

Aran, A. & Ginat, R. (2014). Revisiting Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel under Mubarak: From Cold Peace to Strategic Peace. *The Journal of Strategic Studies*, 37(4), pp. 556-583. doi: 10.1080/01402390.2014.923766



**CITY UNIVERSITY  
LONDON**

[City Research Online](#)

**Original citation:** Aran, A. & Ginat, R. (2014). Revisiting Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel under Mubarak: From Cold Peace to Strategic Peace. *The Journal of Strategic Studies*, 37(4), pp. 556-583. doi: 10.1080/01402390.2014.923766

**Permanent City Research Online URL:** <http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/6712/>

### **Copyright & reuse**

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

### **Versions of research**

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

### **Enquiries**

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at [publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk).



**Revisiting Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel under Mubarak: From Cold Peace to Strategic Peace**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Strategic Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	FJSS-2014-0024.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Egyptian foreign policy, Israel, strategic peace

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Revisiting Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel under Mubarak: From Cold Peace to Strategic Peace

This article examines Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel under former President Hosni Mubarak (1981-2011). Drawing on primary sources in Hebrew, Arabic and English, much of which have not yet been utilized, and interviews conducted in Israel and Egypt, it challenges a deeply entrenched and, we believe, mistaken view that Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel under Mubarak consisted of cold peace.<sup>1</sup> We demonstrate that since the early 1990s to the ousting of Mubarak in January 2011, Egypt's foreign policy towards Israel consisted of what we term as *strategic peace*. Informed by this finding, we use the case of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel as a heuristic to develop a conception of a new type of peace, *strategic peace*, as an intermediary category between cold and stable peace. This conception, we argue, is particularly useful for explaining a somewhat overlooked aspect in the growing IR literature<sup>2</sup> on the evolution of peaceful foreign policy orientations between states: how and

---

<sup>1</sup> The cold peace school of thought includes: Abdul-Monem Al-Mashat, 'Egyptian Attitudes toward the Peace Process: Views of an "Alert Elite"', *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 1983, pp. 394-411; Ali E. Hillal Dessouki, 'Egyptian Foreign Policy since Camp David' in, William B. Quandt (ed.), *The Middle East: Ten Years After Camp David* (Washington DC: Brookings Institution, 1988); Fawaz A. Gerges, 'Egyptian-Israeli Relations Turn Sour', *Foreign Affairs* Vol. 74., No. 3, 1995, pp. 69-78; Kenneth W. Stein, 'Continuity and Change in Egyptian-Israeli Relations, 1973-1997', *Israel Affairs*, Vol. 3. No. 3-4, 1997, pp. 297-320; Shawn Pine, 'Myopic Vision: Whither Israeli-Egyptian relations?' *Israel Affairs*, Vol. 3, No. 3-4, 1997, pp. 321-334; Duncan L. Clarke, *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 1997, pp. 200-214; Yaacov Bar-Siman-Tov, 'Israel-Egypt Peace: Stable Peace?' In Arie M. Kacowicz, Yaacov Bar-Siman-Tov, Ole Elgstrom, Magnus Jerneck (eds) *Stable Peace Among Nations* (London: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000); Jacob Abadi, 'Egypt's Policy Toward Israel: The Impact of Foreign and Domestic Constraints', *Israel Affairs*, Vol. 12 No. 1, 2006, pp. 156-176; Ewan Stein, 'The "Camp David Consensus": Ideas, Intellectuals, and the Division of Labor in Egypt's Foreign Policy toward Israel', *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, 2011, pp. 737-758.

<sup>2</sup> See Karl. W. Deutsch, *Political Community and the North Atlantic Area* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1957); Kenneth Boulding, *Stable Peace* (Austin, TX: University of Texas Press, 1978); Stephen R. Rock, *Why Peace Breaks Out : Great Power Rapprochement in Historical Perspective* (Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 1989); Emanuel Adler and Michael Barnett, eds., *Security Communities* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998); Stephen R. Rock, *Appeasement in International Politics* (Lexington, KY: University of Kentucky Press, 2000); Arie Kacowicz, Yaakov Bar-Siman-Tov, Ole Elgstrom, and Magnus Jerneck, eds., *Stable Peace Among Nations* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000).; Charles A. Kupchan, *How Enemies Become Friends: The Sources of Stable Peace* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2010).

1  
2  
3 why, when liberal and constructivist mechanisms are limited or non-existent, do former foes'  
4 foreign policy stances progress from one type of peace to a more robust kind of peace? We  
5 show that the notion of strategic peace rather than cold peace or types of stable peace—e.g.,  
6 rapprochement, security communities, unions—better explains this phenomenon, and focus  
7 on four analytical explanatory variables: impact of great powers, propensity to revert to war,  
8 and the roles played by statecraft and the social context, with special reference to the  
9 economic and intellectual elites.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **How does IR Conceptualize Peace Categories?**

23  
24  
25 The study of peace is central to the IR discipline, but how and why peace *between* states  
26 emerges, stabilizes and consolidates has received scant attention.<sup>3</sup> The war/peace dichotomy  
27 typical of IR, is criticized by Miller<sup>4</sup> as being too broad and imprecise to capture the different  
28 types of peace-oriented foreign policy stances that states pursue. Also, Kacowitz and  
29 Kupchan demonstrate that most of the literature on this topic draws on the experience of the  
30 industrialized, democratic West, not undemocratic and poor states.<sup>5</sup> However, a burgeoning  
31 body of work seeks to address these gaps by distinguishing theoretically, conceptually, and  
32 analytically between different categories of peace.<sup>6</sup>  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 On one side of the debate is the notion of *cold peace*, which has been theoretically and  
48 empirically applied to a range of cross-regional case studies.<sup>7</sup> Cold peace describes the type  
49 of peace that emerges in a period at the conclusion of war between two or more states, and is  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 <sup>3</sup> Kacowicz et al., *Stable Peace*; Kupchan, *How Enemies Become Friends*, p. 21.

55 <sup>4</sup> Benjamin Miller, *States, Nations, and the Great Powers* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007).

56 <sup>5</sup> Miller, *ibid*, p. 44; Kacowitz et al., *ibid*, p. 11; Kupchan, *ibid.*, p. 21.

57 <sup>6</sup> *Op cit* fn. 2.

58 <sup>7</sup> Miller, *ibid*, pp. 46, 219-256, 308-308; Kupchan, *ibid*, p. 30.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 based on formal agreements and diplomatic relations. Former foes commonly assume that a  
4  
5 resumption of war in the short run is unlikely. However, it remains subject to the parties'  
6  
7 calculations and is reflected in the deployment of international border forces, contingency  
8  
9 plans, military doctrine, war planning, training and deployment of weapons. Kacowitz et al.  
10  
11 underline that cold peace does not involve use of force as a foreign policy tool, not even for  
12  
13 signalling or show-of-force purposes. Rather, the focus is diplomacy aimed at conflict  
14  
15 reduction, negotiation and crisis-prevention. This excellent study also emphasizes the  
16  
17 tenuousness of cold peace; it lacks cooperative institutions, confidence building measures and  
18  
19 cooperation over non-security issues. Relations are almost exclusively at intergovernmental  
20  
21 level and characterized by suspicion and uncertainty between former foes; cooperation does  
22  
23 not extend to non-state actors. In addition, its social context does not reflect the shift from  
24  
25 conflict to peace. Thus, historical narratives, media coverage, symbols, e.g., charters and  
26  
27 flags, that shaped the former period of conflict, remain unchanged.<sup>8</sup>  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Regional factors further weaken cold peace; regional conflicts may have been mitigated but  
37  
38 are not resolved and belligerent groups opposed to cold peace could resurge in one or more  
39  
40 states in the region and push for a renewal of hostilities or even war.<sup>9</sup> Consequently, as Bull's  
41  
42 work anticipated, the special responsibilities of the great powers are significant for upholding  
43  
44 cold peace and promoting international order, peace and stability.<sup>10</sup> Miller<sup>11</sup> draws on  
45  
46 collective goods theory, arguing that cold peace requires a global hegemon with clear  
47  
48 advantages relative to other powers, *and* an intrinsic interest in producing the common good  
49  
50

51 <sup>8</sup> Kacowicz et. al., *Stable Peace*, p. 11.

52 <sup>9</sup> Miller, *States, Nations, and the Great Powers*, pp. 20, 45.

53 <sup>10</sup> Headly Bull, *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics* (London: Macmillan Press, 1977), pp.  
54 194-223.

55 <sup>11</sup> Michael C. Desch, *When the World Matters: Latin America and United States Grand Strategy* (Baltimore, MD:  
56 Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993, p. 10; Paul A. Papayoanou, 'Great Powers and Regional Orders:  
57 Possibilities and Prospects After the Cold War', in David A. Lake and Patrick M. Morgan (eds), *Regional Orders:  
58 Building Security in a New World* (University Park, PA: Penn State University Press, 1997).  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of peace and stability.<sup>12</sup> Intrinsic interest refers to the material resources in the region and the  
4  
5 potential security threats posed by certain regional actors. Stein and Tuval demonstrate that  
6  
7 the great powers can exert influence by providing material support, mediation among the  
8  
9 parties, moderate pressure on allies, deterring potential peace spoilers and reassurance to its  
10  
11 supporters.<sup>13</sup> A hegemon, thus, enables a strategic environment that, for security and  
12  
13 economic reasons, makes it profitable to reinforce peace-oriented foreign policy stances and  
14  
15 costly to resist them.<sup>14</sup>  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Gradually, cold peace can develop into more robust types of peace. Deutsch and Kupchan  
23  
24 employ *realism* to highlight the use of statecraft in this process. They emphasize that,  
25  
26 provided the country in question has a stable regime—which we argue was the perception of  
27  
28 Egypt throughout Mubarak’s Presidency—deliberate restraint via acts of accommodation and  
29  
30 cooperation, signals to former adversaries benign intent and consolidation of a basis for stable  
31  
32 and positive evaluation of each other’s motivations. This generates common recognition of a  
33  
34 relationship driven not mainly by geopolitical competition, but by the pursuit of mutually  
35  
36 reinforcing interests.<sup>15</sup>  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>12</sup> Benjamin Miller, ‘Sources of Regional Peace’, in Kacowicz et al., *Stable Peace among Nations*, pp. 63; Miller,  
52 *States, Nations and the Great Powers*, pp. 208-211.

53 <sup>13</sup> Janice G. Stein, ‘Deterrence and Reassurance’, in Philip Tetlock et al., *Behaviour, Society, and International*  
54 *Conflict* (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 1991. Saadia Touval, ‘The Superpowers as Mediators’ in, Jacob  
55 Bercovitch and Jeffrey Z. Rubin (eds), *Mediation in International Relations: Multiple Approaches to Conflict*  
56 *Management* (New York: St. Martins Press, 1992).

57 <sup>14</sup> Miller, *States, Nations and the Great Powers*, p. 219-220.

