

Freeman, J; Smith, D; Latinkic, B; Ewan, K; Samuel, L; Zollo, M; Marino, N; Tyas, L; Jones, N; Dale, TC (2015) A functional connectome: regulation of Wnt/TCF-dependent transcription by pairs of pathway activators. Molecular cancer, 14 (1). p. 206. ISSN 1476-4598 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-015-0475-1

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2416050/

DOI: 10.1186/s12943-015-0475-1

Usage Guidelines

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$ 

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

### RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



# A functional connectome: regulation of Wnt/TCF-dependent transcription by pairs of pathway activators

Jamie Freeman<sup>1</sup>, David Smith<sup>2</sup>, Branko Latinkic<sup>1</sup>, Ken Ewan<sup>1</sup>, Lee Samuel<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Zollo<sup>4</sup>, Natascia Marino<sup>4</sup>, Lorraine Tyas<sup>1</sup>, Nick Jones<sup>3</sup> and Trevor C. Dale<sup>1\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling is often portrayed as a simple pathway that is initiated by Wnt ligand at the cell surface leading, via linear series of interactions between 'core pathway' members, to the induction of nuclear transcription from genes flanked by  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF transcription factor binding sites. Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling is also regulated by a much larger set of 'non-core regulators'. However the relationship between 'non-core regulators' is currently not well understood. Aberrant activation of the pathway has been shown to drive tumorgenesis in a number of different tissues.

**Methods:** Mammalian cells engineered to have a partially-active level of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling were screened by transfection for proteins that up or down-regulated a mid-level of TCF-dependent transcription induced by transient expression of an activated LRP6 Wnt co-receptor ( $\Delta$ NLRP).

**Results:** 141 novel regulators of TCF-dependent transcription were identified. Surprisingly, when tested without  $\Delta$ NLRP activation, most up-regulators failed to alter TCF-dependent transcription. However, when expressed in pairs, 27 % (466/1170) functionally interacted to alter levels of TCF-dependent transcription. When proteins were displayed as nodes connected by their ability to co-operate in the regulation of TCF-dependent transcription, a network of functional interactions was revealed. In this network, 'core pathway' components (Eg.  $\beta$ -catenin, GSK-3, Dsh) were found to be the most highly connected nodes. Activation of different nodes in this network impacted on the sensitivity to Wnt pathway small molecule antagonists.

**Conclusions:** The 'functional connectome' identified here strongly supports an alternative model of the Wnt pathway as a complex context-dependent network. The network further suggests that mutational activation of highly connected Wnt signaling nodes predisposed cells to further context-dependent alterations in levels of TCF-dependent transcription that may be important during tumor progression and treatment.

Keywords: Wnt signaling, Network, Protein complexes, Drug discovery, Cancer

#### Background

Wnt signaling plays a crucial role in normal development and disease. Deregulated Wnt signaling has been implicated in cancers arising in many different tissues [1]. Wnt ligand binding to Fz/LRP coreceptors inhibits  $\beta$ -catenin turnover leading to the activation of  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF-dependent transcription

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



BioMed Central

© 2015 Freeman et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: daletc@cardiff.ac.uk

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{School}$  of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3AX, Wales, UK

suggested to drive tumorigenesis in cancers lacking well defined mutations [6–8].

The classic Wnt signaling pathway can be presented as a simple linear pathway involving the following 'core' components: Wnt/Fz/LRP→Dsh-|Axin/APC/GSK-3-|β-catenin→TCF. However, this linear view does not convey the degree of complexity suggested by screens in D. melanogaster, HeLa and colon cancer cells that have identified over 250 genes as regulators of TCF dependent transcription [7, 9-12]. In many cases the mechanism(s) by which newly-identified regulators interact with 'core' pathway components is unknown. This may, in part, be because their mechanism(s) of action are contextdependent [9, 11–14]. Given the number of  $Wnt/\beta$ catenin pathway regulators that have been identified in screens, a key challenge is the prediction of whether any particular gene product will regulate Wnt signaling in each of multiple potential cell contexts.

This study describes a screen that identified known and novel cDNAs that up- and down-regulated TCFdependent transcription. Pair-wise combinations of genes were found to synergise in their induction of TCFdependent transcription and large-scale mapping of these synergistic interactions uncovered a network of functional interactions. The structure of the Wnt network highlights potential mechanisms of functional synergy in cancer cells and can be used to identify novel points for therapeutic intervention.

#### **Results and discussion**

To identify novel regulators of Wnt signaling, a highlyinducible HEK293 based TCF-luciferase reporter cell line, with low levels of basal Wnt activity (7df3, [6-8]), was used to screen an expression library of 9000 fulllength cDNAs from Xenopus tropicalis [7, 9-12]. An overview of this and subsequent experiments is shown in Fig. 1. To identify both positive and negative regulators, a constitutively active form of the Wnt LRP6 co-receptor ( $\Delta$ NLRP), which induced a mid-level of transcription (~15-fold activation; Fig. 2a), was cotransfected with each pool of 3 cDNAs (3000 pools of 3 cDNAs). This approach allowed the identification of modulators that could contribute to a 'just right' level of Wnt pathway activity as found in tumours [15]. Luciferase reporter activity was normalised to expression from a co-transfected CMV-LacZ plasmid. A set of 151 inhibitor and 139 inducer cDNA pools were selected based on a combination of their fold induction/repression and their variation from the plate mean (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Assaying the individual cDNAs from hit pools identified 45 inducers and 96 inhibitors (example inducers and inhibitors are shown in Fig. 2b, c and a full list is presented in



Additional file 2: Table S1). No correlation between CMV-LacZ expression and luciferase activity was observed, suggesting that cDNAs did not affect general transcription. cDNAs encoding the known Wnt pathway modulators CK1 $\epsilon$ , CK1 $\delta$ , Dvl2 and Axin2 were identified, confirming the screen identified Wnt regulators.

