Dabasia, P. L., Fidalgo, B. R., Edgar, D. F., Garway-Heath, D. F. & Lawrenson, J. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy of technologies for glaucoma case-finding in a community setting. Ophthalmology, 122(12), pp. 2407-2415. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.08.019



City Research Online

Original citation: Dabasia, P. L., Fidalgo, B. R., Edgar, D. F., Garway-Heath, D. F. & Lawrenson, J. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy of technologies for glaucoma case-finding in a community setting. Ophthalmology, 122(12), pp. 2407-2415. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.08.019

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/12788/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>.

1 Title

- 2 Diagnostic accuracy of technologies for glaucoma case-finding in a community
- 3 setting
- 4

5 Authors

- 6 Priya L Dabasia (PhD, MCOptom)¹
- 7 Bruno R Fidalgo (MSc, MOptom)¹
- 8 David F Edgar (FCOptom)¹
- 9 David F Garway-Heath (MD, FRCOphth)²
- 10 John G Lawrenson (PhD, FCOptom)¹
- 11

12 Institutions

- ¹ Centre for Public Health Research, School of Health Sciences, City
 University London, UK
- ¹⁵ ²National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at
- 16 Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of 17 Ophthalmology, London, UK
- 18

19 Corresponding author

- 20 John G Lawrenson (PhD, FCOptom)
- 21

22 Meeting Presentation

- European Association for Vision and Eye Research, October 2014
- British Congress of Optometry and Vision Science, September 2014
- 24 25

23

26 Financial support

27 The iVue Optical Coherence Tomographer used in this study was loaned by 28 Optovue Inc., which also provided a part-proportion of funding for the 29 technician to undertake the index tests during data collection. Optovue Inc. 30 made suggestions at the protocol stage of the study but had no input in the 31 data analysis and interpretation. The College of Optometrists, UK provided a 32 PhD studentship to Priva Dabasia to conduct this work, and had no role in the design or conduct of this research. DFG-H is funded in part by the National 33 34 Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at 35 Moorfields Eye Hospital and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology. The views 36 expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the 37 National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health. DFG-H's 38 chair at UCL is supported by funding from the International Glaucoma 39 Association.

40

41 **Conflict of interest**

- 42 Dr. Garway-Heath reports personal fees from Heidelberg Engineering, grants
- 43 from National Institute for Health Research (HTA), outside the submitted work.
- 44 In addition, Dr. Garway-Heath has a patent ANSWERS pending.
- 45

46 Running head

- 47 Accuracy of technologies for glaucoma case-finding
- 48

49 Address for reprints

- 50 City University London
- 51 Division of Optometry and Visual Science
- 52 First floor, Myddleton Street Building
- 53 1 Myddleton Street
- 54 London
- 55 EC1R 1UW
- 56
- 57 This article contains additional online-only material. The following should
- 58 appear online-only: Table 1, Table 2, Table 4, Table 6, and Figure 3.

59Abstract

60

Purpose: To assess the case-finding performance of the Frequency Doubling
Technology perimeter (FDT), Moorfields Motion Displacement Test (MMDT),
iVue Optical Coherence Tomographer (OCT) and Ocular Response Analyser
(ORA), used alone or combined, for suspect and definite primary open angle
glaucoma (POAG).

66

67 Design: Cross-sectional, observational, community-based study.

68

69 Participants: 505 subjects aged 60 years and older recruited from a community
70 setting using no pre-defined exclusion criteria.

71

72 Methods: Subjects underwent 4 index tests conducted by a technician unaware of subjects' ocular status. FDT and MMDT were used in 73 suprathreshold mode. iVue OCT measured ganglion cell complex and retinal 74 75 nerve fibre layer (RNFL) thickness. The reference standard was a full ophthalmic examination by an experienced clinician, masked to index test 76 Subjects were classified as POAG (open drainage angle, 77 results. 78 glaucomatous optic neuropathy, and glaucomatous field defect), glaucoma suspect, ocular hypertension (OHT) or non-POAG/non-OHT. 79

80

Main Outcome Measures: Test performance evaluated the individual as unit of analysis. Diagnostic accuracy was initially assessed using predefined cut-offs for abnormality to generating sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratios.

Continuous data were used to derive estimates of sensitivity at 90% specificity,
and partial area under the curve of receiver operating characteristic (AUROC)
plots from 90% to 100% specificity.

87

Results: From the reference standard examination, 26 (5.1%) subjects were 88 89 POAG and 32 (6.4%) glaucoma suspects. Sensitivity (95% confidence interval) 90 at 90% specificity for detection of glaucoma suspect/POAG combined was 91 41% (28 to 55) for FDT, 35% (21 to 48) for MMDT, and 57% (44 to 70) for 92 best-performing OCT parameter (inferior guadrant RNFL thickness); for POAG, 93 sensitivity was 62% (39 to 84) for FDT, 58% (37 to 78) for MMDT, and 83% (68 94 to 98) for inferior quadrant RNFL thickness. The partial AUROC was 95 significantly greater for inferior RNFL thickness than visual-function tests 96 (p<0.001). Post-test probability of glaucoma suspect /POAG combined and 97 definite POAG increased substantially when best-performing criteria were combined for FDT or MMDT, iVue OCT and ORA. 98

99

Conclusions: Diagnostic performance of individual tests gave acceptable
 accuracy for POAG detection. The low specificity of visual-function tests
 precludes their use in isolation, but case-detection improves by combining
 RNFL thickness analysis with visual-function tests.

