

# BIROn - Birkbeck Institutional Research Online

Anabanti, Chimere and Aroh, A.B. and Hart, Sarah and Oodo, A.R. (2021) A question of Zhou, Shi and Duan on nonpower subgroups of finite groups. Quaestiones Mathematicae, ISSN 1607-3606. (In Press)

Downloaded from: https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/id/eprint/44179/

Usage Guidelines: Please refer to usage guidelines at https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact lib-eprints@bbk.ac.uk.





ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tqma20

## A question of zhou, shi and duan on nonpower subgroups of finite groups

C.S. Anabanti, A.B. Aroh, S.B. Hart & A.R. Oodo

To cite this article: C.S. Anabanti, A.B. Aroh, S.B. Hart & A.R. Oodo (2021): A question of zhou, shi and duan on nonpower subgroups of finite groups, Quaestiones Mathematicae, DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2021.1924891

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2021.1924891

© 2021 The Author(s). Co-published by NISC Pty (Ltd) and Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



0

Published online: 01 Jul 2021.

ك
---

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 49



View related articles 🗹

View Crossmark data 🗹

# A QUESTION OF ZHOU, SHI AND DUAN ON NONPOWER SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

## C.S. ANABANTI

Institut für Analysis & Zahlentheorie, Technische Universität Graz, Austria, and Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Pretoria, South Africa. E-Mail anabanti@math.tugraz.at. chimere.anabanti@up.ac.za.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} E-Mail & anabanti@math.tugraz.at, & chimere.anabanti@up.ac.za, \\ & chimere.anabanti@unn.edu.ng \end{array}$ 

A.B. Aroh

Department of Mathematics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. E-Mail blaise.aroh.231881@unn.edu.ng

S.B. HART

Department of Economics, Mathematics and Statistics, Birkbeck, University of London, UK. E-Mail s.hart@bbk.ac.uk

A.R. Oodo

Department of Mathematics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. E-Mail amara.oodo.231880@unn.edu.ng

ABSTRACT. A subgroup H of a group G is called a *power subgroup* of G if there exists a non-negative integer m such that  $H = \langle g^m : g \in G \rangle$ . Any subgroup of G which is not a power subgroup is called a *nonpower subgroup* of G. Zhou, Shi and Duan, in a 2006 paper, asked whether for every integer k ( $k \geq 3$ ), there exist groups possessing exactly k nonpower subgroups. We answer this question in the affirmative by giving an explicit construction that leads to at least one group with exactly k nonpower subgroups, for all  $k \geq 3$ , and infinitely many such groups when k is composite and greater than 4. Moreover, we describe the number of nonpower subgroups for the cases of elementary abelian groups, dihedral groups, and 2-groups of maximal class.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 20D25, 20D60, 20E34.

Key words: Counting subgroups, nonpower subgroups, finite groups.

**1.** Introduction. A subgroup H of a group G is called a *power subgroup* of G if there exists a non-negative integer m such that  $H = G^m$ , where  $G^m := \langle g^m : g \in G \rangle$ . The identity subgroup and the whole group are examples of power subgroups of any group G. If H is a power subgroup of G, then H is normal in G; but the converse is not necessarily true. For instance, no subgroup of index 2 in the quaternion group  $Q_8$  of order 8 is a power subgroup of  $Q_8$ , even though they are

normal subgroups. A subgroup of G which is not a power subgroup is called a *nonpower subgroup* of G.

Let k be the number of nonpower subgroups of a group G. The authors (Zhou, Shi and Duan) of [4] proved the following:

(a)  $k \in (0, \infty)$  if and only if G is a finite noncyclic group;

(b) k = 0 if and only if G is a cyclic group;

(c)  $k = \infty$  if and only if G is an infinite noncyclic group.

They also remarked that neither k = 1 nor k = 2 is possible in any group. With respect to the case  $k \ge 3$ , they asked (see [4, Problem]):

QUESTION 1. (Zhou, Shi and Duan) For any integer  $k \ (k \ge 3)$ , do there exist groups possessing exactly k nonpower subgroups?

