

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Peeters Grietens, K; Ribera, JM; Erhart, A; Hoibak, S; Ravinetto, RM; Gryseels, C; Dierickx, S; O'Neill, S; Muela, SH; D'Alessandro, U (2014) Doctors and Vampires in Sub-Saharan Africa: Ethical Challenges in Clinical Trial Research. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. ISSN 0002-9637 DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.13-0630

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/1701442/>

DOI: [10.4269/ajtmh.13-0630](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.13-0630)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

Perspective Piece

Doctors and Vampires in Sub-Saharan Africa: Ethical Challenges in Clinical Trial Research

Koen Peeters Grietens,* Joan Muela Ribera, Annette Erhart, Sarah Hoibak, Raffaella M. Ravinetto, Charlotte Gryseels, Susan Dierickx, Sarah O'Neill, Susanna Hausmann Muela, and Umberto D'Alessandro

Department of Public Health, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; School of International Health Development, Nagasaki University; Partners for Applied Social Sciences (PASS) International, Tessenlo, Belgium; Department of Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, Geneva, Switzerland; Department of Clinical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; Department of Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium; Medical Research Council, Fajara, The Gambia; London School of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, London, United Kingdom

Abstract. Collecting blood samples from individuals recruited into clinical research projects in sub-Saharan Africa can be challenging. Strikingly, one of the reasons for participant reticence is the occurrence of local rumors surrounding “blood stealing” or “blood selling.” Such fears can potentially have dire effects on the success of research projects—for example, high dropout rates that would invalidate the trial’s results—and have ethical implications related to cultural sensitivity and informed consent. Though commonly considered as a manifestation of the local population’s ignorance, these rumors represent a social diagnosis and a logical attempt to make sense of sickness and health. Born from historical antecedents, they reflect implicit contemporary structural inequalities and the social distance between communities and public health institutions. We aim at illustrating the underlying logic governing patients’ fear and argue that the management of these beliefs should become an intrinsic component of clinical research.

Collecting blood samples from individuals recruited into clinical research projects in sub-Saharan Africa can be challenging. Strikingly, one of the reasons for participant reticence is the occurrence of local rumors surrounding “blood stealing” or “blood selling”^{1–5}; such fears can potentially have dire effects on the success of research projects—for example, high dropout rates that would invalidate the trial’s results—and ethical implications related to cultural sensitivity and informed consent. Though commonly considered as a manifestation of the local population’s ignorance and/or disconnection from modern society, these rumors often represent an attempt to make sense of sickness and health. As such, the currently adopted solution to address such concerns—namely, including additional information on the medical procedures in the informed consent process—is inadequate to prevent or dispel existing doubts or distrust toward clinical research and fails to circumvent the associated pitfalls for trial implementation and the related ethical hazards. We aim at illustrating the underlying logic governing patients’ fear of providing blood samples in Gabon, while showing its applicability in other settings in sub-Saharan Africa by drawing from ethnographic data collected in Gabon between 2007 and 2009 and a general literature review.

The fear of giving blood has been reported across sub-Saharan Africa and has been associated with conceptions of blood as a life force,^{4–6} with beliefs that a lack of blood is a sign of diminished strength and inherent disease^{7–10} and with notions of blood being a tradable good, requiring remuneration.⁴ In still other settings, such as in Gabon and certain other African countries, these fears are often linked to mystical realms of reality. To understand the logic guiding accusations of blood stealing and selling here we must first understand how and why sudden and unexpected illness/death is frequently attributed to sorcery. In Gabon, disruptions of social order and/or health, such as inexplicable good or bad fortune or sudden illness and death are ascribed to *evou*, a mystical agent

of the invisible world, translated in French as *le vampire*, though unrelated to the Western concept of the “living-dead.” The *vampire* is passively available in most people, but is an active force in sorcerers (who use it to inflict illness) and in healers (who with it offer recovery of health).

