nature energy ARTICLES https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00813-v

Check for updates

órium - SZTE - Repository o

Operando cathode activation with alkali metal cations for high current density operation of water-fed zero-gap carbon dioxide electrolysers

B. Endrődi¹[™], A. Samu¹, E. Kecsenovity¹, T. Halmágyi¹, D. Sebők² and C. Janáky^{D,3}[™]

Continuous-flow electrolysers allow CO₂ reduction at industrially relevant rates, but long-term operation is still challenging. One reason for this is the formation of precipitates in the porous cathode from the alkaline electrolyte and the CO₂ feed. Here we show that while precipitate formation is detrimental for the long-term stability, the presence of alkali metal cations at the cathode improves performance. To overcome this contradiction, we develop an operando activation and regeneration process, where the cathode of a zero-gap electrolyser cell is periodically infused with alkali cation-containing solutions. This enables deionized water-fed electrolysers to operate at a CO₂ reduction rate matching those using alkaline electrolytes (CO partial current density of 420 ± 50 mA cm⁻² for over 200 hours). We deconvolute the complex effects of activation and validate the concept with five different electrolytes and three different commercial membranes. Finally, we demonstrate the scalability of this approach on a multicell electrolyser stack, with an active area of 100 cm² per cell.

he capture, storage and utilization of carbon dioxide (CO_2) has entered centre stage in the past decade¹. Among other strategies, notable attention is devoted to its electrochemical valorization^{2,3}, allowing simultaneous decrease in the amounts of CO_2 emitted and the production of raw chemicals, such as carbon monoxide (CO) or formic acid (HCOOH). Employing renewable electricity, the industrial implementation of this technology might be a step in closing the artificial carbon cycle^{4,5}. For industrialization, however, several requirements must be fulfilled simultaneously, such as operation at high current density (*j*), high energy efficiency (EE), high product selectivity (Faradaic efficiency, FE) and long-term stability^{6,7}. Besides the catalysts^{8,9}, electrolyser cell design is equally important in determining these parameters¹⁰.

To achieve high reaction rate, CO_2 reduction (CO_2R) must be performed in continuous-flow electrolysers, encompassing gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs). In such devices, CO_2 gas is fed through a porous cathode support to the catalyst (which together form the GDE)^{11,12}. This leads to a thin hydrodynamic boundary layer (a few tens of nm) through which CO_2 must diffuse to reach the catalyst (in contrast to aqueous solutions), allowing high current density operation¹². Two different types of low-temperature electrolyser cells are widely applied: fuel-cell-like (zero-gap) set-ups, operating without liquid catholyte, where catalyst layers are only separated by a membrane; and microfluidic cells, with continuous liquid electrolyte feed(s). In the latter case, either separate anolyte and catholyte are fed to membrane-separated electrodes, or one solution flows between the anode and the cathode².

In microfluidic cells, highly alkaline conditions allow high current density (>1 A cm⁻²) production of CO¹³, ethylene^{14,15}, methane^{16,17} and multi-carbon products¹⁸. While these results are very promising, the scale-up of microfluidic electrolysers seems challenging². In our opinion, the use of zero-gap electrolyser cells is more promising for industrial applications. Such set-ups also offer a straightforward implementation of alternative anode reactions, such as glycerol oxidation, to form high-value products on both electrodes, with high EE^{19-21} .

Anion-exchanging polyelectrolyte membranes (AEM) are typically used as separators in zero-gap electrolyser cells to avoid high local H⁺ concentration and therefore excessive H₂ evolution on the cathode. The direction of ion conduction is from the cathode to the anode (through the membrane), which is maintained partially by the electrogenerated OH⁻ ions, but mostly by CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^{-} ions formed in the reaction of CO_2 and OH⁻ (refs. ^{22,23}). Consequently, AEM-based operation should be independent of whether an alkaline solution or pure water is fed to the anode (given that the anode catalyst functions in both media). Still, in contrast to the wealth of alkaline studies^{10,22-26}, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study in the literature where a zero-gap electrolyser cell with a commercial membrane is operated with water anolyte at high CO_2R current. In one single study, high current density was ensured using an experimental membrane²⁷.

In this study, our aim was to understand which parameters dictate high reaction rate and product selectivity in AEM-based zero-gap electrolysers and why it is generally required to use concentrated alkaline anolytes²⁸. Cation cross-over from the anode to the cathode was identified as a major contributor to the high performance, but it also resulted in precipitate formation in the cathode GDE. To turn this challenge into an opportunity, we designed a scalable process and experimental set-up to operate electrolyser cells with different commercial membranes and pure water anolyte at high current density, by periodically infusing the cathode with different alkali cation-containing solutions.

Performance fading due to precipitate formation

After longer operation of a zero-gap electrolyser cell (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1) with alkaline anolyte, salt precipitates at the cathode, gradually decreasing its performance^{24,25,29}. We also experienced this phenomenon (Supplementary Note 1) in the form of

¹Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, Interdisciplinary Excellence Centre, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary. ²Department of Applied and Environmental Chemistry, Interdisciplinary Excellence Centre, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary. ³ThalesNanoEnergy Zrt, Szeged, Hungary. ¹MalesNanoEnergy Zrt, Szeged, Hungary. ¹MalesNanoEnergy Zrt, Szeged, Hungary. ¹Department of Physical Chemistry, Interdisciplinary Excellence Centre, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary. ³ThalesNanoEnergy Zrt, Szeged, Hungary. ¹MalesNanoEnergy Zrt, Sz



Fig. 1 Unintended cation cross-over and precipitate formation in alkaline anolyte-fed zero-gap CO₂ electrolysers. a, Schematic illustration of the operation of an AEM-separated zero-gap CO₂ electrolyser cell with alkaline anolyte. **b**, Ion-chromatographic quantification of K⁺ and Cs⁺ cross-over through different commercially available AEMs during CO₂ electrolysis in a zero-gap electrolyser cell. **c**.**d**, Cross-section SEM-EDX (**c**) and micro-CT images (**d**) of a GDE after continuous CO₂ electrolysis in a zero-gap cell (T = 50 °C, 1M KOH anolyte, $\Delta U = 3.0$ V). The red and green colours in the SEM-EDX and micro-CT images represent Ag and K atoms, respectively. Experiments were repeated on separate cell assemblies independently three times, with similar results.

continuous product formation current decrease (Supplementary Fig. 2a) and/or pressure build-up. The formation of KHCO₃ and $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3$ ·1.5H₂O precipitate (Supplementary Fig. 2b) was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis and subsequent Rietveld refinement (Supplementary Fig. 2c). The second compound typically forms through the CO₂ sorption of K₂CO₃, when large excess of CO₂ is available^{30,31}. This precipitate forms because of the unintended cation cross-over through the AEM from the anolyte to the cathode (as verified by ion chromatography (Fig. 1b)), where it reacts with the CO₂ feed and the electrogenerated OH⁻.