58 <sup>15</sup> Deutsch, *Political Community*, pp. 30-31, 40, 66; Kupchan, *How Enemies*, p. 44-45,  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Constructivist scholarship<sup>16</sup> examines the role of social context in the evolution from cold  
4  
5 peace to more robust types of peace. They highlight as a major achievement, the  
6  
7 transformation in foreign policy-makers' perceptions of the relationship with a former foe,  
8  
9 from belligerence to peace based. This 'strategic learning' process required some redefinition  
10  
11 of the parties' national interests since maintaining mutual peace was crucial for common  
12  
13 understanding of security, increased trade and societal integration. Consequently, the parties  
14  
15 had to commit to political settlement and the new status quo; acceptance developed into  
16  
17 satisfaction with peace, manifested in the declarations and actions of the ruling and  
18  
19 oppositional elites, interest groups and the general public. Trust and respect among the parties  
20  
21 evolved and reinforced the predictability and confidence underpinning peace. The confluence  
22  
23 of these perceptual changes produced a common normative framework that informed the  
24  
25 perceptions and actions of the states involved in routine interactions. Though highly  
26  
27 significant, these constructivist dynamics took effect only after statecraft was harnessed to  
28  
29 promotion of mutually reinforcing strategic interests.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Despite drawing almost exclusively on the experience of rich western democratic states,  
39  
40 *Liberal* perspectives<sup>17</sup> on shifts in peaceful foreign policy stances are also pertinent. They  
41  
42 highlight the role played by supranational institutions in fostering cooperation, opening  
43  
44 communication channels and deepening the economic ties employed to establish a common  
45  
46 balance of prosperity rather than power, threat or terror.<sup>18</sup> In this context, cooperation extends  
47  
48 beyond the realm of security, which may lead to societal integration via travel,  
49  
50  
51  
52

---

53 <sup>16</sup> We draw on: Kacowicz et al., pp. 25-26, 31; Boulding, *Stable Peace*, pp. 112-113; Kupchan, *How Enemies*, p.  
54 24.

55 <sup>17</sup> E.g. Boulding, *Stable Peace*, pp. 17-18, 63; Deutsch, *Political Community*, pp. 5, 36. 176-179; Kacowicz et al.,  
56 *Stable Peace*, p. 14, 28; Bruce Russett, and Harvey Star, *World Politics: The Menu of Choice*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition (New-  
57 York: W.H. Freeman, 1992), pp. 376-398; Kupchan, *How Enemies Become Friends*, pp. 46-50.

58 <sup>18</sup> Alfred Tovas, 'The Economic Aspects of Stable Peace-Making' in Kacowicz et al., *Stable Peace*, pp. 150-165.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 communication and economic interdependence. Class-based alliances and interest groups  
4  
5 working towards consolidation of peace may emerge, generating a spill-over effect and  
6  
7 trickle down of non-military public goods that reinforces societal integration. Liberal  
8  
9 accounts also pay attention to the influence of the nature of the regime on transitions within  
10  
11 the peace spectrum. Adherents of Democratic Peace Theory, for instance, argue that  
12  
13 democracies have intrinsic pacific features including use of institutional restraint, power  
14  
15 checking devices, transparency, which encourage a peace-oriented type of international  
16  
17 behaviour. This literature argues that the proliferation of democracies helps to consolidate  
18  
19 peace-oriented foreign policy stances.<sup>19</sup>  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Kupchan demonstrates that the convergence of these realist, constructivist and liberal  
28  
29 dynamics results in states' cold peace foreign policy stances being replaced by stable peace:  
30  
31 'groupings of two or more states that succeed in escaping the logic of power and significantly  
32  
33 muting if not altogether eliminating geopolitical competition'.<sup>20</sup> Stable peace is a broad  
34  
35 category that includes different types of peace. Some of them, e.g., unions<sup>21</sup> and security  
36  
37 communities<sup>22</sup>, are irrelevant to the case of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 Therefore, we focus on the type of peace denoted by the notion of rapprochement—  
45  
46 developed by Deutsch, Boulding, George, Russett et al. and Kupchan. Rapprochement entails  
47  
48 longevity which indicates the consolidation of peace. During periods of rapprochement, in  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>19</sup> On democratic peace theory see Christopher Layne, 'Kant or Cant: The Myth of the Democratic Peace,  
52 *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1994, pp. 5-49.

53 <sup>20</sup> Kupchan, *How Enemies Become Friends*, p. 30. Some, e.g., Miller, *States, Nations, and the Great Powers*, p.  
54 48-49, have opted for the notion of 'warm peace'. However, the term stable peace is more common in debates  
55 and thus is adopted here.

56 <sup>21</sup> See Kupchan, *How Enemies Become Friends*, pp. 8-9.

57 <sup>22</sup> See Emanuel Adler and Michael Barnett, eds., *Security Communities* (Cambridge: Cambridge University  
58 Press, 1998).  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 regions where violent disputes have been mitigated or resolved, long-standing adversaries  
4  
5 retreat from armed rivalry and their expectations shift from conflict to peaceful coexistence.<sup>23</sup>  
6  
7 Hence, rapprochement involves a significant cognitive shift such that the possibility of war is  
8  
9 so small as not to enter the calculations of the involved states or peoples. It withstands elite  
10  
11 changes and regional and/or international shifts, rendering support from a hegemon  
12  
13 unnecessary.<sup>24</sup> Rapprochement also involves a shift in social context from conflict to  
14  
15 reconciliation and deepening societal integration. This includes increased presence of  
16  
17 officialdom, interest groups' lobbying for peace, and ties among commercial elites,  
18  
19 intellectuals and ordinary citizens. New narratives and identities are generated via elite  
20  
21 statements, popular culture and the adoption of new political symbols - flags, charters,  
22  
23 anthems, and new domestic discourses are adopted that alter perceptions of 'the other' that  
24  
25 underpinned former relationships between states and peoples.<sup>25</sup> In a context of  
26  
27 rapprochement, any persisting conflicts are resolved through the employment of non-violent  
28  
29 foreign policy tools mentioned earlier, but also international institutions, which open  
30  
31 communication channels. Deepening economic ties are used to establish a common  
32  
33 prosperity rather than power, threat or terror.<sup>26</sup> The result is a relationship between  
34  
35 governmental and non-governmental elites that is based on trust, respect and routine flows of  
36  
37 information and is, therefore, predictable.<sup>27</sup>  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

---

50  
51 <sup>23</sup> Kupchan, *How Enemies*, p. 30.

52 <sup>24</sup> Karl W. Deutsch, *Political Community*, pp. 5, 36; Kenneth E. Boulding, *Stable Peace*, p. 13. See also Alexander  
53 L. George, 'From Conflict to Peace: Stages along the Road', *United States Institute of Peace Journal* Vol. 5, No.  
54 6, 1992, pp. 7-9; Bruce M. Russett and Harvey Starr, *World Politics: A Menu for Choice* (New York: W.H.  
55 Freeman, 1992), p. 356.

56 <sup>25</sup> Kupchan, *How Enemies*, p. 6.

57 <sup>26</sup> Tovias, 'The Economic Aspects', pp. 150-165; Kacowicz et al., *Stable Peace*, p. 17; Kupchan, *How Enemies*,  
58 p.31.

59 <sup>27</sup> Adler and Barnett, *Security Communities*, pp. 3, 7.  
60

## Current Conceptualization and Explanations of Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel under Mubarak: The Cold Peace School of Thought

We turn from the IR literature's conception and explanation of the evolution of peaceful foreign policy-orientations between states, to current debate on Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel under Mubarak. This has been explained exclusively through the prism of cold peace. Steven Cook highlights the hegemonic role of the US, which produced a strategic environment that provided security and economic incentives for Egypt to maintain a cold-peace towards Israel, and made resistance costly. Specifically, US civilian aid increased from \$85 million annually following the 1974 Sinai I Agreement, to \$1 billion following the signing of the Egyptian-Israeli Camp David Agreement. The US aid package also included a military component, which, by the 1980s, amounted to \$1.3 billion in grants.<sup>28</sup> In line with cold peace, as Eilts shows, the thrust of US aid was towards conflict reduction, and rewarding Egypt for not reengaging in war with Israel; broader strategic concerns, e.g. containing Soviet influence on the Middle East and securing the free flow of oil via the Suez Canal, though important were secondary.<sup>29</sup>

Hasan Ali, Stein and Abadi identify a second pillar of Egypt's cold peace stance towards Israel: commitment not to revert to war, strongly reflected in President Mubarak's statements,<sup>30</sup> and Egypt's foreign policy behaviour. Egypt responded to several foreign policy

---

<sup>28</sup> Data retrieved from Steven A. Cook, *The Struggle for Egypt: From Nasser to Tahrir Square* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), pp. 219-220.

<sup>29</sup> Hermann Frederick Eilts, 'The United States and Egypt' in William B. Quandt (ed.), *The Middle East: Ten Years After Camp David* (Washington DC: The Brookings Institution, 1988), pp. 111-150.

<sup>30</sup> See e.g., Mubarak's speech on the anniversary of Nasser's death, <http://wikileaks.org/cable/1986/11/86CAIRO26111.html>, accessed 18 March, 2013; memoirs of the then Egyptian Foreign Minister, Kamal Hasan Ali, *Warriors and Peace Makers* (Cairo: Al-Ahram Centre, 1986), p. 265; Interviews with Mubarak by al-Tadamun, 5 November 1983 and Ra'i al-Amn, 8 October 1986 quoted in Stein, *Continuity and Change*, p. 307.

1  
2  
3 challenges from Israel<sup>31</sup> using non-violent foreign policy tools, and the Sinai Peninsula  
4 remained a virtual demilitarized zone. These accounts provide reasons for why, throughout  
5 the period, Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel consisted of cold peace. Specifically,  
6 following the signing of the Camp David Accords, most Arab states severed diplomatic  
7 relations with Egypt. Thus, one of Mubarak's fundamental foreign policy goals on assuming  
8 power, was to re-integrate Egypt into the Arab fold. However, the Arab states made it clear  
9 that further normalization with Israel would result in reinforcement of their boycott of  
10 Egypt.<sup>32</sup> This rendered rapprochement with Israel, and Egypt's re-integration with the Arab  
11 states mutually exclusive foreign policies. Also, the Camp David Agreement reflected  
12 Egypt's stance that rapprochement with Israel was inextricably linked with achieving a  
13 comprehensive peace in the Middle East.<sup>33</sup> In the first instance, this included implementation  
14 of the autonomy plan for the Palestinians stipulated by the Camp David Accords. However,  
15 this was hampered by Israeli settlement expansions and ongoing conflict with the Palestinian  
16 Liberation Organization (PLO). Thus, in 1982 the Egyptian-Israeli 'normalization' process  
17 had ground to a halt.<sup>34</sup>

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 Sultan and Dowek argue that another major impediment to this process was the immovable  
41 character of the social context of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel, which was reflective  
42 more of conflict than peace. Senior Egyptian diplomats described relations with the Israelis as  
43  
44  
45

---

46  
47 <sup>31</sup> The challenges include: 1981 bombing of the Iraqi reactor in Osirak; annexation of the Golan Heights by  
48 Israel in 1981; 1982 invasion of Lebanon and the Beirut siege; the territorial dispute with Israel over Taba;  
49 repression of the 1987 Palestinian Intifada. Stein, *Continuity and Change*, pp. 305-306; Abadi, *Egypt's Policy*, p.  
50 171.