When sorcery is identified as the cause of an inexplicable event, the question of who is responsible soon follows. In various contexts throughout Africa, Gabon included,^{11–18} these accusations are frequently directed at those who are “unnaturally” successful. Such people of influence are suspected of having acquired their power through the consumption of the souls of mystically killed innocents (i.e., “eating people,” “drinking their blood”)^{19–22}; notably, the agent needed to acquire such unnatural wealth is precisely what clinical trials require from their participants: *blood*. Alleged *evou* users are believed to acquire power through blood sacrifices, consisting of the mystical forfeit of family members, children, and unknown innocents who, as a result, will become ill and die.^{19–21,23} Consequently, institutions where blood is regularly collected—namely hospitals and research centers—are considered highly suspect.

In the Gabonese context, it is believed that blood drawn from hospital patients or research participants is sold by medical staff to the Rosicrucian Order,^{19–21} a semi-secret society affiliated with the Free Masons of Western Europe and the United States. The Rosicrucian order has been strongly linked to colonial²⁴ and postcolonial governments,²⁵ and boasts numerous highly visible and influential members, reportedly even in government circles. Most importantly, its affiliates are believed to secure their stations through sorcery, whereby members use the acquired blood in sacrificial rites to secure or enhance their positions of influence.²⁶

Notably, such perceptions are not a new phenomenon. Rumors of blood theft, including specific references to the medical field, date back to colonial Africa where blood thieves were often described as white people, or their black collaborators, operating at night using European technology, such as medicines and syringes, to extract local people’s blood, which they then either sold or transformed into other commodities, such as medicine.^{27–30} Among many examples

* Address correspondence to Koen Peeters Grietens, Nationalestraat 155, Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium 2000. E-mail: kpeeters@itg.be

recorded by historians across sub-Saharan Africa, the following are quite illustrative of populations' interpretations of the medical field: in the mid-1940s it was said that Medical Department trucks patrolled the streets in Lamu, Kenya, and, were they to come upon a straggler, would draw out all of his blood with a rubber pump, leaving the body in the gutter.²⁹ Over a decade later, "motor vehicles painted red" were said to drain the blood from lone pedestrians captured along the Kisumu highway to Busia; the blood was then reportedly taken to blood banks in hospitals.²⁹

Apart from these historical antecedents, various factors continue to foster an association between rumors of blood stealing/selling and medical research in sub-Saharan Africa. Unavoidably, clinical research involves blood sampling from patients. Study participants' poor understanding of the reasons for blood collection and of general trial goals in general increases the ambiguity required for blood taking to be linked to blood theft and inexplicable illness. Furthermore, limited community participation, the social distance between communities and medical institutions, the association of hospitals—and research centers in particular—with authoritarian and elitist state and foreign institutions, and palpable social class divisions further nurture these suspicions. Pre-existing patients' fears within any of these domains may be aggravated by poor comprehension during the informed consent process,³⁰ potentially caused, among other factors, by poor communication between the patient and the researcher, power imbalance, lack of time, or by insufficient consideration for the local cultural features in the informed consent process.

Blood stealing/selling, however, is not the only rumor associated with clinical research. Rumors of purposive sterilization of women associated with clinical trials have been reported since 1920 throughout Africa. Furthermore, anti-malarials, vaccinations, condoms, micronutrients, vitamins, and other public health interventions continue to be suspected of causing sterility or of containing hidden contraceptives.^{30–39} These rumors continue to be reinterpreted or generated in contemporary African societies and are frequently linked to magical realms of power.^{13–18} These beliefs paint a grim historical picture on how local populations perceive medical expertise and therapeutic power, with the underlying concerns likely preceding the advent of clinical research. In this context, health education campaigns or consent forms, meant to inform local communities on the use of collected blood may have little impact as perceptions of blood extraction date back to colonialism, to the introduction of western medicine and persist in modern forms of sorcery accusations. Rumors, such as these, are a reflection of social injustice and asymmetric power relations,³² historical threats to the collective survival of particular groups, and a way to interpret society.³¹ As such, though the Gabonese concept of "evou" is local, it is founded upon a universal rational search for causality in the face of inexplicable events such as illness and death. Not very different from attributing the inexplicable to the will of God (in monotheist religions), to transgressions or good deeds in a previous life (reincarnation), to the intervention of ancestors (ancestor worship), to spirits (animism), to good luck, faith, or sorcery,^{22,40} such universal beliefs represent a social diagnosis or a rational attempt to make sense of a chaotic, unjust and an often incomprehensible world.