Scanning electron microscopy–energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis (SEM–EDX) and computed microtomography (micro-CT) studies revealed that precipitation occurs not only on the backside of the GDE (that is, in the gas flow channels), but also in its deeper regions (Fig. 1c). A compact layer of the precipitate was observed in the microporous layer and at the junction of the microporous and macroporous layers (see Supplementary Figs. 3–5, and discussion therein). This hampers CO_2 from reaching the catalyst surface, explaining the continuously decreasing product formation rate (Supplementary Fig. 6).

The state-of-the-art method to overcome this issue is to rinse the cathode with water^{24,32,33}. The performance of the cell, however, cannot be fully restored using this method, as water can only wash the deposits from the backside of the GDE, and cannot penetrate the hydrophobic gas diffusion layer (GDL), unless excessive force (pressure) is applied. Such pressure would in turn damage the GDE structure, causing electrode flooding^{24,34}. Decreasing the anolyte concentration extends the operation to prolonged timescales. The cross-over of K⁺ or Cs⁺ ions (Fig. 1b), and the consequent precipitate formation and performance fading still occur (see Supplementary Fig. 6 and discussion therein). Increasing the humidity of the CO₂ gas stream is another alternative mitigation strategy, but it changes the overall operation of the cell^{24,29}. An elegant solution to this problem would be to operate such electrolyser cells with pure water anolyte, inherently preventing precipitate formation in the cathode GDE.

Operation of CO₂ electrolysers with pure water anolyte

Using pure water as anolyte instead of 0.1 M KOH the current density drops to one-third (100 mA cm⁻² versus 300 mA cm⁻²; Supplementary Fig. 7a). This large difference appears also in the low frequency limit of the impedance spectra (Supplementary Fig. 7b), which is a measure of the derivative of the current-voltage curve. In contrast, the high frequency limits do not differ much, being around $1 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ for both, which is comparable with the values reported for similar systems^{10,27}. This value is related to the total cell resistance, including the membrane, the contribution of which to the total impedance spectra was found to be ohmic in our control experiments (Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Fig. 8). The similarity in this value is not surprising, since the membrane conductance is mostly affected by the operational conditions and the charge-transporting ions^{35,36}. Analysing the anode gas composition and the complete mass balance of the process, CO32- was identified as the majority charge carrier through the AEM, irrespective of the anolyte used (Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 9). The transference number of the cations remains in the range $10^{-4}\text{--}10^{-5}$ for both Cs⁺ and K⁺, which practically excludes their contribution to the membrane conductivity (see Fig. 1b and related discussion in Supplementary Note 4).

As both the membrane resistance and the charge carriers are the same in the two cases, the big difference in the currents with and without KOH in the anolyte must be attributed to changes at the cathode and/or anode interfaces. With pure water anolyte, two arcs can be observed on the impedance spectra (Supplementary Fig. 7b). In general, these are due to interfacial capacitances and charge transfer resistances on any of the electrodes; their exact origin is



Fig. 2 | Schematic piping and instrumentation diagram of the test framework employed. In the inset (top), '1' shows the default positions of the manual valves, forming a continuous gas path to the cell and bypassing the activation loop. Turning the valves into position '2', the gas is driven through the activation loop, carrying the activation fluid into the cell.

beyond the present scope of this study. Notably, the sum of the spans ('diameters') of these arcs is about three times larger when the cell is operated with pure water anolyte instead of 0.1 M KOH, indicating a higher total charge transfer resistance of the electrode processes. Notably, in a zero-gap cell the effect of the membrane and the catalyst/ionomer layer cannot be fully separated. In all our analyses, we consider the ionomer and the catalyst/ionomer/membrane interfaces to belong to the 'catalyst' and not to the membrane.

Activating the cathode catalyst by infusion with alkali cation-containing solutions

Considering the results of previous studies on the promoting effect of alkali cations in electrochemical CO_2R^{37-44} , cation permeation through the membrane from the anolyte might contribute to achieving high reaction rates (this is absent in the case of water-based operation). In microfluidic electrolysers operating with liquid catholyte, alkali ions are inherently present around the cathode catalyst, which might (partly) explain why these systems outperform their zero-gap counterparts in terms of the achievable current densities^{13,45}. Furthermore, a long activation period (~60 min) was observed for zero-gap cells operated with dilute alkaline anolytes (Supplementary Fig. 10), indicating that cations slowly crossing the AEM (note the small transference number in Fig. 1b) and reaching the cathode surface have a boosting effect on the operation.

ARTICLES

To validate this notion, we designed a process and an experimental set-up allowing the injection of electrolyte solutions into the CO_2 feed, which is, in turn, pushed through the cathode GDE by the gas stream (Fig. 2). This solution infusion method (referred to hereafter as 'regeneration') allows the efficient removal of the precipitate potentially formed in the GDE (Fig. 1c), and also introduces alkali metal cations to the cathode (referred to hereafter as 'activation'). Repeatedly performing the activation, each new portion of the solution dissolves any precipitate from the GDE that is incidentally formed in/after the previous activations. During the solution infusion, the CO_2 gas feed pushes the 'solution plug' through the cell, and therefore there is only a limited contact area between the solution and the gas where precipitate might form in situ. This leads to a constant presence of the activating ions at the cathode GDE, but avoids accumulation.

