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## Abstract

The structural revision of cyclotetrapeptide asperterrestide A has been achieved based on the total synthesis and molecular modeling. For these studies, $(2 R, 3 S)-\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ and $(2 S, 3 S)-\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ suitably protected for peptide synthesis, were prepared via a stereoselective reduction of a ketone precursor, derived from L- or D-serine, using L-Selectride or DIBAL-H. The synthesis of the proposed structure of asperterrestide A (1a) was accomplished by solution-phase synthesis of a linear precursor followed by macrolactamization. The NMR spectra of our synthetic 1a were not identical to those reported for the natural compound. Molecular modeling studies suggested that the correct structure (1b) was one in which the stereochemistry at the $\alpha$-positions of the Ala and MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residues is the opposite to that of the proposed structure. This was confirmed by the total synthesis of 1b and its subsequent structural characterization.

## INTRODUCTION

Naturally occurring cyclopeptides exhibit unique biological activities and often possess nonproteinogenic amino acid residues: $\beta$-amino acids, D-amino acids, $N$-methylamino acids, and other highly functionalized amino acids. ${ }^{1,2}$ Among them, anthranilic acid (Ant)-containing peptides are known to be attractive three-dimensional scaffolds of peptidomimetics because anthranilic acid is one of the most rigid $\beta$-amino acids and gives conformational rigidity to the corresponding cyclic and even linear peptides. ${ }^{3-9}$

Asperterrestide A (1), a cyclotetrapeptide isolated from a fermentation broth of marine-derived fungal strain Aspergillus terreus SCSGAF0162 in 2013, ${ }^{10}$ was reported to consist of four nonproteinogenic amino acids: D-Ala, Ant, $(2 R, 3 S)$-MePhe(3-OH), and D-Ile or D-allo-Ile (Figure 1). The stereochemistry at the $\alpha$-positions of Ala and Ile was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis and Marfey's methods after acidic degradation, whereas the D-Ile or D-allo-Ile residue was not differentiated in the original report. Asperterrestide A (1) exhibits cytotoxicity for human carcinoma cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $6.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against U 937 and $6.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MOLT4 and inhibits influenza virus strains with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{WSN} / 33$ (H1N1) and $8.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against A/Hong Kong/8/68 (H3N2), respectively. Because Ant-containing 13-membered cyclotetrapeptides are rare in nature ${ }^{11}$ compared with cyclopenta- ${ }^{12-14}$ and cyclohexapeptides, ${ }^{15-17}$ it is worthwhile to reveal the three-dimensional macrocyclic conformation of $\mathbf{1}$ for the design of novel peptidomimetics. Herein, we report the total synthesis of asperterrestide A (1) via solution-phase peptide elongation, followed by macrolactamization and its structural revision based on the molecular modeling and NMR analysis of the synthesized peptides.


Figure 1. Reported structure of asperterrestide A (1)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There have been recent reports for the structure elucidation of ambiguous configuration of enantiomeric isoleucine residues to be D-allo-Ile in cyclic peptide natural products ${ }^{18-20}$ and it would be likely produced by the epimerization of L-Ile at the $\alpha$-position in secondary metabolites not that at both $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-positions, though some examples having a D-Ile reside as a component are in literature. ${ }^{21,22}$ Cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2R,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-D-Ala] (1a), thus, was speculated to be the structure of natural asperterrestide A. Our retrosynthetic analysis for 1a is illustrated in Scheme 1. The macrolactamization is a key step for the total synthesis of $\mathbf{1 a}$. The cyclization site should be carefully selected considering i) the nucleophilicity of N -terminus and ii) possible side reactions after the activation of C-terminus. Aromatic amines involved in resonance and sterically hindered $N$-methylamines are generally inappropriate as the N -terminus of the macrolactamization site due to low nucleophilicity. Besides, the activated carboxylic acid group of an Ant residue might induce benzoxazinone formation during the cyclization process. ${ }^{7,23,24}$ Therefore, we planed the macrolactamization between N-terminus D-allo-Ile and C-terminus D-Ala of the linear tetrapeptide 2a. Furthermore, we anticipated that the conformationally rigid Ant residue would be turn-inducing and support the access of both reaction sites. The cyclization precursor $\mathbf{2 a}$ would be provided by peptide elongation using four amino acids: D-allo-Ile, $(2 R, 3 S)$-MePhe(3-OH), Ant and D-Ala.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of the proposed structure of asperterrestide A (1a)


Our study began from the stereoselective synthesis of a nonstandard $(2 R, 3 S)$ - $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue. Structurally similar $\beta$-hydroxy- $p$-iodo- $N$-methylphenylalanine derivatives bearing anti configurations have been synthesized by Boger and coworkers via asymmetric epoxidation or dihydroxylation of cinnamic acid derivatives; ${ }^{25}$ however, there are no reports describing the synthesis of $N$-methylated syn derivatives. The nucleophilic addition of alkyl metal species to the Garner's aldehyde is one of the reliable methods to give facile and stereoselective access to 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxypropyl substructures. ${ }^{26}$ However, highly reactive organometallic species react with the Garner's aldehyde to give a mixture of syn and anti products with low diastereomeric ratios (dr) due to a competition between steric (Felkin-Anh) and chelation effects. Therefore, two additional steps (oxidation of the hydroxy group to the ketone followed by stereoselective reduction of the ketone back to the alcohol) are required to give the syn or anti product in high dr. ${ }^{27-29}$ Thus, a step-economical and stereoselective approach to $(2 R, 3 S)$-MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH})$ is desirable.

Our synthetic approach to Fmoc-(2R,3S)-MePhe(3-OTBS)-OH (9a) is shown in Scheme 2. In initial studies for the synthesis of $\mathbf{9 a}$, we found that the late-stage $N$-methylation of $\beta$-hydroxyphenylalanine derivatives had poor reproducibility due to side reactions such as $\beta$-elimination of the hydroxy group under acidic and basic conditions. Therefore, we chose to introduce a methyl group on the nitrogen in the absence of a carboxyl group. Starting from commercially available Boc-L-Ser-OH, the phenyl ketone $\mathbf{3}$ was prepared according to the reported
procedures by Appella and coworkers. ${ }^{30}$ The stereoselective reduction of the ketone $\mathbf{3}$ via the Felkin-Anh model successfully proceeded with L-Selectride, providing syn-enriched $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $86 \%$ yield (syn:anti $=89: 11$ ). After the TBS-protection of the resulting alcohol in $\mathbf{4 a}$, the methylation of the amino group in $\mathbf{5 a}$ using excess amounts of NaH and $\mathrm{MeI}^{31}$ furnished the $N$-methylcarbamate 6a. The Boc group in $\mathbf{6 a}$ was removed by TMSOTf/2,6-lutidine ${ }^{32,33}$ without losing acid-labile TBS groups, and the subsequent protection with an Fmoc group gave the Fmoc amine $7 \mathbf{a}$ in $97 \%$ yield over two steps. The selective cleavage of the primary TBS ether in 7a using HF-pyridine was then carried out. The syn-product and minor anti-diastereomer were separated by silica gel column chromatography in this step, and the alcohol $\mathbf{8 a}$ was obtained in $64 \%$ yield as a single diastereomer. Finally, the oxidation of the primary alcohol using TEMPO/ $\mathrm{NaOCl} / \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}{ }^{34}$ furnished the desired carboxylic acid 9a.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (2R,3S)-Fmoc-MePhe(3-OTBS)-OH (9a)