One of the strongest inducers (12.7 fold; Additional file 2: Table S1) was the *Xenopus Tropicalis* cDNA for the gene Prune. When assayed in the cognate *Xenopus laevis* animal cap explant system, Prune induced expression of Siamois, a classic Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin target. In addition, Prune induced partial axis duplication in ventrally injected embryos (Additional file 3: Table S2), a phenotype that is consistent with the activation of the Wnt signaling pathway in *X. laevis.* PRUNE is amplified and overexpressed in breast cancer [9, 11–14, 16] while Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling is particularly activated in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) [13, 17]. To determine if Prune was required for Wnt signal transduction we reduced PRUNE levels in the TNBC breast cancer cell line MDA-MB231 by siRNA transfection. MDA-MB231 cells



have raised levels of endogenous  $\beta$ -catenin [18] and knock down of PRUNE led to a 40 % decrease of active (i.e. unphosphorylated)  $\beta$ -catenin levels that was equivalent to that induced by an siRNA to  $\beta$ -catenin itself (Fig. 2d-f). Taken together the data suggest that Prune may regulate Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling in an oncogenic context.

#### Wnt pathway activators

To further validate function, the activators were expressed in HCT116 colon cancer cells that contain an endogenous activated  $\beta$ -catenin allele, significant proportions of which are present in membrane-bound complexes [19], and show a mid-level of TCF-reporter activity as determined by co-transfection with the TOP-flash plasmid. After using the Benjamini-Hochberg

method [20, 21] to correct for multiple hypothesis testing, 14 of the 45 activators were found to further increase (super-activate) reporter levels (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected *p*-values ranged from  $p = 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ to  $p = 6.6 \times 10^{-28}$ ; Fig. 3a, Additional file 4: Table S3). Thus the ability to super-activate transcription was shared by a significant subset of genes initially identified in the HEK293-based reporter cell line.

A subset of the strongest activators were assayed in *X. laevis* secondary axis induction experiments, and for the ability to activate the Wnt target genes Xnr3 and Siamois in a *X. laevis* animal cap assays. RNAs that induced the formation of a complete secondary axis (HMX2, HMGB3, HRAS, EMX2, HMGB1, ZNF616, and HDGF) also strongly induced expression of Wnt target genes (Fig. 3b, Additional file 3: Table S2). Interestingly, HMGB1 and HMGB2 have previously been linked to altered Wnt signaling in cartilage development, providing further support linking the set of genes to Wnt signalling [2, 22].

#### Wnt pathway inhibitors

The 96 inhibitory cDNAs identified in the screen were assessed for their effects in two different colon cancer lines. When transfected into SW480 cells that have high levels of 'active' b-catenin and highly active TCF dependent transcription following APC deletion, nearly half (42/96) of the inhibitors reduced TCF-dependent transcription (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p < 0.01; Additional file 4: Table S3, Fig. 3c; [23]). By contrast, only 15/96 cDNAs showed significant inhibition of TCF-dependent transcription in HCT116 cells that contain mutant, constitutively active  $\beta$ -catenin (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p < 0.01; Additional file 4: Table S3, Fig. 3d). Eight of the inhibitors were active in both cell lines, and 4 were able to inhibit TCFdependent transcription by greater than 50 % in both cell lines (TBPL1, IDH2, SFRS3, RXR $\beta$ ). The distinct responses to inhibitor expression in the different lines suggested a context dependence of cellular responses.

#### Functional interactions between cDNA pairs

To determine the capacity of the 45 activators to increase TCF dependent transcription independently of  $\Delta$ NLRP, they were individually transfected into the 7df3 reporter cells (Fig. 4a; Additional file 5: Table S4). Surprisingly, 40/45 failed to activate TCF-dependent transcription when assessed alone ((K-S) test, p < 0.01). Five cDNAs (Prune homolog (PRUNE2), Dishevelled (DVL2), Casein kinase 1 $\epsilon$  (CSNK1E), Mesoderm posterior (MESPA) and Casein kinase 1 $\delta$  (CSNK1D)) induced TCF-dependent transcription above background levels (Fig. 4a). Four of these activators satisfy a Benjamini and Hochberg corrected *p*-value of <0.05, whereas the final activator identified using an uncorrected *p*-value of <0.01 (CSNKD) had a corrected *p*-



from TopFlash (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p < 0.01)

value of 0.052. Dishevelled and Casein kinases  $1\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  have previously been implicated in the modulation of Wnt signaling [24].

Up until this point, any cDNA identified through its ability to super-activate TCF-dependent transcription was described as an inducer. From this point on, cDNAs that individually activated TCF-dependent transcription will be referred to as *activators*, while those that required the co-expression of other cDNAs such as  $\Delta$ NLRP for their activity to be apparent will be identified as *enhancers*.

