

Original citation:

Yerushalmi, Erez (2013) Discussing the FGB-LM mode. In: Forecasting skill supply and demand in Europe : expert seminar on methodology and new ideas, Thessaloniki, Greece, 3 Oct 2013.

Permanent WRAP url:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/70928>

Copyright and reuse:


The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk



<http://go.warwick.ac.uk/lib-publications>



Discussing the FGB-LM Model

Erez Yerushalmi

Institute for Employment Research

University of Warwick

WARWICK INSTITUTE *for*
EMPLOYMENT RESEARCH



Main structure of the FGB-LM Model

- Its “**core**” is a state-of-the-art **Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) model**, with many features.
- The “core” imposes results on an econometrically calibrated “**satellite**” component.
- This **top down** framework is calibrated to the E3ME forecast (as the baseline).
- One of the **benefits** of using a **GE** framework is that it allows for consistent **counterfactual experiments**.

Policy Analysis with DSGE LM model

- Some **examples** of counterfactual scenarios are:
 1. Technology shocks;
 2. Preference shocks, e.g., inter-temporal discount factor, import and export demand elasticities;
 3. Real and nominal pricing shocks.
- **Specific** examples aimed **towards labour** issues are:
 1. Subsidies that (a) reduce hiring costs (b) wage costs;
 2. Social contribution and other fiscal policy;
 3. Assumptions about the separation rate.
- **Note however** that all the above are **macro-level** examples, because only the “**core**” model **can** have an affect (i.e., “**satellite**” **cannot** affect).

An example of a policy simulation:

Simulate effects of Youth Guarantee in Italy


- Youth Guarantee (YG) program is targeted to member states with more than 25% youth unemployment (ages 15-25).
- Countries include: Ireland, **Italy**, Latvia, Lithuania, Portugal, Slovakia, and Spain).
- Overall fund in EU is 8 billion Euros.
- The **Italian** portion of the fund will be **1.5 billion** Euros (1 for yr 14/15, 0.5 for yr16/20) + **0.8 billion** Italian Gov. finance.

Various scenarios are possible

	Permanent ALMP	Persistent, but temporary		
Sc	Hiring subsidy	Wage subsidy	Fiscal financing	Deficit financing
S1	Yes 100% YG cost	No	Yes	No
S3	No	Yes 100% YG cost	Yes	No
S5	Yes 50% YG cost	Yes 50% YG cost	Yes	No

Results suggest that

- S3 is best for short term policy, but worst for long term.
- S1 is least responsive in the short term, but best for long term.




A few issues for discussion (1/3)

- An interesting usage of the model, with a nice macro policy example of Italy.
- Useful for analysis within a **business cycle** framework that shows the **impulse response** of various parameters:
 - E.g., employment, hiring, and unemployment.
 - The impulse response is the durations of time it takes to converge towards a steady-state.

A few issues for discussion (2/3)

- Short comment about the paper (in its current form):
 1. I'm worried that each scenario uses a different monetary value, which makes comparing scenarios impossible (or misleading). We then can **not** say that S1 is better than S2 or S3.
 2. Why do all scenarios go through the same point, i.e., 2015q1? This needs to be checked.



A few issues for discussion (3/3)

3. As previously mentioned, this GE approach is great for **top down** simulations within the “core” model, **imposing** on the “satellite” component.
 - However, a **bottom up** (micro-level) approach from the “satellite” towards the “core”, **cannot be assessed** using this framework.
 - This is highly **problematic if** an organization such as **CEDEFOP wants** to pick and support **specific** “winning” sectors or households. How do we deal with this?
4. I wonder how a **skills mismatch model** that considers **qualification** and **occupation** issues can be implemented using this DSGE framework? This is another practical issue which CEDEFOP cares about?

Skills Mismatch CGE Model

- We need to frame qualifications and occupations within markets (i.e., their supply and demands). Their wages and return to qualification will be their market clearing prices.
- Some policy simulation could ask:
 - a) How would increases/decreases in qualification affect occupations within a GE model?
 - b) What are the spillovers that these channels have on the economy and vice versa?
 - c) How do we considering the linkages between age, qualification and occupation... (explain).

Future work IER/CE are currently doing

- IER has integrated a Skills Mismatch CGE model into the E3ME model (work in progress).
- This model still has issues similar to FGB where the CGE model is the “satellite” model which has no spillovers back up to the “core” E3ME.
- IER is thinking of experimenting with a fully fledged CGE model which would integrate a Skills Mismatch model.

Discussion

- DSGE model is a complex stage-of-the art model.
- We need to find ways to build this type of GE model which deals with more sector specific or household specific policies.
- We need to build-in a skills mismatch model into GE.

Further Information

Erez Yerushalmi

Email: e.yerushalmi@warwick.ac.uk

www.warwick.ac.uk/ier

WARWICK INSTITUTE *for*
EMPLOYMENT RESEARCH



Erez Yerushalmi, Research Fellow