51 <sup>32</sup> See Egyptian and Israeli sources respectively: Ali, *Warriors and Peace Makers*, pp. 247-251; Ephraim Dowek,  
52 *Israeli-Egyptian Relations, 1980-2000* (London: Frank Cass, 20001), pp. 310-311.

53 <sup>33</sup> See full text in:

54 <http://new.mfa.gov.il/MFA/ForeignPolicy/Peace/Guide/Pages/Camp%20David%20Accords.aspx>, accessed 16  
55 May, 2013.

56 <sup>34</sup> E.g., by 1990 all cultural and social contacts had ceased, trade fell from US \$80million in 1982 to  
57 US\$12million in 1990, requirements for exit visas from Egypt to enter Israel remained in place. Dowek, *Israeli-  
58 Egyptian Relations*, pp. 110-115.

1  
2  
3 rife with 'fear' and 'suspicion',<sup>35</sup> while Israeli diplomats failed to establish meaningful  
4  
5 dialogue with their Egyptian counterparts.<sup>36</sup> Stein argues that such attitudes institutionalized  
6  
7 the 'norm of distrustful relations'<sup>37</sup>, which resulted in no meetings at head-of-state level  
8  
9 during the first decade under Mubarak.<sup>38</sup>  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Concurrently, the cold peace stance was reflected in deliberate measures taken by Egypt to  
17  
18 reduce the scope for societal integration. For example, the chairs of the upper and lower  
19  
20 Egyptian parliaments were banned from visiting Israel, businessmen and industrialists trying  
21  
22 to forge economic relations with Israelis found the barriers almost insurmountable,<sup>39</sup> and  
23  
24 Egyptian universities refused to cooperate with Israeli academic institutions. Professional  
25  
26 associations and trade unions passed official resolutions rejecting peace with Israel and  
27  
28 outlawing relations with Israelis, and the government-controlled Egyptian media were highly  
29  
30 critical of Israel and Israelis.<sup>40</sup>  
31  
32

33  
34  
35  
36  
37 The cold peace school of thought also devotes attention to Egypt's foreign policy towards  
38  
39 Israel *during the 1990s*, arguing that it remained unchanged. Stein and Abadi contend that,  
40  
41 throughout the Israeli-PLO peace negotiations, Egypt kept the 'minimum substance' required  
42  
43 by the Camp David agreement whilst regularly criticizing Israel. Following the victory of the  
44  
45 Binyamin Netanyahu's right-wing party, Egypt continuously berated Israel for reneging on  
46  
47  
48  
49

---

50  
51 <sup>35</sup> Nabil Fahmy quoted in David Sultan, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem: The Normalisation between the Arab*  
52 *States and Israel—the Case of Egypt* (Tel-Aviv: The Institute for Diplomacy and Regional Cooperation, 2007), p.  
53 24.

54 <sup>36</sup> Dowek, *Israeli-Egyptian Relations*, pp. 67-68.

55 <sup>37</sup> Stein, *Continuity and Change*, p. 304.

56 <sup>38</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 128.

57 <sup>39</sup> Interview with Mr Mayor Admon, Deputy Head of International Department, Israeli Ministry of Finance, 16  
58 August, 2012.

59 <sup>40</sup> Dowek, *Israeli-Egyptian Relations*, pp. 68-7, 85-99.  
60

1  
2  
3 the Oslo Process and trying to sabotage the peace talks.<sup>41</sup> Also, Gerges argues that the cold  
4  
5 peace became freezing due to several points of contention between Egypt and Israel. These  
6  
7 included Egyptian fears that Israel was using the peace process to establish itself as an  
8  
9 economic power in the Middle East at the expense of Egypt and that direct negotiations  
10  
11 between Israel and Arab states would marginalize the role of Egypt in the Middle East. Egypt  
12  
13 also demanded that Israel disarms its alleged nuclear arsenal and that the Middle East should  
14  
15 become a nuclear weapons-free zone.<sup>42</sup> Bar Siman-Tov characterizes Egyptian-Israeli  
16  
17 relations during the 1990s as a 'competition' likely to turn cold peace into cold war<sup>43</sup> while  
18  
19 Pine, reflecting the narrow focus of the 1990s debates, is primarily concerned about 'whither  
20  
21 Egyptian-Israeli relations?'<sup>44</sup> Towards the end of the 1990s, research on Egyptian foreign  
22  
23 policy towards Israel was minimal, with Ginat's and Noeima's (2011) *Egypt's response to the*  
24  
25 *second Palestinian Intifada*, the only academic study devoted to Egyptian foreign policy  
26  
27 towards Israel post 2000.<sup>45</sup>  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **Revisiting Egyptian Foreign Policy towards Israel during the 1990s: The Emergence of** 37 38 **Egypt's Hybrid Foreign Policy Stance**

39  
40  
41 Against this backdrop the following section challenges the conventional wisdom that  
42  
43 throughout the 1990s Egypt retained its cold peace foreign policy posture towards Israel. We  
44  
45 do not dispute claims that, during the 1990s, Egypt's foreign policy towards Israel contained  
46  
47 some elements of cold peace. However, as we show in this section, there were concurrent  
48  
49 changes to key determinants of Egyptian foreign policy, which in our view, demonstrate that  
50  
51  
52

---

53 <sup>41</sup> Stein, *Continuity and Change*; Abadi, *Egypt's Policy towards Israel*, p. 173.

54 <sup>42</sup> Gerges, *Egyptian-Israeli Relations*, pp. 69-73, 75-78.

55 <sup>43</sup> Siman-Tov, *Israel-Egypt Peace*, pp. 231-235.

56 <sup>44</sup> Pine, *Myopic Vision*.

57 <sup>45</sup> Rami Ginat and Meir Noema, *Egypt and the Second Palestinian Intifada: Policymaking with Multifaceted*  
58 *Commitments* (Sussex: Sussex Academic Press, 2011).  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Egypt adopted a hybrid foreign policy towards Israel. This resulted in a more robust type of  
4  
5 peaceful foreign policy stance towards Israel than cold peace, but one that was more tenuous  
6  
7 than stable peace. We illustrate our claim in relation to four explanatory variables, the first  
8  
9 being the role of the superpowers in the form of the US.  
10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 After the cold war and the collapse of the Soviet Union, the US consolidated its position as a  
17  
18 global hegemon, especially in the Middle East, strengthening its strategic relationship with  
19  
20 Egypt. During the 1990-1991 US-led Gulf War, Egypt's contribution (the 4th largest) of  
21  
22 35,000 soldiers to the US-led coalition, significantly enhanced the political legitimacy of the  
23  
24 military campaign to oust Iraqi forces from Kuwait. In return, the US, Japan, Arab and  
25  
26 European creditor countries, agreed to write off \$30 billion of Egyptian debt over a period of  
27  
28 four years.<sup>46</sup> Simultaneously, beginning in the early 1990s, the US Treasury, the International  
29  
30 Monetary Fund and the World Bank put pressure on Egypt to restructure its economy in line  
31  
32 with the then prevailing 'Washington Consensus'—which was urging fiscal austerity,  
33  
34 privatization and market liberalization. Thus, in addition to the debt relief and direct US aid  
35  
36 on-going since the 1970s, Egypt was dependent on the US for securing economic support  
37  
38 from international financial institutions.<sup>47</sup>  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 These developments cast Egyptian-US relations in a new light. During the 1980s, as expected  
47  
48 from the role played by the superpowers in the context of cold peace, US support to Egypt  
49  
50 was geared primarily towards conflict reduction. However, after the 1990-1991 Gulf War, the  
51  
52 thrust of US support for Egypt was promoting mutually reinforcing strategic interests; Egypt  
53  
54

55  
56 <sup>46</sup> Cook, *The Struggle for Egypt*, pp. 161-162; Dowek, *Israeli-Egyptian Relations*, pp. 306-308.

57 <sup>47</sup> Alan Richards and John Waterbury, *A Political Economy of the Middle East* (Boulder, CO: Westview, 2008),  
58 pp. 248-252.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 became one of the key Arab states supporting post-cold war US policy in the Middle East,  
4  
5 and the US became the main international guarantor of the feeble Egyptian economy and its  
6  
7 security needs. This qualitative shift in US-Egyptian relationships was linked inextricably  
8  
9 with Egypt's pursuit of a foreign policy towards Israel, based on a more robust type of peace,  
10  
11 which ensured US commitment to supporting Egypt's financial and security needs, and vice  
12  
13 versa. In this respect, the US role of a superpower sustaining and rewarding Egypt's peaceful  
14  
15 foreign policy stance towards Israel, was more forceful than its role during cold peace. Rather  
16  
17 than being geared only towards conflict reduction, it promoted mutually reinforcing strategic  
18  
19 interests. However, Egypt's peaceful foreign policy stance fell short of rapprochement, which  
20  
21 would not require the support of a great power.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Concurrently, a more significant shift in the determinants of Egypt's foreign policy towards  
30  
31 Israel was underway. During the 1980s Egyptian and Israeli foreign policy interests were  
32  
33 mutually exclusive, which meant that neither could harness statecraft to forge a more robust  
34  
35 type of peace. This situation changed in the 1990s; the experience of fighting alongside the  
36  
37 US to defeat Saddam Hussein was auspicious for Egypt's involvement in Arab-Israeli peace  
38  
39 negotiations. Thus, Egypt's participation in the Arab-Israeli peace negotiations in the 1990s  
40  
41 was secondary only to the US in relation to negotiating the milestones in the Israeli-  
42  
43 Palestinian peace process, e.g., the 4 May 1994 Israeli-Palestinian Agreement (signed in  
44  
45 Cairo); the September 1995 Israeli-Palestinian Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the  
46  
47 Gaza; and the 1994/1995/1996 Middle East and North Africa Economic summits.<sup>48</sup> Between  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

---

53 <sup>48</sup> On the Egyptian role in Madrid see: Dennis Ross, *The Missing Peace* (New-York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux,  
54 2004), pp. 71-81; Ephraim Halevy, *Man in the Shadows: Inside the Middle East Crisis with a Man who Led the*  
55 *Mossad* (Tel-Aviv: Matar, 2006), p. 101; on the 4 May agreement see: Ross, *The Missing Peace*, pp. 134-136;  
56 Uri Savir, *The Process* (Tel-Aviv: Yediot Achronot, 1998), pp. 162-167; on the interim agreement see: Ross, *The*  
57 *Missing Peace*, p. 207; Savir, *The Process*, pp. 259-276; On Egyptian contribution see also Bill Clinton, *My Life*  
58 (London: Arrow Books, 2004), pp. 702.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and during these events Egyptian foreign policy-makers hosted high level diplomatic  
4  
5 summits, mediated between Israel and the Palestinians, and afforded legitimacy to the Oslo  
6  
7 Process.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 Egypt's part in these events reflects a significant shift in the nature of Egypt's relationship  
14  
15 with Israel. From *reluctant peace partner* during the 1980s, Egypt emerged in the early 1990s  
16  
17 as *active peace mediator* and legitimator of the political dialogue between Israel and the  
18  
19 Palestinians. Furthermore, the 1980s' tensions over deepening of Egypt's relations with the  
20  
21 Arab states and rapprochement with Israel were significantly reduced as Egypt's decisions to  
22  
23 open negotiations with Israel to regain its lost territories, accept US military and economic  
24  
25 support, and ally with the West in exchange for signing the peace with Israel were vindicated  
26  
27 by the concurrent actions of other Arab players.<sup>49</sup> Although during the 1980s Egypt could not  
28  
29 use statecraft to shift its foreign policy stance towards Israel from cold peace to a more robust  
30  
31 type of peace, the conditions in the 1990s were conducive. Thus, our account directly  
32  
33 challenge the cold peace school which portrays the changing context of the Israeli-Palestinian  
34  
35 conflict as having little or no impact on Egypt's stance towards Israel.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 A second site of Egyptian-Israeli foreign policy cooperation developed during the 1990s,  
45  
46 overlooked by the literature until now, was Egypt's and Israel's common interest in  
47  
48 containing Iran. Iran's threat to Egypt consisted of the military and economic assistance Iran  
49  
50 was providing to militant Islamic groups, such as Islamic Jihad and al-Jama'a al-Islamiya,  
51  
52 who were trained in Sudan and engaged in terrorist attacks against Egyptian targets on the  
53  
54

55  
56 <sup>49</sup> E.g: Jordan signing a peace with Israel in 1994, initiation of relations between Israel, the Gulf, and the  
57  
58 Maghreb States, and intermittent Israeli-Syrian negotiations. See Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs,  
59  
60 <http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Facts+About+Israel/Among+the+Nations/ISRAEL+AMONG+THE+NATIONS-+Middle+East+-+North+Afri.htm>, accessed 21 March 2012.



