

Parra-Henao, G; Cardona, , S; Quirs-Gmez, O; Angulo, V; Alexander, N (2015) House-Level Risk Factors for Triatoma dimidiata Infestation in Colombia. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 92 (1). pp. 193-200. ISSN 0002-9637 DOI: https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0273

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2017878/

DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.14-0273

Usage Guidelines

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

House-Level Risk Factors for Triatoma dimidiata Infestation in Colombia

Gabriel Parra-Henao,* Ángela Segura Cardona, Oscar Quirós-Gómez, Víctor Angulo, and Neal Alexander

Red Chagas, Bogotá, Colombia; Instituto Colombiano de Medicina Tropical, Medellín, Colombia; Grupo de Epidemiología y Bioestadística, Universidad CES, Medellín, Colombia; Centro de Investigación en Enfermedades Tropicales, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia; London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Abstract. In Colombia, the main vectors of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas disease, are *Rhodnius prolixus* and *Triatoma dimidiata*. *T. dimidiata* is present in the east region of Colombia as domestic, peridomestic, and sylvatic populations, resulting in difficulties for its control. A cost-effective way to prioritize houses for treatment is to stratify houses based on risk factors. In this study, risk factors were evaluated for potential associations with domicile infestation of *T. dimidiata*. There was an increased likelihood of domestic infestation associated with the presence of mixed roofs (odds ratio [OR] = 36.14, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] = 12.21–106.97), cats (OR = 3.94, 95% CI = 1.36-11.38), rock piles (OR = 5.28, 95% CI = 1.64-16.98), and bushes with height above 10 m (OR = 11.21, 95% CI = 2.08-60.45). These factors could be used to target surveillance and control of *T. dimidiata* to houses with an increased risk of being infested.

INTRODUCTION

Chagas disease, caused by *Trypanosoma cruzi*, is one of Latin America's highest burden diseases; almost all of the 8–9 million cases occur in poor rural and, increasingly, new urban and periurban areas of Latin America, where the burden of disease is between 5 and 10 times greater than malaria.^{1,2} The most updated statistics in Colombia show that 436,000 people are infected (prevalence rate = 0.96%), 131,474 persons have cardiopaty, 5,250 cases caused by vectorial transmission are found each year, 1,000 new cases of congenital Chagas disease are also found each year, 107,800 women with ages ranging between 15 to 44 years old are infected, and finally, 4,792,000 people are at risk.³

In Colombia, there are 26 recorded triatomine species, of which *Rhodnius prolixus*, *Triatoma dimidiata*, *T. maculata*, and *T. venosa* have been registered in domiciles and peridomiciles.⁴ *R. prolixus* is the main vector, but *T. dimidiata* is also important in the rural transmission of *T. cruzi* and considered the secondary vector in the country.^{5,6} The Chagas Disease Control Program (CDCP) carried out in 1998–1999 in 79 municipalities and 205 villages showed that *T. dimidiata* was widespread in 13 departments that were both endemic and non-endemic for Chagas disease.⁷

The species has been found in dry and humid forests from sea level to about 2,000 m above sea level.^{8,9} Its natural microenvironments include caves, palm trees, rock piles, hollow trees, and opossum nests.^{9,10} Regarding its distribution in the country, T. dimidiata is found in domestic, peridomestic, and sylvatic environments. Populations from the northwest of the country (Caribbean plains) are restricted to palm tree habitats, and domestic involvement is limited to sporadic visits because of attraction by light. However, populations from the east region of the country (Boyacá and Santander states) present a complex epidemiological distribution, including sylvatic, peridomestic, and domiciliated ecotopes. For this reason, T. dimidiata is considered native and widespread throughout the country in extradomestic habitats, and therefore, elimination is almost impossible. Continuous monitoring and cost-effective targeting of control will be necessary to

*Address correspondence to Gabriel Parra-Henao, Red Chagas Colombia, Avenue Calle 26 No 51-20, Bogotá, Colombia. E-mail: gparrahenao@gmail.com maintain domiciles free of infestation (Parra-Henao G and others, unpublished data).

Previous studies, mainly in Central America, have shown the importance of housing characteristics for T. dimidiata infestation, with houses with poor sanitary conditions, dirt floors, and tile roofs being more commonly infested.8,11-17 A study carried out in Colombia to evaluate the house-level risk factors for triatomine infestation showed that domestic infestation is associated with over seven inhabitants (odds ratio [OR] = 1.24, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] = 1.11-1.39), overhead storage space (OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 1.03-1.32), a grain shed (OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 1.02-1.52), and the presence of cats (OR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.14-1.42).¹⁸ In Colombia, T. dimidiata has a great capacity to adapt to different environments, including sylvatic ecotypes, such as caves, rocks, tree holes, palms, and vertebrates' nests, in wet and dry zones and over a wide altitudinal range. It tends to inhabit urban and periurban areas because of its attraction to lights.⁶ In areas of Colombia where Chagas disease is endemic mainly the eastern departments of Boyacá, Santander, Casanare, and Cundinamarca -T. *dimidiata* can generally be found in rural houses and peridomestic structures, establishing colonies hidden in adobe walls, under loose plaster, behind furniture, behind pictures, and in various peridomestic structures, such as chicken coops, caneys (peridomestic structures for drying tobacco leaves), and rock piles (usually accumulated in the course of land improvement).