In this paper, we show that the answer to this question is yes. In fact, we prove that there is at least one group possessing exactly k nonpower subgroups for each  $k \geq 3$  (see Theorem 5). Our method of proof also shows that there are infinitely many such groups for each k > 4 and k not prime. The constructions we used are given in Section 2; part of it involves the direct product of a dihedral group with a carefully chosen cyclic group.

There are further questions one could ask. For example, given a positive integer n, what is the maximum number of nonpower subgroups in a group of order n? To supply further examples of the possible numbers of nonpower subgroups in a group of a given order, we also explore in Section 3 some special cases: elementary abelian p-groups, dihedral groups, and 2-groups of maximal class. For example, we observe (see Corollary 10) that the elementary abelian p-group  $C_p \times C_p$  (p prime) contains exactly p + 1 nonpower subgroups, and the generalised quaternion group  $Q_{2^n}$  (where  $n \geq 3$ ) contains exactly  $2^{n-1} - 1$  nonpower subgroups (see Theorem 16). All the groups studied here are finite.

We end this introductory section by briefly establishing the notation we will use. For a positive integer n, we write  $C_n$  for the cyclic group of order n, with  $D_{2n}$ being the dihedral group of order 2n.

NOTATION. Let G be a group. We write s(G) for the total number of subgroups in G. Also, we write ps(G) for the number of power subgroups, and nps(G) for the number of non-power subgroups. For example, in  $C_2 \times C_2$  we have s(G) = 5, ps(G) = 2 and nps(G) = 3.

2. Groups with exactly k nonpower subgroups. In this section, we give constructions that supply, for each  $k \ge 3$ , at least one finite group containing exactly k nonpower subgroups. Moreover, for  $k \ne 4$  and k not prime, our constructions give infinitely many finite groups containing exactly k nonpower subgroups.

REMARK 2. Let G be a finite group. If n is coprime to |G|, then  $G^n = G$  as the map  $g \mapsto g^n$ , while not a homomorphism, is certainly a bijection from G to itself in this case. More generally,  $G^{mn} = G^m$  for any positive integer m.

LEMMA 3. Let A and B be finite groups such that |A| and |B| are coprime. Then every subgroup of  $A \times B$  is of the form  $U \times V$ , where  $U \leq A$  and  $V \leq B$ . Moreover, a subgroup of  $A \times B$  is a power subgroup if and only if it is of the form  $U \times V$ , where U is a power subgroup of A and V is a power subgroup of B. In particular,

(1) 
$$s(A \times B) = s(A) \times s(B);$$

(2) 
$$nps(A \times B) = s(A) \times s(B) - ps(A) \times ps(B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = A \times B$ . The fact that the subgroups of G in this case are the direct products of subgroups of A and B is well-known, but we include the proof for completeness. Suppose  $H \leq G$  and let  $(a, b) \in H$ . Since |A| and |B| are coprime, the orders r and s of a and b respectively are also coprime. Therefore, there exist integers q and t such that rq + st = 1. Now  $(a, b)^{st} = (a, 1)$  and  $(a, b)^{rq} = (1, b)$ . Hence, (a, 1) and (1, b) are elements of H. It follows that  $H = U \times V$ , where  $U = \{a \in A : (a, 1) \in H\}$  and  $V = \{b \in B : (1, b) \in H\}$ . Therefore,  $s(G) = s(A) \times s(B)$ . Consider the power subgroup  $G^m$  of G, for a positive integer m. We have that