The conditional dependence of 40 out of the initial 45 activating cDNAs on  $\Delta$ NLRP expression raised the question as to whether their ability to enhance TCF-dependent transcription was specific to  $\Delta$ NLRP or whether they could enhance TCF-dependent transcription in combination with other activators or enhancers. To explore this question, the 40 enhancers and 5 activators identified in the screen were co-transfected with each other and with a further 4 constitutive 'core'

activators (Fig. 1). The additional 'core activators' of Wnt signaling comprised a form of  $\beta$ -catenin lacking the N-terminal phosphorylation domain ( $\Delta N\beta CAT$ ), the GSK-3 binding domain of Axin (AxinGID), a VP16 transactivation domain fused to full length TCF4 (VP16-TCF) and a VP16 transactivation domain fused to the minimal HMG box DNA binding domain of TCF (VP16-minTCF) [8]. In total 1170 pairwise combinations were assayed for synergy. As some 'core' activators were individually capable of inducing high levels of TCF-dependent transcription, the amounts of the 'core' activator's cDNAs were reduced to induce a mid-range induction of reporter activity (Fig. 4a;  $\Delta NLRP$ -induced levels were defined as  $10^{0}$ ).

The 1170 combinations were assessed to identify if they were significantly different from background (K-S test; p < 0.01) before a weight was assigned to each combination. The weight quantifies the experimental synergy between two co-transfected cDNAs by comparison with the reporter activity induced by each cDNA of the pair



when expressed alone, as described in Methods. Using a cut-off that satisfies a Benjamini and Hochberg corrected p-value of <0.03, 315/1170 pairwise cDNA combinations showed significantly higher levels of TCF-dependent transcription and 151 showed significantly lower levels than expected if there were no functional interaction (Fig. 4b, c). None of the synergistic interactions correlated with changes in expression from the co-transfected CMV driven LacZ reporter, suggesting that the functional interactions were specific for  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF-dependent transcription. The results were displayed as a heat map and as a network in which cDNAs were depicted as nodes and synergy as links (Fig. 4b, c). Synergistic interactions were very common, but were not evenly distributed. In particular, activator nodes including 'core' pathway components were most highly connected (Additional file 6: Figure S2). Synergistic interactions between cDNA pairs were also observed in the regulation of the Wnt target promoters c-Myc and Siamois, suggesting that functional interaction was not restricted to reporters containing artificial multimerized TCF-binding elements (Fig. 4d, e).

The *Xenopus* PRUNE phosphodiesterase was the strongest novel activator in the absence of  $\Delta$ NLRP (Fig. 4a). By contrast, human PRUNE, perhaps due to its use at its physiological temperature was incapable of activating TCF-dependent transcription (Fig. 5a). However,



when human PRUNE was assessed in combination, it synergised with 19/45 cDNAs. These included both CSNK1D and CSNK1E which have previously been linked to Prune and its direct binding partner, the metastasis suppressor nm23-H1 (Fig. 5a, Additional file 7: Figure S3) [25]. PRUNE's phosphodiesterase activity has been implicated as a target for the tumour progression phenotype associated with ASAP1 [26]. Point mutations within human PRUNE's cAMP phosphodiesterase domain resulted in lower levels of TCF-dependent transcription suggesting that functional interaction relied at least in part on the phosphodiesterase activity (Fig. 5a).

#### Using the functional connectome to study drug action

The pairs of inducers of β-catenin/TCF-dependent transcription should allow therapeutic agents to be tested in a defined cellular background (7df3 cells) against regulator combinations that would normally be activated in specific cellular contexts such as cancer cells. To explore this potential, known activators as well as two cDNA pairs (HDGF/MESP, CSNK1E/KRAS) were transfected into 7df3 cells and treated with a number of compounds for 24 h prior to luciferase reporter assay (Fig. 5b, c). ICG001 is known to interfere with β-catenin-CBP interactions (required for transcriptional transactivation) [27], XAV939 and IWR-2 promote  $\beta$ -catenin degradation by stabilising Axin [28], Senexin A inhibits the kinase CDK8 [29] while the molecular target(s) of CCT036477 and CCT070535 have not yet been identified [8]. TCFdependent transcription induced by the cDNA combinations showed different sensitivity to inhibition by the small molecules (Fig. 5b, c). Transcription induced by the CSKN1E/NRAS and CSKN1E alone was fully inhibited by CCT036477 when used at five times the concentration required to block transcription induced by an activated Dvl2-ER fusion protein [8]. By contrast, this combination was less affected by CCT70535. Other activating pairs such as the HDGF/MESPA combination was largely resistant to inhibition by both CCT036477 and CCT70535, suggesting that the expression of HDGF in a subset of colon cancers may be a negative prognostic biomarker for CCT070535-related therapies [30]. ICG001 showed very broad efficacy, while XAV939 and IWR-2 were ineffective against mutant  $\beta$ -catenin as would be expected from their mechanism of action; both showed partial efficacy against other cDNA combinations. Taken together, these studies suggest that combinations of activators and enhancers might in future help predict biomarkers whose overexpression confers sensitivity or resistance to Wnt pathway therapeutic agents.

#### Functional interactions in colon cancer cells

To investigate whether the network of functional interactions identified in the 7df3 cells was observed in other cell types a subset of cDNA nodes identified in the 7df3 reporter cells was examined for functional interaction in HCT116 cells (Fig. 6). HCT116 cells were transiently cotransfected with pair-wise combinations of 8 cDNAs together with the TopFlash reporter. 26/28 total possible interactions were detected in HCT116 cells (Fig. 6b, c). This number comprised many more functional interactions than observed between the same cDNAs in the 7df3 reporter line (Fig. 6a). Some cDNA pairs did not functionally cooperate in either 7df3 or HCT116 cells (Eg. HRAS-MESPA) suggesting that underlying mechanisms of functional interaction may be conserved.

