



THE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY PUBLICATIONS

SPP Communiqué

Volume 14:15
May 2021

SPP Communiqués are brief articles that deal with a singular public policy issue and are intended to provide the reader with a focused, concise critical analysis of a specific policy issue.

Copyright © 2021 by The School of Public Policy.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

The University of Calgary is home to scholars in 16 faculties (offering more than 80 academic programs) and 36 Research Institutes and Centres including *The School of Public Policy*. Founded by Jack Mintz, President's Fellow, and supported by more than 100 academics and researchers, the work of The School of Public Policy and its students contributes to a more meaningful and informed public debate on fiscal, social, energy, environmental and international issues to improve Canada's and Alberta's economic and social performance.



CANADIAN GLOBAL AFFAIRS INSTITUTE
INSTITUT CANADIEN DES AFFAIRES MONDIALES

CANADA IN THE INDO-PACIFIC?

Stephen Nagy

SUMMARY

Canada needs to take a bigger part in the Indo-Pacific's development and support for a rules-based order or risk getting locked out of the region's economic, diplomatic and security benefits. Although the Indo-Pacific region is an economic dynamo, it's also institutionally underdeveloped and the source of numerous traditional and non-traditional security challenges. Traditional challenges include North Korea's WMD program and potential conflicts between China and neighbouring nations. Non-traditional challenges include piracy, illegal fishing and climate change. Conflicts, especially at sea, could disrupt communications, trade and energy flows.

Canadians know that much is at stake in Indo-Pacific region. A 2020 survey found that 83 per cent of Canadians believe that Canada should stand up to China when values like human rights and democracy are on the line. Opinions vary as to how Canadian engagement in the Indo-Pacific should look.

Any such process should be clearly and meaningfully articulated to garner buy-in from Canadians. It should also be based on a realistic assessment of the capabilities and capacities Canada can bring to the region. Canada has already used existing institutions, such as military exercises among Indo-Pacific nations, to get involved in the area.

Options include bringing together middle-power countries to promote multilateralism and non-military solutions to Indo-Pacific challenges. North Korean denuclearization, vaccine distribution and the digital economy offer possibilities to create a diplomatic consensus among like-minded countries. Outreach should also concentrate on issues directly affecting Canada and its allies, like forging coalitions to resist hostage diplomacy and economic coercion. At the same time, Canada must develop a constructive relationship with China to mitigate regionwide challenges such as climate change.

Canada shares an interest with the U.S., Australia, Japan and other countries in ensuring that the Indo-Pacific develops in a free, open and rules-based manner. A more Machiavellian approach founded on the presumption that might is right would see Canada and similar middle-power countries become marginalized and more vulnerable to security challenges. It's time for Canada to speak up and become more involved in the Indo-Pacific to prevent that scenario.

SUPPORTING A FREE AND OPEN, RULES-BASED INDO-PACIFIC REGION IS CONSISTENT WITH CANADA'S MIDDLE-POWER IDENTITY AND NATIONAL INTERESTS

The Indo-Pacific region stretches from North America to the west coast of India. Home to the Comprehensive and Progressive Transpacific Partnership (CPTPP), the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) and the Japan EU Economic Partnership Agreement, it is the engine of global economic growth.

Notwithstanding its economic dynamism, it is institutionally underdeveloped and has numerous traditional and non-traditional security challenges. Traditional security challenges include North Korea's development of weapons of mass destruction, and potential conflict in the East China Sea, Taiwan Strait, South China Sea and the Himalayan Plateau over territorial disputes. Non-traditional security challenges include, but are not exclusive to, piracy, illegal fishing and climate change.

Friction in the region, especially in the maritime domain, could plunge it into conflict, disrupting sea lanes of communication (SLOCs), global trade and energy flows. These could cascade into conflict, economic instability and widespread humanitarian strife without definitive support from Canada and like-minded countries for a rules-based, free and open order.

Importantly, without active participation in the order-building process, Canada risks being locked out of the region's institutional development, potentially prejudicing Canadian economic, diplomatic and security engagement there.

WHAT DO CANADIANS THINK ABOUT ASIA?

