# Modeling for the modified atmosphere packaging of Sapota fruit

# Kshirod K Dash<sup>1\*</sup>, T.K. Goswami<sup>1</sup>, S. C. Deka<sup>2</sup>

- (1. Department of Agricultural and Food Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, 721302, India;
- 2. Department of Food Engineering and Technology Tezpur University, Tezpur, 784028, India)

**Abstract**: Modified atmospheric packaging utilizes polymeric films with selective permeability for O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, and modifies the storage environment by lowering O<sub>2</sub> concentration and increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Modeling and design of the Sapota fruit for modified atmosphere storage were done with different packaging materials for the higher shelf life. Based on the respiration rate and permeability of the packaging material, the suitable packaging films obtained for the modified atmospheric packaging of Sapota fruit were low density Polyethylene, Polyvinyl chloride, Polypropylene and Polystyrene film. Saran and Polyester films were found to be unsuitable for MAP storage of the Sapota fruit. The developed model provides an effective way for selection of the proper packaging material for Sapota fruit and this model can also be employed for designing packaging films for other fruits and vegetables.

**Keywords:** modified atmospheric packaging, extended shelf life, respiration rate, packaging films, permeability of packaging material

**Citation:** Kshirod K Dash, T. K. Goswami, and S. C. 2012. Deka Modeling for the modified atmosphere packaging of Sapota fruit. Agric Eng Int: CIGR Journal, 14 (2): Manuscript No. 1988.

## 1 Introduction

Fresh fruits and vegetables, after their harvest, continue to respire by consuming oxygen  $(O_2)$  and giving off carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ . During the process, carbohydrates

Received date: 2011-11-05 Accepted date: 2012-04-14

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Kshirod K Dash, Department of Agricultural and Food Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, 721302, India. Email: kshirod.iitkgp@gmail.com.

stored within the tissues of the commodities are utilized and energy in the form of heat is released. Decreasing O2 level and increasing CO2 level reduces the respiration rate, with the benefit of delaying senescence and browning, reducing metabolic activity, maintaining color, lowering microbial proliferation, and reducing chilling injury symptoms, thus, extends the shelf life of the product (Beaudry, 1999; Jacxsens, Devlieghere and Debevere, 2001, 2002; Brecht et al., 2003; Saltveit, 2003; Valero et al., 2008). Modification of the atmosphere inside the package by lowering O<sub>2</sub> concentration and increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration depends on the characteristics of the produce and the type of the packaging film (Mahajan et al., 2007; Mangaraj, Goswami and Mahajan, 2009). Investigations carried out have shown that within a narrow range of O2 and CO2 concentrations and storage temperature, shelf life of the produce such as fruits and vegetables can be a maximum. Addition of different amounts of chitosan during cheese making, combined with modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) was used to prolong the shelf life of stracciatella cheese (Gammariello et al., 2009). Limits of concentration of two gases and the temperature vary with the type of fruit and vegetable. Lowering O<sub>2</sub> concentration, increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and reducing temperature beyond certain limits is harmful. Concentrations in volume of O<sub>2</sub> below about 1.5% or that of CO<sub>2</sub> above 18 % may lead to spoilage due to anaerobic respiration (Lee et al., 1991). During respiration, concentration of O<sub>2</sub> inside the package will reduce and that of CO<sub>2</sub> will increase. Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) is mostly suitable for fruits and vegetables. Modified Atmosphere reduces respiration rate, ethylene production and textural losses, improves chlorophyll and other pigment retention, delays ripening and senescence and reduces the rate of microbial growth and spoilage (Kader, Zagory and Kerbel, 1989; Lopez-Briones et al., 1993; Rodriguez-Aguilera and Oliveira, 2009). Sivakumar and Korsten (2006) reported that MAP of litchi fruits using bi-axially oriented polypropylene (BOPP) film minimized the rate of transpiration, prevented weight loss and deterioration of fruit quality. Since O<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the package will be lowered than that present in outside the package, O<sub>2</sub> will permeate into package space through the packaging material. Likewise, CO<sub>2</sub> will permeate out of the packaging material to the outside of package. This passive / natural modification relies on the

interplay between the product respiration rate and the gas exchange rate through the package (Mahajan et al., 2008).

