

Original citation:

Kharlamov, Alexander A. and Godsell, Janet (2016) A study on planning and personality in operations management. In: The Foundations of Utility and Risk Conference 2016 (FUR 2016), University of Warwick, Coventry, UK, 27-30 Jun 2016

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/78734>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract prepared for FUR 2016 conference

A Study on Planning and Personality in Operations Management

Alexander Kharlamov (a.a.kharlamov@warwick.ac.uk), Janet Godsell

The University of Warwick

Planning in operations management (OM) is balancing supply and demand under uncertainty. Its failure results in inventory costs or lost sales. Manager's behaviour contributes to the challenge due to over-reactions, mistrust, second-guessing and unnecessary interventions. In practice, this translates into overstocking, change of plans and dismissing statistical forecasting. Such behaviour can be partly attributed to myopic loss aversion (MLA) and individual personality traits.

We propose to test the hypothesis that behavioural biases and personality traits affect planning decision-making by conducting laboratory and field experiments. Three treatments are tested and results analysed using econometric methodology. The experiment is based on a planning task (modified newsvendor problem) followed by questionnaires with personality inventories and psychometric scales. Both OM students and OM professionals are targeted.

From the treatment on the commitment period, supporting the MLA hypothesis, follows that less frequent interventions lead to better planning performance. Considering personality inventories, less extroversion and greater agreeableness both correlate to better planning. Considering Barratt's Impulsiveness Scale, subjects with higher Motor Impulsiveness Perseverance perform better as expected. Similarly, better performance is also observed for lower focus on negative outcomes based on Elaboration of Potential Outcomes scale. Finally, measures for Global Decision Making Style shows better planning performance for subjects exhibiting lower rationality, greater intuition and less dependence; results supported by previous studies. Considering the expected utility theory, results once more suggests that

decision-makers fail to maximise their expected utility, exhibiting demand chasing and anchoring effects.

The main limitation of this study is the sample size for both students and even more for professionals. Further research should increase the sample size and add priming on planning policy.

The findings provide grounds for discussion in practice about planning policies and evidence to suggest that less frequent interventions can lead to better planning performance. The major social implication is that people might not be naturally suited for task relying heavily on mental accounting under conditions of uncertainty and high volumes of data.

Exploring planning in the context of OM alongside personality inventories and constructs together with scales for decision approach and style is novel. This is also one of the first efforts to relate a common OM planning issue with MLA.