Open angle glaucoma (OAG) is a major cause of visual morbidity, accounting for 10.6% to 13.5% of blindness in high-income countries.¹ However, epidemiological studies in developed countries consistently demonstrated that approximately half of those with OAG remained undetected using current casefinding strategies.²⁻⁸

109

110 OAG satisfies Wilson-Jungner criteria for the condition and treatment ideally required to initiate a screening programme.⁹ In 2012, a Comparative 111 112 Effectiveness Review by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 113 concluded that limited evidence existed on the effectiveness of screening for OAG in adult populations.¹⁰ An earlier UK-based economic modelling study 114 115 reported that population screening at any age was not cost-effective, but 116 stronger evidence existed in support of targeted screening of high-risk groups.¹¹ A strategy for improving screening cost-effectiveness was proposed, 117 118 involving initial technology-based assessment, allowing an enriched population 119 to be referred for office-based assessment by an ophthalmologist or 120 optometrist. In the context of case-finding for a low prevalence disease in the 121 general population, an ideal screening test must be simple, fast and combine 122 high specificity (above 90%), with acceptably high sensitivity. However, a 2008 systematic review found no single test, used alone or in combination, provided 123 sufficiently high accuracy for OAG detection.¹² The review highlighted a dearth 124 125 of high-quality diagnostic accuracy studies for OAG detection. In many cases, 126 reliability and applicability of study findings are limited by methodology, with 127 failure to satisfy the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies (QUADAS) criteria.¹³ 128

130	This study aims to determine diagnostic accuracy of modern imaging and
131	visual function testing technologies, used alone and in combination, for
132	detecting OAG in a representative sample of the primary-care population,
133	compared to a reference standard ophthalmic examination including standard
134	automated perimetry (SAP). The study was designed, and findings reported in
135	accordance with Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy (STARD)
136	criteria.14

137 Methods

This prospective cross-sectional study was conducted in one university-based 138 139 community eye clinic in London, UK, during 12 months from September 2012. 140 The study was approved by the institutional review board and adhered to the 141 Declaration of Helsinki tenets. All subjects provided written informed consent. 142 Males and females aged 60 years and older were recruited. Study information, 143 together with an invitation to participate, was distributed locally through 144 neighbouring optometry practices and community groups. To ensure a representative sample of the eligible population, no pre-defined exclusion 145 146 criteria were specified; subjects with known POAG or other ocular morbidities 147 were included.

148

149 All subjects underwent a series of technology-based index tests, followed by a 150 reference standard ophthalmic examination on the same day. Figure 1 shows the study flow diagram. Thresholds of abnormality for the index tests were 151 152 based on cut-offs commonly reported in previous literature, manufacturers' 153 suggested cut-offs, and comparisons with internal normative databases, and 154 were specified in the protocol prior to data analysis. The technology-based 155 assessment comprised four index tests and was performed by a single, experienced technician with no prior knowledge of subjects' ocular status or 156 findings from the reference standard ophthalmic examination. All equipment 157 158 used for tests performed during the reference standard ophthalmic examination and technology-based assessment was calibrated daily in 159 160 accordance with manufacturers' instructions, and examinations were

undertaken in dedicated research rooms based in the community eye clinic to
ensure a consistent and reliable testing environment over the 12-month period.

105

164 Visual function tests (FDT and MMDT)

The first generation frequency doubling technology (FDT; Carl Zeiss Meditec, 165 166 Inc., Dublin, CA) perimeter was used in C20-5 suprathreshold mode (software 167 version 4.00.0). Contrast thresholds are evaluated at 17 locations within the 168 central 20° of visual field. A detailed description of measurement principles has been described elsewhere.¹⁵ An abnormal result was defined using two cut-169 170 offs: a) one or more location(s) missed at the p<5% significance level and b) 171 one or more location(s) missed at the p<1% significance level. Further analysis 172 was performed using a scoring system described by Patel et al. which 173 allocates an overall score between 0 and 87 for each FDT result, giving 174 increased importance to more severe defects and locations missed closer to fixation.¹⁶ 175

176

177 The Moorfields motion displacement test (MMDT; Moorfields Eye Hospital, 178 London, UK) is a prototype perimeter based on a form of temporal hyperacuity. 179 in which subjects identify oscillation of a vertical bar, the threshold being the smallest displacement seen. Testing was performed using the Enhanced 180 181 Standard Threshold Algorithm (ESTA) 99.5 suprathreshold program (Pandora, 182 software version v1.7.10) (see http://www.moorfieldsmdt.co.uk/clinicians.asp 183 for more details on MMDT technology). The test presents 31 stimuli on a 184 standard laptop LCD display. Displacements seen or not seen are recorded on 185 a pass-fail plot, and this information is used together with the ESTA spatial

filter to generate a probability plot that provides an estimate of the 'probability of true damage' (PTD) between 0 and 100 at each test location. In the present study, an abnormal plot was defined by the developers' recommended threshold of a global PTD \geq 3.0.

190

191 The testing order between FDT and MMDT was randomized, and these 192 examinations were never performed in immediate succession. Tests were 193 repeated once if one or more locations were missed, or if the result was 194 unreliable (Table 1, available at www.aaojournal.org).