With synthetic 9a in hand, compound 1a was prepared as outlined in Scheme 3. H-D-Ala-OtBu and Fmoc-Ant-OH were coupled with $\mathrm{EDCI} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{HOBt}$ to give the dipeptide $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $88 \%$ yield. The benzoxazinone formation was not observed under this coupling condition. After removal of the Fmoc group in $\mathbf{1 0}$ with 20\% $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{MeCN}$, we performed the acylation of the low-nucleophilic Ant amine with sterically hindered carboxylic acid $\mathbf{9 a}$. The in situ generated amino acid chloride of $\mathbf{9 a}$ using triphosgene $/ 2,4,6$-collidine ${ }^{35-38}$ is useful for the acylation of the aromatic amine, and the desired tripeptide 11a was obtained in $90 \%$ yield without epimerization at the $\alpha$-position. The Fmoc group in 11a was removed in the same manner as described above, and we next attempted the coupling between the sterically hindered $N$-methylamine and bulky Boc-D-allo-Ile-OH. After trials of several coupling reagents (HATU/DIEA, PyBroP/DIEA, triphosgene/2,4,6-collidine, and COMU/DIEA), we found that COMU completed the coupling reaction to provide the tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $57 \%$ yield. The concomitant removal of the Boc, tert-butyl, and TBS groups in $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $50 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ furnished the cyclization precursor 2a as its TFA salt. Subsequent macrolactamization of 2a was performed using HATU/DIEA under high dilution conditions ( 1 mM ). No epimerization at the $\alpha$-position of the Ala residue was observed during the cyclization process, and the resulting crude cyclopeptide was purified by normal-phase silica gel column chromatography and preparative thin-layer chromatography to isolate the proposed structure of asperterrestide A (1a) in 36\% yield from 12.




The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data of synthetic 1a, however, are not identical to those of natural asperterrestide A, as shown in Figure 2 and Table S1 (Supporting Information). In particular, the chemical shifts and coupling constants on the main chain $\left(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{CH}_{\alpha}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ are hardly matched between natural $\mathbf{1}$ and synthetic 1a. Besides, NOESY correlations between $\operatorname{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{H}_{\beta} / \mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{NMe}$, and Ala $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ / Ile NH, which were observed in the natural compound, were not found in synthetic 1a (see Supporting Information).

We suspected that the stereochemistry at the $\alpha$-carbons in natural asperterrestide A is different from the proposed structure of 1a because the sign of the specific rotation was mismatched (see Scheme 3). The HPLC analysis of acid hydrolysates of natural 1 reported by $\mathrm{Qi}^{10}$ was reexamined, and it was found that the absolute configuration
of the Ala residue was unclear. Chiral HPLC analysis with authentic L-Ala and D-Ala did not show clear differences in their retention times. 1-Fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-alanine-amide (FDAA) derivatives of the acid hydrolysates might suggest containing both L-Ala and D-Ala on the HPLC chromatogram. Because the epimerization of the $\alpha$-position during hydrolysis process was indicated, it is not possible to prove the absolute configuration of the Ala residue. In addition, the stereochemistry of the $\alpha$-position of $(2 R, 3 S)$ - $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ is ambiguous. The stereochemistry of the $\beta$-position is assigned to be $S$ using the modified Mosher's ester method for the natural compound. On the other hand, there is no spectroscopic evidence that a large coupling constant $\left(^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ between $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-protons of the $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue suggests a syn arrangement in conformationally constrained cyclotetrapeptides because the relative configuration was deduced in reference to previously reported $\beta$-hydroxy-Tyr-containing cyclooctapeptides ${ }^{39}$ and other acyclic fragments $\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=3.5-4.8\right.$ Hz for anti and $4.6-6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for syn, respectively). ${ }^{40-42}$ Jung's group has recently reported that a large coupling constant ( $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ between $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-protons of the $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue was observed in a 12 -membered cyclotetrapeptide named tentoxin $B .^{43}$ The absolute configuration was assigned to be $(2 S, 3 S)$ by the modified Mosher's method followed by the comparison of the lowest energy-conformer obtained by molecular modeling to the X-ray crystallographic structure of its dehydroxy analog. These analytical reports by Jung and the fact that our synthetic syn-product 1a showed a small coupling constant $\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ strongly support the relative configuration of natural 1 to be anti. Therefore, the absolute configuration in the $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$ should be $(2 S, 3 S)$, not $(2 R, 3 S)$. In summary, we deduced the absolute configuration of the natural asperterrestide A to be cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala]
or
cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-D-Ala] (1c) (Figure 3).


Figure 2. (a) $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and (b) $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ values of constituting four amino acids in synthetic $\mathbf{1 a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} . \Delta \delta=$ $\delta_{\text {synthetic 1a }}(\mathrm{ppm})-\delta_{\text {natural }}(\mathrm{ppm})$. Table S 1 (Supporting Information) summarizes NMR spectroscopic data of 1a.

asperterrestide A (1, reported)


1c

Figure 3. Putative structures of natural asperterrestide A (1)

To narrow down the putative candidates of natural asperterrestide A , we conducted molecular modeling studies using the MacroModel program (Maestro Version 10.1.018). ${ }^{4-46}$ The correlation between the observed NMR spectroscopic data and calculated values by the molecular modeling was verified using our synthetic 1a prior to putative 1b and 1c. The sec-butyl group in the Ile residue was replaced with an isopropyl group to simplify the calculation; thus, cyclo-[D-Val-(2R,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-D-Ala] (1d) was selected as a model cyclotetrapeptide of $\mathbf{1 a}$ (Figure 4 a ). A conformational search was performed using 10,000 steps of torsional sampling based on the mixed-torsional/low-mode sampling method. An OPLS-2005 force field was applied, and the calculation was conducted without solvents. The obtained lowest-energy conformer of $\mathbf{1 d}$ is shown in Figure 4 b . The calculated dihedral angles in $\mathbf{1 d}$ were then converted to coupling constants based on the Karplus equation. ${ }^{47}$ Focused on $J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants involved in the main chain, the calculated values in $\mathbf{1 d}$ were
found to be in good agreement with those observed in our synthetic 1a, not the natural product (Table S9, Supporting Information). Theoretical distances between proximal protons in 1d also fit the result of NOE correlations observed in 1a. Among five NOE correlations observed in the natural 1, the calculated distances in 1d between MePhe( $3-\mathrm{OH}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{\beta} / \operatorname{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{NMe}(4.36 \AA$ ) and Ala $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{Val} \mathrm{NH}(3.55 \AA$ ) seem to be long to get NOE correlations as well as $\mathbf{1 a}$ (Table S10, Supporting Information). These main chain-derived correlations should be important to define the three-dimensional structures of conformationally constrained cyclopeptides. Therefore, we concluded that the molecular modeling using the model tetrapeptide is a reliable and adoptable method for estimating the stereochemistry of natural asperterrestide A.


1a


1d
(b) ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Figure 4. (a) Chemical structures of the synthetic 1a and its model tetrapeptide 1d. (b) The lowest-energy conformer of 1d. The calculated distinctive coupling constants (plain, left) and theoretical distances between proximal protons (dashed, right) are shown in double-headed arrows. Tables S9 and S10 (Supporting Information) summarize calculated data of 1d.