1  
2  
3 mainland and abroad. President Mubarak directly linked Iran with terrorist activities in  
4  
5 accusing the Iranians of being behind the failed attempt to assassinate him in Addis Ababa in  
6  
7 1995.<sup>50</sup> At the same time, Iran's support for Hezbollah in Lebanon and the Palestinian  
8  
9 Hamas, directly challenged the Egyptian-led Middle Eastern foreign policy approach of  
10  
11 alliance with the US and continued peace with Israel.<sup>51</sup> It is against this backdrop that Egypt's  
12  
13 foreign policy response to Israel's Accountability (1993) and Grapes of Wrath (1996)  
14  
15 operations against Hezbollah—Iran's proxy—should be understood. Privately, Egypt  
16  
17 supported the Israeli military offensive, and exerted pressure on Syria and Lebanon to rein in  
18  
19 Hezbollah. Publicly, its response was restricted to diplomatic condemnation of the Israeli  
20  
21 assaults, particularly after the surge in Lebanese civilian casualties.<sup>52</sup> It is not self-evident that  
22  
23 the common interest towards Iran would immediately translate into Egyptian-Israeli  
24  
25 cooperation; from the Egyptian perspective, the gains of cooperating with Israel on this  
26  
27 matter might have been perceived as less than the advantages of containing Israel. It is  
28  
29 precisely for this reason that the Egyptian decision to tacitly support the Israeli battering of  
30  
31 Iran's proxy, Hezbollah, is significant. It was a signal from Egypt to Israeli policy-makers  
32  
33 that cooperation in relation to a common strategic concern—Iranian politico-military  
34  
35 influence—was possible.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Our analysis of diplomats' memoirs shows that the scope for foreign policy convergence  
46  
47 around Iran and Israeli-PLO negotiations generated a third trend, which the cold peace school  
48  
49

---

50 Interview with former Israeli Ambassador to Egypt, Mr Zvi Mazel, October 2012, Jerusalem. See also Ronen  
51 Bergman, *The Point of No Return* (Tel-Aviv: Kineret, Zmora-Bitan, 2007), pp. 266-27; For a different view see  
52 Fawaz Gerges, 'The End of the Islamist Insurgency in Egypt? Costs and Prospects', *Middle East Journal*, Vol. 54.  
53 No. 4, 2000, pp. 604-605.

54 <sup>51</sup> Anoushiravan Ehteshami, 'The Foreign Policy of Iran' in Raymond Hinnebusch and Ehteshami, *The Foreign*  
55 *Policy of Middle Eastern States*, p. 300.

56 <sup>52</sup> Sultan, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem*, p. 89-91; for a broader overview of Egyptian attitudes to Hezbollah see  
57 Gawdat Bahgat, 'Egyptian-Iranian Relations: Retrospect and Prospect', *The Journal of Social, Political and*  
58 *Economic Studies*, Vol. 35., No. 1, 2010, p. 15.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of thought too easily overlooks. These accounts reveal extensive frequent and routine  
4  
5 meetings between Egyptian and Israeli politicians, diplomats and civil servants at the highest  
6  
7 levels contrast with the almost total absence of political dialogue in the 1981-1991 period.  
8  
9 Consequently, particularly from 1992 to 1996, routine flows of information and levels of trust  
10  
11 and predictability in Egyptian-Israeli relations increased.<sup>53</sup> In contrast to what the notion of  
12  
13 cold peace suggests, the change in the social context of Egyptian foreign policy was not  
14  
15 confined to the intergovernmental level. Analysis of publications and statements from leading  
16  
17 Egyptian intellectuals during the 1990s, points to an interesting development: the circle of  
18  
19 intellectuals that since the 1970s<sup>54</sup> had argued for normalization of relations with Israel,  
20  
21 expanded significantly throughout the 1990s. This group of prominent Egyptian intellectuals  
22  
23 vigorously promoted a set of ideas that based the aforementioned changes in Egyptian foreign  
24  
25 policy towards Israel in an ideational template that challenged Egyptian society's customary  
26  
27 opposition to normalization with Israel. They emphasized the strategic benefits of Egypt's  
28  
29 adoption of a more robust peace-oriented stance towards Israel. For example, the novelist and  
30  
31 Nobel Prize Laureate, Naguib Mahfouz, contended that 'peace begins with face to face  
32  
33 encounters, intercultural dialogue, economic and scientific cooperation—elements that will  
34  
35 enable the Middle East achieve the progress and development the region deserved'.<sup>55</sup> The  
36  
37 publicist and author, Anis Mansur, argued that it was in Egypt's interest to have peace with  
38  
39 Israel and that war was Egypt's greatest enemy.<sup>56</sup> And the author and playwright, 'Ali Salim,  
40  
41 documented his visit to Israel in a book, *Rihla Ila Isra'il*, as a first step in forging relations  
42  
43 between the peoples by liberating them from mutual hatred.<sup>57</sup> Academics, such as Lutfi Al  
44  
45 Khuli—one of Egypt's foremost intellectuals in the latter part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century—explained  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

---

53 Dowek, *Israeli-Egyptian Relations*, pp. 275-301; Halevy, *Man in the Shadows*, pp. 108-110; Sultan, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem*, pp. 39-46, 98, 102; briefing with Israeli security official, 29 October 2013, Tel-Aviv.

54 E.g: Muhammad Sid Ahmad, *Ba'du An taskuta al-madafi'a* (Beirut: Dar al-Qadaya, 1975).

55 *Al-Ahram*, 14 October, 1994.

56 *Al-Ahram*, 7 October 1994, 1 October 1995, 18 October 1995.

57 Ali Salem, *Rihala Ila Isra'il* (Cairo: Akhbar al-Yawm, 1994).

1  
2  
3 that Israel under Rabin was a 'new Israel' and that in an era of globalization economic ties  
4  
5 had become more important than past political disagreements with Israel.<sup>58</sup>  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 It is essential, however, to put these interventions into context. The societal norm within the  
12  
13 Egyptian intelligentsia of opposing normalization with Israel continued, as expressed in  
14  
15 media interviews and the basic laws of professional syndicates.<sup>59</sup> Nevertheless, the reactions  
16  
17 to the statements and publications of the intellectuals supporting a more robust type of peace  
18  
19 with Israel demonstrate that they penetrated and influenced Egyptian intellectual debate. For  
20  
21 example, in 1994, Naguib Mahfouz survived an assassination attempt following his criticism  
22  
23 of Islamists and support for the peace accord with Israel, while 'Ali Salim embarked on a  
24  
25 long (and ultimately successful) legal battle to avoid expulsion from the Egyptian council of  
26  
27 authors following his visit to Israel.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 Furthermore, despite the opposition in Egyptian civil society to normalization,<sup>60</sup> towards the  
36  
37 late 1990s the work of the intellectuals who supported the policy shift towards strategic peace  
38  
39 with Israel gathered momentum. In 1998, the Cairo Peace Movement (CPM) was formally  
40  
41 established under the leadership of Lutfi El-Khuli, former ambassadors, and the head of the  
42  
43 influential Al-Ahram Centre for Strategic Studies. In June 1998, the Cairo Peace and Israeli  
44  
45 Peace Now movements formulated a document laying down the principles for a  
46  
47 comprehensive Israeli-Arab peace.<sup>61</sup> This impressive, but understudied initiative, was  
48  
49 accelerated by the Israeli and Arab peace activities which continued until the outbreak of the  
50  
51  
52

---

53 <sup>58</sup> Lutfi al-Khuli, *Arab? Na'am, wa-Sharq Awsatiun Aydan* (Cairo: Dar al-Shuruq, 1997); see also Sa'id al-Najjar,  
54 *Tajdid al-Nitham al-Iqtisadi wa-al-siyasi fi Misr* Vol. 1 (Cairo: Dar al-Shuruq, 1997).

55 <sup>59</sup> Ewan Stein, 'The Camp David Consensus: Ideas, Intellectuals, and the Division of Labor in Egypt's Foreign  
56 Policy towards Israel', *International Studies Quarterly*, 2011, p. 752

57 <sup>60</sup> Stein, 'The Camp David Consensus', pp. 750-754.

58 <sup>61</sup> Lutfi al-Khuli's private papers obtained by authors.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Second Palestinian Intifada.<sup>62</sup> Thus, we argue that the activity of this significant circle of  
4 intellectuals led to a partial but significant change in the social context of Egyptian foreign  
5 policy, which rendered it incommensurate with the social context characterizing cold peace.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 However, this change fell short of what would be typical of rapprochement in that it did not  
11 involve revision to historical narratives, symbols, anthems, flags, etc.  
12

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 There was also more scope for liberal dynamics as space for social interaction between elites  
19 expanded. In November 1993, the requirement of a special permit for Egyptians wanting to  
20 travel to Israel, was annulled, as were the special procedures referring to Israel's imports and  
21 exports of goods.<sup>63</sup> Prominent Egyptian businessmen advocated for closer ties with Israel.<sup>64</sup>  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 These efforts were significant: the Egyptian business sector gained more political and  
27 economic influence in the early 1990s compared to the 1980s due to the Infitah reforms  
28 enacted by Sadat, and the economic restructuring under Mubarak.<sup>65</sup> The strenuous arguments  
29 proposed by parts of the Egyptian business community were reflected in the growing  
30 numbers of prominent businessmen visiting Israel, and the first economic delegations to  
31 Israel (1995-1996) under Mubarak's presidency to discuss further expansion of joint  
32 economic activity.<sup>66</sup> These efforts, which were led by the Egyptian agriculture and trade  
33 ministers, led to an increased number of Egyptian-Israeli agricultural joint ventures compared  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

---

45 <sup>62</sup> For details see <http://www.arabicnews.com/ansub/Daily/Day/990707/1999070753.html>, accessed 23 March  
46 2013.

47 <sup>63</sup> Sultan, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem*, p. 103.

