

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham
Research at Birmingham

Thermally-induced hyperbranching of bromine-containing polyesters by insertion of in situ generated chain-end carbenes

Bexis, Panagiotis; Bell, Craig A; Arno, Maria Chiara; Thomas, Anthony W.; Dove, Andrew

DOI:

[10.1039/D1CC00821H](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC00821H)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Bexis, P, Bell, CA, Arno, MC, Thomas, AW & Dove, A 2021, 'Thermally-induced hyperbranching of bromine-containing polyesters by insertion of in situ generated chain-end carbenes', *Chemical Communications*, vol. 2021, no. 00, pp. 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC00821H>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: P. Bexis, C. Bell, M. C. Arno, A. Thomas and A. P. Dove, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1039/D1CC00821H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Thermally-induced hyperbranching of bromine-containing polyesters by insertion of in situ generated chain-end carbenes

Panagiotis Bexis^{a,b}, Maria C. Arno^{b,c}, Craig A. Bell^{a,d,e}, Anthony W. Thomas^a and Andrew P. Dove^{a,b}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Hyperbranched, biodegradable PCL-based polymers are obtained through a random but invasive migration of an *in-situ* generated carbene end group which is unmasked *via* the thermolysis of its precursor diazidine moiety. These hyperbranched cores are used as macroinitiators for 'grafting-from' polymerisation using controlled radical polymerisation leading to amphiphilic copolymers which can subsequently be self-assembled into spherical core-shell micelles.

Highly branched 3D macromolecular structures, including dendrimers and hyperbranched polymers (HBPs) have emerged as an important class of materials^{1, 2} on account of the large number of terminal functional groups, globular three-dimensional structures, and low intrinsic viscosities that they display compared to linear polymer species.^{3, 4} Of these species, HBPs exhibit the advantages of low (or no) chain entanglements, as observed in linear polymers, as well as low melt and solution viscosity, high solubility, and a wide variety of terminal functional groups which serve as handles for further modification.⁵⁻⁷ Furthermore, in contrast to perfectly branched and monodisperse dendrimers consisting of only dendritic units and terminal units, HBPs are composed of dendritic units, linear units and terminal units, and display a randomly branched structure with a lower degree of branching (DB). More importantly, compared to the tedious and complicated synthetic procedures of dendrimers, the synthesis of HBPs is often based on simple one-pot reactions, requiring minimal or no further purification.^{2, 8-10}

Very recently, new progress in the self-assembly¹¹⁻¹³ of HBPs has been achieved. HBPs have proven to be excellent precursors in supramolecular self-assembly, allowing the generation of

many delicate supramolecular structures and hybrids at all scales and dimensions. As such, assemblies obtained from HBPs have recently found applications in the biomedical field, owing to their ease of synthesis, their large number of end-groups which provides a platform for the conjugation of functional moieties, their controlled responsive nature and their ability to incorporate a multiple array of guest molecules through covalent or noncovalent approaches^{5, 9, 14-16}

Diazirines¹⁷ are a class of functional groups characterised by three-membered heterocycles featuring an azo group and an sp³ hybridised carbon atom.^{18, 19} The defining property of diazirines is their ability to form highly reactive carbenes with concomitant release of molecular nitrogen upon suitable activation *via* exposure to light, high temperature²⁰ or ultrasonication. Carbenes are capable of reacting (*via* insertion) with saturated hydrocarbons and by addition with unsaturated hydrocarbons, including aromatic systems.²¹ This ease of insertion makes diazirines the perfect tool for photoaffinity labelling,^{22, 23} crosslinking,²⁴ surface modification of polymers^{25, 26} and polymer branching when incorporated within functional monomers.^{27, 28}

Herein, we describe for the first time the use of a hydroxyl-functional aromatic diazidine as an initiator for the organocatalytic ring-opening polymerisation (ROP) of a bromine-functional caprolactone monomer, α -bromo- ϵ -caprolactone (BrCL) alongside copolymerisation with ϵ -caprolactone (CL). The diazidine moieties undergo thermolysis to generate a highly reactive carbene species which can directly attack the long macromolecular chains, forming branching points both inter- and intra-molecularly. The hyperbranched bromine-bearing hydrophobic polyesters are subsequently utilised as macroinitiators to graft hydrophilic PEG branches, yielding amphiphilic materials able to self-assemble into spherical core-shell micelles.²⁹

The BrCL monomer^{30, 31} was selected as the alkyl bromide is a handle that enables copper-mediated radical polymerisations used in grafting techniques,³²⁻³⁵ and is largely unreactive towards carbene insertion,^{23, 26-28, 45, 50} the polycaprolactone (PCL)-based polymer serves as the hydrophobic and biodegradable segment in the material.^{30, 36-39} Organocatalytic ROP⁴⁰ was selected for the initial polymerisation of the cyclic

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK.

