Wickwar, S., McBain, H. B., Ezra, D.G., Hirani, S. P., Rose, G.E. & Newman, S.P. (2015). Which factors are associated with quality of life in patients with Graves' orbitopathy presenting for orbital decompression surgery?. Eye, doi: 10.1038/eye.2015.76



City Research Online

Original citation: Wickwar, S., McBain, H. B., Ezra, D.G., Hirani, S. P., Rose, G.E. & Newman, S.P. (2015). Which factors are associated with quality of life in patients with Graves' orbitopathy presenting for orbital decompression surgery?. Eye, doi: 10.1038/eye.2015.76

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/11874/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at publications@city.ac.uk.

www.nature.com/eye

Which factors are associated with quality of life in patients with Graves' orbitopathy presenting for orbital decompression surgery?

S Wickwar^{1,2}, HB McBain^{1,3}, DG Ezra^{2,4}, SP Hirani¹, GE Rose^{2,4} and SP Newman¹

Abstract

Purpose Graves' orbitopathy (GO) is associated with changes in the appearance of the eyes and visual dysfunction. Patients report feeling socially isolated and unable to continue with day-to-day activities. This study aimed at investigating the demographic, clinical, and psychosocial factors associated with quality of life in patients presenting for orbital decompression surgery.

Methods One-hundred and twenty-three adults with GO due for orbital decompression at Moorfields Eye Hospital London were recruited prospectively. Clinical measures including treatment history, exophthalmos, optic neuropathy, and diplopia were taken by an ophthalmologist. Participants completed psychosocial questionnaires, including the Graves' Ophthalmopathy Quality of Life Scale (GO-QOL), the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and the Derriford Appearance Scale. Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were used to identify predictors of quality of life. Results Higher levels of potential cases of clinical anxiety (37%) and depression (26%) were found in this study sample than in patients with other chronic diseases or facial disfigurements. A total of 55% of the variance in GO-QOL visual function scores was explained by the regression model; age, asymmetrical GO and depressed mood were significant unique contributors. In all, 75% of the variance in GO-QOL appearance scores was explained by the regression model; gender, appearance-related cognitions and depressed mood were significant unique contributors.

Conclusion Appearance-related quality of life and mood were particularly affected in this sample. Predominantly psychosocial characteristics were associated with quality of life. It is important when planning surgery for patients that clinicians be aware of factors that could potentially influence outcomes. Eye advance online publication, 22 May 2015; doi:10.1038/eye.2015.76

Introduction

Graves' orbitopathy (GO) is an autoimmune thyroid disorder that affects the eyes. An estimated 25-50% of patients with Graves' disease develop GO.1 The most common early symptom of GO is a noticeable change in the appearance of the eyes, including redness of the eyelids, swelling, and disfiguring proptosis.²

Patients with GO report feeling stared at by others and socially isolated as a consequence of their changed appearance³ and this has a significant impact on mood.4 There is also growing evidence that GO has a detrimental impact on vision-related daily functioning including reading, watching TV, and driving.5-7 People with GO have been found to have a poorer quality of life than patients with other chronic conditions including diabetes, emphysema, and heart failure.^{4,8} Although it is important to establish the impact GO may have on a patient's well-being, it is equally important to understand what factors explain how some people live within normal levels of mood and experience a better quality of life than others.

There is mixed evidence to support an association between clinical factors and quality of life in GO. For instance, although Park et al⁷ found that poorer quality of life was associated

¹Centre for Health Services Research, School of Community & Health Sciences, City University London, London, UK

²Adnexal Department, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, UK

³Community Health Newham, East London Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁴UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology, London, UK

Correspondence: SP Newman, School of Community & Health Sciences, City University London, 10 Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB,

Tel: +44 (0)20 7040 5755; Fax: +44 (0)20 7040 5717. E-mail: Stanton.newman.1 @city.ac.uk

Received: 18 February 2015 Accepted in revised form: 28 March 2015



with more severe disease, including diplopia and dry eyes, Kulig et al⁹ failed to replicate these findings either before or after treatment for GO. Recent literature about appearance and disfigurement has suggested significant variability amongst individuals with disfiguring conditions—with many adjusting positively to living with a visible difference—and that psychological processes rather than objective measurements can better explain this variability in adjustment. 10,11 In GO, Kahaly et al4 found that depressive coping, trivialising the condition, and higher levels of emotional distress were associated with poorer physical and mental quality of life. However, other psychosocial variables, identified within a framework for adjustment to disfigurement as proposed by The Appearance Research Collaboration, 11 have not been investigated within the GO population. The framework suggests that a number of intervening cognitive processes, individual to each patient, might help explain the quality of life in people with a disfiguring condition.