According to the procedure mentioned above, the molecular modeling of our putative structures of asperterrestide A was carried out. Conformational searches of cyclo-[D-Val-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala] (1e) and cyclo-[D-Val-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-D-Ala] (1f) (Figure 5a) that are corresponding model tetrapeptides of $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ gave the lowest-energy conformers as shown in Figures 5 b and 5 c. The calculated dihedral angles and distances between proximal protons are summarized in Tables S11 and S12 (Supporting Information), respectively. Focused on the $\mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH})$, the calculated ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants between MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{H}_{\alpha} / \operatorname{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ in both $\mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, respectively) are as large as that of the natural $1\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. In addition, all the theoretical distances of the protons involved in NMe and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of the MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue in $\mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$ are relatively short within $3.0 \AA$, satisfying the NOE observation in the natural compound. These calculated results strongly supported our suggestion that the absolute configuration of the MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue in the natural $\mathbf{1}$ should be $(2 S, 3 S)$. The calculated values related to the Ala residue in 1e are also in good agreement with those of the natural $\mathbf{1}$, whereas relatively small ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants between Ala NH / Ala $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and long distances between Ile $\mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{Ala} \mathrm{H}_{\alpha}(3.55 \AA)$ and Ala $\mathrm{NH} /$ Ala $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}(3.39 \AA$ ) were found in D-Ala-containing 1f. Therefore, the component in the natural product was speculated to be L-Ala, not D-Ala. Considering these results, we argued that cyclo-[D-Val-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala] (1e) would follow the stereochemistry of natural asperterrestide A, and the stereochemistry of natural asperterrestide $A$ should be corresponding to cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala] (1b).


1e

$1 f$




Figure 5. (a) Chemical structures of model tetrapeptides $\mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$. (b) The lowest-energy conformer of $\mathbf{1 e}$. (c) The lowest-energy conformer of $\mathbf{1 f}$. The calculated distinctive coupling constants (plain, left) and theoretical distances between proximal protons (dashed, right) are shown in double-headed arrows. Tables S11 and S12 (Supporting Information) summarize calculated data of $\mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$.

To validate our revised structure of natural asperterrestide A, it was necessary to prepare $(2 S, 3 S)$-MePhe(3-OH) (Scheme 4). Conditions had to be developed such that the stereoselective reduction of the ketone ent-3, prepared from Boc-D-Ser-OH, provided anti product 4b instead of the previously obtained syn product $\mathbf{4 a}$. After investigation of several reductants (DIBAL-H and $\left.\mathrm{LiAlH}(\mathrm{O} t \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right)^{48}$ and conditions (solvents and temperatures), the chelation-controlled reduction of ent-3 was found to be successful using DIBAL-H to afford anti-enriched 4b in 79\% yield with good stereoselectivity (anti:syn $=87: 13$ ). Further conversions, including N -methylation and oxidation, were conducted according to the established procedure as that of $\mathbf{9 a}$ (see Experimental Section), providing the desired ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-9b. We herein established the synthetic route for both syn and anti diastereomers of $\mathbf{9}$ by simply switching the reductants for the phenylketone $\mathbf{3}$.

## Scheme 4. Synthesis of (2S,3S)-Fmoc-MePhe(3-OTBS)-OH (9b)



The synthesis of the revised structure of asperterrestide A (1b) was carried out as illustrated in Scheme 5. In situ generation of the amino acid chloride of $\mathbf{9 b}$ using triphosgene/2,4,6-collidine was also adopted for the acylation of the corresponding aromatic amine of ent-10 regardless of the relative configuration of the MePhe $(3-\mathrm{OH})$ residue, and the tripeptide 11b was obtained in $88 \%$ yield. In the next peptide elongation, we initially attempted the synthesis of the tetrapeptide from $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{1 2}$. However, the coupling of the corresponding $N$-methylamine of 11b with Boc-D-allo-Ile-OH did not proceed under various coupling conditions (HATU/DIEA, PyBroP/DIEA, triphosgene/2,4,6-collidine, and COMU/DIEA) but recovered the $N$-methylamine. Therefore, we decided to remove the TBS group in 11b before the peptide
elongation. Exposure of the $\beta$-hydroxyl group would not only help the approach of activated carboxylic acid due to less-steric bulkiness but also give another pathway, such as $O$-to- $N$ acyl transfer, as well. The TBS group in 11b was removed by HF-pyridine to afford the alcohol 13 in $76 \%$ yield. After removal of the Fmoc group in 13, the coupling between the resulting $N$-methylamine and Boc-D-allo-Ile-OH was investigated, and the details are summarized in Table 1. The best results were obtained using COMU/DIEA, which gave the tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 4}$ in a $45 \%$ yield along with a small amount of the O-acylated compound $\mathbf{1 5}$, after separation by silica gel column chromatography followed by preparative TLC (entry 1). The combined yield of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ was improved using highly reactive acid chlorides generated from triphosgene $/ 2,4,6$-collidine, whereas the $O$-acylation was prior to the $N$-acylation to give both the amide 14 in $28 \%$ yield and the ester 15 in $34 \%$ yield, respectively (entry 2 ). The facts that the $O$-to- $N$ acyl transfer from isolated $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ did not proceed even under harsh conditions (DMSO, $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation, $5 \mathrm{~min} \times 6$ times) indicated that the amide bond in $\mathbf{1 4}$ was directly formed without going through the ester 15. DMT-MM ${ }^{49,50}$ gave only 14 as the product; however, the yield was very low (12\%, entry 3 ).

Although the yield of the tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 4}$ was disappointing, we continued the synthesis to determine the absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1 b}$ (Scheme 5). The revised structure of asperterrestide A (1b) was obtained by treating $\mathbf{1 4}$ with TFA/DCM, followed by cyclization of the resulting TFA salt 2b using HATU/DIEA under high dilution conditions, and subsequent purification ( $41 \%$ yield over two steps). The epimer at the Ala residue was not observed in the crude cyclization product.

lit. $[\alpha]^{30}{ }_{D}-13(c 0.03, \mathrm{MeOH})$

Table 1. Peptide elongation from the tripeptide 13 to the tetrapeptide 14


13


14


15

| Entry | Reagents | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time (h) | Product $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $($ Yield\%) | Ratio of <br> $\mathbf{1 4 / 1 5}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\mathrm{c}}$ | COMU, DIEA | rt | 26 | $\mathbf{1 4}(45), \mathbf{1 5}(7)$ | $88: 12$ |
| $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ | triphosgene <br> $2,4,6-c o l l i d i n e ~$ | rt | 19 | $\mathbf{1 4}(28), \mathbf{1 5}(34)$ | $38: 62$ |
| $3^{\mathrm{e}}$ | DMT-MM•nH2O | 60 | 48 | $\mathbf{1 4}(12)$ | $>95: 5$ |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{b}$ The ratio was determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{c}$ Performed in DMF. ${ }^{d}$ Performed in MeCN. ${ }^{e}$ Performed in MeOH.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data of synthetic $\mathbf{1 b}$ are in good agreement with those of the natural compound, except for the chemical shift of concentration-dependent amide proton of the Ala and Ile residues, as shown in Figure 6 and Table S2 (Supporting Information). Large ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants between MePhe(3-OH) $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} / \operatorname{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{H}_{\beta}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and Ala $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} /$ Ala NH $\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ were observed in synthetic 1b as well as those of the natural $\mathbf{1}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=10.0\right.$ and 8.0 Hz , respectively). In addition, NOE correlations between MePhe(3-OH) $\mathrm{H}_{\beta} / \mathrm{MePhe}(3-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{NMe}$ and Ala $\mathrm{H} \alpha /$ Ile NH were observed in 1b, which were not found in $\mathbf{1 a}$ (see Supporting Information). It should be noted that the spectroscopic data observed in the synthetic 1b were fully satisfied with not only those of the natural compound but also those suggested by the molecular modeling using the model tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 e}$. We thus concluded the absolute configuration of natural $\mathbf{1}$ to be cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala].