To enable the electrolyte solution to reach the catalyst layer, the composition of the solvent mixture must be tailored to the wetting properties of the GDE. Contact angles were determined for a series of solvent mixtures (Fig. 3a). As the GDL in our case is a hydrophobic carbon paper, a solvent mixture of 25 v/v% isopropanol in water was employed for further studies. This wets the GDE properly without dissolving its polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) content or damaging the AEM (at least within the studied timeframe), and it dissolves the studied salts.

Upon activating the cathode GDE with 10 cm³ (approximately 50 times the free volume of the cathode compartment) of 0.5 M KOH solution, and meanwhile operating the electrolyser cell with pure water anolyte, a threefold increase in the CO formation rate (j_{CO}) was observed (Fig. 3b-d). For the PiperION membrane (Fig. 3c), $j_{co} = 500-550 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ represents higher current density than the state-of-the-art water anolyte-operated CO₂ electrolyser cell²⁷. The current does not drop after the activating solution leaves the cell, but remains relatively stable, with a slow decay (discussed later). Importantly, this effect was very similar for three commercial membranes (Sustainion X37-50, PiperION TP-85, Fumasep FAB-PK-130) of very diverse chemical composition, thickness, etc. Except for a short transient period, the CO formation selectivity does not drop upon excess liquid injection in the cathode compartment, and it even increases for Sustainion (Fig. 3b, from 70 to 85% FE) and PiperION (Fig. 3c, from 55 to 85% FE) membrane-containing cells.

For comparison, we performed similar activation experiments with pure aqueous solutions (that is, no isopropanol content). A less pronounced activation was seen, albeit with very large variance (Supplementary Fig. 11). This is rooted in the hydrophobicity of the GDE, which only allows the aqueous activation solution to reach the catalyst surface if numerous imperfections (for example, cracks) are present in the structure. Again, tailoring the solvent mixture is crucial for wetting the deeper regions of the GDE without damaging its structure.

Another important aspect is the necessity of the electric field during the activation: if we inject the activation solution without polarization and start the electrolysis subsequently, there is only a minor current increase. This indicates that, beyond simple physical interactions, electrosorption of the cations on the negatively polarized Ag catalyst particles might be a major contributor.

Mechanism of the activation process

Performing the cathode activation on the water-fed cell increases the current to a level almost identical to that measured with 0.1 M KOH anolyte (Fig. 4a). While the high-frequency impedance of the water-fed cell remains unchanged, the gross charge transfer resistance decreases to a similar value to that detected with alkaline anolyte (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 12, with further discussion in Supplementary Note 5). As the same anode catalyst (IrO_x) is routinely employed in proton-exchange membrane water electrolysers at high current densities (1–3 A cm⁻²)⁴⁶, it is reasonable to assume



Fig. 3 | Cathode activation using different commercially available AEMs. a, Contact angles of different water/isopropanol solvent mixtures on the microporous side of a Sigracet 39BC GDL. **b-d**, Chronoamperometric curves and CO formation partial current densities ($T_{cathode} = 60 \,^{\circ}C$, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹ CO₂ feed rate, pure water anolyte) measured using Sustainion X37-50 (ΔU = 3.1V) (**b**), PTFE-reinforced 15-µm thick PiperION TP-85 (ΔU = 3.2V) and Fumasep FAB-PK-130 (ΔU = 3.1V) AEMs (**c**). Solutions of 10 cm³ of 0.5 M KOH (for **b** and **d**) or 0.5 M CsOH (**c**) in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture were used to activate the cathode at the times marked with asterisks in the figures. Experiments were repeated on separate cell assemblies independently at least three times, with similar results. The values in **a** are the mean value of three independent measurements, together with the calculated standard deviations.

that this difference is mostly related to the cathode catalyst. To safely exclude the contribution of the anode process (Supplementary Note 6), we have recorded polarization curves of IrO_x /PiperION ionomer layers in different electrolyte solutions (that is, NaOH, KOH, CsOH; Supplementary Fig. 13a). The polarization curves overlap, showing that the electroactivity of IrO_x is not affected by these cations detectably. This confirms that the eventual penetration of small amounts of the activation solution to the anode side cannot be responsible for the enhanced performance.

The activation is fully reversible: rinsing the cathode GDE with an isopropanol/water mixture (without any dissolved electrolyte) in the absence of polarization restores the current to its initial low value within 1 min, which can be increased again by repeating the activation (Fig. 4c). This repeatable instantaneous activationdeactivation further suggests that the performance enhancement is mostly related to the change in the cathode reaction kinetics. If the electrolyte penetration to the anode side (upon activation) were a major contributor, a single rinsing of the cathode side could not have such a rapid effect. Additional control experiments were carried out without anolyte recirculation, to avoid the possible accumulation of cations or change in the pH. The activation phenomenon occurred in these cases as well (Supplementary Fig. 13b). All these observations together imply that the activation mostly affects the cathode interface.

To take a closer look at processes occurring during activation, we followed the product stream composition in quasi-real time via mass spectrometry, while also monitoring the pressure in the CO_2

inlet piping (Fig. 4d). The pressure trace shows that it takes about 50 seconds for the activating solution to leave the cell (indicated by a rapid pressure drop after the initial increase). While the cathode compartment is filled with the activation fluid it is deprived of CO_2 , resulting in high H₂ formation rate and decreased CO_2 and CO partial pressures in the product gas stream (lower panel of Fig. 4d). When the liquid leaves the cell, the CO partial pressure (hence j_{CO}) immediately increases, and meanwhile the H₂ concentration decreases. Both stabilize in 2 minutes after the activation, demonstrating that the activation is instantaneous (and therefore related to the cathode GDE and its interface with the AEM). Finally, the activated state does not diminish rapidly, which would be expected if entrapped cations leaving the AEM were mostly responsible for the activation, as their amount could only be finite in the thin membranes.