#### Comparison of sets of Wnt regulators

To derive further mechanistic insight into the reasons for the selective patterns of functional interaction (Fig.7a, b), the overlap between the functional connectome and protein interactions was investigated. A focused Wnt Protein Interaction Network (PIN) was constructed based on gene lists of 'Wnt regulators' from four screens. These comprised an siRNA screen in the 7df3 cells (submitted manuscript), two siRNA screens in colon cancer [11, 12] and human homologues of the modulators identified in this report (Additional file 8: Table S5). These functionally identified regulators were used to interrogate the STRING protein interaction database [31] (experimental data only) to produce a protein interaction network comprising 699 nodes connected by 1846 links (grey lines). Community detection identified 20 different candidate protein complexes from the set of Wnt modulators (Louvain method for modularity maximization [32]; Fig. 7c, (Additional file 7: Table S3, Additional file 9: Figure S4, Additional file 10: Table S6). 16 of the functional connectome nodes identified in this study were present in the PIN, distributed amongst 8 complexes.

A prospective analysis suggested that there were more links between communities (candidate protein complexes) than within the same communities than would be expected by chance. This analysis compared the PIN community membership of the connectome's links to shuffled versions of the connectome, (Additional file 11: Figure S5). Across the range of available thresholds the Z-score comparison of the actual connectome to the randomized versions was always positive (Additional file 11: Figure S5). This increase in links between communities supports the idea that functional interactions preferentially involved components of different protein complexes.

#### Conclusions

In this report we identified 45 inducers and 96 inhibitors of TCF-dependent transcription using a cDNA expression screen. Known and novel regulators of Wnt signaling were identified. These included Prune, which is amplified in breast cancer [33] and was shown here to



be required for the stabilisation of active  $\beta$ -catenin in MDA-MB231 breast cancer cells. Both inducers and inhibitors altered the absolute level of TCF-dependent

transcription. The importance of the level of Wnt pathway activity has been highlighted by a study correlating discrete thresholds of activation with distinct developmental and



#### (See figure on previous page.)

**Fig. 7** Non-overlapping interaction patterns and protein interaction networks. **a** Data from a subset of the 7df3 network displayed in more detail to highlight an important feature of the network; that functional co-operativity is limited to selected enhancer combinations. **b** Further examples of simple non-overlapping sub-networks. **c** Overlay of the 'functional connectome' on a Wnt PIN. Twenty protein communities (coloured nodes with grey links indicating protein interaction) were identified by modularity maximisation using the Louvain method. To guide the eye the layout algorithm emphasises these communities and only positive functional connections with a link weight greater than 1.5 are shown with opacity reflecting the strength of interaction

oncogenic outcomes [34]. The overexpression (or loss of expression) of these regulators in cancer may modulate the absolute level of Wnt signaling such that levels that are 'just right' for discrete steps in oncogenic progression [35].

The conditional activation of TCF-dependent transcription with  $\Delta$ NLRP prompted an analysis of cooperativity between multiple Wnt regulators. 466 of a possible 1170 pairwise combinations functionally interacted either positively or negatively. A key feature of the functional connectome was the non-overlapping patterns of functional connectivity (Fig. 7b). The mutually exclusive interaction patterns argue that non-overlapping cDNA pairs function via distinct underlying mechanisms. The pattern of interactions observed was termed a 'functional connectome' and can be displayed as a network graph (Fig. 4). Previous studies of Wnt regulators have identified enhancers and repressors of single-gene phenotypes such as APC-dependent tumorigenesis [36], but have examined multi-gene interactions. Analogous not pairwise-interaction studies have been carried out in yeast and Drosophila cells although synthetic lethality was a primary readout [37].

The functional connectome was derived from interactions that were identified in one reporter cell line. Nonetheless, many positive and negative interactions were also observed in the colon cancer cell line HCT116 suggesting that interactions and the lack of interaction may be conserved. Furthermore, functional interactions were restricted to less than 50 % of all potential interactions, suggesting that the network preserves context-dependence that may characterise the diverse array of cellular systems in which Wnt signaling functions. The functional connectome may then best be used as a map of potential interactions that can be used to guide studies based on the expression of network nodes.

#### Highly connected network nodes and the 'core pathway'

An observation from siRNA screens for novel Wnt signaling regulators was that 'core' pathway components were selectively enriched in the small subset of genes that were identified in more than one cell line. The functional connectome can help explain how proteins come to be regarded as 'core' components [1]. The structure of the functional connectome would suggest that a functional interaction between two gene products (A and B) would be observed following gene product 'A' depletion Page 10 of 14

or overexpression only if its interacting partner 'B' were present/expressed. The degree of connectivity of the node would be critical. For example, expression of the transcriptional repressor SNAI2, as a relatively poorly connected node, might only modulate TCF dependent transcription in a cellular background in which one of its cooperating partners was expressed. By contrast changes to CK1ε levels/activity, as a well-connected node, would have a higher probability of altering Wnt signaling due to the greater probability of its interacting partners being expressed. On a probabilistic basis, highly connected, ubiquitously-expressed nodes would be most likely to be identified in multiple cell contexts. It is therefore possible that the concept of a 'core' Wnt pathway, which integrates results from multiple model systems, represents a statistical sample of a subset of highly-connected Wnt signaling nodes.