G<sup>m</sup> =  $A^m \times B^m$ , because this group is generated by elements  $(x, y)^m = (x^m, y^m)$ , and we have observed that  $(x^m, y^m)$  is contained in a subgroup H if and only if  $(x^m, 1) \in H$  and  $(1, y^m) \in H$ . For the converse, suppose that  $U = A^\ell$  and  $V = B^m$ , for some positive integers m and  $\ell$ . We may assume that  $\ell$  divides |A| and m divides |B|, by Remark 2. Now, let  $n = \ell m$ . Since  $\ell$  and m are therefore coprime, we have that  $A^n = A^\ell$ , and  $B^n = B^m$ . Therefore,  $U \times V = G^n$ . Thus, a subgroup of G is a power subgroup if and only if it is of the form  $U \times V$ , where U is a power subgroup of A and V is a power subgroup of B. In particular,  $ps(G) = ps(A) \times ps(B)$ . Hence,  $nps(G) = s(G) - ps(G) = s(A) \times s(B) - ps(A) \times ps(B)$ .

Let n be a positive integer. Zhou et al. showed that  $nps(C_n) = 0$ . We also note that  $s(C_n) = ps(C_n) = \tau(n)$ , where  $\tau(n)$  is the number of divisors of n.

COROLLARY 4. Suppose  $G = A \times C_n$ , where n is a positive integer and A is a finite group whose order is coprime to n. Then  $nps(G) = \tau(n) \times nps(A)$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $s(C_n) = ps(C_n) = \tau(n)$ . Therefore in Equation (2), we have  $nps(G) = (s(A) - ps(A))\tau(n) = \tau(n) \times nps(A)$ .

Before the next result we note that if p is an odd prime, then  $nps(D_{2p}) = p$ . This is because  $D_{2p}$  has exactly p + 3 subgroups; the p cyclic subgroups of order 2 are the nonpower subgroups. The remaining groups (the trivial subgroup, the cyclic subgroup of index 2, and the whole group) are the power subgroups  $D_{2p}^{2p}, D_{2p}^{2}$  and  $D_{2p}^{1}$ , respectively. For a full description of nonpower subgroups in arbitrary dihedral groups, see Section 3.

THEOREM 5. Let k be a positive integer, with  $k \ge 3$ . Then there exists a finite group G with exactly k nonpower subgroups. If k is composite and k > 4, then there are infinitely many such groups.

Proof. Let k be a positive integer with  $k \geq 3$ . Then either k is divisible by 4, or k is divisible by an odd prime p (or both). Suppose first that k is divisible by an odd prime p. Let q be any odd prime other than p, and let  $r = \frac{k}{p} - 1$ . Then  $\tau(q^r) = \frac{k}{p}$ . We observe that  $nps(D_{2p}) = p$ . Therefore, by Corollary 4, we get  $nps(D_{2p} \times C_{q^r}) = k$ . On the other hand, if k is divisible by 4, then let  $r = \frac{k}{4} - 1$ , and let q be any prime greater than 3. A quick calculation shows that  $nps(C_3 \times C_3) = 4$ ; whence  $nps((C_3 \times C_3) \times C_{q^r}) = k$ . We note that, in each case, if k > 4 and k is composite, then the exponent r is strictly positive. Therefore, since there are infinitely many choices for q, there are infinitely many finite groups G with exactly k nonpower subgroups.  $\Box$ 

### 3. Special cases.

NOTATION. For a prime p and a positive integer n, we write  $C_p^n$  for the elementary abelian p-group of finite rank n, and denote the number of subgroups of rank r in  $C_p^n$  by  $N_p(n, r)$ .

THEOREM 6. ([3, Theorem 1]) Let V be a vector space of dimension n over the finite field GF(q), where q is a prime power. The number of subspaces of V of dimension r is

$$\left(\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q^2-1}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{q^{n-r+1}-1}{q^r-1}\right).$$

REMARK. (a) The group  $G = C_p^n$  can be realised as an *n*-dimensional vector space (say V) over GF(p). Now, the number of subgroups of rank r in  $C_p^n$  is equal to the number of subspaces of dimension r in V. In the light of Theorem 6 therefore, given any prime p and positive integers n and r, with  $n > r \ge 2$ , we have that