House-level risk factors for triatomine infestation in Colombia have concentrated on R. prolixus.^{18,19} Although T. dimidiata is considered the secondary Chagas disease vector in Colombia, information about risk factors for domestic and peridomestic infestation is scarce and was obtained from the CDCP directed to six triatominae species; more accurate information regarding its behavior and risk factors for infestation is needed. Risk factors may vary spatially between regions because of variation in human and vector behavior, ecology, and environmental factors. This local knowledge can then be used to more effectively target houses for vector control and also, determine house characteristics that could be prioritized in house improvement programs.¹⁸ To better understand the risk of house infestation by T. dimidiata in Colombia, a large entomologic survey was done in 8 of 32 departments of the country, a total of 18 municipalities, and 44 villages. The identification of risk factors will be fundamental to the development of vector control interventions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area. Field work was carried out from October of 2006 to June of 2008 in a broad area of the country that is located between latitudes 72° and 76° W and longitudes 2° and 11° N. The area includes the Colombian departments of Antioquia, Bolívar, Boyacá, Cesar, Magdalena, Santander, Sucre, and Huila (Figure 1). The departments were selected according to the known distribution of T. dimidiata in the country. We selected these departments, because they represent the main ecosystems in which T. dimidiata was found and fit our budget. The predominant Holdridge life zones are tropical wet forest in Antioquia, Santander, and Magdalena departments (western and central regions of the country), tropical dry forest in Sucre and Bolivar departments (western region of the country), lower montane wet forest and tropical dry forest in Boyacá and Santander departments (eastern region of the country), and finally, tropical wet forest and tropical dry forest in the southern region of the country (Huila department).²⁰ The localities included are rural settlements where people cleared forested areas for raising crops and cattle. The peridomestic areas are characterized by chicken coops, cow sheds, and caneys.



FIGURE 1. Geographic distribution of sampling sites.

Sample selection. A cross-sectional survey was carried out at house level to investigate the role of potential risk factors for house infestation (indoor and outdoor) by *T. dimidiata* in a sample of the houses in the municipalities and villages where *T. dimidiata* has been reported. The dwellings were randomly selected from a pre-existing list of houses obtained from the health office of each municipality. *T. dimidiata* in Colombia is distributed in nine biogeographic zones; required sample sizes for houses in each zone were calculated assuming 5% infestation indices (error = 5%; confidence = 95%) determined by previous surveys.¹⁸ Overall, 500 houses in nine zones were selected, and 63 houses were sampled for each department.

Collection of data for risk factor analysis. The domiciliary unit was divided into two areas: indoor (bedrooms, kitchen, and living area) and peridomestic (all outbuildings present around the house). Each locality was visited initially to inform residents about the objectives and activities of the study. Each household received educational leaflets describing Chagas disease signs and symptoms, transmission patterns, and the role of vectors. To identify risk factors for *T. dimidiata* infestation, a questionnaire was completed by interviewing an adult resident, and direct observation was made of variables related to housing materials (walls, roof, and floor), domestic animals (presence of dogs, cats, chickens, etc.), outbuildings (overhead storage, chicken coop, and grain shed), presence of vegetation (bushes, trees, and palm trees) around the houses, and domestic use of insecticides.

Triatomine collections. Presence or absence of bugs in each residence was assessed through systematic searches for adult or nymph triatomines. We used standard methods for sampling bugs in each type of habitat intradomicile and peridomicile and calculated the infestation, colonization, and infection and dispersion indices.⁵ During each house survey visit performed by a pair of collectors, indoor and outdoor niches were searched for 30 minutes each. We recorded the presence and site of bugs (inside or outside the house), time of collection, and movement of furniture or outdoor materials for bug searching. A flashlight was used to help see into cracks and crevices throughout the fabric of buildings, behind pictures on the walls, behind furniture, in closets, and especially, under bedding material.

From each house, all of the bugs collected alive and dead (adults and nymphs) were placed in plastic tubes (separately for intradomicile and peridomicile samples) numbered with the house code and collection site. Bugs were transported to the laboratory, registered, and identified using taxonomic keys.²¹ The numbers, species, and stages of bugs obtained from each house were recorded on laboratory forms.

