

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Detection of enteric parasites and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Blastocystis* sp. in patients admitted to hospital in Ankara, Turkey

Fakhriddin Sarzhanov, Pamela Carolina Köster, Funda Dogruman-AI, Begoña Bailo, Alejandro Dashti, Filiz Demirel-Kaya, David Carmena.

Parasitology. 2021 Apr;148(5):550-561.

which has been published in final form at

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020001821>

Detection of enteric parasites and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Blastocystis* sp. in patients admitted to hospital in Ankara, Turkey

Fakhriddin Sarzhanov^{1,2}, Pamela Carolina Köster³, Funda Dogruman-Al^{4,*}, Begoña Bailo³, Alejandro Dashti³, Filiz Demirel-Kaya⁵, David Carmena^{3,*}

¹ Department of Medical Microbiology, School of Medicine, Gazi University, 06490 Ankara, Turkey; ² Akhmet Yassawi International Kazakh-Turkish University, Faculty of Medicine, 161200 Turkestan, Kazakhstan; ³ Parasitology Reference and Research Laboratory, National Centre for Microbiology, Health Institute Carlos III, Ctra. Majadahonda-Pozuelo Km 2, 28220 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain; ⁴ Section of Medical Parasitology, Department of Medical Microbiology, School of Medicine, Gazi University, 06490 Ankara, Turkey; ⁵ Medical Microbiology Laboratory, Ankara Education and Research Hospital, Health Science University, 06230 Ankara, Turkey

* **Authors for correspondence:** David Carmena, dacarmena@isciii.es; Funda Dogruman-Al, alfunda@yahoo.com

Abstract

This epidemiological study assesses the occurrence of enteric parasites in 4,303 patients attended at two public hospitals in Ankara (Turkey) during 2018–2019. Microscopy was used as screening test. *Giardia duodenalis* was also identified using a commercial ELISA for the detection of parasite-specific coproantigens. *Giardia*-positive samples by microscopy/ELISA were confirmed by real-time PCR and characterized using a multilocus genotyping scheme. *Blastocystis* sp. was genotyped in a sample subset. *Blastocystis* sp. (11.1%, 95% CI: 11.4–14.8%) and *Giardia duodenalis* (1.56%, 95% CI: 1.22–1.96) were the most prevalent pathogens found. *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Entamoeba histolytica*, and intestinal helminths were only sporadically (< 0.5%) found. For *G. duodenalis*, sequence ($n = 30$) analyses revealed the presence of sub-assemblages AII (23.3%), discordant AII/AIII (23.3%), and mixed AII+AIII (6.7%) within assemblage A, and BIII (10.0%), BIV (3.3%), and discordant BIII/BIV (23.3%) within assemblage B. Two additional sequences (6.7%) were assigned to the latter assemblage but sub-assemblage information was unknown. No associations between *G. duodenalis* assemblages/sub-assemblages and sociodemographic and clinical variables could be demonstrated. For *Blastocystis* sp., sequence ($n = 6$) analyses identified subtypes ST1, ST2, and ST3 at equal proportions. This is the first molecular characterization of *G. duodenalis* based on MLG conducted in Turkey to date.

Key words: Intestinal parasites; *Giardia duodenalis*, *Blastocystis*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Dientamoeba fragilis*, multilocus genotyping, molecular epidemiology, Turkey

Introduction

Giardia duodenalis (syn. *G. intestinalis*, *G. lamblia*) is a non-invasive protozoan parasite that proliferates in the upper small intestine of vertebrate hosts including humans. The pathogen is recognized as an important contributor to diarrhoeal disease worldwide, with an estimated 280 million symptomatic infections every year (Einarsson *et al.*, 2016). In addition to *G. duodenalis*, the protozoan species *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Entamoeba histolytica* are also major causes of morbidity and mortality in young children globally (Kotloff *et al.*, 2013; Levine *et al.*, 2020). In contrast, the pathogenic role of other enteric eukaryotic species such as *Blastocystis* sp. and *D. fragilis* remains controversial. Both microorganisms are commonly reported in apparently healthy individuals, but there is also clinical and epidemiological evidence linking the presence of *Blastocystis* sp. and *D. fragilis* with intra-intestinal (diarrhoea, irritable bowel syndrome) and extra-intestinal (urticaria) disorders (Rostami *et al.*, 2017; Bahrami *et al.*, 2020).

Giardiasis primarily affects young children in low-income countries with limited or no access to safe drinking water and sanitary facilities (Kotloff *et al.*, 2013; Platts-Mills *et al.*, 2015). In this vulnerable group of age *G. duodenalis* infections have been linked with stunted growth and impaired cognitive development (Halliez and Buret, 2013; Yentur Doni *et al.*, 2015b). Giardiasis also represents a public health concern in middle- and high-income countries (Fletcher *et al.*, 2012), where asymptomatic carriage of *G. duodenalis* is common (Reh *et al.*, 2019).

Transmission of giardiasis is via the faecal-oral route. Humans acquire the infection directly through direct contact with infected individuals or animals, or indirectly through accidental ingestion of food or water contaminated with the parasite's cysts. Indeed, *G. duodenalis* is an important cause of foodborne and waterborne outbreaks of gastrointestinal

illness globally (Efstratiou *et al.*, 2017; Ryan *et al.*, 2019). *Giardia duodenalis* is currently regarded as a complex of eight (A-H) lineages or assemblages that likely represent cryptic species (Ryan and Cacciò, 2013). These assemblages have marked differences in host specificity and range, virulence, and even geographical distribution (Cacciò *et al.*, 2018). Humans are primarily infected by assemblages A and B. Both assemblages also infect a broad range of other mammal species and have, therefore, zoonotic potential. Assemblages C and D occur mainly in canids, assemblage E in domestic and wild ungulates, assemblage F in cats, assemblage G in rodents, and assemblage H in marine pinnipeds. Human infections by assemblages C-F are sporadically reported, particularly in children and immunocompromised individuals (Cacciò *et al.*, 2018).

Giardia duodenalis is a common enteric parasite in Turkey (Supplementary Table S1). The occurrence of the pathogen varies greatly depending on the population and geographical area under study. Infection rates ranging from 3– 48% and from 1– 15% have been reported in apparently healthy (Yentur Doni *et al.*, 2015a; Goksen *et al.*, 2016) and immunocompromised (Durak *et al.*, 2013; Akkelle *et al.*, 2019) children, respectively. *Giardia duodenalis* has also been identified in 43% of children with growth retardation (Yentur Doni *et al.*, 2015b), in 1– 23% of outpatients attended at clinical settings (Selek *et al.*, 2016; Alver *et al.*, 2011), and in 5% of food handlers (Bayramoglu *et al.*, 2013), among other populations (Supplementary Table S1). Most of these surveys were based on microscopic examination. Because of the limited diagnostic sensitivity of this method, reported figures in the above-mentioned surveys are likely an underestimation of the true infection rates.

Molecular studies aiming at investigating the molecular diversity of *G. duodenalis* in Turkey are scarce (Supplementary Table S2). Assemblage A has been more prevalently

found than assemblage B (50– 80% vs. 20– 30%) in children attending a hospital setting in Kocaeli Province (Tamer *et al.*, 2015) and in individuals of all ages in Aydin Province (Ertuğ *et al.*, 2016). Both assemblages were reported at similar proportions (~50%) in individuals admitted at hospital settings in Edirne (Cicek and Sakru, 2015). Additionally, dogs and horses have been demonstrated to harbour infections by zoonotic sub-assemblage BIV and assemblage A, respectively (Gultekin *et al.*, 2017; Demircan *et al.*, 2019), whereas assemblages A and B were identified in river water samples in the Giresun and Samsun Provinces (Koloren *et al.*, 2016). In all these surveys assignment of *G. duodenalis* assemblages/sub-assemblages was based on a single locus, usually the beta-giardin gene. This study adopts, for the first time in Turkey, a multilocus sequence typing scheme to assess the molecular diversity of *G. duodenalis* in stool samples from individuals admitted to hospital settings in Ankara.