It was hypothesised that there will be large variation in quality of life between individuals with GO, and that intervening psychosocial processes would better explain this variation than demographic or clinical factors.

Materials and methods

Participants

Patients were recruited from Moorfields Eye Hospital, London. Eligible patients aged 18 years or more with a consultant-led diagnosis of GO, and having been listed for orbital decompression surgery, were invited to participate in the study by a researcher (SW). Patients were excluded if they were considered by the consultant ophthalmologist to have inadequate comprehension of written and spoken English, or were suffering from psychiatric or co-morbid health conditions that rendered them too ill or distressed to take part.

Study design

A prospective cross-sectional design was used.

Measures

Demographics Self-reported age, gender, marital status, and ethnicity were collected.

Clinical measures The clinical measures assessed when patients were listed for surgery included ophthalmic disease duration, thyroid function, treatment history, laterality of GO and planned surgery, smoking status, upper and lower margin-reflex distance (MRD1 and MRD2; mm), and the presence of corneal superficial punctate keratopathy, diplopia, and/or signs of hydraulic

orbital disease. Disease activity was measured using the Clinical Activity Scale, 12 a 10-item measure covering four of the five classic signs of inflammation (pain, redness, swelling, and impaired ocular function). Visual acuity was measured for each eye using a Snellen Chart. This was converted to the log of the minimal angle of resolution, ranging between – 0.20 and 2.1, with a score of 2.2 assigned to patients with vision of counting fingers or worse. Optic neuropathy was identified using Ishihara colour testing and the presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect. Proptosis was measured using an Oculus exophthalmometer (in mm), and the degree of asymmetry gauged from the difference between each eye (in mm).

Psychosocial measures Self-report questionnaires were completed by participants at the time they were listed for surgery. The questionnaires chosen for this study were based on a proposed framework of adjustment to GO developed by the research team (Figure 1) adapted from The Appearance Research Collaboration's framework. 11 Existing validated measures were used where possible, and brief versions of questionnaires were adopted to reduce participant burden.

Primary outcome measure Quality of life was measured using the Australian version of Graves' Ophthalmopathy Quality of Life Questionnaire (GO-QOL).7 The GO-QOL is made up of two subscales: 'vision-related' and 'appearance-related' quality of life.5 The GO-QOL has been found to be a valid and reliable disease-specific measure of quality of life with high internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.86$ for the visual function scale and $\alpha = 0.82$ for the appearance scale). 13 Subscale scores were calculated by following the questionnaire guidelines, 13 and higher scores on each subscale indicate better health-related quality of life.

Socio-cognitive factors The Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation scale¹⁴ was used to measure anxiety about others' opinions. This 12-item brief version correlates highly with the original scale ($\alpha = 0.96$), and higher scores indicate a greater fear of negative evaluation from

The Iowa-Netherlands Comparison Orientation Measure¹⁵ measures how well respondents feel they are doing in life when comparing him or herself with others. This 11-item scale has been demonstrated to have good internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.83$), and higher scores indicate a greater tendency to make social comparisons.

The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support¹⁶ measures subjective levels of social support from family, friends, and significant others. The overall scale has demonstrated good internal consistency $(\alpha = 0.88)$ and test-retest reliability (r = 0.85).

PREDISPOSI	ING FACTORS	INTERVENING PSYCHOLOGICAL PROCESSES			OUTCOMES
Demographic (BLOCK 1)	Clinical (BLOCK 2)	Socio-cognitive Factors (BLOCK 3)	Expectations (BLOCK 4)	Appearance-specific Mood Cognitions (BLOCK 6)	Quality of Life
Age Gender Ethnicity Relationship status	Disease duration Smoking Previous treatments Thyroid function Laterality of GO Laterality of surgery Optic neuropathy LogMAR Exophthalmos Asymmetry Corneal SPKS Hydraulic orbit MRD1 MRD2 Diplopia CAS score	Fear of negative evaluation (BFNE) Social comparisons (INCOM) Perceptions of social support (MSPSS)	Expectations for appearance concern (E-TEDS) Expectations for visual function (E-TEDS) Expectations for intimacy and social relationships (E-TEDS)	Appearance-related social anxiety & avoidance (DAS24) Evaluation of own appearance (CARVAL) Salience of appearance (CARSAL) Perceived visibility	Visual function (GO-QOL) Appearance (GO-QOL)