Detection of infection by *T. cruzi* in wild triatomines. Collected insects were examined for *T. cruzi* infection. Fecal material was obtained through abdominal pressure or after meals. Feces from each insect were diluted in 500 μ L sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.2). One aliquot was examined through direct observation with an optical microscope; the remaining fecal sample was conserved for posterior verification of *T. cruzi* infection when the other tests were positive. Blood parasites were diagnosed as *T. cruzi* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis. DNA was isolated from feces by the DNAzol[®] Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) method following the manufacturer's instructions. *T. cruzi* was identified by amplification of kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) minicircles and intergenic spacer of miniexon gene using the S35 and S36 primers.^{22,23}

Calculation of entomological indices. The domiciliary infestation index was calculated by the quotient between the numbers of infested houses and the total numbers of examined houses. The colonization index was calculated as the result of dividing the number of houses with nymphs by the total number of houses positive for triatomines. The infection index was calculated by the quotient between the numbers of triatomines infected with *T. cruzi* and the total numbers of triatomines evaluated. The dispersion index was calculated as the quotient of the number of localities infested with triatomines by the whole of localities examined.

Data analysis. To identify risk factors for house infestation by *T. dimidiate*, we performed bivariate analyses: χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for nominal variables and Student's *t* tests for continuous variables. ORs were also calculated to estimate the magnitude of the association with house infestation. Statistically significant (*P* < 0.05) variables were then fitted in logistic regression models for multivariable analysis of risk factors. Variables with *P* values of less than 0.1 were retained in the final multivariable model. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA, version 10 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Ethical considerations. The ethical committee of the Colombian Institute of Tropical Medicine approved the research protocol through Resolution 31. Informed consent was obtained from each head of household.

RESULTS

In total, 525 houses (5% more than the calculated sample size) in the eight selected departments were surveyed for T. dimidiata (Figure 1). Here, we describe only the findings for T. dimidiata. Infestation was detected in four departments, 10 municipalities, and 17 villages. The proportion of indoor infested house was 8.8% (46 of 525), and the proportion of infested peridomiciles was 6.3% (33 of 525). From the collected T. dimidiata, 33% were infected with T. cruzi. The highest entomological indices were found in the east region of the country (Boyacá and Santander departments), which shown in Table 1, and the lowest indices were found in the northwest region of the country (Antioquia, Sucre, and Magdalena departments). House walls were generally of wood (25.1%), cement blocks (25%), adobe (8.6%), or bahareque (earth or mud applied to a layer of wood or cane; 23.6%); they were fully plastered in 23.6% of houses, unplastered in 29.1% of houses, and partially plastered in 16.6% of houses. Roofs were generally corrugated metal sheets (54.9%), palm leaves (23.8%), or a combination (14.9%). House floors were made of concrete (51.8%), earth (40.2%), or a combination (5.9%).

Domestic animals were found in 86.1% of houses, with the most common being chickens (81.1%), dogs (78.9%), and cats (52.2%). Wild animals, such as rats, were found indoors and outdoors (28.4% and 26.1%, respectively), and 26.8% of the houses had palm trees, mainly within a 10-m radius. Bushes of height less than 10 m were observed near 69.6% of the houses, and this vegetation predominates within a radius of 10 m. Trees higher than 10 m were found near 67.9% of the houses, mainly at a distance of 10-30 m.

Entomological indices. The highest indices of domiciliary infestation, colonization, infection, and dispersion by *T. dimidiata* were found in the eastern region of Colombia (Santander and Boyacá departments), the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada of Santa Marta (Seynimen village), and

Department, municipality, and village	Infestation (%)	Colonization (%)	Natural infection (%)	Dispersion (%)
Santander				
Capitanejo				
Chorreras	68.7	12.5	20.6	100
Macaravita				
Buraga	9.8	9.8	50	100
San Vicente				
El Peltrecho	4.4	0	0	75
Granada	13.5	0	25	
Pradera	33	0	0	
El Carmen				
Cirales	7.7	0	100	16
Boyacá				
Soatá				
El Espinal	60	20	10	75
El Hatillo	22	22	0	
La Costa	12.5	12.5	15.4	
Tipacoque				
Nogal	33	0	0	75
Bavatá	25	25	20	
Ovachía	33	100	0	
Huila				
El Agrado				
Remolinos	10	0	0	100
Pital				
San Joaquín	9.09	0	0	100
Arrayán	54.5	0	0	
Gigante				
Río Loro	40	0	0	50
Cesar				
Valledupar				
Seynimen	11.3	7.5	4	100

TABLE 1 Entomological indices for T dimidiate in Colombia

Infestation is the proportion of infested houses with triatomines. Colonization is the proportion of houses with triatomine nymph or eggs. Natural infection is the proportion of *T. cruzi*-infected triatomines. Dispersion is the proportion of villages with triatomines.

the upper Magdalena (Huila department) (Table 1). In the eastern region of the country (Boyacá and Santander departments), domestic infestation indices vary from 9.8% to 68.7%, the colonization index of houses varies from 9.8% to 22%, the dispersion index varies from 75% to 100%, and the infection index of T. dimidiata varies from 20% to 100%. In Seynimen, we found that those indices were lower. To the south of the country, in Huila department, the index of domiciliary infestation fluctuated between 9% and 54%, but the colonization index in all of the villages was zero, showing that insects visit peridomicles and extradomiciles but do not establish colonies inside houses. In those regions, the predominant life zones were humid premontane forest and dry tropical forest with altitudes from 300 to 2,300 m above sea level. The main habitats where T. dimidiata was found were houses followed by rock piles around the houses and rock caves in the extradomicile.