#### Functional interactions in tumour cells

Fourteen enhancers super-activated TCF dependent transcription when transfected alone into HCT116 colon cancer cells (Fig. 3a, Additional file 4: Table S3). HCT116 cells already have raised levels of TCF-dependent transcription due to an activated  $\beta$ -catenin allele and autocrine Wnt signaling [18]. The promiscuous functional connections observed in HCT116 cells suggest that levels of TCF-dependent transcription may be more easily modulated once the pathway has initially been activated. Once the pathway has been activated by an initial mutation, the availability of many additional mechanisms for further pathway modulation may help melanomas, colon and liver cancers maintain 'just right' levels of Wnt signaling that have been proposed to be important for tumour formation [34, 35].

#### Integrating functional and protein interaction networks

While a detailed mechanistic analysis of the interaction patterns observed is beyond the scope of this study, a rationale for functional connectivity was suggested when overlaps with protein interaction networks were explored (Fig. 7c, Additional file 11: Figure S5). Our analysis supported the hypothesis that functional connections preferentially linked proteins between discrete protein communities rather than proteins within a community [38] (Fig. 7, Additional file 9: Figure S4). As protein communities have been related to multiprotein complexes, and therefore to distinct molecular processes, this supports the idea that functional connections are more likely through alterations to distinct processes.

Protein overexpression can activate or inhibit the function of multiprotein complexes by titrating interacting components. The functional connectome may therefore represent a map of molecular processes that cooperate to regulate TCF-dependent transcription. Although nodes may not be physiological targets, they may nonetheless highlight molecular processes/protein complexes that synergise to generate graded levels of TCF-dependent transcription [34]. Functional connection patterns may also be helpful as a guide to potential mechanisms of action. For example, nodes with identical patterns may act through similar mechanisms. Likewise, similar patterns of activity of therapeutic inhibitors may be used to infer similar mechanisms of action. In the longer-term, the generation of larger networks and additional quantitative readouts should allow a greater level of prediction of context-specific signaling including the multiple novel genetic interactions observed in tumorigenesis [39].

#### Therapeutic targeting of Wnt signaling

The list of novel Wnt pathway regulators identified by this and other screening approaches offer a range of potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Both Prune and HDGF are overexpressed in breast and colon cancers [30, 33, 40]. The phosphodiesterase activity of Prune may be well suited to the development of small molecule inhibitors, whilst antibodies to HDGF may be useful in the treatment of colorectal and liver cancer where it has been shown to act as an extracellular mitogen [30, 41]. H-ras and K-ras are primarily known for their oncogenic activation of MAP kinase signaling. However their identification here as Wnt enhancers is consistent with studies showing that MAP kinase signaling can modulate Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling and may be essential for the expression of Wnt target genes and tumour progression in colorectal cancer [42, 43].

The genetic uniqueness of individual cancers with respect to Wnt/TCF-dependent transcription signaling creates a dilemma for efforts to therapeutically target the pathway. Severe 'off-target' effects are predicted if 'core' pathway components are targeted given the homeostatic requirement for Wnt signaling in multiple tissues [44, 45]. The patterns of cooperativity identified in the functional connectome might be used to suggest combinations of inhibitors that enhance Wnt-specific phenotypic outputs whilst minimizing off-target toxicities [46].

#### Methods

#### Cell culture

All cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5 %  $CO_2$  in DMEM supplemented with 10 % heat inactivated Fetal Calf Serum, 50 units/ml Penicillin, 50 µg/ml Streptomycin

and 0.5 % L-Glutamine 50 mg/ml (all from Gibco). 7df3 cells were maintained under constant antibiotic selection using 200  $\mu$ g/ml hygromycin (Invitrogen) and 3  $\mu$ g/ml blasticidin (Invitrogen). The identity of the SW480 and HCT116 lines was confirmed by DNA fingerprinting.

#### DNA transfections and luminescence assays

Cells were transfected in 96-well format with 100 ng of plasmid DNA comprising CMV-driven cDNAs from the Xenopus Tropicalis library, the normalization control plasmid CMV-lacZ or the 'filler' plasmid pCDNA3.1 that also contains a CMV promoter. Transfection was performed using the Transfectin (BioRad) reagent according to manufacturers instructions. Assays were performed 48 h post transfection. Luciferase and galactosidase assays were performed using the Bright-Glo or Beta-Glo systems (Promega) respectively according to manufacturers instructions and assayed using a FluoStar Optima plate reader (BMG Labtech). β-catenin antibodies were used at 1/1000 dilution and were from BD Transduction Labs (Clone 14) and Merck Millipore (anti-ABC b-catenin clone 8E7). The anti-Flag (clone M2) antibody was from Sigma.

#### Hit selection

Mean reporter activity was normalised as both a fold of the plate mean, and as the number of standard deviations away from the plate mean. The 100 most activating and 100 most inhibiting pools from each analysis were selected for deconvolution. To identify the responsible cDNA(s) within each well, each plasmid was cotransfected with  $\Delta$ NLRP in triplicate. Hits that were significantly different from 36  $\Delta$ NLRP control wells (12 wells/96 well plate) were identified using a student's two-tailed *t*-test (*p* < 0.01).