Methods

Individual stool samples from 4,303 outpatients attended at the Gazi University Application and Research Health Research and Training Hospital ($n = 4,285$) and the Ankara Education and Research Hospital of the Health Science University ($n = 18$) were collected during 2018 ($n = 2,363$) and 2019 ($n = 1,940$) in Ankara, Turkey. Of these, 2,210 (51.4%) were male and 2,093 (48.6%) were female. The age of the recruited participants ranged from <1 to 99 years with a median age of 34 years. Among them, 3,065 (71.2%) were from patients suffering from gastrointestinal complaints (acute or chronic diarrhoea, abdominal pain, bloating, nausea), and 1,238 (28.8%) from asymptomatic individuals for which *G. duodenalis* testing was requested for unknown reasons. All collected stool samples were independently screened for the presence of enteric parasites by microscopy examination.

The flow chart summarizing the diagnostic and genotyping procedures used in this study is depicted in Fig. 1.

Microscopy

Fresh stool samples were immediately examined microscopically by preparing saline and iodine wet mounts to test for the presence of enteric parasites. Saline and iodine wet mounts were prepared by mixing a small volume of stool with a drop of physiological saline or Lugol's iodine (diluted 1:5 with distilled water) on a glass microscope slide and placing a coverslip over the mixture (Garcia, 2017). Entire coverslips were examined systematically at 10X and 40X magnification under a light microscope (CX31, Olympus, Japan).

The formalin-ethyl acetate concentration technique was conducted in all stool samples as previously described (World Health Organization, 1991). Briefly, for each sample, 3 ml of ethyl acetate solution were added to 10 ml of filtered stool suspension and the tubes were vigorously shaken and centrifuged at $500 \times g$ for 10 minutes. After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was placed on a microscope slide, covered with a coverslip, and examined microscopically as described above. Additionally, trichrome-stained smears were prepared from fresh stool samples and examined using a 100X oil objective for all stool samples. At least 200–300 oil immersion fields were screened (Garcia, 2017). Stool samples with a *G. duodenalis*-positive result by microscopy were kept at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for further molecular analyses.

Immunodiagnostic tests for the detection of G. duodenalis

A commercially available ELISA kit (Giardia IITM, TECHLAB[®], VA, USA) was used for the qualitative detection of *G. duodenalis* cyst antigen in all stool samples collected in

compliance with the manufacturer's recommendations. This method was used to overcome the inherent diagnostic limitations of conventional microscopy and increase the likelihood of detecting this parasite species. This assay uses monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies directed against a cell-surface antigen of the parasite and has, according to the manufacturer, a diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of 100%. The kit does not cross-react with other protozoan (*Chilomastix*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Endolimax*, *Entamoeba*, *Iodamoeba*, *Isospora*), stramenopile (*Blastocystis*), nematode (*Ascaris*, *Strongyloides*, *Trichuris*), or trematode (*Clonorchis*) parasites, has been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for use with human faecal specimens, and has been successfully used in routine clinical laboratories (Youn *et al.*, 2009). Stool samples with a *G. duodenalis*-positive result by ELISA were kept at -20°C for further molecular analyses.

DNA extraction and purification

Genomic DNA was isolated from all stool samples that tested positive for *G. duodenalis* by microscopy and/or ELISA methods. About 200 mg of each faecal specimen was processed using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions, except that samples mixed with InhibitEX buffer were incubated for 10 min at 95°C . Extracted and purified DNA samples (200 μl) were kept at -20°C until further molecular analysis. Taking advantage of this material, obtained genomic DNA samples were also used to test for the presence of *Blastocystis* sp. and *D. fragilis* by using molecular methods (see below).

Molecular detection of Giardia duodenalis

A real-time PCR (qPCR) protocol using SybrGreen reagents and targeting a 74-bp fragment of the β -giardin (*bg*) gene of *G. duodenalis* was used as confirmatory method of the presence of the parasite (Guy et al., 2003). PCR mixtures (25 μ l) contained 12.5 μ l of Maxima SYBRGreen qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA), 0.3 μ M of the primer pair P434_F/P434_R (Supplementary Table S3), and 2 μ l of template DNA. Positive (target DNA) and negative (sterile water) controls were included in each run. Amplification reaction were carried out in a Rotor-Gene 6000 real-time thermocycler (Rotor-Gene Q, Germantown, Qiagen, USA). Cycling parameters were 2 min at 50 °C (for uracil DNA glycosylase pre-treatment) and 10 min at 95 °C for initial denaturation followed by 45 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 59 °C for 40 s (annealing), and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min.

Molecular characterization of Giardia duodenalis

Giardia duodenalis isolates with a qPCR-positive result were re-assessed by sequence-based multi-locus genotyping of the genes encoding for the glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*), *bg*, and triose phosphate isomerase (*tpi*) proteins of the parasite. A semi-nested PCR was used to amplify a ~432-bp fragment of the *gdh* gene (Read *et al.*, 2004). PCR reaction mixtures (25 μ l) included 5 μ l of template DNA and 0.5 μ M of the primer pairs GDHeF/GDHiR in the primary reaction and GDHiF/GDHiR in the secondary reaction (Supplementary Table S3). Both amplification protocols consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min. A nested PCR was used to amplify a ~511 bp-fragment of the *bg* gene (Lalle *et al.*, 2005). PCR reaction mixtures (25 μ l) consisted of 3 μ l of template DNA and 0.4 μ M of the primers sets G7_F/G759_R in the

primary reaction and G99_F/G609_R in the secondary reaction (Supplementary Table S3). The primary PCR reaction was carried out with the following amplification conditions: one step of 95 °C for 7 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min with a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min. The conditions for the secondary PCR were identical to the primary PCR except that the annealing temperature was 55 °C. Finally, a nested PCR was used to amplify a ~530 bp-fragment of the *tpi* gene (Sulaiman *et al.*, 2003). PCR reaction mixtures (50 µl) included 2– 2.5 µl of template DNA and 0.2 µM of the primer pairs AL3543/AL3546 in the primary reaction and AL3544/ AL3545 in the secondary reaction (Supplementary Table S3). Both amplification protocols consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 50 °C for 45 s and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min.

The semi-nested and nested PCR protocols described above were conducted on a 2720 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). Reaction mixes always included 2.5 units of MyTAQ™ DNA polymerase (Bioline GmbH, Luckenwalde, Germany), and 5× MyTAQ™ Reaction Buffer containing 5 mM dNTPs and 15 mM MgCl₂. Laboratory-confirmed positive and negative DNA isolates for each parasitic species investigated were routinely used as controls and included in each round of PCR. PCR amplicons were visualized on 2% D5 agarose gels (Conda, Madrid, Spain) stained with Pronasafe nucleic acid staining solution (Conda).

Molecular detection of Blastocystis sp.

Identification of *Blastocystis* spp. was achieved by a qPCR protocol (Stensvold *et al.*, 2012) targeting a partial fragment of the small subunit ribosomal RNA (*ssu* rRNA) gene of *Blastocystis* sp. in the sample subset for which DNA was available. Amplification reactions

(25 µl) contained 12.5 µl of PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA), 0.5 µM of the primer pair *Blasto_FWD_F5/Blasto_R_F2*, 0.3 µM of probe (Supplementary Table S3), and 2 µL of template DNA. Positive (target DNA) and negative (sterile water) controls were included in each run. Amplification reactions were carried out in a Rotor-Gene 6000 real-time cycler (Rotor-Gene Q, Germantown, USA). Cycling parameters were 95 °C for 3 min, and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s followed by annealing and extension at 57 °C for 1 min.

Molecular characterization of Blastocystis sp. isolates

Samples with a *Blastocystis*-positive result by qPCR were reassessed using a direct PCR targeting a partial fragment (~ 600 bp) of the *ssu* rRNA gene of the parasite for genotyping purposes (Scicluna *et al.*, 2006). Amplification reactions (25 µl) included 5 µl of template DNA and 0.5 µM of the pan-*Blastocystis*, barcode primer set RD5/BhRDr (Supplementary Table S3). Amplification conditions consisted of one step of 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min each at 94, 59 and 72 °C, with an additional 2 min final extension at 72 °C. PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis reagents and equipment were as described above for *G. duodenalis* semi-nested and nested PCRs.

Molecular detection of Dientamoeba fragilis

Detection of *Dientamoeba fragilis* was achieved by a qPCR protocol amplifying a 78-bp fragment of the *ssu* rRNA gene of the parasite (Stark *et al.*, 2006). Reaction mixes (25 µl) consisted of 12.5 µl PCR of Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.5 µM of the primer pair DF3/DF4, 0.3 µM of probe (Supplementary Table S3), and 2 µl of template DNA. Positive (target DNA) and negative (sterile water) controls were included in each run.