In modified atmosphere packaging and storage system, atmosphere that is present inside the package gets 'modified' from the environment according to the permeability of the packaging material and respiration of the commodities. The composition of the atmosphere within a package depends on factors like weight of the fruit, surface area of the packaging material, equilibrium concentration that is finally attained inside the package, void space inside the package, storage temperature, permeability of the packaging materials and respiration rates (Das, 2005; Davies, 1995; Jayas and Jeyamkondan, 2002). Modified atmospheric packaging utilizes polymeric films with selective permeability for O2, CO2, and H2O vapor to create a modified atmosphere around the packaged product due to the respiration of the product and the selective permeability of the packaging material (Guevara et al., 2003). For the experimental purpose, Sapota, which is known as Chikoo / Chiku in India bearing the scientific name as Achrus sapota has been selected for the present investigation. The fruit of Sapota is small, ranging from 5 to 9 cm in diameter, with a round to egg-shaped appearance, 75 to 200 g in weight. It consists of a rough brown skin, which encloses a soft, sweet, light brown to reddish-brown flesh. On the basis of the respiration of Sapota and the permeability of different packaging materials the objective of the study was to develop a model for modified atmospheric packaging system.

#### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Raw materials

For the present study on rate of respiration, mature, unripe Sapota fruit of local variety (*Achrus sapota*) were obtained from commercial sources. Fruits were washed to remove adhering dirt, and used for the investigations. Attention was paid to ensure that the fruits were of uniform size and weight. Besides, the commercial importance of the fruit was also taken into consideration while selecting the fruit.

## 2.2 Generation of respiration data

A closed system respirometer was adopted for generating the respiration data. The respirometer was an air-tight chamber of size  $0.142 \times 0.174 \times 0.229$  m, made up of

acrylic (Perspex) sheets. Fruits were kept in the respirometer from the open topside and were closed with the lid inserting neoprene gasket in between. Lid was closed with nuts and bolts provided on the respirometer to make it airtight. This sealed respirometer was kept in a humidity control chamber (Digitech, Kolkata make with an accuracy of  $\pm$  2%), which was maintained at the desired temperature with a tolerance limit of  $\pm$  0.2 °C (accuracy  $\pm$  1%). Gas composition of the respirometer was analyzed at regular intervals depending on the storage temperature of Sapota. Typically, the intervals chosen were 2h for the temperatures at 30 and 25 °C, 4h at 20 and 15 °C, 8h at 10, 5 and 0 °C. Gas analysis was done till the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration reached to 18%, corresponding to the attainment of anaerobic respiration condition (Hagger, Lee and Yam, 1992).

The respiration rates in terms of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  at a given temperature were calculated using Equations (1) and (2) respectively as given by Kays (1991).

$$R_{O_2}' = \left[ \frac{(Y_{O_2})_t - (Y_{O_2})_{t+1}}{\Delta t} \right] \frac{V_f}{M}$$
 (1)

$$R_{CO_2}' = \left[ \frac{(Y_{CO_2})_t - (Y_{CO_2})_{t+1}}{\Delta t} \right] \frac{V_f}{M}$$
 (2)

Where:

R' = respiration rate for the respective gases, mL/(kg h)

Y = concentrations of the respective gases, %

 $V_f$  = free volume of the respirometer, mL

M = weight of the produce, kg

 $\Delta t$  = time difference between two successive gas measurements, h

The average values of concentration of oxygen  $(Y_{O_2})$  and carbon dioxide  $(Y_{CO_2})$  inside the container at an average time,  $t_{avg}$ , as given in Equation (3), were calculated using equation (4) and equation (5) respectively.