Figure 6. (a) $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and (b) $\Delta \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ values of constituting four amino acids in synthetic $\mathbf{1 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} . \Delta \delta=$ $\delta_{\text {synthetic } \mathbf{1 b}}(\mathrm{ppm})-\delta_{\text {natural }}(\mathrm{ppm})$. Table S2 (Supporting Information) summarizes NMR spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{1 b}$.

The cytotoxicity of the synthetic $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ against three selected cancer cell lines (U937, MOLT4 and A549) was evaluated by CellTiter-Glo2.0 assay after 72 h treatments, and their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are depicted in Table 2 . The synthetic 1a, the proposed structure of asperterrestide A , did not show sufficient potency against all three cancer cell lines, thereby it is clear that the structure of $\mathbf{1 a}$ is not that of the natural compound. On the other hand, the
synthetic 1b exhibited potent activity against U937 and MOLT4 cells with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 5.6 and $18.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, which are comparable with those of the natural compound. ${ }^{10}$ No cytotoxicity of $\mathbf{1 b}$ against A549 cells might also be similar as the value evaluated for the natural compound was not indicated. ${ }^{51}$ These results also suggested that the structure of asperterrestide A should be 1b. It should be noted that the stereochemistry of the main chain is essential for the potent activity in the constrained cyclotetrapeptides.

Table 2. $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ values of cytotoxicity of natural and synthetic asperterrestides against cancer cell lines

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cancer cell <br> line tissue | Natural 1 |  |  |  |
| U937 blood | 6.4 | Synthetic 1a <br> (proposed) | Synthetic 1b <br> (revised) | Mitomycin C |
| MOLT4 blood | 6.2 | $>20$ | 5.6 | 0.067 |
| A549 lung | not indicated | $>20$ | 18.1 | 0.031 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by derivation of the best-fit dose response line of triplicate experiments. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Data extracted from ref $10 .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Mitomycin C was evaluated as a control.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated the structural revision of natural asperterrestide (1) based on the total synthesis and molecular modeling. Suitably protected D-syn- $N$-methyl- $\beta$-hydroxyphenylalanine 9a was prepared as a single isomer via the stereoselective reduction of the phenyl ketone 3. The established synthetic route was adopted to synthesize L-anti- $N$-methyl- $\beta$-hydroxyphenylalanine $9 \mathbf{~ b}$ by selecting the reductants for the phenyl ketone ent-3. During the peptide elongation process to the tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 2}$, the use of the in situ generated amino acid chloride of 9a and COMU was found to be useful for the acylation of low-nucleophilic aromatic amine and sterically hindered $N$-methylamine, respectively. We achieved the first total synthesis of the proposed structure of asperterrestide (1a) by macrolactamization of the cyclization precursor 2a; however, the discrepancy of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$
and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data indicated that the stereochemistry of the natural compound is incorrect from the proposed structure of 1a. The absolute configuration of natural asperterrestide A was then deduced to be cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala] (1b) or cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-D-Ala] (1c) based on the reexamination of the reported HPLC analysis of acid hydrolysates of the natural product and the analysis of NMR spectroscopic data of synthetic 1a. We next conducted the molecular modeling of structurally simplified D-Val-containing asperterrestides 1d-1f on the MacroModel program to narrow down the putative candidates of natural asperterrestide A. Comparison between synthetic 1a and corresponding 1d revealed that ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants and NOE correlations observed are in good agreement with those calculated in the lowest-energy conformer of the corresponding model tetrapeptide. Because the theoretical values of L-Ala-containing $\mathbf{1 e}$ are more consistent with those observed in the natural $\mathbf{1}$ than D-Ala-containing $\mathbf{1 f}$, we estimated the stereochemistry of natural asperterrestide A to be corresponding $\mathbf{1 b}$. The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 b}$ was then performed to validate our speculation according to the established synthetic procedures of 1a. In contrast to the synthesis of the tetrapeptide 12, the anti-configuration of the MePhe(3-OTBS) residue was revealed to interrupt the acylation of the $N$-methylamine by the steric repulsion of the TBS group. Thus, the desired tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 4}$ was provided after removal of the TBS group in 11b. We accomplished the total synthesis of the revised structure of asperterrestide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 b})$ by the deprotection followed by macrolactamization, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data of synthetic $\mathbf{1 b}$ are identical with those of natural $\mathbf{1}$. Therefore, we concluded the absolute configuration of natural asperterrestide A to be cyclo-[D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala]. It is noteworthy that the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constant and NOE observation of $\mathbf{1 b}$ were fully satisfied with not only the reported data of the natural 1 but the results obtained by the molecular modeling of the model cyclotetrapeptide 1e as well. These results strongly suggest that molecular modeling is an efficient and reliable tool to predict three-dimensional structures of conformationally constrained cyclotetrapeptides. The evaluation of cytotoxicity
of synthetic 1a and $\mathbf{1 b}$ against three selected cancer cell lines revealed that the stereochemistry of the main chain is important for the potent activity. A structure-activity relationship study based on the three-dimensional structure of $\mathbf{1 b}$ and its derivatives is underway.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Techniques. All commercially available reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers, and used as received. Dry THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Kanto Chemical Co.) were obtained by passing commercially available pre-dried, oxygen-free formulations through activated alumina column. All reactions in solution-phase were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on Merck silica gel plates ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ ) with UV light, and visualized by $p$-anisaldehyde $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}-\mathrm{EtOH}$ solution or phosphomolybdic acid-EtOH solution or ninhydrin-AcOH-1-BuOH solution. Silica gel 60N (Kanto Chemical Co. 100-210 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) was used for column chromatography, and Merck silica gel plate ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ ) was used for preparative thin-layer chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 400 and 600 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra ( 100 and 150 MHz ) were recorded on JEOL JNM-AL400 and JEOL JNM-ECA600 spectrometers in the indicated solvent. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are reported in units parts per million ( ppm ) relative to the signal for internal TMS ( 0.00 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) for solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. NMR spectral data are reported as follows: chloroform (7.26 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) or chloroform-d (77.0 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), and dimethyl sulfoxide ( 2.50 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) or dimethyl sulfoxide- $d_{6}\left(39.5 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) when internal standard is not indicated. Multiplicities are reported by the following abbreviations: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), $q$ (quartet), quin (quintet), $m$ (multiplet), dd (double doublet), dt (double triplet), dq (double quartet), td (triple doublet), brs (broad singlet), brd (broad doublet) and $J$ (coupling constants in Hertz). High-resolution mass spectra were measured on Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Exactive $^{\text {TM }}$ Plus Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (for ESI). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FTIR-4100. Only the strongest and/or structurally important absorption are
reported as the IR data afforded in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-1010 polarimeter. Melting points were measured with Round Science Inc. RFS-10, and are not corrected. Analytical HPLC was performed using Daicel Chiralpak OD-H

Fmoc-Ant-OH. To a suspension of H-Ant-OH ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 25.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 80 mL ) were added a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{FmocCl}(7.26 \mathrm{~g}, 28.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at room temperature for 23 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acidified with 3 M aqueous HCl until pH 2 , and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The precipitate was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried under vacuum to afford Fmoc-Ant-OH (7.13 g, 78\%) as a white solid. mp $188-189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.0(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 170.0,152.8,143.7,140.74,140.73,132.7$, $131.3,127.7,127.1,125.0,121.4,120.2,118.8,117.8,66.1,46.5$; IR (neat) $2948,1738,1665,1586,1523,1211$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 360.1230$; Found 360.1229.
tert-Butyl (S)-(3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-1-oxo-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)-carbamate (3). ${ }^{26}$ To a solution of Boc-Ser-OH (5.00 g, 24.5 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{MeNH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, $(2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 24.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI•HCl $(4.74 \mathrm{~g}, 24.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at the same temperature for 2 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl . The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was suspended in hexane. The precipitate was filtered, washed
with hexane, and dried under vacuum to afford the Weinreb amide ( $5.43 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a white solid.