#### **Network studies**

To identify and investigate synergistic interactions of coexpressed genes on the integrated  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF-reporter present within the 7df3 cells, we adopted a methodology based on that of Mani et al. for enumeration of genetic interaction [47]. Our observable output was a set of luminescence values indicating reporter activity. Luciferase values from individual (A or B) and pairwise (A co-transfected with B) cDNA combination in 7df3 cells (3–6 replicates) were first normalised to CMV-lacZ reporter levels and compared to background reporter activity from cells transfected with the pCDNA3.1 plasmid (n = 6-12 per 96-well plate).

The sets of values under scrutiny when evaluating the synergistic interaction between cDNAs A and B comprise the data obtained when A is expressed in isolation, when B is expressed in isolation and when both A and B are co-expressed. Additionally, we also recorded a

background (control) scenario where no expression plasmid was expressed (other than the co-tranfected CMVlacZ and 'filler' pCDNA3.1 control). We first computed the mean luminescences  $\mu A$ ,  $\mu B$  and  $\mu A$ , B for each cDNA expressed in isolation and the pairwise coexpression (3-6 replicates). The datasets for each individual and the pairwise luminescences were compared to the control luciferase reporter activity. Those datasets that were not statistically significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test; p < 0.01) including those with means higher than background were set to the background level. Datasets significantly different from background but with means lower than background were also set to the background level. This prevented the propagation of anomalies deriving from low-level fluctuations in reporter activity.

A network link weight (strength) for the synergistic interaction between nodes (cDNAs) A and B when coexpressed was then written:

$$W_{a,b} = \log\left(\frac{\mu_{a,b}}{\max(\mu_a,\mu_b)}\right)$$

This enumeration of interaction has a number of salient properties. It prescribes positive link weights for synergistic interactions and negative weights for antagonistic interactions. A synergistic interaction is one in which pairwise co-expressed activity was greater than both individuals (i.e. the presence of one of the cDNAs enhanced the activity of the other). If only one of the pair activated when individually expressed, any increase above this would constitute a positive interaction. Similarly, if neither cDNA led to an increase in reporter activity above background levels when individually expressed, any reporter activity above background levels upon co-expression would constitute a synergistic interaction.

A negative link weight was prescribed for suppressive interactions in which pairwise activity is less than either one of the individuals. Consequently, if two cDNAs were co-expressed and either (or both) was capable of inducing reporter activity individually and the pairwise activity was lower than the maximum individual level, a negative link weight was assigned. The use of the logarithm ensured that the magnitudes of the multiplicative interactions are consistent between positive and negative synergies and that where no enhancement or suppression was observed; a zero edge weight was assigned (see Additional file 12: Supplementary Methods for details of mathematical analyses and model selection).

#### Protein and functional interaction networks

A protein interaction network was generated from the list of Wnt-regulators (Additional file 8: Table S5) and first-degree protein interaction nodes as derived from the STRING database (see main text for details). Community detection algorithms were used to partition protein interaction network members (PIN) into groups of nodes (communities). See Additional file 12: Supplementary methods for further details. The subset of the functional connectome that had proteins in common with the PIN comprised 16.

Each functional connectome link was either between nodes in different PIN communities or lay within a community. To compare the number of connectome links that lay within and between PIN communities, the experimental connectome links were repeatedly randomized amongst the connectome nodes, in a fashion that preserved the degree of individual nodes (Maslov Sneppen method [20]. From this, a distribution of the number of inter-community links was created that was representative of a randomly selected network with the same degree sequence. The observed number of inter-community links in the original connectome was compared to this distribution via a z-score.

We further extended this comparison by considering only the strongest connectome links. We chose a threshold,  $\tau$ , and retained only those connectome links with a weight greater than this threshold before repeating the randomization procedure.

#### Analysis of cDNA inhibitors in cancer cell lines

Candidate inhibitor cDNAs were co-transfected with the TOPflash luciferase reporter in triplicate and were normalised to FOPflash (A control vector corresponding to TOPflash but instead containing scrambled TCF binding sites [2, 3]. A student's two-tailed t-test and a Benjamini-Hochberg corrected significance cut-off of 0.01 was used to identify cDNAs capable of inhibiting TCF-dependent transcription driven by  $\beta$ -catenin mutation in HCT116 cells or by APC deletion (SW480).

#### Functional analysis in Xenopus laevis

*X. laevis* embryos were obtained, cultured and injected as described [1, 3–5]. Experiments were carried out with relevant UK animal licence and ethical approvals. Capped mRNA for injection was prepared from the cDNA using the mMessage mMachine kit (Ambion), and purified using illustra ProbeQuant G-50 Micro Columns (GE Healthcare). RNA was purified and RT-PCR was performed as described [4, 6].

#### **Additional files**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Results of the primary screen. (EPS 2274 kb) Additional file 2: Table S1. List of all cDNAs that modulated TCF dependent transcription. (PDF 31 kb)

Additional fie 3: Table S2. Summary of Xenopus data. (PDF 24 kb)

Additional file 4: Table S3. Assessment of 'Activator' and 'Inhibitor' cDNA function in colon cancer cells. (PDF 52 kb)

Additional file 5: Table S4. Separation of 'enhancers' and 'activators' based on differences from background. (PDF 48 kb)

Additional file 6: Figure S2. Network nodes ordered by degree. (EPS 400 kb)

Additional file 7: Figure S3. h-Prune synergises with super-activators. (EPS 437 kb)

Additional file 8: Table S5. Wnt Regulator List. (PDF 184 kb)

Additional file 9: Figure S4. PINs. (EPS 1226 kb)

Additional file 10: Table S6. Gene and PIN community identifiers. (XLS 34 kb)