Factors determining the efficiency of the cathode activation

The activation process influences the pH and ionic strength at the cathode (at least temporarily), and the infused ions can also adsorb onto the catalyst surface. To separate the effects of these parameters, we performed two sets of experiments: one in which different alkali metal hydroxides (that is, different cations) were employed (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 14a,c), and another in which solutions with constant K⁺ concentration, but with different anions, were injected (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 14b,d). The activation becomes more pronounced in the order NaOH << KOH < CsOH,

ARTICLES



Fig. 4 | Mechanism and reversibility of cathode activation. a,b, Chronoamperometric curves (**a**) and EIS spectra (**b**) recorded before and after activating the cathode GDE with 10 cm³ of 0.5 M KOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture (Sustainion membrane. $\Delta U = 3.1$ V, $T_{cathode} = 60$ °C, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹ CO₂ feed rate). ReZ and ImZ denote the real and imaginary compontents of the impedance (Z). **c**, Chronoamperometric curve and CO formation partial current density measured under identical conditions as in **a**. The cathode GDE was activated at the marked times with 10 cm³ of 0.5 M KOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture to deactivate it. **d**, Time-resolved current density and product stream composition change during, and immediately after, activating the electrolyser cell (with 3 cm³ of 1M CsOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture, $\Delta U = 3.2$ V, $T_{cathode} = 60$ °C, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹ CO₂. PiperION membrane). In the upper panel, the pressure in the CO₂ inlet pipe during activation is indicated. Experiments were repeated on separate cell assemblies independently at least three times, with similar results.

whether considering the j_{CO} values (Fig. 5a), the onset voltage or the slope of the linear sweep voltammetry curves (Supplementary Fig. 14a) or the decrease in the arc diameter in the impedance spectra (Supplementary Fig. 14c). As the pH and ionic strength of these solutions are identical, these measurements directly prove the promoting effect of K⁺ and Cs⁺ ions, and the less considerable effect of Na⁺ ions in the electrochemical CO₂R, in accordance with theoretical^{37,41,42} and experimental results^{38–40,43,44}. The same trend was found when operating the same cell with NaOH, KOH and CsOH anolytes (Supplementary Fig. 15).

To investigate the effect of the local pH change caused by the activation, solutions of different potassium salts were infused. Although some differences can be seen, they are less striking compared to the case of different cations (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 14b,d). The current density increase followed the order $\text{KNO}_3 < \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 < \text{KOH}$, suggesting that the effect of the local pH during the activation cannot be fully ruled out. The same trend was found for the double-layer capacitance of the catalyst/ionomer layer studied in the solution of these salts (Supplementary Fig. 16). These differences might stem from the pH-dependent structure of the ionomer (it is a polyelectrolyte) or from the differences in the double-layer structure (and therefore the specific capacitance) in the different solutions. In any case, this can affect the cation adsorption process during the transient period of infusion, and, in turn, the amount of adsorbed alkali metal cations.

The activation efficiency scales with the concentration (up to 0.5 M, Supplementary Fig. 17) and volume (up to 2 cm^3 , Supplementary Fig. 18) of the electrolyte solution. Finally, the

NATURE ENERGY | VOL 6 | APRIL 2021 | 439-448 | www.nature.com/natureenergy

membrane and gross interfacial charge transfer resistance decreases continuously with the increasing temperature (Supplementary Fig. 19), indicating room for further performance enhancement through careful process engineering.

Long-term operation

Long-term electrolysis with pure water feed at the anode was performed with a PiperION membrane-containing cell for 224 hours (Fig. 6a,b). Meanwhile the cathode was activated with 5 cm^3 of 1.0 MCsOH solution after every 12 hours. The initial (before activation) measured $j_{CO} \approx 120 \,\mathrm{mA}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ increased to $j_{CO} \approx 350 \,\mathrm{mA}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ after the first activation. This increased further when the activation was repeated after 24 and 36 hours, and subsequently it remained stable at $j_{CO} = 420 \pm 50 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ (with ~90% FE_{CO}) for over 200 hours (eight days) of continuous operation. The regular spikes on the chronoamperometric curve (every 12 hours) belong to the activation processes (Fig. 6a), while the initial spikes (in the first 25 hours) represent irregular water release from the cell. Clearly, there is an initial period when the water management of the cell stabilizes. The FE_{co} first decreases during the activation steps, as the large transient current is associated with the increased H₂ evolution (see also Fig. 4d). FE_{CO} is then restored (or even increased), while j_{CO} increases compared to its value before activation. The single-pass conversion was calculated to be 23% (see calculations in Supplementary Note 7), about 11 times larger that of the reported state-of-the-art water-based CO2 electrolyser27. A similar experiment was performed with the Sustainion X37-50 membrane, where the electrolyser



Fig. 5 | **Deconvolution of the complex effect of the activating electrolyte. a,b**, Partial current densities for CO and H₂ production during constant-voltage electrolysis with water anolyte, after cathode activation using 10 cm³ solution (in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture) of different alkali metal hydroxides (c = 0.5 M) (**a**) and different potassium salts ($c(K^+)$ = 0.5 M) (**b**). The cell was operated at ΔU = 3.1 V, $T_{cathode}$ = 60 °C with 12.5 cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹ CO₂ feed rate, using a Sustainion membrane in the cell. The plotted values are the mean from three gas composition measurements (gas chromatography analysis), together with the calculated standard deviations. All experiments were repeated independently on separate cell assemblies three times, with similar results.



Fig. 6 | Long-term operation of a CO₂ electrolyser with water anolyte and periodic activation. a,**b**, Total current density (**a**) and CO and H₂ partial current densities (**b**) during constant-voltage electrolysis, using a PTFE-reinforced 15- μ m thick PiperION TP-85 membrane-separated cell (ΔU = 3.2 V, T = 60 °C water anolyte, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹ CO₂ feed rate). The cathode was activated with 5 cm³ of 1 M CsOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture after every 12 h of the electrolysis. Long-term experiments (over 100 h) were repeated on separate cell assemblies independently five times, with similar results.

was operated for 10 hours. After activation, the $j_{\rm CO}$ increased from 120 mA cm⁻² to 220 mA cm⁻², which has not changed in the first five hours, followed by a slight decrease to $j_{\rm CO} \approx 200$ mA cm⁻² (Supplementary Fig. 20).