According to the 2020 Asia Pacific Foundation (APF) survey on Canadians' views about engagement with Asia, a "majority of Canadians (83%) feel that Canada should stand up to China as Canadian national values such as the rule of law, human rights, and democracy are on the line."¹ The survey highlights cyber-security, environment, climate change and public health as areas where Canadians view engagement as critical. The survey does not canvass Canadian views on the Indo-Pacific, a terminology that arguably has little recognition among ordinary Canadians.

HOW TO ENGAGE IN THE INDO-PACIFIC

A variety of Indo-Pacific strategies co-exists and they are often conflated with the former Trump administration's security-focused Indo-Pacific Strategy.² This misconstrues the historical roots of the Indo-Pacific which were laid by former

¹ Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, "2020 National Opinion Poll: Canadian Views on Asia," November 25, 2020. Accessed February 1, 2021. <https://www.asiapacific.ca/publication/2020-national-opinion-poll-canadian-views-asia>.

² Jeff Reeves, "Canada and the Indo-Pacific: Diverse and Inclusive, not Free and Open," Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, September 2020.

Japanese PM Shinzo Abe in 2007, speaking to the Indian parliament on the so-called “confluence of two seas.”³

A granular look at the various Indo-Pacific strategies reveals many visions. Japan, for example, uses the expression “free and open Indo-Pacific vision,” whereas the Germans use “Indo-Pacific guidelines.” Still others, like Australia, don’t have a specific strategy, but Australia has included the Indo-Pacific region in its 2020 Defence Strategic Update and 2020 Force Structure Plan.

Despite Prime Minister Justin Trudeau’s statement about a “shared vision for maintaining a free and open Indo-Pacific region based on the rule of law” during Abe’s visit to Ottawa in 2019, Canada is still developing its own Indo-Pacific vision.

Any sustainable and meaningful engagement in the Indo-Pacific will require an interests-based articulation of why Canada should move from an Asia or Asia-Pacific vision to an Indo-Pacific vision. This must be tangible to ordinary Canadians to garner buy-in and be fiscally feasible over the long term to be sustainable. It cannot, nor should not be at the expense of a constructive relationship with China, despite the current challenges that bilateral relations face.

An Indo-Pacific engagement must be based on a realistic assessment of the capacities and capabilities that Canada can bring to the region. Here, Canada can bolt in to pre-existing Indo-Pacific-focused institutions to lend its capabilities. We saw this in the Sea Dragon 21⁴ exercises in January 2021, when Canada joined Japan, Australia, India and the U.S. in Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) exercises in and around Guam.

Similar bolting-in opportunities exist in cyber and digital trade co-operation, supply chain resilience and diversification, policy co-ordination on supply chain management and trade restrictions and non-traditional security co-operation with middle-power projects such as the Japan-Mekong Connectivity Initiative⁵ or Partnerships for Recovery in ASEAN and Southeast Asia Region.⁶

Bolting in co-operation in the Indo-Pacific would make Canadian engagement in the region more sustainable and meaningful but it is not the only form of engagement that Canada should explore.

Pro-active middle-power diplomacy promoting multilateralism and non-military solutions to Indo-Pacific challenges should be actively pursued. Diplomatic agenda building to co-ordinate diplomacy in the Indo-Pacific on an ad hoc and sustained level should be pro-actively engaged in.

³ Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Japan, “Confluence of the Two Seas,” Speech by Shinzo Abe, Prime Minister of Japan at the Parliament of the Republic of India, August 22, 2007, <https://www.mofa.go.jp/region/asia-paci/pmv0708/speech-2.html>.

⁴ Staff, “Sea Dragon 2021 Kicks Off Between US and Partner Nations,” Commander, U.S. 7th Fleet, January 11, 2021. Accessed January 28, 2021. <https://www.c7f.navy.mil/Media/News/Display/Article/2468589/guam-hosts-partner-nations-in-exercise-sea-dragon-2021/>.

⁵ <https://www.mofa.go.jp/files/000176167.pdf>

⁶ <https://www.dfat.gov.au/countries/overview-australias-asean-and-southeast-asia-regional-programs>

For example, forming middle-power commissions⁷ to discuss issues facing the region such as North Korean denuclearization, vaccine distribution or digital economy regulation are a few areas that could bring a public good to the region. The precedent has already been set with the January 2018 Vancouver foreign ministers' meeting on security and stability on the Korean Peninsula⁸ but it needs to be regularized.⁹

Diplomacy directed at the Indo-Pacific should also focus on issues facing Canada and like-minded countries. Key examples include forging coalitions to protect against hostage diplomacy and economic coercion. Canada has already made progress by bringing together like-minded countries in the Declaration against Arbitrary Detention in State-to-State Relations.¹⁰

More of this kind of issue-specific diplomacy is not only necessary but provides Canada an opportunity to forge its own Indo-Pacific brand independent of the U.S., a critical characteristic to get Canada accepted as an Indo-Pacific stakeholder with its own interests, autonomy and vision rather than being seen as a mere junior partner to the U.S.