Figure 1 The potential variables to be used in hierarchical multiple regressions to explore factors associated with quality-of-life scores. The framework is adapted from The Appearance Research Collaboration.¹¹

Higher scores on each 4-item subscale indicate greater perceived social support.

Patient expectations of treatment Expectations of GO surgery: In the absence of a GO-specific measure of a patients' expectations of treatment, an existing questionnaire—the Expectations of Strabismus Surgery Questionnaire—the Expectations of Strabismus Surgery Questionnaire—was adapted with the items being reviewed for relevance to GO. The 23-item questionnaire assessed patients' expectations in relation to three domains: 'appearance concerns', 'visual functioning', and 'intimacy and social relationships'. Participants were instructed to rate how they expected surgery to change these aspects of their lives, for instance 'my vision' on a Likert scale from 1 ('Made considerably worse') to 5 ('Considerably improve'). Higher scores indicate a greater expectation for these areas to improve after surgery.

Appearance-specific cognitions The Derriford Appearance Scale¹⁸ measures the impact of appearance-related distress including social anxiety and avoidance. This measure has demonstrated high internal consistency (α = 0.92) and good test–retest reliability (r = 0.82), and higher scores represent greater levels of appearance-related distress and social avoidance.

The Valence and Salience of Appearance scales (CARVAL & CARSAL)¹⁹ measure how an individual evaluates his or her own physical appearance (CARVAL) and the extent to which physical appearance is important to the individual (CARSAL). Higher scores on each brief measure indicate a more negative self-evaluation of appearance and that greater value is placed on appearance, respectively. Both questionnaires have demonstrated high internal consistency (Pearson's *r* correlations between 0.72 and 0.84).

Perceived Visibility of GO: patients were asked to rate how visible they felt their proptosis was to other people on a 7-point Likert scale from 1 ('Not at all visible') to 7 ('Extremely visible').

Mood The Hospital Anxiety & Depression scale (HADS)²⁰ has been designed to screen for depression and anxiety in patients with health problems. Higher total subscale scores on this valid and reliable measure indicate greater levels of anxious or depressed mood. Cut-off scores were also applied to identify non-cases (0–7), doubtful cases (8–10), and cases of possible clinical anxiety or depression (scores of 11 and over).

Statistical analysis

Using G*Power (version 3.1.7, Franz Faul, Universitat Kiel, Kiel, Germany), it was estimated that between 64 and 97 patients would be needed to achieve a power of 90% with effect sizes of 0.45 and 0.9 for the GO-QOL appearance and GO-QOL visual function subscales, respectively.¹³

All other statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 21 (IBM UK Ltd, Portsmouth, UK). Levels of missing data, analysed using Little's Missing Completely at Random test, were shown to be Missing Completely at Random ($\chi^2 = 7127$, df = 8177, P = 1.000), with 11.9% of the data missing at item level. Multiple imputation was undertaken, and 10 imputed data sets were generated. Scale scores for the psychosocial variables were recalculated and the analysis was conducted on all 10 data sets and the results were pooled.

Univariate linear regressions were performed to explore the relationship between each of the independent variables and the GO-QOL subscale scores (dependent variables). Hierarchical multiple regressions were



conducted using only the variables that were found to be significantly associated with each GO-QOL subscale. The hierarchy used to enter the predictors into the regression was based on the framework outlined in Figure 1. Cohen's f^2 was used to calculate effect sizes for each of these regressions.²¹ The variables were also examined for multicollinearity, linearity, and homoscedasticity. Multicollinearity was identified using VIF scores provided in SPSS after each regression analysis, with scores above 10 indicating multicollinearity.²² Histograms and normal probability plots were assessed for linearity and homoscedasticity.

Statement of ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the North London Research Ethics Committee (reference 11/H0724/6). We certify that all applicable institutional and governmental regulations concerning the ethical use of human volunteers were followed during this research.