48 <sup>64</sup> E.g., comments made by Kamel Diab, Ahbar Al-Yom, 12 February 1994; similar views were aired by Hasin  
49 Sabur, President of the Egyptian-US Chamber of Commerce, and his deputy, Mahmud Shafik Jaber, Ahbar. See  
50 respectively, Akhbar Al-Yawm, 5 February 1994, and 19 February 1994. See also the prominent Egyptian  
51 business man and thinker, Tarek Heggy, <http://www.tarek-heggy.com/>, accessed 21 January 2013, and  
52 Mahmoud Abd-Al-Aziz, a key figure in the Egyptian banking community and former chair the board of directors  
53 of Al-Ahali Bank see Ahbar Al-Yom, 26 February 1994.

54 <sup>65</sup> On the rise of the Egyptian business elite and its ties with the Mubarak regime see Cook, *The Struggle for*  
55 *Egypt*, pp. 159-161.

56 <sup>66</sup> Interview with Mr Gabby Bar, Senior Regional Director Middle East & North Africa Division, Israeli Ministry  
57 of Trade, Jerusalem, 30 October 2012.  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to activity in the 1980s, and included joint research on agriculture in semi-arid and salt-water  
4  
5 conditions, and development of efficient irrigation systems. By 1996, Israel had trained more  
6  
7 Egyptian graduates (2,031) than *had been trained in any other country*—compared with 51  
8  
9 graduates between the launch of the programme in 1987 and 1991.<sup>67</sup> The agricultural  
10  
11 cooperation programme continued and, between 1997 and 2000, 1,367 Egyptian graduates  
12  
13 receiving training in Israel.<sup>68</sup>  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 The improved social relations between officials and businesspeople not only engendered  
21  
22 greater trust, predictability and routine flows of information via meetings between politicians  
23  
24 and civil servants, they also translated into increased economic activity and joint initiatives.  
25  
26 For example, the Israeli businessman, Yossi Meiman, and his Egyptian counterpart, Hussein  
27  
28 Salem, launched a joint venture to refurbish an oil refinery in Alexandria and, by 1995, had  
29  
30 raised \$300 million for this project.<sup>69</sup> The Israeli textile manufacturer, Delta, opened several  
31  
32 factories in North East Cairo, which became flagships of the Egyptian textile industry.<sup>70</sup> In  
33  
34 1996 to 2000, Egyptian-Israeli economic activity *increased* continuously. Egyptian imports  
35  
36 of Israeli goods rose from \$23,000,000 in 1995 to \$60,000,000 in 2000, and between 1995  
37  
38 and 2000 Egyptian exports to Israel were maintained at around \$20,000,000.<sup>71</sup> These figures  
39  
40 might seem modest for a country that in the late 1990s had over 70 million inhabitants;  
41  
42 however, in the context of the low levels of inter-regional trade that characterized the Middle  
43  
44 East, this volume of economic activity for Egypt was significant, and Israel was Egypt's  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 <sup>67</sup> 'Egyptian-Israeli Cooperation Review', *Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs*, document obtained by the author;  
51 see also comments by Advisor to the Minister of Agriculture and Land Reclamation Engineer Fouad About-  
52 Hadab, [http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Mashav%20-  
53 %20International%20Development/Publications/1998/Agricultural%20Cooperation%20Between%20Israel%20  
54 and%20Egypt](http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Mashav%20-%20International%20Development/Publications/1998/Agricultural%20Cooperation%20Between%20Israel%20and%20Egypt), accessed 22 March 2013.

55 <sup>68</sup> 'Egyptian-Israeli Cooperation Review', *Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs*.

56 <sup>69</sup> Sultan, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem*, p. 105.

57 <sup>70</sup> Carmit Gai, *Dov Lautman* (Or Yehuda: Kineret Bitan, 2011), pp. 192-199.

58 <sup>71</sup> Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics, [http://www1.cbs.gov.il/www/statistical/ftrade2005\\_e.pdf](http://www1.cbs.gov.il/www/statistical/ftrade2005_e.pdf), accessed 22  
59 March 2013.  
60

1  
2  
3 second ranked regional trading partner after Saudi-Arabia.<sup>72</sup> Thus, although not reflecting the  
4 societal integration typical of rapprochement, economic activity between Egyptian and Israeli  
5 elites went beyond that typical of cold peace.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 13 **Consolidating Foreign Policy Change** 14

15  
16 In demonstrating that new elements in Egyptian foreign policy were irreconcilable with a  
17 cold peace thesis, the previous section challenged the conventional wisdom that Egyptian  
18 foreign policy towards Israel during the 1990s remained a cold peace policy. The post 2000  
19 period provides an excellent opportunity to test this claim further. Following the logic of the  
20 cold peace thesis, the collapse of the peace process and the eruption of the second Intifada  
21 would have led to the cold peace elements in Egyptian foreign policy overriding the new  
22 elements that emerged in the 1990s. This section challenges this assumption, and shows that  
23 the reverse obtained. We exploit our analytical framework to demonstrate how and why the  
24 factors that initiated the change in Egyptian foreign policy in the early 1990s away from cold  
25 peace, combined to consolidate the shift from cold peace to a more robust peaceful foreign  
26 policy stance towards Israel.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 As in the previous sections we begin our analysis by account for the role of great powers in  
46 the form of the US. On numerous occasions, President Mubarak confirmed that US aid  
47 objectives - during the 1980s conflict reduction, and during the 1990s promoting mutually  
48 reinforcing Egyptian-US strategic interests—continued into the 2000s.<sup>73</sup> The 9/11 attacks  
49 added another dimension, and throughout the Global War on Terrorism (GWOt), US officials  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55  
56 <sup>72</sup> Interview with Abdel Moneim Said.

57 <sup>73</sup> "Ziyara laha ahamiyatha al-siyasiyya waal-iqtisadiyya" *al-Ahram*, 9 March 2001; Al-'alaqat al-misriyya al-  
58 amrikiyya', *al-Ahram*, 19, 23 March 2001.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 stressed strategic cooperation with Egypt, and their country's appreciation of Egypt's  
4 centrality in the Middle East.<sup>74</sup> For instance, in 2005, a confidential cable from the US  
5 embassy in Cairo described Egypt-US cooperation over terrorism as 'excellent' and as  
6 constituting 'one of the pillars of the US-Egyptian strategic relationship'.<sup>75</sup> Egypt also played  
7 a key role in the US 'secret detention' and 'extraordinary rendition' programs.<sup>76</sup> Thus, the  
8 US maintained its hegemonic position and its ability to create a strategic environment that  
9 rewarded/exacted costs, in return for pursuing mutually reinforcing strategic interests. This  
10 projected onto relations with Israel, which portrayed its response to Palestinian terrorist  
11 attacks in the Second Intifada as part of the GWOt. Thus, the dynamic whereby Egyptian  
12 rapprochement with Israel and Egyptian-US strategic cooperation was further reinforced.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Sceptical readers might argue that the increasing cooperation with the US and the projection  
30 of relations with Israel were a result of complete Egyptian dependence on the US, which  
31 meant that it had to follow Washington's dictates. However, this claim seems to be  
32 challenged by the fact that the Mubarak regime *did* resist US demands on issues it deemed  
33 key for its survival, most crucially US demands to improve its human rights record and  
34 enhance democratic reforms. The friction between Egypt and the US on this issue peaked in  
35 2008 when Congress introduced the Consolidated Appropriations Act, which called for \$200  
36 million of aid to be withheld until Egypt fulfilled certain conditions including safeguarding  
37 judicial independence, and curbing police abuses. In response, citing Egypt's importance to  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>74</sup> Cable 01CAIRO6321, US Embassy, Cairo, 4 October 2001,

52 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2001/10/01CAIRO6321.html>, accessed 26 March 2013.

53 <sup>75</sup> Cable 05CAIRO2693, US Embassy, Cairo, 'Egypt and the Fight against Terrorism', 6 April 2005,

54 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2005/04/05CAIRO2693.html>, accessed 3 April 2013.

55 <sup>76</sup> For an extensive discussion of this issue see Open Society Justice Initiative 'Globalising Torture': CIA Secret  
56 Detention and Extraordinary Rendition,

57 <http://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/globalizing-torture-20120205.pdf>, accessed 26  
58 March 2013.

1  
2  
3 US national security, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice used the administration's  
4 prerogative and waived congressional restrictions on the delivery of aid.<sup>77</sup>  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 We next examine the role of statecraft. As already noted, advocates of the cold peace school  
12 of thought point out that, from the mid 1990s, Egypt's role as an active peace mediator  
13 diminished, and it was absent from the signing of the Wye Memorandum in 1998, and from  
14 the 2000 Camp David Peace summit. However, the cold peace school of thought fails to  
15 notice that this trend was short lived. Indeed, from 2003 onwards the role of statecraft in  
16 Egyptian foreign policy reflected a fundamental departure from the cold peace foreign policy  
17 stance; rather than merely geared towards avoiding war Egypt sought to use statecraft to  
18 cooperate with Israel on key strategic issues. For instance, in the midst of the Second  
19 Palestinian Intifada, Egypt resumed the role of active mediator in the relationship between  
20 Israel and the Palestinians. In 2003, it hosted the diplomatic summit designed to support  
21 President Bush's recently announced 'road map for peace'.<sup>78</sup> In 2005, Egypt hosted another  
22 conference aimed at 'empowering' the road map and officially ending the Second Palestinian  
23 Intifada.<sup>79</sup>  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 Furthermore, unlike the previous decade, the foreign policy change entailed by Egypt's  
45 behaviour did not merely amount to its emergence as an active mediator. Rather, by the mid  
46 2000s, Egypt emerged as Israel's strategic partner. This is strongly reflected in the part  
47  
48  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>77</sup> Reuters, 'US Waived Congress Restriction on Egyptian Aid', <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/03/04/us-egypt-us-waiver-idUSL0482173620080304>, accessed 11 February 2013. Cook, *The Struggle for Egypt*, pp. 220-  
52 230.

53 <sup>78</sup> 'Bush calls for moral vision in the Middle East', *CNN*,  
54 [http://edition.cnn.com/2003/WORLD/meast/06/03/bush.egypt/index.html?\\_s=PM:WORLD](http://edition.cnn.com/2003/WORLD/meast/06/03/bush.egypt/index.html?_s=PM:WORLD), accessed 17 April  
55 2013.