(3) 
$$N_p(n,r) = \left(\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}\right) \left(\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p^2-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p^{n-r+1}-1}{p^r-1}\right) = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{p^{n-k}-1}{p^{k+1}-1}\right).$$

(b)  $N_p(n,0) = 1 = N_p(n,n)$  for any prime p and natural number n, and for n > 1,

$$N_p(n,1) = \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k = N_p(n, n - 1).$$

PROPOSITION 7. For prime p and positive integers n and r (with  $n > r \ge 2$ ), we have:

(a) 
$$N_p(n-1,r) = \left(\frac{p^{n-r}-1}{p^r-1}\right) N_p(n-1,r-1);$$
  
(b)  $N_p(n,r) = p^r N_p(n-1,r) + N_p(n-1,r-1)$ 

*Proof.* Setting n = n - 1 and r = r - 1 in Equation (3), we have that

(4) 
$$N_p(n-1,r-1) = \left(\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p^{n-r+1}-1}{p^{r-1}-1}\right) = \prod_{k=0}^{r-2} \left(\frac{p^{n-(k+1)}-1}{p^{k+1}-1}\right).$$

Setting n = n - 1 in Equation (3), we have that

$$N_p(n-1,r) = \left(\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p^{n-r+1}-1}{p^{r-1}-1}\right) \left(\frac{p^{n-r}-1}{p^r-1}\right) = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{p^{n-(k+1)}-1}{p^{k+1}-1}\right)$$

(5) 
$$=N_p(n-1,r-1)\left(\frac{p^{n-r}-1}{p^r-1}\right)$$
 (from Equation (4)),

which settles the (a) part. For the (b) part, we multiply Equation (5) by  $p^r$ , add the result to Equation (4) and regroup the terms to get the desired result.  $\Box$ 

The recurrence relations given in Proposition 7 would be a good source for OEIS https://oeis.org/. We now turn to the first main result of this study; see Theorem 8.

THEOREM 8. For prime, p and a natural number n > 1,

$$nps(C_p^n) = s(C_p^n) - 2 = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} N_p(n,r).$$

*Proof.* Let p be a prime and n > 1 be an integer. We write  $G = C_p^n$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$G^m = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \mod p \\ G, & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \mod p. \end{cases}$$

This tells us that the only power subgroups of G are the unique subgroups of ranks 0 and n (viz; the two trivial subgroups). That is, nps(G) = s(G) - 2. In particular, the nonpower subgroups of G are the subgroups of ranks  $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . Thus, the number of nonpower subgroups of G is  $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} N_p(n, r)$ .

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 8.

COROLLARY 9. Let n > 1 and p be prime. Then the elementary abelian p-group  $C_p^n$  contains exactly  $\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} N_p(n,r)$  nonpower subgroups.

In particular, when n = 2, we have the following.

COROLLARY 10. Let p be prime. The elementary abelian p-group  $C_p^2$  contains exactly p + 1 nonpower subgroups.

DEFINITION. A 2-group of maximal class is a group of order  $2^n$  and nilpotency class n-1 for  $n \ge 3$ .

REMARK. It is known (for instance, see Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.7 of [1]) that any 2-group of maximal class belongs to one of the following three classes: (i)  $\langle x, y | x^{2^{n-1}} = y^2 = 1, xy = yx^{-1} \rangle, n \ge 3$  (Dihedral); (ii)  $\langle x, y \mid x^{2^{n-1}} = 1, x^{2^{n-2}} = y^2, xy = yx^{-1} \rangle, n \ge 3$  (Generalised quaternion); (iii)  $\langle x, y \mid x^{2^{n-1}} = y^2 = 1, xy = yx^{2^{n-2}-1} \rangle, n \ge 4$  (Semidihedral).

DEFINITION. For  $n \geq 3$ , we write

$$D_{2n} := \langle x, y \mid x^n = 1 = y^2, xy = yx^{-1} \rangle$$

for the dihedral group of order 2n.

