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#### Abstract

A linear code over a finite field is called Hermitian self-dual if the code is self-dual under the Hermitian inner-product. The Hermitian self-dual code is called MDS or near-MDS if the code attains or almost attains the Singleton bound. In this paper we construct new Hermitian self-dual MDS or near-MDS codes over $G F(9), G F(25)$, and $G F(121)$ of length up to 14.
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## 1 Introduction

A linear $[n, k]$ code $C$ over $G F(q)$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $G F(q)^{n}$, where $G F(q)$ is the Galois field with $q$ elements. The value $n$ is called length of $C$ and every element of $C$ is called codeword of $C$. The weight $w t(c)$ of a codeword $c \in C$ is the number of nonzero components of $c$. The minimum weight $d$ of all nonzero codewords in $C$ is called minimum weight of $C$. An $[n, k, d]$ code is an $[n, k]$ code with minimum weight $d$. The weight enumerator $W$ of $C$ is given by

$$
W(\mathrm{y})=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k} y^{k}
$$

where $A_{k}$ denotes the number of codewords of weight $k$ in $C$.

The space $G F(q)^{n}$ is equipped by Hermitian inner-product defined by

$$
[x, y]=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \overline{y_{k}},
$$

[^0]for two vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in $G F(q)^{n}$, where $\overline{y_{k}}=y_{k}^{\sqrt{q}}$, and $q=p^{m}$, for a prime number $p$ and an even $m$.

The Hermitian dual code $C^{\perp}$ of $C$ is defined as

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\{x \in G F(q)^{n}:[x, c]=0, \forall c \in \mathrm{C}\right\} .
$$

A code $C$ is called Hermitian self-dual if $C=C^{\perp}$. From now on, what we mean by self-dual is Hermitian self-dual.

A linear $[n, k, d]$ code over $G F(q)$ satisfies the Singleton bound $d \leq n-k+1$ (see, e.g., [1]). If the equality is attained then the code is called MDS code. The $[n, k, n-k]$ code is called almost MDS code [2]. An $[n, k, n-k]$ almost MDS code for which the dual code is also an almost MDS is called near-MDS code [3].

MDS codes are important in Mathematics since they are equivalent to geometric objects called $n$-arcs [1, p. 326] and also to combinatorial objects called orthogonal arrays [1, p. 326]. Moreover, very recently, Dodunekov [4] and Zhou, et al. [5] announced the importance of self-dual near-MDS codes in Cryptography, in particular in secret sharing schemes. Hence there is a great interest in the construction of MDS or near-MDS self-dual codes over finite fields (see, e.g., [6-10]).

Kim and his co-authors $([8,10])$ used a construction method, called the buildingup method, to construct self-dual MDS or near-MDS codes. They also showed that every self-dual codes over certain fields can be obtained by their buildingup method. In particular, [8] provided three examples, one example, of selfdual near-MDS codes of length 12 over $G F(9), G F(25)$, respectively. Recently, Gulliver, et al. [10] gave an example of self-dual MDS code of length 14 and stated that they also found many self-dual near-MDS codes of length 16 over $G F(121)$. From the generator matrix of self-dual near-MDS of length 14 above, they [10] found one self-dual MDS code of length $12,10,8,6,4$, and 2 , respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to provide some more examples of MDS or nearMDS self-dual codes. We obtained several new MDS or near-MDS self-dual codes of length 10 and 12 over $G F(9), 10,12$, and 14 over $G F(25)$, and $4,6,8$, and 10 over the field $G F(121)$ which were unknown to exist before.

## 2 Construction Method

We use the following building-up construction given in [8].
Theorem 2.1 Let $G_{0}=(L \mid R)=\left(l_{i} \mid r_{i}\right)$ be a generator matrix of a self-dual code $C_{0}$ over $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ of length $2 n$, where $l_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are the rows of the matrices $L$ and $R$ respectively, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a vector in $G F\left(q^{2}\right)^{2 n}$ with $[x, x]=-1$ in $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$. Set $\overline{y_{i}}=\left[\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right),\left(l_{i} \mid r_{i}\right)\right]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $c=\zeta^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ for $\left(q^{2}-1\right)$-th root of unity $\zeta$ in $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ (and hence $c c=-1$ ). Then the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n} & x_{n+1} & \cdots & x_{2 n} \\
-y_{1} & c y_{1} & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & L & & & R & \\
-y_{n} & c y_{n} & & & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

generates a self-dual code $C$ over $G F\left(q^{2}\right)$ of length $2 n+2$.
The key point of the above theorem in constructing new self-dual codes is to supply generator matrices of self-dual codes of length 2 shorter than the length of codes we want to construct. The more we supply generator matrices of length $2 n$ the bigger the chance to obtain new codes of length $2 n+2$.