^b School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

^c Institute of Cancer and Genomic Science, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

^d Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, 4072, Australia.

^e Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, 4072, Australia.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See






Figure 1. A) The copolymerisation of CL and BrCL catalysed by DPP and initiated by TFDBA leading to the gradient blocky α -diazirine copolymers; B) Thermal activation of the diazirine leading to the unmasking of the carbene and the subsequent hyperbranching of the linear precursor polymers; C) Application of Cu(0)-RDRP techniques enables 'grafting-from' the PCL-based materials, leading to amphiphilic polymers.

ester monomers. The ROP of CL and the subsequent copolymerisation of CL and BrCL was attempted using diphenyl phosphate (DPP) as the catalyst⁴¹⁻⁴³ and 4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl] benzyl alcohol (TFDBA) as the initiator (Scheme S1, Figure 1A).

CL was first polymerised targeting DPs of 5, 10 and 20 (Table S1) to assess the viability of using an alcohol-functional diazine as an initiator for ROP. The observed low dispersity and monomodal molar mass distribution of the polymers served as an indication of well-controlled polymerisations (Figure S1), and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy provided structural data as well as the experimental molar mass of the polymers. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum showed a single resonance at $\delta = -65$ ppm in all synthesised polymers, which proved the specific incorporation of the CF₃ group of the diazine only in the desired α -position of the polymer chain (Figures S2-S8).


Hyperbranching of the TFDBA-initiated PCLs was initially investigated (Figure 1B) by heating the polymers in bulk at 100 °C for 6 h. The conversion of the diazine functionality was qualitatively monitored by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy for the PCL₁₀ (Figure S9, S10) by monitoring the disappearance of the CF₃ group on the initiator. New peaks appeared between $\delta = -79$ and -66 ppm throughout the thermolysis, indicating that a change in fluorine environment was occurring - in line with carbenes being generated *in situ* and inserting into the polymer backbone causing the branching (Scheme S2), further corroborated by ¹³C NMR spectroscopic analysis (Figures S6, S11).⁴⁴ At the reaction end-point (Figure S10), the parent fluorine peak had almost disappeared for all PCL polymers, indicating near quantitative loss of the starting diazine functionality. As a consequence of the random character of this hyperbranching methodology,^{27, 28} and given the structural diversity of the linear and branched units within the polyester architecture, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the branched PCL homopolymers provided little structural information regarding the extent of branching (Figures S11-18).^{45, 46} Clear trends of increasing molar mass (M_n , M_w) and dispersity (D_M)^{47, 48} were observed for all PCLs as the reaction proceeded, as evidenced by SEC-td (Figures S19-S20, Table S2). This is attributed to the formation of random branches within the polymer scaffold triggered by carbene-initiated C-H and C-O bond insertions.^{27, 28, 49} SEC-td monitoring of the PCL₁₀ polymer revealed a gradual molar mass and dispersity increase (Figure S21, Table S3) in close agreement with the conversion of the diazine functionality, as shown from the ¹⁹F NMR spectra. Analysis of

the Mark-Houwink⁵⁰ plot of the hyperbranched PCL materials revealed that despite an almost ten-fold increase in M_w compared to their linear precursors, the HB polymers retained similar values of η (intrinsic viscosity) (Figure S22). From these analyses, the alpha (α) values of these polymers were calculated to be between 0.38 and 0.48 (Tables S2, S3), which is a clear indication of branching.⁵¹ Analysis of a linear PCL control that contained a benzyl α -end group (no diazine) and an experimental M_w close to that of the HBPs ($M_w = 11.4$ kDa, $D_M = 1.03$) revealed $\alpha = 0.78$ (Figure S23A). After heating this polymer in bulk at 100 °C for 6 h, SEC-td showed a significant reduction in the molar mass of the polymer. At the same time, the dispersity increased, but the Mark-Houwink alpha value retained its high value ($\alpha = 0.73$). Thus, without the diazine end group, the polymer remains linear and undergoes extensive transesterification but no hyperbranching, which only occurs in the presence of the diazine moiety (Figure S23B).