195

196 *iVue Spectral Domain OCT (SD-OCT)*

197 The iVue optical coherence tomographer (OCT; Optovue Inc., Fremont, CA) is 198 a compact version of the RTVue OCT. Diagnostic data for OAG detection were 199 obtained using the ganglion cell complex (GCC) protocol of the iWellness 200 scan, and glaucoma optic nerve head (ONH) retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) 201 scan patterns in software version V3.2.0.42 (details of scan protocols are described elsewhere¹⁷). Scans were initially captured through undilated pupils 202 203 in dark-room illumination, and repeated following pupil dilation if data guality 204 failed to meet manufacturers' guidelines (8%, 81 of 1009 eyes).

205

Of the structural parameters for GCC and RNFL thickness, the overall mean, superior hemifield and inferior hemifield thickness were analysed. RNFL thickness was further evaluated by hourglass quadrant: temporal 316 to 45 degrees, superior 46 to 135 degrees, nasal 136 to 225 degrees, and inferior 226 to 315 degrees. GCC thickness data were also represented by two

additional parameters which analyse the pattern of GCC loss using differing
levels of focality: Global loss volume (GLV) and Focal loss volume (FLV).
Descriptions of procedures deriving these parameters have been reported
previously.¹⁸⁻²⁰ The defined cut-off for abnormality was any RNFL or GCC
parameter falling outside the 99% normal limit based on manufacturers'
integrated normal database.

- 217
- 218 Ocular response analyzer (ORA)

219 The ORA (Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Depew, NY, USA) is an air-puff 220 tonometer which uses a bi-directional applanation sequence to derive two 221 measures of corneal biomechanical properties: corneal hysteresis (CH) and 222 corneal resistance factor (CRF), and two intraocular pressure (IOP) parameters: IOPg (Goldmann-correlated) and IOPcc (Cornea-compensated).²¹ 223 224 A minimum of four measurements from each eve was acquired (software version 3.01). The highest waveform score (WS) measurement was used for 225 226 analysis provided multiple measurements with similar graphical outputs had been attained²² with a WS of 3.5 or greater.^{22, 23} IOPg or IOPcc above 227 228 21mmHg was defined as the cut-off for abnormality.

229

230 *Reference standard ophthalmic examination*

All subjects underwent a series of standard tests for glaucoma by an 231 232 experienced clinician, trained and validated in glaucoma according to UK practice, and masked to results of the preceding index tests. Validation of the 233 234 reference standard examiner was confirmed by competency-based 235 assessment, with results being compared with classification by a consultant

glaucoma sub-specialist ophthalmologist. Kappa agreement for combined and
separate assessment of the optic disc and visual field ranged from 0.70 to
0.89.

239

240 Visual field testing was performed with the Humphrey Field Analyzer (HFA; 241 Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA) and the Swedish Interactive Thresholding 242 Algorithm (SITA) 24-2 standard pattern (Model 720i, software version 5.1.2). 243 Where possible, HFA was repeated for unreliable results (false negative 244 responses or fixation losses >33%, false positive responses >15%) and 245 Glaucoma hemifield test (GHT) recordings of 'outside normal limits'. Following 246 full anterior segment assessment by biomicroscope, and measurement of IOP 247 by Goldmann Applanation Tonometer, eyes with a potentially occludable angle identified by the van Herick test²⁴ were evaluated by gonioscopy. Detailed 248 249 posterior segment examination was performed through dilated pupils using 250 indirect ophthalmoscopy and fundus photography (Topcon TRC-NW8F). 251 Subjects were asked to complete a questionnaire regarding the acceptability of 252 each index test.

253

The following criteria were used for classification of subjects as definite POAG or as glaucoma suspect based on observations from one or both eyes:

Definite POAG: open anterior chamber angle, presence of glaucomatous
 optic neuropathy (either localised absence of neuro-retinal rim, cup/disc
 ratio (CDR) of ≥0.7 or inter-ocular asymmetry in vertical CDR of ≥0.2 in
 similar sized discs) and the presence of a concordant glaucomatous field
 defect based on criteria amended from Anderson and Patella²⁵ (a cluster of

261 ≥3 points on the pattern deviation plot having p<5% with at least one point 262 with p<1%, none of which can be edge points unless located immediately 263 above or below the nasal horizontal meridian, AND pattern standard 264 deviation (PSD) p<5%, AND GHT 'outside normal limits').

265

Glaucoma suspect: included 'disc suspects' showing features of
 glaucomatous optic neuropathy but with normal or equivocal fields, and
 subjects with visual field defects but without concordant disc damage (see
 'Definite POAG' above for definitions of glaucomatous optic neuropathy
 and visual field defects).

271

The ocular hypertension (OHT) case definition in this study for subjects not taking IOP-lowering medication was based on measurement of IOP above 274 21mmHg on two separate occasions, with open anterior chamber angles and neither visual field plots nor optic discs meeting the criteria for abnormality.

276

277 Sample size calculation

The sample size was based on an anticipated sensitivity of the index tests to detect POAG (based on current case definitions) of 0.75^{12} with a minimal acceptable precision of the sensitivity estimate of ±0.25 with 0.95 probability. This requires 42 POAG cases. Since prevalence of suspected and definite POAG in the local elderly population would be approximately $10\%^{26}$ it was estimated that at least 420 subjects needed to be recruited.