Equipment and cycling conditions were identical to those described above for the *Blastocystis* qPCR.

Data analysis

The Chi-square test was used to compare differences in the frequency of *G. duodenalis* among infected individuals according to sociodemographic variables, presence/absence of clinical manifestations, and parasite's assemblages. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered evidence of statistical significance. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to assess the potential association between the occurrence of symptomatic infection and the *G. duodenalis* assemblage causing the infection. Statistical analyses were carried out using the OpenEpi free software (<https://www.openepi.com>).

Sequence analyses

Amplicons of the expected size obtained by direct, semi-nested and nested PCRs were directly sequenced in both directions using the internal primer set described above. DNA sequencing was conducted by capillary electrophoresis using the BigDye[®] Terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI PRISM 3130 automated DNA sequencer. Raw sequencing data were viewed using the Chromas Lite version 2.1 sequence analysis program (<https://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas/>). The BLAST tool (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>) was used to compare nucleotide sequences with sequences retrieved from the NCBI GenBank database. Generated DNA consensus sequences were aligned to appropriate reference sequences using the MEGA 6 software to identify *Giardia* species and assemblages/sub-assemblages. *Blastocystis* sequences were submitted at the *Blastocystis* 18S database (<http://pubmlst.org/blastocystis/>) for sub-type

confirmation and allele identification. The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT166353-MT166390 (*G. duodenalis*) and MT160367-MT160370 (*Blastocystis* sp.).

Phylogenetic analysis

Giardia duodenalis nucleotide sequences obtained at the *gdh* locus in this study, representative sequences of human origin from African, Asian, European, and South American countries as well as appropriate reference sequences to include relevant *G. duodenalis* assemblages retrieved from GenBank were aligned with the Clustal W algorithm using MEGA X (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Only unambiguous (non-heterozygous) sequences were included in the analysis. Phylogenetic inference was carried out by the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method as previously described (Saitou and Nei, 1987). Genetic distance was calculated with the Kimura parameter-2 model using MEGA X (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). The reliability of the tree was assessed by using the bootstrap method with 1,000 pseudoreplicates; only values >50% were reported.

Results

Microscopy-based infection rates of enteric parasites

The diversity and frequency of enteric parasite and commensal species detected in this study by conventional microscopy are summarized in Table 1. Non-pathogenic and pathogenic protozoa were identified in 1.6% (69/4,303) and 2.0% (84/4,303) of the recruited patients, respectively. Stramenopiles (*Blastocystis* sp.) and helminths were detected in 11.1% and 0.14% of patients, respectively. Among pathogenic protozoa, *G. duodenalis* (1.6%; 67/4,303) and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (0.2%; 9/4,303) were the species

found. Additionally, the occurrence of *E. histolytica* was investigated by ELISA in a subset ($n = 1,513$) of patients and detected in 0.5% of them (Table 1). Males (2.0%, 43/2,167) were significantly more infected than females (24/2,069) by *G. duodenalis* ($\chi^2 = 4.4773$, $P = 0.034$).

Out of the 67 *G. duodenalis*-positive cases identified by conventional microscopy, 61 tested also positive by ELISA. Negative results by both methods were produced for 4,236 patients. No ELISA-positive but microscopy-negative results were obtained. Most cases (64.2%, 43/67) were detected in patients older than 13 years of age (Fig. 2).

Molecular detection of enteric protist species

Genomic DNAs were obtained from stool samples of the 67 *G. duodenalis*-positive patients identified by conventional microscopy and/or ELISA. Sixty-four of them were confirmed by *bg*-qPCR. Generated cycle threshold (Ct) values ranged from 20.6 to 44.2 (median: 27.0). Five out of the six samples that were microscopy-positive but ELISA-negative were confirmed as positive by qPCR, all of them with Ct values >30 .

Additionally, the presence of *Blastocystis* sp. and *D. fragilis* were investigated in this sub-sample set by using specific *ssu*-qPCR protocols. *Blastocystis* sp. was detected in 16.4% (11/67) of the samples. Generated Ct values ranged from 19.8 to 34.9 (median: 27.3). *Dientamoeba fragilis* was detected in 10.4% (7/67) of the samples. Generated Ct values ranged from 23.7 to 36.3 (median: 28.8). *Blastocystis* sp. and *D. fragilis* were previously detected in 23.9% (16/67) and 4.4% (3/67), respectively, of this sub-sample set by conventional microscopic examination.

Coinfections

Giardia duodenalis was found in coinfection with other enteric parasitic or commensal species in a total of 17 patients. The most frequent combination found was *G. duodenalis* + *Blastocystis* sp. (41.2%, 7/17), followed by *G. duodenalis* + *Blastocystis* sp. + *D. fragilis* (23.5%, 4/17) and *G. duodenalis* + *D. fragilis* (17.6, 3/17) (Supplementary Table S4).

Molecular characterization of G. duodenalis

The molecular diversity of *G. duodenalis* was investigated using a multilocus genotyping (MLG) approach in the 64 samples confirmed by *bg*-qPCR. Successful PCR amplification and sequencing data were generated for 40.6% (26/64), 36.0% (23/64), and 34.4% (22/64) of the samples investigated at the *gdh*, *bg*, and *tpi* loci, respectively. A total of 30 *G. duodenalis*-positive samples were genotyped at least at a single locus. These samples had qPCR Ct values ranging from 20.6 to 36.7 (median: 25.1). The remaining 34 uncharacterized samples had qPCR Ct values ranging from 22.7 to 44.2 (median: 30.9). MLG data at the three assessed loci were available for 28.1% (18/64) of the investigated samples (Table 2). Assemblage A (53.3%, 16/30) was more prevalent than assemblage B (43.3%, 13/30). A mixed A+B infection was identified in a single sample (3.3%, 1/30). No host-specific assemblages of canine (C, D), feline (F), or livestock (E) origin were detected. Subtyping analyses revealed the presence of AII (23.3%, 7/30), discordant AII/AIII (23.3%, 7/30), and mixed AII+AIII (6.7%, 2/30) sequences within assemblage A. Likewise, BIII (10.0%, 3/30), BIV (3.3%, 1/30), and discordant BIII/BIV (23.3%, 7/30) sequences were found within assemblage B. Two additional sequences (6.7%, 2/30) were assigned to the latter assemblage but sub-assemblage information was unknown. Sub-assemblage AII was confirmed in the only sample with A+B mixed infection (Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes the molecular data generated at the *gdh* locus. Out of the 26 *gdh* sequences 16 were assigned to the sub-assembly AII. All of them showed 100% identity with the reference sequence used (GenBank accession number L40510). BIII and BIV were identified in two sequences each. BIII and BIV sequences differed by four to six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from their respective reference sequences. One of the reported BIII sequences corresponded to a novel genotype. Discordant BIII/BIV results were identified in an additional five sequences showing 5–15 SNPs when compared to reference sequence L40508. Most of these SNPs corresponded to ambiguous (double peaks) positions, suggesting that they very likely represent true BIII+BIV mixed infections. None of the SNPs observed at the *gdh* locus induced changes in the protein amino acid chain. Phylogenetic analyses using the NJ method revealed that all sequences generated in the present study at the *gdh* locus grouped in well-defined clusters with appropriate reference sequences and previously published sequences retrieved from GenBank at the assembly level (Fig. 3). However, clear distinction between sub-assembly BIII and BIV sequences was not supported by the analysis.

Table 4 summarizes the molecular data generated at the *bg* locus. Out of the 23 *bg* sequences two were identified as sub-assembly AII. Of them, one was identical to reference sequence AY072723 and the other differed from the latter by a single SNP. A total of eight sequences were assigned to AIII, six of them being identical to reference sequence AY072724 and the remaining two differing from the latter by 1–2 SNPs. One of the detected SNPs corresponded to a polymorphic (double peak) position (G118K) that may be associated to an amino acid change. A single sample was identified at this marker (but not at the *gdh* or the *tpi* loci) as a true AII+AIII mixed infection. Out of the 11 sequences identified as assembly B, three showed 100% identity with reference sequence

AY072727 and the remaining eight differed from the latter by 1– 4 SNPs. One of the SNPs detected (A280R) was potentially associated with a change in the protein amino acid chain.