Importantly, no physical precipitate formation was observed in the cells, which were activated repeatedly (see an example for a cell operated for over 100 hours in Supplementary Note 8 and Supplementary Fig. 21). The lack of precipitate formation is attributed to the inherent nature of the activation process, where the applied solvent mixture can dissolve and remove the previously formed precipitate crystals. Therefore, while a small amount of alkali cations is present after the activation, no accumulation occurs. The presence of cations in the cathode GDE can also be ensured by other methods. For example, controlled cross-over from the anolyte can provide the proper amount of alkali cations to the cathode, especially if combined with periodic regeneration of the cathode with the proper solvent mixture (Supplementary Fig. 6). As a further example, the Ag catalyst layer can be deposited from alkali metal ion-containing suspensions. In this way, the promoter ions are inherently present on the catalyst surface and within the ionomer layer. The electrochemical performance and impedance spectrum of the electrolyser cell assembled with this catalyst layer, and operated with water anolyte, are similar to those of the activated cells, presented previously. The performance, however, decreased

NATURE ENERGY

ARTICLES



Fig. 7 | Cathode activation experiments in larger electrolyser cells and stack. a,b, Chronoamperometric measurements on a single-layer electrolyser cell of area $A = 100 \text{ cm}^2$ (T = 60 °C, water anolyte, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻²min⁻¹ CO₂ feed) at $\Delta U = 3.3 \text{ V}$ (**a**) and $\Delta U = 3.5 \text{ V}$ (**b**). **c**, CO partial current densities 10 min after performing the cathode activation (20 cm³ of 1 M CsOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture) and the ratio of CO formation partial current densities 90 and 10 min after performing the cathode activation, recorded at different cell voltages. **d**, Chronoamperometric measurement on a three-layer electrolyser cell stack of $A = 100 \text{ cm}^2$ (T = 60 °C, water anolyte, 12.5 cm³ cm⁻²min⁻¹ CO₂ feed, cathode activation with 60 cm³ of 1 M CsOH solution in 1:3 isopropanol/water mixture) at $\Delta U = 9.9 \text{ V}$. Experiments were repeated on separate cell assemblies independently three times, with similar results.

with time, as the K^+ ions gradually leached out from the catalyst layer (Supplementary Fig. 22).

Operating larger cells and cell stacks with water anolyte

The scalability of the cathode activation approach was demonstrated on a cell having an active surface area of 100 cm², and on a three-layer electrolyser stack formed therefrom (Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. 23). The cathode activation boosted the j_{CO} in all experiments, and j_{CO} also increased gradually with the applied cell voltage (Fig. 7a–c). Importantly, j_{CO} =450 mA cm⁻² was measured at ΔU =3.2V (Fig. 7c), which rivals the results recorded on our smaller cell (Figs. 3c and 6). This value further increased to j_{CO} =650 mA cm⁻² at ΔU =3.5V (Fig. 7b), which is close to the best value measured in the same electrolyser cell using 0.1 M CsOH anolyte¹⁰. Importantly, the rate of the current decay after the activation decreased with the increasing cell voltage (Fig. 7c)). This again confirms that the activation occurs via the electrosorption of the cations at the negatively polarized cathode.

The activation was also performed in a three-cell stack at ΔU =9.9 V stack voltage (Fig. 7d), translating to ΔU =3.3 V cell voltage for comparability with the single cell. The activation increased j_{CO} to over 500 mA cm⁻², a current density even slightly higher than that measured in the single-layer cell (Fig. 7a). One key challenge

during scale-up is the increased resistance of the cell (stack) against the flow of gas and the activation fluid during infusion. Therefore, we have monitored the pressure build-up on the cell (cell stack). It was indeed higher than in the case of the small cell (0.8 bar for the small cell, ~2 bar for the large cell and ~3 bar for the cell stack, see Supplementary Fig. 22), but not detrimental at all. Finally, the cell design was not optimized for this activation process, leaving a lot of room for improvement. In this regard, scale-up and optimization of such electrolyser stacks are ongoing (see Supplementary Fig. 23 for a stack of size 1,000 cm² per cell).

Conclusions

We uncovered some microscopic/mechanistic reasons behind the performance fading in zero-gap CO_2 electrolyser cells. SEM–EDX and micro-CT analysis confirmed the formation of alkali metal bicarbonate and mixed carbonate–bicarbonate precipitate plaques within the cathode GDE of cells operating with alkaline anolyte, hindering CO_2 gas from reaching the catalyst surface. In an apparent contradiction to this, we identified the presence of alkali metal ions on the cathode catalyst surface to be a major contributor for high current density CO_2 reduction. To overcome this ambiguity, we developed an operando activation method, where the cathode of pure water anolyte-fed CO_2 electrolyser cell is infused periodically with different alkali cation-containing solutions with proper

ARTICLES

wetting properties. We have shown that the activation predominantly affects the cathode chemistry and has negligible effect on the anode process and the AEM properties.

The presented proof-of-concept demonstrates scalable water-fed electrolyser cells operating at CO₂ reduction rates matching those using alkaline electrolytes (j_{CO} of $420 \pm 50 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$) over prolonged times (over 200 hours). The activation is repeatable, implying that the observed slow current decrease over time is not caused by any degradation mechanism, but by the continuous desorption and leaching of the alkali cations from the cathode catalyst layer during operation. The rate of performance decay depends on the cathode GDE composition (for example, ionomer composition and content, thickness and porosity) and on operational parameters, such as humidification of the CO₂ stream, water cross-over through the membrane, cell voltage, temperature, current density, etc. Optimizing these parameters is a major engineering task, which in turn might bring a big reward: the ability to operate zero-gap CO₂ electrolyser cells with pure water anolyte at high current density, with negligible maintenance requirements. Such studies are in progress in our laboratory, together with further scaling-up of the technology.

Methods

Materials. All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich, VWR International), and were of at least analytical grade and were used without further purification. MilliQ grade (ρ =18.2 M Ω cm) ultrapure deionized water was used to prepare all the solutions.