56 <sup>79</sup> Abbas, Sharon, Declare an end to violence, Ynet, <http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3042665,00.html>, accessed 13 April 2013.  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 played by Egypt in the most significant Israeli foreign policy initiative since the signing of  
4  
5 the Oslo process: unilateral disengagement from the Gaza Strip. The then Chief of Staff to  
6  
7 Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, Dov Weissglass, explained that: ‘although the prospect of an  
8  
9 Israeli withdrawal from Gaza raised some concerns in Egypt, President Mubarak perceived it  
10  
11 as a crucial step for promoting peace. As part of normal practice, Sharon asked me  
12  
13 [Weissglass] to fly to Cairo and talk to President Mubarak in ... confidence [about] the plan  
14  
15 “before others were told”’.<sup>80</sup> Former Israeli Chief of Staff Moshe Ya’alon sheds further light  
16  
17 on this point, admitting that ‘the Egyptians were given details of the plans to withdraw from  
18  
19 the Gaza Strip *before* the Israeli military top brass were told about it’.<sup>81</sup>  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 It is significant that this strategic cooperation began before the withdrawal took place; it  
28  
29 attests to the level of confidence, trust, routine flows of information and predictability  
30  
31 between Egypt and Israel. It is important, however, to place these developments in context.  
32  
33 Mubarak himself never visited Israel for meetings about the disengagement. Such a move of  
34  
35 the Egyptian Head of State would have afforded Israel and its policies a degree of legitimacy  
36  
37 that Mubarak was unprepared to give at this moment in time. Rather, the then head of the  
38  
39 Egyptian security forces, Omar Suleiman, was dispatched to Israel. He played a key role in  
40  
41 mediating between Israel and the Palestinians to negotiate the withdrawal, and oversee  
42  
43 arrangements following its completion.<sup>82</sup> Eventually, Egypt was entrusted with managing  
44  
45 security arrangements at the Sinai-Gaza Strip border.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 <sup>80</sup> Dov Weissglass, *Ariel Sharon: A Prime Minister* (Tel Aviv: Yediot Ahronoth Books, 2012), p. 269.

57 <sup>81</sup> Moshe Ya’alon, *The Longer Shorter Way* (Tel-Aviv: Yediot Ahronoth, 2008), pp. 171-172.

58 <sup>82</sup> Weissglass, *Ariel Sharon*, p. 270.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The record of Egypt in successfully implementing its role is hotly contested. Top Israeli  
4 security officials and politicians have accused Egypt of 'not doing enough'.<sup>83</sup> However,  
5 Israeli officials within the Ministry of Foreign Affairs maintain that Egypt 'was doing what it  
6 could' and, with more resources, could have done more.<sup>84</sup> The US Ambassador to Cairo  
7 agreed, arguing that, following supply in 2007-2008 of special US equipment to detect tunnel  
8 construction, cooperation between Egypt and Israel over security along the Gaza border  
9 increased.<sup>85</sup>  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 The evidence would seem to support the claims of the US ambassador and officials from the  
23 Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs rather than Israel's security establishment, which  
24 reinforces our argument that, by 2003, Egypt replaced its cold peace foreign policy stance  
25 towards Israel with a more robust type of peace. Significantly, after Hamas's takeover of the  
26 Gaza Strip in July 2007, Egypt effectively became a junior partner in enforcing the Israeli  
27 siege over the Strip. Egypt blocked Palestinian attempts to breach the Egypt-Gaza Strip  
28 border,<sup>86</sup> and its Foreign Minister, Ahmed Abdoul Gheit, threatened to 'break the arms and  
29 legs' of anyone who tried to use force to cross the border.<sup>87</sup> Egyptian cooperation with Israel  
30 continued even during the Israeli onslaught on the Gaza Strip, operation Cast Lead.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 <sup>83</sup> Interview with senior security Israeli official, 29 October 2012, Tel-Aviv.

51 <sup>84</sup> Interview with senior Israeli diplomat prominent in the Egyptian-Israeli relationship since 2000, 31 October  
52 2012, Jerusalem.

53 <sup>85</sup> Cable 08CAIRO1878, Scobey, Cairo, 'Strategy for the Gaza border', 25 August 2008,  
54 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2008/08/08CAIRO1878.html>, accessed 5 April 2013.

55 <sup>86</sup> Cable 08CAIRO561, from US Embassy, Cairo, 'Gaza Border update', 19 March 2008,  
56 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2008/03/08CAIRO561.html>, accessed 5 April, 2013.

57 <sup>87</sup> Yoav Stern and Avi Issascharof, 'Egypt: we will break the hand of those who cross the border',  
58 [www.haaretz.co.il/hasite/objectives/pages](http://www.haaretz.co.il/hasite/objectives/pages), accessed 9 February 2008.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 There is clear explanation for why did this progression in Egyptian-Israeli relations happen as  
4  
5 and when it did? Firstly, Egypt and Israel developed a common interest in containing  
6  
7 Hamas.<sup>88</sup> As one Egyptian policy-maker put it, 'the whole Gaza issue needs a lot of  
8  
9 cooperation between Egypt and Israel...because Gaza was a problem for us and the  
10  
11 Israelis...Egypt sought to keep the pressure on Hamas whilst preventing a humanitarian  
12  
13 disaster occurring'.<sup>89</sup> US Ambassador to Egypt, Margaret Scobey, reveals another dimension.  
14  
15 In a confidential correspondence she observed that since Hamas's takeover of Gaza in June  
16  
17 2007, Egypt had rejected the organization, regarding it as an Iranian and Syrian proxy and  
18  
19 taking pains to isolate and weaken Hamas.<sup>90</sup>  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Use of statecraft to replace cold peace with a more peacefully robust foreign policy stance  
28  
29 was a result also of growing Israeli *and* Egyptian interests in containing Iran, which at this  
30  
31 point exhibited clear signs of cooperation. Accordingly, notwithstanding condemnation of  
32  
33 Israel for the rise in civilian casualties, Ehud Olmert's government declared it was 'pleased  
34  
35 overall' with the Egyptian government's response during the 2006 Lebanon war between  
36  
37 Israel and Iran's proxy, Hezbollah.<sup>91</sup> The joint efforts to contain Iran continued and, in 2008,  
38  
39 Egypt and Israel cooperated to expose a group of Hezbollah operatives who were planning an  
40  
41 attack on tourist sites in the Sinai and, possibly, on shipping through the Suez Canal.<sup>92</sup> This  
42  
43 common interest in containing Iran is relevant also to Egyptian-Israeli cooperation during  
44  
45  
46  
47

---

48 <sup>88</sup> Congressional Research Service, Report RL33003, pp. 23-24, <http://wikileaks.org/wiki/CRS-RL33003> ],  
49 accessed 18 April 2013.

50  
51 <sup>89</sup> Interview Moneim Said.

52 <sup>90</sup> Cable 08CAIRO2255, US Embassy, Cairo, 'A/S Welch's meeting with Field Marshal Tantawi', 26 October 2008,  
53 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2008/10/08CAIRO2255.html>

54 <sup>91</sup> Cable 06CAIRO5031, US Embassy, Cairo, 'Israeli Embassy on Status relations with Egypt', 14 August 2006, in:  
55 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2006/08/06CAIRO5031.html>, accessed 5 April 2013; interview senior Israeli  
56 diplomat, 13 October 2012, Jerusalem.

57 <sup>92</sup> Zvi Barel, 'Egypt's Top Enemy', *Ha'aretz*, 10 April 2009, <http://www.haaretz.com/print-edition/news/egypt-s-top-enemy-1.273841>, accessed 5 April 2013.

1  
2  
3 operation Cast Lead. Reflecting on Egypt's stance during the operation, US Ambassador  
4  
5 Scobey remarked that Egypt was seeking 'to thwart Iranian attempts to further their influence  
6  
7 – via Syria, Hezbollah, and Hamas—in the region'. Scobey maintained that 'For Egypt, the  
8  
9 greatest strategic threat is from Iran, and they will do everything in their power to prevent  
10  
11 Iranian influence from spreading'.<sup>93</sup> Field Marshal Tantawi echoed Scobey's opinion in a  
12  
13 confidential meeting with US officials where he announced that a nuclear Iran was not an  
14  
15 option.<sup>94</sup> The fact that the comments on Iran were all made by US and Egyptian officials in  
16  
17 confidential forums is significant. It suggests that the comments were *not* made for public  
18  
19 relations purposes, but rather authentically reflected Egypt's foreign policy orientation  
20  
21 towards Iran, which converged with the Israeli stance.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 The growing scope for using statecraft to pursue mutually reinforcing strategic interests,  
30  
31 created the conditions for constructivist and liberal dynamics to contribute to consolidating  
32  
33 the shift from a cold peace to a foreign policy stance based on a more robust type of peace.  
34  
35 For instance, social relations between Egyptian and Israeli leaders and officials demonstrated  
36  
37 the intimacy, confidence, trust and predictability that characterized interactions in the early  
38  
39 1990s, rather than the lack of contact during the 1980s era of cold peace. Sharon's Chief of  
40  
41 Staff observed that 'Mubarak showed a great deal of affection to Sharon; the meetings  
42  
43 between them were full of mutual compliments and jokes, especially at the expense of other  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 <sup>93</sup> Cable 09CAIRO358, Scobey, Cairo, 'What does Egypt want out of the Gaza', 26 February 2009, in:  
56 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2009/02/09CAIRO358.html>.

57  
58 <sup>94</sup> Cable 09CAIRO667, Scobey, Cairo, 'CODEL Tauscher's meeting with MINDEF Tantawi', 16 April 2009, in:  
59 <http://wikileaks.org/cable/2009/04/09CAIRO667.html>, accessed 5 April 2013.  
60

1  
2  
3 leaders'.<sup>95</sup> 'There was a kind of "old-boy camaraderie" between Sharon and Mubarak, of  
4  
5 officers who in the past had fought each other and now engaged in discussion'.<sup>96</sup>  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 The social context of Egyptian foreign policy changed in another sense. Specifically,  
12  
13 Egyptian-Israeli ties in key economically strategic arenas strengthened, as exemplified by the  
14  
15 joint signing of the Qualified Industrial zone (QIZ) agreement on 14<sup>th</sup> December 2004. The  
16  
17 QIZ agreement allowed Egypt non-reciprocal, duty-free access to US markets for products  
18  
19 containing at least 11.7% Egyptian and 11.7% Israeli components, and was designed to avert  
20  
21 a potential crisis in Egypt's textile industry<sup>97</sup> related to mandated liberalization of the textile  
22  
23 quota system. This would have made Egypt liable for up to 35% duty on certain textile  
24  
25 manufactures, at a time when the textile sector accounted for 150,000 jobs in the private  
26  
27 sector, or 27% of industrial production and 25% of manufacturing employment in Egypt. The  
28  
29 industry generated \$558.3 million in exports—just over 10% of non-oil exports.<sup>98</sup> The  
30  
31 potential blow to the textile industry would have been not just economic but also would have  
32  
33 had strategic implications for the Mubarak regime.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 In this context, the process leading to the signing of the QIZ, and its completion, is pertinent  
44  
45 to our discussion in several ways. First, the impetus for the agreement came from two leading  
46  
47 Egyptian textile tycoons - Jalal-Al-Zorba and Dr Ala Arafa. They led efforts that eventually  
48

---

49 <sup>95</sup> Weissglass, *Ariel Sharon*, p. 117.

50 <sup>96</sup> Weissglass, *Ariel Sharon*, p. 268; Close relations existed also between Israeli and Egyptian security officials;  
51 see reports on quadrilateral security meetings (Egypt, Israel, the US, and the Palestinians conducted on 11  
52 March and 3 April 2007 before Hamas's takeover of the Gaza Strip; memorandum to Saeb Erekat from  
53 Palestinian Negotiations Support Unit, a meeting report – 2<sup>nd</sup> quadrilateral Security Meeting, 3 April 2007 at:  
54 <http://www.ajtransparency.com/files/1617.PDF>; content of the 1st quadrilateral Security Meeting of 11 March  
55 2007 at: <http://www.ajtransparency.com/files/5135.PDF>

56 <sup>97</sup> On the QIZ see Vikash Yadav, 'The Political Economy of the Egyptian-Israeli QIZ Trade Agreement'. *Middle*  
57 *East Review of International Affairs*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2007, pp. 74-92.