To a solution of the alcohol $(4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added imidazole $(2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 38.6$ mmol) and $\mathrm{TBSCl}(3.21 \mathrm{~g}, 21.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic layer was separated, washed three times with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=19: 1$ ) to afford the TBS ether ( $6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as a yellowish oil.

A solution of PhMgBr (1.0 M in THF) was prepared from $\mathrm{PhBr}(5.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.8 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Mg}$ tuning ( $2.57 \mathrm{~g}, 106$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, catalytic amount of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, and dry THF ( 53 mL ). To a solution of the Weinreb amide $(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 80 mL ) was added above solution of PhMgBr dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 3 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=19: 1$ ) to afford the phenyl ketone $\mathbf{3}(4.89 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a yellowish oil. The spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{3}$ were in good agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+37\left(c \mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl ((1S,2S)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-1-hydroxy-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (4a). To a solution of the ketone $3(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was added a solution of L-Selectride ( 1.0 M in THF, $11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at the same temperature for 2 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous Rochelle salt at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with

EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/ $\mathrm{EtOAc}=19: 1$ ) to afford the alcohol $\mathbf{4 a}(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%, \mathrm{dr} 89: 11)$ as a yellowish oil. The diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was determined by chiral column OD-H (eluted with hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}=9: 1$; flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; retention time: 7.8 min for C 1 diastereomer of $\mathbf{4 a}, 8.3 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{4 a}$ ). $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+38\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $156.2,141.2,128.2,127.5,126.1,79.6,74.8,64.9,56.5,28.3,25.8,18.6,-5.57,-5.60$; IR (neat) 3443,2955 , 2929, 1696, 1497, 1171, $838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 382.2408$; Found 382.2405
tert-Butyl ((5S,6S)-2,2,3,3,9,9,10,10-octamethyl-5-phenyl-4,8-dioxa-3,9-disila-undecan-6-yl)-carbamate (5a). To a solution of the alcohol $4 \mathbf{a}(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 4.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 20 mL ) were added imidazole ( $856 \mathrm{mg}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $512 \mathrm{mg}, 4.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBSCl ( $948 \mathrm{mg}, 6.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with water. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed three times with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=29: 1$ ) to afford the TBS ether $\mathbf{5 a}(1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as a yellowish oil. $[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}$ $+37\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 4: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.20-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5.0 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $7.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 4: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 155.5,142.7,142.5,127.9,127.1,126.3,79.3,78.9$, $72.0,71.5,62.2,61.8,59.9,58.3,28.4,28.0,25.9,18.2,18.1,-4.6,-5.2,-5.3,-5.4$; IR (neat) $3445,1954,1929$,

1721, 1492, 1101, $838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ 496.3273; Found 496.3272.
tert-Butyl methyl((5S, $6 S)-2,2,3,3,9,9,10,10$-octamethyl-5-phenyl-4,8-dioxa-3,9-disilaundecan-6-yl)-carbamate ( $\mathbf{6} \boldsymbol{a}$ ). To a solution of the amine $\mathbf{5 a}(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added MeI $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral, $338 \mathrm{mg}, 8.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred until $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ evolution was completed. Then, same amount of MeI ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral, $338 \mathrm{mg}, 8.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to above mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at room temperature for 24 h , the reaction was quenched with water. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=19: 1$ ) to afford the $N$-methylamine $\mathbf{6 a}(1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a colorless oil, which was solidified under vacuum. $\mathrm{mp} 56-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+43\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.21-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5.0 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{brs}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17$ (brs, 0.6 H$), 3.58-3.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $7.2 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 7.2 \mathrm{H}),-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 156.0,142.6,142.5,128.00,127.97,127.4,127.2,127.0,126.6,78.9,78.8,74.5,73.4,62.2$, 61.2, 28.4, 28.1, 25.8, 25.7, 18.03, 18.01, 17.9, $-4.6,-4.7,-5.31,-5.38,-5.42,-5.48,-5.54,-5.6$; IR (neat) 2955, 2929, 1697, 1152, $837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ 510.3429; found 510.3425.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl
methyl((5S,6S)-2,2,3,3,9,9,10,10-octamethyl-5-phenyl-4,8-dioxa-3,9-disila-undecan-6-yl)carbamate (7a). To a solution of the $N$-Boc amine $\mathbf{6 a}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 2,6-lutidine ( 2.0 mL ,
$17.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TMSOTf $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 40 min , the reaction mixture was quenched with brine and MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude amine was used for next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the crude amine in dry THF ( 8.0 mL ) were added saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and FmocOSu ( $729 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at room temperature for 17 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=29: 1$ ) to afford the $N$-Fmoc amine 7 a (1.32 g, $97 \%$ in 2 steps) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+41\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.74-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.23-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9.0 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89(\mathrm{brs}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4.0 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 18.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{brs}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.27(\mathrm{brs}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}),-0.28$ (s, 1.5 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 157.0,144.5,144.4,144.2,144.0,142.2$, $141.3,141.2,128.13,128.07,127.6,127.5,126.94,126.92,126.8,125.19,125.15,125.0,119.9,73.8,73.1,67.2$, $62.9,61.2,60.9,47.34,47.30,25.8,25.7,18.1,17.9,-4.6,-5.3,-5.6$; IR (neat) $2953,2928,1700,1254,1100$, $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 654.3405$; Found 654.3403.

## (9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl

((1S,2S)-1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-hydroxy-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)(methyl)carbamate (8a). To a solution of the TBS ether $7 \mathbf{a}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added pyridine $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HF-pyridine $(950 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 52.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at
the same temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 4 h , the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$ ) to afford the alcohol $\mathbf{8 a}(575 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%)$ as a white amorphous solid and a mixture of $\mathbf{8 a}$ and its diastereomer ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ) as a white amorphous solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.23$ for $\mathbf{8 a}, 0.29$ for C 1 diastereomer of 8a (hexane/EtOAc $=2: 1$ ); mp $55-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+44\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.76-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8.6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}),, 5.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.34(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4$, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.94-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{brs}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}),-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.36(\mathrm{brs}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 157.3,144.1,143.9,141.8,141.6,141.4,141.3,141.23,141.17$, $128.20,128.15,127.74,127.71,127.62,127.59,127.14,127.0,126.9,126.7,126.5,125.1,125.0,119.9,73.9$, $73.2,67.4,61.1,60.2,47.24,47.20,25.7,25.6,17.84,17.80,-4.8,-5.2$; IR (neat) $3444,2954,2929,1684,1451$, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SiNa} 540.2541$; Found 540.2538.
(2R,3S)-Fmoc-MePhe(3-OTBS)-OH (9a). To a solution of the alcohol 8a (500 mg, $966 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry MeCN $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH 6.8 buffer ( 4.0 mL ) were added TEMPO ( $30.2 \mathrm{mg}, 193 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ ( $80 \%$ grade, 328 mg , $2.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOCl}(287 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 193 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred at the same temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and acidified with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexane to afford the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{9 a}(461 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as a white solid. mp
$167-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+40\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture $) \delta 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 11.0 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 174.3,174.2,157.2,156.1,144.1,143.7,141.3,141.2,140.3,140.1,128.3,128.2,128.0$, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 127.02, 126.98, 126.7, 126.4, 125.2, 125.0, 124.93, 124.86, 119.9, 75.0, 74.8, 68.0, 67.7, $65.0,64.3,47.12,47.06,25.71,25.66,18.0,17.9,-4.5,-4.6,-5.48,-5.51$; IR (neat) $3021,2954,2930,1703$, 1253, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+Na] Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SiNa} 554.2333$; Found 554.2328.