Electrode preparation. Silver nanoparticles ($d_{wg} < 100 \text{ nm}$, Sigma-Aldrich) were dispersed in a 1:1 isopropanol/water mixture at a concentration of 25 mg cm⁻³, together with 15 wt% ionomer, matching the membrane used (Sustainion XA-9, Fumion FAA-3, PiperION I-46). As for the anode catalyst, IrO_x nanoparticles (Fuel-Cell Store) were dispersed in an identical solvent mixture and ionomer concentration, but with a concentration of 20 mg cm⁻³. The IrO_x dispersion was homogenized in a regular ultrasonic bath for 20 min (keeping the bath temperature below 35 °C), while a high-power immersion sonotrode was used to disperse the Ag nanoparticles.

The Ag dispersion was spray-coated on Sigracet 39BC carbon GDLs preheated on a hotplate at 100 °C, using a hand-held airbrush and compressed air carrier gas (~100 cm³min⁻¹). The anode catalyst was spray-coated similarly, on a porous titanium frit. The cathode catalyst loading was 1.0 ± 0.1 mg cm⁻² for the measurements with the PiperION membrane, and it was 3.0 ± 0.1 mg cm⁻² for the other systems, while the anode catalyst amount was 1.0 ± 0.1 mg cm⁻².

Commercially available AEMs were used to separate the anode and the cathode chambers (Class T X37-50 Sustainion (50-µm thickness) from Dioxide Materials; Fumasep FAB-PK-130 (130-µm thickness) from FUMATECH BWT; PTFE-reinforced 15-µm thick PiperION TP-85 from W7energy). The membranes were ion-exchanged before use for 24 hours in the respective 1 M alkaline solution (NaOH/KOH/CsOH), which was exchanged to a fresh solution after the first 5 hours.

Zero-gap electrolyser cells. All experiments presented in this paper were performed in zero-gap electrolyser cells. These consist of (from bottom to top in Supplementary Fig. 1): an anode current collector on which an anolyte flow pattern was formed, a porous Ti frit with catalyst layer on its side in direct contact with the AEM, held in place by a spacer element, an AEM (different membranes were used in our measurements, as described in the manuscript), a GDE with its catalyst laver facing the membrane, a spacer element to set the compression ratio of the GDE and a cathode current collector with a concentric flow pattern with a central inlet, and an outlet on the perimeter of the flow pattern. The electrolyser elements were assembled directly on top of each other and were held together by six bolt screws (3 Nm torque was applied). The active surface is circular, of diameter 3.2 cm, resulting in a geometrical surface of area, $A = 8 \text{ cm}^2$, the value of which was used to normalize the current values reported here. A larger $(A = 100 \text{ cm}^2)$ cell was also used to demonstrate the scalability of our approach. The design of this cell is very similar to its smaller counterpart, but instead of being spherical, it is rectangular in shape. A stack containing three cells was connected in series electrically and in parallel with regards to gas distribution^{10,24}.

Test framework. The test framework (Fig. 2) was based on our previously reported experimental set-up²⁴. Briefly, the CO₂ feed rate was controlled with a Bronkhorst F-201C type mass-flow controller, while an Agilent ADM flow meter was used to measure the flow rate of the gas outlet. The accuracy of this measurement was confirmed by repeating these measurements with the classical bubble-soap method periodically. The gas flow rate was normalized with the surface area of

the cell (hence the units of cm³ cm⁻² min⁻¹). The CO₂ gas was passed through a temperature-controlled humidifier before entering the cell. An extra loop was inserted before the electrolyser to be able to inject electrolyte solutions into the gas stream. This activation loop can be bypassed by turning two three-way valves. After filling the loop with the respective electrolyte solution, it can be injected into the gas stream by turning these two valves. The pressure of the CO₂ in the electrolyser was measured using a digital pressure gauge. The anolyte was circulated in the anode compartment using a peristaltic pump. The electrochemical measurements were performed using a Biologic VMP-300 type instrument, equipped with electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)- and high current (up to 10 A) booster options. All the experiments presented here (including the EIS measurements) were performed using the potentiostat with the booster connected. For the measurements with the larger electrolyser cell and stack, a programmable power supply (TDK-Lambda GEN-15-220) was used.

The measurements were conducted in a two-electrode set-up, and the total cell voltage is given as the voltage difference between the anode and the cathode (hence the positive values). The absolute value of the current/current density is shown (positive values) in all figures. No *IR* correction was applied on the voltage values presented throughout the manuscript. EIS spectra have been taken at constant cell voltage with added 10 mV r.m.s. perturbation in the frequency range 100 kHz down to 0.1 Hz, with 10 points per frequency decade. The self-consistency of the EIS data was checked by performing Kramers–Kronig tests. Impedance spectra are presented in the form of complex-plane plots ('Nyquist plots') where the points of $f = 10^{t}$ Hz frequencies (where *k* is an integer, $-1 \le k \le 5$) are marked. To help in distinguishing spectra they are shifted along the ordinate.

The composition of the cathode product stream was analysed using a Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus type instrument, equipped with a barrier discharge ionization (BID) detector. A Restek ShinCarbon ST column was employed for the separation with 6.0 grade helium carrier gas. An automatized six-port valve was used to take samples at regular time intervals. In certain experiments the product stream composition was simultaneously monitored using an *m*/*z* analyser (SRS UGA200) equipped with an atmospheric sampling capillary. This technique allowed the quasi-real-time determination of the product composition, hence providing time-resolved information on the processes occurring during and immediately after cathode activation. The anode gas composition was analysed with a BGA-244 type Binary Gas Analyser (Stanford Research Systems), to monitor the CO_2/O_2 ratio.

Membrane impedance measurements. A Zahner Im6e type instrument was used to characterize the membrane impedance in a four-electrode cell (Supplementary Fig. 8a). The EIS spectra were recorded in galvanostatic mode (I=0), with 1 mA perturbation amplitude, from 100 kHz to 1 Hz, recording twice 8 points per decade.

Contact angle measurements. An EasyDrop (Krüss) type instrument was used to measure the wetting properties (that is, contact angles) of different solvent mixtures on the microporous side of the Sigracet 39BC GDL used. A drop of the solvent mixture was formed on the plate with the use of a syringe. Using the CCD camera of the goniometer, the drop contour of the captured photographs was analysed.