Intradomiciliary infestation. Among variables associated with the intradomiciliary presence of *T. dimidiata* by bivariable analysis, walls of adobe or bahareque and mixed roofs were associated with a 7- to 27-fold increase in risk, and domestic animals were associated with an 8-fold increase in risk.

In terms of intradomicilary presence of *T. dimidiata*, houses with bahareque walls were found to have 13.2 times the infestation risk of those with block or brick walls (95% CI = 3.9-44.3) (Table 2). The corresponding OR for adobe was 6.6 (95% CI = 1.6-24.4). Houses with mixed-material roofs had 27 times the infestation risk of those with zinc roofs (95% CI = 12.0-59.1). No statistically significant differences between floor types were found (Table 2). The presence of domestic

Variable	Ν	With triatomines (%)	OR (95% CI)	χ^2 (<i>P</i> value)
Wall type				61.16 (< 0.0001)
Adobe	45	6 (13.3)	6.56 (1.57-27.47)	
Bahareque	124	29 (23.4)	13.2 (3.85–44.30)	
Brick/block*	131	3 (2.3)	1	
Wood	132	0 (0.0)	0	
Combination	48	8 (16.7)	8.53 (2.16-33.70)	
Plastering				
Full*	124	19 (15.3)	1	5.99
Partial	87	14 (16.1)	1.06 (0.50-2.25)	0.05
No plaster	153	11 (7.2)	0.43 (0.20-0.94)	
Roof				
Zinc*	288	9 (3.1)	1	164.48 (< 0.0001)
Palm	125	0 (0.0)	0	,
Tiles	9	0 (0.0)	0	
Combination	78	36 (46.2)	26.57 (11.95-59.09)	
Floor				28.09 (< 0.001)
Mud	211	2 (0.9)	0.06 (0.01-0.25)	
Cement*	272	38 (13.9)	1	
Other	5	1 (20.0)	1.54 (0.17–14.15)	
Combination	31	5 (16.1)	1.18 (0.43–3.27)	
Wood	1	0 (0.0)	0	
Number of bushes with height < 10 m				43.8 (< 0.0001)
Absence*	160	14 (8.8)	1	
1–10	193	5 (2.6)	0.28 (0.10-0.79)	
10-30	96	6 (6.3)	0.7 (0.26–1.87)	
> 30	76	21 (27.6)	3.98 (1.89-8.38)	
Number of bushes with height $> 10 \text{ m}$				54.5 (< 0.0001)
Absence*	168	8 (4.8)	1	
1–10	126	2 (1.6)	0.32 (0.07-1.55)	
10-30	132	9 (6.8)	1.46 (0.55–3.9)	
> 30	99	27 (27.3)	7.5 (3.25–17.31)	

 TABLE 2

 Selected results of bivariate analyses of associations between infestation and exposure variables in intradomicile

received category.

animals was associated with an eightfold increased risk of intradomiciliary *T. dimidiata* (95% CI = 1.1-58.7). More specifically, cats were associated with an OR of 2.2 (95% CI = 1.2-4.3), chickens were associated with an OR of 5.6 (95% CI = 1.1-58.7), and dogs were associated with an OR of 13.4

(95% CI = 1.8-98.4) (Table 3). With respect to annexes, the rock piles did not have statistical significance.

In multivariable analysis, we found that, for every 1 house infested with T. *dimidiate* with a roof of zinc, there were 36 houses with a mixed roof infested with T. *dimidiata*

TABLE 3

ORs	and 95%	CIs fo	r the	presence	of t	riatomines	in i	ntrad	omicile	e accord	ling	to t	he	presence	of	domestic	anima	ls
-----	---------	--------	-------	----------	------	------------	------	-------	---------	----------	------	------	----	----------	----	----------	-------	----

52 73 26	45 (9.9) 1 (1.4)	7.96 (1.08–58.67) 1	5.8 (0.016)
52 73 26	45 (9.9) 1 (1.4)	7.96 (1.08–58.67) 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
73 26	1 (1.4)	1	
26			
26			6.94 (0.008)
20	44 (10.3)	5.59 (1.33-23.45)	· · · · ·
99	2 (2.0)	1	
			10.88 (0.001)
14	45 (10.9)	13.41 (1.83–98.43)	· · · · ·
11	1(0.9)	1	
			6.1 (0.014)
74	32 (11.7)	2.24(1.16-4.30)	()
51	14 (5.6)	1	
			9.8 (0.002)
20	2 (1.7)	0.14(0.03-0.58)	
05	44 (10.9)	1	
			0.002(0.968)
58	5 (8.6)	0.98(0.37 - 2.59)	(
67	41 (8.8)	1	
			1 (0.317)
10	7 (6.4)	0.66(0.28 - 1.51)	
15	39 (9.4)	1	
53	6 (11.3)	1.38(0.56-3.42)	† (0.446)
72	40 (8.5)	1	, ()
	26 99 14 11 74 51 20 05 58 67 10 15 53 72	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

†Fisher exact test.