In order to create gradient copolymers of BrCL and CL suitable to create core-shell structures, ROP and hyperbranching was conducted in a similar manner. Targeting a total degree of polymerisation (DP) of 10, three different feed ratios of monomer (CL:BrCL) were used, 25:75, 50:50, 75:25 (Table S4). An increased catalyst loading ([TFDBA]₀:[DPP]₀ = 1:2) was used in order to accelerate the reactions due to the lower propagation rate of BrCL.³⁰ After 4 h, the conversion of CL was near quantitative in all three polymerisations, whereas the conversion of BrCL was ca. 60% in all three experiments. After quenching the polymerisations and purification of the polymers, ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy was used to show retention of diazine functionality on the α -chain end of the copolymers as well as to characterise the copolymers (Figures S24-S32). Analysis by SEC-td revealed the experimental M_n of the copolymers to be in close accordance with the calculated values (between 1.8 – 2.9 kDa depending on the desired composition), with dispersities slightly higher than expected ($D_M = 1.37 - 1.59$), possibly as a consequence of the extended reaction times causing unavoidable transesterification side-reactions and a gradient-block copolymer microstructure (Figure S33).³⁰

The hyperbranching of the P(CL-co-BrCL) copolymers followed, with ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy showing the unmasking of the carbene and its gradual insertion into the saturated polyester backbone until almost full completion (Figures 2, S36, S41). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the resulting hyperbranched polymers (Figures S34, S35, S37-S40) do not elucidate the degree of branching but do confirm the retention of the C-Br resonance at $\delta = 45.9$ ppm. Significant increases in molar masses and dispersities compared to their linear precursors (SEC-td) further confirms the formation and subsequent reaction of the carbene to form branched structures. (Figure S42-S44, Table S5). Mark-Houwink analysis showed that the intrinsic viscosity of the HBPs was maintained at similar values compared to their linear copolymer precursors, while their larger molar mass and the obtained low α values (< 0.5) confirmed their hyperbranched nature (Figure S46, Table S6). Further analysis of the available SEC and ¹H NMR spectroscopy data enabled the elucidation of the average





number of arms (N_{arms})⁵²⁻⁵⁴ of each of the HBPs (Table S6).⁴⁷ Based on the absolute molar masses (obtained *via* SEC-td), **Figure 2.** Stacked ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the linear P(CL)₈-co-P(BrCL)₆ (top) and the branched structure HBP2 (bottom, after 6 h of branching – end point data). The diazine has decomposed, thereby unmasking the carbene, which has then inserted in various C-H and C-O bonds of the polymer, thus creating a random hyperbranched structure (CDCl₃).

dispersity ($D_{M,RI}$ obtained by refractive index detection⁵⁵) of the HBPs, and the M_n of the linear precursor polymers (obtained by NMR spectroscopic analysis), N_{arms} was found to decrease from 12 to 7, as the content of BrCL decreased for each polymer. This phenomenon can be ascribed to the bromine's steric hindrance, which prevents the approach of carbenes to free C-C or C-H bonds, thus limiting the branching events.

The HB polymers bearing pendent Br (Figure S45) can subsequently serve as suitable macroinitiators for the application of Cu(0)-mediated RDRP,⁵⁶⁻⁶¹ thus enabling 'grafting-from' the PCL-based polymers.³⁰ Judicious choice of the synthetic method, grafting density, composition and length of the polymer backbone and side-arms, allows graft copolymers with unique structural characteristics and a range of functionalities to be prepared.⁶²⁻⁶⁵ HBP2 was selected as the macroinitiator, and methyl acrylate (MA) was first polymerised in a grafting-from approach, targeting 20 MA grafted units per initiating site (Br unit) for each arm. After 24 h, the monomer conversion was > 99%, and upon purification, the polymer appeared as a waxy transparent solid. ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirmed the synthesis of PMA (Figure S47), and successful grafting was proved by SEC-td as the molecular weight distribution of the starting polymer completely shifted to higher molecular weight, while its dispersity decreased significantly ($D_{M,SEC-td} = 1.5$, Figure S51A).