Fmoc-Ant-D-Ala-OtBu (10). To a solution of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{O} t \mathrm{Bu} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added DIEA ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Fmoc-Ant-OH ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt ( $819 \mathrm{mg}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 16 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl . The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=6: 1$ ) to afford the dipeptide $10(2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a white amorphous solid. mp $122-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-8.1\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(\mathrm{quin}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.1,168.2$, $153.6,143.9,141.2,139.9,132.8,127.7,127.1,126.8,125.3,122.0,120.0,119.9,119.5,82.5,67.3,49.1,47.0$, 27.9, 18.6; IR (neat) $3336,2979,1733,1646,1590,1522,1450,1215,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z:
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ 509.2047; Found 509.2036.

Fmoc-(2R,3S)-MePhe(3-OTBS)-Ant-D-Ala-OtBu (11a). To a solution of the $N$-Fmoc amine 10 (150 mg, 308 $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was azeotroped three times with toluene, and dried under vacuum. The crude amine was used for next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the acid $\mathbf{9 a}(246 \mathrm{mg}, 462 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added triphosgene $(45.7 \mathrm{mg}, 154$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ and 2,4,6-collidine $(124 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 924 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at the same temperature for 5 min , a suspension of the crude amine in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the above mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at room temperature for 13 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, and concentrated in vacuo. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=6: 1$ ) to give desired product containing a small amount of impurities. Further purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with toluene/EtOAc = 14:1) afforded the tripeptide 11a ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ in 2 steps) as a white amorphous solid. $\mathrm{mp} 88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+63$ (c 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 11.72(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 11.71(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17-7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $12.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.57(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46($ quin, $0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.17-4.40 (m, 3.2H), $4.08(\mathrm{dd}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $5.4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}),-0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})$,
$-0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta$ 172.2, 167.8, 167.7, 167.6, 167.4, $157.3,156.3,144.3,144.2,144.10,144.06,141.5,141.4,141.1,139.41,139.35,132.71,132.68,128.2,128.0$, $127.6,127.53,127.52,127.49,127.46,126.98,126.96,126.94,126.91,126.6,126.5,125.4,125.3,125.2,125.1$, $123.0,122.9,121.12,121.09,119.9,119.80,119.79,119.7,82.4,82.3,74.0,73.4,68.1,66.8,66.7,48.9,48.8$, $47.2,47.1,33.5,27.9,25.8,25.7,18.41,18.37,18.0,17.9,-4.5,-4.6,-5.2,-5.3$; IR (neat) $3339,2954,2929$, 1693, 1518, 1448, 114, $757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ Si 778.3882; Found 778.3880.

Boc-D-allo-Ile-(2R,3S)-MePhe(3-OTBS)-Ant-D-Ala-OtBu (12). To a solution of the $N$-Fmoc amine 11a (150 $\mathrm{mg}, 193 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was azeotroped three times with toluene, and dried under vacuum. The crude amine was used for next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the crude amine in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added Boc-D-allo-Ile-OH ( $66.9 \mathrm{mg}, 289 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), DIEA $(101 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 579 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and COMU $(124 \mathrm{mg}, 289 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 23 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=6 / 1$ ) to give desired product containing a small amount of impurities. Further purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ ) afforded the tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 2}(85.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $57 \%$ in 2 steps ) as a white amorphous solid. mp $84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+80\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 11.7(\mathrm{~s}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 11.4(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=$
$8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 7.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1.25 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.70(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1.75 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ $(\mathrm{s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 21.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $2.25 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6.75 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.50-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}),-0.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $0.75 \mathrm{H}),-0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta$ 173.6, 172.9, 172.3, 172.1, $170.0,167.7,167.5,166.8,155.44,155.41,141.5,141.2,140.0,139.4,133.1,132.8,128.4,128.0,127.6,127.5$, 126.73, 126.67, 126.61, 126.5, 122.94, 122.86, 121.3, 121.0, 119.8, 118.8, 82.7, 82.5, 79.3, 28.9, 74.34, 74.26, $67.3,64.0,53.7,52.8,48.9,48.8,36.8,36.5,34.4,34.3,28.4,27.9,27.0,26.6,25.8,18.8,18.6,18.02,17.96$, 14.2, 13.6, 11.9, $-4.6,-5.3$; IR (neat) $3346,2961,2931,1711,1649,1517,1449,1158,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SiNa} 791.4386$; Found 791.4377.