284

285 Statistical analysis

286 was performed usina Statistical analysis SPSS 21.0 software 287 (www.ibm.com/SPSS Statistics), Medcalc 14.8.1 (www.medcalc.org), and 288 STATA 13.0 (StataCorp. 2013. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 289 www.stata.com). Index data were analysed masked to findings from the 290 reference ophthalmic examination. Unreliable results acquired by visual 291 function tests (FDT and MMDT), and data from repeatedly poor quality ORA 292 and OCT acquisitions were removed from analysis. The unit of analysis was 293 the individual, and the comparison was between the most abnormal index test 294 result from either the right or left eye and the overall reference standard 295 classification.

296

297 Differences in mean values for demographic characteristics between 298 diagnostic groups were evaluated by ANOVA for normally distributed data, and 299 Kruskal-Wallis test for data with skewed distributions, each together with post-300 hoc analysis. For all tests, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Initial 301 diagnostic accuracy estimates of each index test to detect glaucoma 302 suspect/definite POAG combined and definite POAG were evaluated using the 303 predefined cut-offs for abnormality to generate sensitivity, specificity and 304 likelihood ratios with 95% confidence intervals. To compare index test 305 performance within a clinically relevant range for detection of a low prevalence 306 disease we determined the sensitivity at 90% specificity, and normalized the partial AUROC curves to determine the average sensitivity²⁷ between 90% and 307 308 100% specificity. To test for any statistically significant differences between 309 sensitivity at set specificity, and partial AUROC curve estimates the Wald test was used.²⁸ Best performing structural and functional criteria were combined in 310

- 311 series to calculate sensitivity and specificity values, and change from pre-test
- 312 to post-test probability estimates of a given subject having POAG were
- 313 determined using Bayesian reasoning.

314 **Results**

315

316 505 subjects entered the study (59% female and 41% male), aged between 60 317 and 92 years with median (interguartile range) age being 68 (59 to 77) years. 318 Self-reported ethnicities were 88% White, 8% South Asian, 2% Black, 1% 319 Chinese, and 1% 'other'. Based on the reference standard examination, 26 320 (5.1%) subjects were classified as definite POAG, 32 (6.4%) glaucoma suspect, and 17 (3.4%) OHT. Using Hodapp-Parrish-Anderson criteria,²⁹ 11 321 322 (42%) definite POAG cases were classified as early, 6 (23%) as moderate and 323 9 (35%) as advanced. Demographic and summary clinical data for each group 324 are summarised in Table 2, available at www.aaojournal.org. A high proportion 325 of subjects had ocular co-morbidities, including 9.5% with moderate or 326 advanced AMD and 10.7% with clinically-significant cataract in one or both 327 eyes. Following repeat examination, over 95% of results acquired using each 328 of the four index tests were reliable or of sufficient quality for analysis (Table 1, 329 available at www.aaojournal.org).

330

Diagnostic performance of visual-function tests

A FDT performance cut-off of 1 or more missed location at p<5% level of 332 333 significance, representing the most common threshold for abnormality in 334 published literature, yielded 72.4% (CI 59.8 to 82.3) sensitivity and 66.7 (CI 335 62.1 to 71.0) specificity for detection of glaucoma suspect/POAG combined (Table 3). Using the same cut-off, sensitivity to detect POAG alone was 92.3% 336 337 (CI 75.9 to 97.9) and specificity 65.2% (60.8 to 69.3). Test specificity improved to 79.1% (CI 75.2 to 82.5) using a test failure cut-off of 1 or more location(s) 338 339 missed at p<1% level of significance, while retaining a sensitivity of 88.5% (CI

340 71.0 to 96.0) for POAG detection (Table 3). The developers' recommended MMDT performance cut-off (global PTD ≥3.0) achieved test specificity of over 341 342 80% but lower sensitivity of 51.7% (Cl 39.2 to 64.1) for glaucoma 343 suspect/POAG combined, and 65.4% (CI 46.2 to 80.6) for POAG detection. 344 Notably, all (100%) cases of moderate and advanced POAG (mean deviation 345 worse than -6dB) were detected by both perimetry index tests. Of the 11 346 POAG subjects classified with early disease (-6dB or better), only 2 subjects 347 (18%) were test positive using MMDT (global PTD \geq 3.0), compared with 9 348 subjects (82%) detected by the less specific FDT criterion (1 or more missed 349 location at p < 5% level of significance).

350

351 **Diagnostic performance of the SD-OCT**

352 Best performing parameters based on highest test sensitivity for detection of 353 glaucoma suspect /POAG combined were GCC FLV (46.6%, CI 34.3 to 59.2). 354 and inferior guadrant RNFL thickness (46.6%, CI 34.3 to 59.2). A similar trend 355 followed for detection of POAG (GCC FLV 73.1%, CI 53.9 to 86.3; inferior 356 quadrant thickness 76.9%, CI 57.9 to 89.0) (Table 3). Notably, all 5 GCC and 7 357 RNFL parameters included for analysis individually provided a test specificity 358 exceeding 90%. In particular, GCC GLV was 97.9% (CI 96.2 to 98.8) specific 359 for discrimination of definite POAG, with the highest positive likelihood ratio of 360 21.8 (CI 10.4 to 45.8) of all iVue parameters (Table 4, available at 361 www.aaojournal.org). However, a threshold of abnormality defined by any of 362 the 7 RNFL parameters exceeding the 99% normative level provided further 363 diagnostic value by improving sensitivity to 62.1% (CI 49.2 to 73.4) for 364 glaucoma suspect/POAG combined and 88.5% (CI 71.0 to 96.0) for POAG