Let $C$ be a self-dual code of length $2 n+2$, and let $G$ be its generator matrix. Without loss of generality we may assume that $G=\left(I_{n} \mid A\right)=\left(e_{i} \mid a_{i}\right)$, where $e_{i}$ and $a_{i}$ are the rows of the identity matrix $I_{n}$ and $A$, respectively for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $c$ be in $G F(q)$ such that $c^{2}=-1$ in $G F(q)$. Then $C$ has also the following generator matrix

$$
G^{\prime}:=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
e_{1}-c e_{2} & a_{1}-c a_{2} \\
-c e_{2} & \mid \\
e_{3} & -c a_{2} \\
\vdots & a_{3} \\
e_{n} & \vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Deleting the first two columns and the second row of $G$ we obtain an $(n-1) \times 2 n$ matrix of the form

$$
G_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}
0 & \cdots & 0 & \mid \\
& & & a_{1}-c a_{2} \\
& I_{n-2} & & a_{3} \\
& & & \vdots \\
& & a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We claim that $G_{0}$ is a generator matrix of some self-dual code $C_{0}$ of length $2 n$. It suffices to show that any two rows of $G_{0}$ are orthogonal to each other. The inner-product of the first row of $G_{0}$ with itself equals

$$
\left[a_{1}-c a_{2}, a_{1}-c a_{2}\right]=-\left(c^{2}+1\right)=0
$$

For $3 \leq i \leq n$, the inner-product of the $i$-th row of $G_{0}$ with itself equals

$$
1+\left[a_{i}, a_{i}\right]=0 .
$$

For $3 \leq i \leq n$, the inner-product of the first row of $G_{0}$ with the $i$-th row is equal to

$$
\left[a_{1}-c a_{2}, a_{i}\right]=\left[a_{1}, a_{i}\right]-\left[c a_{2}, a_{i}\right]=0
$$

For $3 \leq i, j \leq n$, with $i \neq j$, the inner-product of the $i$-th row with the $j$-th row is equal to

$$
0+\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=0
$$

Hence we have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2 Let $G=\left(I_{n} \mid A\right)=\left(e_{i} \mid a_{i}\right)$, where $e_{i}$ and $a_{i}$ are the rows of the identity matrix $I_{n}$ and $A$, respectively for $1 \leq i \leq n$, be a generator matrix of a self-dual code $C$ of length $2 n+2$. Then

$$
G_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}
0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1}-c a_{2} \\
& & & \mid \\
& I_{n-2} & & a_{3} \\
& & & \vdots \\
& a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is generator matrix of a self-dual code of length $2 n$.

Remark 2.3 Proposition 2.2 above is nothing but the restatement of Proposition 3.2 in [8].

### 2.1 Construction Algorithm

The method we use here to construct new codes is a combination of subtraction method and building-up method. Subtraction as well as building-up construction method are well known in Coding Theory. Kim's method (Theorem 2.1) is basically a building-up method: it is possible to construct a self-dual $[2 n+2, n+1, d+2]$ code from a self-dual $[2 n, n, \geq d]$ code. Subtraction method (Proposition 2.2) is a reverse of the building-up method: it is possible to construct a self-dual $[2 n, n, \geq d]$ code from a self-dual $[2 n+2, n+1, d+2]$ code.

Our key step to create new codes is to supply known generator matrices $G_{0}$ of self-dual $[2 n, n, \geq d]$ codes as many as possible, and to use all possible vectors $x \in G F\left(q^{2}\right)$, for each matrix $G_{0}$. The algorithm is given in the Table 1 (c.f. [11]).

Table 1 An algorithm to construct MDS or near-MDS self-dual codes by combination of building-up and subtraction method.
Input: $C_{2 n+2}^{\prime}$, a known $[2 n+2, n+1, d]$ self-dual code (not necessarily (near-) MDS).
Output: $C_{2 n+2}$, the set of new $[2 n+2, n+1, d]$ self-dual codes, with $d=n$ or $n+1$.