Concerns such as these, further present an important bottleneck for the ethical conduct of research and for routine

surveillance. The ethical principle of respect for persons, widely recognized as a pillar of medical research,⁴¹ implies the specific duty of being sensitive to other cultural perspectives.⁴² Researchers should, therefore, develop culturally appropriate ways to communicate with research participants^{42–44} and address concerns such as those mentioned previously. Being part of the sociocultural context, similar beliefs are also shared to a large extent by local medical staff within international research teams, making the need for cultural sensitivity all the more pressing. Unfortunately, there is a gap between principles and practices as the adequate expertise and resources needed to address culturally based concerns are still largely absent and remain a non-priority in biomedical and clinical research.

There have been noteworthy—but anecdotal—efforts of researchers trying to counter blood-selling rumors by increasing the information provided to communities or through some form of community participation (e.g., inviting patients to visit the laboratories) and sensitization or even by avoiding the color red in symbols and products related to the intervention. Additional measures such as community consent and participation aiming at reducing the distance between trial teams and communities could have a positive impact. However, no in-depth research on how to avoid or tackle these rumors has been carried out thus far; therefore, making recommendations at this point remains an exercise in futility.

In conclusion, accusations or rumors on blood stealing and blood selling cannot simply be labeled as participants' ignorance. Born from historical antecedents, reflecting implicit contemporary social and structural inequalities, and the social distance between communities and public health institutions, these rumors or accusations represent a social diagnosis and a logical attempt to make sense of the clinical trial in today's world. As such, these beliefs should be acknowledged and their management become an intrinsic component of clinical research.

Received October 29, 2013. Accepted for publication February 28, 2014.

Published online May 12, 2014.

Authors' addresses: Koen Peeters Grietens, Charlotte Gryseels, Susan Dierickx, and Sarah O'Neill, Department of Public Health, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, E-mails: kpeeters@itg.be or kunchimpum@yahoo.com, cgryseels@itg.be, sdierickx@itg.be, and soneill@itg.be. Joan Muela Ribera and Susanna Hausmann-Muela, PASS International, Tessenderlo, Belgium, E-mails: joan.muela@yahoo.com and Susanna.hausmann_muela@yahoo.es. Annette Erhart, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Biomedical Sciences, Antwerp, Inciralt, Belgium, E-mail: aerhart@itg.be. Sarah Hoibak, Global Fund to Fight Aids, Malaria and Tuberculosis, Geneva, Switzerland, E-mail: psarah.Hoibak@theglobalfund.org. Raffaella M. Ravinetto, Institute Tropical Medicine, Clinical Science Department, Antwerp, Belgium, E-mail: rravinetto@itg.be. Umberto D'Alessandro, Medical Research Council Unit, Disease Control and Elimination, Fajara, Gambia, E-mail: udalessandro@mrc.gm.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

REFERENCES

1. Geissler PW, 2005. Kachinja are coming: encounters around a medical research project in a Kenyan village. *Africa* 75: 173–202.
2. Geissler PW, Pool R, 2006. Popular concerns with medical research projects in Africa. *Trop Med Int Health* 11: 975–983.