while achieving specificity above 88%. Using the same cut-off, sensitivity improved to 93.3% (CI 70.2 to 98.8) for distinguishing POAG subjects with moderate and advanced POAG. Moreover, 25 of the 26 (96.1%, CI 81.1 to 99.3) subjects classified as POAG in the reference ophthalmic examination were detected by one or more GCC or RNFL parameter exceeding the 99% normative interval (see Table 3) for a specificity of 81.3% (77.5 to 84.6).

371

IOP estimates of IOPcc and IOPg generated by the ORA had little diagnostic
value for distinguishing glaucoma suspect and POAG subjects from the rest of
the sample.

375

376 **ROC analysis**

377 Sensitivity at 90% specificity, and partial AUROC curve for 90% to 100% 378 specificity are summarized in Table 5 (see Table 6, available at 379 www.aaojournal.org for data on total AUROC curves). Overall, inferior 380 quadrant RNFL thickness measured using the iVue SD-OCT was best 381 performing parameter, providing highest sensitivity (56.9%, CI 44.2 to 69.6 382 glaucoma suspect/POAG combined; 82.8%, CI 67.6 to 97.9 POAG) and partial 383 AUROC curve estimate (0.46, CI 0.34 to 0.58 glaucoma suspect/POAG 384 combined: 0.70, CI 0.53 to 0.86 POAG) from 90% to 100% specificity. In fact, 385 inferior quadrant RNFL thickness was statistically significantly superior to each 386 of the visual function tests, based on partial AUROC curve estimates 387 (glaucoma suspect/POAG combined FDT and MMDT p<0.001; POAG FDT 388 and MMDT p<0.001) (Figure 2). Of the visual-function tests, FDT Patel et al. 389 score (2000) achieved higher sensitivity (61.5%, CI 39.4 to 83.6) but a lower

partial AUROC curve result (0.35, CI 0.18 to 0.52) compared with MMDT global PTD (57.7%, CI 37.4 to 78.0 sensitivity, 0.44, CI 0.26 to 0.61 partial AUROC curve) for ranges starting from 90% specificity for distinguishing POAG from the rest of the sample, but these observations did not represent a statistically significant difference (sensitivity at set specificity p=0.598, partial AUROC curve p=0.248) (Figure 2).

396

397 Combining index test results

398 The combination of inferior guadrant RNFL thickness (p<1%) with FDT (1 or 399 more location(s) missed at p<5% level) in which failure of either test is 400 indicative of abnormality achieves a sensitivity of 79.3% (CI 67.2 to 87.7) for 401 glaucoma suspect/POAG combined and 100.0% (CI 87.1 to 100.0) for POAG 402 detection but with a marked reduction in specificity (glaucoma suspect/ POAG 403 combined 63.3, CI 58.9 to 67.6; POAG 65.2, CI 60.7 to 69.5). On the other 404 hand, stipulating that failure of both tests was indicative of POAG improved 405 specificity to 96.8% (CI 94.8 to 98.1), but this did not represent a statistically 406 significant improvement above test specificity of 95.0% (CI 92.6 to 96.6) 407 achieved by inferior quadrant thickness alone (McNemar, p=1.0). Notably, the 408 combination of iVue SD-OCT RNFL inferior quadrant parameter (p<1%) with 409 FDT (1 or more missed location at p<5% level) detected all 26 subjects 410 classified as POAG (Figure 3, available at www.aaojournal.org).

411

To further evaluate the diagnostic value of combining index test data using Bayesian probabilistic reasoning, best-performing parameters and cut-offs for abnormality were selected using the highest positive likelihood ratios (Table 4,

415 available at www.aaojournal.org). The probability estimate of a given subject 416 having POAG rose from 5% (pre-test probability) to over 85% (post-test 417 probability) when visual function tests (FDT, 1 or more missed location at 418 p<1% level or MMDT, global PTD ≥3.0) were combined in series with best 419 performing structural parameters (RNFL inferior guadrant thickness or GCC GLV, p<1%), and ORA IOPcc (>21mmHg). Using these test cut-offs, a post-420 421 test probability over 90% was achieved for detection of glaucoma 422 suspect/POAG combined, rising from a pre-test probability of 11.5%.