REMARK.  $D_{2n} = \{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}, y, xy, \dots, x^{n-1}y\}$ . In  $D_{2n}$ , each element of  $\{y, xy, \dots, x^{n-1}y\}$  is an involution. In particular, there are n+1 involutions in  $D_{2n}$  when n is even.

THEOREM 11. ([2]) For n > 2,  $s(D_{2n}) = \tau + u$ , where  $\tau$  is the number of positive divisors of n and u is the sum of the positive divisors of n.

PROPOSITION 12. Let  $G = D_{2n}$ , n > 2. Writing u for the sum of positive divisors of n and r for the number of even proper divisors of n, we have the following: (i) if n is odd, then nps(G) = u - 1; (ii) if n is even, then nps(G) = s(G) - (r + 2); (iii) if n is a power of 2, then nps(G) = u; (iv) if n = 2p for an odd prime p, then nps(G) = s(G) - 3 = 3p + 4.

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  denote the number of positive divisors of n and u denote the sum of positive divisors of n. By Theorem 11,  $s(G) = \tau + u$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be arbitrary. Then

$$G^{2m+1} = \langle 1, x^{2m+1}, \dots, x^{-(2m+1)}, y, xy, \dots, x^{n-1}y \rangle.$$

As  $\{1, y, xy, \ldots, x^{n-1}y\} \subseteq G^{2m+1}$ , we see immediately that  $|G^{2m+1}| > \frac{1}{2}|G|$ . The fact that  $G^{2m+1}$  is a subgroup of G helps us to conclude that  $G^{2m+1} = G$ .

On the other hand,

$$G^{2m} = \langle 1, x^{2m}, x^{4m}, \dots, x^{-4m}, x^{-2m} \rangle = \langle x^{2m} \rangle.$$

(i) Let n be odd. Then  $\langle x^{2m} \rangle$  is of the form  $\langle x^v \rangle$ , where v is a positive divisor of n. Therefore the set of all power subgroups of G is given as

 $\{G\} \cup \{\langle x^v \rangle \mid v \text{ is a positive divisor of } n\}.$ 

Thus  $ps(G) = \tau + 1$ , and we conclude that  $nps(G) = (\tau + u) - (\tau + 1) = u - 1$ .

(ii) Let n be even. Then  $\langle x^{2m} \rangle$  is of the form  $\langle x^{\mu} \rangle$ , where  $\mu$  is an even proper divisor of n. Therefore the set of all power subgroups of G is given as

(6)  $\{\{1\}, G\} \cup \{\langle x^{\mu} \rangle \mid \mu \text{ is an even proper divisor of } n\}.$ 

So ps(G) = r + 2, where r is the number of even proper divisors of n. Whence, nps(G) = s(G) - (r + 2).

(iii) Let  $n = 2^{\ell} \ge 4$ . In the light of (6), the set of power subgroups of G is

$$\{\{1\}, G, \langle x^2 \rangle, \langle x^4 \rangle, \langle x^8 \rangle, \dots, \langle x^{n/2} \rangle\},\$$

where  $\langle x^2 \rangle \cong C_{n/2}, \langle x^4 \rangle \cong C_{n/4}, \langle x^8 \rangle \cong C_{n/8}, \ldots, \langle x^{n/2} \rangle \cong C_2$ . So  $ps(G) = \tau$ . Therefore,  $nps(G) = s(G) - ps(G) = (\tau + u) - \tau = u$ .

(iv) Let n = 2p for an odd prime p. In the light of (6), the set of power subgroups of G is

 $\{\{1\}, G\} \cup \{\langle x^{\mu} \rangle \mid \mu \text{ is an even proper divisor of } 2p\} = \{\{1\}, G, \langle x^2 \rangle\},\$ 

where  $\langle x^2 \rangle \cong C_p$ . Hence, ps(G) = 3, and we conclude that  $nps(G) = s(G) - 3 = \tau + u - 3 = 4 + (1 + 2 + p + 2p) - 3 = 3p + 4$ .