1. Construct a self-dual $[2 n, n, d]$ code $C_{2 n, 1}$ from a given self-dual $[2 n+2, n+1, d]$ code $C_{2 n+2}^{\prime}$ by subtraction method (Proposition 2.2).
2. Construct self-dual $[2 n+2, n+1, d] \operatorname{codes} C_{2 n+2}$ from a self-dual $[2 n, n, d]$ code $C_{2 n, 1}$ by the building-up method (Theorem 2.1). Supply all possible values for vector $x$.
3. Check the equivalence of new self-dual codes $C_{2 n+2}$ from Step 2. Let say, we get $l$ inequivalent self-dual $[2 n+2, n+1, d] \operatorname{codes} C_{2 n+2,1}, C_{2 n+2,2}, \ldots, C_{2 n+2, l}$.
4. For each self-dual code obtained in Step 3, return to Step 1. Denote a new self-dual [2n, $n, d]$ code by $C_{2 n, 2}$.

## 3 Results

In this section, we apply the above method to construct some new Hermitian self-dual MDS or near-MDS codes over $G F(9), G F(25)$, and $G F(121)$. All computer calculations were done by MAGMA [12] and MATLAB.

### 3.1 Self-dual Near-MDS Codes Over $G F(9)$

Let $w$ be a root of a primitive polynomial $x^{2}+2 x+2 \in G F(3)[x]$ and $c:=w^{2}$ be the element defined as in Theorem 2.1.

### 3.2 Length 10

Kim and Lee [8] constructed a self-dual near-MDS [10,5,5] with the following generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 0 & w & w^{5} & 1 & w & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
w^{5} & w^{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
w^{2} & w^{7} & w^{5} & w^{2} & 1 & 0 & w & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & w^{5} & w^{2} & w^{7} & w & w^{6} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
w^{3} & 1 & w^{2} & w^{7} & w^{3} & 1 & w^{6} & w^{3} & 1 & w
\end{array}\right) \cdot
$$

By the building-up method (Theorem 2.1) continues with the subtraction method (Proposition 2.2), we obtained three self-dual near-MDS [10,5,5] with generator matrices given below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{10,1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w^{4} & w^{3} & w & w^{2} & w^{2} & w \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w^{5} & w^{6} & w & w^{4} & 0 & w^{5} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & w^{4} & 1 & w^{3} & w^{7} & w^{2} & w^{4} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w^{7} & w^{3} & w^{3} & 1 & w^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w^{4} & w & w & w & w & w^{5}
\end{array}\right), \\
C_{10,2} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w^{4} & w^{3} & w & w^{2} & w^{2} & w \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w^{4} & w^{6} & 0 & 1 & w^{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & w^{2} & w^{5} & w^{5} & w^{4} & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w^{3} & w & w^{5} & w^{3} & w^{7} & w^{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w^{4} & w & w & w & w & w^{5}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C_{10,3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w^{4} & w^{3} & w & w^{2} & w^{2} & w \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w^{2} & 0 & w^{2} & w^{7} & w & w^{3} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & w^{5} & w^{7} & w^{6} & w^{6} & w^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w^{5} & 1 & 0 & w^{4} & w^{5} & w^{7} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & w^{5} & w^{3} & w^{2} & w^{5} & w^{5} & w^{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Weight enumerator of the above codes is $W_{10,1}(y)=W_{10,2}(y)$ $=1+128 y^{5}+1040 y^{6}+\cdots$, and $W_{10,3}(y)=1+160 y^{5}+952 y^{6}+\cdots$, respectively.

Since the two self-dual near-MDS $[10,5,5]$ codes constructed by Kim and Lee [7] has weight enumerator $W(y)=1+128 y^{5}+1040 y^{6}+4160 y^{7}+\cdots$ and $W(\mathrm{y})=1+144 \mathrm{y}^{5}+960 y^{6}+\cdots$, respectively, then we obtained at least one new self-dual near-MDS $[10,5,5]$ code, namely the code $C_{10,3}$.

### 3.3 Length 12

Kim and Lee [8] have constructed three self-dual near-MDS [12,6,6] codes. From the above near-MDS $[10,5,5]$ codes, we applied the building-up method (Theorem 2.1) to construct self-dual codes of length 12 . We obtained 9 self-dual near-MDS $[12,6,6]$ codes which are not equivalent with the ones constructed by Kim and Lee [8] (see Table 2).