423 **Discussion**

424 Currently, a national population-based screening programme for OAG has not 425 been implemented in any country. An economic modeling study undertaken in 426 Finland determined that an organized screening programme for glaucoma 427 could be a cost-effective strategy compared to opportunistic case-finding, especially in older age groups.³⁰ A UK-based study using a similar approach to 428 evaluate the clinical and cost-effectiveness of screening for POAG proposed 429 430 the use of tonometry combined with an initial technology-based assessment, 431 which would allow an enriched population to be referred for an office-based assessment by an ophthalmologist.¹¹ Alternatively, clinical data collected from 432 433 a technology-based assessment could be transferred digitally and evaluated in 434 a virtual clinic by a glaucoma specialist to improve the positive predictive value of referrals for further ophthalmic investigation.^{31, 32} Cost-effectiveness may be 435 improved by implementing a screening programme that targeted a number of 436 sight-threatening eye diseases. 437

438

439 The current study evaluated the diagnostic performance of structural and 440 visual function tests for the detection of glaucoma in a population of elderly 441 subjects, representative of the target population for screening, in which pathologies other than glaucoma may be present. Data were analyzed using 442 the individual as the unit of analysis. The performance of the FDT using the 443 C20-5 screening program was similar to that reported in previous population 444 screening studies.^{33, 34} However, there has only been one published diagnostic 445 accuracy study evaluating the MMDT.³⁵ This study found sensitivities and 446 447 specificities of greater than 85%. It is likely that the lower performance of the

MMDT in the current study relates to the high levels of ocular co-morbidity 448 typical of an elderly population, which may have impacted on the overall 449 450 performance of the vision-function tests. ROC analysis of the FDT and MMDT, 451 based on sensitivities at set specificities and partial AUROC, showed no 452 statistical difference in performance between the two tests for the detection of 453 POAG. However, in view of the MMDTs greater portability, ease of use and 454 relatively lower cost it warrants further evaluation in population studies to 455 further determine its potential as a screening test for glaucoma.

456

457 The iVue OCT is a recently developed compact SD-OCT and this is the first study to investigate its diagnostic performance for glaucoma detection using its 458 459 in-built normative database. The structural parameters selected for the 460 analysis and associated pass-fail criteria (value outside the 99% confidence 461 interval) were established a priori. The best performing individual structural 462 parameter (inferior quadrant RNFL thickness) provided a sensitivity of over 463 75% with a specificity of 95%, which may reflect the vulnerability of the inferior quadrant of the optic disc to glaucomatous damage.^{36, 37} The OCT was 464 465 particularly effective in identifying subjects with glaucoma, for example using a 466 criterion of any structural parameter at the p<1% level the OCT would have identified 25 of 26 glaucoma subjects in our sample. ORA-derived IOP 467 estimates were of limited diagnostic value in our population as half of the 26 468 469 glaucoma subjects were already receiving IOP-lowering therapy or had previously undergone surgical or laser interventions. 470

471

472 Early detection and treatment of glaucoma reduces the rate of progression of glaucomatous vision loss and visual field defects,^{38, 39} which is likely to result in 473 474 a better health-related quality of life for those affected, but concerns have been 475 raised as to the potential overtreatment of individuals who may not be at 476 significant risk of developing advanced glaucoma and visual impairment in their lifetime.¹¹ A retrospective UK study using a large visual field dataset, and 477 478 modelling projected field loss in the patients' remaining lifetime, determined 479 that only 5.2% of patients were at risk of progressing to statutory blindness in both eyes; more than 90% of these had a visual field mean deviation worse 480 than -6dB in one or both eyes at presentation.⁴⁰ Given that the likelihood of 481 482 patients suffering significant visual impairment is linked to the level of VF loss 483 at presentation, it is notable that 100% of those in the current study with 484 moderate or advanced glaucoma (mean deviation worse than -6dB) were 485 detected by either the FDT (p < 5% level), or the MMDT (global PTD ≥ 3.0).

486

487 The natural history of glaucoma means that in some people with early disease. 488 structural changes precede functional loss, whilst in others functional 489 abnormalities may be observed before detectable changes in structural parameters.⁴¹ In the current study, thirty-two subjects fell into either category 490 491 and were classified as 'glaucoma suspects'. Differentiating between suspects 492 and normals presents a significant clinical challenge, as there is a substantial 493 overlap of clinical characteristics between the groups. All four index tests 494 showed poorer discrimination between normal subjects and POAG/glaucoma 495 suspect groups combined than between those with confirmed glaucoma and 496 the rest of the sample. The detection of glaucoma suspects requires a case

497 definition based on failure on either a structural or functional test. Whilst this strategy is likely to improve sensitivity it is generally at the expense of 498 499 specificity. An alternative case-finding strategy is to use a Bayesian reasoning 500 approach. In clinical practice, a clinician will intuitively integrate the results of 501 diagnostic tests together with an estimate of the patient's pre-test probability of 502 disease based on age, IOP and family history of glaucoma to estimate an 503 individual's post-test probability. The probability of disease can be formally 504 estimated by calculations using the likelihood ratios of the diagnostic tests. The 505 results of independent tests can be combined in series to revise post-test probability estimates.⁴² However, the lack of true independence between 506 507 structural and functional criteria may lead to an overestimation of the combined 508 post-test probability. Nevertheless, this Bayesian approach could be used to 509 develop diagnostic algorithms and has great potential for glaucoma case-510 finding or population screening pathways.⁴³

511

512 The present study had a number of strengths: the design, analysis and reporting complied with the principles of the STARD statement¹⁴ and to reduce 513 514 spectrum bias the target population included consecutive subjects who met the 515 inclusion criteria. Although it is possible that higher numbers of those with 516 previous or family ocular history were more likely to volunteer and agree to 517 participate in the study, the prevalence of OAG in our population (5%) was 518 comparable with that expected for the age demographic. Furthermore, a wide 519 spectrum of disease severity was identified. We therefore feel the population is 520 likely to be broadly representative of those presenting for glaucoma case-521 finding in the community. The reference standard for OAG corresponded to