TABLE 4 ORs (95% CIs) of risk factors associated with intradomiciliary infestation in multivariable analysis

Variable	OR (95% CI) adjusted
Roof type	
Zinc	1
Palm	0
Tiles	0
Combination	36.14 (12.21-63)
Domestic animals	~ /
Cats	3.94 (1.36-11.38)
Number of bushes with height < 10 m	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Absence	1
1–10	0.08 (0.01-0.54)
10-30	0.12 (0.02–0.68)
> 30	0.4 (0.07–2.19)
Number of bushes with height $> 10 \text{ m}$	~ /
Absence	1
1–10	1.36(0.14-13.01)
10-30	7.44 (1.12-49.53)
> 30	11.21 (2.08–60.45)

(OR = 36.14, 95% CI = 12.21-106.97); for every 1 house without cats infested by *T. dimidiata*, there were 3.94 houses with cats infested by *T. dimidiata* (95% CI = 1.36-11.38) (Table 4).

Peridomiciliary infestation. The presence of *T. dimidiata* in the peridomiciliary area was examined in relation to characteristics, such as presence of domestic and wild animals, outhouses, vegetation, and building construction materials. It was found to be associated with presence of dogs (OR = 4.4, 95% CI = 1.04-18.7), cats (OR = 3.1, 95% CI = 1.4-6.9), goats (OR = 2.8, 95% CI = 1.2-6.6), and cows (OR = 2.3, 95% CI = 1.4-6.9). There was no association with rats (P = 0.57). In terms of outhouses, the presence of caneys was associated with an OR of 5.0 (95% CI = 1.9-13.4). With respect to building construction materials, adobe (OR = 13.8, 95% CI = 3.7-52.2) and bahareque (OR = 3.7, 95% CI = 1.01-13.9) walls and mixed roofs (OR = 2.7, 95% CI = 1.3-5.9) were all associated. No statistically significant associations were found

TABLE 5
ORs and 95% CIs for the peridomestic presence of triatomines according to presence of annexes

	With tr	iatomines				
Variable	Yes	No	OR (95% CI)	χ^2 (<i>P</i> value)		
Barn				<i>†</i> (0.011)		
Yes	2	1	31.68 (2.8-35.1)			
No*	31	491	1			
Caney				† (0.004)		
Yes	3	3	16.30 (3.15-22.22)			
No*	30	489	1			
Trapiche				† (0.034)		
Yes	2	3	10.52 (1.69–15.27)			
No*	31	489	1			
Rock piles				$\dagger (0.007)$		
Yes	3	4	12.2 (2.61–27.0)			
No*	30	488	1			
Wall type				32.21 (< 0.001)		
Brick/block*	3	128	1			
Bahareque	10	114	3.74 (1.01–13.94)			
Adobe	11	34	13.8 (3.65–52.27)			
Wood	4	128	1.33 (0.29-6.08)			
Mud	2	29	2.94 (0.47–18.42)			
Combination	3	45	2.84 (0.55–14.6)	12.09 (0.017)		
Floor						
Cement*	25	247	1			
Mud	4	207	0.19 (0.07–0.56)			
Other	0	5	NC			
Combination	3	28	1.06 (0.30–3.73)			
Wood	0	1	NC			
Reported presence of rats				0.323 (0.57)		
Yes	10	127	1.25 (0.58–2.7)			
No*	23	365	1			
Palm trees number				2.84 (0.417)		
Absence*	25	359	1			
1–10	5	106	0.68 (0.25–1.81)			
10-30	1	17	0.84 (0.11–6.61)			
> 30	2	10	2.87 (0.60–13.82)			
Number of bushes < 10 m			_	11.77 (0.008)		
Absence*	15	145	1			
1-10	7	186	0.36 (0.14–0.92)			
10-30	2	94	0.21 (0.05–0.92)			
> 30	9	67	1.30 (0.54–3.12)			
Number of bushes $> 10 \text{ m}$	16	1.50		10.00 (0.01.1)		
Absence*	16	152	1	10.63 (0.014)		
1-10	1	25	0.08 (0.01–0.58)			
10-30	11	121	0.86 (0.39–1.93)			
> 30	5	94	0.51 (0.18–1.42)			

NC = not calculated.

*Reference category. †Fisher exact test.