Table 2 Self-dual near-MDS [12,6,6] codes over $G F(9)$.

| No | Vector x in Generator Matrix | $A_{6}, A_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{5}, 0, \mathrm{w}^{5}, \mathrm{w}^{5}, \mathrm{w}^{6}\right)$ | 480,3456 |
| 2 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{3}, \mathrm{w}^{5}, 0, \mathrm{w}^{5}\right)$ | 480,3456 |
| 3 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, 0,1\right)$ | 496,3360 |
| 4 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, 0\right)$ | 544,3072 |
| 5 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, 1, \mathrm{w}^{4}, 0\right)$ | 544,3072 |
| 6 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{3}\right)$ | 544,3072 |
| 7 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{3}, 0, \mathrm{w}^{4}\right)$ | 624,2592 |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, 0, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{5}\right)$ | 624,2592 |
| 9 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{2}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{5}\right)$ | 736,1920 |

### 3.4 Self-dual MDS or Near-MDS Codes Over GF(25)

Let $w$ be a root of primitive polynomial $x^{2}+4 x+2 \in G F(25)[x]$ and $c:=w^{2}$ be the element defined as in Theorem 2.1.

### 3.4.1 Length 10

First, the [8] provided a self-dual MDS [10,5,6] code $C_{10}^{\prime}$ :

$$
C_{10}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & w & w^{13} & 0 \\
w^{5} & w^{19} & 1 & 0 & w^{22} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
w^{19} & w^{9} & w^{3} & w^{17} & 1 & 0 & w^{4} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & w^{13} & w^{3} & w^{11} & w & 1 & 0 & w^{3} & 1 \\
w^{18} & w^{8} & w^{3} & w^{17} & w^{18} & w^{8} & w^{19} & w^{9} & 1 & w^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By subtraction method (Proposition 2.2) we obtained a self-dual [8,4] code $C_{8}$ :

$$
C_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & w^{2} & w^{10} & w^{21} & w^{17} & w^{7} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & w^{10} & 1 & w & w^{22} & w^{3} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & w^{9} & w^{13} & w & w^{16} & w^{5} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & w^{16} & w^{5} & w^{18} & w^{22} & w^{19}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Next, by the building-up method (Theorem 2.1) we obtained 13 new (inequivalent) self-dual MDS [10,5,6] codes with the same weight enumerator

$$
W(y)=1+5040 y^{6}+54720 y^{7}+508680 y^{8}+2704560 y^{9}+6492624 y^{10} .
$$

The (generator of) new codes are listed in the Table 3 below.
Table 3 Self-dual MDS [10,5,6] codes over $G F(25)$.

| No | Vector $\mathbf{x}$ in Generator Matrix |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{22}, \mathrm{w}^{21}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{15}, \mathrm{w}^{5}, \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{17}, \mathrm{w}^{16}, \mathrm{w}^{16}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{20}, \mathrm{w}^{13}, \mathrm{w}^{12}\right)$ |
| 5 | $\left(1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, 1, \mathrm{w}^{13}, 0\right)$ |
| 6 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}, 0, \mathrm{w}^{4}\right)$ |
| 7 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{14}, \mathrm{w}^{22}, 0\right)$ |
| 8 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{15}, \mathrm{w}^{20}, \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ |
| 9 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{17}, 1,0\right)$ |
| 10 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{2}, \mathrm{w}^{13}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{20}\right)$ |
| 11 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{3}, 1, \mathrm{w}^{20}, 0\right)$ |
| 12 | $\left(1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{8}, \mathrm{w}^{2}, \mathrm{w}^{10}\right)$ |
| 13 | $\left(1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{5}, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{11}, \mathrm{w}^{20}\right)$ |

Moreover, we also obtained over 30 (inequivalent) near-MDS [10,5,5] codes, some of them are given in Table 4 below.

Table 4 Self-dual near-MDS [10,5,5] codes over $G F(25)$

| No | Vector $\mathbf{x}$ in Generator Matrix | $A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(0,0,0,0, w, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{12}\right)$ | $48,4800,55200$ |
| 2 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{4}, 1\right)$ | $96,4560,55680$ |
| 3 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{12}\right)$ | $144,4320,56160$ |
| 4 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{8}\right)$ | $192,4080,56640$ |
| 5 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{2}, \mathrm{w}^{23}\right)$ | $240,3840,57120$ |
| 6 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{6}, \mathrm{w}^{3}\right)$ | $288,3600,57600$ |
| 7 | $\left(0,0,0,0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{7}, \mathrm{w}^{14}\right)$ | $336,3360,58080$ |

### 3.4.2 Length 12

For length 12, we obtained many (inequivalent) self-dual near-MDS codes. Some of them are listed below.