$$t_{avg} = \frac{t_i + t_{i+1}}{2} \tag{3}$$

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(Y_{O_2})_t + (Y_{O_2})_{t+1}}{2} \tag{4}$$

$$Y_{CO_2} = \frac{(Y_{CO_2})_t + (Y_{CO_2})_{t+1}}{2}$$
 (5)

The values of  $R_{O_2}$  and  $R_{CO_2}$  are functions of  $Y_{O_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$ . From the experimental values of  $Y_{O_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$ , values of  $Y_{O_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  and  $Y_{CO_2}$  by the second order regression equations as represented by Equation (6) and Equation (7) respectively.

$$R_{o_2} = a_0 + a_1 Y_{o_2} + a_2 Y_{CO_2} + a_{11} Y_{o_2}^2 + a_{22} Y_{CO_2}^2 + a_{12} Y_{O_2} Y_{CO_2}$$
 (6)

$$R_{co_2} = b_0 + b_1 Y_{O_2} + b_2 Y_{CO_2} + b_{11} Y_{o_2}^2 + b_{22} Y_{co_2}^2 + b_{12} Y_{O_2} Y_{CO_2}$$
 (7)

Relative deviation percent  $E_{R_{O_2}}$  between actual  $O_2$  consumption rate,  $R_{O_2}$  obtained from experimental data and the predicted  $O_2$  consumption rate,  $R_{O_2}$  as obtained from Equation (6) at a given temperature can be obtained from Equation(8).

$$E_{R_{O_2}} = \frac{100}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left| \dot{R_{O_2}} - \dot{R_{O_2}} \right|}{\dot{R_{O_2}}}$$
 (8)

Where, N = number of observed data on  $O_2$  or  $CO_2$  concentration (Das, 2005).

In a similar manner, the relative deviation percent  $E_{R_{CO_2}}$  between actual  $CO_2$  consumption rate,  $R_{CO_2}$  obtained from experimental data and the predicted  $CO_2$  consumption rate,  $R_{CO_2}$  as obtained from Equation (7) at a given temperature can be obtained from equation (9) (Das, 2005).

$$E_{R_{CO_2}} = \frac{100}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left| R_{CO_2}^{'} - R_{CO_2} \right|}{R_{CO_2}^{'}}$$
(9)

## 2.3 Process for Modeling of modified atmospheric packaging

Modeling for the prediction of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside various flexible packaging materials containing the fruit Sapota is established in the present study. Sapota fruits were packed inside some suitable packaging materials which were permeable to gas. The packaged Sapota was stored in a reduced temperature environment. The various gas permeable packaging materials used were low density Polyethylene, Polyvinyl chloride, Polypropylene, Polystyrene, Saran and Polyester. For modified atmosphere packaging and storage, 1 kg of Sapota was packed separately in different packaging films and stored at temperature of 12 °C. The surface area of the packaging material through which  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  permeated was  $0.05 \text{ m}^2$ . The volume of the void space present inside the packaging material was  $1000 \text{ cm}^3$ . The atmospheric concentration of  $O_2$  ( $Y_{O_2}$ ) and  $CO_2$  exchange occurred through the different packaging materials. For some intermediate temperature  $T_i$  (°C), values of the constants can be obtained through interpolation. The values of constants of equation (6) and (7) at storage temperature of 12 °C could be calculated by the interpolation of the constant values obtained at storage temperature of 10 and 15 °C.

## 3 Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Generation of respiration data

The concentration of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> was plotted against storage period from the respiration data obtained by using the closed system respirometer for Sapota fruits. The periodical changes in O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of Sapota at different storage temperatures are represented in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. It is observed from Figure 1 and Figure 2 that the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased as the O<sub>2</sub> concentration of the respirometer decreased along with the storage period, the rate being more at the higher storage temperatures. Since the enzyme kinetics is valid only for aerobic respiration and the maximum allowable limit of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration for aerobic respiration being 0.18 in decimal (Hagger, Lee and Yam, 1992), the respiration data points beyond 0.18 for CO<sub>2</sub> concentration were neglected. From the initial concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> of about 0.0003 in decimal, which was nevertheless the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in ambient air, it reached to its highest permissible level of 0.18 in decimal, after 122, 105, 88, 68, 52, 40, and 32 h at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 °C storage temperatures respectively. Of course, the

