration Tankage ration Meat meal ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 5 6 6	9.24 9.37 9.28 9.28	42.50 34.68 42.51 36.08	33.26 25.31 33.24 26.80	105.97 105.24 112.90 111.96	86.47 56.66 73.88 79.98	38 45 45 34
Experiment 27 Corn meal ration Meat and bone meal ration. Tankage ration. Cottonseed flour ration		8.01 8.17 8.08 8.27	37.86 33.74 33.87 41.52	29.85 25.57 25.79 33.25	92.06 96.77 94.21 97.36	75.72 63.77 64.94 72.95	39 40 40 46
Experiment 28 Corn meal ration Cottonseed meal ration Meat meal ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 4	8.54 8.53 8.61 8.29	44.97 38.21 37.16 36.47	36.44 29.69 28.56 28.17	97.95 106.37 99.01 108.69	81.35 80.19 66.31 77.78	45 37 43 36
Experiment 30 Corn meal ration	5 4	8.76 8.88 8.90 8.92	35.78 28.89 33.90 37.80	27.02 20.01 25.01 28.88	83.01 87.60 94.75 97.01	67.83 54.08 62.39 75.69	40 37 40 38

Experiment 31 Corn meal ration Corn gluten feed ration Tankage ration Soybean oil meal ration	6 6 6	11.57 8.67 8.68 8.62	44.71 39.61 49.46 44.52	36.08 30.95 31.78 35.90	97.92 113.91 107.05 117.01	78.11 81.18 71.53 86.09	46 38 44 42
Experiment 32 Corn meal ration Cottonseed meal ration Meat meal ration Alfalfa leaf meal ration	6 6 6	10.48 10.45 10.49 10.55	49.65 50.49 49.67 37.60	39.17 40.04 39.19 27.05	120.16 137.34 150.81 111.29	98.46 95.63 98.15 80.46	40 42 40 34
Experiment 33 Corn meal ration Wheat gray shorts ration Cottonseed hulls ration Oat hulls ration	6	10.39	40.64	30.28	72.27	55.23	55
	6	10.03	43.05	33.02	104.58	74.13	45
	6	10.26	28.15	17.89	108.18	63.46	28
	4	9.89	24.58	14.69	87.17	49.40	30
Experiment 34 Corn meal ration Dried buttermilk ration Dried skim milk ration Cottonseed flour ration	6	10.20	44.39	34.18	102.62	80.34	43
	6	10.29	39.03	28.74	97.35	72.11	40
	6	10.34	37.57	27.23	93.19	68.58	40
	6	10.25	43.63	33.35	108.34	77.32	43
Experiment 35 Corn meal ration Tankage ration Meat and bone meal ration Peanut meal ration	10	10.75	46.80	36.05	104.93	83.78	43
	10	10.76	43.14	32.38	119.45	75.31	43
	10	10.78	42.79	32.01	121.45	72.74	44
	10	10.83	47.82	36.99	129.91	92.50	40
Experiment 36 Corn meal ration. Alfalfa leaf meal ration. Wheat gray shorts ration. Casein ration.	5	9.36	40.17	30.81	89.81	74.10	42
	6	9.20	38.41	29.47	102.09	87.58	34
	6	9.31	37.81	28.50	77.88	54.84	52
	6	9.28	31.02	21.74	127.81	104.65	21

28 to 30 per cent, was with the rations containing cottonseed hulls and oat hulls, rations of low energy value. Low retention of protein also occurred with the alfalfa leaf meal rations.

The utilization of protein will be discussed more fully in a subsequent publication.

### Acknowledgment

Chemical analyses and other work were done by S. E. Asbury, J. W. May, G. L. Smith, Velma Graham, and other members of the staff.

### Summary

The gains of fat and flesh by young growing chickens during a period of 3 weeks on a standard ration containing corn meal were compared with the gains made by similar chickens at the same time and under the same conditions fed on similar rations in which other feeds were substituted for corn meal or corn meal and casein.

The gains were ascertained by chemical analyses of representative chickens at the beginning of the experiment, and of those on experiment at the end. The values of the feeds tested for producing gains of flesh and fat were expressed in Calories and termed the productive energy. A preliminary calculation of the productive energy of the feeds tested relative to that of corn meal enabled the productive energy of the corn meal to be calculated from the results of a preceding set of experiments in which the productive energy of a mixed ration was determined.

The productive energy of corn meal finally decided upon was 3.00 Calories per gram of effective digestible constituents, 2.40 Calories per gram of corn meal and 2.63 Calories per gram of effective organic constituents.

The average productive energy of the feeds studied was alfalfa leaf meal 241 Calories per 100 grams of effective digestible nutrients, dried buttermilk 243 Calories, casein 298 Calories, corn meal 300 Calories, cottonseed meal 280 Calories, tankage 240 Calories and wheat gray shorts 270 Calories.

The productive energy of the effective organic constituents and of the metabolizable energy are also given.

The productive energy values of a few other feeds are given.

A high protein content of the ration does not seem to affect the utilization of the energy of the ration by the chick.

The utilization of protein in the ration by chicks is discussed briefly.

### REFERENCES

- Armsby, H. P. 1917. The Nutrition of Farm Animals. The Macmillan Company, New York.
- Fraps, G. S. 1913. Principles of Agricultural Chemistry. Chemical Publishing Company, Easton, Pennsylvania.
- Fraps, G. S. 1928. Digestion and production coefficients of poultry feeds. Tex. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 372. 24 pages.
- Fraps, G. S. 1931. Productive energy of feeds calculated from feeding experiments with sheep. Tex. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 436. 56 pages.
- Fraps, G. S. 1937. Practical applications of productive energy values to problems concerning feeds and feeding. Proc. Am. Soc. An. Production. 1937. 20-26.
- Fraps, G. S. and Carlyle, E. C. 1939. The utilization of the energy of feed by growing chicks. Tex. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 571. 44 pages.
- Fraps, G. S. and Carlyle, E. C. 1939. Utilization of the energy of wheat products by chickens. Jour. Nutr. 18:385-398.
- Fraps, G. S. and Carlyle, E. C. 1939. Energy values of corn bran, rice polish, and rye flour as measured by experiments on baby chicks. Proc. Amer. Soc. Animal Production. 1939, 396-399.
- Fraps, G. S. and Carlyle, E. C. 1939. Relation of gain in weight to gain in energy content of growing chicks. Jour. Agr. Res. 59:777-781.
- Fraps, G. S., Carlyle, E. C., and Fudge, J. F. 1940. Metabolizable energy of some chicken feeds. Tex. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 589. 23 pages.
- Jones, J. M. and Stangel, W. L. 1938. Effect of calcium supplements on lambs fed sorghum fodder or sorghum silage as the roughage portion of the fattening ration. Tex. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 563. 47 pages.
- 12. Kellner, O. 1905. Die ernahrung der landwirthschaftlichen nutztiere.