Table 5 Self-dual near-MDS [12,6,6] codes over $G F(25)$.

| No | Vector x in Generator Matrix | $A_{6}, A_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{14}, w^{9}, w^{17}, w^{22}\right)$ | 456, 16272 |
| 2 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{13}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{20}\right)$ | 480, 16128 |
| 3 | $\left(w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{11}, w^{15}, 1\right)$ | 504, 15984 |
| 4 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{15}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{16}\right)$ | 528, 15840 |
| 5 | $\left(w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{12}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w^{3}, 0\right)$ | 552, 15696 |
| 6 | $\left(w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{12}, w^{14}, w^{13}, 1,1\right)$ | 600, 15408 |
| 7 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{16}\right)$ | 624, 15264 |
| 8 | $\left(w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{4}, w^{12}, w^{14}, w^{13}, 0, w^{21}\right)$ | 648, 15120 |
| 9 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{17}, w^{12}\right)$ | 672, 14976 |
| 10 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{2}, w\right)$ | 696, 15432 |
| 11 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{2}, w^{8}, w^{19}, w^{8}, 0\right)$ | 720, 14688 |
| 12 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{13}, w^{2}\right)$ | 744, 15144 |
| 13 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{23}, w^{20}, w^{5}, w^{18}\right)$ | 768, 14400 |
| 14 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{7}, w^{17}, w, w^{12}, w^{20}\right)$ | 792, 14856 |
| 15 | $\left(w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{23}, w^{17}, w^{12}, w^{4}, w^{1}\right.$ | 816, 14112 |
| 16 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{7}, w^{17}, w^{2}, w^{3}, w^{9}\right)$ | 840, 14568 |
| 17 | ( $\left.1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1, w^{2}, w^{17}\right)$ | 864, 13824 |
| 18 | $\left(1,1,1,1, w^{7}, w^{17}, w^{2}, w^{3}, w^{21}\right)$ | 888, 14280 |
| 19 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{16}, 0\right)$ | 912, 13536 |
| 20 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w^{5}, w^{18}\right)$ | 936, 13992 |
| 21 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{20}, w^{17}\right)$ | 960, 13248 |
| 22 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{7}, w^{17}, w^{4}, w^{21}, w^{20}\right)$ | 984, 14904 |
| 23 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{4}, \mathrm{w}^{12}, \mathrm{w}^{14}, \mathrm{w}^{15}, \mathrm{w}^{18}, \mathrm{w}^{17}\right)$ | 1004, 14184 |
| 24 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{19}, \mathrm{w}^{20}, 0, \mathrm{w}^{8}, \mathrm{w}^{22}\right)$ | 1008, 12960 |
| 25 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{18}, w^{2}, w^{21}\right)$ | 1032, 14016 |
| 26 | $\left(w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{19}, w^{23}, w^{17}, w^{15}, w^{15}, w^{3}\right)$ | 1056, 12672 |
| 27 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{7}, w^{19}, w^{8}, w^{20}, w^{16}\right)$ | 1080, 13728 |
| 28 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1,1,1, w^{21}, w^{12}, w^{14}\right)$ | 1104, 12384 |
| 29 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1,1,1, w^{16}, w^{15}, w^{11}\right)$ | 1152, 12096 |
| 30 | $\left(1,1,1,1,1, w^{8}, w^{14}, w^{23}, w^{21}, w^{2}\right)$ | 1200, 11808 |

### 3.4.3 Length 14

Again, from self-dual codes of length 12 , by the building-up method, we obtained over 20 (inequivalent) self-dual near-MDS [14,7,7] codes. The codes as well as their weight enumerators are listed below.