3. Pool R, Munguambe K, Macete E, Aide P, Juma G, Alonso P, Menendez C, 2006. Community response to intermittent preventive treatment (IPTi) through the EPI system in Manhica, Mozambique. *Trop Med Int Health* 11: 1670–1678.
4. Fairhead J, Leach M, Small M, 2006. Where techno-science meets poverty: medical research and the economy of blood in The Gambia, West Africa. *Soc Sci Med* 63: 1109–1120.
5. Geissler P, Kelly A, Imoukhuede B, Pool R, 2008. 'He is now like a brother, I can even give him some blood' – relational ethics and material exchanges in a malaria vaccine 'trial community' in the Gambia. *Soc Sci Med* 67: 696–707.
6. Erwin, K, 2006. The circulatory system: blood procurement, AIDS, and the social body in China. *Med Anthropol Q* 20: 139–159.
7. Carsten, J, 2013. Introduction: blood will out. *JRAI Special Issue: Blood Will Out: Essays on Liquid Transfers and Flows*. Volume 19, Issue Supplement S.
8. Mayblin, M, 2013. The way blood flows: the sacrificial value of intravenous drip use in Northeast Brazil. *JRAI Special Issue: Blood Will Out: Essays on Liquid Transfers and Flows*. Volume 19, Issue Supplement S.
9. Bildhauer, B, 2013. Medieval European conceptions of blood: truth and human integrity. *JRAI Special Issue: Blood Will Out: Essays on Liquid Transfers and Flows*. Volume 19, Issue Supplement S.
10. Kuriyama S, 1999. *The Expressiveness of the Body and the Divergence of Greek and Chinese Medicine*. New York: Zone Books.
11. Niehaus I, 2005. Witches and zombies of the South African lowveld: discourse, accusation and subjective reality. *Royal Anthropological Institute* 11: 191–210.
12. Nyamnjoh F, 2001. Delusions of development and the enrichment of witchcraft discourses in Cameroon. Moore H, Sanders T, eds. *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. London: Routledge, pp. 28–49.
13. Bastian M, 2001. Vulture men, campus cultists and teenaged witches: modern magics in Nigerian popular media. Moore H, Sanders T, eds. *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. London: Routledge, pp. 71–96.
14. Sanders T, 2001. Save our skins: structural adjustments, morality and the occult in Tanzania. Moore H, Sanders T, eds. *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. London: Routledge, pp. 160–183.
15. Comaroff J, Comaroff JL, 1993. *Modernity and its Malcontents: Ritual and Power in Postcolonial Africa*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
16. Geschiere P, 1988. Sorcery and the state: popular modes of action among the Maka of Southeast Cameroon. *Crit Anthropol* 8: 35–63.
17. Geschiere P, 1997. *The Modernity of Witchcraft: Politics and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. Charlottesville, NC: University Press of Virginia.
18. Shaw R, 2001. Cannibal transformations: colonialism and commodification in the Sierra Leone hinterland. Moore H, Sanders T, eds. *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. London: Routledge, pp. 50–70.
19. Tonda J, 2006. Le diable fétichiste sorcellaire en société postcoloniale. *Cahiers Gabonais d'Anthropologie* 17: 1982–1994.
20. Tonda J, 2011. Pentecôtisme et "contentieux matériel" transnational en Afrique centrale. La magie du système capitaliste. *Soc Compass* 58: 42–60.
21. Soiron M, Tonda J, 2006. Le Souverain moderne. Le corps du pouvoir en Afrique centrale (Congo, Gabon). *Cahiers d'études africaines*. Available at: <http://etudesafricaines.revues.org/6274>. Accessed February 12, 2014.
22. Barnard A, Spencer J, ed, 2002. Witchcraft and sorcery. *Encyclopaedia of Social and Cultural Anthropology*. London: Taylor and Francis Group, pp. 562–564.
23. Peeters Grietens K, Toomer E, Um Boock A, Hausmann-Muela S, Peeters H, Kanobana K, Gryseels C, Muela Ribera J, 2012. What role do traditional beliefs play in treatment seeking and delay for Buruli ulcer disease?—Insights from a mixed methods study in Cameroon. *PLoS ONE* 7: e36954.