Table 5 summarizes the molecular data generated at the *tpi* locus. Little genetic diversity was observed within sub-assemblage AII, where out of the 13 *tpi* sequences 10 were identical to reference sequence U57897 and the remaining three differed from the latter by 1– 2 SNPs. One of these ambiguous positions (A291W) may be involved in an amino acid replacement. A total of six sequences were identified as BIII, two of them showed 100% identity with reference sequence AF069561 and the remaining four differing from the latter by 1– 3 SNPs. One of the detected SNPs (C34T) was unmistakably linked to an amino acid change in the protein chain. The three sequences identified as BIII/BIV differed from reference sequence AF069560 by 8– 12 SNPs. As in the case of *gdh*, most of these SNPs were associated to double peaks at chromatogram inspection, suggesting that they likely represent true BIII+BIV mixed infections.

Distribution of G. duodenalis assemblages according to demographic and clinical variables

The distribution of *G. duodenalis* assemblages A and B according to sociodemographic (age, gender) and clinical (consistency of faecal material, occurrence of clinical manifestations) of the surveyed patient cohort is summarized in Table 6. Among the 30 PCR-positive samples, 6.7% (2/30), 23.3% (7/30), 30.0% (9/30), and 40.0% (12/30) were from the age groups of 0–5, 6–12 and 13–25, and >25 years, respectively. The male/female ratio was 1.14. Regarding stool consistency, loose samples were more frequently represented (50.0%, 15/30), followed by watery (30.0%, 9/30) and formed (20.0%, No statistically significant differences were observed in the distribution of *G. duodenalis*

assemblages according to the age group ($\chi^2 = 0.77, P = 0.857$), the gender ($\chi^2 = 0.29, P = 0.588$), or the stool consistency ($\chi^2 = 5.22, P = 0.073$) of the patients investigated. No obvious differences were observed in the distribution of *G. duodenalis* assemblages A and B according to the clinical manifestations of the investigated patients (Table 7).

Molecular characterization of Blastocystis sp.

Out of the 11 isolates that tested positive for *Blastocystis* spp. by *ssu*-qPCR, 54.5% (6/11) were successfully amplified and sequenced at the *ssu* rDNA (barcode region) gene. Multiple sequence alignment analyses revealed the presence at equal proportions of three *Blastocystis* subtypes (STs) including ST1 (33.3%; 2/6), ST2 (33.3%; 2/6) and ST3 (33.3%; 2/6). Neither mixed infection involving different STs of the parasite nor infections caused by animal-specific ST10-ST17 were recorded. Allele 4 within ST1, alleles 9 and 12 within ST2, and allele 34 within ST3 were identified.

Discussion

In this microscopy-based epidemiological survey the occurrence rates of enteric parasitic and commensal species have been identified at < 0.1%–11% in a large cohort of patients attended at two public hospitals in Ankara (Turkey) during a 24-month period. Among pathogenic protozoa *G. duodenalis* was the most prevalent (1.6%) species detected. This infection rate is identical to that reported in outpatients also in Ankara using the same methodology (Gulmez *et al.*, 2013). Higher prevalences (8–14%) have been found in immunocompromised children and emergency outpatients in this very same metropolitan area (Kocak Tufan *et al.*, 2011; Maçin *et al.*, 2016). In our study males were more likely to

be infected by *G. duodenalis* than females, suggesting that gender-related occupational exposure may play a role in the distribution of the parasite. Other pathogenic protozoa including *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *E. histolytica* were only sporadically found (< 0.5%) in the surveyed clinical population.

The molecular characterization of microscopy-positive *G. duodenalis* at three independent (*gdh*, *bg*, *tpi*) loci is perhaps the most relevant contribution of this study. This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study adopting a MLG scheme to investigate the genetic diversity of *G. duodenalis* in Turkey, as previous surveys conducted in the country were based in single genetic locus, mainly *bg* (Cicek and Sakru, 2015; Tamer *et al.*, 2015; Ertug *et al.*, 2016). MLG schemes significantly improve sensitivity for molecular analyses of virulence types, zoonotic potential and source tracking for *G. duodenalis* assemblages and sub-assemblages (Ankarklev *et al.*, 2018). PCR amplification success rate at the *gdh*, *bg*, and *tpi* loci were in the range of 34%–40% and, as expected, were more frequent in samples with qPCR Ct values ≤ 30 . Comparatively lower sensitivities of PCRs based in single-copy genes (as it is the case for the *gdh*, *bg*, and *tpi* loci used in our MLG scheme) may explain, at least partially, this situation. Our sequence data revealed that assemblage A was more prevalent than assemblage B (53.3% vs. 43.3%) in the clinical population investigated. Similar assemblage frequencies have been documented in neighboring Iran (Hooshyar *et al.*, 2017; Kasaei *et al.*, 2018; Rafiei *et al.*, 2020). These results are in sharp contrast with the European scenario, where assemblage B is the predominant assemblage reported in most countries (Feng and Xiao, 2011). The reason for the spatial differences observed in the distribution of *G. duodenalis* genotypes is unclear and should be investigated in further epidemiological studies.

A much higher sequence genetic diversity was observed in assemblage B than in assemblage A at the three genes investigated. These differences were particularly evident for *gdh* sequences, which are in line with previous published data (Caccio *et al.*, 2008; Sprong *et al.*, 2009; de Lucio *et al.*, 2016). The elevated proportion of B sequences with mixed templates (ambiguous nucleotides corresponding to double peaks at chromatogram inspection) made the assignment of single isolates to specific assemblages/sub-assemblages a complex task. Indeed, an elevated proportion of inconsistent BIII/BIV results were obtained both at the *gdh* and *tpi* loci. Two mechanisms have been proposed to explain the occurrence of ambiguous nucleotidic position and inconsistent genotyping within *G. duodenalis* assemblages: i) true intra-assemblage mixed infections (e.g. BIII+BIV) and ii) genetic recombination leading to reduced levels of allelic sequence heterozygosity (ASH) (Morrison *et al.*, 2007; Franzen *et al.*, 2009). Binucleated diplomonads including *G. duodenalis* have been largely thought to replicate exclusively asexually. Under this circumstance the two allelic gene copies at a given locus are expected to become increasingly divergent (elevated level of ASH) over time as a result of the independent accumulation of mutations (Sprong *et al.*, 2009). This is clearly not the case for assemblage A, for which a very low ASH level is known (Morrison *et al.*, 2007). This finding is indicative of the presence of genetic homogenization mechanisms. Indeed, evidence of genetic recombination at the intra-assemblage level has been demonstrated in experimental (Ankarklev *et al.*, 2012) and population (Siripattanapipong *et al.*, 2011) studies. The exact contribution of each of the above-mentioned mechanisms in the genetic diversity of *G. duodenalis* assemblages remain largely unknown.

A recent MLG study conducted in Spanish clinical patients of all age groups with giardiasis revealed that children were more commonly infected by assemblage B than

adults, whereas asymptomatic infection was more common in patients with assemblage A than in those with assemblage B (Wang *et al.*, 2019). Assemblage B has been previously associated with a higher likelihood of having clinical manifestations in a number of studies both in low- (Gelanew *et al.*, 2007), and high-income (Breathnach *et al.*, 2010) countries, although the opposite has also been reported (Sahagún *et al.*, 2008). In the present study assemblage distribution patterns were independent of the gender and age group of infected patients.

Regarding other enteric protists, the overall microscopy-based prevalence of *D. fragilis* was estimated at 1.4% (60/4,303). However, a much higher rate of 10.4% was obtained by qPCR in a sample subset ($n = 67$) for which genomic DNA was available. In this specific subset 4.4% of the samples were positive to *D. fragilis* by microscopy, meaning that qPCR was at least 2-fold more sensitive than conventional microscopy (10.4% vs. 4.4%) in detecting the presence of the parasite. Similarly, *D. fragilis* has been previously identified by microscopy in 3.3% of children with diarrhea in Ankara (Maçın *et al.*, 2016), and by qPCR in 2–12% of patients with gastrointestinal symptoms in Izmir and Kayseri (Sivcan *et al.*, 2018; Aykur *et al.*, 2019). Taken together, these findings are in favor of implementing diagnostic algorithms based on PCR methods for the detection of enteric protists in clinical settings, as previously recommended (Verweij, 2014; Van Lieshout *et al.*, 2015).

Blastocystis sp. carriage was detected by in 11.1% (476/4,303) of the patients investigated by conventional microscopy, and in 16.4% (11/67) by qPCR in the subset of samples for which genomic DNA was available. This rate is well in the range of those (1%–40%) previously reported by the same method and/or culture in different clinical populations (including splenectomised patients, patients with gastrointestinal symptoms,

and patients with irritable bowel disease) in the Ankara region (Supplementary Table S5). Remarkably, carriage rates as high as 86% have been reported when PCR was used as diagnostic assay (Malatyali *et al.*, 2019). Previous molecular studies have revealed that ST1–4 account for 92.2% of the *Blastocystis* STs circulating in different Turkish human populations, with ST3 being the most prevalent (50.1%) one (Supplementary Table S6). This is the very same trend observed globally (Alfellani *et al.*, 2013) and mirrored in the present study, where ST1–3 were found at equal proportions in the surveyed clinical population. Of note, highly likely zoonotically transmitted ST5–7, which were documented at low or very low frequencies in previous studies in the country (Dagci *et al.*, 2014; Adiyaman Korkmaz *et al.*, 2015; Cakir *et al.*, 2019; Malatyali *et al.*, 2019), were absent in ours. This fact suggests that the *Blastocystis* carriage reported here is likely the consequence of human-to-human transmission events.

The main strengths of this study are the high number of recruited patients participating and number of samples analysed, and the implementation of an MLG scheme for assessing the molecular diversity within *G. duodenalis*, which allowed for a robust analysis of genotypes. However, the diagnostic approach adopted has some limitations including: (i) conventional microscopy was used as screening test. The relatively low diagnostic sensitivity of this method, together with the fact that single stool samples were collected per patient, clearly indicate that prevalence rates of enteric pathogens/commensals reported here are an underestimation of the true ones, (ii) PCR-based methods for *D. fragilis* and *Blastocystis* sp. were conducted in a subset of samples only, so their associated results should be considered preliminary and in need of confirmation in further studies.

In conclusion, we provide here the first molecular characterization of *G. duodenalis* based on MLG conducted in Turkey to date. Our sequence data confirm assemblage A as

the most prevalent genetic variant of the parasite present in clinical populations in Ankara. No associations between *G. duodenalis* assemblages/sub-assemblages and sociodemographic and clinical variables could be demonstrated. In addition, *Blastocystis* ST1–3 were identified in a subset of the samples analysed. Data presented here demonstrate the superior diagnostic sensitivity of PCR-based methods over conventional microscopy, particularly for the detection of less frequent enteric parasites.

Acknowledgments

None.

Financial Support

This research was partially funded by the Scientific Research Unit of Gazi University (Ankara, Turkey) under project number 01/2017-15, and by the Health Institute Carlos III (ISCIII), Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (Spain) under grant number PI16CIII/00024.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Standards

This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Gazi University on 1st January 2020 under the reference number E.5388. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients recruited in this survey, or their parents or legal guardians.

References

- Adiyaman Korkmaz, G, Dođruman Al, F, and Mumcuođlu, İ** (2015) [Investigation of the presence of *Blastocystis* spp. in stool samples with microscopic, culture and molecular methods]. *Mikrobiyoloji Buđlteni Mikrobiyoloji Buđlteni* **49**, 85-97. doi: 10.5578/mb.8439
- Akkelle, BS, Tutar, E, Volkan, B, Sengul, OK, Ozen, A, Celikel, CA, and Ertem, D** (2019) Gastrointestinal manifestations in children with primary immunodeficiencies: Single center: 12 years experience. *Digestive diseases (Basel, Switzerland)* **37**, 45-52. doi: 10.1159/000492569
- Alfellani, MA, Stensvold, CR, Vidal-Lapiedra, A, Onuoha, ESU, Fagbenro-Beyioku, AF, and Clark, CG** (2013) Variable geographic distribution of *Blastocystis* subtypes and its potential implications. *Acta Tropica* **126**, 11-18. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.12.011
- Alver, O, Oral, B, and Tore, O** (2011) [The distribution of intestinal parasites detected in the Uludag University Medical School Hospital between 2005 and 2008]. *Tuđrkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* **35**, 194-198. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2011.46
- Ankarklev, J, Lebbad, M, Einarsson, E, Franzen, O, Ahola, H, Troell, K, and Svård, SG** (2018) A novel high-resolution multilocus sequence typing of *Giardia intestinalis* Assemblage A isolates reveals zoonotic transmission, clonal outbreaks and recombination. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* **60**, 7-16. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2018.02.012
- Ankarklev, J, Svård, SG, and Lebbad, M** (2012) Allelic sequence heterozygosity in single *Giardia* parasites. *BMC Microbiology* **12**, 65. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-65

- Aykur, M, Kurt, CC, Erdogan, DD, Avcı, CB, Vardar, R, Aydemir, S, Girginkardeşler, N, Gündüz, C, and Dagci, H** (2019) Investigation of *Dientamoeba fragilis* prevalence and evaluation of sociodemographic and clinical features in patients with gastrointestinal symptoms. *Acta Parasitologica* **64**, 162-170. doi: 10.2478/s11686-018-00017-5
- Bahrami, F, Babaei, E, Badirzadeh, A, Riabi, TR, and Abdoli, A** (2020) *Blastocystis*, urticaria, and skin disorders: review of the current evidences. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **39**, 1027-1042.
- Bayramoglu, O, Pekmezci, D, and Basari, F** (2013) [Investigation of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* prevalence with different methods in Adana food workers]. *Tu□rkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* **37**, 4-8. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2013.02
- Breathnach, AS, McHugh, TD, and Butcher, PD** (2010) Prevalence and clinical correlations of genetic subtypes of *Giardia lamblia* in an urban setting. *Epidemiology and Infection* **138**, 1459-1467. doi: 10.1017/S0950268810000208
- Caccio, SM, Beck, R, Lalle, M, Marinculic, A, and Pozio, E** (2008) Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* reveals striking differences between assemblages A and B. *International Journal for Parasitology* **38**, 1523-1531. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.04.008
- Caccio, SM, Lalle, M, and Svard, SG** (2018) Host specificity in the *Giardia duodenalis* species complex. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* **66**, 335-345. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2017.12.001
- Çiçek, C, and Şakru, N** (2015) [Genotyping of *Giardia intestinalis* isolates in the Thrace Region, Turkey]. *Mikrobiyoloji Bu□lteni* **49**, 576-585. doi: 10.5578/mb.10107

- Dagci, H, Kurt, Ö, Demirel, M, Mandiracioglu, A, Aydemir, S, Saz, U, Bart, A, and Van Gool, T** (2014) Epidemiological and diagnostic features of *Blastocystis* infection in symptomatic patients in Izmir province, Turkey. *Iranian Journal of Parasitology* **9**, 519-529.
- Demircan, K, Onder, Z, Duzlu, O, Yildirim, A, Okur, M, Ciloglu, A, Yetismis, G, and Inci, A** (2019) First molecular detection and phylogenetic analyses of zoonotic *Giardia intestinalis* in horses in Turkey. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* **80**, 56-60. doi: 10.1016/j.jevs.2019.06.017
- de Lucio, A, Amor-Aramendía, A, Bailo, B, Saugar, JM, Anegagrie, M, Arroyo, A, López-Quintana, B, Zewdie, D, Ayehubizu, Z, Yizengaw, E, Abera, B, Yimer, M, Mulu, W, Hailu, T, Herrador, Z, Fuentes, I, and Carmena, D** (2016) Prevalence and genetic diversity of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. among school children in a rural area of the Amhara Region, North-West Ethiopia. *PLoS One* **11**, e0159992. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159992
- Durak, F, Dogan, M, Atambay, M, Ozgen, U, and Ozen, M** (2013). [Evaluation of the intestinal parasitic infections in children patients with cancer]. *Tu□rkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* **37**, 179-185. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2013.40
- Efstratiou, A, Ongerth, JE, and Karanis, P** (2017) Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: Review of worldwide outbreaks - An update 2011-2016. *Water Research* **114**, 14-22. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.036
- Einarsson, E, Ma'ayeh, S, and Svärd, SG** (2016) An up-date on *Giardia* and giardiasis. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **34**, 47-52. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2016.07.019

- Ertuğ, S, Ertabaklar, H, Özlem Çalışkan, S, Malatyali, E, and Bozdoğan, B** (2016). [Genotyping of *Giardia intestinalis* strains isolated from humans in Aydın, Turkey]. *Mikrobiyoloji Bulletin* **50**, 152-158. doi: 10.5578/mb.10387
- Feng, Y, and Xiao, L** (2011) Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **24**, 110-140. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00033-10
- Fletcher, SM, Stark, D, Harkness, J, and Ellis, J** (2012) Enteric protozoa in the developed world: a public health perspective. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **25**, 420-449. doi: 10.1128/CMR.05038-11
- Franzen, O, Jerlström-Hultqvist, J, Castro, E, Sherwood, E, Ankarklev, J, Reiner, DS, Palm, D, Andersson, JO, Andersson, B, and Svärd, SG** (2009) Draft genome sequencing of *Giardia intestinalis* assemblage B isolate GS: is human giardiasis caused by two different species? *PLOS Pathogens* **5**, e1000560. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000560
- Garcia, LS** (2017) *Diagnostic Medical Parasitology*, 6th Edn. Washington, USA: ASM Press.
- Gelanew, T, Lalle, M, Hailu, A, Pozio, E, and Cacciò, SM** (2007) Molecular characterization of human isolates of *Giardia duodenalis* from Ethiopia. *Acta Tropica* **102**, 92-99. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2007.04.003
- Goksen, B, Appak, YC, Girginkardesler, N, Ecemis, T, and Kasirga, E** (2016) Coexistence of *Helicobacter pylori* and intestinal parasitosis in children with chronic abdominal pain. *Turkish Parasitology Journal* **40**, 32-36. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2016.4508

- Gulmez, D, Saribas, Z, Akyon, Y, and Erguven, S** (2013) [The results of Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine Parasitology Laboratory in 2003-2012: evaluation of 10 years]. *Tu rkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* **37**, 97-101. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2013.23
- Gultekin, M, Ural, K, Aysul, N, Ayan, A, Balikci, C, and Akyildiz, G** (2017) Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* in dogs in Aydin, Turkey. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* **27**, 161-168. doi: 10.1080/09603123.2017.1310187
- Guy, RA, Payment, P, Krull, UJ, and Horgen, PA** (2003) Real-Time PCR for quantification of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in environmental water samples and sewage. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **69**, 5178-5185. doi: 10.1128/aem.69.9.5178-5185.2003
- Halliez, MC, and Buret, AG** (2013) Extra-intestinal and long term consequences of *Giardia duodenalis* infections. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* **19**, 8974-8985. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i47.8974
- Hooshyar, H, Ghafarinasab, S, Arbabi, M, Delavari, M, and Rasti, S** (2017) Genetic variation of *Giardia lamblia* isolates from food-handlers in Kashan, Central Iran. *Iranian Journal of Parasitology* **12**, 83.
- Kasaei, R, Carmena, D, Jelowdar, A, and Beirumvand, M** (2018) Molecular genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* in children from Behbahan, southwestern Iran. *Parasitology Research* **117**, 1425-1431. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5826-6
- Kocak, Tufan, Z, Altun, S, Bulut, C, Kinikli, S, and Demiroz, AP** (2011) [Protozoal antigen positivity in diarrheal patients admitted to emergency service: a point prevalence study]. *Mikrobiyoloji Bu lteni* **45**, 765-767.

- Koloren, Z, Seferoğlu, O, and Karanis, P** (2016) Occurrence of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblages in river water sources of Black Sea, Turkey. *Acta Tropica* **164**, 337-344. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.09.025
- Kotloff, KL, Nataro, JP, Blackwelder, WC, Nasrin, D, Farag, TH, Panchalingam, S, Wu, Y, Sow, SO, Sur, D, Breiman, RF, Faruque, AS, Zaidi, AK, Saha, D, Alonso, PL, Tamboura, B, Sanogo, D, Onwuchekwa, U, Manna, B, Ramamurthy, T, Kanungo, S, Ochieng, JB, Omere, R, Oundo, JO, Hossain, A, Das, SK, Ahmed, S, Qureshi, S, Quadri, F, Adegbola, RA, Antonio, M, Hossain, MJ, Akinsola, A, Mandomando, I, Nhampossa, T, Acácio, S, Biswas, K, O'Reilly, CE, Mintz, ED, Berkeley, LY, Muhsen, K, Sommerfelt, H, Robins-Browne, RM, and Levine, MM** (2013) Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *Lancet* **382**, 209-222. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60844-2
- Kumar, S, Stecher, G, Li, M, Knyaz, C, Tamura, K, and Battistuzzi, FU** (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**, 1547-1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Lalle, M, Pozio, E, Capelli, G, Bruschi, F, Crotti, D, and Caccio, SM** (2005) Genetic heterogeneity at the beta-giardin locus among human and animal isolates of *Giardia duodenalis* and identification of potentially zoonotic subgenotypes. *International Journal of Parasitology* **35**, 207-213. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2004.10.022
- Levine, MM, Nasrin, D, Acácio, S, Bassat, Q, Powell, H, Tennant, SM, Sow, SO, Sur, D, Zaidi, AKM, Faruque, ASG, Hossain, MJ, Alonso, PL, Breiman, RF, O'Reilly, CE, Mintz, ED, Omere, R, Ochieng, JB, Oundo, JO, Tamboura, B, Sanogo, D, Onwuchekwa, U, Manna, B, Ramamurthy, T, Kanungo, S, Ahmed, S, Qureshi, S,**

- Quadri, F, Hossain, A, Das, SK, Antonio, M, Saha, D, Mandomando, I, Blackwelder, WC, Farag, T, Wu, Y, Houpt, ER, Verweij, JJ, Sommerfelt, H, Nataro, JP, Robins-Browne, RM, and Kotloff, KL** (2020) Diarrhoeal disease and subsequent risk of death in infants and children residing in low-income and middle-income countries: analysis of the GEMS case-control study and 12-month GEMS-1A follow-on study. *Lancet Global Health* **8**, e204-e214. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30541-8
- Maçin, S, Kaya, F, Çağdaş, D, Hizarcioglu-Gulsen, H, Saltik-Temizel, IN, Tezcan, İ, Demir, H, Ergüven, S, and Akyön, Y** (2016) Detection of parasites in children with chronic diarrhea. *Pediatrics International* **58**, 531-533. doi: 10.1111/ped.12959
- Malatyali, E, Ertabaklar, H, and Ertuğ, S** (2019) [Subtype distribution of *Blastocystis* spp. with DNA barcoding and evaluation of diagnostic methods]. *Mikrobiyoloji Bulletin* **53**, 308-318. doi: 10.5578/mb.68344
- Morrison, HG, McArthur, AG, Gillin, FD, Aley, SB, Adam, RD, Olsen, GJ, Best, AA, Cande, WZ, Chen, F, Cipriano, MJ, Davids, BJ, Dawson, SC, Elmendorf, HG, Hehl, AB, Holder, ME, Huse, SM, Kim, UU, Lasek-Nesselquist, E, Manning, G, Nigam, A, Nixon, JE, Palm, D, Passamaneck, NE, Prabhu, A, Reich, CI, Reiner, DS, Samuelson, J, Svard, SG, and Sogin, ML** (2007) Genomic minimalism in the early diverging intestinal parasite *Giardia lamblia*. *Science* **317**, 1921-1926. doi: 10.1126/science.1143837
- Platts-Mills, JA, Babji, S, Bodhidatta, L, Gratz, J, Haque, R, Havt, A, McCormick, BJ, McGrath, M, Olortegui, MP, Samie, A, Shakoob, S, Mondal, D, Lima, IF, Hariraju, D, Rayamajhi, BB, Qureshi, S, Kabir, F, Yori, PP, Mufamadi, B, Amour, C, Carreon, JD, Richard, SA, Lang, D, Bessong, P, Mduma, E, Ahmed, T,**

- Lima, AA, Mason, CJ, Zaidi, AK, Bhutta, ZA, Kosek, M, Guerrant, RL, Gottlieb, M, Miller, M, Kang, G, and Houpt, ER, MAL-ED Network Investigators** (2015) Pathogen-specific burdens of community diarrhoea in developing countries: a multisite birth cohort study (MAL-ED). *Lancet Global Health* **3**, e564-575. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00151-5
- Rafiei, A, Baghlaninezhad, R, Köster, PC, Bailo, B, Hernández de Mingo, M, Carmena, D, Panabad, E, and Beiromvand, M** (2020) Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* in Southwestern Iran. A community survey. *PLoS One* **15**, e0228317. doi: 0.1371/journal.pone.0228317
- Read, CM, Monis, PT, and Thompson, RC** (2004) Discrimination of all genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis* at the glutamate dehydrogenase locus using PCR-RFLP. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* **4**, 125-130. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2004.02.001
- Reh, L, Muadica, AS, Köster, PC, Balasegaram, S, Verlander, NQ, Chércoles, ER, and Carmena, D** (2019) Substantial prevalence of enteroparasites *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia duodenalis* and *Blastocystis* sp. in asymptomatic schoolchildren in Madrid, Spain, November 2017 to June 2018. *Euro Surveillance* **24**. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.43.1900241
- Rostami, A, Riahi, SM, Haghghi, A, Saber, V, Armon, B, and Seyyedtabaei, SJ** (2017) The role of *Blastocystis* sp. and *Dientamoeba fragilis* in irritable bowel syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parasitology Research*, **116**, 1-11. doi: 10.1007/s00436-017-5535-6.
- Ryan, U, and Cacciò, SM** (2018) Zoonotic potential of *Giardia*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **43**, 943-956. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.06.001

- Ryan, U, Hijjawi, N, Feng, Y, and Xiao, L** (2019) *Giardia*: an under-reported foodborne parasite. *International Journal for Parasitology* **49**, 1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.07.003
- Sahagún, J, Clavel, A, Goñi, P, Seral, C, Llorente, MT, Castillo, FJ, Capilla, S, Arias, A, and Gómez-Lus, R** (2008) Correlation between the presence of symptoms and the *Giardia duodenalis* genotype. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **27**, 81-83. doi: 10.1007/s10096-007-0404-3
- Saitou, N, and Nei, M** (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **4**, 406-425. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454.
- Scicluna, SM, Tawari, B, and Clark, CG** (2006) DNA barcoding of *Blastocystis*. *Protist* **157**, 77-85. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2005.12.001
- Selek, MB, Bektore, B, Karagoz, E, Baylan, O, and Ozyurt, M** (2016) Distribution of parasites detected in stool samples of patients admitted to our parasitology laboratory during a three-year period between 2012 and 2014. *Tuñrkiye Parazitolojii Dergisi* **40**, 137-140. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2016.4533
- Siripattanapong, S, Leelayoova, S, Mungthin, M, Thompson, RC, Boontanom, P, Saksirisampant, W, and Tan-Ariya, P** (2011) Clonal diversity of the glutamate dehydrogenase gene in *Giardia duodenalis* from Thai isolates: evidence of genetic exchange or mixed infections? *BMC Microbiology* **11**, 206. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-206
- Sivcan, E, Charyyeva, A, Ceylan, SS, Yürük, M, Erdoğan, E, and Şahin, İ** (2018) [*Dientamoeba fragilis* infection in patients with gastrointestinal system complaints]. *Mikrobiyoloji Buñlteni* **52**, 166-179. doi: 10.5578/mb.66468

Sprong, H, Cacciò, SM, and van der Giessen, JWB, ZOOPNET network and partners

(2009) Identification of zoonotic genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis*. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **3**, e558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000558

Stark, D, Beebe, N, Marriott, D, Ellis, J, and Harkness, J (2006) Evaluation of three

diagnostic methods, including real-time PCR, for detection of *Dientamoeba fragilis* in stool specimens. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **44**, 232-235. doi: 10.1128/JCM.44.1.232-235.2006

Stensvold, CR, Ahmed, UN, Andersen, LOB, and Nielsen, HV (2012) Development and

evaluation of a genus-specific, probe-based, internal-process-controlled real-time PCR assay for sensitive and specific detection of *Blastocystis* spp. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **50**, 1847-1851. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00007-12

Sulaiman, IM, Fayer, R, Bern, C, Gilman, RH, Trout, JM, Schantz, PM, Das, P, Lal,

AA, and Xiao, L (2003) Triosephosphate isomerase gene characterization and potential zoonotic transmission of *Giardia duodenalis*. *Emerging and Infectious Diseases* **9**, 1444-1452. doi: 10.3201/eid0911.030084

Tamer, GS, Kasap, M, and Er, DK (2015) Genotyping and phylogenetic analysis of

Giardia duodenalis isolates from Turkish children. *Medical Science Monitor* **21**, 526-532. doi: 10.12659/MSM.892318

Van Lieshout, L, and Roestenberg, M (2015) Clinical consequences of new diagnostic

tools for intestinal parasites. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **21**, 520-528. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2015.03.015

Verweij, JJ (2014) Application of PCR-based methods for diagnosis of intestinal parasitic

infections in the clinical laboratory. *Parasitology* **141**, 1863-1872. doi: 10.1017/S0031182014000419

- Wang, Y, Gonzalez-Moreno, O, Roellig, DM, Oliver, L, Huguet, J, Guo, Y, Feng, Y, and Xiao, L** (2019) Epidemiological distribution of genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis* in humans in Spain. *Parasites and Vectors* **12**, 432. doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3692-4
- World Health Organization** (1991) Basic laboratory methods in medical parasitology. Geneva, Switserland: World Health Organization.
- Yentur Doni, N, Gürses, G, Şimşek, Z, and Yıldız Zeyrek, F** (2015a) Prevalence and associated risk factors of intestinal parasites among children of farm workers in the southeastern Anatolian region of Turkey. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **22**, 438-442. doi: 10.5604/12321966.1167709
- Yentur Doni, N, Yildiz Zeyrek, F, Simsek, Z, Gurses, G, and Sahin, İ** (2015b) Risk factors and relationship between intestinal parasites and the growth retardation and psychomotor development delays of children in Şanlıurfa, Turkey. *Tu□rkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi* **39**, 270-276. doi: 10.5152/tpd.2015.3620
- Youn, S, Kabir, M, Haque, R, and Petri, WA Jr** (2009) Evaluation of a screening test for detection of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* parasites. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **47**, 451-452. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01736-08

Table 1. Microscopy-based occurrence rates of enteric parasite and commensal species in the patient cohort ($n = 4,303$) investigated in the present study according to gender, Ankara, Turkey, 2018– 2019.

Parasites species	Gender		<i>n</i>	Infection rate (95% confidence interval)
	Male <i>n</i> (%)	Female <i>n</i> (%)		
Pathogenic protozoans	52 (61.9)	32 (38.1)	84	1.95 (1.57– 2.40)
<i>Giardia duodenalis</i>	43 (64.1)	24 (35.9)	67	1.56 (1.22– 1.96)
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp.	5 (55.5)	4 (44.5)	9	0.21 (0.10– 0.38)
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> ^a	4 (50.0)	4 (50.0)	8	0.53 (0.25– 1.00) ^b
Non-pathogenic protozoans	39 (56.5)	30 (43.5)	69	1.60 (1.25– 2.01)
<i>Dientamoeba fragilis</i>	33 (55.0)	27 (45.0)	60	1.39 (1.07– 1.77)
<i>Entamoeba coli</i>	3 (75.0)	1 (25.0)	4	0.09 (0.03– 0.22)
<i>Entamoeba nana</i>	2 (66.6)	1 (33.4)	3	0.07 (0.02– 0.19)
<i>Chilomastix mesnili</i>	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2	0.05 (0.01– 0.15)
Stramenopiles	248 (52.1)	228 (47.9)	476	11.1 (10.2– 12.0)
<i>Blastocystis</i> sp.	248 (52.1)	228 (47.9)	476	11.1 (10.2– 12.0)
Helminths	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)	6	0.14 (0.06– 0.29)
<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i>	3 (75.0)	1 (25.0)	4	0.09 (0.03– 0.22)
<i>Taenia</i> spp.	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2	0.05 (0.01– 0.15)

^a Infection rates determined in 1,513 samples by a commercial ELISA (*E. histolytica* IITM, TECHLAB[®], VA, USA) for the specific detection of *E. histolytica* coproantigens.

^b Analysis conducted on 1,513 samples only.

Table 2. Multilocus genotyping results of the 30 *G. duodenalis*-positive samples successfully genotyped at least at one of the three loci investigated, Ankara, Turkey, 2018–2019.

Patient ID	<i>gdh</i>	<i>bg</i>	<i>tpi</i>	Assigned assemblage	Assigned sub-assemblage
5	AII	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
7	–	–	BIII	B	BIII
10	AII	AII	AII	A	AII
12	BIII/BIV	B	–	B	BIII/BIV
13	AII	–	–	A	AII
14	BIII	B	BIII	B	BIII
15	AII	–	AII	A	AII
17	AII	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
18	AII	–	–	A	AII
20	AII	–	–	A	AII
21	AII	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
22	BIV	–	–	B	BIV
23	AII	B	AII	A+B	AII+B
24	BIII/BIV	B	BIII/BIV	B	BIII/BIV
25	–	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
29	AII	AIII	–	A	AII/AIII
30	AII	–	AII	A	AII
32	AII	AII+AIII	AII	A	AII+AIII
36	–	B	–	B	B
41	BIV	B	BIII/BIV	B	BIII/BIV
50	BIII/BIV	B	BIII	B	BIII/BIV
51	AII	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
52	BIII+BIV	B	BIII/BIV	B	BIII/BIV
53	AII	AIII	AII	A	AII/AIII
54	BIV	B	BIII	A	BIII/BIV

55	AII	AII+AIII	AII	A	AII+AIII
58	-	B	-	B	B
63	BIII	B	BIII	B	BIII
64	AII	AII	AII	A	AII
67	BIII/BIV	B	BIII	B	BIII/BIV

bg: beta-giardin; *gdh*: glutamate dehydrogenase; *tpi*: triose phosphate isomerase.

Accepted Manuscript

Table 3. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *Giardia duodenalis* sequences at the *gdh* locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided. Novel genotypes are shown underlined.

Assemblage	Sub-assemblage	Isolates	Reference sequence	Stretch	Single nucleotide polymorphisms	Sample Id.
A	AII	16	L40510	64– 491	None	MT166380
B	BIII	1	AF069059	40– 455	C99T, T147C, C309T, C336T, T391W	MT166381
		1	AF069059	40– 455	C204T, G258A, <u>C270T</u> , C330T, C360T, G402A	<u>MT166382</u>
	BIV	1	L40508	76– 491	C105Y, T183C, T387C, C423Y	MT166383
		1	L40508	76– 491	T183C, T387C, C396T, C423T	MT166384
		1	L40508	76– 491	T183Y, T222Y, C255Y, C423Y, A438R	MT166385
	BIII/BIV	1	L40508	76– 491	C123Y, T135Y, T183Y, G186R, G234R, C255Y, C273Y, C306Y, C345Y, T366Y, G378R, T387C, G408R, C423Y, A438R	MT166386
		1	L40508	76– 491	T135C, T183Y, C255Y, C273Y, T366Y, T387Y, C423Y, A438R, G442R	MT166387
		1	L40508	85– 491	T135Y, C255T, C258Y, C273T, T366Y, T387C, C432Y, A438R	MT166388
1		L40508	76– 491	T135Y, T183Y, C255Y, C273Y, T387C	MT166389	
		1	L40508	76– 491	T183Y, C255Y, C273Y, G285R, T387C, A438R, A441R, G474R	MT166390

K: A/T; R: A/G; Y: C/T.

Table 4. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *Giardia duodenalis* sequences at the *bg* locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided. Novel genotypes are shown underlined.

Assemblage	Sub-assemblage	Isolates	Reference sequence	Stretch	Single nucleotide polymorphisms	Sample Id.
A	AII	1	AY072723	102– 604	None	MT166353
		1		98– 594	C414Y	MT166354
	AIII	6	AY072724	98– 753	None	MT166355
		1		98– 590	G118K ^a , A456R	MT166356
		1		98– 592	A332R	MT166357
	AII+AIII	2	AY072723	103– 603	C415Y, T423Y	MT166358
B	–	3	AY072727	98– 595	None	MT166359
	–	1		98– 605	C150Y, C165Y, C309T, C507Y	MT166360
	–	1		102– 594	C165T	MT166361
	–	1		98– 713	C165Y, A280R ^b	MT166362
	–	1		98– 753	C165Y, C309Y	MT166363
	–	1		141– 592	A183R, C288Y, C309Y, T519Y	MT166364
	–	1		93– 604	A183R, C309Y, C348Y	MT166365
	–	1		93– 753	C309T	<u>MT166366</u>
	–	1		92– 711	C309T, A652G	<u>MT166367</u>

K: A/T; R: A/G; Y: C/T.

^a If T, pD40Y.

^b If G, pT94A.

Table 5. Diversity, frequency, and main molecular features of *Giardia duodenalis* sequences at the *tpi* locus generated in the present study. GenBank accession numbers are provided. Novel genotypes are shown underlined.

Assemblage	Sub-assemblage	Isolates	Reference sequence	Stretch	Single nucleotide polymorphisms	Sample Id.
A	AII	10	U57897	292– 805	None	MT166368
		1		276– 798	C287G, A291W ^a	MT166369
		1		276– 797	C287G	MT166370
		1		292– 805	G752R	MT166371
B	BIII	2	AF069561	1– 456	None	MT166372
		1		1– 456	C34T ^b , G105A	<u>MT166373</u>
		1		10– 456	C84Y, C110Y ^c , C208Y	MT166374
		1		1– 456	G105R, T363Y	MT166375
		1		6– 456	A426G	<u>MT166376</u>
	BIII/BIV	1	AF069560	1– 479	A5R, T57Y, G87R, G128R, T131Y, T134Y, C164Y, A176R, C197M, A395G, C403Y, A464R	MT166377
	1	1– 479	A5R, A31R, T57Y, C98Y, C107Y, T131Y, T134Y, A176G, A301W, A395G	MT166378		
1	1– 479	G21R, T131Y, T134Y, C164Y, A176G, C237Y, A246R, A395G	MT166379			

K: A/T; R: A/G; Y: C/T.

^a If T, pN2Y.

^b pH12Y.

^c If T, pT37L.

Table 6. Occurrence of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblages A and B according to sociodemographic (age, gender) and clinical (stool consistency, symptoms) variables of the 30 PCR-positive patients, Ankara, Turkey, 2018– 2019.

Variable	Cases (<i>n</i>)	Assemblage			Clinical manifestations						
		A	B	A+B	Abdominal pain	Bloating	Diarrhoea	Nausea	Constipation	Weigh lose	None
Age (years)											
0– 5	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
6– 12	7	4	3	0	6	0	4	1	1	0	0
13– 25	9	4	5	0	5	0	4	2	1	3	1
>25	12	7	4	1	8	2	7	5	0	3	1
Gender											
Male	16	9	6	1	9	1	11	3	0	5	1
Female	14	7	7	0	10	1	6	5	2	3	1
Stool consistency											
Watery	9	6	3	0	6	0	7	3	0	5	0
Loose	15	5	10	0	9	1	7	4	1	3	1
Formed	6	5	0	1	4	1	3	1	1	0	1

Table 7. Occurrence of clinical manifestation symptoms in the 30 PCR-positive patients according to the *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage (A or B), Ankara, Turkey, 2018– 2019.

Assemblage	Cases (<i>n</i>)	Abdominal pain	Bloating	Diarrhoea	Nausea	Constipation	Weigh lose	None
A	16	10	1	8	5	1	4	1
B	13	8	0	8	3	1	4	1
A+B	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total	30	19	2	17	8	2	8	2

Accepted Manuscript




Fig. 1. Flow diagram showing the flow of clinical samples, diagnostic, and genotyping procedures followed in the present study.

Accepted Manuscript




Fig. 2. Histogram showing the age distribution of the cases ($n = 67$) infected by *Giardia duodenalis* in the present study, Ankara, Turkey, 2018– 2019.

Accepted Manuscript




Fig. 3. Phylogenetic relationships among *Giardia duodenalis* genotypes identified in this

study. Human genetic variants from countries representing different epidemiological scenarios were included for comparative purposes. Analysis was inferred by a Neighbor-Joining method of a 416-bp region (positions 76–491 of GenBank: L40508) of the *gdh* gene. Bootstrap values lower than 50% are not shown. All nucleotide sequences include the GenBank accession number in parenthesis. Cyan filled circles represent reference sequences; filled dark green triangles represent sequences generated in the present study. *Giardia ardeae* was used as the outgroup.

Accepted Manuscript