X-ray micro-computed tomography analysis. A Bruker SkyScan 2211 Multiscale X-ray Nanotomograph (Bruker) instrument was used to record micro-CT images. The three-dimensional structure of the samples was scanned using an 11- megapixel CCD detector by applying a source voltage of 70kV and current of 400 μ A (in microfocus mode, with a resolution of 1 μ m per pixel). NRecon reconstruction software (Skyscan, Bruker) was used to reconstruct the projected images, while the CTAn and CTVox software (Skyscan, Bruker) were applied to carry out the image segmentation and visualizing the 3D-rendered objects, respectively.

SEM-EDX analysis. A Hitachi S-4700 scanning electron microscope (SEM) coupled with a Röntec EDX detector was used to take images of the GDEs. The microscope was operated at 10kV acceleration voltage.

X-ray diffraction measurements. X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer, applying CuK_{α} ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) radiation. Rietveld refinement was carried out to quantify the phase composition of the obtained materials.

Ion chromatography measurements. To gain direct proof on the K⁺ and Cs⁺ cross-over through the AEM, electrolysis experiments were performed with 0.01 M KOH and 0.01 M CsOH anolytes at 60 °C, and the humid gas leaving the cell was collected in a mechanical liquid/gas separator, initially filled with 10 cm³ of pure water. The K⁺ or Cs⁺ concentration of the fluid in this water separator was then monitored during electrolysis by using ion chromatography (Fig. 1b). All ion chromatography measurements were performed using a Shimadzu ion-chromatographic system, consisting of a high pressure chromatographic module (LC-20AD SP), an eluent degas module (DGU-20A5R), an autosampler (SIL-20AC), a conductivity detector for non-suppressed conductivity measurements (CDD-10A VP), a column oven to maintain constant 40 °C temperature (CTO-20AC) and a Shodex IC YS-50 column. Methanesulfonic acid (4mM) was used as eluent with a flow rate of 1.0 cm³ min⁻¹, and the sample volume was 50 µl.

NATURE ENERGY

ARTICLES

Data availability

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and Supplementary Information files. Source data are provided with this paper.

Received: 31 July 2020; Accepted: 8 March 2021; Published online: 19 April 2021

References

- Hepburn, C. et al. The technological and economic prospects for CO₂ utilization and removal. *Nature* 575, 87–97 (2019).
- Endrődi, B. et al. Continuous-flow electroreduction of carbon dioxide. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 62, 133–154 (2017).
- Weekes, D. M., Salvatore, D. A., Reyes, A., Huang, A. & Berlinguette, C. P. Electrolytic CO₂ reduction in a flow cell. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 51, 910–918 (2018).
- De Luna, P. et al. What would it take for renewably powered electrosynthesis to displace petrochemical processes? *Science* 364, eaav3506 (2019).
- He, J. & Janáky, C. Recent advances in solar-driven carbon dioxide conversion: expectations versus reality. ACS Energy Lett. 5, 1996–2014 (2020).
- Jouny, M., Luc, W. & Jiao, F. General techno-economic analysis of CO₂ electrolysis systems. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 57, 2165–2177 (2018).
- Verma, S. et al. A gross-margin model for defining technoeconomic benchmarks in the electroreduction of CO₂. *ChemSusChem* 9, 1972–1979 (2016).
- Schreier, M. et al. Solar conversion of CO₂ to CO using Earth-abundant electrocatalysts prepared by atomic layer modification of CuO. *Nat. Energy* 2, 17087 (2017).
- Arán-Ais, R. M., Scholten, F., Kunze, S., Rizo, R. & Roldan Cuenya, B. The role of in situ generated morphological motifs and Cu(1) species in C₂₊ product selectivity during CO₂ pulsed electroreduction. *Nat. Energy* 5, 317–325 (2020).
- Endrődi, B. et al. High carbonate ion conductance of a robust PiperION membrane allows industrial current density and conversion in a zero-gap carbon dioxide electrolyzer cell. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 13, 4098–4105 (2020).
- Liu, K., Smith, W. A. & Burdyny, T. Introductory guide to assembling and operating gas diffusion electrodes for electrochemical CO₂ reduction. ACS *Energy Lett.* 4, 639–643 (2019).
- Burdyny, T. & Smith, W. A. CO₂ reduction on gas-diffusion electrodes and why catalytic performance must be assessed at commercially-relevant conditions. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 12, 1442–1453 (2019).
- Bhargava, S. S. et al. System design rules for intensifying the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO on Ag nanoparticles. *ChemElectroChem* 7, 2001–2011 (2020).
- 14. Kibria, M. G. et al. A surface reconstruction route to high productivity and selectivity in CO_2 electroreduction toward C_{2+} hydrocarbons. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1804867 (2018).
- Ma, W. et al. Electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to ethylene and ethanol through hydrogen-assisted C–C coupling over fluorine-modified copper. *Nat. Catal.* 3, 478–487 (2020).
- De Gregorio, G. L. et al. Facet-dependent selectivity of Cu catalysts in electrochemical CO₂ reduction at commercially viable current densities. ACS Catal. 10, 4854–4862 (2020).
- 17. Wang, X. et al. Efficient methane electrosynthesis enabled by tuning local CO₂ availability. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **142**, 3525–3531 (2020).
- 18. García de Arquer, F. P. et al. CO_2 electrolysis to multicarbon products at activities greater than $1 \, A \, cm^{-2}$. Science **367**, 661–666 (2020).
- 19. Verma, S., Lu, S. & Kenis, P. J. A. Co-electrolysis of CO_2 and glycerol as a pathway to carbon chemicals with improved technoeconomics due to low electricity consumption. *Nat. Energy* **4**, 466–474 (2019).
- 20. Na, J. et al. General technoeconomic analysis for electrochemical coproduction coupling carbon dioxide reduction with organic oxidation. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5193 (2019).
- Vass, Å., Endrődi, B. & Janáky, C. Coupling electrochemical carbon dioxide conversion with value-added anode processes: an emerging paradigm. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 25, 100621 (2021).
- 22. Larrazábal, G. O. et al. Analysis of mass flows and membrane cross-over in CO₂ reduction at high current densities in an MEA-type electrolyzer. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11, 41281–41288 (2019).
- Ma, M. et al. Insights into the carbon balance for CO₂ electroreduction on Cu using gas diffusion electrode reactor designs. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 13, 977–985 (2020).
- 24. Endrödi, B. et al. Multilayer electrolyzer stack converts carbon dioxide to gas products at high pressure with high efficiency. *ACS Energy Lett.* **4**, 1770–1777 (2019).
- 25. Wang, R. et al. Maximizing Ag utilization in high-rate CO_2 electrochemical reduction with a coordination polymer-mediated gas diffusion electrode. ACS Energy Lett. **4**, 2024–2031 (2019).