58 <sup>98</sup> Yadav, 'The Political Economy', p. 74.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 were successful in persuading the Egyptian government—most crucially the President—to  
4 support its signing.<sup>99</sup> This form of non-state activity in relation to an economic matter with  
5 strategic implications, significantly exceeds the cooperation remit entailed by a cold peace.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 Second, Egyptian trade union representatives and textile workers demonstrated in Cairo.  
14 However, Israeli and Egyptian sources independently confirm that the demonstrators were  
15 protesting *not against* the signing of the agreement, but rather because their factories were  
16 not included in the QIZ.<sup>100</sup> Demands for participation in a joint Egyptian-Israeli venture can  
17 perhaps be explained by the public relations campaign the Egyptian government had  
18 conducted.<sup>101</sup> However, they also reflect the degree to which establishing closer relations than  
19 had existed during the era of cold peace was less of a taboo among parts of Egyptian society.  
20 Indicatively, the signing of the QIZ agreement in Cairo was well publicized and included a  
21 well-attended press briefing.<sup>102</sup>  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Third, the signing of the QIZ stipulated that Egyptian and Israeli officials should hold  
38 quarterly meetings. Thus, it extended social relations at the political and military levels, to a  
39 significant part of Egyptian-Israeli trade officials. However, and this chimes with our  
40 depiction of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel as falling short of rapprochement, Egypt  
41 resisted Israeli attempts to broaden the economic activities that developed around the QIZ to  
42 other economic fields.<sup>103</sup>  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

---

54 <sup>99</sup> Interview Mr Gabby Bar, who coordinated the signing of the QIZ on the Israeli side.

55 <sup>100</sup> Gabby Bar and Abdel Moneim Said interviews.

56 <sup>101</sup> Interview Muneim Said who presided over the campaign.

57 <sup>102</sup> Interview Gabby Bar.

58 <sup>103</sup> Interview Gabby Bar.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The signing of the QIZ was not the only cement in Egyptian-Israeli relations and the shift  
4 away from cold peace. Since the early 2000s, Egyptian and Israeli businessmen had been  
5 negotiating the direct supply of gas between Egypt and Israel. In 2005, the Egyptian energy  
6 consortium, EMG, which had liaised with Israeli gas consumers, signed a commercial treaty  
7 with the Israel Electric Company (IEF).<sup>104</sup> It obliged EMG to supply some 25 billion cubic  
8 metres of gas over 15 years at an annual rate of 1.7 billion cubic metres.<sup>105</sup> The deal was  
9 expected to generate \$2.5 billion.<sup>106</sup> On 1 May 2008, supply of natural gas to Israel began,  
10 although not at the volume stipulated in the agreement. Egypt's political opposition contested  
11 the agreement, arguing it was unconstitutional because it had not been approved by the  
12 Egyptian parliament. However, its legal challenge to implementation failed.<sup>107</sup> In August  
13 2009, the IEF signed an updated agreement with EMG, which mandated a higher price for the  
14 gas provided and was in line with the rise in global energy prices.<sup>108</sup> Gas supplies continued  
15 until the ousting of President Mubarak in January 2011.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 Consolidation of the gas deal between IEF and EMG further disproves the cold peace theory.  
37  
38 In contrast to what a cold peace foreign policy would allow, the gas deal was a commercial,  
39 not an intergovernmental agreement. It represented a further strengthening of the commercial  
40 ties between Egyptian and Israeli business elites - beyond the levels conforming to a cold  
41 peace. Israel's agreeing to Egypt becoming its main supplier of gas for the following 15 years  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

---

48 <sup>104</sup> Holdings in EMG included: The Egyptian business man, Hussein Salem (28%); the Egyptian national gas  
49 company (10%) the Thai company, PTT; Sam Zel and David Fisher (12%); Israeli institutional investors and the  
50 private company, Ampel, an Israeli consortium controlled by Yossi Meiman (25%). See Avi Bar-Eli, 'Egypt  
51 demands to open agreement with the Israeli Electrical Company', *Haaretz*, 10.06.2008.

52 <sup>105</sup> Shmuel Even, 'Egypt's Revocation of the Natural Gas Agreement with Israel: Strategic Implications', *INSS*  
53 *Insight*, No. 332, May 6, 2012, <http://www.inss.org.il/publications.php?cat=21&incat=&read=6488>, accessed  
54 29 April 2013.

55 <sup>106</sup> Avi Bar-Eli, 'Gas supply from Egypt to Israel commences', *Haaretz*, 30.4.2008.

56 <sup>107</sup> In February 2009, the Egyptian Supreme Court rejected an appeal and put an end to the legal challenges  
57 opposing the deal. Avi Bar-Eli, 'The Egyptian Supreme Court authorizes gas supply to Israel', *Haaretz*, 2.2.2009.

58 <sup>108</sup> Avi Bar-Eli, 'Meiman returns to the market: Egypt and EMG signed the new gas prices', *Haaretz*, 23.02.2009.

1  
2  
3 demonstrates the high levels of trust and confidence in the relationships that had developed  
4  
5 between the Egyptian and the Israeli political-security elites. By the same token, the Egyptian  
6  
7 government and the businesspeople concerned were unperturbed by the political and legal  
8  
9 challenges mounted by the Egyptian opposition.  
10

### 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 **Towards a New Concept of Strategic Peace**

20  
21 Our analysis of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel reveals that, since the early 1990s,  
22  
23 Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel has reflected a more robust type of peace than cold  
24  
25 peace, but a more tenuous type than rapprochement. This suggests that Egypt's new stance  
26  
27 towards Israel falls into some intermediary type on the continuum between a cold peace type  
28  
29 and rapprochement, and tied to the period of stabilization of peaceful foreign policy  
30  
31 positions. The current IR literature on peace between states lacks a conception of this  
32  
33 category of peace. Therefore, in what follows we use the empirical analysis as a heuristic to  
34  
35 conceptualise this type of peaceful foreign policy stance, which we term *strategic peace*.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The first element we explore is the role of the superpower. Drawing on our analysis of the  
44  
45 role of the US, we would argue that the superpower's role in strategic peace was significant  
46  
47 for producing an economic environment that, for security and financial reasons, made  
48  
49 reinforcement of a peaceful foreign policy profitable and its resistance costly. However, the  
50  
51 influence of the great powers in strategic peace and cold peace differs. Material support in  
52  
53 strategic peace is not merely geared towards reducing conflict, but even more towards  
54  
55 promoting mutual politico-military and economic strategic interests. Thus, it is more forceful  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 than in cold peace where support is focused only on conflict reduction. This should be  
4  
5 compared to rapprochement where the support of a superpower is unnecessary.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10  
11 The role of statecraft is the next feature we examine. The case of Egypt's foreign policy  
12 towards Israel demonstrates that strategic peace is grounded in formal agreements that entail  
13 use only of non-violent foreign policy tools. Unlike cold peace, cooperation between states is  
14 not confined to the intergovernmental level or aimed only at conflict reduction; it includes  
15 cooperation between governmental and non-governmental business and intellectual elites,  
16 generating social interaction through the pursuit of mutually reinforcing political, military  
17 and economic strategic interests. Arguably, routine activity, over a long period of time, to  
18 promote mutually reinforcing strategic interests, creates ties that bind officials, politicians  
19 and elites outside of government, generating trust, respect, routine information flow and  
20 predictability. Thus, in contrast to cold peace, in strategic peace -although regional conflicts  
21 may persist - the probability of war is so small as not to enter the calculations of the involved  
22 parties. This consequence of strategic peace is significant in a context of limited liberal and  
23 constructivist resources to develop cooperation compared to those entailed by rapprochement,  
24 which is regulated by: common rules, norms, transparency and trust generated by  
25 supranational institutions, full societal integration via economic ties and social interactions  
26 such as travel, cultural exchanges, etc..  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Similarly, the social contexts of strategic peace, cold peace and rapprochement are different.  
51 Unlike rapprochement, strategic peace generates neither new narratives nor popular cultural  
52 symbols that subsequently promote erosion of self and other, nor does it create full societal  
53 integration. However, the social context of strategic peace is not static as in cold peace. This  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 partial yet significant difference is generated by the process of strategic learning that political  
4 elites go through, ideas disseminated by intellectuals who articulate the salience of peace as  
5 reinforcing the strategic interests of the countries involved, and the commercial ties among  
6 elites.  
7  
8  
9  
10

## 11 12 13 14 15 16 **Conclusion**

17  
18 The relationship between theory and case studies should be perceived as a dialogue. From  
19 this perspective, it is worth reflecting on the degree to which, according to the data in this  
20 article, the notion of strategic peace has been used to refute current perceptions of Egyptian  
21 foreign policy towards Israel. In this context, we demonstrated that the conventional wisdom  
22 that Egypt employed a cold peace foreign policy towards Israel throughout the period under  
23 Mubarak is mistaken and deeply flawed. Rather, Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel was  
24 dynamic - comprising cold peace (1981-1991), a hybrid foreign policy of cold peace and  
25 strategic peace (1991-2003), and a pure strategic peace posture (2003-2011). Crucially, as we  
26 have shown, competing conceptions, such as rapprochement, security communities and  
27 unions, could not have captured the shift in the causes and consequences entailed by the  
28 changes we have identified in Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Therefore, through the prism of strategic peace this article casts new light on an under-  
48 researched aspect of foreign policy which is at the heart of the international security of the  
49 Middle East. First, the role of the US, from the 1990s onwards, went far beyond what could  
50 be expected within a cold peace paradigm of involvement geared towards conflict reduction.  
51 From the early 1990s and especially in the 2000s, US support was designed to support  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Egypt's peaceful stance towards Israel by promoting mutually reinforcing strategic interests.  
4  
5 Second, Egypt's foreign policy behaviour towards Israel since the early 1990s constituted a  
6  
7 more robust form of peace than cold peace, although it fell short of rapprochement. Rather  
8  
9 than pursuing a foreign policy towards Israel designed merely to reduce conflict, the  
10  
11 trajectory of Egyptian foreign policy towards Israel followed the direction of increased  
12  
13 strategic cooperation. Thus, Egypt's position towards Israel shifted from reluctant peace  
14  
15 partner during the 1980s, to active mediator in the 1990s, to strategic partner from 2003. We  
16  
17 identified this shift in Egyptian-Israeli-Palestinian relations and foreign policy towards Iran.  
18  
19 Hence, from a relationship based, during the 1980s, on what Stein brands as the  
20  
21 'institutionalized norm of distrust', since the 1990s, relations between Egyptian and Israeli  
22  
23 officials increasingly were founded on trust, mutual respect and routine information  
24  
25 exchange.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Third, from the 1990s, the social context of Egyptian foreign policy did not resemble a cold  
34  
35 peace context. The work of a small yet significant group of intellectuals, over more than two  
36  
37 decades, set Egypt's increasing strategic cooperation with Israel according to an ideational  
38  
39 template. Concurrently, from the early 1990s, economic cooperation progressed in a number  
40  
41 of areas (agriculture, textiles, gas exports) deemed by the Mubarak regime to be strategically  
42  
43 significant. While the intellectual discourse backing peace, and the level of economic  
44  
45 cooperation, fell short of what was required for rapprochement, they constituted a degree of  
46  
47 societal integration between parts of the Israeli and Egyptian elites that cannot be explained  
48  
49 in cold peace foreign policy terms. Fourth, the notion of strategic peace helps us to  
50  
51 understand how the shift from cold peace to strategic peace rendered the propensity to revert  
52  
53 to war so small that it did not enter Egyptian or Israeli calculations.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 The notion of strategic peace also constitutes a critique of liberal and constructivist accounts  
7  
8 of how and why shifts across the peace spectrum occur. It emphasizes that the realist  
9  
10 dynamics brought by the impact of great powers and bi-lateral foreign policy cooperation,  
11  
12 and the social relations they engender within elites, are the main motivation for a shift from a  
13  
14 cold peace foreign policy to a more robust peace-oriented foreign policy. As these dynamics  
15  
16 are set in motion, they prompt a change in the social context of foreign policy, which is  
17  
18 evidenced by the emergence of trust, predictability and routine interaction among  
19  
20 governmental officials, the ideas generated by intellectuals who articulate foreign policy in  
21  
22 terms of strategic interests, and societal integration among elites. That said, the social context  
23  
24 never transcended the contours of strategic peace, which was strongly reflected in Mubarak's  
25  
26 insistence not to visit Israel throughout his presidency.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Bibliography