WORDS TO ACTION: CANADA'S INDO-PACIFIC VISION

Canada's middle-power identity and limited capacities will inform how we engage in the Indo-Pacific in a sustainable, meaningful and interest-based way. Canada can enhance its ability to achieve its interests in the Indo-Pacific by working with like-minded countries while developing its own independent Indo-Pacific brand.

Here, Canada shares enduring interests with Australia, Japan, the U.S. and other countries in ensuring that the Indo-Pacific is governed by a rules-based consensus rather than a Machiavellian "might is right" order. In such an order, Canada would be marginalized by more powerful states that do not share the same respect for rule of law, human rights and democracy.

Erosion of a rules-based Indo-Pacific order is not in Canada's interests. It would make dealing with traditional and non-traditional security challenges more complex. It would aggravate the challenges of dealing with global issues such as climate change, transnational diseases and development. The negative effects of this lack of co-ordination would not be confined to the Indo-Pacific region.

⁷ Jeffrey Robertson, "In Search of a Middle-power Rethink on North Korea Policy," The Interpreter, The Lowy Institute, November 25, 2020. Accessed January 25, 2021. <https://www.loyyinstitute.org/the-interpreter/search-middle-power-rethink-north-korea-policy>.

⁸ https://www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2017/12/canada_and_unitedstatestoco-hostvancouverforeignministersmeeting.html?wbdisable=true

⁹ Global Affairs Canada, "Canada and United States to Co-host Vancouver Foreign Ministers' Meeting on Security and Stability on Korean Peninsula," December 19, 2017. Accessed February 6, 2021. https://www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2017/12/canada_and_unitedstatestoco-hostvancouverforeignministersmeeting.html?wbdisable=true.

¹⁰ Global Affairs Canada, "Declaration Against Arbitrary Detention in State-to-State Relations," February 12, 2021, https://www.international.gc.ca/news-nouvelles/arbitrary_detention-detention_arbitraire-declaration.aspx?lang=eng.

Supporting a free and open, rules-based Indo-Pacific region is consistent with Canada's middle-power identity and national interests. It's time to turn statements into concrete action based on a long-term vision of Canada's interests in the Indo-Pacific and a strategy to realize those interests.

About the Author

Dr. Stephen Nagy is a senior associate professor at the International Christian University in Tokyo, a fellow at the Canadian Global Affairs Institute (CGAI) and a visiting fellow with the Japan Institute for International Affairs (JIIA). He was a Distinguished Fellow with the Asia Pacific Foundation from 2017-2020.

ABOUT THE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY

The School of Public Policy has become the flagship school of its kind in Canada by providing a practical, global and focused perspective on public policy analysis and practice in areas of energy and environmental policy, international policy and economic and social policy that is unique in Canada.

The mission of The School of Public Policy is to strengthen Canada's public service, institutions and economic performance for the betterment of our families, communities and country. We do this by:

- *Building capacity in Government* through the formal training of public servants in degree and non-degree programs, giving the people charged with making public policy work for Canada the hands-on expertise to represent our vital interests both here and abroad;
- *Improving Public Policy Discourse outside Government* through executive and strategic assessment programs, building a stronger understanding of what makes public policy work for those outside of the public sector and helps everyday Canadians make informed decisions on the politics that will shape their futures;
- *Providing a Global Perspective on Public Policy Research* through international collaborations, education, and community outreach programs, bringing global best practices to bear on Canadian public policy, resulting in decisions that benefit all people for the long term, not a few people for the short term.

The School of Public Policy relies on industry experts and practitioners, as well as academics, to conduct research in their areas of expertise. Using experts and practitioners is what makes our research especially relevant and applicable. Authors may produce research in an area which they have a personal or professional stake. That is why The School subjects all Research Papers to a double anonymous peer review. Then, once reviewers comments have been reflected, the work is reviewed again by one of our Scientific Directors to ensure the accuracy and validity of analysis and data.