522 that used in a typical hospital glaucoma unit and was based on the results of a standard ophthalmic examination by a validated clinician. At the present time, 523 524 this examination represents the clinical reference standard for OAG, but as 525 evidence accumulates it is anticipated that OCT may become part of this 526 standard in the future. All index tests and the reference standard examination 527 were undertaken on the same day, and the clinicians performing the reference 528 and index tests were masked to the outcome of either. The study also has 529 some limitations. The sample size of 505 subjects provided only 26 glaucoma 530 This resulted in wide confidence intervals around our diagnostic subiects. 531 sensitivity estimates, which may have masked real differences between index 532 tests. Furthermore, almost 90% of our study population was of White European 533 origin suggesting our findings may not be generalizable to other ethnic groups 534 where glaucoma is more prevalent (e.g. subjects of Black origin). Data 535 collection for this study was undertaken in dedicated research rooms based in 536 a community eye clinic. In a real-world clinic setting, equipment may not be 537 calibrated routinely and it is anticipated that diagnostic performance may be 538 less good. Nevertheless, this study provides useful data to inform the 539 development of further larger multi-center glaucoma screening studies.

540

541 Acknowledgements:

542

543 The authors wish to thank the UK College of Optometrists who provided a PhD 544 studentship to Priya Dabasia, Optovue Inc. for the loan of the iVue OCT, and 545 Tunde Peto (NIHR BMRC at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation

- 546 Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK) for providing the
- 547 medical retina images and facilitating the core-competency-based
- 548 assessments for the validation of the reference standard examiner.

549 Legends for Figures 1 and 2

550

551 Figure 1: Study flow diagram. FDT = frequency doubling technology perimeter;

552 MMDT = Moorfields motion displacement test; SD-OCT = spectral domain

- 553 optical coherence tomographer; ORA = ocular response analyzer; POAG =
- 554 primary open angle glaucoma; OHT = ocular hypertension.
- 555

Figure 2: Index test diagnostic effectiveness comparisons using ROC curves with sensitivity at set specificity estimates and associated 95% confidence intervals for detection of glaucoma suspect/POAG (primary open angle glaucoma) combined (a) and POAG (b). FDT = Frequency Doubling Technology Perimeter; MMDT = Moorfields motion displacement threshold test; RNFL = retinal nerve fibre layer thickness.

563 **REFERENCES**

5641.Bourne RR, Jonas JB, Flaxman SR, et al. Prevalence and causes of565vision loss in high-income countries and in Eastern and Central Europe: 1990-5662010. Br J Ophthalmol 2014;98:629-38.

567 2. Tielsch JM, Katz J, Singh K, et al. A population-based evaluation of
568 glaucoma screening: the Baltimore Eye Survey. Am J Epidemiol
569 1991;134:1102-10.

Mitchell P, Smith W, Attebo K, et al. Prevalence of open-angle
glaucoma in Australia. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology
1996;103:1661-9.

4. Quigley HA & Vitale S. Models of open-angle glaucoma prevalence and incidence in the United States. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1997;38:83-91.

575 5. Wensor MD, McCarty CA, Stanislavsky YL, et al. The prevalence of 576 glaucoma in the Melbourne Visual Impairment Project. Ophthalmology 577 1998;105:733-9.

578 6. Klein BE, Klein R, Sponsel WE, et al. Prevalence of glaucoma. The 579 Beaver Dam Eye Study. Ophthalmology 1992;99:1499-504.

580 7. Dielemans I, Vingerling JR, Wolfs RC, et al. The prevalence of primary 581 open-angle glaucoma in a population-based study in The Netherlands. The 582 Rotterdam Study. Ophthalmology 1994;101:1851-5.

8. Weih LM, Nanjan M, McCarty CA, et al. Prevalence and predictors of
open-angle glaucoma: results from the visual impairment project.
Ophthalmology 2001;108:1966-72.

586 9. Wilson JMG & Jungner G. Principles and practice for screening for 587 disease. Public Health Pap 1968;34.

588 10. Ervin AM, Boland MV, Myrowitz EH, et al. AHRQ Comparative
589 Effectiveness Reviews. Screening for Glaucoma: Comparative Effectiveness.
590 Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2012.

591 11. Burr JM, Mowatt G, Hernandez R, et al. The clinical effectiveness and 592 cost-effectiveness of screening for open angle glaucoma: a systematic review 593 and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess 2007;11:iii-iv, ix-x, 1-190.

12. Mowatt G, Burr JM, Cook JA, et al. Screening tests for detecting openangle glaucoma: systematic review and meta-analysis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49:5373-85.

597 13. Whiting P, Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, et al. The development of QUADAS:
598 a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in
599 systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol 2003;3:25.

600 14. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, et al. The STARD statement for
601 reporting studies of diagnostic accuracy: explanation and elaboration. Ann
602 Intern Med 2003;138:W1-12.

603 15. Johnson CA. FDT perimetry for the detection of glaucomatous visual604 field loss. J Glaucoma Today 2008:26-8.

605 16. Patel SC, Friedman DS, Varadkar P, et al. Algorithm for interpreting the 606 results of frequency doubling perimetry. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;129:323-7.

Aref AA & Budenz DL. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography
in the diagnosis and management of glaucoma. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers
Imaging 2010;41 Suppl:S15-27.