TABLE 6 ORs and 95% CIs of risk factors associated with peridomestic

infestation in multivariable analysi	S					
Variable	OR (95% CI) adjusted					
Wall type						
Brick/block	1					
Bahareque	51.69 (6.44-61.96)					
Adobe	42.37 (5.81–50.66)					
Wood	7.49 (1.04–33.81)					
Mud	39.09 (2.66-53.74)					
Combination	6.12 (0.55–28.18)					
Floor						
Cement	1					
Mud	0.03 (0.01-0.11)					
Other	NC					
Combination	0.66 (0.09-4.68)					
Wood	NC					
Reported presence of rats	0.2 (0.05–0.76)					
Annexes						
Caney	8.62 (1.36-34.52)					
Palm trees number						
Absence	1					
1–10	1.32 (0.29-6.06)					
10–30	1.8 (0.11-30.71)					
> 30	80.04 (61.92–155.58)					
Number of bushes < 10 m						
Absence	1					
1–10	0.45 (0.05-3.82)					
10-30	0.05 (0-0.78)					
> 30	1.06 (0.13-8.67)					
Number of bushes $> 10 \text{ m}$						
Absence	1					
1–10	0.04 (0-0.59)					
10–30	0.53 (0.07–3.83)					
> 30	0.07 (0.01–0.55)					
Rock piles	27.93 (6.52–49.69)					

NC = not calculated.

with other kinds of outhouses or the presence of palm trees or bushes.

For peridomiciliary infestation, we found that barn, caneys, trapiches (cane mills), and rock piles increased the risk by 11- to 32-fold (Table 5). In the multivariable model, wall material, annexes, numbers of palm trees, and rock piles showed independent associations with infestation. We found that, for every 1 house with block walls and the presence of T. dimidiate, there were 52 houses with bahareque walls infested by this species (OR = 51.69, 95% CI = 6.44-414.96), 42 houses with adobe walls infested by this species (OR = 42.4, 95% CI = 5.8-50.7), and 39 houses with mud walls infested with this species (OR = 39.09, 95% CI = 2.66-573.74). For every one house without caneys as annexes infested by T. dimidiate, we found nine houses with this type of annex infested by T. dimidiata (95% CI = 1.36-54.52). For rock piles, we found that, for every 1 house without rock piles infested by T. dimidiata, there were 28 houses with rock piles infested by T. dimidiata (95% CI = 6.52-119.69) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

This geographically extensive study overlapped the distribution of the Chagas disease vector *T. dimidiata* in Colombia. Entomological infestation indices—colonization, dispersion, and infection—tended to be higher toward the east of the country, where there is also higher seroprevalence of *T. cruzi* in humans.²⁴ Previous studies found that, in villages with an

infestation rate of approximately 14.3%, natural infection index of 5.55%, and colonization index of 34.8%, there was a *T. cruzi* seropositivity among inhabitants of 2%.²⁵ However, according to epidemiological context, it is possible to find contrasting results, because in the study carried out in Pedro Carbo (province of Guayas, Ecuador), an investigator found a natural infection index of 46.3% but seropositivity of 0.5%.²⁶

This study indicates that the villages of Capitanejo and Pradera (Santander department), El Espinal, Nogal-Carrera, Bavata, and Ovachia (Boyacá department), and Arrayan and Rio Loro (Huila department) are, therefore, in urgent need of *T. dimidiata* control. A long-term period of surveillance would be necessary after control interventions in those departments where *T. dimidiata* is native and the possibility of reinvasion into the domestic environment from peridomestic and sylvatic habitats is latent.

In this study, we found statistically significant associations between the probability of infestation with *T. dimidiata* and some anthropogenic characteristics. The probability of infestation increased significantly with the presence of bahareque walls, mixed roofs, domestic animals, peridomiciliary annexes, and rock piles, risk factors that are consistent with previous studies. However, dirt floors had no statistical associations, despite being a recognized risk factor in other studies developed in Central America.^{14,27} The other risk factors identified, including the presence of uncoated bahareque walls and mixed roofs, are well-known indicators of potential triatominae infestation. *T. dimidiata* is known to live in cracks within walls and roof tiles.^{8,11,18,28,29}

In the east of the country, we found a population of *T. dimidiata* that has continuous flux between sylvatic, peridomestic, and domestic populations and has great epidemiological importance. These findings are in agreement with studies on genetic structure of this species, and researchers have concluded that there is low genetic differentiation between these three populations. Also, they have drawn attention to the epidemiological risk of the transmission of Chagas disease by non-domiciliated populations that could colonize human dwellings.⁶ This study provides important information for control programs at the national and departmental levels, specifically in terms of identifying houses at risk of *T. dimidiata* infestation.

The main studies about risk factors of T. dimidiata infestation were done in Central America and reported that houses with poor sanitary conditions, dirt floors, tile roofs, the presence of an abandoned lot or uninhabited house next door, and piles of junk in the yard increased the infestation risk by this species.

Our results identify relationships between specific houselevel characteristics and the probability of domestic and peridomestic infestation. The risk factors identified are consistent with existing knowledge of *T. dimidiata* ecology and both support and add to the list of risk factors identified in previous studies: presence of domestic animals (chickens, dogs, and cats), chicken sheds, caneys, mixed roofs, and rock piles.^{8,11–15}

The results of this study have implications for maximizing surveillance efficiency (identified factors associated with an increased risk of *T. dimidiata* infestation that could potentially be used to prioritize houses for control), which is likely to become increasingly important if control interventions succeed in reducing infestation rates.