COROLLARY 13. Given an integer  $n \ge 3$ ,  $s(D_{2^n}) = 2^n + n - 1$  and  $nps(D_{2^n}) = 2^n - 1$ .

*Proof.* The results follow from a direct application of Theorem 11 and Proposition 12(iii) since the number of positive divisors of  $2^{n-1}$ , which is the same as the number of subgroups of  $D_{2^n}$  in  $\langle x \rangle$ , is n, and the sum of positive divisors of  $2^{n-1}$ , which is the same as the number of subgroups of  $D_{2^n}$  not contained in  $\langle x \rangle$ , is  $2^n - 1$ .

DEFINITION. For  $n \geq 3$ , we write

$$Q_{2^n} := \langle x, y \mid x^{2^{n-1}} = 1, x^{2^{n-2}} = y^2, xy = yx^{-1} \rangle$$

for the generalised quaternion group of order  $2^n$ .

REMARK.  $Q_{2^n} = \{1, x, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}, y, xy, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\}$ . Each element of  $\{y, xy, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\}$  has order 4 in  $Q_{2^n}$ , and the element  $x^{2^{n-2}}$  is the unique involution in  $Q_{2^n}$ .

DEFINITION. For  $n \ge 4$ , we write

$$SD_{2^n} := \langle x, y \mid x^{2^{n-1}} = y^2 = 1, xy = yx^{2^{n-2}-1} \rangle$$

for the semidihedral group of order  $2^n$ .

REMARK.  $SD_{2^n} = \{1, x, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}, y, xy, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\}$ . In  $SD_{2^n}$ , any element of  $\{xy, x^3y, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\} \cup \{x^{2^{n-3}}, x^{-(2^{n-3})}\}$  has order 4 while elements of  $\{y, x^2y, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-2}y\} \cup \{x^{2^{n-2}}\}$  are involutions.  $SD_{2^n}$  contains  $2^{n-2} + 1$  involutions and  $2^{n-2} + 2$  elements of order 4.

LEMMA 14. Let G be any of the three 2-groups of maximal class. If A is a noncyclic proper normal subgroup of G, then [G : A] = 2.

*Proof.* Let G be any of the three 2-groups of maximal class and of order 2<sup>n</sup>, and let A be a noncyclic proper normal subgroup of G. Clearly,  $A \not\subset \langle x \rangle$ . Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $a \in \{y, xy, \ldots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\}$ . Now, suppose G is either dihedral or generalised quaternion. We have that  $a = x^i y$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1\}$ . Using the relation  $xy = yx^{-1}$ , we obtain that  $xax^{-1} = x^2(x^iy) = x^2a$ . As A is normal in G and  $a \in A$ , we deduce that  $(xax^{-1})a^{-1} = x^2 \in A$ . So  $\langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq A$ . Let G be a semidihedral group. If  $a = x^{2i+1}y$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$ , then using the relation  $xy = yx^{2^{n-2}-1}$ , we obtain that  $xax^{-1} = yx^{-2i-3}$ . Therefore  $a(xax^{-1}) = x^{2i+1}yyx^{-2i-3} = x^{-2}$ . As A is normal in G and  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $x^{-2} \in A$ ; whence  $\langle x^{-2} \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq A$ . If  $a = x^{2i}y$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$ , then using the relation  $xy = yx^{2^{n-2}-1}$ , we obtain that  $xax^{-1} = yx^{2^{n-2}-3}$ . Therefore  $a(xax^{-1}) = x^{2i+1}yyx^{-2i-3} = x^{-2}$ . As A is normal in G and  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $x^{-2} \in A$ ; whence  $\langle x^{-2} \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq A$ . If  $a = x^{2i}y$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-2} - 1\}$ , then using the relation  $xy = yx^{2^{n-2}-1}$ , we obtain that  $xax^{-1} = yx^{2^{n-2}-2i-2}$ . So  $a(xax^{-1}) = x^{2i}yyx^{2^{n-2}-2i-2} = x^{2^{n-2}-2} \in A$ . But the order of  $x^{2^{n-2}-2}$  is the same as the order of  $x^2$ ; whence  $\langle x^{2^{n-2}-2} \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq A$ . In all the cases, we have these three in common:  $[G : \langle x^2 \rangle] = 4$ ,  $\langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq A \subseteq G$  and  $\langle x^2 \rangle \neq A \neq G$ . Therefore [G : A] = 2. □