Following the successful grafting of MA, poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate (PEGMEA) was polymerised targeting 10, 20 and 50 units per initiating site for each arm. All reactions reached quantitative conversion after 24 h. ¹H NMR spectroscopy and SEC-td of the purified materials again proved the successful grafting of the acrylate had occurred (Figures S51B-D, S48-S50, Table S7). The alpha (α) values of all PEGMEA-grafted polymers were maintained below 0.5, thus confirming




Figure 3. A) Self-assembly of HBP2 using a solvent switch method (slow addition of H₂O into THF/polymer solution) leading to the formation of spherical core-shell particles; B) Dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis of HBP2-g-PEGMEA₅₀ micelles (1 mg mL⁻¹), and C) TEM micrograph of HBP2-g-PEGMEA₅₀ micelles.

the retention of their branched structure. The multimodality of the SEC chromatograms is possibly an effect of the random spatial nature of hyperbranching causing the irregular placement of initiating sites for the grafting of brushes, which in turn might cause intense radical coupling, termination events or extremely high grafting density.

Self-assembly was performed to the HBP2-g-PEGMEA₅₀ amphiphilic polymer using a traditional solvent switch method by dissolving the polymer in THF and slowly adding water to induce micelle formation (Figure 3A). Micelles of ~ 50 nm in diameter were observed by DLS analysis, with spherical morphology confirmed by TEM (Figure 3B-C, S52).

In conclusion, this work reports a new thermally induced methodology for preparing hyperbranched PCL-based materials. These bromine-bearing structures are shown to serve as ideal macroinitiators for the application of Cu(0)-RDRP techniques, which lead to further grafted polymers. These amphiphilic polymers of extremely high molar mass can be self-assembled into spherical core-shell particles, thus making them attractive candidates for further downstream bio-applications.

Acknowledgements

CAB acknowledges funding for this research from the National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1054569).

Notes and references

1. Y. Zheng, S. Li, Z. Weng and C. Gao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4091-4130.
2. M. Jikei and M. Kakimoto, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **26**, 1233-1285.
3. A. B. Cook, R. Barbey, J. A. Burns and S. Perrier, *Macromolecules*, 2016, **49**, 1296-1304.
4. B. Voit, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2000, **38**, 2505-2525.
5. D. Wang, T. Zhao, X. Zhu, D. Yan and W. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4023-4071.