corresponding O<sub>2</sub> concentrations were well above 0.025 in decimal indicating the least chance of anaerobic respiration. From Equations (6) and (7), the values of constants a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>11</sub>, a<sub>22</sub>, a<sub>12</sub>, b<sub>0</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>, b<sub>11</sub>, b<sub>22</sub>, b<sub>12</sub> were obtained at different temperatures at which experiments were done. The values obtained at temperatures of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 °C were applied in Equations (6) and (7) to obtain the different constants using least square method. Relative deviation percent E<sub>RO2</sub> and E<sub>RCO2</sub> were calculated by applying Equations (8) and (9) respectively. Values of the constants such as  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $a_{12}$ , b<sub>0</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>, b<sub>11</sub>, b<sub>22</sub>, b<sub>12</sub> at different temperatures are tabulated in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. It is observed from Tables 1 and Table 2 that the relative deviation between modeled value and experimental value for O2 laid between 2% to 23% and for CO2 lied between 4% to 24%. If the values of the relative deviation percent lie between 0 to 30%, the closeness of the predicted values and that of the experimental values are considered to be very good. Hence the model and experimental values are in close agreement. The values of the constants  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $a_{12}$  and  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{22}$ ,  $b_{12}$  at storage temperature of 12 °C obtained by interpolation of the values from Table 1 and Table 2 were applied to Equations (6) and (7) to obtain the respiration rate of the Sapota fruit at storage temperature of 12 °C.

Table 1 Values of constants  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $a_{12}$  and  $E_{R_{01}}$ 

Storage temperature (°C)	$a_0$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_{11}$	$a_{22}$	$a_{12}$	$E_{R_{O_2}} \ (\%)$
0	73	-513	-882	902	2548	3440	17
5	249	-2181	-2287	4890	5232	10194	9
10	-52	454	374	-788	-536	-1628	2
15	-67	682	335	-1435	-69	-1961	23
20	260	-2181	-2743	4774	7214	11875	4
25	40	-904	-417	3810	1280	3524	13
30	208	-1847	-2029	4348	4901	9261	6

Table 2 Values of constants  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{22}$ ,  $b_{12}$  and  $E_{R_{CO_2}}$ 

Storage temperature (°C)	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	b <sub>11</sub>	$b_{22}$	b <sub>12</sub>	$E_{R_{CO_2}} \ (\%)$
0	-160	1584	1720	-3759	-4522	-8510	7
5	-714	6222	7204	-13308	-18106	-31406	13
10	-1173	10217	11180	-21911	-26602	-48752	4
15	1796	-14922	-16914	31220	39816	70275	24
20	1219	-10532	-12943	23099	34472	55710	19
25	-573	4607	4885	-8432	-10278	-20090	22
30	-221	2374	2177	-5829	-5195	-11924	15




Figure 1 Changes in O<sub>2</sub> concentrations with storage time inside respirometer containing sapota for different temperatures




Figure 2 Changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with storage time inside respirometer containing sapota for different temperatures

#### 3.2 Selection of packaging film

The type of packaging film required to achieve the equilibrium depends on permeability of different films and respiration rate of the specific fruit. Different fruits have different respiration rate and hence only few types of films suits for a specific fruit on the basis of its respiration rate. The permeability,  $k_{o_2}$  and  $k_{co_2}$  in cm<sup>3</sup> of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> at STP diffusing per hour per m<sup>2</sup> of cross sectional area through the packaging material of unit thickness under a pressure difference of 1 atm pressure can be expressed in terms of Equations (10) and (11).

$$k_{O_2} = D_{O_2} S_{O_2} (10)$$

$$k_{CO_2} = D_{CO_2} S_{CO_2} (11)$$

Where 'D' is diffusivity in  $m^2/h$  of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  through various packaging materials and 'S' is the solubility of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  in cm<sup>3</sup> (STP) per m<sup>3</sup> of packaging material per atm

partial pressure (cm $^3$  (STP)/atm.m $^3$  solid).On the basis of this the permeability values obtained in cm $^3$ /h. m $^2$  for O $_2$  and CO $_2$  of the different films are given in Table 3.

