

University of North Dakota UND Scholarly Commons

William Langer Papers

Elwyn B. Robinson Department of Special Collections

2-13-1937

Letter from Eugene S. Leggett to Governor Langer Regarding Size of Resettlement Grants 1937

Eugene S. Leggett
National Emergency Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/langer-papers

Recommended Citation

Leggett, Eugene S., "Letter from Eugene S. Leggett to Governor Langer Regarding Size of Resettlement Grants 1937" (1937). *William Langer Papers*. 81.

https://commons.und.edu/langer-papers/81

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Elwyn B. Robinson Department of Special Collections at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in William Langer Papers by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON

February 13, 1937.

The Honorable
William L. Langer.

The Honorable
William L. Langer,
Governor of North Dakota,
Bismarck, North Dakota.

My dear Governor Langer:

I refer to your telegram of February 6, which reads as follows:

"CUMMINS AND I ARE AGREED AND HE WILL SO WIRE YOU THAT IT IS IMPERATIVE TO RELIEVE SUFFERING THAT RESETTLEMENT GRANT BE INCREASED TO THIRTY DOLLARS PER MONTH EFFECTIVE THIS MONTH STOP AM WIRING YOU PURSUANT TO OUR AGREEMENTS THAT YOU WOULD TAKE CARE OF THIS FOR ME STOP WARMEST REGARDS"

and to my reply of February 8, reading as under:

"SUBJECT MATTER OF YOUR TELEGRAM FEBRUARY SIX HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO ATTENTION OF APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF RESETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION STOP I SHALL KEEP YOU ADVISED OF ACTION STOP KIND REGARDS"

The Rehabilitation Division of the Resettlement Administration, through the medium of grants, is contributing to the maintenance of approximately 400,000 families in officially designated drought areas of which North Dakota is a part.

In order to make an equitable distribution of the limited funds available for grant purposes, the Resettlement Administration cannot undertake to increase grants from an average of about \$23 per family per month to an average of \$30 per family per month.

County Supervisors have a wide latitude in the matter of determining the needs of drought striken families in acute cases, and, so long as the average is maintained, they may use their discretion. In other words, there are many instances where

families, because of sickness or other acute distress, have received grants running all the way from \$23 to \$45, depending upon the degree of their needs as determined by the County Supervisor.

Of course, when certain families receive grants of as much as \$40, it means that the County Supervisor, because of the average permitted, must either cut off other families, or else substantially reduce their grants.

A blanket increase such as you propose would hasten the exhaustion of available funds, leaving little or nothing with which to alleviate suffering after the funds are gone. By adhering to the present average, it is thought that distressed families can be maintained for a reasonable period to come.

I will appreciate your further ideas on this subject.

Sincerely yours,

Eugene S. Leggett,

THE ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.

heagely