Table 6 Self-dual near-MDS [14,7,7] codes over $G F(25)$.

| No | vector x in generator matrix | $A_{7}, A_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{23}, w^{13}, w^{4}, w^{9}\right)$ | 1920, 58632 |
| 2 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, 1, w^{17}, w^{21}, 1\right)$ | 1968, 58296 |
| 3 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{17}, w^{18}, w^{20}, w^{4}\right)$ | 2016, 57960 |
| 4 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w^{18}, w^{13}, w^{2}\right)$ | 2064, 57624 |
| 5 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w^{3}, w^{8}, w^{4}\right)$ | 2112, 57288 |
| 6 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, 1, w^{12}, w^{7}\right)$ | 2160, 56952 |
| 7 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{16}, w^{17}, 1\right)$ | 2208, 56616 |
| 8 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{15}, w^{22}, w^{21}\right)$ | 2256, 56280 |
| 9 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{14}, w^{23}, w^{21}\right)$ | 2304, 55944 |
| 10 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{14}, w^{10}, 1\right)$ | 2352, 55608 |
| 11 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{14}, w^{8}, w^{2}\right)$ | 2400, 55272 |
| 12 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{10}, w^{6}, w^{8}\right)$ | 2448, 54936 |
| 13 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{6}, w^{9}, w^{7}\right)$ | 2496, 54600 |
| 14 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{1}, w^{11}, w^{6}\right)$ | 2544, 54264 |
| 15 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, 1, w^{8}, w^{9}\right)$ | 2592, 53928 |
| 16 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{20}, w^{14}, w^{4}\right)$ | 2640, 53592 |
| 17 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{16}, w^{7}\right)$ | 2688, 53256 |
| 18 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{14}, w^{19}, w^{14}, w^{21}\right)$ | 2544, 54264 |
| 19 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w, w^{21}, w^{8}\right)$ | 2784, 52584 |
| 20 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{15}, w^{13}, w^{6}, w^{18}\right)$ | 2832, 52248 |
| 21 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{17}, w^{3}, w^{17}, w^{8}\right)$ | 2880, 51912 |
| 22 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{19}, w, w^{4}, w^{17}\right)$ | 2928, 51576 |
| 23 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{20}, w^{12}, w^{15}, w^{23}\right)$ | 2976, 51240 |
| 24 | $\left(w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{13}, w^{17}, w^{3}, w^{9}, w^{20}\right)$ | 3024, 50904 |

### 3.5 Self-dual MDS or Near-MDS Codes Over GF (121)

Let $w$ be a root of primitive polynomal $x^{2}+5 x+2 \in G F(121)[x]$ and $c:=w^{2}$ be the element defined in Theorem 2.1.

### 3.5.1 Length 4

From a self-dual code $\left(1 w^{5}\right)$ of length 2 , by the building-up method, we obtained a self-dual MDS [4,2,3] code

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & w^{6} \\
w^{33} & w^{98} & 1 & w^{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

having weight enumerator $1+480 y^{3}+14160 y^{4}$. We also obtained a self-dual near-MDS [4,2,2] code

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & w^{5} \\
1 & w^{65} & 1 & w^{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

having weight enumerator $1+240 y^{3}+14400 y^{4}$.

### 3.5.2 Length 6

From the above MDS code, again by the building-up method, we obtained three (inequivalent) self-dual MDS [6,3,4] codes with the same weight enumerator $1+1800 y^{4}+84240 y^{5}+1685520 y^{6}$.

Table 7 Self-dual MDS [6,3,4] codes over GF(121).

| No | Vector $\mathbf{x}$ in Generator Matrix |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{3}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\left(0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{43}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\left(0,1,1, \mathrm{w}^{63}\right)$ |

We also obtained several (inequivalent) self-dual near-MDS [6,3,3] codes as given below.

Table 8 Self-dual near-MDS [6,3,3] codes over $G F(121)$.

| No | Vector x in Generator Matrix | $A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{5}, A_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(0,0,1, \mathrm{w}^{16}\right)$ | $120,1440,84600,1685400$ |
| 2 | $\left(0,0, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{53}\right)$ | $240,1080,84960,168580$ |
| 3 | $\left(0,0, \mathrm{w}^{6}, 1\right)$ | $480,14880,56640,1699560$ |
| 4 | $\left(0,1, \mathrm{w}^{31}, \mathrm{w}^{47}\right)$ | $600,14520,57000,1699440$ |

### 3.5.3 Length 8

Again, from self-dual codes of length 6 , by the building-up method, we obtained a self-dual MDS [8,4,5] code

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{11} \\
w^{37} & w^{102} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & w^{3} \\
w^{7} & w^{72} & w^{69} & w^{14} & 1 & 0 & 1 & w^{6} \\
w^{69} & w^{14} & w^{60} & w^{5} & w^{33} & w^{98} & 1 & w^{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

having weight enumerator

$$
W(y)=1+6720 y^{5}+389760 y^{6}+13372800 y^{7}+200589600 y^{8} .
$$

There are also several (inequivalent) self-dual near-MDS [8,4,4] codes as given below.

