



Antibody responses to single-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients receiving immunomodulators for immune-mediated inflammatory disease

DOI:
[10.1111/bjd.20479](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.20479)

Document Version
Final published version

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Al-Janabi, A., Littlewood, Z., Griffiths, C. E. M., Hunter, H. J. A., Chinoy, H., Moriarty, C., Yiu, Z. Z. N., & Warren, R. B. (2021). Antibody responses to single-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients receiving immunomodulators for immune-mediated inflammatory disease. *The British journal of dermatology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.20479>

Published in:
The British journal of dermatology

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Research letter

Antibody responses to single-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients receiving immunomodulators for immune-mediated inflammatory disease

DOI: 10.1111/bjd.20479

DEAR EDITOR, There are few data on whether immunomodulatory therapy attenuates humoral response to vaccines for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Some vaccines require two doses for maximum protection, with international variation in dosing schedules. A 3-month interval between doses is being used in the UK. We evaluated antibody titres to SARS-CoV-2 following initial-dose BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech) or AZD1222 (AstraZeneca) vaccines in adults with psoriasis and other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) receiving biologic and/or oral nonbiologic immunomodulators.

Participants were recruited from Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust. Blood samples were collected 2–12 weeks after the first vaccine dose. Total antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein S1 receptor-binding domain were quantified using the Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics Limited, Burgess Hill, UK) and anti-S1 IgG was measured using the Siemens SARS-CoV-2 IgG immunoassay (sCOVG) (Siemens, Munich, Germany). The Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoassay, which detects antibodies against the nucleocapsid antigen absent from vaccines, identified those with prior infection. Two multivariable logistic regression models, excluding patients with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection ($n = 22$), were created with positive or negative Elecsys anti-S1 and sCOVG assays as outcome variables, medication category as the exposure and a priori confounders (Table 1). The study was approved by the London-Surrey Borders Research Ethics Committee and Health Research Authority.

In total 120 participants with IMIDs were recruited, including psoriasis ($n = 107$), psoriatic arthritis ($n = 25$), rheumatoid arthritis ($n = 10$), systemic lupus erythematosus ($n = 1$) and Crohn disease ($n = 3$); some patients had more than one condition. The median age was 53 years (interquartile range 33–73) and the ethnicities of the recruited participants included white ($n = 111$) and Asian ($n = 9$). The median time from vaccination to venepuncture was 34 days (interquartile range 23–46). Our data show that 15% of patients with IMIDs receiving immunomodulators failed to mount a detectable

antibody response to the single-dose BNT162b2 or AZD1222 vaccines; 41% had no detectable anti-S1 IgG. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S appears more sensitive than the sCOVG assay. Nonbiologic immunomodulators, for example methotrexate, reduced the odds of a detectable antibody response compared with biologics: adjusted odds ratio (OR) 0.31 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.08–1.17] and OR 0.18 (95% CI 0.06–0.59) for the Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and sCOVG assays, respectively (Table 1). This contrasts with data from healthy populations, which show close to 100% seroconversion 14–35 days after the first vaccine dose, as measured by Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S or other spike-antigen-specific assays detecting IgG and total immunoglobulin.^{1–5} No similar data for the sCOVG assay are available.

All of our participants with prior COVID-19 had antibodies detected by both assays after a single vaccination, in line with previous observations.^{1,2} Increasing age was also associated nonlinearly with reduced odds of a positive antibody response: OR 0.12 (95% CI 0.03–0.46) and OR 0.12 (95% CI 0.04–0.39) for the Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and sCOVG assays, respectively, in those aged 60 years and over compared with those aged below 60 years, in a separate post hoc analysis. This is consistent with phase I trial data for the BNT162b2 vaccine,⁴ and may be due to immunosenescence.

There have been few other studies examining the humoral response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in patients receiving immunomodulators. In 436 immunosuppressed solid organ transplant recipients, 17% developed anti-S1 antibodies by 14–21 days following a single dose of mRNA vaccine, although there was no control group.⁶ Geisen et al. evaluated antibody responses following the second dose of mRNA vaccines in 42 controls and 26 patients with IMIDs, including psoriasis ($n = 4$), psoriatic arthritis ($n = 2$) and rheumatoid arthritis ($n = 8$), and others receiving biologics, conventional disease-modifying agents and/or prednisolone. They showed reduced anti-S IgG titres and SARS-CoV-2 neutralization in patients receiving immunomodulators, but no difference between those receiving tumour necrosis factor inhibitors and nonbiologic immunomodulators. However, all 26 participants receiving immunomodulators had IgG antibodies above the assay cutoff.⁷

Our data show that not all patients on immunomodulators mount a detectable humoral response after a single dose of the BNT162b2 or AZD1222 vaccines and might therefore remain susceptible to COVID-19. Strengths of our study are the utilization of two assays and controlling for a range of

Table 1 Multivariable logistic regression and characteristics of 120 recruited participants by humoral response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination






	Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 S (Roche)		sCOVG (Siemens) IgG	
	Pos. (≥ 0.8 U mL ⁻¹)	Neg. (< 0.8 U mL ⁻¹)	Pos. (≥ 1 U mL ⁻¹)	Neg. (< 1 U mL ⁻¹)
Total	102 (85)	18 (15)	71 (59)	49 (41)
Drug class ^a				
Biologic	73 (90)	8 (10)	55 (68)	26 (32)
Oral immunomodulator	23 (74)	8 (26)	10 (32)	21 (68)
Biologic and oral immunomodulator	6 (75)	2 (25)	6 (75)	2 (25)
Sex				
Male	60 (85)	11 (15)	44 (62)	27 (38)
Female	42 (86)	7 (14)	27 (55)	22 (45)
Age (years)				
18–39	21 (100)	0 (0)	17 (81)	4 (19)
40–59	56 (89)	7 (11)	42 (67)	21 (33)
≥ 60	25 (69)	11 (31)	12 (33)	24 (67)
Vaccine				
BNT162b2	55 (92)	5 (8)	39 (65)	21 (35)
AZD1222	47 (78)	13 (21)	32 (53)	28 (47)
Time from vaccine (days)				
0–28	37 (74)	13 (26)	29 (58)	21 (42)
≥ 29	65 (93)	5 (7)	42 (60)	28 (40)
Prior SARS-CoV-2 ^b	22 (100)	0 (0)	22 (100)	0 (0)
No prior SARS-CoV-2	80 (82)	18 (18)	49 (50)	49 (50)
Logistic regression models (n = 98), ^c odds ratio (95% confidence interval)				
Drug class ^a			Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 S assay	sCOVG IgG assay
Biologic			Reference	Reference
Oral immunomodulator		Not adjusted	0.30 (0.10–0.91)	0.15 (0.05–0.44)
		Adjusted	0.31 (0.08–1.17)	0.18 (0.06–0.59)
Biologic and oral immunomodulator		Not adjusted	0.13 (0.02–1.08)	0.62 (0.08–4.67)
		Adjusted	0.06 (0.01–0.80)	0.61 (0.07–5.32)

The data are presented as the number (%) of patients testing positive or negative, unless stated otherwise. ^aBiologics included abatacept (1), adalimumab (29), brodalumab (3), certolizumab (2), etanercept (2), guselkumab (6), ixekizumab (7), risankizumab (4), secukinumab (6), tildrakizumab (1) and ustekinumab (20). Oral immunomodulators included apremilast (2), ciclosporin (2), dimethyl fumarate (7), methotrexate (16), methotrexate and tofacitinib combined (1) and prednisolone (3). Combinations of treatment included the following: apremilast and guselkumab (1), azathioprine and infliximab (1), dimethyl fumarate and guselkumab (1), methotrexate and adalimumab (1), methotrexate and etanercept (1), methotrexate and rituximab (1), methotrexate and ustekinumab (2). ^bPrior infection as diagnosed by Elecsys nucleocapsid assay. ^cThe models excluded those with prior infection (n = 22). Confounders or covariates included age (continuous), sex, vaccine type and number days from vaccine dose to antibody test (continuous).

confounders. Limitations include the lack of a control IMID group not receiving an immunomodulator, and the modest sample size, resulting in wide CIs for some ORs and preventing subgroup analysis of drug types. Further controlled studies examining antibody titres following dosing of both vaccines are required, along with studies to define titres that correspond with protection.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the DISCOVER Group for their contribution to the study design, including Professor John McLaughlin, Professor Ian Bruce, Professor Anne Barton, Professor Jimmy Limdi and Professor Kimme Hyrich. A.A. is a Medical Research Council Clinical Research Fellow. C.E.M.G. is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Emeritus Senior Investigator. H.C. and R.B.W. are supported by

the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre Funding Scheme. Z.Z.N.Y. is an NIHR-funded clinical lecturer.

A. Al-Janabi ¹, Z. Littlewood,¹ C.E.M. Griffiths ¹, H.J.A. Hunter,¹ H. Chinoy ^{2,3,4}, C. Moriarty,⁵ Z.Z.N. Yiu ¹ and R.B. Warren ¹

¹Dermatology Centre, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M6 8HD, UK; ²National Institute for Health Research, Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ³Department of Rheumatology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Salford, UK; ⁴Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; and ⁵Affinity Biomarker Labs, Translation & Innovation Hub Building, Imperial College London White City Campus, London, W12 0BZ, UK

Correspondence: Richard B. Warren.

Email: richard.warren@manchester.ac.uk

References

- 1 Manisty C, Otter AD, Treibel TA et al. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *Lancet* 2021; **397**:1057–8.
- 2 Angyal A, Longet S, Moore S et al. T-cell and antibody responses to first BNT162b2 vaccine dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected and infection-naïve UK healthcare workers: a multicentre, prospective, observational cohort study. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3812375> (preprint).
- 3 Ewer KJ, Barrett JR, Belij-Rammerstorfer S et al. T cell and antibody responses induced by a single dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine in a phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Nat Med* 2020; **27**:270–8.
- 4 Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Falsey AR et al. Safety and immunogenicity of two RNA-based Covid-19 vaccine candidates. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **383**:2439–50.
- 5 Bradley T, Grundberg E, Selvarangan R et al. Antibody responses after a single dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**:1959–61. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2102051>
- 6 Boyarsky BJ, Werbel WA, Avery RK et al. Immunogenicity of a single dose of SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients. *JAMA* 2021; **325**:1784–6.
- 7 Geisen UM, Berner DK, Tran F et al. Immunogenicity and safety of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in patients with chronic inflammatory conditions and immunosuppressive therapy in a monocentric cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021; in press; <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-220272>

Funding sources: This study was funded by a grant from the Psoriasis Association.

Conflicts of interest: C.E.M.G. has acted as a consultant and/or speaker for AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, BMS, Boehringer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, Novartis, Sun Pharma and UCB. H.J.A.H. has acted as a consultant and/or speaker for Janssen, AbbVie, Pfizer, UCB, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Almirall, Eli Lilly and LEO Pharma. H.C. has acted as a consultant and/or speaker for AbbVie, Novartis, UCB, Lilly, Biogen and Orphazyme. C.M. is an employee of Affinity Biomarker Labs. R.B.W. has acted as a consultant and/or speaker for AbbVie, Almirall, Amgen, Boehringer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Medac, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, Valeant, Schering-Plough (now MSD) and UCB. The other authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.