Additional file 11: Figure S5. Functional connections are biased towards links between PIN communities. (EPS 249 kb)

Additional file 12: Supplementary methods. (DOC 213 kb)

#### Abbreviations

 $\Delta NLRP:$  a constitutively active form of the Wnt LRP6 co-receptor; PIN: Protein Interaction Network; TNBC: triple negative breast cancer.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

JF carried out the cDNA screening studies and drafted the manuscript. KE and LT carried out the drug testing assays. BL and LS carried out the *Xenopus* embryo studies. DS and NJ carried out the mathematical network analysis MZ and NM generated the reagents required for the analysis of Prune and participated in the associated data analysis. TCD conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank CR-UK C368/A7990 and C368/A4545 and the BBSRC (BB/D00117X/1) for financial support.The authors also acknowledge the following funding: European FP7-TuMIC HEALTH-F2-2008-201662 (MZ), the Italian Association for Cancer research (AIRC) Grant IG # 11963 (MZ) and the Regione Campania L.R:N.5 (MZ).

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3AX, Wales, UK. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, UK. <sup>4</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine and Biotechnology and Centro di Ingegneria Genetica e Biotecnologia Avanzate, Federico II, Via Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy.

#### Received: 20 July 2015 Accepted: 23 November 2015 Published online: 08 December 2015

#### References

- Clevers H, Nusse R. Wnt and beta-catenin signaling and disease. Cell. 2012; 149:1192–205.
- van de Wetering M, Cavallo R, Dooijes D, van Beest M, van Es J, Loureiro J. Armadillo coactivates transcription driven by the product of the Drosophila segment polarity gene dTCF. Cell. 1997;88:789–99.
- Niehrs C, Shen J. Regulation of Lrp6 phosphorylation. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2010; 67:2551–62.
- Samuel LJ, Latinkić BV. Early activation of FGF and nodal pathways mediates cardiac specification independently of Wnt/β-catenin signaling. PLoS One. 2009;4:e7650.
- Network TCGA. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature. 2012;487:330–7.
- Scholer-Dahirel A, Schlabach MR, Loo A, Bagdasarian L, Meyer R, Guo R, et al. Maintenance of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-mutant colorectal cancer is dependent on Wnt/{beta}-catenin signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:17135–40.

- Kestler HA, Kuhl M. From individual Wnt pathways towards a Wnt signalling network. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2008;363:1333–47.
- Ewan K, Pajak B, Stubbs M, Todd H, Barbeau O, Quevedo C, et al. A useful approach to identify novel small-molecule inhibitors of Wnt-dependent transcription. Cancer Res. 2010;70:5963–73.
- 9. DasGupta R, Kaykas A, Moon RT, Perrimon N. Functional Genomic analysis of the Wnt-wingless signaling pathway. Science. 2005;308:826–33.
- Gilchrist MJ, Zorn AM, Voigt J, Smith JC, Papalopulu N, Amaya E. Defining a large set of full-length clones from a Xenopus tropicalis EST project. Dev Biol. 2004;271:498–516.
- Major MB, Roberts BS, Berndt JD, Marine S, Anastas J, Chung N, et al. New regulators of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling revealed by integrative molecular screening. Sci Signal. 2008;1:ra12.
- Tang W, Dodge M, Gundapaneni D, Michnoff C, Roth M, Lum L. A genomewide RNAi screen for Wnt/beta-catenin pathway components identifies unexpected roles for TCF transcription factors in cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:9697–702.
- Ju X, Ishikawa T-O, Naka K, Ito K, Ito Y, Oshima M. Context-dependent activation of Wnt signaling by tumor suppressor RUNX3 in gastric cancer cells. Cancer Sci. 2014;105:418–24.
- D'Angelo A, Garzia L, Andre A, Carotenuto P, Aglio V, Guardiola O, et al. Prune cAMP phosphodiesterase binds nm23-H1 and promotes cancer metastasis. Cancer Cell. 2004;5:137–49.
- Albuquerque C, Breukel C, van der Luijt R, Fidalgo P, Lage P, Slors FJ, et al. The "just-right" signaling model: APC somatic mutations are selected based on a specific level of activation of the beta-catenin signaling cascade. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11:1549–60.
- Carotenuto M, De Antonellis P, Liguori L, Benvenuto G, Magliulo D, Alonzi A, et al. H-Prune through GSK-3β interaction sustains canonical WNT/β-catenin signaling enhancing cancer progression in NSCLC. Oncotarget. 2014;5:5736–49.
- King TD, Suto MJ, Li Y. The wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway: a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of triple negative breast cancer. J Cell Biochem. 2011;113:13–8.
- Bafico A, Liu G, Goldin L, Harris V, Aaronson SA. An autocrine mechanism for constitutive Wnt pathway activation in human cancer cells. Cancer Cell. 2004;6:497–506.
- Conacci-Sorrell M, Simcha I, Ben-Yedidia T, Blechman J, Savagner P, Ben-Ze'ev A. Autoregulation of E-cadherin expression by cadherin-cadherin interactions: the roles of beta-catenin signaling, Slug, and MAPK. J Cell Biol. 2003;163:847–57.
- Maslov S, Sneppen K. Specificity and stability in topology of protein networks. Science. 2002;296:910–3.
- 21. Hochberg Y, Benjamini Y. More powerful procedures for multiple significance testing. Stat Med. 1990;9:811–8.
- Taniguchi N, Caramés B, Kawakami Y, Amendt BA, Komiya S, Lotz M. Chromatin protein HMGB2 regulates articular cartilage surface maintenance via beta-catenin pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:16817–22.
- 23. Tan CW, Gardiner BS, Hirokawa Y, Layton MJ. Wnt signalling pathway parameters for mammalian cells. PLoS One. 2012.
- Gao ZH, Seeling JM, Hill V, Yochum A, Virshup DM. Casein kinase I phosphorylates and destabilizes the beta-catenin degradation complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99:1182–7.
- Garzia L, D'Angelo A, Amoresano A, Knauer SK, Cirulli C, Campanella C, et al. Phosphorylation of nm23-H1 by CKI induces its complex formation with h-prune and promotes cell motility. Oncogene. 2007;27:1853–64.
- Muller T, Stein U, Poletti A, Garzia L, Rothley M, Plaumann D, et al. ASAP1 promotes tumor cell motility and invasiveness, stimulates metastasis formation in vivo, and correlates with poor survival in colorectal cancer patients. Oncogene. 2010;29:2393–403.
- Emami KH, Nguyen C, Ma H, Kim DH, Jeong KW, Eguchi M, et al. A small molecule inhibitor of beta-catenin/cyclic AMP response element-binding protein transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:12682–7.
- Chen B, Dodge ME, Tang W, Lu J, Ma Z, Fan CW, et al. Small moleculemediated disruption of Wnt-dependent signaling in tissue regeneration and cancer. Nat Chem Biol. 2009;5:100–7.
- 29. Porter DC, Farmaki E, Altilia S, Schools GP, West DK, Chen M, et al. Cyclindependent kinase 8 mediates chemotherapy-induced tumor-promoting paracrine activities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:13799–804.
- 30. Lepourcelet M, Tou L, Cai L, Sawada J, Lazar AJ, Glickman JN, et al. Insights into developmental mechanisms and cancers in the mammalian intestine