24. White O, 2005. Networking: freemasons and the colonial state in French West Africa, 1895–1914. *Fr Hist* 19: 91–111.
25. Gardinier DE, 2000. France and Gabon since 1993: the reshaping of a neo-colonial relationship. *J Contemp Afr Stud* 18: 225–242.
26. Ngolet F, 2000. Ideological manipulations and political longevity: the power of Omar Bongo in Gabon since 1967. *Afr Stud Rev* 43: 55–71.
27. Weiss B, 1998. Electric vampires: haya rumors on the commodified body. Lambeck M, Strathern A, eds. *Bodies and Persons: Comparative Perspectives from Africa and Melanesia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 172–196.
28. White L, 1993. Cars out of place: vampires, technology, and labor in east and central Africa. *Representations (Berkeley)* 43: 27–50.
29. White L, 1995. "They could make their victims dull": genders and genres, fantasies and cures in colonial southern Uganda. *Am Hist Rev* 100: 1379–1402.
30. TDR - Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases/World Health Organization, 2007. Ethical challenges in study design and informed consent for health research in resource-poor settings. TDR/SDR/SEB/ST/07.1. Special topics No. 5. WHO TDR.
31. White L, 2000. *Speaking with Vampires: Rumor and History in Colonial Africa*. Berkeley, CA: California University Press.
32. Scheper-Hughes N, 1996. Theft of life: organ stealing rumors. *Anthropol Today* 12: 3–10.
33. Kaler A, 2009. Health interventions and the persistence of rumor: the circulation of sterility stories in African public health campaigns. *Soc Sci Med* 68: 1711–1719.
34. Chapman R, Chikotsa D, 2006. Secrets, silence, and hiding: social risk and reproductive vulnerability in central Mozambique. *Med Anthropol Q* 20: 487–515.
35. Dugger CW, McNeil D, 2006. *Rumor, Fear and Fatigue Hinder Final Push to End Polio*. New York: New York Times.
36. Feldman-Savelsberg P, 1999. *Plundered Kitchens, Empty Wombs: Threatened Reproduction and Identity in the Cameroon Grass Fields*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
37. Feldman-Savelsberg P, Ndonko F, Schmidt-Ehry B, 2000. Sterilizing vaccines or the politics of the womb: retrospective study of a rumor in Cameroon. *Med Anthropol Q* 14: 159–179.
38. Hardon A, 2004. Immunization: global programs, local acceptance and resistance. Ember C, Ember M, eds. *Encyclopaedia of Medical Anthropology*. New York: Kluwer, pp. 262–268.
39. Kaler A, 2004. The moral lens of population control: condoms and controversies in southern Malawi. *Stud Fam Plann* 35: 105–115.
40. Moore H, Sanders T, editors, 2002. *Magical Interpretations, Material Realities: Modernity, Witchcraft and the Occult in Postcolonial Africa*. London: Routledge.
41. Report B, 1979. *Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research*. Report of the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. Available at: <http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/belmont.html>. Accessed February 12, 2014.
42. Nuffield Council of Bioethics, 2002. *The Ethics of Research Related to Healthcare in Developing Countries*. Available at: <http://www.nuffieldbioethics.org/research-developing-countries>. Accessed January 10, 2014.
43. Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS), 2002. *International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects*. Available at: http://www.cioms.ch/publications/layout_guide2002.pdf. Accessed January 10, 2014.
44. Kessel M, 2013. Opinion: diagnostics needed. resource-limited countries are in desperate need of better diagnostic tests for life-threatening illnesses. *The Scientist*. Available at: <http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/33929/title/Opinion-Diagnostics-Needed/>. Accessed 10 Jan 2014.