- 26. Kaczur, J. J., Yang, H., Liu, Z., Sajjad, S. D. & Masel, R. I. A review of the use of immobilized ionic liquids in the electrochemical conversion of CO₂. J. Carbon Res. 6, 33 (2020).
- Yin, Z. et al. An alkaline polymer electrolyte CO₂ electrolyzer operated with pure water. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 12, 2455–2462 (2019).
- Gabardo, C. M. et al. Combined high alkalinity and pressurization enable efficient CO₂ electroreduction to CO. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 11, 2531–2539 (2018).
- Wheeler, D. G. et al. Quantification of water transport in a CO₂ electrolyzer. Energy Environ. Sci. 13, 5126–5134 (2020).
- Zhao, C., Chen, X. & Zhao, C. Carbonation behavior of K₂CO₃ with different microstructure used as an active component of dry sorbents for CO₂ capture. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 49, 12212–12216 (2010).
- Chioyama, H., Luo, H., Ohba, T. & Kanoh, H. Temperature-dependent double-step CO₂ occlusion of K₂CO₃ under moist conditions. *Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.* 33, 243–250 (2015).
- 32. Verma, S. et al. Insights into the low overpotential electroreduction of CO_2 to CO on a supported gold catalyst in an alkaline flow electrolyzer. *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**, 193–198 (2018).
- Kudo, Y. et al. Carbon dioxide electrolytic device and carbon dioxide electrolytic method. US patent 20180274109A1 (2018).
- 34. Leonard, M. E., Clarke, L. E., Forner-Cuenca, A., Brown, S. M. & Brushett, F. R. Investigating electrode flooding in a flowing electrolyte, gas-fed carbon dioxide electrolyzer. *ChemSusChem* 13, 400–411 (2020).
- Liu, Z., Yang, H., Kutz, R. & Masel, R. I. CO₂ electrolysis to CO and O₂ at high selectivity, stability and efficiency using sustainion membranes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 165, J3371–J3377 (2018).
- Luo, X., Rojas-Carbonell, S., Yan, Y. & Kusoglu, A. Structure-transport relationships of poly(aryl piperidinium) anion-exchange membranes: effect of anions and hydration. *J. Memb. Sci.* 598, 117680 (2020).
- Ringe, S. et al. Understanding cation effects in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 12, 3001–3014 (2019).
- Resasco, J. et al. Promoter effects of alkali metal cations on the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 11277–11287 (2017).
- Lobaccaro, P. et al. Effects of temperature and gas-liquid mass transfer on the operation of small electrochemical cells for the quantitative evaluation of CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18, 26777–26785 (2016).
- Pérez-Gallent, E., Marcandalli, G., Figueiredo, M. C., Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. M. Structure- and potential-dependent cation effects on CO reduction at copper single-crystal electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 16412–16419 (2017).
- Chen, L. D., Urushihara, M., Chan, K. & Nørskov, J. K. Electric field effects in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. ACS Catal. 6, 7133–7139 (2016).
- Birdja, Y. Y. et al. Advances and challenges in understanding the electrocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to fuels. *Nat. Energy* 4, 732–745 (2019).
- Murata, A. & Hori, Y. Product selectivity affected by cationic species in electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and CO at a Cu electrode. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn* 64, 123–127 (1991).
- 44. Singh, M. R., Kwon, Y., Lum, Y., Ager, J. W. & Bell, A. T. Hydrolysis of electrolyte cations enhances the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ over Ag and Cu. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 13006–13012 (2016).
- Thorson, M. R., Siil, K. I. & Kenis, P. J. A. Effect of cations on the electrochemical conversion of CO₂ to CO. J. Electrochem. Soc. 160, F69–F74 (2013).
- Carmo, M., Fritz, D. L., Mergel, J. & Stolten, D. A comprehensive review on PEM water electrolysis. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 38, 4901–4934 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant no. 716539 and 899747, to C.J.). The research was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) through the FK-132564 project (to E.B., and by the 'Széchenyi 2020' program in the framework of GINOP-2.2.1-15-2017-00041 project (to C.J.). Financial support for purchasing the CT instrument was also provided by NKFIH through the GINOP-2.3.3-15-2016-00010 project (to C.J. and D.S.). This project was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (to B.E. and D.S.). We thank L. Janovák, Á. Balog, G. F. Samu and G. Bencsik at University of Szeged for assistance in contact angle, SEM–EDX, X-ray diffraction (with Rietveld analysis) and ion chromatography measurements, respectively. We also thank T. Pajkossy (Hungarian Academy of Sciences) for his valuable contribution in the design, analysis and interpretation of EIS measurements. We thank P. Kamat (University of Notre Dame) for critical comments on an earlier version of the manuscript and B. Janáky-Bohner for her support in the preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

B.E. and C.J. conceived and supervised the project and designed all experiments. A.S. and T.H. prepared the gas diffusion electrodes and assembled the cells. A.S., T.H. and

ARTICLES

NATURE ENERGY

E.K. carried out all electrochemical and product analysis experiments. D.S. performed and analysed micro-CT measurements. B.E., E.K. and C.J. designed the electrodes, the electrochemical cells and the electrolyser system. All authors discussed the results and assisted during manuscript preparation.

Competing interests

Two patent applications have been filed on the continuous-flow electrolysis of CO_2 by some authors of this paper (B.E., A.S., E.K., C.J., all University of Szeged) and their collaborating partner, ThalesNano Zrt. Application numbers: PCT/HU2019/095001 and PCT/HU2020/050033. T.H. and D.S. declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00813-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.E. or C.J.

Peer review information *Nature Energy* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021