PROPOSITION 15. Let G be any of the three 2-groups of maximal class, and of order  $2^n$  for some  $n \ge 4$ . Given  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-2\}$ , the number of subgroups of order  $2^{n-k}$  is  $2^k + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_{2^n}$  be any of the three 2-groups of maximal class, and of order  $2^n$  for some  $n \ge 4$ , and let  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$  be arbitrary. We show that there are  $2^k + 1$  subgroups of size  $2^{n-k}$ . The first case (k = 1) follows from the well-known fact that there are 3 subgroups of index 2 in G; the subgroups of index 2 in G are

$$\langle x \rangle, \langle x^2, y \rangle$$
 and  $\langle x^2, xy \rangle,$ 

where

$$\langle x \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}}$$
 and  $\langle x^2, y \rangle \cong G_{2^{n-1}} \cong \langle x^2, xy \rangle$ .

Let H be a non-trivial subgroup of G. Recall that every non-trivial subgroup of a 2-group is contained in an index 2-subgroup of the group. Let  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$ , and suppose H is a subgroup of size  $2^{n-k}$  in G. In the light of Lemma 14, H is contained in either  $\langle x \rangle$  or one of the noncyclic subgroups of index 2 in any (noncyclic) subgroup of G which is isomorphic to  $G_{2^{n-k+1}}$ . But there are  $2^k$  noncyclic subgroups of index  $2^k$  in  $G_{2^n}$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$ , where  $n \ge 4$ . Thus, the subgroups of size  $2^{n-k}$  (i.e., subgroups of index  $2^k$ ) in  $G_{2^n}$  are the unique cyclic subgroup of size  $2^{n-k}$  and the  $2^k$  non-cyclic subgroups of index  $2^k$ . Therefore there are  $1 + 2^k$  subgroups of size  $2^{n-k}$  in  $G_{2^n}$ .

THEOREM 16. Given an integer  $n \ge 3$ ,  $s(Q_{2^n}) = 2^{n-1} + n - 1$  and  $nps(Q_{2^n}) = 2^{n-1} - 1$ .

*Proof.* In the light of Proposition 15, the number of subgroups of size  $2^k$  in  $Q_{2^n}$  and  $D_{2^n}$  are equal for each  $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$ . As the the number of subgroups of index 2 in both  $D_8$  and  $Q_8$  is 3, one sees immediately that the assertion is also true

for both  $D_8$  and  $Q_8$ . The distinction between the number of subgroups of various sizes in  $Q_{2^n}$  and  $D_{2^n}$  (where  $n \ge 3$ ) is in the subgroups of size 2. In particular, we have only one subgroup of size 2 in  $Q_{2^n}$  as opposed in  $D_{2^n}$ , where there are  $2^{n-1} + 1$  subgroups of size 2. Thus,

$$s(Q_{2^n}) = s(D_{2^n}) - (2^{n-1} + 1) + 1$$
  
= 2<sup>n-1</sup> + n - 1 (by Corollary 13).