610 18. Sinai M. Direct Ganglion Cell Assessment with the RTVue: The 611 Ganglion Cell Complex Analysis. Available from 612 http://adaptltda.com.br/conteudo/opto/rtvue files/1281618322 directganglionc 613 ellassessmentwiththertvue_revb_final.pdf (accessed 19 December 2014). 614 2008. 19. 615 Rao HL, Babu JG, Addepalli UK, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer and 616 macular inner retina measurements by spectral domain optical coherence 617 tomograph in Indian eyes with early glaucoma. Eye (London, England) 618 2012;26:133-9. 619 Tan O, Chopra V, Lu AT, et al. Detection of macular ganglion cell loss in 20. 620 glaucoma by Fourier-domain optical coherence tomography. Ophthalmology 621 2009;116:2305-14. 622 Terai N, Raiskup F, Haustein M, et al. Identification of biomechanical 21. 623 properties of the cornea: the ocular response analyzer. Curr Eye Res 624 2012;37:553-62. 625 Vantomme M, Pourjavan S & Detry-Morel M. The range of the 22. 626 waveform score of the ocular response analyzer (ora) in healthy subjects. Bull 627 Soc Belge Ophtalmol 2013:91-7. Lam AK, Chen D & Tse J. The usefulness of waveform score from the 628 23. 629 ocular response analyzer. Optom Vis Sci 2010;87:195-9. 630 24. Van Herick W, Shaffer RN & Schwartz A. Estimation of width of angle of 631 anterior chamber. Incidence and significance of the narrow angle. Am J 632 Ophthalmol 1969;68:626-9. Anderson DR & Patella VM. Automated static perimetry (2nd ed.). 633 25. 634 Mosby, St Louis, MO; 1999:147-159. 635 Reidy A, Minassian DC, Vafidis G, et al. Prevalence of serious eye 26. 636 disease and visual impairment in a north London population: population based, 637 cross sectional study. BMJ 1998;316:1643-6. 638 27. Hillis SL & Metz CE. An analytic expression for the binormal partial area 639 under the ROC curve. Acad Radiol 2012;19:1491-8. 640 Pepe M, Longton G & Janes H. Estimation and Comparison of Receiver 28. 641 Operating Characteristic Curves. The Stata journal 2009;9:1. 642 Hodapp E. Parrish IRK & Anderson DR. Clinical decisions in glaucoma. 29. 643 St. Louis, The C.V, Mosby Co.; 1993:52-61. 644 Vaahtoranta-Lehtonen H, Tuulonen A, Aronen P, et al. Cost 30. 645 effectiveness and cost utility of an organized screening programme for 646 glaucoma. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2007;85:508-18. 647 31. Trikha S, Macgregor C, Jeffery M, et al. The Portsmouth-based 648 glaucoma refinement scheme: a role for virtual clinics in the future? Eye 649 (London, England) 2012;26:1288-94. 650 Rathod D, Win T, Pickering S, et al. Incorporation of a virtual 32. 651 assessment into a care pathway for initial glaucoma management: Feasibility study. Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology 2008;36:543-6. 652 653 Robin TA, Muller A, Rait J, et al. Performance of community-based 33. 654 glaucoma screening using Frequency Doubling Technology and Heidelberg 655 Retinal Tomography. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2005;12:167-78. 656 34. Detry-Morel M, Zeyen T, Kestelyn P, et al. Screening for glaucoma in a 657 general population with the non-mydriatic fundus camera and the frequency doubling perimeter. Eur J Ophthalmol 2004;14:387-93. 658 659 Ong EL, Zheng Y, Aung T, et al. Performance of the Moorfields motion 35. 660 displacement test for identifying eyes with glaucoma. Ophthalmology 661 2014;121:88-92.

- 662 36. Jonas JB, Fernandez MC & Sturmer J. Pattern of glaucomatous 663 neuroretinal rim loss. Ophthalmology 1993;100:63-8.
- 664 37. Hood DC, Raza AS, de Moraes CG, et al. Glaucomatous damage of the 665 macula. Prog Retin Eye Res 2013;32:1-21.
- 666 38. AGIS. The Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS): 7. The 667 relationship between control of intraocular pressure and visual field
- deterioration. The AGIS Investigators. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;130:429-40.
 39. Heijl A, Leske MC, Hyman L, et al. Reduction of intraocular pressure
 and glaucoma progression: Results from the Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial.
 Arch Ophthalmol 2002;120:1268-79.
- 40. Saunders LJ, Russell RA, Kirwan JF, et al. Examining visual field loss in
 patients in glaucoma clinics during their predicted remaining lifetime. Invest
 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014;55:102-9.
- Kass MA, Heuer DK, Higginbotham EJ, et al. The Ocular Hypertension
 Treatment Study: a randomized trial determines that topical ocular hypotensive
 medication delays or prevents the onset of primary open-angle glaucoma. Arch
 Ophthalmol 2002;120:701-13; discussion 829-30.
- 679 42. Deeks JJ & Altman DG. Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. BMJ
 680 2004;329:168-9.
- 681 43. Garway-Heath DF & Friedman DS. How should results from clinical
- tests be integrated into the diagnostic process? Ophthalmology
- 683 **2006**;113:1479-80.

684





-FDT Patel et al. score -MMDT Global PTD -Inferior quadrant RNFL thickness