Table 3 Permeability values of different types of packaging films at 12 °C

Film type	${ m O_2}$ permeability $k_{o_2}$	$ ext{CO}_2$ permeability $k_{CO_2}$
Polyethylene: low density	3900	7700
Polyvinyl chloride	412	2439
Polypropylene	1300	7700
Polystyrene	2600	10000
Saran	8	52
Polyester	60	190

Exchange of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  occurs through the different packaging materials, inside which the fruit is stored.  $Y_{O_2}$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup> /air/) refers to  $O_2$  concentration and  $Y_{CO_2}$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup> air) refers to the  $CO_2$  concentration inside the package.  $Y_{a(O_2)}$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup> air) and  $Y_{a(CO_2)}$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup> air) are the respective concentrations of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  in atmospheric air.

For the transfer of oxygen from atmospheric air through the packaging material into the package space, generalized Equation (12) and Equation (13) can be applied.

Rate of O<sub>2</sub> entry into the package space – Rate of O<sub>2</sub> consumed by the fruit

= Rate of O<sub>2</sub> accumulation inside the package space

This can be translated mathematically as shown in Equation (12) and Equation (13):

$$A_{p}k_{O_{2}}(Y_{a(O_{2})} - Y_{O_{2}}) - W_{p} \times R_{O_{2}} = V_{e} \left[ \frac{dY_{O_{2}}}{d\theta} \right]$$
(12)

Or, 
$$\left(\frac{dY_{O_2}}{d\theta}\right) = -\left(\frac{W_p}{V_e}\right)R_{O_2} + \left(\frac{A_p k_{O_2}}{V_e}\right)(Y_{a(O_2)} - Y_{O_2})$$
 (13)

Where,  $A_p$  is the surface area of the packaging material through which  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  permeates;  $k_{O_2}$  is the  $O_2$  permeability through the packaging material and  $W_p$  (kg) is the

weight of the fruit stored inside the packaging material;  $V_e$  is the volume of void space present inside the packaging material;  $R_{o_2}$  is the respiration rate of the fruit for  $O_2$ ;

and  $\frac{dY_{O_2}}{d\theta}$  is the rate of change of O<sub>2</sub> concentration within the package at time  $\theta$  (h) of storage.

Similarly, for transfer rate of  $CO_2$  from inside to the outside of the packaging material equation (14) and (15) can be applied.

Rate of  $CO_2$  generated by the fruit – Rate of  $CO_2$  leaving out of package space by the fruit = Rate of accumulation of  $CO_2$  inside the package space.

This can be translated mathematically as shown in Equation (14) and Equation (15):

$$W_{p} \times R_{CO_{2}} - A_{p} k_{CO_{2}} (Y_{CO_{2}} - Y_{a(CO_{2})}) = V_{e} \left[ \frac{dY_{CO_{2}}}{d\theta} \right]$$
(14)

Or, 
$$\left(\frac{dY_{CO_2}}{d\theta}\right) = \left(\frac{W_p}{V_e}\right) R_{CO_2} - \left(\frac{A_p k_{CO_2}}{V_e}\right) (Y_{a(CO_2)} - Y_{CO_2})$$
 (15)

Where,  $\frac{dY_{CO_2}}{d\theta}$  is the rate of change of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration within the package at time  $\theta$  (h) of storage;  $k_{CO_2}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> permeability of the packaging material; and  $R_{CO_2}$  is the respiration rate of the fruit for CO<sub>2</sub>.