For the second part, let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be arbitrary, and  $G = Q_{2^n}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Firstly,  $G^{4m+1} = \langle 1, x^{4m+1}, \dots, x^{-(4m+1)}, y, xy, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y \rangle$ . But  $\{1, y, xy, \dots, x^{2^{n-1}-1}y\} \subseteq G^{4m+1}$ ; whence  $|G^{4m+1}| > \frac{1}{2}|G|$ . As  $G^{4m+1}$  is a subgroup of G, we conclude that  $G^{4m+1} = G$ . Secondly,  $G^{4m+3} = \langle 1, x^{4m+3}, \dots, x^{-(4m+3)}, y^{-1}, (xy)^{-1}, \dots, (x^{2^{n-1}-1}y)^{-1} \rangle$ . As  $|\{1, y^{-1}, (xy)^{-1}, \dots, (x^{2^{n-1}-1}y)^{-1}\}| > \frac{1}{2}|G|$ , we deduce that  $G^{4m+3} = G$ . Thirdly,  $G^{4m+2} = \langle 1, x^{4m+2}, \dots, x^{-(4m+2)}, x^{2^{n-2}} \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-2}}$ . Finally,  $G^{4m} = \langle 1, x^{4m}, x^{8m}, \dots, x^{-8m}, x^{-4m} \rangle = \langle x^{4m} \rangle$ . If  $G = Q_8$ , then  $\langle x^{4m} \rangle \cong \{1\}$ . If  $G = Q_{16}$ , then  $\langle x^{4m} \rangle \cong \{1\}$  or  $\langle x^4 \rangle$ , where  $\langle x^4 \rangle \cong C_2$ . Now, let  $n \geq 5$ , and suppose  $\langle x^{4m} \rangle \neq \{1\}$ . Then  $\langle x^{4m} \rangle$  is exactly one of the following occuring subgroups of  $Q_{2^n}$ :

$$\langle x^{2^{n-2}}\rangle, \langle x^{2^{n-3}}\rangle, \dots, \langle x^4\rangle,$$

where

$$\langle x^{2^{n-2}} \rangle \cong C_2, \langle x^{2^{n-3}} \rangle \cong C_4, \dots, \langle x^4 \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-3}}.$$

Therefore,  $ps(Q_{2^n}) = n$ ; whence  $nps(Q_{2^n}) = 2^{n-1} + (n-1) - n = 2^{n-1} - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 17. Given an integer  $n \geq 4$ ,

$$s(SD_{2^n}) = 3(2^{n-2}) + n - 1$$
 and  $nps(SD_{2^n}) = 3(2^{n-2}) - 1$ .

*Proof.* In the light of Proposition 15, the number of subgroups of size  $2^k$  in  $SD_{2^n}$  and  $D_{2^n}$  are equal for each  $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$ . The distinction between the number of subgroups of various sizes in  $SD_{2^n}$  and  $D_{2^n}$  is in the subgroups of size 2. In particular, we have only  $2^{n-2} + 1$  subgroups of size 2 in  $SD_{2^n}$  whilst there are  $2^{n-1} + 1$  subgroups of size 2 in  $D_{2^n}$ . Thus,

$$s(SD_{2^n}) = s(D_{2^n}) - (2^{n-1} + 1) + (2^{n-2} + 1)$$
  
=3(2<sup>n-2</sup>) + n - 1 (by Corollary 13).

For the second part, let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be arbitrary, and  $G = SD_{2^n}$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Then

$$G^{4m+1} = G = G^{4m+3}$$

follows from similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 16. On the other hand, the results for  $G^{4m}$  and  $G^{4m+2}$  are also the same with the results for the generalised quaternion cases. Thus,  $ps(SD_{2^n}) = n$ ; whence  $nps(SD_{2^n}) = 3(2^{n-2}) + (n-1) - n = 3(2^{n-2}) - 1$ .

Acknowledgement. The first author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P30934-N35, F05503 and F05510.

#### References

- 1. Y. BERKOVICH, Groups of prime power order, Volume 1, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 46, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2008.
- 2. S. CAVIOR, The subgroups of dihedral groups, Mathematics Magazine 48 (1975), 107.
- 3. M. SVED, Gaussians and Binomials, Ars Combinatoria 17A (1984), 325–351.
- W. ZHOU, W. SHI, AND Z. DUAN, A new criterion for finite noncyclic groups, Communications in Algebra 34 (2006), 4453–4457.

Received 22 December, 2020.