- Abadi, Jacob, 'Egypt's Policy Toward Israel: The Impact of Foreign and Domestic Constraints', *Israel Affairs*, 12, no. 1 (2006): 156-176.
- Adler, Emanuel and Barnett Michael (eds.), *Security Communities* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998)
- Ali, Kamal Hasan, *Warriors and Peace Makers* (Cairo: Al-Ahram Centre, 1986)
- Al-'Alaqat al-Misriyya al-Amrikiyya', *al-Ahram* (Cairo), 19, 23 March 2001.
- Al-Khuli, Lutfi, '*Arab? Na'am, wa-Sharq Awsatiun Aydan* (Cairo: Dar al-Shuruq, 1997).
- Al-Mashat, Abdul-Monem, 'Egyptian Attitudes toward the Peace Process: Views of an "Alert Elite"', *Middle East Journal*, 37, no. 3 (1983): 394-411.
- Al-Najjar, Sa'id, *Tajdid al-Nitham al-Iqtisadi wa-al-siyasi fi Misr*, Vol. 1 (Cairo: Dar al-Shuruq, 1997).
- Bahgat, Gawdat, 'Egyptian-Iranian Relations: Retrospect and Prospect', *The Journal of Social, Political and Economic Studies*, 35, no. 1 (2010): 11-22.
- Barel, Zvi, 'Egypt's Top Enemy', *Ha'aretz*, 10 April 2009, <http://www.haaretz.com/print-edition/news/egypt-s-top-enemy-1.273841>, accessed 5 April 2013.
- Bar-Eli, Avi, 'Egypt demands to open agreement with the Israeli Electrical Company', *Haaretz*, 10.06.2008.
- Bar-Eli, Avi, 'Gas supply from Egypt to Israel commences', *Haaretz* (Tel-Aviv), 30 April 2008.
- Bar-Eli, Avi, 'The Egyptian Supreme Court authorizes gas supply to Israel', *Haaretz* (Tel-Aviv), 2 February 2009.
- Bar-Siman-Tov, Yaacov, 'Israel-Egypt Peace: Stable Peace?' In Arie M. Kacowicz, Yaacov Bar-Siman-Tov, Ole Elgstrom, Magnus Jerneck (eds.) *Stable Peace Among Nations* (London: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000)
- Bergman, Ronen, *The Point of No Return* (Tel-Aviv: Kineret, Zmora-Bitan, 2007) [Hebrew].
- Boulding, Kenneth, *Stable Peace* (Austin, TX: University of Texas Press, 1978).

1  
2  
3 Bull, Headly, *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics* (London:  
4 Macmillan Press, 1977).

5  
6  
7 Clinton, Bill, *My Life* (London: Arrow Books, 2004).

8  
9 Cook, Steven A., *The Struggle for Egypt: From Nasser to Tahrir Square* (Oxford: Oxford  
10 University Press, 2011).

11  
12 Dowek, Ephraim, *Israeli-Egyptian Relations, 1980-2000* (London: Frank Cass, 2001).

13  
14  
15 Desch, Michael C., *When the World Matters: Latin America and United States Grand*  
16 *Strategy* (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993).

17  
18  
19 Dessouki, Ali E. Hillal, 'Egyptian Foreign Policy since Camp David' in, William B.  
20 Quandt (ed.), *The Middle East: Ten Years After Camp David* (Washington DC:  
21 Brookings Institution, 1988).

22  
23  
24 Deutsch, Karl W., *Political Community and the North Atlantic Area* (Princeton, NJ:  
25 Princeton University Press, 1957).

26  
27 Eilts, Hermann Frederick, 'The United States and Egypt' in William B. Quandt (ed.), *The*  
28 *Middle East: Ten Years After Camp David*, 111-150, (Washington DC: The Brookings  
29 Institution, 1988).

30  
31  
32 Etheshami, Anoushiravan, 'The Foreign Policy of Iran' in Raymond Hinnebusch and  
33 Anoushiravan Ehteshami (eds.), *The Foreign Policy of Middle Eastern States*, 283-310  
34 (Boulder CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002).

35  
36  
37 Even, Shmuel 'Egypt's Revocation of the Natural Gas Agreement with Israel: Strategic  
38 Implications', *INSS Insight*, no. 332 (May 6, 2012),  
39 <http://www.inss.org.il/publications.php?cat=21&incat=&read=6488>, accessed 29 April  
40 2013.

41  
42  
43 George, Alexander L., 'From Conflict to Peace: Stages along the Road', *United States*  
44 *Institute of Peace Journal*, 5, no. 6 (1992): 7-9.

45  
46  
47 Gerges, Fawaz A., 'Egyptian-Israeli Relations Turn Sour', *Foreign Affairs* 74, no. 3  
48 (1995): 69-78.

49  
50  
51 Gerges, Fawaz A., 'The End of the Islamist Insurgency in Egypt? Costs and Prospects',  
52 *Middle East Journal*, 54, no. 4 (2000): 592-614.

53  
54  
55 Ginat, Rami and Meir Noema, *Egypt and the Second Palestinian Intifada: Policymaking*  
56 *with Multifaceted Commitments* (Sussex: Sussex Academic Press, 2011).

57  
58  
59 Gai, Carmit, *Dov Lautman* (Or Yehuda: Kineret Bitan, 2011).

1  
2  
3  
4 Halevy, Ephraim, *Man in the Shadows: Inside the Middle East Crisis with a Man who*  
5 *Led the Mossad* (Tel-Aviv: Matar, 2006).

6  
7  
8 Kacowicz, Arie, Yaakov Bar-Siman-Tov, Ole Elgstrom, and Magnus Jerneck (eds.),  
9 *Stable Peace Among Nations* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000).

10  
11 Kupchan, Charles A., *How Enemies Become Friends: The Sources of Stable Peace*  
12 (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2010).

13  
14  
15 Layne, Christopher, 'Kant or Cant: The Myth of the Democratic Peace, *International*  
16 *Security*, 19, no. 2 (1994): 5-49.

17  
18  
19 Miller, Benjamin, *States, Nations, and the Great Powers* (Cambridge: Cambridge  
20 University Press, 2007).

21  
22 Papayoanou, Paul A., 'Great Powers and Regional Orders: Possibilities and Prospects  
23 After the Cold War', in David A. Lake and Patrick M. Morgan (eds.), *Regional Orders:*  
24 *Building Security in a New World* (University Park, PA: Penn State University Press,  
25 1997).

26  
27  
28 Pine, Shawn, 'Myopic Vision: Whither Israeli-Egyptian relations?' *Israel Affairs*, 3, nos.  
29 3-4 (1997): 321-334.

30  
31  
32 Richards, Alan and John Waterbury, *A Political Economy of the Middle East* (Boulder,  
33 CO: Westview, 2008).

34  
35 Rock, Stephen R., *Why Peace Breaks Out : Great Power Rapprochement in Historical*  
36 *Perspective* (Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 1989).

37  
38  
39 Rock, Stephen R., *Appeasement in International Politics* (Lexington, KY: University of  
40 Kentucky Press, 2000).

41  
42 Ross, Dennis, *The Missing Peace* (New-York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2004).

43  
44  
45 Russet, Bruce and Harvey Star, *World Politics: The Menu of Choice, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition* (New-  
46 York: W.H. Freeman, 1992).

47  
48 Salem, Ali. *Rihala Ila Israil* (Cairo: Akhbar al-Yawm, 1994).

49  
50 Savir, Uri, *The Process* (Tel-Aviv: Yediot Achronot, 1998) [Hebrew].

51  
52  
53 Sid Ahmad, Muhammad, *Ba 'du An taskuta al-madafi 'a* (Beirut: Dar al-Qadaya, 1975).

1  
2  
3 Stein, Ewan, 'The "Camp David Consensus": Ideas, Intellectuals, and the Division of  
4 Labor in Egypt's Foreign Policy toward Israel', *International Studies Quarterly*, 55  
5 (2011): 737-758.  
6  
7

8 Sultan, David, *Between Cairo and Jerusalem: The Normalisation between the Arab*  
9 *States and Israel—the Case of Egypt* (Tel-Aviv: The Institute for Diplomacy and  
10 Regional Cooperation, 2007).  
11

12 Stein, Janice G., 'Deterrence and Reassurance', in Philip Tetlock et al., *Behaviour,*  
13 *Society, and International Conflict* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991).  
14  
15

16 Stein, Kenneth W, 'Continuity and Change in Egyptian-Israeli Relations, 1973-1997,'  
17 *Israel Affairs*, 3. no. 3-4 (1997): 297-320.  
18  
19

20 Stern, Yoav and Avi Issascharof, 'Egypt: we will break the hand of those who cross the  
21 border', [www.haaretz.co.il/hasite/objectives/pages](http://www.haaretz.co.il/hasite/objectives/pages), accessed 9 February 2008.  
22

23 Touval, Saadia, 'The Superpowers as Mediators' in, Jacob Bercovitch and Jeffrey Z.  
24 Rubin (eds), *Mediation in International Relations: Multiple Approaches to Conflict*  
25 *Management* (New York: St. Martins Press, 1992).  
26  
27

28 Weissglass, Dov, *Ariel Sharon: A Prime Minister* (Tel Aviv: Yediot Ahronoth Books,  
29 2012).  
30  
31

32 Ya'alon, Moshe, *The Longer Shorter Way* (Tel-Aviv: Yediot Ahronoth, 2008).  
33

34 Yadav, Vikash, 'The Political Economy of the Egyptian-Israeli QIZ Trade Agreement'.  
35 *Middle East Review of International Affairs*, 11, no. 1 (2007): 74-92.  
36  
37

38 "Ziyara laha ahamiyatha al-siyasiyya wa-al-iqtisadiyya" *al-Ahram* (Cairo), 9 March  
39 2001.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60