The values of  $W_p$ ,  $A_p$ ,  $V_e$  were 1 kg, 0.05 m<sup>2</sup> and 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> respectively and the permeability values of different packaging material at storage temperature of 12 °C were obtained from Table 3. Using regression coefficients, simultaneous solution of Equation (13) and Equation (15) by numerical means can give variation of oxygen concentration  $Y_{o_2}$  (volume fraction) and carbon dioxide concentration  $Y_{co_2}$  (volume fraction) inside the package with time  $\theta$  (h) of storage. The graphical representations of change in concentrations of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  with respect to the duration of storage are shown in Figure 3 to Figure 8.




Figure 3 Variation of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12 °C for Sapota for low density Polyethylene




Figure 4 Variation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12 °C for Sapota for Polyvinyl chloride




Figure 5 Variation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12 °C for Sapota for Polypropylene




Figure 6 Variation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12  $^{\circ}C$  for Sapota for Polystyrene




Figure 7 Variation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12 °C for Sapota in saran type film




Figure 8 Variation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration inside the modified atmosphere package at 12 °C for Sapota for Polyester film

For different packaging films the equilibrium concentration of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  are given in Table 4.

Table 4 Equilibrium concentration of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> for different packaging films

No.	Packaging Material	Equilibrium concentration of O <sub>2</sub> in decimal	Equilibrium concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in decimal
1.	Polyethylene low density	0.173	0.0361
2.	Polyvinyl chloride	0.1252	0.0686
3.	Polypropylene	0.1514	0.0311
4.	Polystyrene	0.1647	0.0286
5.	Saran	0.0708	0.1542
6.	Polyester	0.079	0.1439

From Figure 3 to Figure 6, it is observed that the equilibrium concentrations of  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  reached within the shortest period of time and these concentrations lie within the range required for maximum shelf life of Sapota fruit. In Figure 7 and Figure 8 the equilibrium concentration achieved after a longer duration and the corresponding equilibrium concentration values are not in the range for maximum shelf life of the Sapota fruit. From Table 4 it is revealed that for low density Polyethylene, Polyvinyl chloride, Polypropylene and Polystyrene film the equilibrium concentration of  $O_2$  lies in the range from 0.12 to 0.18 in decimal and equilibrium concentration of  $CO_2$  lies in the range from 0.02 to 0.07 in decimal. But for the Saran and Polyester films, the equilibrium concentration of  $O_2$  and  $O_2$  lies in the range from 0.07 to 0.08 and 0.14 to 0.16 respectively which is unsuitable for maximum shelf life of the Sapota fruit. On the basis of this observation, the suitable packaging films for modified atmospheric packaging of Sapota fruit can be low density Polyethylene, Polyvinyl chloride, Polypropylene and Polystyrene film. Saran and Polyester films are unsuitable for MAP storage of Sapota fruit.

# 4 Conclusions

Modified atmospheric packaging is a dynamic process which reduces respiration, delays ripening, decreases ethylene sensitivity, decreases production of ethylene, retards textural softening and causes extended shelf life of the product. The crucial factor responsible for modified atmospheric storage of Sapota fruit is the permeability of the packaging film and respiration rate of the fruit. The permeability of the packaging film is mainly dependent on the thickness of the film and the type of polymer. As the respiration

rate of individual fruit and vegetable varies from each other, hence the type of polymer for MAP will also vary from product to product. The packaging material slows down the normal respiration of the product by creating a lowered level of  $O_2$  and a higher level of  $CO_2$  inside the packaging material. Improper packaging material may deteriorate the fruit condition and hence reduce its shelf life. For higher shelf life of the product packaging material should be such that optimum atmosphere would be created in the package within the shortest possible time. Packing materials differ in their  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  permeability. This model provides an effective way for selection of the proper packaging material as per the respiration rate of fruit. Hence the model can be applied for other fruits and vegetables for determination of suitability of packaging material for the extended shelf life of the product through modified packaging.