Results

Among 192 patients identified as eligible for the study, 135 (70%) agreed to take part, and 123 of the 135 enrolled (91%) returned their questionnaire. Two participants' data were removed from analysis because of high proportions of missing data (>50%).

The descriptive characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1.

Summary statistics for the psychosocial variables are shown in Table 2. Possible cases of clinical depression were detected in 26% of patients, and 37% had possible clinical levels of anxiety; 25 (21%) participants experienced both. The large standard deviations for both GO-QOL subscales indicate great variability in adjustment from patient to patient.

Ten of the original 36 variables were significantly associated with the GO-QOL visual function subscale using univariate analyses: age ($F_{1,119} = 16.6$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.14$), optic neuropathy ($F_{1,119} = 15.8$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.15$), log of the minimal angle of resolution ($F_{1,119} = 15.6$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.12$), previous immunosuppression ($F_{1,119} = 11.1$, P = 0.001, $f^2 = 0.09$), asymmetrical GO ($F_{1,119} = 6.12$, P = 0.015, $f^2 = 0.05$), hydraulic orbit ($F_{1,119} = 9.22$, P = 0.003, $f^2 = 0.06$), diplopia ($F_{1,119} = 7.77$, P = 0.006, $f^2 = 0.07$), Clinical Activity Scale ($F_{1,119} = 6.22$, P = 0.014, $f^2 = 0.05$), appearance-related social anxiety and avoidance ($F_{1,119} = 3.95$, P = 0.049, $f^2 = 0.06$), anxiety ($F_{1,119} = 12.9$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.11$), and depression ($F_{1,119} = 41.6$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.36$).

After entry of these variables into the model in the order shown in Figure 1, 55% of the observed sample variation in GO-QOL visual function score was accounted

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample

Variable	n (%)	Range	$Mean \pm SD$
Age (years)		22–79	47.1 ± 12.3
Gender			
Male	33 (27)		
Female	88 (73)		
Ethnicity			
Asian	11 (9.1)		
White British/Irish/other	95 (78)		
Black African/Caribbean/other	15 (12)		
Relationship status			
Married/living with partner	73 (60)		
Single/other	48 (40)		
Disease duration (months)		4–336	62.01 ± 42
Laterality of GO			
Bilateral	101 (83)		
Unilateral	20 (17)		
Laterality of planned surgery			
Bilateral	79 (65)		
Unilateral	42 (35)		
Treatment history			
Previous immunosuppressants	58 (48)		
Previous radiotherapy	18 (15)		
Previous eyelid or orbital surgery	14 (12)		
Thyroid function			
Stable	106 (88)		
Unstable	15 (12)		
Visual acuity (LogMAR conversion) ^a		0–2	0.1 ± 0.4
Superficial punctate keratopathy	39 (32)		
Hydraulic orbital signs	25 (21)		
Optic neuropathy	15 (12)		
Diplopia	62 (51)		
Marginal reflex distance 1 (mm) ^a	` /	1.5-13	5.9 ± 2.1
Marginal reflex distance 2 (mm) ^a		4-11	6.7 ± 1.4
Exophthalmometry (mm) ^a		15-33	23.7 ± 2.7
Asymmetry (mm)		0-8	1.8 ± 1.8
Clinical activity score		0-9	1.12 ± 1.9
Smokers	38 (31)		

^aA worst eye analysis was conducted on these variables, based on the amount of proptosis.

for $(R^2 = 0.55, F_{1,119} = 9.89, P < 0.001, f' = 0.8)$. Beta-coefficients indicated that age, asymmetrical GO, and depression made significant unique contributions to the model, above other factors (Table 3).

Univariate analyses indicated that 13/36 variables were significantly associated with GO-QOL appearance: age $(F_{1,119}=5.42,\,P=0.022,\,f^2=0.05)$, gender $(F_{1,119}=8.13,\,P=0.005,\,f^2=0.07)$, previous surgery $(F_{1,119}=5.55,\,P=0.020,\,f^2=0.06)$, family support $(F_{1,119}=5.12,\,P=0.025,\,f^2=0.04)$, friends support $(F_{1,119}=7.39,\,P=0.008,\,f^2=0.06)$, fear of negative evaluation $(F_{1,119}=58.8,\,P<0.001,\,f^2=0.52)$,

