

Almond, M. H., O'Donoghue, M., Drey, N., Seneviratne, S., Lalvani, A., Wickremasinghe, M. & Kon, O. M. (2011). Interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) conversion, reversion and implications for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection using a multimodality approach: a retrospective, observational study within a central London TB centre. *Thorax*, 66(4), A72. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-201054c.13



**CITY UNIVERSITY
LONDON**

[City Research Online](#)

Original citation: Almond, M. H., O'Donoghue, M., Drey, N., Seneviratne, S., Lalvani, A., Wickremasinghe, M. & Kon, O. M. (2011). Interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) conversion, reversion and implications for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection using a multimodality approach: a retrospective, observational study within a central London TB centre. *Thorax*, 66(4), A72. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-201054c.13

Permanent City Research Online URL: <http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/13826/>

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at publications@city.ac.uk.

A comparison of a central London latent TB screening algorithm with national UK and US algorithms and the effect on LTBI diagnosis

Marie O'Donoghue¹ Nicholas Drey² Mark Almond¹ Melissa Wickremasinghe¹ Suranjith Seneviratne¹
Ajit Lalvani³ Onn Min Kon¹

¹Chest and Allergy Department, St Mary's Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London,

²School of Health Sciences, City University, London

³Tuberculosis Research Unit, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London

Introduction

There is continuing debate over the most effective strategy for the use of interferon gamma release assays (IGRAs) in clinical practice and this is reflected in the diversity of published recommendations. Practice in UK TB contact screening clinics reflects these widely divergent views.

The aims of our study were to:

1. Enumerate the prevalence of latent TB infection (LTBI) according to US, UK and local screening protocols
2. Explore which algorithm is the most effective in a central London TB clinic

Methods

This was a cross sectional retrospective study comprising 558 consecutive adult contacts of TB cases that attended for screening tests for LTBI 2008-2010. The screening results were applied to patient management algorithms derived from US guidance (IGRA or tuberculin skin test but not both), UK NICE guidance (two step approach), and our local service (concurrent IGRA and TST). For the purpose of this analysis, LTBI was defined as the outcome predetermined by each algorithm.

Results

In our cohort, NICE guidance had the highest completion rate (97%) with the most complex algorithm (local practice) at 87%. The diagnosis of LTBI had a variability of 5-26% dependent on which algorithm was used. NICE screens contacts aged >35 years with a chest x-ray and misses the immunodiagnosis of LTBI in 12-16% of this group. The NICE approach is also less sensitive in contacts aged <35 years because of the two step approach (IGRA is only performed following a positive TST) and the failure to rescreen contacts of pulmonary cases who are TST or IGRA negative at the initial screen. 11 (4.6%) of pulmonary TB contacts aged <35 years that would be discharged by NICE had a TST or IGRA conversion. The US IGRA alone algorithm misses a proportion (6.9%) of individuals; 11 (2%) had a strongly positive TST (≥ 20 mm), and of these, 5 had a TST conversion varying 6-16mm. This approach

also potentially over diagnosed 8 (2%) of contacts who reverted on rescreen when initially discordant (TST negative / IGRA positive).

Conclusion

The sensitivity of the screening depends not only on the performance of the tests but also what algorithm is used. As demonstrated in our local data, the overall effectiveness of any approach is also affected by how these impact on the patient journey and their ability to complete the screening process. These findings also highlight the impact of conversion and reversion phenomena in a clinical service.

Word count 399