derived from serial analysis of gene expression and study of the hepatomaderived growth factor (HDGF). Development. 2005;132:415–27.

- Jensen LJ, Kuhn M, Stark M, Chaffron S, Creevey C, Muller J, Doerks T, Julien P, Roth A, Simonovic M, Bork P, Mering von C: STRING 8—a global view on proteins and their functional interactions in 630 organisms. Nucleic Acid Res. 2009, 37:D412–6.
- Blondel VD, Guillaume J-L, Lambiotte R, Lefebvre E. Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. J Stat Mech. 2008;2008:P10008.
- Zollo M, Andre A, Cossu A, Sini MC, D'Angelo A, Marino N, et al. Overexpression of h-prune in breast cancer is correlated with advanced disease status. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:199–205.
- Buchert M, Athineos D, Abud HE, Burke ZD, Faux MC, Samuel MS, et al. Genetic dissection of differential signaling threshold requirements for the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway in vivo. PLoS Genet. 2010;6:e1000816.
- Lucero OM, Dawson DW, Moon RT, Chien AJ. A re-evaluation of the "oncogenic" nature of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in melanoma and other cancers. Curr Oncol Rep. 2010;12:314–8.
- Starr TK, Scott PM, Marsh BM, Zhao L, Than BLN, O'Sullivan MG, et al. A sleeping beauty transposon-mediated screen identifies murine susceptibility genes for adenomatous polyposis coli (Apc)-dependent intestinal tumorigenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:5765–70.
- Kroll ES, Hyland KM, Hieter P, Li JJ. Establishing genetic interactions by a synthetic dosage lethality phenotype. Genetics. 1996;143:95–102.
- Baryshnikova A, Costanzo M, Kim Y, Ding H, Koh J, Toufighi K, et al. Quantitative analysis of fitness and genetic interactions in yeast on a genome scale. Nat Methods. 2010;7:1017–24.
- Wood LD, Parsons DW, Jones S, Lin J, Sjoblom T, Leary RJ, et al. The genomic landscapes of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science. 2007; 318:1108–13.
- Kobayashi T, Hino S, Oue N, Asahara T, Zollo M, Yasui W, et al. Glycogen synthase kinase 3 and h-prune regulate cell migration by modulating focal adhesions. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26:898–911.
- Yu Y, Shen H, Yu H, Zhong F, Zhang Y, Zhang C, et al. Systematic proteomic analysis of human hepotacellular carcinoma cells reveals molecular pathways and networks involved in metastasis. Mol Biosyst. 2011;7:1908–16.
- Kim D, Rath O, Kolch W, Cho KH. A hidden oncogenic positive feedback loop caused by crosstalk between Wnt and ERK pathways. Oncogene. 2007; 26:4571–9.
- Phelps RA, Chidester S, Dehghanizadeh S, Phelps J, Sandoval IT, Rai K, et al. A two-step model for colon adenoma initiation and progression caused by APC loss. Cell. 2009;137:623–34.
- Kahn M. Can we safely target the WNT pathway? Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2014;13:513–32.
- Fevr T, Robine S, Louvard D, Huelsken J. Wnt/beta-catenin is essential for intestinal homeostasis and maintenance of intestinal stem cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27:7551–9.
- Rudge F, Dale TC. Therapeutic Targeting of the Wnt Signaling Network. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley; 2014. p. 421–45. doi:10.1002/9781118444122.ch32
- 47. Mani R, St Onge RP, Hartman JL, Giaever G, Roth FP. Defining genetic interaction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:3461–6.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- · Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