Table 9 Self-dual near-MDS [8,4,4] codes over $G F(121)$.

| No | Vector $\mathbf{x}$ in Generator Matrix | $A_{4}, A_{5}, A_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{41}\right)$ | $240,5760,391200$ |
| 2 | $\left(w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{10}, w^{27}\right)$ | $480,4800,392640$ |
| 3 | $\left(w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{13}, w^{85}, w^{87}\right)$ | $720,3840,394080$ |
| 4 | $\left(w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{12}, w^{110}, w^{26}\right)$ | $960,2880,395520$ |
| 5 | $\left(w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{9}, w^{11}, w^{25}, w^{41}\right)$ | $1200,1920,396960$ |

### 3.5.4 Length 10

From self-dual codes of length 8 , by the building-up method, we obtained a self-dual MDS [10,5,6] code

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 0 & w^{29} & w^{29} & w^{29} & w^{29} & w^{29} & w^{34} & w^{100} & w^{97} \\
w^{69} & w^{14} & 1 & 0 & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{9} & w^{11} \\
w^{100} & w^{45} & w^{37} & w^{102} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & w^{3} \\
w^{88} & w^{33} & w^{7} & w^{72} & w^{69} & w^{14} & 1 & 0 & 1 & w^{6} \\
w^{14} & w^{79} & w^{69} & w^{14} & w^{60} & w^{5} & w^{33} & w^{98} & 1 & w^{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with weight enumerator

$$
W(\mathrm{y})=1+25200 \mathrm{y}^{6}+1656000 y^{7}+74601000 y^{8}+\cdots
$$

There are also several (inequivalent) self-dual near-MDS codes as given below.
Table 10 Self-dual near-MDS [10,5,5] codes over $G F(121)$.

| No | Vector x in Generator Matrix | $A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{34}, \mathrm{w}^{100}, \mathrm{w}^{77}\right)$ | $240,24000,1658400$ |
| 2 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{34}, \mathrm{w}^{100}, \mathrm{w}^{87}\right)$ | $480,22800,1660800$ |
| 3 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{34}, \mathrm{w}^{101}, \mathrm{w}^{39}\right)$ | $720,21600,1663200$ |
| 4 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{34}, \mathrm{w}^{100}, \mathrm{w}^{79}\right)$ | $960,20400,1665600$ |
| 5 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{35}, \mathrm{w}^{5}, \mathrm{w}^{112}\right)$ | $1200,19200,1668000$ |
| 6 | $\left(\mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{29}, \mathrm{w}^{39}, \mathrm{w}^{25}, \mathrm{w}^{33}\right)$ | $1440,18000,16704000$ |

## 4 Remark

Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two linear $[n, k, d]$ codes which have weight distributions $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right)$ and $\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. It is also well known (see [13]) that from viewpoint of decoding error probability, the code $C$ performs better than $C^{\prime}$ if $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right) \prec\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\prec$ means
lexicographical ordering. In the above tables, we short the MDS or near-MDS codes due to their performance with respect to decoding error probability. Moreover, recently Buyuklieva, et al. [14] proved that in binary case self-dual codes perform better than non self-dual codes, for the codes with the same parameters. It is interesting to know whether the similar situation happens for the non-binary case, in particular in the case of Euclidean self-dual or Hermitian self-dual (near-) MDS codes, etc. This observation, which is now in preparation, will be published elsewhere in a separate paper.

## 5 Conclusion

As mentioned above there are many self-dual (near-) MDS codes over $G F(9)$, $G F(25)$, and $G F(121)$ of several small lengths constructed by the building-up method as well as our simple algorithm, which combine building-up and subtraction method. To our best knowledge it was unnoticed before in any scientific publication. We concern also with self-dual near-MDS codes because of two reasons: (1) From perspective of capability of error-correcting codes, it is well-known fact that self-dual MDS and self-dual near-MDS are not very different; (2) From cryptographic application, in particular in secret sharing schemes, self-dual near-MDS instead of self-dual MDS codes are important (see, e.g., [11],[12]). There is some expectation to obtain many more self-dual MDS or near-MDS codes over these fields. It will be very good if someone can provide complete classifications of such codes.
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