



Strathprints Institutional Repository

Basterfield, Laura and Gardner, