Northumbria Research Link

Citation: von Scheidt, Moritz, Zhao, Yuqi, de Aguiar Vallim, Thomas Q., Che, Nam, Wierer, Michael, Seldin, Marcus M., Franzén, Oscar, Kurt, Zeyneb, Pang, Shichao, Bongiovanni, Dario, Yamamoto, Masayuki, Edwards, Peter A., Ruusalepp, Arno, Kovacic, Jason C., Mann, Matthias, Björkegren, Johan L.M., Lusis, Aldons J., Yang, Xia and Schunkert, Heribert (2021) Transcription Factor MAFF (MAF Basic Leucine Zipper Transcription Factor F) Regulates an Atherosclerosis Relevant Network Connecting Inflammation and Cholesterol Metabolism. Circulation, 143 (18). pp. 1809-1823. ISSN 0009-7322

Published by: American Heart Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050186 <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050186>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/46140/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





The transcription factor MAFF regulates an atherosclerosis relevant network connecting inflammation and cholesterol metabolism

von Scheidt - Identification of MAFF as a regulator of the LDLR

Moritz von Scheidt^{1,2}*, Yuqi Zhao³*, Thomas Q. de Aguiar Vallim^{4,12}, Nam Che^{4,5,6}, Michael Wierer⁷, Marcus M. Seldin⁸, Oscar Franzén⁹, Zeyneb Kurt³, Shichao Pang¹, Dario Bongiovanni^{2,10}, Masayuki Yamamoto¹¹, Peter A. Edwards^{4,12}, Arno Ruusalepp^{13,14}, Jason C. Kovacic¹⁵, Matthias Mann⁷, Johan L.M. Björkegren^{9,14,15}, Aldons J. Lusis^{4,5,6}, Xia Yang³, Heribert Schunkert^{1,2}

* These authors contributed equally to the study

Corresponding author

Prof. Dr. med. Heribert Schunkert, MD; (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6428-3001)
Dr. Dr. med. Moritz von Scheidt, MD; (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7159-8271)
Deutsches Herzzentrum München (German Heart Center Munich)
Klinik für Herz- und Kreislauferkrankungen
Lazarettstr. 36
D-80636 München
Telephone: +49-89-1218-2849
Fax: +49-89-1218-4013

Total word count

12,349 (Manuscript only: 4,606)

Email: moritz.scheidt@tum.de

1 Abstract

Background – Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a multifactorial condition with both genetic
and exogenous causes. The contribution of tissue specific functional networks to the
development of atherosclerosis remains largely unclear. The aim of this study was to identify
and characterise central regulators and networks leading to atherosclerosis.

Methods – Based on several hundred genes known to affect atherosclerosis risk in mouse (as 6 7 demonstrated in knock-out models) and human (as shown by genome-wide association studies (GWAS)) liver gene regulatory networks were modeled. The hierarchical order and regulatory 8 9 directions of genes within the network were based on Bayesian prediction models as well as experimental studies including chromatin immunoprecipitation DNA-Sequencing (ChIP-Seq), 10 ChIP mass spectrometry (ChIP-MS), overexpression, siRNA knockdown in mouse and human 11 liver cells, and knockout mouse experiments. Bioinformatics and correlation analyses were 12 used to clarify associations between central genes and CAD phenotypes in both human and 13 14 mouse.

Results – The transcription factor *MAFF* interacted as a key driver of a liver network with three 15 human genes at CAD GWAS loci and eleven atherosclerotic murine genes. Most importantly, 16 17 expression levels of the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) gene correlated with MAFF in 600 CAD patients undergoing bypass surgery (STARNET) and a hybrid mouse diversity 18 19 panel involving 105 different inbred mouse strains. Molecular mechanisms of MAFF were tested under non-inflammatory conditions showing a positive correlation between MAFF and 20 LDLR in vitro and in vivo. Interestingly, after LPS stimulation (inflammatory conditions) an 21 inverse correlation between MAFF and LDLR in vitro and in vivo was observed. ChIP-MS 22 23 revealed that the human CAD GWAS candidate BACH1 assists MAFF in the presence of LPS

- stimulation with respective heterodimers binding at the MAF recognition element (MARE) of
 the *LDLR* promoter to transcriptionally downregulate *LDLR* expression.
- Conclusion The transcription factor *MAFF* was identified as a novel central regulator of an
 atherosclerosis/CAD relevant liver network. *MAFF* triggered context specific expression of *LDLR* and other genes known to affect CAD risk. Our results suggest that *MAFF* is a missing
 link between inflammation, lipid and lipoprotein metabolism and a possible treatment target.

7 Keywords

- 8 Atherosclerosis, BACH1, coronary artery disease, inflammation, key driver analysis, LDLR,
- 9 lipid metabolism, MAFF, network modeling

1 Translational Perspective

2 What is new?

3	• Our study identified the transcription factor <i>MAFF</i> as key driver gene in a liver-									
4	specific network involving several genes with established, genome-wide significant									
5	association to coronary artery disease (CAD).									
6	• <i>MAFF</i> regulated context-specifically expression of <i>LDLR</i> in experimental model									
7	systems and human individuals.									
8	MAFF induced LDLR expression under non-inflammatory conditions. After LPS									
9	stimulation MAFF downregulated LDLR expression via heterodimerisation with									
10	BACH1 and binding at the maf-recognition element (MARE) – also known as stress-									
11	responsive element – in the promoter of <i>LDLR</i> .									
12	What are the clinical implications?									
13	• Cholesterol metabolism and inflammation represent two major causes of CAD. Here									
14	we identified a transcriptional regulator (MAFF) to differentially affect the major									
15	determinant of cholesterol levels (LDLR) dependent on the inflammatory state.									
16	• Further studies of the transcription factor MAFF, its interaction partners and									
17	downstream cascades might generate new therapeutic targets to treat									
18	hypercholesterolemia, inflammation and reduce CAD risk.									

1 Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD), a globally leading cause of death,^{1, 2} is brought about by 2 atherosclerosis of the epicardial arteries, which is prompted by a multifactorial interplay of 3 genetic and lifestyle factors.³ From a functional point of view, the mechanisms which result in 4 CAD can be grouped into different pathways or networks.⁴⁻⁷ A systematic analysis of genes 5 identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of CAD patients and genetic mouse 6 models of atherosclerosis revealed a strong concordance of relevant networks and pathways for 7 atherosclerosis between the two species.⁸ However, characterization and regulation of these 8 functional networks is far from being complete.⁹ Central mechanisms for atherosclerosis are 9 the disturbance of cholesterol metabolism and inflammation, which - like CAD - have 10 multifactorial etiologies.¹⁰⁻¹² Clinical as well as epidemiological trials and Mendelian 11 randomization studies confirmed that elevation of plasma cholesterol and increased 12 inflammation promote the progression of atherosclerosis and CAD¹³⁻¹⁶, whereas reduction of 13 plasma cholesterol levels and inflammatory processes lowered significantly the subsequent risk 14 of cardiovascular events.^{10, 17-19} Both, cholesterol metabolism and inflammatory responses are 15 16 largely orchestrated in the liver. Therefore, the analysis focused on hepatic tissue to further elucidate regulatory gene networks involved in atherosclerosis. 17

18

19 Methods

Data used in this study are available in persistent repositories. Human data from STARNET are accessible through the Database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGAP). Mouse data from HMDP are accessible through the Mouse Phenome Database (MPD). All experimental data supporting the findings of this study can be requested from qualified researchers at the German Heart Center Munich from the corresponding author. An expanded and detailed materials and

methods section is provided in the supplement section (Expanded Methods). Human and 1 mouse candidate genes were retrieved from the literature.^{8, 20-30} Gene-gene relations of 2 atherosclerotic genes were retrieved utilising Bayesian gene regulatory networks derived from 3 previous expression analyses on human and mouse tissues as described.³¹⁻³⁶ The key driver 4 analysis (KDA) was based on an established algorithm to identify central regulators of 5 atherosclerosis relevant networks.^{31, 37-40} ChIP-Seq experiments of MAFF on human HepG2 6 cells were performed as described.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ Binding capacities of MAFF and heterodimerisation 7 partners were confirmed using ChIP-Seq data. siRNA experiments targeting liver genes were 8 performed in cultured AML12 murine and Hep3b human liver cells. Maff overexpression 9 10 experiments were performed in AML12 cells. ChIP-MS was performed to identify MAFF 11 binding partners. Molecular docking of heterodimerisation partners was assessed based on lowest free energy.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ The STARNET study was conducted in accordance with the provisions 12 of the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Good 13 Clinical Practice guidelines. The protocol was approved by an independent ethics committee 14 and all patients provided written informed consent. All animal studies in mice followed the 15 guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of California Los Angeles. 16 The approach is graphically summarised in Figure 1. 17

18

19 **Results**

20 Bioinformatics identification of the MAFF network

The bioinformatics approach was based on a comprehensive search for mouse genes that have been previously found to affect the manifestation of atherosclerosis in genetically engineered mouse models as well as human chromosomal loci significantly associated with CAD in GWAS and the respective annotation of responsible genes at these loci.²⁰⁻³⁰ Specifically, 244 human

CAD GWAS candidate genes (Supplemental Table 1) and 827 mouse atherosclerosis genes 1 2 (Supplemental Table 2) were used to model gene regulatory networks using a key driver analysis.⁴⁹ Liver Bayesian network models composed from multiple published genetic and gene 3 expression datasets were constructed to retrieve gene-gene regulatory relationships in each 4 dataset,³¹⁻³⁶ followed by summarising the individual liver networks into a union liver Bayesian 5 network (Expanded Methods). The mouse atherosclerosis genes and human CAD GWAS 6 genes were then mapped separately to the liver Bayesian network model to retrieve subnetworks 7 (specific parts of the global Bayesian liver network) of disease genes and to predict key 8 regulatory genes in these subnetworks. Several subnetworks enriched for known atherosclerosis 9 10 or CAD associated genes were identified. Figure 2 displays the interconnected top 10 liver 11 subnetworks containing mouse atherosclerosis or human CAD genes. Table 1 lists these subnetworks in mouse and human ranked by fold enrichment of disease genes in each 12 subnetwork. Based on mouse atherosclerosis genes, the top five key driver genes of the 13 regulatory networks were Maff, Illb, Ccl7, Atf3 and Cxcl10. With the exception of Maff these 14 genes have already been shown to have significant effects on atherosclerosis in mouse models, 15 which may serve as positive control for this approach.⁵⁰⁻⁵³ Regarding the human CAD genes, 16 the top ranked key driver gene ALDH2 is known to reside at a CAD GWAS locus. The key 17 18 driver SERPINE1, which is also part of the MAFF network, shares several atherosclerosis relevant genes with MAFF. 19

The top ranked liver subnetwork, over-represented with both mouse atherosclerosis and human
CAD candidate genes, was predicted to be orchestrated by *MAFF/Maff*, which interacts with
24 atherosclerosis related genes. A number of these genes are known to be associated with lipid
metabolism and others with inflammation, and eleven genes (*Atf3, Epha2, Gdf15, Ldlr, Nr4a3, Phlda1, Serpine1, Tnfaip3, Tnfrsf12a, Trib1* and *Zfp36*) were found to affect atherosclerosis in

genetically engineered mouse models.⁵³⁻⁶³ On the human side, the *MAFF* interacting genes
 LDLR, *MCL1* and *TRIB1* reside at genome-wide significant CAD GWAS loci.

MAFF is a member of the MAF family, which consists of large and small MAF proteins. Large 3 MAFs possess a transactivation domain and modulate regulatory processes. Small MAFs are 4 lacking a transactivation domain and are therefore classified as transcriptional repressors.⁶⁴ 5 MAFF, a small MAF, is a basic region leucine zipper (bZIP)-type transcription factor composed 6 of a DNA binding domain and a leucine zipper domain necessary for dimerisation. MAFF can 7 8 mediate both transcriptional activation or repression by forming heterodimers with other bZIP transcription factors. But the precise mechanism by which MAFF forms specific dimers, and 9 therefore induces or represses specific target genes is currently not well established. 10

11

12 Prediction of regulatory directionality in the MAFF/Maff network

Individual liver Bayesian network models were constructed using multiple genetic and gene expression datasets from mouse and human studies and combined the networks into one union liver network (Expanded Methods). As the directionality between two genes in a network might differ across studies due to different environmental perturbations and physiological states, the dominant direction that is supported by more datasets was taken as the directionality between two genes (Figure 2).

Based on this data *ATF3*, *TRIB1*, *SERPINE1*, *FOSL2*, and *ZFP36* were predicted to be upstream
of *MAFF*, i.e. these genes appear to affect regulation of the transcription factor. All other genes
of interest in the context of atherosclerosis (*ARID5B*, *CLCF1*, *CREM*, *CXCL8*, *DUSP5*, *EPHA2*, *FOXP1*, *GDF15*, *LDLR*, *MCL1*, *NAV2*, *NR4A3*, *PHLDA1*, *PPPLRL15A*, *SLC20A1*, *TGFBI*,

TNFAIP3, TNFRSF12A and *TSC22D1*) were predicted to be downstream of *MAFF* and
 therefore likely to be regulated by the transcription factor *MAFF*.

3

Confirmation of Maff coexpression with lipid metabolism and inflammation processes in mouse atherosclerosis models

The Hybrid Mouse Diversity Panel (HMDP) is a set of 105 different inbred mouse strains,
which were studied under different dietary conditions and different genetic backgrounds.^{65, 66}
Ldl and Vldl cholesterol levels increased from chow diet over high-fat diet to the atherogenic
transgenic mice on high-fat diet (26mg/dl vs. 42mg/dl, vs. 92mg/dl; p<0.001). In transgenic
mice inflammation associated factors like *Il1b*, *Il6* and *Tnfa* were also upregulated.

On regular chow (Pearson's r=0.30, p=9.88e-07) and high-fat diet (Pearson's r=0.35, p=1.78e-11 07) positive correlations between Maff and Ldlr were detected. By contrast, in mice on high-fat 12 diet with transgenic expression of human APOE-Leiden and cholesteryl ester transfer protein 13 (CETP), causing increased hyperlipidemia and inflammation, significant inverse correlations 14 between *Maff* and *Ldlr* were observed (Pearson's r=-0.27, p=4.65e-05). Correlations between 15 Maff and its network interaction partners are summarised in Supplemental Table 3. Also, 16 associations between Maff and various molecular and biochemical phenotypes were studied, 17 showing significant correlations with atherosclerosis related traits under non-inflammatory 18 19 conditions (mice on chow and high-fat diet): total cholesterol (Pearson's r=0.31, p=7.90e-4), unesterified cholesterol (Pearson's r=0.38, p=2.22e-3), body weight (Pearson's r=0.32, 20 p=1.54e-3); and inflammatory conditions (atherogenic): aortic lesion area (as a measure of 21 22 atherosclerotic lesions) (Pearson's r=-0.37, p=1.34e-3), *Il6*-levels (element of the inflammasome axis; Pearson's r=0.45, p=5.24e-6), *Tnfa*-levels (as a measure of inflammation; 23 Pearson's r=0.33, p=1.23e-3) and Mcp1-levels (recruiting monocytes, memory T cells and 24

dendritic cells to the sites of inflammation; Pearson's r=0.33, p=1.09e-3). Furthermore, the 1 density of absolute values of Pearson correlation efficient r was assessed between gene pairs of 2 the Maff network as a parameter of network gene coexpression or connection activity and the 3 network coexpression activity between HMDP panels (chow vs. high fat vs. atherogenic) was 4 compared. Overall, significantly increased gene-gene coexpression from chow diet over high-5 fat diet to the transgenic group was identified (p<0.01) (Supplemental Figure 1). The gradual 6 elevation of coexpression activity of the *Maff* network along with the accompanying increases 7 8 in cholesterol levels from low-atherogenic to high-atherogenic conditions suggests a contextspecific role of *Maff* in the regulation of the liver gene network and LDL cholesterol. 9

10

11 Confirmation of MAFF coexpression with CAD and related processes in human liver

To study the effects of MAFF in humans with CAD phenotype data from the Stockholm-Tartu 12 Atherosclerosis Reverse Network Engineering Task (STARNET) were used. STARNET 13 provides RNA-sequencing data from different tissues of 600 CAD patients undergoing 14 15 coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. All patients gave written informed consent to donate tissue samples prior to CABG surgery.⁹ Based on liver samples in STARNET, a strong 16 positive correlation between expression levels of MAFF and LDLR (Pearson's r=0.57, p=4.7e-17 49) was detected (Figure 3a). Studying the MAFF network expression values, 22 out of the 24 18 predicted neighbouring genes were found to be significantly correlated to MAFF expression 19 (Supplemental Table 1). 20

21 *MAFF* expression was also associated with several cardiometabolic traits in the STARNET 22 database. Importantly, *MAFF* expression was inversely associated with the SYNTAX-Score I 23 – a measure of CAD severity (Pearson's r=-0.1; p<0.01). Weight (Pearson's r=-0.19; p=4.4e-6) 24 and BMI (Pearson's r=-0.15; p=2.90e-4) were found to be significantly inversely correlated to

MAFF expression, whereas MAFF expression was positively correlated with hsCRP (Perason's 1 2 r=0.1; p<0.01). Notably, MAFF expression values were higher in women (p=5.5e-3) (Figure 3b), such that it might be of interest that 9 MAFF interacting genes in Supplemental Table 3 3 (ATF3, EPHA2, FOXP1, GDF15, SERPINE1, SLC20A1, TGFBI, TNFRSF12A and ZFP36) 4 have been recognised as sexually dimorphic in mice.³⁴ We note that the phenotypic correlations 5 between MAFF and phenotypes other than CAD were weak in this human CAD cohort 6 compared to the genetically defined mouse populations. This could be explained by low 7 8 phenotypic variability or medication in the CAD cohort.

9 Summarising the results from the STARNET cohort, lower levels of *MAFF* expression were
10 correlated with I) lower levels of *LDLR* expression (less capacity to lower circulating LDL
11 cholesterol), II) higher risk for complex and severe CAD, and III) male gender.

Coexpedia⁶⁷, an open tool for exploring biomedical hypotheses via coexpression analyses, 12 revealed in 467 different studies by gene set analysis the most relevant biological correlates of 13 *MAFF* to be *LDLR* mediated cholesterol biosynthetic process (p=1.40e-23), negative regulation 14 of apoptotic process (p=8.47e-7), and inflammatory response (p=3.28e-5). Further, gene set 15 analysis of disease ontology highlighted several CAD relevant traits and risk factors. MAFF 16 17 expression was associated with arthritis (p=1.86e-20), ischemia (p=7.76e-12), myocardial infarction (p=1.37e-11), atherosclerosis (p=4.14e-10) and coronary heart disease (p=1.74e-8). 18 19 On risk factor level hypertension (p=3.15e-12), obesity (p=9.38e-12), diabetes mellitus (p=1.44e-9), kidney disease (p=9.49e-9) and hypercholesterolemia (p=7.32e-5) showed 20 significant association with MAFF. 21

22

23 In vitro validation of MAFF/Maff regulatory capacities

To confirm the role of *MAFF* orchestrating the predicted regulatory liver network of CAD genes 1 2 Hep3b and AML12 were studied representing human and mouse hepatocyte cell lines, respectively. siRNA-knockdown (KD) of MAFF in human cells and Maff in mouse cells 3 showed consistent, significant reductions of the *LDLR/Ldlr* expression (p<0.001) (Figure 4a+b) 4 (Expanded Methods), as well as consistent and significant perturbations of other neighbouring 5 genes. MAFF/Maff-KD caused significant upregulation of EPHA2/Epha2, GDF15/Gdf15 and 6 TNFAIP3/Tnfaip3, in contrast to LDLR/Ldlr downregulation in both species. These results are 7 in line with the predictions of the Bayesian networks in that these genes are downstream of 8 MAFF/Maff (Supplemental Figure 2). 9

10 Knockdown of *MAFF/Maff* did not perturb expression levels of *Trib1* in AML12 cells and only 11 slightly decreased expression values in human Hep3b cells. By contrast, siRNA knockdown of 12 *TRIB1/Trib1* led to decreased levels of *MAFF/Maff* in both cell lines (p<0.05), suggesting that 13 the known CAD risk gene *TRIB1* might be upstream acting as a regulator of *MAFF/Maff* 14 expression levels, which was consistent with the Bayesian modeling.

Further, the effect of *Maff* overexpression was investigated using plasmid DNA transfection in
 mouse AML12 cells and revealed a significant upregulation of the *Ldlr* expression (p=0.002)
 (Figure 4c). Based on these silencing and overexpression experiments in the absence of
 inflammatory stimuli, *Maff* and *Ldlr* expression was found to be positively correlated *in vitro*.

19

20 Ldlr reduction in Maff-/- mouse models

To explore *in vivo* the effects of *MAFF* on the expression levels of *Ldlr*, an inbred *Maff-/-* mouse
model on C57BL/6-background was employed. Homozygous null mice are viable and fertile
and show no obvious functional deficiencies. Liver samples from *Maff-/-*, *Maff+/-* and wildtype

(WT) mice, all fed with chow diet, were collected. Expectedly, circulating cholesterol levels
were low in mice lacking a pro-atherosclerotic background (e.g. Apoe-/-, Ldlr-/-). *Maff-/-* mice
showed no significant differences on serum cholesterol levels compared to WT mice.
Significant decrease of *Ldlr* expression levels in *Maff-/-* mice was observed compared to WT
mice (p=0.028) (Figure 4d) and lower amounts of *Ldlr* protein were confirmed by Western blot
analysis in *Maff-/-* compared to WT mice (p=0.010). This is in line with the *in vitro* findings.
There was no significant difference between the groups and heterozygous *Maff* mice.

8

9 Context specific influence on MAFF and LDLR in the presence of LPS stimulation

Maff has been described to be a context specific transcription factor.⁶⁴ Pro-inflammatory 10 lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was used intraperitoneally as a strong inductor of acute systemic 11 inflammation in male Maff WT and knockout (KO) mice. A significant induction of Maff 12 expression levels (p<0.001) was detected in WT animals six hours after LPS treatment. Maff 13 mRNA was not detectable in Maff-/- mice. In addition to changes in Maff levels, there was a 14 15 significant decrease of Ldlr expression levels in the WT group (p<0.001), but no significant change in *Maff-/-* mice, suggesting that *Maff* and its network are sensitive to inflammatory 16 processes and that *Maff* is involved in inflammation induced suppression of *Ldlr* (Figure 4e-f). 17 18 Circulating Ldl/Vldl cholesterol levels were non-significantly elevated in Maff WT mice compared to LPS treated Maff WT mice (66.1mg/dl vs. 61.4mg/dl, p=0.24) six hours after LPS 19 injection. Further, expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine Tnfa was assessed in Maff WT 20 and Maff-/- mice with and without LPS treatment. Tnfa expression was significantly 21 upregulated in Maff WT and Maff-/- mice after LPS stimulation (p<0.001). However, 22 comparing Tnfa expression between both groups 6 hours after LPS stimulation, upregulation of 23 Tnfa expression was found to be significantly increased in Maff WT mice (p=0.048) (Figure 24

<u>4g</u>). Under inflammatory conditions – using LPS stimulation – *Maff* and *Ldlr* expression was
 found to be inversely correlated in *Maff* WT mice.

3 Identification of the regulatory MAFF binding site

Next, the regulatory role of *MAFF* as a transcription factor was investigated in the network. 4 Potential binding sites of MAFF were assessed using Chromatin-Immuno-Precipitation-DNA-5 Sequencing (ChIP-Seq) data on human HepG2 cells as studied in the ENCODE project.⁶⁸ 6 7 Computational analysis revealed a Leucine-Zipper binding motif to be enriched in binding elements of gene members of the MAFF network (20 of 24 genes, Fisher's exact test, fold 8 9 change=4.03, p=3.2e-34). Moreover, multiple MAFF binding sites were identified in the LDLR gene, including the promoter region (Figure 5). These results suggest that MAFF has the 10 potential to bind to the promoter regions of the network member genes and regulate their 11 expression. 12

13

14 MAFF binding partners in homeostasis and inflammation

To further elucidate the role of *MAFF/Maff* and its binding partners in homeostasis and inflammation Chromatin Immunoprecipitation followed by mass spectrometry (ChIP-MS) was performed in Hep3b and AML12 liver cells. Both cell lines were treated with either vehicle PBS or LPS (10ng/ml) for 48h with and without *MAFF/Maff* siRNA knockdown.

MAFF/Maff siRNA knockdown and LPS stimulation identified BACH1/Bach1 as relevant transcriptional interaction partner of MAFF/Maff (Figure 6). Specifically, LPS induction determined BACH1/Bach1 as a robust MAFF/Maff interactor in both cell lines. On expression level, LPS stimulation led to a significant increase of BACH1/Bach1 expression in human Hep3b (p=0.006) and mouse AML12 cells (p=0.001) compared to controls (vehicle) (Figure 7a+b). *BACH1/Bach1* is a known repressor of the MARE – also known as stress-responsive
 element – and downregulates transcription (Figure 8).⁶⁹ Of note, *BACH1* is a human CAD
 GWAS candidate gene and the underlying mechanism of how *BACH1* contributes to CAD is
 unclear.⁷⁰

Also the heterochromatin markers *Trim28* (*Kap1*) and *Cbx3*, as well as the RNA related factors *Dhx40*, *Srrm1* and *Srsf2/5* were enriched in *Maff* ChIP-MS of LPS stimulated AML12 mouse
liver cells. A clear reduction of the enrichment signal in response to *Maff* knockdown indicated
that these proteins are specific *Maff* interactors (**Figure 6c+d**). *Maff* interactors and their
individual enrichment patterns under different conditions are summarised after normalisation
in <u>Figure 7c</u>.

Further, it was studied if activating transcription factors bind with *MAFF/Maff* under basal
conditions and in the presence of LPS stimulation in both cell lines. No enrichment of activating
transcription factors was identified comparing both conditions.

14

15 Verification of MAFF-BACH1 heterodimers binding at the LDLR promotor

Based on the findings of the ChIP-MS approach in human Hep3b cells, human ChIP-Seq data
was used to validate the binding capacities of the *MAFF* heterodimerisation partners at the *LDLR* promoter. Three dimensional structures of heterodimers were modeled (Expanded
Methods) and confirmed the preferred binding of the *MAFF-BACH1* complex at the *LDLR*promoter as measured by the lowest free energy (p=9.5e-05) (Supplemental Figure 3).

1 Discussion

Gene regulatory network modelling based on hundreds of genes known to affect atherosclerosis retrieved a dense liver network highly enriched for mouse atherosclerosis and human CAD GWAS candidate genes. Notably, the network – centered at the transcription factor *MAFF* – contains *LDLR* and inflammatory genes, which are all implicated to play causal roles in coronary artery disease. Prediction by Bayesian models and experimental studies clarified the hierarchical order and regulatory direction of the genes within the network as well as the central role of *MAFF* as a regulating element.

9 Under non-inflammatory conditions a positive correlation between *MAFF* and *LDLR*10 expression *in vitro* and *in vivo* was identified, whereas inflammatory conditions led to inverse
11 correlation between *MAFF* and *LDLR* expression. Most importantly, after acute induction of
12 systemic inflammation via LPS stimulation, we observed that binding of *MAFF-BACH1*13 heterodimers at the MARE in the *LDLR* promoter region significantly downregulated *LDLR*14 expression (Figure 8).

BACH1 resides at a genome-wide significant CAD GWAS locus and the underlying mechanism
how *BACH1* contributes to CAD progression is hitherto unknown.⁷⁰ Based on pathway analyses *BACH1* has been described to be involved in vascular remodeling, proliferation and
transcriptional regulation.⁷¹ We speculate that *BACH1* promotes atherosclerosis based on the
downregulation of the *LDLR* via *MAFF*.

The transcriptome studies in mouse models and human samples as well as *in vitro* and *in vivo MAFF* perturbation experiments provided evidence supporting a context-specific role of *MAFF* in the regulation of *LDLR* as well as other genes. *In vivo* data from STARNET, including liver tissue samples from a large set of CAD patients undergoing bypass surgery showed a significant positive correlation between expression of the transcription factor *MAFF* and the *LDLR*. Lower expression values of *MAFF* were found in men and were significantly correlated with more
complex and severe coronary artery lesions as measured by the Syntax Score I. Likewise, a
positive correlation between *Maff* and *Ldlr* was found in mice on chow and high-fat diets in
HMDP. In mice with transgenic expression of human *APOE-Leiden* and *CETP*, which display
a significant increase of inflammatory cytokines, showed in contrast a significant inverse
correlation between *Maff* and the *Ldlr*. These results support a context-specific relationship
between the expression of *Maff* and *Ldlr*.

8 Substantial convergence of tissue-specific regulatory mechanisms was observed in the MAFF/Maff liver specific network between human and mouse. The finding that knockdown of 9 Maff in vitro in a mouse hepatocyte cell line led to reduced expression of the Ldlr was validated 10 in a human in vitro model, highlighting similar interaction patterns between MAFF and LDLR 11 in human and mouse. Besides LDLR, the other experimentally examined neighbouring genes 12 within the MAFF network (EPHA2, GDF15, TNFAIP3, and TRIB1) also showed convergent 13 regulation in human and mouse liver cell lines. The *in vivo* data in *Maff* knockout mice strongly 14 supported this assumption. Additionally, the presence of MAFF binding motifs in the LDLR 15 16 gene region and 19 out of the overall 24 additional predicted neighbouring genes using human ChIP-Seq data were identified. However, under baseline conditions it was not achievable to 17 identify the molecular partners effecting LDLR/Ldlr downregulation following MAFF/Maff 18 19 silencing, in contrast to inflammatory conditions. Nevertheless, Maff overexpression in AML12 cells led to significant upregulation of the Ldlr under non-inflammatory conditions. 20

MAFF was also found to be sensitive to environmental stimuli (e.g. high-fat diet, inflammation).
Treatment with lipopolysaccharides markedly increased inflammatory cytokine levels in the
liver of wildtype mice, as measured by *Il1b*, *Il6* and *Tnfa* levels and led to an excessive
upregulation of *Maff* that was accompanied by reduced *Ldlr* expression. The same pattern of

elevated inflammatory mediators and inverse correlation of *Maff* and *Ldlr* expression levels has
been identified in the HMDP in mice with transgenic implementation of human *APOE-Leiden*and *CETP*. This is in line with findings in the literature, that cholesterol accumulation in cells
of genetically modified mouse models triggers the inflammasome and results in elevated release
of inflammatory mediators such as *Il1b*, *Il6* and *Tnfa*.⁷²

Indeed, inflammation and perturbation of cholesterol levels coincide in several human 6 conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), which goes along with an increased risk of 7 cardiovascular events.⁷³⁻⁷⁷ Recently, Fernández-Ortiz and colleagues studied lipid metabolism 8 in different stages of RA disease activity and observed that total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol 9 and oxidized LDL cholesterol were slightly elevated in the low disease activity group, whereas 10 high disease activity individuals showed distinct reduction of total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol 11 and LDL cholesterol along a drastic increase of pro-atherosclerotic oxidized LDL cholesterol.⁷⁸ 12 These alterations are mirrored by a gene set analysis of disease ontology⁶⁷ focused on MAFF 13 expression revealing its association with arthritis, LDLR expression, atherosclerosis and 14 15 myocardial infarction risk. Thus, our offer an explanation on that MAFF could be a link between 16 inflammation, lipid and lipoprotein metabolism and cardiovascular disease.

17 Limitations

Bioinformatics analyses on key drivers of liver gene expression may be further enriched in the future as the numbers of loci showing significant signals in human CAD GWAS and mouse candidate genes will increase within the next years. However, our previous network modeling of CAD GWAS loci demonstrated that network predictions are relatively insensitive to changes in the number of loci included, supporting the robustness of the overall patterns of disease pathways and networks.⁷⁹ In this study, a *MAFF* centered regulatory network was revealed involving multiple atherosclerosis related genes in mouse and human, with profound effects of

MAFF on downstream targets, and its regulation by inflammatory mediators. The molecular 1 2 mechanisms affecting MAFF expression as well as MAFF downstream targets remain inadequately understood. Specifically, the molecular partners of MAFF executing 3 transcriptional activation of LDLR under non-inflammatory conditions remain to be elusive. By 4 contrast, BACH1 was identified to physically interact with MAFF in the presence of LPS 5 stimulation. While we provided multiple layers of evidence placing MAFF in the center of a 6 top ranked liver-specific key-driver network linking lipid metabolism and inflammation, further 7 investigations should aim to identify potential mechanisms relevant for cardiovascular risk via 8 regulation of MAFF, LDLR and downstream pathways. Of specific interest would be the 9 10 elucidation of the molecular model explaining MAFF-related LDLR induction and subsequent 11 atheroprotective effects. In this respect our findings may be a starting point for a better elucidation of interactions between inflammatory processes, hypercholesterolemia and CAD 12 risk. 13

14 In conclusion

The transcription factor MAFF is the key driver of a liver specific network which includes a 15 large number of genes known to affect atherosclerosis in mouse and human. Depending on the 16 17 underlying context (non-inflammatory vs. inflammatory) MAFF is able to mediate activation or repression of gene expression.^{80, 81} Under non-inflammatory conditions *MAFF* appears to 18 19 induce LDLR expression. In the presence of LPS stimulation, heterodimerisation of MAFF with the CAD GWAS candidate and transcriptional regulator BACH1⁸² binding at the MARE in the 20 promoter region of the LDLR led to downregulation of LDLR expression. It appears that both, 21 the degree of inflammation (none vs. excessive) and expression values of MAFF modulate these 22 23 processes. Our experiments in different model systems and human samples demonstrate a direct

- 1 connection between MAFF and the LDLR and also revealed significant changes in this
- 2 relationship under inflammatory conditions (**Figure 8**).

1 Acknowledgements

MVS reported funding by the Clinician Scientist Excellence Program of the German Center for 2 Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), the German Society of Cardiology (DGK) and the German 3 Heart Foundation (Deutsche Herzstiftung e.V.). This work was supported by grants from the 4 5 Fondation Leducq (CADgenomics: Understanding CAD Genes, 12CVD02), the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) within the framework of ERA-NET on 6 Cardiovascular Disease, Joint Transnational Call 2017 (ERA-CVD: grant JTC2017_21-040), 7 8 within the framework of target validation (BlockCAD: 16GW0198K), within the framework of the e:Med research and funding concept (AbCD-Net: grant 01ZX1706C and e:AtheroSysMed, 9 grant 01ZX1313A-2014). Further from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) directly 10 (SA 1668/5-1) and as part of the Sonderforschungsbereich CRC 1123 (B2), and 11 additionally from the German Heart Research Foundation [F/28/17] (HS). Maff work is 12 supported in TQdeAV and PAE. labs by NIH grant DK102559. Bioinformatics work was 13 supported by the American Heart Association Postdoctoral Fellowship (YZ) and NIH grants 14 DK104363 (XY). The STARNET study was supported by the University of Tartu 15 (SP1GVARENG), the Estonian Research Council (ETF grant 8853), the Astra-Zeneca 16 Translational Science Centre-Karolinska Institutet (a joint research program in translational 17 science), Clinical Gene Networks AB (CGN) as an SME of the FP6/FP7 EU-funded integrated 18 project CVgenes@target (HEALTH-F2-2013-601456), the Leducq transatlantic networks, 19 CAD Genomics, the American Heart Association (A14SFRN20840000, the National Institutes 20 of Health (NIH NHLBI R01HL125863; NIH NHLBI R01HL71207; R01AG050986; NIH 21 NHLBI K23HL111339; NIH NHLBI K08HL111330) (JB). In vitro and HMDP work was 22 supported by NIH grants HL138196 (MMS) HL28481, HL30568, HL144651, HL147883 and 23 24 DK117850 (AJL).

Author contributions

2	MVS drafted the manuscript and performed the literature search of mouse genes. YZ performed
3	the bioinformatics modeling. In vitro experiments have been performed by MVS, NC and TV.
4	TV contributed with KO mouse models. MY and PAE supported the Maff-/- mouse model.
5	MW and MM supported the ChIP-MS approach. AJL provided in vivo data from HMDP. OF,
6	AR, JK and JB contributed with human data from STARNET. SP performed the MAFF binding
7	approach. XY supervised the bioinformatics analysis. All authors participated in the analyses
8	of the data and critically reviewed the manuscript, written by MVS, YZ, AJL, XY and HS.
9	
10	Disclosures
11	None.
12	
12	
13	Affiliations
14	1 Department of Cardiology, Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Technische Universität München,
15	Munich, Germany
16	2 Deutsches Zentrum für Herz- und Kreislauferkrankungen (DZHK), Partner Site Munich Heart
17	Alliance, Munich, Germany
18	3 Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, Institute for Quantitative and Computational
19	Biosciences (QCBio), University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA
20	4 Departments of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles,
21	Los Angeles, California, USA
22	5 Departments of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, David Geffen School of
23	Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

- 1 6 Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los
- 2 Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States of America
- 3 7 Department of Proteomics and Signal Transduction, Max-Planck Institute of Biochemistry,
- 4 Martinsried, Germany
- 5 8 Department of Biological Chemistry and Center for Epigenetics and Metabolism, University of
- 6 California, Irvine, California, USA
- 7 9 Integrated Cardio Metabolic Centre, Karolinska Institutet, Novum, Huddinge, Sweden
- 8 10 Medical Department 1, Cardiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität, Munich,
- 9 Germany
- 10 11 Department of Integrative Genomics, Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku
- 11 University, Sendai, Japan
- 12 12 Department of Biological Chemistry, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California,
- 13 Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA
- 14 13 Department of Cardiac Surgery, Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia
- 15 14 Clinical Gene Networks AB, Stockholm, Sweden
- 16 15 Cardiovascular Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York,
- 17 USA

1 References

2 Writing Group M, Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, Das 1. 3 SR, de Ferranti S, Despres JP, Fullerton HJ, Howard VJ, Huffman MD, Isasi CR, Jimenez MC, Judd SE, 4 Kissela BM, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Liu S, Mackey RH, Magid DJ, McGuire DK, Mohler ER, 3rd, Moy 5 CS, Muntner P, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, Neumar RW, Nichol G, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Reeves MJ, 6 Rodriguez CJ, Rosamond W, Sorlie PD, Stein J, Towfighi A, Turan TN, Virani SS, Woo D, Yeh RW, 7 Turner MB, American Heart Association Statistics C and Stroke Statistics S. Executive Summary: Heart 8 Disease and Stroke Statistics--2016 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. 9 Circulation. 2016;133:447-54. 10 2. Nichols M, Townsend N, Scarborough P and Rayner M. Cardiovascular disease in Europe 11 2014: epidemiological update. Eur Heart J. 2014;35:2929. 12 Khera AV, Emdin CA, Drake I, Natarajan P, Bick AG, Cook NR, Chasman DI, Baber U, Mehran R, 3. 13 Rader DJ, Fuster V, Boerwinkle E, Melander O, Orho-Melander M, Ridker PM and Kathiresan S. 14 Genetic Risk, Adherence to a Healthy Lifestyle, and Coronary Disease. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:2349-15 2358. 16 4. Kessler T, Vilne B and Schunkert H. The impact of genome-wide association studies on the 17 pathophysiology and therapy of cardiovascular disease. EMBO Mol Med. 2016;8:688-701. 18 5. Makinen VP, Civelek M, Meng QY, Zhang B, Zhu J, Levian C, Huan TX, Segre AV, Ghosh S, Vivar 19 J, Nikpay M, Stewart AFR, Nelson CP, Willenborg C, Erdmann J, Blakenberg S, O'Donnell CJ, Marz W, 20 Laaksonen R, Epstein SE, Kathiresan S, Shah SH, Hazen SL, Reilly MP, Lusis AJ, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, 21 Quertermous T, McPherson R, Yang X, Assimes TL and Genome-Wide CAD. Integrative Genomics 22 Reveals Novel Molecular Pathways and Gene Networks for Coronary Artery Disease. Plos Genet. 23 2014;10. 24 6. Shu L, Chan KHK, Zhang GL, Huan TX, Kurt Z, Zhao YQ, Codoni V, Tregouet DA, Consortium C, 25 Yang J, Wilson JG, Luo X, Levy D, Lusis AJ, Liu SM and Yang X. Shared genetic regulatory networks for 26 cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes in multiple populations of diverse ethnicities in the United 27 States. Plos Genet. 2017;13. 28 Ghosh S, Vivar J, Nelson CP, Willenborg C, Segre AV, Maekinen VP, Nikpay M, Erdmann J, 7. 29 Blankenberg S, O'Donnell C, Maerz W, Laaksonen R, Stewart AFR, Epstein SE, Shah SH, Granger CB, 30 Hazen SL, Kathiresan S, Reilly MP, Yang X, Quertermous T, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Assimes TL, 31 McPherson R and Consortium C. Systems Genetics Analysis of Genome-Wide Association Study 32 Reveals Novel Associations Between Key Biological Processes and Coronary Artery Disease. Arterioscl 33 Throm Vas. 2015;35:1712-1722. 34 von Scheidt M, Zhao Y, Kurt Z, Pan C, Zeng L, Yang X, Schunkert H and Lusis AJ. Applications 8. 35 and Limitations of Mouse Models for Understanding Human Atherosclerosis. Cell Metab. 36 2017;25:248-261. 37 Franzen O, Ermel R, Cohain A, Akers NK, Di Narzo A, Talukdar HA, Foroughi-Asl H, 9. 38 Giambartolomei C, Fullard JF, Sukhavasi K, Koks S, Gan LM, Giannarelli C, Kovacic JC, Betsholtz C, 39 Losic B, Michoel T, Hao K, Roussos P, Skogsberg J, Ruusalepp A, Schadt EE and Bjorkegren JL. 40 Cardiometabolic risk loci share downstream cis- and trans-gene regulation across tissues and 41 diseases. Science. 2016;353:827-30. 42 10. Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, Ray KK, Packard CJ, Bruckert E, Hegele RA, Krauss RM, 43 Raal FJ, Schunkert H, Watts GF, Boren J, Fazio S, Horton JD, Masana L, Nicholls SJ, Nordestgaard BG, 44 van de Sluis B, Taskinen MR, Tokgozoglu L, Landmesser U, Laufs U, Wiklund O, Stock JK, Chapman MJ 45 and Catapano AL. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. 1. Evidence 46 from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European 47 Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:2459-2472. 48 11. Weber C and Noels H. Atherosclerosis: current pathogenesis and therapeutic options. Nat

1 12. Geovanini GR and Libby P. Atherosclerosis and inflammation: overview and updates. *Clin Sci* 2 (*Lond*). 2018;132:1243-1252.

Cohen JC, Boerwinkle E, Mosley TH, Jr. and Hobbs HH. Sequence variations in PCSK9, low LDL,
and protection against coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:1264-72.

Ference BA, Yoo W, Alesh I, Mahajan N, Mirowska KK, Mewada A, Kahn J, Afonso L, Williams
KA, Sr. and Flack JM. Effect of long-term exposure to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
beginning early in life on the risk of coronary heart disease: a Mendelian randomization analysis. J
Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:2631-9.

9 15. Holmes MV, Asselbergs FW, Palmer TM, Drenos F, Lanktree MB, Nelson CP, Dale CE,

10 Padmanabhan S, Finan C, Swerdlow DI, Tragante V, van Iperen EP, Sivapalaratnam S, Shah S, Elbers

11 CC, Shah T, Engmann J, Giambartolomei C, White J, Zabaneh D, Sofat R, McLachlan S, consortium U,

12 Doevendans PA, Balmforth AJ, Hall AS, North KE, Almoguera B, Hoogeveen RC, Cushman M, Fornage

M, Patel SR, Redline S, Siscovick DS, Tsai MY, Karczewski KJ, Hofker MH, Verschuren WM, Bots ML,
 van der Schouw YT, Melander O, Dominiczak AF, Morris R, Ben-Shlomo Y, Price J, Kumari M, Baumert

15 J, Peters A, Thorand B, Koenig W, Gaunt TR, Humphries SE, Clarke R, Watkins H, Farrall M, Wilson JG,

- 16 Rich SS, de Bakker PI, Lange LA, Davey Smith G, Reiner AP, Talmud PJ, Kivimaki M, Lawlor DA,
- 17 Dudbridge F, Samani NJ, Keating BJ, Hingorani AD and Casas JP. Mendelian randomization of blood

18 lipids for coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:539-50.

19 16. Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340:115-26.

Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Buck G, Pollicino C, Kirby A, Sourjina T, Peto R,
 Collins R, Simes R and Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering
 treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of

23 statins. *Lancet*. 2005;366:1267-78.

Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, MacFadyen JG, Chang WH, Ballantyne C, Fonseca F, Nicolau
J, Koenig W, Anker SD, Kastelein JJP, Cornel JH, Pais P, Pella D, Genest J, Cifkova R, Lorenzatti A,

Forster T, Kobalava Z, Vida-Simiti L, Flather M, Shimokawa H, Ogawa H, Dellborg M, Rossi PRF,

Troquay RPT, Libby P, Glynn RJ and Group CT. Antiinflammatory Therapy with Canakinumab for

Atherosclerotic Disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:1119-1131.

29 19. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM, Jr., Kastelein JJ, Koenig W, Libby P,

30 Lorenzatti AJ, Macfadyen JG, Nordestgaard BG, Shepherd J, Willerson JT, Glynn RJ and Group JTS.

Reduction in C-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1175-82.

33 20. Samani NJ, Erdmann J, Hall AS, Hengstenberg C, Mangino M, Mayer B, Dixon RJ, Meitinger T,

34 Braund P, Wichmann HE, Barrett JH, Konig IR, Stevens SE, Szymczak S, Tregouet DA, Iles MM, Pahlke

35 F, Pollard H, Lieb W, Cambien F, Fischer M, Ouwehand W, Blankenberg S, Balmforth AJ, Baessler A,

Ball SG, Strom TM, Braenne I, Gieger C, Deloukas P, Tobin MD, Ziegler A, Thompson JR, Schunkert H,
 Wtccc and the Cardiogenics C. Genomewide association analysis of coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;357:443-53.

39 21. Schunkert H, Konig IR, Kathiresan S, Reilly MP, Assimes TL, Holm H, Preuss M, Stewart AF,

40 Barbalic M, Gieger C, Absher D, Aherrahrou Z, Allayee H, Altshuler D, Anand SS, Andersen K,

41 Anderson JL, Ardissino D, Ball SG, Balmforth AJ, Barnes TA, Becker DM, Becker LC, Berger K, Bis JC,

42 Boekholdt SM, Boerwinkle E, Braund PS, Brown MJ, Burnett MS, Buysschaert I, Cardiogenics,

43 Carlquist JF, Chen L, Cichon S, Codd V, Davies RW, Dedoussis G, Dehghan A, Demissie S, Devaney JM,

44 Diemert P, Do R, Doering A, Eifert S, Mokhtari NE, Ellis SG, Elosua R, Engert JC, Epstein SE, de Faire U,

45 Fischer M, Folsom AR, Freyer J, Gigante B, Girelli D, Gretarsdottir S, Gudnason V, Gulcher JR, Halperin

46 E, Hammond N, Hazen SL, Hofman A, Horne BD, Illig T, Iribarren C, Jones GT, Jukema JW, Kaiser MA,

47 Kaplan LM, Kastelein JJ, Khaw KT, Knowles JW, Kolovou G, Kong A, Laaksonen R, Lambrechts D,

48 Leander K, Lettre G, Li M, Lieb W, Loley C, Lotery AJ, Mannucci PM, Maouche S, Martinelli N,

49 McKeown PP, Meisinger C, Meitinger T, Melander O, Merlini PA, Mooser V, Morgan T, Muhleisen TW,

50 Muhlestein JB, Munzel T, Musunuru K, Nahrstaedt J, Nelson CP, Nothen MM, Olivieri O, Patel RS,

51 Patterson CC, Peters A, Peyvandi F, Qu L, Quyyumi AA, Rader DJ, Rallidis LS, Rice C, Rosendaal FR,

1 Rubin D, Salomaa V, Sampietro ML, Sandhu MS, Schadt E, Schafer A, Schillert A, Schreiber S, 2 Schrezenmeir J, Schwartz SM, Siscovick DS, Sivananthan M, Sivapalaratnam S, Smith A, Smith TB, 3 Snoep JD, Soranzo N, Spertus JA, Stark K, Stirrups K, Stoll M, Tang WH, Tennstedt S, Thorgeirsson G, 4 Thorleifsson G, Tomaszewski M, Uitterlinden AG, van Rij AM, Voight BF, Wareham NJ, Wells GA, 5 Wichmann HE, Wild PS, Willenborg C, Witteman JC, Wright BJ, Ye S, Zeller T, Ziegler A, Cambien F, 6 Goodall AH, Cupples LA, Quertermous T, Marz W, Hengstenberg C, Blankenberg S, Ouwehand WH, 7 Hall AS, Deloukas P, Thompson JR, Stefansson K, Roberts R, Thorsteinsdottir U, O'Donnell CJ, 8 McPherson R, Erdmann J, Consortium CA and Samani NJ. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 9 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2011;43:333-8. 10 22. Coronary Artery Disease Genetics C. A genome-wide association study in Europeans and 11 South Asians identifies five new loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2011;43:339-44. 12 Deloukas P, Kanoni S, Willenborg C, Farrall M, Assimes TL, Thompson JR, Ingelsson E, 23. 13 Saleheen D, Erdmann J, Goldstein BA, Stirrups K, Konig IR, Cazier JB, Johansson A, Hall AS, Lee JY, 14 Willer CJ, Chambers JC, Esko T, Folkersen L, Goel A, Grundberg E, Havulinna AS, Ho WK, Hopewell JC, 15 Eriksson N, Kleber ME, Kristiansson K, Lundmark P, Lyytikainen LP, Rafelt S, Shungin D, Strawbridge 16 RJ, Thorleifsson G, Tikkanen E, Van Zuydam N, Voight BF, Waite LL, Zhang W, Ziegler A, Absher D, 17 Altshuler D, Balmforth AJ, Barroso I, Braund PS, Burgdorf C, Claudi-Boehm S, Cox D, Dimitriou M, Do 18 R, Consortium D, Consortium C, Consortium CD, Doney AS, El Mokhtari N, Eriksson P, Fischer K, 19 Fontanillas P, Franco-Cereceda A, Gigante B, Groop L, Gustafsson S, Hager J, Hallmans G, Han BG, 20 Hunt SE, Kang HM, Illig T, Kessler T, Knowles JW, Kolovou G, Kuusisto J, Langenberg C, Langford C, 21 Leander K, Lokki ML, Lundmark A, McCarthy MI, Meisinger C, Melander O, Mihailov E, Maouche S, 22 Morris AD, Muller-Nurasyid M, Mu TC, Nikus K, Peden JF, Rayner NW, Rasheed A, Rosinger S, Rubin 23 D, Rumpf MP, Schafer A, Sivananthan M, Song C, Stewart AF, Tan ST, Thorgeirsson G, van der Schoot 24 CE, Wagner PJ, Wellcome Trust Case Control C, Wells GA, Wild PS, Yang TP, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, 25 Basart H, Boehnke M, Boerwinkle E, Brambilla P, Cambien F, Cupples AL, de Faire U, Dehghan A, 26 Diemert P, Epstein SE, Evans A, Ferrario MM, Ferrieres J, Gauguier D, Go AS, Goodall AH, Gudnason V, 27 Hazen SL, Holm H, Iribarren C, Jang Y, Kahonen M, Kee F, Kim HS, Klopp N, Koenig W, Kratzer W, 28 Kuulasmaa K, Laakso M, Laaksonen R, Lee JY, Lind L, Ouwehand WH, Parish S, Park JE, Pedersen NL, 29 Peters A, Quertermous T, Rader DJ, Salomaa V, Schadt E, Shah SH, Sinisalo J, Stark K, Stefansson K, 30 Tregouet DA, Virtamo J, Wallentin L, Wareham N, Zimmermann ME, Nieminen MS, Hengstenberg C, 31 Sandhu MS, Pastinen T, Syvanen AC, Hovingh GK, Dedoussis G, Franks PW, Lehtimaki T, Metspalu A, 32 Zalloua PA, Siegbahn A, Schreiber S, Ripatti S, Blankenberg SS, Perola M, Clarke R, Boehm BO, 33 O'Donnell C, Reilly MP, Marz W, Collins R, Kathiresan S, Hamsten A, Kooner JS, Thorsteinsdottir U, 34 Danesh J, Palmer CN, Roberts R, Watkins H, Schunkert H and Samani NJ. Large-scale association 35 analysis identifies new risk loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2013;45:25-33. 36 24. Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, Hall LM, Willenborg C, Kanoni S, Saleheen D, Kyriakou T, Nelson 37 CP, Hopewell JC, Webb TR, Zeng L, Dehghan A, Alver M, Armasu SM, Auro K, Bjonnes A, Chasman DI, 38 Chen S, Ford I, Franceschini N, Gieger C, Grace C, Gustafsson S, Huang J, Hwang SJ, Kim YK, Kleber 39 ME, Lau KW, Lu X, Lu Y, Lyytikainen LP, Mihailov E, Morrison AC, Pervjakova N, Qu L, Rose LM, Salfati 40 E, Saxena R, Scholz M, Smith AV, Tikkanen E, Uitterlinden A, Yang X, Zhang W, Zhao W, de Andrade 41 M, de Vries PS, van Zuydam NR, Anand SS, Bertram L, Beutner F, Dedoussis G, Frossard P, Gauguier D, 42 Goodall AH, Gottesman O, Haber M, Han BG, Huang J, Jalilzadeh S, Kessler T, Konig IR, Lannfelt L, Lieb 43 W, Lind L, Lindgren CM, Lokki ML, Magnusson PK, Mallick NH, Mehra N, Meitinger T, Memon FU, 44 Morris AP, Nieminen MS, Pedersen NL, Peters A, Rallidis LS, Rasheed A, Samuel M, Shah SH, Sinisalo 45 J, Stirrups KE, Trompet S, Wang L, Zaman KS, Ardissino D, Boerwinkle E, Borecki IB, Bottinger EP, 46 Buring JE, Chambers JC, Collins R, Cupples LA, Danesh J, Demuth I, Elosua R, Epstein SE, Esko T, 47 Feitosa MF, Franco OH, Franzosi MG, Granger CB, Gu D, Gudnason V, Hall AS, Hamsten A, Harris TB, 48 Hazen SL, Hengstenberg C, Hofman A, Ingelsson E, Iribarren C, Jukema JW, Karhunen PJ, Kim BJ, 49 Kooner JS, Kullo IJ, Lehtimaki T, Loos RJ, Melander O, Metspalu A, Marz W, Palmer CN, Perola M, 50 Quertermous T, Rader DJ, Ridker PM, Ripatti S, Roberts R, Salomaa V, Sanghera DK, Schwartz SM, 51 Seedorf U, Stewart AF, Stott DJ, Thiery J, Zalloua PA, O'Donnell CJ, Reilly MP, Assimes TL, Thompson

1 JR, Erdmann J, Clarke R, Watkins H, Kathiresan S, McPherson R, Deloukas P, Schunkert H, Samani NJ,

2 Farrall M and Consortium CAD. A comprehensive 1,000 Genomes-based genome-wide association

3 meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47:1121-30.

4 25. McPherson R and Tybjaerg-Hansen A. Genetics of Coronary Artery Disease. *Circ Res.*5 2016;118:564-78.

6 26. Howson JMM, Zhao W, Barnes DR, Ho WK, Young R, Paul DS, Waite LL, Freitag DF, Fauman 7 EB, Salfati EL, Sun BB, Eicher JD, Johnson AD, Sheu WHH, Nielsen SF, Lin WY, Surendran P, Malarstig 8 A, Wilk JB, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Rasmussen KL, Kamstrup PR, Deloukas P, Erdmann J, Kathiresan S, 9 Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Watkins H, CardioGramplusC4D, Do R, Rader DJ, Johnson JA, Hazen SL, 10 Quyyumi AA, Spertus JA, Pepine CJ, Franceschini N, Justice A, Reiner AP, Buyske S, Hindorff LA, Carty 11 CL, North KE, Kooperberg C, Boerwinkle E, Young K, Graff M, Peters U, Absher D, Hsiung CA, Lee WJ, 12 Taylor KD, Chen YH, Lee IT, Guo X, Chung RH, Hung YJ, Rotter JI, Juang JJ, Quertermous T, Wang TD, 13 Rasheed A, Frossard P, Alam DS, Majumder AAS, Di Angelantonio E, Chowdhury R, Epic CVD, Chen YI, 14 Nordestgaard BG, Assimes TL, Danesh J, Butterworth AS and Saleheen D. Fifteen new risk loci for 15 coronary artery disease highlight arterial-wall-specific mechanisms. Nat Genet. 2017;49:1113-1119. 16 27. Nelson CP, Goel A, Butterworth AS, Kanoni S, Webb TR, Marouli E, Zeng L, Ntalla I, Lai FY, 17 Hopewell JC, Giannakopoulou O, Jiang T, Hamby SE, Di Angelantonio E, Assimes TL, Bottinger EP, 18 Chambers JC, Clarke R, Palmer CNA, Cubbon RM, Ellinor P, Ermel R, Evangelou E, Franks PW, Grace C, 19 Gu D, Hingorani AD, Howson JMM, Ingelsson E, Kastrati A, Kessler T, Kyriakou T, Lehtimaki T, Lu X, Lu 20 Y, Marz W, McPherson R, Metspalu A, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Ruusalepp A, Schadt EE, Schmidt AF, 21 Sweeting MJ, Zalloua PA, AlGhalayini K, Keavney BD, Kooner JS, Loos RJF, Patel RS, Rutter MK, 22 Tomaszewski M, Tzoulaki I, Zeggini E, Erdmann J, Dedoussis G, Bjorkegren JLM, Consortium E-C, 23 CardioGramplusC4D, group UKBCCCw, Schunkert H, Farrall M, Danesh J, Samani NJ, Watkins H and 24 Deloukas P. Association analyses based on false discovery rate implicate new loci for coronary artery 25 disease. Nat Genet. 2017;49:1385-1391. 26 Webb TR, Erdmann J, Stirrups KE, Stitziel NO, Masca NG, Jansen H, Kanoni S, Nelson CP, 28. 27 Ferrario PG, Konig IR, Eicher JD, Johnson AD, Hamby SE, Betsholtz C, Ruusalepp A, Franzen O, Schadt 28 EE, Bjorkegren JL, Weeke PE, Auer PL, Schick UM, Lu Y, Zhang H, Dube MP, Goel A, Farrall M, Peloso 29 GM, Won HH, Do R, van Iperen E, Kruppa J, Mahajan A, Scott RA, Willenborg C, Braund PS, van 30 Capelleveen JC, Doney AS, Donnelly LA, Asselta R, Merlini PA, Duga S, Marziliano N, Denny JC, Shaffer 31 C, El-Mokhtari NE, Franke A, Heilmann S, Hengstenberg C, Hoffmann P, Holmen OL, Hveem K, Jansson 32 JH, Jockel KH, Kessler T, Kriebel J, Laugwitz KL, Marouli E, Martinelli N, McCarthy MI, Van Zuydam NR, 33 Meisinger C, Esko T, Mihailov E, Escher SA, Alver M, Moebus S, Morris AD, Virtamo J, Nikpay M, 34 Olivieri O, Provost S, AlQarawi A, Robertson NR, Akinsansya KO, Reilly DF, Vogt TF, Yin W, Asselbergs 35 FW, Kooperberg C, Jackson RD, Stahl E, Muller-Nurasyid M, Strauch K, Varga TV, Waldenberger M, 36 Wellcome Trust Case Control C, Zeng L, Chowdhury R, Salomaa V, Ford I, Jukema JW, Amouyel P, 37 Kontto J, Investigators M, Nordestgaard BG, Ferrieres J, Saleheen D, Sattar N, Surendran P, Wagner A, 38 Young R, Howson JM, Butterworth AS, Danesh J, Ardissino D, Bottinger EP, Erbel R, Franks PW, Girelli 39 D, Hall AS, Hovingh GK, Kastrati A, Lieb W, Meitinger T, Kraus WE, Shah SH, McPherson R, Orho-40 Melander M, Melander O, Metspalu A, Palmer CN, Peters A, Rader DJ, Reilly MP, Loos RJ, Reiner AP, 41 Roden DM, Tardif JC, Thompson JR, Wareham NJ, Watkins H, Willer CJ, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, 42 Deloukas P, Kathiresan S, Myocardial Infarction G and Investigators CAEC. Systematic Evaluation of 43 Pleiotropy Identifies 6 Further Loci Associated With Coronary Artery Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 44 2017;69:823-836. 45 29. Braenne I, Civelek M, Vilne B, Di Narzo A, Johnson AD, Zhao Y, Reiz B, Codoni V, Webb TR, 46 Foroughi Asl H, Hamby SE, Zeng L, Tregouet DA, Hao K, Topol EJ, Schadt EE, Yang X, Samani NJ, 47 Bjorkegren JL, Erdmann J, Schunkert H, Lusis AJ and Leducq Consortium CADGd. Prediction of Causal 48 Candidate Genes in Coronary Artery Disease Loci. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015;35:2207-17. 49 30. Schunkert H, Gotz A, Braund P, McGinnis R, Tregouet DA, Mangino M, Linsel-Nitschke P, 50 Cambien F, Hengstenberg C, Stark K, Blankenberg S, Tiret L, Ducimetiere P, Keniry A, Ghori MJ,

51 Schreiber S, El Mokhtari NE, Hall AS, Dixon RJ, Goodall AH, Liptau H, Pollard H, Schwarz DF, Hothorn

1 LA, Wichmann HE, Konig IR, Fischer M, Meisinger C, Ouwehand W, Deloukas P, Thompson JR,

2 Erdmann J, Ziegler A, Samani NJ and Cardiogenics C. Repeated replication and a prospective meta-

3 analysis of the association between chromosome 9p21.3 and coronary artery disease. Circulation.

4 2008;117:1675-84.

5 Zhu J, Zhang B, Smith EN, Drees B, Brem RB, Kruglyak L, Bumgarner RE and Schadt EE. 31.

6 Integrating large-scale functional genomic data to dissect the complexity of yeast regulatory

7 networks. Nat Genet. 2008;40:854-61.

8 32. Derry JM, Zhong H, Molony C, MacNeil D, Guhathakurta D, Zhang B, Mudgett J, Small K, El

9 Fertak L, Guimond A, Selloum M, Zhao W, Champy MF, Monassier L, Vogt T, Cully D, Kasarskis A and

10 Schadt EE. Identification of genes and networks driving cardiovascular and metabolic phenotypes in a 11 mouse F2 intercross. PLoS One. 2010;5:e14319.

12 Wang SS, Schadt EE, Wang H, Wang X, Ingram-Drake L, Shi W, Drake TA and Lusis AJ. 33.

13 Identification of pathways for atherosclerosis in mice: integration of quantitative trait locus analysis 14 and global gene expression data. Circ Res. 2007;101:e11-30.

15 34. Yang X, Schadt EE, Wang S, Wang H, Arnold AP, Ingram-Drake L, Drake TA and Lusis AJ. 16 Tissue-specific expression and regulation of sexually dimorphic genes in mice. Genome Res.

17 2006;16:995-1004.

Schadt EE, Molony C, Chudin E, Hao K, Yang X, Lum PY, Kasarskis A, Zhang B, Wang S, Suver C, 18 35. 19 Zhu J, Millstein J, Sieberts S, Lamb J, GuhaThakurta D, Derry J, Storey JD, Avila-Campillo I, Kruger MJ,

20 Johnson JM, Rohl CA, van Nas A, Mehrabian M, Drake TA, Lusis AJ, Smith RC, Guengerich FP, Strom

21 SC, Schuetz E, Rushmore TH and Ulrich R. Mapping the genetic architecture of gene expression in

22 human liver. PLoS Biol. 2008;6:e107.

23 Tu Z, Keller MP, Zhang C, Rabaglia ME, Greenawalt DM, Yang X, Wang IM, Dai H, Bruss MD, 36. 24 Lum PY, Zhou YP, Kemp DM, Kendziorski C, Yandell BS, Attie AD, Schadt EE and Zhu J. Integrative 25 analysis of a cross-loci regulation network identifies App as a gene regulating insulin secretion from 26 pancreatic islets. PLoS Genet. 2012;8:e1003107.

27 37. Makinen VP, Civelek M, Meng Q, Zhang B, Zhu J, Levian C, Huan T, Segre AV, Ghosh S, Vivar J, 28 Nikpay M, Stewart AF, Nelson CP, Willenborg C, Erdmann J, Blakenberg S, O'Donnell CJ, Marz W, 29

Laaksonen R, Epstein SE, Kathiresan S, Shah SH, Hazen SL, Reilly MP, Coronary ADG-WR, Meta-

30 Analysis C, Lusis AJ, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Quertermous T, McPherson R, Yang X and Assimes TL. 31 Integrative genomics reveals novel molecular pathways and gene networks for coronary artery

32 disease. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004502.

33 Wang IM, Zhang B, Yang X, Zhu J, Stepaniants S, Zhang C, Meng Q, Peters M, He Y, Ni C, 38.

34 Slipetz D, Crackower MA, Houshyar H, Tan CM, Asante-Appiah E, O'Neill G, Luo MJ, Thieringer R, Yuan

35 J, Chiu CS, Lum PY, Lamb J, Boie Y, Wilkinson HA, Schadt EE, Dai H and Roberts C. Systems analysis of 36 eleven rodent disease models reveals an inflammatome signature and key drivers. Mol Syst Biol. 37 2012;8:594.

38 Yang X, Zhang B, Molony C, Chudin E, Hao K, Zhu J, Gaedigk A, Suver C, Zhong H, Leeder JS, 39.

39 Guengerich FP, Strom SC, Schuetz E, Rushmore TH, Ulrich RG, Slatter JG, Schadt EE, Kasarskis A and 40 Lum PY. Systematic genetic and genomic analysis of cytochrome P450 enzyme activities in human

41 liver. Genome Res. 2010;20:1020-36.

42 40. Shu L, Zhao Y, Kurt Z, Byars SG, Tukiainen T, Kettunen J, Orozco LD, Pellegrini M, Lusis AJ,

43 Ripatti S, Zhang B, Inouye M, Makinen VP and Yang X. Mergeomics: multidimensional data

44 integration to identify pathogenic perturbations to biological systems. BMC Genomics. 2016;17:874.

45 41. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM,

46 Brown M, Li W and Liu XS. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9:R137.

47 42. Landt SG, Marinov GK, Kundaje A, Kheradpour P, Pauli F, Batzoglou S, Bernstein BE, Bickel P,

48 Brown JB, Cayting P, Chen Y, DeSalvo G, Epstein C, Fisher-Aylor KI, Euskirchen G, Gerstein M, Gertz J,

49 Hartemink AJ, Hoffman MM, Iyer VR, Jung YL, Karmakar S, Kellis M, Kharchenko PV, Li Q, Liu T, Liu XS,

50 Ma L, Milosavljevic A, Myers RM, Park PJ, Pazin MJ, Perry MD, Raha D, Reddy TE, Rozowsky J, Shoresh 51 N, Sidow A, Slattery M, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Tolstorukov MY, White KP, Xi S, Farnham PJ, Lieb JD,

1 Wold BJ and Snyder M. ChIP-seq guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. 2 Genome Res. 2012;22:1813-31. 3 43. Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H and Glass 4 CK. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements 5 required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010;38:576-89. 6 44. Machanick P and Bailey TL. MEME-ChIP: motif analysis of large DNA datasets. Bioinformatics. 7 2011;27:1696-7. 8 45. Lu X, Guanga GP, Wan C and Rose RB. A novel DNA binding mechanism for maf basic region-9 leucine zipper factors inferred from a MafA-DNA complex structure and binding specificities. 10 Biochemistry. 2012;51:9706-17. 11 46. Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer RC, 12 Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Wang Z, Yamashita RA, 13 Zhang D, Zheng C, Geer LY and Bryant SH. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via 14 subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:D200-D203. 15 Kozakov D, Hall DR, Xia B, Porter KA, Padhorny D, Yueh C, Beglov D and Vajda S. The ClusPro 47. 16 web server for protein-protein docking. Nat Protoc. 2017;12:255-278. 17 48. Vajda S, Yueh C, Beglov D, Bohnuud T, Mottarella SE, Xia B, Hall DR and Kozakov D. New 18 additions to the ClusPro server motivated by CAPRI. Proteins. 2017;85:435-444. 19 49. Erdmann J, Kessler T, Munoz Venegas L and Schunkert H. A decade of genome-wide 20 association studies for coronary artery disease: the challenges ahead. Cardiovasc Res. 21 2018;114:1241-1257. 22 50. An SJ, Jung UJ, Choi MS, Chae CK, Oh GT and Park YB. Functions of monocyte chemotactic 23 protein-3 in transgenic mice fed a high-fat, high-cholesterol diet. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2013;23:405-24 13. 25 51. Kirii H, Niwa T, Yamada Y, Wada H, Saito K, Iwakura Y, Asano M, Moriwaki H and Seishima M. 26 Lack of interleukin-1beta decreases the severity of atherosclerosis in ApoE-deficient mice. 27 Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2003;23:656-60. 28 Heller EA, Liu E, Tager AM, Yuan Q, Lin AY, Ahluwalia N, Jones K, Koehn SL, Lok VM, Aikawa E, 52. 29 Moore KJ, Luster AD and Gerszten RE. Chemokine CXCL10 promotes atherogenesis by modulating the 30 local balance of effector and regulatory T cells. *Circulation*. 2006;113:2301-12. 31 Gold ES, Ramsey SA, Sartain MJ, Selinummi J, Podolsky I, Rodriguez DJ, Moritz RL and Aderem 53. 32 A. ATF3 protects against atherosclerosis by suppressing 25-hydroxycholesterol-induced lipid body 33 formation. J Exp Med. 2012;209:807-17. 34 54. Jiang H, Li X, Zhang X, Liu Y, Huang S and Wang X. EphA2 knockdown attenuates 35 atherosclerotic lesion development in ApoE(-/-) mice. Cardiovasc Pathol. 2014;23:169-74. 36 55. Bonaterra GA, Zugel S, Thogersen J, Walter SA, Haberkorn U, Strelau J and Kinscherf R. 37 Growth differentiation factor-15 deficiency inhibits atherosclerosis progression by regulating 38 interleukin-6-dependent inflammatory response to vascular injury. J Am Heart Assoc. 39 2012;1:e002550. 40 Ishibashi S, Goldstein JL, Brown MS, Herz J and Burns DK. Massive xanthomatosis and 56. 41 atherosclerosis in cholesterol-fed low density lipoprotein receptor-negative mice. J Clin Invest. 42 1994;93:1885-93. 43 57. Qing H, Liu Y, Zhao Y, Aono J, Jones KL, Heywood EB, Howatt D, Binkley CM, Daugherty A, 44 Liang Y and Bruemmer D. Deficiency of the NR4A orphan nuclear receptor NOR1 in hematopoietic 45 stem cells accelerates atherosclerosis. Stem Cells. 2014;32:2419-29. 46 58. Hossain GS, Lynn EG, Maclean KN, Zhou J, Dickhout JG, Lhoták S, Trigatti B, Capone J, Rho J, 47 Tang D, McCulloch CA, Al-Bondokji I, Malloy MJ, Pullinger CR, Kane JP, Li Y, Shiffman D and Austin RC. 48 Deficiency of TDAG51 protects against atherosclerosis by modulating apoptosis, cholesterol efflux, 49 and peroxiredoxin-1 expression. J Am Heart Assoc. 2013;2:e000134. 50 59. Kremen M, Krishnan R, Emery I, Hu JH, Slezicki KI, Wu A, Qian K, Du L, Plawman A, Stempien-51 Otero A and Dichek DA. Plasminogen mediates the atherogenic effects of macrophage-expressed

1 urokinase and accelerates atherosclerosis in apoE-knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.

2 2008;105:17109-14.

60. Wolfrum S, Teupser D, Tan M, Chen KY and Breslow JL. The protective effect of A20 on
atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice is associated with reduced expression of NFkappaB target genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104:18601-6.

6 61. Muñoz-García B, Madrigal-Matute J, Moreno JA, Martin-Ventura JL, López-Franco O, Sastre C,

Ortega L, Burkly LC, Egido J and Blanco-Colio LM. TWEAK-Fn14 interaction enhances plasminogen
 activator inhibitor 1 and tissue factor expression in atherosclerotic plaques and in cultured vascular

9 smooth muscle cells. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2011;89:225-33.

Arndt LD, J; Jeromin, F; Thiery, J; Burkhardt; R. Heterozygous deficiency of Tribbles homolog 1 gene (Trib1) increases atherosclerotic lesions in ApoE-knockout mice. *12 Jahrestagung Deutsche Vereinte Gesellschaft für Klinische Medizin und Laboratoriumsmedizin eV (DGKL)*. 2015;P068.

43 63. Yin K, Tang SL, Yu XH, Tu GH, He RF, Li JF, Xie D, Gui QJ, Fu YC, Jiang ZS, Tu J and Tang CK.
Apolipoprotein A-I inhibits LPS-induced atherosclerosis in ApoE(-/-) mice possibly via activated
STAT3-mediated upregulation of tristetraprolin. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2013;34:837-46.

64. Blank V. Small Maf proteins in mammalian gene control: mere dimerization partners or
 dynamic transcriptional regulators? *J Mol Biol*. 2008;376:913-25.

18 65. Ghazalpour A, Rau CD, Farber CR, Bennett BJ, Orozco LD, van Nas A, Pan C, Allayee H, Beaven

19 SW, Civelek M, Davis RC, Drake TA, Friedman RA, Furlotte N, Hui ST, Jentsch JD, Kostem E, Kang HM,

20 Kang EY, Joo JW, Korshunov VA, Laughlin RE, Martin LJ, Ohmen JD, Parks BW, Pellegrini M, Reue K,

21 Smith DJ, Tetradis S, Wang J, Wang Y, Weiss JN, Kirchgessner T, Gargalovic PS, Eskin E, Lusis AJ and

LeBoeuf RC. Hybrid mouse diversity panel: a panel of inbred mouse strains suitable for analysis of complex genetic traits. *Mamm Genome*. 2012;23:680-92.

Bennett BJ, Davis RC, Civelek M, Orozco L, Wu J, Qi H, Pan C, Packard RR, Eskin E, Yan M,
Kirchgessner T, Wang Z, Li X, Gregory JC, Hazen SL, Gargalovic PS and Lusis AJ. Genetic Architecture of
Atherosclerosis in Mice: A Systems Genetics Analysis of Common Inbred Strains. *PLoS Genet*.

27 2015;11:e1005711.

Yang S, Kim CY, Hwang S, Kim E, Kim H, Shim H and Lee I. COEXPEDIA: exploring biomedical
hypotheses via co-expressions associated with medical subject headings (MeSH). *Nucleic Acids Res.*2017;45:D389-D396.

31 68. Cheng Y, Ma Z, Kim BH, Wu W, Cayting P, Boyle AP, Sundaram V, Xing X, Dogan N, Li J,

32 Euskirchen G, Lin S, Lin Y, Visel A, Kawli T, Yang X, Patacsil D, Keller CA, Giardine B, Mouse EC,

Kundaje A, Wang T, Pennacchio LA, Weng Z, Hardison RC and Snyder MP. Principles of regulatory
 information conservation between mouse and human. *Nature*. 2014;515:371-5.

35 69. Zhang X, Guo J, Wei X, Niu C, Jia M, Li Q and Meng D. Bach1: Function, Regulation, and
36 Involvement in Disease. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2018;2018:1347969.

van der Harst P and Verweij N. Identification of 64 Novel Genetic Loci Provides an Expanded
View on the Genetic Architecture of Coronary Artery Disease. *Circ Res.* 2018;122:433-443.

39 71. Schunkert H, von Scheidt M, Kessler T, Stiller B, Zeng L and Vilne B. Genetics of coronary
40 artery disease in the light of genome-wide association studies. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2018;107:2-9.

41 72. Emini Veseli B, Perrotta P, De Meyer GRA, Roth L, Van der Donckt C, Martinet W and De

- 42 Meyer GRY. Animal models of atherosclerosis. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2017;816:3-13.
- 43 73. Castaneda S, Nurmohamed MT and Gonzalez-Gay MA. Cardiovascular disease in 44 inflammatory rhoumatic diseases. *Best Pract Res Clin Pheumatol*, 2016;20:851-869
- 44 inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2016;30:851-869.
- 45 74. Gonzalez-Gay MA, Gonzalez-Juanatey C and Martin J. Rheumatoid arthritis: a disease
 46 associated with accelerated atherogenesis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2005;35:8-17.
- 47 75. Lopez-Mejias R, Castaneda S, Gonzalez-Juanatey C, Corrales A, Ferraz-Amaro I, Genre F,
- 48 Remuzgo-Martinez S, Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, Blanco R, Llorca J, Martin J and Gonzalez-Gay MA.

49 Cardiovascular risk assessment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: The relevance of clinical,

50 genetic and serological markers. *Autoimmun Rev*. 2016;15:1013-1030.

- Schieir O, Tosevski C, Glazier RH, Hogg-Johnson S and Badley EM. Incident myocardial
 infarction associated with major types of arthritis in the general population: a systematic review and
 meta-analysis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2017;76:1396-1404.
- 4 77. Skeoch S and Bruce IN. Atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis: is it all about inflammation?
 5 Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2015;11:390-400.
- 6 78. Fernandez-Ortiz AM, Ortiz AM, Perez S, Toledano E, Abasolo L, Gonzalez-Gay MA, Castaneda 7 S and Gonzalez-Alvaro I. Effects of disease activity on lipoprotein levels in patients with early arthritis:
- 8 can oxidized LDL cholesterol explain the lipid paradox theory? *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2020;22:213.
- 9 79. Zhao Y, Chen J, Freudenberg JM, Meng Q, Rajpal DK and Yang X. Network-Based
- Identification and Prioritization of Key Regulators of Coronary Artery Disease Loci. Arterioscler
 Thromb Vasc Biol. 2016;36:928-41.
- 12 80. Katsuoka F and Yamamoto M. Small Maf proteins (MafF, MafG, MafK): History, structure and 13 function. *Gene*. 2016;586:197-205.
- 14 81. Massrieh W, Derjuga A, Doualla-Bell F, Ku CY, Sanborn BM and Blank V. Regulation of the
- 15 MAFF transcription factor by proinflammatory cytokines in myometrial cells. *Biol Reprod*.
- 16 2006;74:699-705.
- 17 82. Tan MK, Lim HJ, Bennett EJ, Shi Y and Harper JW. Parallel SCF adaptor capture proteomics
- 18 reveals a role for SCFFBXL17 in NRF2 activation via BACH1 repressor turnover. *Mol Cell*. 2013;52:9-
- 19 24.
- 20

1 Figures



2

3 Figure 1. Study workflow: Human and mouse atherosclerosis candidate genes were used to first model

liver specific regulatory networks and second decipher key driver genes of gene regulatory networks in
both species. Prediction of bioinformatics modeling was validated in human and mouse genetic studies

both species. Prediction of bioinformatics modeling was validated in human and mouse genetic studies
as well as in in vitro and in vivo experiments. CAD: Coronary artery disease; GWAS: Genome wide

7 association study.



2 Figure 2. Liver specific regulatory subnetworks and their key driver genes. The key driver analysis was performed on human and mouse networks respectively and the architecture of the illustrated network is 3 4 based on both, mouse and human data. Key drivers are depicted as the largest nodes in the networks. 5 All genes highlighted in solid green have already been studied to have a significant effect on 6 atherosclerosis in genetically engineered mouse models. Human CAD GWAS candidate genes are highlighted in magenta. Key driver genes in grey need to be validated. Genes with both colors have an 7 8 effect on atherosclerosis/CAD in human and mouse. Lower right: The MAFF network is the top ranked 9 key driver gene network based on mouse data and closely connected to other human key driver 10 subnetworks. Directionality between genes was based on the consensus of directional predictions from Bayesian networks constructed from different datasets, with the directionality predicted by the majority 11 of studies shown. Red arrows indicate genes that are predicted to regulate MAFF, whereas green arrows 12 13 indicate genes that are predicted to be regulated by the transcription factor MAFF. CAD: coronary artery 14 disease; GWAS: Genome wide association study; Human KD: Human key driver gene; Mouse KD: 15 Mouse key driver gene; MAFF: v-Maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F.



1

2 Figure 3. Correlation of expression levels of MAFF in human liver samples from the Stockholm-Tartu

- 3 Atherosclerosis Reverse Network Engineering Task (STARNET) with A: LDLR and B: Sex. **
- 4 indicates p<0.01. LDLR: low-density lipoprotein receptor; MAFF: v-Maf avian musculoaponeurotic
- 5 fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F; RPKM: Reads per kilobase million.



2 Figure 4. A: In vitro results of Ldlr expression after siRNA-knockdown of Maff compared to controls 3 (vehicle) in mouse AML12 liver cells. B: In vitro results of LDLR expression after siRNA-knockdown 4 of MAFF compared to controls (vehicle) in human Hep3b liver cells. C: In vitro results of Ldlr 5 expression cells after Maff overexpression compared to controls (vehicle) in mouse AML12. D: In vivo 6 results of Ldlr expression in Maff-/- mice compared to Maff+/- and WT mice. E: In vivo results of Maff 7 expression in Maff WT mice 6 hours after LPS stimulation compared to controls (vehicle). F: In vivo 8 results of Ldlr expression in Maff WT mice 6 hours after LPS stimulation compared to controls (vehicle). 9 G: In vivo results of Tnfa expression in Maff WT and Maff-/- mice 6 hours after LPS stimulation compared to controls (vehicle). *** indicates p<0.001, ** indicates p<0.01, * indicates p<0.05, ns 10 indicates non-significant. Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparison. Ctrl: control 11 group; KD: knockdown; LDLR: low-density lipoprotein receptor; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; MAFF: v-12 13 Maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F; OE: overexpression; WT: wildtype.