Table 2 Scores for the psychosocial measures at baseline for the study sample

Variable	Min	Max	Max possible	Mean	SD
GO-QOL appearance score	0	93.8	100	36.3	24.1
GOQOL visual function score	2.8	100	100	64.0	26.7
BFNE	14	60	60	38	9.2
INCOM	16	54	55	36	7.2
MSPSS family	4	20	20	15.3	4.5
MSPSS friends	4	20	20	15.2	4.2
MSPSS significant other	4	20	20	15.6	5.2
CARSAL	5	30	30	25.2	4.7
CARVAL	11	48	48	38.7	8.4
DAS24	22	83	96	51.3	13
Men $(n = 33)$	22	83	96	50.7	15
Women $(n = 88)$	22	83	96	51.6	12
Visibility	1	7	7	5.7	1.5
HADS depression	1	21	21	9.2	4.9
HADS anxiety	0	19	21	7.6	4.7

Table 3 The final step of a hierarchical multiple regression model, with GO-QOL visual function score as the dependent variable

	В	SE B	t	р
(Constant)	119.49	13.78	8.67	0.000**
Age	-0.50	0.19	-2.63	0.009*
LogMAR	-11.28	6.45	-1.75	0.080
CAS	-1.92	1.31	-1.46	0.144
Asymmetry	-2.25	1.13	-2.00	0.046*
Optic neuropathy	-4.09	9.54	-0.43	0.669
Hydraulic orbit	2.55	6.47	0.39	0.694
Previous immunomodulation	-6.79	4.90	-1.38	0.168
Diplopia	-4.39	4.29	-1.02	0.307
DAS24	0.05	0.21	0.23	0.815
HADS anxiety	-0.69	0.58	-1.20	0.231
HADS depression	-2.41	0.59	-4.10	0.000**

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

social comparison ($F_{1,119} = 12.2$, P = 0.001, $f^2 = 0.11$), appearance-related social anxiety and avoidance ($F_{1,119} = 60.0$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.59$), salience of appearance ($F_{1,119} = 64.6$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.51$), valence of appearance ($F_{1,119} = 98.9$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.76$), perceived visibility ($F_{1,119} = 27.5$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.24$), anxiety ($F_{1,119} = 42.2$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.39$), and depression ($F_{1,119} = 70.5$, P < 0.001, $f^2 = 0.57$).

After entry of the variables using the same model as before, 75% of the observed sample variation in GO-QOL appearance scores was accounted for ($R^2 = 0.75$, $F_{13,107} = 20.7$, P < 0.001, f = 2.3). Beta-coefficients indicated that gender, appearance-related social anxiety and social avoidance, salience of appearance, valence of appearance, perceived visibility of GO, and

Table 4 The final step of a hierarchical multiple regression model, with GO-QOL appearance-related score as the dependent variable

	В	SE B	t	P-value
(Constant)	132.09	13.84	9.55	0.000**
Age	0.11	0.11	0.94	0.346
Gender	6.56	3.02	2.17	0.03*
Previous surgery	-5.04	5.08	-0.99	0.325
BFNE	-0.23	0.22	-1.03	0.302
INCOM	0.01	0.23	0.06	0.950
MSPSS family	-0.29	0.34	-0.87	0.382
MSPSS friends	0.56	0.37	1.53	0.127
DAS24	-0.39	0.13	-2.93	0.004*
CARSAL	-1.23	0.33	-3.69	0.000**
CARVAL	-0.58	0.21	-2.76	0.006*
Perceived visibility	-2.75	0.96	-2.86	0.004*
HADS anxiety	-0.05	0.39	-0.14	0.891
HADS depression	-1.12	0.43	-2.60	0.009*

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

depression all made significant contributions to the model (Table 4).

Discussion

This study investigated the factors that may be associated with quality of life in patients with GO presenting for orbital decompression surgery. It was found that being older, having asymmetrical proptosis, and having higher levels of depressed mood were associated with poorer vision-related quality of life. Similarly, a greater value being placed on appearance, a more negative evaluation of appearance, greater perceived visibility of GO, and having higher levels of depressed mood were all associated with poorer appearance-related quality of life.

Participants in this study experienced levels of anxiety and depression greater than the general population²³ and those living with other visibly disfiguring conditions.²⁴ GO-QOL visual function scores were comparable to normative values established in a previous GO sample,¹³ but GO-QOL appearance scores were considerably lower. These results suggest that, for many, the changed appearance caused by GO has a greater impact and is more debilitating than previously reported in the literature.