The proposed structure of asperterrestide $A(\mathbf{1 a})$. To a solution of the $N$-Boc amine $\mathbf{1 2}(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 78.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 11 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was azeotroped three times with toluene, and dried under vacuum. The crude amine-TFA salt $\mathbf{2 a}$ was used for next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the crude linear tetrapeptide 2a in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(78 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added DIEA ( $136 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 780 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and HATU $(89.0 \mathrm{mg}, 234 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 22 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl , and concentrated in vacuo. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with 1 M aqueous HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added to the resulting residue. The suspension was filtered to remove white solid impurities. The filtrate was
concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=1: 1$ ) to give desired product containing a small amount of impurities. Further purification by preparative TLC (eluted with toluene/EtOAc $=2: 1$ ) afforded the proposed structure of asperterrestide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 a})$ (13.3 mg, $36 \%$ in 2 steps) as a white solid. mp $175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+28(c 0.66, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.9,172.8,170.0,166.5$, $141.2,136.7,132.2,128.6,127.8,125.91,125.88,123.7,123.6,122.9,72.9,72.5,53.8,53.7,40.5,37.3,25.5$, 16.3, 14.3, 11.1; IR (neat) $3317,3202,2963,1698,1622,1516,1444,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} 503.2265$; Found 503.2258.
tert-Butyl (R)-(3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-1-oxo-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)-carbamate (ent-3). ${ }^{26}$ By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{3}$, the amidation of Boc-D-Ser-OH ( $4.20 \mathrm{~g}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the Weinreb amide ( $4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). The silylation of the alcohol $(4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the TBS ether ( 7.02 g , quant). The 1,2-addition to the Weinreb amide ( $6.66 \mathrm{~g}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the phenyl ketone ent-3 ( $5.98 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). The spectroscopic data of ent-3 were in good agreement with those reported in the literature except for the sign of the specific rotation. ${ }^{30}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{D}-29\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl ((1S,2R)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-1-hydroxy-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (4b). To a solution of the ketone ent-3 (1.70 g, 4.48 mmol$)$ in dry THF ( 45 mL ) was added a solution of DIBAL-H ( 1.0 M in hexane, $14.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After being at the same temperature for 4 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 M aqueous Rochelle salt at
$0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=6: 1$ ) to afford the alcohol $\mathbf{4 b}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$, $\mathrm{dr} 87: 13)$ as a colorless oil. The diastereomeric ratio of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was determined by chiral column OD-H (eluted with Hexane/IPA = 9:1; flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; retention time: 8.0 min for $\mathbf{4 b}, 8.7 \mathrm{~min}$ for C 1 diastereomer of $\mathbf{4 b}) .[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6.0\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6$, $141.5,128.3,127.3,125.7,79.5,76.3,63.0,55.2,28.3,25.8,18.1,-5.7$; IR (neat) $3444,3060,2940,2888,2586$, 1697, 1497, 1371, $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ 382.2408; Found 382.2399 .
tert-Butyl ((5S,6R)-2,2,3,3,9,9,10,10-octamethyl-5-phenyl-4,8-dioxa-3,9-disila-undecan-6-yl)-carbamate (5b). By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{5 a}$, the silylation of the alcohol $\mathbf{4 b}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the TBS ether $\mathbf{5 b}(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a yellowish oil. $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.5\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 4: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.21-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5.0 \mathrm{H}), 4.87($ brd, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69($ brs, 0.2 H$), 4.59$ (brd, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{brs}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.58($ brs, 0.2 H$), 3.48-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 7.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 18.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.01-0.03(\mathrm{~m}, 9.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 4: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture $) \delta$ $155.4,141.6,127.9,127.2,126.8,78.8,73.8,72.0,61.0,58.3,58.0,28.3,28.0,25.89,25.87,25.80,18.2,18.1$, $-4.8,-5.2,-5.4,-5.5$; IR (neat) $3457,2955,2930,2886,2858,1721,1496,1173,837,777 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ 496.3273; Found 496.3260.
( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ). By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{6 a}, N$-methylation of the amine $\mathbf{5 b}(900 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the $N$-methylamine $\mathbf{6 b}(842 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]{ }^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+13\left(c \quad 0.85, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5.0 \mathrm{H}), 4.95($ brs, 0.6 H$), 4.59($ brs, 0.4 H$), 3.86-4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $3.0 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{brs}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 18.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.04-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9.0 \mathrm{H})$, $-0.27--0.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 142.5,127.9,127.7,127.5$, $127.2,126.8,126.7,78.7,74.2,73.58,73.57,65.8,63.0,60.6,60.3,28.3,28.2,25.84,25.80,25.74,25.71,18.11$, 18.07, 17.99, 17.94, 15.2, -4.62, -4.65, $-5.18,-5.24,-5.44,-5.49,-5.51$; IR (neat) 2956, 2930, 2889, 2858, 1695, 1472, 1254, 1157, 1065, $861 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 510.3429$; Found 510.3417.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl
methyl((5S,6R)-2,2,3,3,9,9,10,10-octamethyl-5-phenyl-4,8-dioxa-3,9-disila-undecan-6-yl)carbamate (7b). By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{7 a}, N$-Fmoc protection of the $N$-Boc amine $\mathbf{6 b}(754 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the $N$-Fmoc amine $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $758 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ in 2 steps $)$ as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.0\left(c 0.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.75-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2.6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 9.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (brs, 0.6 H$), 5.04$ (brs, $0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{brs}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 18.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.03-0.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 144.2$, $144.14,144.12,142.3,141.8,141.33,141.27,141.19,128.0,127.9,127.6,127.55,127.50,127.1,127.0,126.91$, $126.90,126.7,126.5,125.22,125.19,124.9,119.9,119.8,67.1,62.8,60.7,60.6,60.1,48.2,47.4,47.1,25.85$, $25.80,25.75,25.71,18.14,18.08,17.98,17.93,-4.65,-4.73,-5.1,-5.3,-5.5,-5.6$; IR (neat) $3065,3030,2954$, 2929, 2890, 2857, 1702, 1471, 1254, 1089, $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ 632.3586; Found 632.3570.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl
((1S,2S)-1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-hydroxy-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)(methyl)carbamate (8b). By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{8 a}$, desilylation of the TBS ether $\mathbf{7 b}(738 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the alcohol $\mathbf{8 b}(350 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%)$, its diastereomer ( $46.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ) and a mixture of $\mathbf{8 b}$ and its diastereomer ( $94.0 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ) as a colorless oil, respectively. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.29$ for $\mathbf{8 b}, 0.23$ for C 1 diastereomer of $\mathbf{8 b}$ (hexane/EtOAc $=2: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{D}+8.0(c$ $\left.0.98, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.74-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.15-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 9.6 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.28-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{brs}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15-4.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{brs}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{brs}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{brs}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{brs}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.84$ (s, $3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(2.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 156.8,144.1,143.9,143.8,142.3,141.5,141.3,128.2,128.0,127.7,127.6,127.2,127.1$, $126.98,126.96,126.6,126.4,125.0,124.6,120.1,120.0,119.9,75.2,73.5,68.2,67.3,66.2,61.9,47.5,47.2$, $35.3,25.73,25.71,18.0,17.9,-4.7,-4.8,-5.2,-5.3$; IR (neat) $3446,3066,3034,2954,2929,2891,28571682$, 1451, 1252, $740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 518.2721$; Found 518.2708.
(2S,3S)-Fmoc-MePhe(3-OTBS)-OH (9b). By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{9 a}$, the oxidation of the alcohol $\mathbf{8 b}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 579 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ afforded the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{9 b}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a white solid. $\mathrm{mp} 79-$ $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-15\left(c 0.97, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 7.72-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(\mathrm{brd}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23-4.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 0.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}),-0.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1\right.$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 173.9$, $172.8,156.7,155.4,143.9,143.8,143.7,143.6,141.31,141.26,140.2,139.6,128.5,128.4,128.3,128.2,127.67$, 127.63, 127.11, 127.08, 127.06, 126.99, 125.04, 124.97, 124.8, 120.0, 119.9, 73.3, 73.1, 68.0, 67.5, 67.2, 64.4,

$$
47.1,46.9,34.4,25.6,17.94,17.89,-4.6,-5.2,-5.3 ; \text { IR (neat) } 3371,3065,2954,2929,2895,2856,1710,1681
$$ 1451, 1252, 1091, $838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SiNa} 554.2333$; Found 554.2320.

Fmoc-Ant-Ala-OtBu (ent-10). By following the procedure described above for 10, the acylation of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Ot} \mathrm{Bu} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded the dipeptide ent-10 $(2.55 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a white amorphous solid. mp $123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.2\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Fmoc-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OTBS)-Ant-Ala-OtBu (11b). By following the procedure described above for 11a. the acylation of the $N$-Fmoc amine ent-10 ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 563 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) afforded the tripeptide $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ ( $383 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ in 2 steps) as a white amorphous solid. $\mathrm{mp} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+22\left(c 0.92, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2\right.$ rotamer mixture $) \delta 11.7(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 11.5(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.24(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62$ (quin, $0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.32-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $10.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~s}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H})$, $-0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 3: 2$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 172.4,172.1,168.5,167.8,167.7$, $156.3,155.7,144.5,144.2,144.1,143.8,141.22,141.17,141.11,141.07,140.7,139.7,139.4,132.8,132.5$, $128.22,128.16,128.1,127.6,127.5,127.4,127.3,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.84,126.78,126.7,125.6,125.3$, 125.1, 125.0, 123.0, 122.9, 121.6, 121.5, 120.4, 119.9, 119.84, 119.80, 119.7, 82.5, 82.4, 73.4 ,72.9, 68.3, 67.7, $66.1,48.9,47.1,47.0,31.5,27.93,27.89,25.54,25.49,18.7,18.6,17.91,17.87,-4.7,-4.8,-5.26,-5.30$; IR (neat) $3339,3066,2951,2929,2888,2856,1691,1650,1523,1444,1146 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si} 778.3882$; Found 778.3863.