The peridomestic areas in our field work zone were made up of a variety of different outbuildings and structures where animals live. These outbuildings are usually made of mud, wood, and palm thatch, providing a wide range of hosts, refuges, and climatic conditions for triatomine populations to develop. The importance of peridomestic buildings in *T. dimidiata* infestations has already been reported from Mexico, and it is primarily associated with an abandoned lot or uninhabited house next door or piles of junk in the yard, which increased the infestation risk by three- to fourfold.¹⁵

Probably, the most important finding of this work is the demonstration that palm trees are a risk factor for domestic infestation with *T. dimidiata*. The effect on house infestation of a high density of palm trees (> 10) within 10 m of the house was apparent, even after adjusting for confounders (notably, the presence of a palm roof) in the multivariate analysis. Detecting high palm tree density as a risk factor for infestation is of epidemiological importance.

In conclusion, we found a high level of *T. dimidiata* colonization in Boyacá and Santander departments; in these areas of the country, control of this species should be prioritized. Identification of factors associated with an increased risk of *T. dimidiata* infestation could be used to target houses for control in a cost-effective way. This study indicates that, in Colombia, stratifying houses by walls and roofs type would enable the targeting of control interventions to those houses at increased risk.

Received May 2, 2014. Accepted for publication September 18, 2014.

Published online October 27, 2014.

Acknowledgments: We thank the health technicians of the eight departments for support in the field work. We also thank Bibiana Castro for work with the databases.

Financial support: Funding for this work was provided by Colciencias Colombia Contracts 410–2005 and 380–2011. N.A. received support from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council and Department for International Development Grant MR/K012126/1.

Authors' addresses: Gabriel Parra-Henao, Red Chagas Colombia, Grupo de Epidemiología y Bioestadística, Universidad CES, Medellín, Colombia, and Instituto Colombiano de Medicina Tropical, Medellín, Colombia, E-mail: gparrahenao@gmail.com. Ángela Segura Cardona and Oscar Quirós-Gómez, Grupo de Epidemiología y Bioestadística, Universidad CES, Medellín, Colombia, E-mails: asegura@ces.edu.co and quiromez@hotmail.com. Víctor Angulo, Centro de Investigación en Enfermedades Tropicales, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia, E-mail: pitorio@hotmail.com. Neal Alexander, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, E-mail: neal.alexander@lshtm.ac.uk.

Reprint requests: Gabriel Parra-Henao, Avenue Calle 26 No 51-20, Bogotá, Colombia, E-mail: gparrahenao@gmail.com.

REFERENCES

- Hotez PJ, Bottazzi ME, Franco-Paredes C, Ault SK, Periago MR, 2008. The neglected tropical diseases in Latin America and the Caribbean: a review of disease burden and distribution and a roadmap for control and elimination. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2: 1–11.
- Franco-Paredes C, Von A, Hidron A, Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Tellez I, Barragán M, Jones D, Náquira CG, Mendez J, 2007. Chagas disease: an impediment in achieving the millenium development goals in Latin America. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights 7*: 1–7.
- 3. Organización Panamericana de la Salud, 2006. Estimación cuantitativa de la enfermedad de Chagas en las Américas.

Organización Panamericana de la Salud. Washington/HDM/ CD/425-06.