Appearance-related quality of life was significantly associated with gender. For women, the eyes might be regarded as central in perceived attractiveness, and changes in ocular appearance could have a detrimental influence on self-confidence and willingness to appear in photographs. Recent studies have suggested that women with visible differences, including strabismus, may experience higher levels of appearance-related distress than men,^{25,26} which in turn could have an impact on



their quality of life in this domain. Furthermore, age was found to be associated with vision-related quality of life, which might reflect the greater disease severity often found in older age.

Appearance-related cognitions were particularly important in predicting appearance-related quality of life. Increased social anxiety was associated with both poorer vision-related and appearance-related quality of life in this study, analogous to strabismus.²⁷ Increased importance of appearance-related information, as well as having a poorer evaluation of one's own appearance, were also associated with quality of life in this sample. Terwee et al²⁸ found in a study investigating perceptions of the severity of GO in different groups of observers and patients themselves that clinicians tended to under-rate, and patients over-rate, the severity of GO: This emphasises the importance of eliciting a patient's perspective during pre-surgical assessment to improve the chance of generating realistic patient expectations about what surgery can achieve.

A limitation of the study is the cross-sectional design, which does not enable causal direction to be established and longitudinal studies that follow patients over time are needed. If patients in this study were not euthyroid, present hyper- or hypothyroidism could have affected their quality of life. However, recent research found no difference in the quality of life of people with thyroid dysfunction compared with people with normal thyroid levels,²⁹ and it is possible that this may not have biased the results of this study. It is also possible that quality of life may predict mood in GO. However, mood has been found to be a strong predictor of quality of life in strabismus,²⁴ supporting the current findings. Furthermore, by exploring other factors that might explain variance in quality of life in this population, rather than examining quality of life and mood in isolation, this study has expanded on previous studies and has provided a new insight into the experiences of patients with GO.

In conclusion, there was significant variation in quality of life in this sample, suggesting that some people adjust successfully to living with GO, but for others the impact is extreme. Contrary to conventional medical perspectives, this variation was predominantly accounted for by intervening cognitive processes, rather than objective measures. There was, however, evidence that older age and asymmetrical disease were associated with poorer vision-related quality of life. The high proportion of patients with potentially diagnosable clinical depression and anxiety should be of concern to clinicians, and it highlights the need for additional psychosocial support.

Summary

What was known before

 Quality of life in Graves' orbitopathy is reduced owing to changes in appearance and visual dysfunction. In other disfiguring conditions, a variety of psychological processes have explained variation in quality of life.

What this study adds

 Identification of psychological factors that are associated with quality of life over and above clinical factors in Graves' orbitopathy. The severity of the impact of Graves' orbitopathy on mood.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge those who funded the research (City University London and Moorfields Eye Hospital Special Trustees). The authors also thank colleagues at Moorfields Eye Hospital who assisted with recruitment and data collection for the study. DGE and GER received partial funding from the Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology at Moorfields Eye Hospital and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health.

References

- 1 Bahn RS, Heufelder AE. Pathogenesis of Graves' ophthalmopathy. N Engl J Med 1993; 329: 1468–1475.
- 2 Dickinson AJ. Clinical manifestations. In: Wiersinga WM, Kahaly GJ (eds). Graves' Orbitopathy: A Multidisciplinary Approach — Questions and Answers. Karger: Basel, Switzerland, 2010 pp 1–25.
- 3 Jensen AL, Harder I. The impact of bodily change on social behaviour in patients with Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. Scand J Caring Sci 2011; 25(2): 341–349.
- 4 Kahaly GJ, Hardt J, Petrak F, Egle UT. Psychosocial factors in subjects with thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. *Thyroid* 2002; 12(3): 237–239.
- 5 Choi YJ, Lim HT, Lee SJ, Lee SY, Yoon JS. Assessing Graves' ophthalmopathy-specific quality of life in Korean patients. Eye 2012; 26(4): 544–551.
- 6 Ponto KA, Hommel G, Pitz S, Elflein H, Pfeiffer N, Kahaly GJ. Quality of life in a German Graves orbitopathy population. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2011; **152**(3): 483–490.
- 7 Park JJ, Sullivan TJ, Mortimer RH, Wagenaar M, Perry-Keene DA. Assessing quality of life in Australian patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy. Br J Ophthalmol 2004; 88: 75–78.
- 8 Gerding MN, Terwee CB, Dekker FW, Koornneef L, Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM. Quality of life in patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy is markedly decreased:

- measurement by the medical outcomes study instrument. *Thyroid* 1997; 7(6): 885–889.
- 9 Kulig G, Andrysiak-Mamos E, Sowinska-Przepiera E, Kulig J, Karakiewicz B, Brodowski J et al. Quality of life assessment in patients with Graves' disease and progressive infiltrative ophthalmopathy during combined treatment with methylprednisolone and orbital radiotherapy. Endokrynol Pol 2009; 60(3): 158–165.
- 10 Rumsey N, Clarke A, White P, Wyn-Williams M, Garlick W. Altered body image: appearance-related concerns of people with visible disfigurement. *J Adv Nurs* 2004; 48(5): 443–453.
- 11 Clarke A, Thompson AR, Jenkinson E, Rumsey N, Newell R. CBT for Appearance Anxiety. John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK, 2014.
- 12 Mourits MP, Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM, Koornneef L. Clinical activity score as a guide in the management of patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy. Clin Endocrinol 1997; 47: 9–14
- 13 Terwee CB, Dekker FW, Mourits MP, Gerding MN, Baldeschi L, Kalmann R et al. Interpretation and validity of changes in scores on the Graves' ophthalmopathy quality of life questionnaire (GO-QOL) after different treatments. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2001; 54(3): 391–398.
- 14 Leary MR. A brief version of the Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale. *Personal Soc Psychol Bull* 1983; **9**(3): 371–375.
- 15 Gibbons FX, Buunk BP. Individual differences in social comparison: development of a scale of social comparison orientation. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1999; 76(1): 129–142.
- 16 Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, Farley GK. The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *J Personal Assess* 1988; 52(1): 30–41.
- 17 McBain HB, MacKenzie KA, Hancox J, Ezra DG, Adams GGW, Newman SP. Why do patients with strabismus choose to undergo realignment surgery and what are their expectations of post-surgical outcomes? Development of two questionnaires. *Br J Ophthal* (under review).
- 18 Carr T, Moss TP, Harris DL. The DAS24: a short form of the Derriford Appearance Scale DAS59 to measure individual

- responses to living with problems of appearance. *Br J Health Psychol* 2005; **10**: 285–298.
- 19 Moss TP, Rosser BA. The moderated relationship of appearance valence on appearance self-consciousness: development and testing of new measures of appearance schema components. PLoS One 2012; 7(11): 1–7.
- 20 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983; **67**: 361–370 1987.
- Cohen J. A power primer. Psychol Bull 1992; 112(1): 155–159.
- 22 Field A. *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*, 3rd edn. Sage: London, UK, 2009.
- 23 Crawford J, Henry J, Crombie C, Taylor EP. Brief report normative data for the HADS from a large non-clinical sample. Br J Clin Psychol 2001; 40(4): 429–434.
- 24 Martin CR, Newell RJ. Factor structure of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in individuals with facial disfigurement. *Psychol Health Med* 2004; 9(3): 327–336.
- 25 Rumsey N, Clarke A, White P. Exploring the psychosocial concerns of outpatients with disfiguring conditions. *J Wound Care* 2003; 12(7): 247–252.
- 26 James H, Jenkinson E, Harrad R, Ezra DG, Newman S. Appearance concerns in ophthalmic patients. *Eye* 2011; 25: 1039–1044.
- 27 McBain H, MacKenzie KA, Au C, Hancox J, Ezra DG, Adams GG *et al.* Factors associated with quality of life and mood in adults with strabismus. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2014; **98**(4): 550–555.
- 28 Terwee CB, Dekker FW, Bonsel GJ, Heisterkamp SH, Prummel MF, Baldeschi L *et al.* Facial disfigurement: Is it in the eye of the beholder? A study in patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2003; **58**(2): 192–198.
- 29 Klaver EI, van Loon HCM, Stienstra R, Links TP, Keers JC, Kema IP et al. Thyroid hormone status and health-related quality of life in the lifelines cohort study. *Thyroid* 2013; 23(9): 1066–1073.