The School of Public Policy

University of Calgary, Downtown Campus
906 8th Avenue S.W., 5th Floor
Calgary, Alberta T2P 1H9
Phone: 403 210 3802

DISTRIBUTION

Our publications are available online at www.policyschool.ca.

DISCLAIMER

The opinions expressed in these publications are the authors' alone and therefore do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, staff, or boards of The School of Public Policy.

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © Nagy 2021. This is an open-access paper distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons license [CC BY-NC 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows non-commercial sharing and redistribution so long as the original author and publisher are credited.

ISSN

ISSN 2560-8312 The School of Public Policy Publications (Print)
ISSN 2560-8320 The School of Public Policy Publications (Online)

DATE OF ISSUE

May 2021

MEDIA INQUIRIES AND INFORMATION

For media inquiries, please contact Morten Paulsen at 403-220-2540. Our web site, www.policyschool.ca, contains more information about The School's events, publications, and staff.

DEVELOPMENT

For information about contributing to The School of Public Policy, please contact Catherine Scheers by telephone at 403-210-6213 or by e-mail at catherine.scheers@ucalgary.ca.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS BY THE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY

SOCIAL POLICY TRENDS: POVERTY REDUCTION: POLICY INITIATIVES OR ECONOMIC GROWTH?

<https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/HSP84-Poverty-reduction.pdf>
Ron Kneebone | May 2021

A FISCAL ANCHOR FOR ALBERTA

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/AF21_Fiscal-Anchor_Dahlby.pdf
Bev Dahlby | May 2021

FISCAL PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY IN ALBERTA

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/AF20_Fiscal-Planning_Tombe.pdf
Trevor Tombe | May 2021

A REVIEW OF BARRIERS TO FULL-SCALE DEPLOYMENT OF EMISSIONS-REDUCTION TECHNOLOGIES

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/EFL47_Emissions-Reduction_Fellows-et-al.pdf
G. Kent Fellows, Victoria Goodday and Jennifer Winter | April 2021

REVERSING THE DECLINE OF CANADIAN PUBLIC MARKETS

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/FMR11_Capital-Markets_Tingle-Pandes.pdf
Bryce C. Tingle and J. Ari Pandes | April 2021

THE U.K. APPLIES TO JOIN CPTPP: WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR CANADA?

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/IE-44_CPTPP-Implications_Stephens.pdf
Hugh Stephens | April 2021

SOCIAL POLICY TRENDS: THE MINIMUM WAGE AND HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

<https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/SPT-APRIL-minimum-wage.pdf>
Ron Kneebone and Margarita Wilkins | April 2021

THE OIL PRODUCTION RESPONSE TO ALBERTA'S GOVERNMENT-MANDATED QUOTA

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/EFL46_Oil-Production_Hallak-et-al_final.pdf
Amir Hallak, Adam Jensen, Gilbert Lybbert and Lucija Muehlenbachs | March 2021

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION AND THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A REVIEW OF REGULATOR RESPONSE IN CANADA

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/EFL48_Environmental-Regulation_Goodday-2.pdf
Victoria Goodday | March 2021

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY TRENDS: VALUING ALBERTA'S RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY PROGRAM

<https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/EEP-trends-Shaffer.pdf>
Sara Hastings-Simon and Blake Shaffer | March 2021

EVIDENCE-BASED AND COMMUNITY ENGAGED PANDEMIC RESPONSES FOR CALGARY

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/HSP85_Pandemic-Responses_Kohek-et-al.pdf
Jessica Kohek, Meaghan Edwards, Katrina Milaney and Jennifer D. Zwicker | March 2021

THE IMPACT OF PROPERTY TAXATION ON BUSINESS INVESTMENT IN ALBERTA

https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/UP30_PropertyTaxation_Dahlby-Ferede-Khanal.pdf
Bev Dahlby, Ergete Ferede and Mukesh Khanal | March 2021

SOCIAL POLICY TRENDS: FIRST ADMISSIONS TO HOMELESS SHELTERS

<https://www.policyschool.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/SPT-March.pdf>
Ali Jadidzadeh and Ron Kneebone | March 2021