1

2 Figure 5. A: ChIP-seq data of human HepG2 cells supports potential binding of MAFF to genes in the MAFF subnetwork. Green edges indicate a binding motif was shared in the selected network genes, 3 4 whereas red edges indicate that no known shared binding motif was found in the particular network 5 genes. A matching binding motif was found in 20 out of 24 predicted interaction partners of MAFF. B: 6 The matching motif among the MAFF network genes, which agrees with the previously known MAFF 7 binding motif. The matching motif was identified using publically available ChIP-Seq data of the MAFF 8 gene in human HepG2 cells from ENCODE. The height of the letter represents the frequency of the 9 observed nucleotide in that position. C: Presence of the MAFF binding motif (small boxes below) 10 upstream and within the LDLR gene (highlighted in blue).



Figure 6. Volcano plot of the p-values (y-axis) vs. the log2 protein abundance differences (x-axis) of Maff binding partners in AML12 cells identified by ChIP-MS under (A) homeostatic conditions, (B) after Maff siRNA knockdown (which led to a 91% decrease on protein level), (C) LPS stimulation and (D) Maff siRNA knockdown in combination with LPS stimulation. Significant Maff interaction partners were highlighted in blue. Enrichment of binding partners is provided as fold difference compared to negative control (IgG) in panel A and C and compared to control (Maff WT) after siRNA knockdown

8 in panel B and D. C: control (Maff WT); IgG: nonspecific IgG served as negative control.



2 Figure 7. LPS stimulation led to a significant increase of BACH1/Bach1 expression in mouse AML12

3 cells (A) and human Hep3b cells (B) compared to controls (vehicle). (C) The heat map of z-scored Maff

4 ChIP-MS visualises LFQ intensities of selected Maff interactors in extracts from AML12 cells under

homeostatic conditions (Ctrl), LPS stimulation (Ctrl+LPS), after Maff siRNA knockdown (siRNA) and
after Maff siRNA knockdown in combination with LPS stimulation (siRNA+LPS). Provided are

after Maff siRNA knockdown in combination with LPS stimulation (siRNA+
adjusted p-values. *** indicates p<0.001, ** indicates p<0.01, * indicates p<0.05.



2 Figure 8. The role of the transcription factor MAFF in activation or repression of the LDLR is based on 3 heterodimerisation partners and environmental conditions. MAFF heterodimers bind at the MAF 4 recognition element (MARE) of the LDLR promoter and execute regulation of the LDLR. Under basal 5 conditions 1) MAFF knockdown/knockout led to reduced LDLR expression, 2) elevated MAFF 6 expression was correlated with higher expression of LDLR in a human CAD cohort (STARNET) and 7 in wildtype mice of the hybrid mouse diversity panel (HMDP), 3) Overexpression of Maff using plasmid 8 DNA transfection led to increased Ldlr expression in vitro. In the presence of LPS stimulation MAFF-9 BACH1 heterodimers result in downregulation of the LDLR in vivo. HMDP mice on atherogenic 10 background (transgenic expression of human APOE-Leiden and cholesteryl ester transfer protein 11 (CETP)) showed increased inflammation and revealed that elevated Maff expression correlates with 12 lower Ldlr expression. BACH1: BTB domain and CNC Homolog 1; MAFF: v-Maf avian 13 musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F; MARE: Maf recognition element; LDLR: lowdensity lipoprotein receptor; LPS: lipopolysaccharide. 14

Table 1. Listed are the top 10 key driver genes detected in human and mouse liver networks based on

2 the bioinformatics approach. Several genes have already been studied and confirmed with regard to

3 atherosclerosis/CAD. FDR: False discovery rate.

4

Species	Key Driver	Studied effect on	Network size	Atherosclerosis	FDR	Fold Enrichment
	Maff	no	(Genes) 25	11	1.50E-05	19.08
	IIIh	Vas	23	11	1.50E-05	19.00
		yes	27	11	1.30E-03	19.00
	Ccl7	yes	34	12	1.17E-05	10.55
	Atf3	yes	50	15	3.89E-06	14.05
Manaa	Cxcl10	yes	46	13	1.26E-05	13.23
wiouse	Egr2	no	50	14	7.79E-06	13.11
	Igsf6	no	66	18	1.49E-06	12.77
	Ltb	no	44	12	2.45E-05	12.77
	Itgal	no	52	14	8.81E-06	12.61
	Tlr2	yes	57	15	5.90E-06	12.32
	ALDH2	yes	19	4	1.10E-04	31.29
	IL15RA	no	20	4	1.50E-04	29.72
	ZNF467	no	22	4	2.70E-04	27.02
	NGRN	no	32	5	1.87E-07	23.22
Uuman	LOXL2	no	28	4	1.15E-03	21.23
пишан	ABCG8	yes	30	4	1.73E-03	19.82
	ABCG5	yes	33	4	3.00E-03	18.01
	SERPINE1	no	34	4	3.55E-03	17.48
	COL6A3	no	69	6	1.22E-07	12.92
	FCER1G	no	81	5	3.16E-03	9.17

1 Supplemental Materials Appendix

- Supplemental Table 1. List of 244 human CAD candidate genes based on annotations of 169 known
 significant and suggestive human CAD GWAS loci.
- Supplemental Table 2. List of 827 mouse atherosclerosis genes as defined by a significant effect on
 atherosclerotic lesion size or composition when perturbed in a mouse model.
- 6 Supplemental Table 3. Correlation analysis of network genes in HMDP and STARNET based on
 7 Pearson correlation coefficient.
- 8 Supplemental Figure 1. Comparison of pair-wise correlations among all *Maff* network genes according
 9 to their expression values in three HMDP populations. Large and bright circles represent strong
 10 correlations. A: Mice on chow diet. B: Mice on high-fat diet. C: Mice on high-fat diet with transgenic
 11 implementation of human *APOE-Leiden* and *CETP*.
- Supplemental Figure 2. A: In vitro results of MAFF neighbour gene expression after siRNA knockdown of *MAFF* in human Hep3b liver cells and B: In vitro results of Maff neighbour gene expression after siRNA knockdown of *Maff* in mouse AML12 liver cells. * indicates p<0.05, ** indicates p<0.01, *** indicates p<0.001. Ctrl: control group; KD: knockdown; LDLR: low-density</p>
- 16 lipoprotein receptor; MAFF: v-Maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F.
- Supplemental Figure 3. Three-dimensional binding of the heterodimerised transcription factor *MAFF*(blue) and the transcriptional repressor *BACH1* (red) at the MARE of the *LDLR* promoter in the presence
 of LPS stimulation. BACH1: BTB domain and CNC Homolog 1; MAFF: v-Maf avian
 musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F; MARE: Maf recognition element; LDLR: lowdensity lipoprotein receptor; LPS: lipopolysaccharide.

	Mouse data (HMDP)									Human data			
	Chow - both sexes		High-fat - female		High-fat - male		Transgenic - female		Transgenic - male		STARNET - both sexes		
	Pearson's		Pearson's		Pearson's		Pearson's		Pearson's		Pearson's		
Gene	R	p-value	R	p-value	R	p-value	R	p-value	R	p-value	R	p-value	Gene
Arid5b	0.10	1.30E-01	0.08	2.31E-01	0.13	5.87E-02	-0.12	7.33E-02	0.09	3.79E-01	0.04	3.05E-01	ARID5B
Atf3	0.06	3.24E-01	0.17	1.70E-02	0.09	1.78E-01	0.26	7.31E-05	0.37	1.58E-04	0.66	2.26E-68	ATF3
Clcfl	-0.17	6.67E-03	0.01	8.50E-01	-0.01	8.39E-01	0.26	7.66E-05	0.34	4.35E-04	0.43	1.54E-26	CLCF1
Crem	-0.10	1.01E-01	-0.27	1.07E-04	-0.35	4.89E-08	-0.22	8.67E-04	-0.21	3.29E-02	0.35	2.97E-17	CREM
Dusp5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.09	2.00E-01	0.31	1.57E-03	0.62	5.74E-59	DUSP5
Epha2	0.03	6.44E-01	-0.08	2.33E-01	-0.08	2.23E-01	-0.06	3.89E-01	-0.07	4.92E-01	0.62	2.99E-59	EPHA2
Fosl2	0.01	8.68E-01	0.00	9.51E-01	-0.11	8.88E-02	0.30	5.72E-06	0.37	1.36E-04	0.54	3.54E-42	FOSL2
Foxp1	-0.15	1.56E-02	-0.07	3.05E-01	-0.23	5.95E-04	0.26	7.38E-05	0.33	6.36E-04	-0.03	4.43E-01	FOXP1
Gdf15	0.31	4.14E-07	0.17	1.74E-02	0.16	1.46E-02	0.09	1.91E-01	0.31	1.54E-03	0.57	2.88E-48	GDF15
Ldlr	0.30	9.88E-07	0.35	1.78E-07	0.30	2.90E-06	-0.27	4.65E-05	-0.19	4.98E-02	0.57	4.70E-49	LDLR
Mcl1	0.42	5.30E-12	0.27	9.79E-05	0.25	1.62E-04	0.37	1.35E-08	0.35	3.82E-04	0.47	4.86E-31	MCL1
Nav2	-0.14	2.50E-02	-0.16	2.16E-02	0.03	7.06E-01	-0.38	9.23E-09	-0.26	7.24E-03	0.31	2.03E-13	NAV2
Nr4a3	-0.08	2.00E-01	-0.06	3.61E-01	-0.14	3.38E-02	0.22	1.10E-03	0.37	1.44E-04	0.35	5.81E-17	NR4A3
Phlda1	0.16	1.21E-02	-0.07	3.07E-01	0.00	9.53E-01	-0.09	1.83E-01	-0.03	7.83E-01	0.48	8.69E-33	PHLDA1
Ppp1r15a	0.00	9.70E-01	0.10	1.56E-01	0.26	7.86E-05	0.32	1.91E-06	0.28	4.25E-03	0.67	2.05E-72	PPP1R15A
Serpine1	0.17	7.92E-03	0.42	2.01E-10	0.54	1.40E-18	0.53	4.63E-17	0.37	1.57E-04	0.43	1.54E-26	SERPINE1
Slc20a1	0.23	1.73E-04	0.40	3.28E-09	0.49	5.78E-15	0.50	1.44E-15	0.24	1.59E-02	0.41	2.66E-23	SLC20A1
Tgfbi	-0.16	1.27E-02	0.02	7.54E-01	0.09	1.55E-01	0.31	3.97E-06	0.35	2.54E-04	0.31	1.22E-13	TGFBI
Tnfaip3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.32	1.81E-06	0.27	6.00E-03	0.46	6.02E-30	TNFAIP3
Tnfrsf12a	-0.18	3.11E-03	0.13	5.55E-02	0.19	3.79E-03	0.30	4.52E-06	0.27	6.26E-03	0.62	5.74E-59	TNFRSF12A
Trib1	0.20	1.50E-03	0.31	5.93E-06	0.37	1.03E-08	0.11	8.98E-02	0.08	4.13E-01	0.4	3.49E-22	TRIB1
Tsc22d1	-0.06	3.35E-01	-0.29	2.90E-05	-0.11	9.25E-02	-0.18	6.88E-03	-0.26	7.57E-03	0.48	8.35E-33	TSC22D1
Zfp36	0.20	1.75E-03	0.30	1.40E-05	0.18	5.70E-03	0.05	4.19E-01	0.07	4.85E-01	0.6	3.50E-54	ZFP36

Supplemental Table 3. Correlation between *Maff/MAFF* and its network genes under different conditions. HMDP mice on chow diet, high-fat diet and with
 transgenic implementation of human *APOE-Leiden* and *CETP* (left). On the right, human data from the STARNET cohort.

Supplemental Figure 1.



Supplemental Figure 2.