Fmoc-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala-OtBu (13). To a solution of the TBS ether 11b (100 mg, $129 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in
dry THF ( 2.6 mL ) was added HF-pyridine ( $234 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol} .100$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 9 h , the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ ) to afford the alcohol $\mathbf{1 3}(65.0 \mathrm{mg}, 97.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $76 \%$ ) as a white amorphous solid. $\mathrm{mp} 68-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}-64\left(c 0.81, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 12.1(\mathrm{~s}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 11.9(\mathrm{~s}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 14.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(\mathrm{dd}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.53$ (quin, $0.3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3.7 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2: 1$ rotamer mixture) $\delta 172.2,172.0,170.9,170.3,167.7,167 . .6,156.3$, $155.1,144.0,143.9,143.83,143.80,141.5,141.3,141.2,141.1,139.7,139.6,139.1,138.9,132.9,132.8,128.41$, $12.36,128.32,128.2,127.7,127.62,127.57,127.3,127.04,127.01,126.97,126.93,126.8,126.7,125.4,125.2$, 124.7, 124.4, 123.4, 121.54, 121.47, 120.1, 120.0, 119.91, 119.87, 119.83, 82.6, 82.5, 73.0, 72.4, 68.1, 67.7, 66.6, 48.9, 48.8, 47.2, 27.93, 27.86, 18.6, 18.5; IR (neat) $3444,3323,2956,2929,2893,2851,1707,1665,1519,1455$, 1167, $847 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ 664.3017; Found 664.3001 .

Boc-D-allo-Ile-(2S,3S)-MePhe(3-OH)-Ant-Ala-OtBu (14) and the ester 15. By following the procedure described above for $\mathbf{1 2}$, deFmoc of the $N$-Fmoc amine $\mathbf{1 3}(52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 78.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was performed. The resulting crude amine was used for next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the crude amine in dry DMF ( 0.2 mL ) was added Boc-D-allo-Ile-OH ( $54.0 \mathrm{mg}, 235 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), DIEA $(82.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 470 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and COMU ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 259 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. The mixture
was stirred at room temperature for 26 h . After workup procedure described above for $\mathbf{1 2}$, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=2: 1$ ) to give a mixture of the amide 14 and the ester 15. Further purification by preparative TLC (eluted with hexane/EtOAc $=2 ; 1$ ) afforded the amide $\mathbf{1 4}(23.1 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ in 2 steps $)$ as a white amorphous solid and the ester $\mathbf{1 5}(3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ in 2 steps $)$ as a pale yellow oil, respectively. Amide 14: $\mathrm{mp} 59-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-15\left(c \quad 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 11.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{c}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 9.1 Hz ), 4.90 (brs, 1H), 4.69 (brs, 1H), 4.57 (quin, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.45 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{k})$, $1.64($ brs, 1 H$), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{l}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}), 0.95-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.80(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.41(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,172.2,170.2,167.5$ $155.8,139.3,139.0,132.9,128.54,128.49,127.2,126.7,123.4,121.4,120.0,82.7,79.1,72.4,53.2,49.0,45.8$, 37.0, 28.3, 28.0, 26.9, 18.7, 13.4, 11.9, 8.6; IR (neat) 3423, 3355, 2972, 2929, 1715, 1647, 1600, 1589, 1521, 1448, 1160, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 655.3701; Found 655.3685. Ester 15: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.7\left(c 0.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{quin}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(\mathrm{brd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 172.2, 171.3, 169.6, 167.6, 155.9, 138.4, 136.0, 132.5, $128.44,128.36,127.0,126.8,123.2,121.7,121.4,82.6,79.6,69.9,56.9,49.0,37.5,35.4,29.7,28.3,28.0,26.2$, 18.7, 14.3, 11.7; IR (neat) 2961, 2928, 2882, 2861, 1701, 1513, 1471, 1246, 1156, 1088, $837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 655.3701; Found 655.3682.

The revised structure of Asperterrestide $A$ (1b). By following the procedure described above for 1a, the
deprotection and macrolactamization of the linear tetrapeptide $\mathbf{1 4}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ afforded the revised structure of asperterrestide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 b})(3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ in 2 steps $)$ as a white solid. $\mathrm{mp} 141-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{26}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-33(c 0.085$, $\mathrm{MeOH})\left[\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{10}[\alpha]^{30}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-13(c 0.03, \mathrm{MeOH})\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{brd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.49 (td, 1H, $J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41-7.42$ (m, 2H), 7.29-7.37 (m, 4H), 7.16 (td, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.47-4.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.55(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.26-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,170.9,170.2,169.2$, $138.5,135.1,131.6,128.6,128.5,127.4,126.5,125.5,124.4,123.4,71.5,60.8,53.8,50.0,36.2,31.3,24.7,14.8$, $14.4,10.8$; IR (neat) $3286,2961,2925,2871,2856,1676,1644,1602,1584,1450,1045,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 481.2445; Found 481.2433.

General Procedure of Molecular Modeling. Conformational searches of 1d-f were performed by a MacroModel program on Maestro Version 10.1.018. ${ }^{44-46}$ Initial coordinates of a macrocycle were generated by Mixed torsional/Low-mode sampling (Torsional sampling options: extended; Use 200 steps per rotatable bond; Energy window for saving structures: $42 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) with 10,000 steps and were energy minimized using an OPLS-2005 force field without solvent to provide possible conformers. 3D structures, calculated potential energies, relative energies, and the atomic coordinates of the lowest-energy conformers are summarized in Figures S1-S3 and Tables S3-S8 in the Supporting Information.

Evaluation of cytotoxicity of the synthetic $1 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ against cancer cell lines. A panel of three cancer cell lines were obtained and cultured as summarized in Table S13 (Supporting Information). The cytotoxicity of synthetic asperterrestides $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ against the cancer cell lines was assayed by measuring the amount of ATP in the cells using CellTiter-Glo (Promega, Madison, WI) as reported previously. ${ }^{52,53}$ In brief, the cells were incubated in 384 -well plates at a density of 500 cells/ well with a medium volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were then treated with $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of compound solutions at final concentration ranges of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 1 nM (10-point dose) using an Echo 555 Liquid Handler (Labcyte, San Jose, CA). The vehicle solvent (DMSO) was used as a control at a maximum concentration of $0.1 \%$. After a 72 h incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of CellTiter-Glo reagent solution was added to the medium, and the plate was mixed with a plate mixer and incubated for 10 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The luminescence was measured using an EnSpire 2300 (PerkinElmer). Absorbance for the control well (C) and test well (T) was measured along with that for the test well at time 0 (T0). Cell growth inhibition (\% growth) by each concentration of the drug was calculated as $100[(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T} 0) /(\mathrm{C}-$ T0)], and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were analyzed using Morphit software (The Edge Software Consultancy, Guildford, U.K.).
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