#### References

- Beaudry, R. M. 1999. Effect of O2 and CO2 partial pressure on selected phenomena affecting fruit and vegetable quality. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*,. 15 (3): 293–303.
- Brecht, J. K., K. V. Chau, , S. C. Fonseca, , F. A. R. Oliveira, , F. M. Silva, , M. C. N. Nunes, , and R. J. Bender, 2003. Maintaining optimal atmosphere conditions for fruits and vegetables throughout the postharvest handling chain. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 2003 (27): 87–101.
- Das, H. 2005. Food processing operations analysis. Asian books private limited, 384-402.
- Davies, A. R. 1995. Advances in modified-atmosphere packaging. G.W. Gould, Editor, New Methods of Food Preservation, Blackie, Glasgow, 304–320.
- Jacxsens, L., F. Devlieghere, , and J. Debevere. 2001. Effect of high oxygen modified atmosphere packaging on microbial growth and sensorial qualities of fresh-cut produce. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 71 (2):197–210.
- Jacxsens, L., F. Devlieghere, , and J. Debevere. 2002. Predictive modelling for packaging design: equilibrium modified atmosphere packages of fresh cut vegetables subjected

- to a simulated distribution chain. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 73 (2-3): 331–341.
- Kader, A. A., D. Zagory and E. L. Kerbel. 1989. Modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science & Nutrition, 28 (1):1-30.
- Lopez-Briones, G., P. Varoquaux, , G. Bureau, , and B. Pascat. 1993. Modified atmosphere packaging of common mushroom. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 28 (1): 57–68.
- Gammariello, D., A. Conte, M. Attanasio, and M. A. Delnobile. 2009. A study on the synergy of modified atmosphere packaging and chitosan on stracciatella shelf life. Journal of Food Process Engineering, 34 (5): 1394-1407.
- Guevara, J. C., E. M. Yahia, , E. Brito De La Fuente, , and S. P. Biserka. 2003. Effects of elevated concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in modified atmosphere packaging on the quality of prickly pear cactus stems (Opuntia spp.). *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 29 (2): 167-176.
- Hagger, P. E., D. S. Lee, , and K. L. Yam. 1992. Application of an enzyme kinetic based respiration model to closed system experiments for fresh produce. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 15 (2): 143-157.
- Jayas, D. S. and S. Jeyamkondan. 2002. Modified atmosphere storage of grain meat fruit and vegetables. *Biosystems Engineering*, 82 (3): 235–251.
- Kays, S. J. 1991. Metabolic processes in harvested products-respiration. Post Harvest Physiology of perishable Plant Products, Van Nostrand Reinhold Publication, New York., 75-142.
- Lee, D. S., P. E. Hagger, J. Lee, and K. L. Yam. 1991. Model for fresh produce respiration in modified atmosphere based on principles of enzyme kinetics. *Journal of Food Science*, 56 (6): 1580-1585.
- Mahajan, P. V., F. A. R. Oliveira, , J. C. Montanez, and J. Frias. 2007. Development of user-friendly software for design of modified atmosphere packaging for fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 8 (1): 84-92.

- Mahajan, P. V., F. A. R. Oliveira, J. C. Montanez, and T. Iqbal. 2008. Packaging Design for Fresh Produce. *New Food*, 1: 35-36.
- Mangaraj, S., T. K. Goswami, and P. V. Mahajan. 2009. Application of plastic films in modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables - A review. Food Engineering Reviews, 1 (2): 133-158.
- Rodriguez-Aguilera, R., and J. C. Oliveira. 2009. Review of design engineering methods and applications of active and modified atmosphere packaging systems. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 1 (1): 66-83.
- Saltveit, M. E. 2003. Is it possible to find an optimal controlled atmosphere? *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 27 (1): 3–13.
- Sivakumar, D., and L. Korsten, 2006. Influence of modified atmosphere packaging and post harvest treatments on quality retention of litchi cv. Mauritius. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*,41 (2): 135–142.
- Valero, A., M. Begum, A. D. Hocking, S. Marin, A. J. Ramos, and V. Sanchis. 2008.
  Mycelial growth and ochratoxin A production by Aspergillus section Nigri on simulated grape medium in modified atmospheres. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 105 (2): 372–379.