- Guhl F, Aguilera G, Pinto N, Vergara D, 2007. Actualización de la distribución geográfica y ecoepidemiología de la fauna de triatominos (Reduviidae: Triatominae) en Colombia. *Biomedica 27 (Suppl 1)*: 143–162.
- World Health Organization, 2002. Control of Chagas Disease. Technical Report Series 905. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1–109.
- Gómez-Palacio A, Triana O, Jaramillo-O N, Dotson EM, Marcet PL, 2013. Eco-geographical differentiation among Colombian populations of the Chagas disease vector *Triatoma dimidiata* (Hemiptera:Reduviidae). *Infect Genet Evol 20*: 352–361.
- Ramirez C, Jaramillo C, Delgado MP, Pinto N, Aguilera G, Guhl F, 2005. Genetic structure of sylvatic, peridomestic and domestic populations of *Triatoma dimidiata* (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) from and endemic zone of Boyacá, Colombia. *Acta Trop 93*: 23–29.
- Bustamante DM, Monroy C, Pineda S, Rodas A, Castro X, Ayala V, Quiñones J, Moguel B, Trampe R, 2009. Risk factors for intradomiciliary infestation by the Chagas desease vector *Triatoma dimidiata* in Jutiapa, Guatemala. *Cad Saude Publica* 25: 83–92.
- Guhl F, Aguilera G, Pinto N, Vergara D, 2007. Updated geographical distribution and ecoepidemiology of the triatomine fauna (Reduviidae: Triatominae) in Colombia. *Biomedica* 27: 143–162.
- Dorn PL, Monroy C, Curtis A, 2006. *Triatoma dimidiata* (Latreille, 1811): a review of its diversity across its geographical range and the relationship among populations. *Infect Genet Evol* 7: 343–352.
- Starr MD, Rojas JC, Zeledon R, Hird DW, Carpenter TE, 1991. Chagas' disease: risk factors for house infestation by *Triatoma dimidiata*, the major vector of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Costa Rica. *Am J Epidemiol 133*: 740–747.
- Dumonteil E, Gourbiere S, Barrera-Perez M, Rodriguez-Felix E, Ruiz-Piña H, Baños-Lopez O, Ramirez-Sierra MJ, Menu F, Rabinovich J, 2002. Geographic distribution of *Triatoma dimidiata* and transmission dynamics of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in the Yucatan península of Mexico. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 67: 176–183.
- Salazar-Schettino PM, Arteaga I, Cabrera M, 2005. Tres especies de triatominos y su importancia como vectores de *Trypano*soma cruzi en México. Medicina (B Aires) 65: 63–69.
- Zeledón R, Calvo N, Montenegro V, Seixas E, Arevalo C, 2005. A survey on *Triatoma dimidiata* in an urban area of the province of Heredia, Costa Rica. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 100:* 607–612.
- Guzmán-Tapia Y, Ramírez-Sierra MJ, Dumonteil E, 2007. Urban infestation by *Triatoma dimidiata* in the city of Merida, Yucatan, Mexico. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis 7:* 597–606.
- 16. King R, Cordon-Rosales C, Cox J, Davies C, Kitron U, 2011. *Triatoma dimidiata* infestation in Chagas disease endemic regions of Guatemala: comparison of random and targeted cross-sectional surveys. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis 5*: e1035.
- Medina-Torres I, Vásquez-Chagoyán J, Rodriguez-Vivas R, Montes de Oca-Jiménez R, 2010. Risk factors associated with triatomines and its infection with *Trypanosoma cruzi* in rural communities form southern region of the state of Mexico, Mexico. Am J Trop Med Hyg 82: 49–54.
- Campbell-Lendrum D, Angulo VM, Esteban L, Tarazona Z, Parra GJ, Restrepo M, Restrepo BN, Guhl F, Pinto N, Aguilera G, Wilkerson P, Davies C, 2007. House-level risk factors for triatominae infestation in Colombia. *Int J Epidemiol* 14: 1–7.
- Angulo VM, Muñoz G, Tarazona Z, 1993. Factores de riesgo de la vivienda para infestación domiciliaria por triatomineos. *Biomedica* 13(Suppl 1): 124–125.
- Holdridge L, 1978. Écología: Basada en zonas de vida. Traducido al español por E. Jiménez Saa. San José, Costa Rica: Instituto Interamericano de Cooperación Para la Agricultura, 1–216.
- Lent H, Wygodzinsky P, 1979. Revision of the Triatominae (Hemiptera, Reduviidae), and their significance as vectors of Chagas disease. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 163: 125–516.

- Souto RP, Fernandes O, Macedo AM, Campbell DA, Zingales B, 1996. DNA markers define two major phylogenetic lineages of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Mol Biochem Parasitol 83: 141–152.
- 23. Sturm NR, Degrave W, Morel C, Simpson L, 1989. Sensitive detection and schizodeme classification of *Trypanosoma cruzi* cells by amplification of kinetoplast minicircle DNA sequences: use in diagnosis of Chagas' disease. *Mol Biochem Parasitol 33:* 205–214.
- Guhl F, Restrepo M, Angulo VM, Antunes CM, Campbell-Lendrum D, Davies C, 2005. Lessons from a national survey of Chagas disease transmission risk in Colombia. *Trends Parasitol* 21: 259–262.
- Becerril-Flores M, Rangel-Flores E, Imbert-Palafox J, Gómez-Gómez J, Figueroa Gutiérrez A, 2007. Human infection and risk of transmission of Chagas disease in Hidalgo state, México. Am J Trop Med Hyg 76: 318–323.
- 26. Guevara A, Garzón E, Bowen C, Córdova X, Gómez E, Ouaissi A, 2005. High infection rates of *Triatoma dimidiata* are associated with low levels of *Trypanosoma cruzi* seroprevalence in Pedro Carbo, Ecuador. Use of a tc24 gene-based PCR approach. *Parasite 12:* 65–68.
- Zeledon R, Zuñiga A, Swartzwelder J, 1969. The camouflage of *Triatoma dimidiate* and the epidemiology of Chagas disease in Costa Rica. *Bol Chil Parasitol 24*: 106–108.
- Nakagawa J, Cordon-Rosales C, Juarez J, Itzep C, Nonami T, 2003. Impact of residual spraying on *Rhodnius prolixus* and *Triatoma dimidiata* in the department of Zacapa in Guatemala. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 98:* 277–281.
- Tabaru Y, Monroy C, Rodas A, Mejía M, Rosales R, 1999. The geographical distribution of vectors of Chagas disease and population at risk of infestation in Guatemala. *Med Entomol Zool* 50: 3–8.