6. C. Gao and D. Yan, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2004, **29**, 183-275.
7. A. Carlmark, C. Hawker, A. Hult and M. Malkoch, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 352-362.
8. C. R. Yates and W. Hayes, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2004, **40**, 1257-1281.
9. D. Konkolewicz, M. J. Monteiro and S. Perrier, *Macromolecules*, 2011, **44**, 7067-7087.
10. S. Peleshanko and V. V. Tsukruk, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **33**, 523-580.
11. Y. Zhou and D. Yan, *Chem. Comm.*, 2009, DOI: 10.1039/b814560c, 1172-1188.
12. G. M. Whitesides and B. Grzybowski, 2002, **295**, 2418-2421.
13. G. M. Whitesides and M. Boncheva, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2002, **99**, 4769-4774.
14. A. B. Cook and S. Perrier, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **30**, 1901001.
15. Y. Zhou, W. Huang, J. Liu, X. Zhu and D. Yan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 4567-4590.
16. B. I. Voit and A. Lederer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 5924-5973.
17. A. Blencowe and W. Hayes, *Soft Matter*, 2005, **1**, 178-205.
18. S. R. Paulsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1960, **72**, 781.
19. E. Schmitz, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 83-130.
20. M. T. H. Liu, M. Tencer and I. D. R. Stevens, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1986, 211-214.
21. R. A. Moss, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1989, **22**, 15-21.
22. H. Nakashima, M. Hashimoto, Y. Sadakane, T. Tomohiro and Y. Hatanaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15092-15093.
23. S.-S. Ge, B. Chen, Y.-Y. Wu, Q.-S. Long, Y.-L. Zhao, P.-Y. Wang and S. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 29428-29454.
24. D. P. Smith, J. Anderson, J. Plante, A. E. Ashcroft, S. E. Radford, A. J. Wilson and M. J. Parker, *Chem. Comm.*, 2008, DOI: 10.1039/B813504E, 5728-5730.
25. A. Blencowe, K. Cosstick and W. Hayes, *New J. Chem.*, 2006, **30**, 53-58.
26. A. Blencowe, C. Blencowe, K. Cosstick and W. Hayes, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2008, **68**, 868-875.
27. A. Blencowe, N. Caiulo, K. Cosstick, W. Fagour, P. Heath and W. Hayes, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 939-949.
28. A. Blencowe, W. Fagour, C. Blencowe, K. Cosstick and W. Hayes, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 2327-2333.
29. R. A. Ramli, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 52632-52650.
30. P. Bexis, A. W. Thomas, C. A. Bell and A. P. Dove, *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, **7**, 7126-7134.
31. G. Wang, Y. Shi, Z. Fu, W. Yang, Q. Huang and Y. Zhang, *Polymer*, 2005, **46**, 10601-10606.
32. B. M. Rosen, G. Lligadas, C. Hahn and V. Percec, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2009, **47**, 3931-3939.
33. Q. Zhang, A. Anastasaki, G.-Z. Li, A. J. Haddleton, P. Wilson and D. M. Haddleton, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 3876-3883.
34. E. Turan and T. Caykara, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2011, **71**, 1089-1095.
35. G. Lligadas, S. Grama and V. Percec, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 1039-1063.
36. N. López-Rodríguez, A. López-Arraiza, E. Meaurio and J. R. Sarasua, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 2006, **46**, 1299-1308.
37. A. Höglund, M. Hakkarainen and A. C. Albertsson, *J. Macromol. Sci. A*, 2007, **44**, 1041-1046.
38. H. Seyednejad, A. H. Ghassemi, C. F. van Nostrum, T. Vermonden and W. E. Hennink, *J. Control Release*, 2011, **152**, 168-176.
39. S. Ramakrishna, J. Mayer, E. Wintermantel and K. W. Leong, *Compos Sci Technol*, 2001, **61**, 1189-1224.
40. A. P. Dove, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2012, **1**, 1409-1412.
41. F. Ercole, A. E. Rodda, L. Meagher, J. S. Forsythe and A. P. Dove, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2809-2815.
42. D. Delcroix, A. Couffin, N. Susperregui, C. Navarro, L. Maron, B. Martin-Vaca and D. Bourissou, *Polym. Chem.*, 2011, **2**, 2249-2256.
43. K. Makiguchi, T. Satoh and T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules*, 2011, **44**, 1999-2005.
44. H. Ismaili, S. Lee and M. S. Workentin, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 14958-14964.
45. C. J. Hawker, J. M. J. Frechet, R. B. Grubbs and J. Dao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 10763-10764.
46. J. M. J. Fréchet, M. Henmi, I. Gitsov, S. Aoshima, M. R. Leduc and R. B. Grubbs, *Science*, 1995, **269**, 1080-1083.
47. S. Podzimek and T. Vlcek, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **82**, 454-460.
48. S. Podzimek, T. Vlcek and C. Johann, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **81**, 1588-1594.
49. S. Ghiassian, H. Ismaili, B. D. W. Lubbock, J. W. Dube, P. J. Ragogna and M. S. Workentin, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 12326-12333.
50. A. C. Makan, T. Otte and H. Pasch, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 5247-5259.
51. F. Xiang, T. Loontjens, E. Geladé and J. Vorenkamp, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **213**, 1841-1850.
52. Y. Zheng, W. Turner, M. Zong, D. J. Irvine, S. M. Howdle and K. J. Thurecht, *Macromolecules*, 2011, **44**, 1347-1354.
53. J. H. Tan, N. A. J. McMillan, E. Payne, C. Alexander, F. Heath, A. K. Whittaker and K. J. Thurecht, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **50**, 2585-2595.
54. N. T. Nguyen, K. J. Thurecht, S. M. Howdle and D. J. Irvine, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2997-3008.
55. M. Gaborieau and P. Castignolles, *Anal Bioanal Chem*, 2011, **399**, 1413-1423.
56. M. J. Monteiro, T. Guliasvili and V. Percec, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2007, **45**, 1835-1847.
57. N. H. Nguyen, B. M. Rosen, G. Lligadas and V. Percec, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 2379-2386.
58. N. H. Nguyen and V. Percec, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2010, **48**, 5109-5119.
59. N. H. Nguyen, M. E. Levere, J. Kulis, M. J. Monteiro and V. Percec, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 4606-4622.
60. A. Anastasaki, V. Nikolaou and D. M. Haddleton, *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, **7**, 1002-1026.
61. A. Anastasaki, V. Nikolaou, G. Nurumbetov, P. Wilson, K. Kempe, J. F. Quinn, T. P. Davis, M. R. Whittaker and D. M. Haddleton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 835-877.
62. C. Feng, Y. Li, D. Yang, J. Hu, X. Zhang and X. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1282-1295.
63. H.-i. Lee, J. Pietrasik, S. S. Sheiko and K. Matyjaszewski, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2010, **35**, 24-44.
64. S. S. Sheiko, B. S. Sumerlin and K. Matyjaszewski, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **33**, 759-785.
65. M. Zhang and A. H. E. Müller, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2005, **43**, 3461-3481.

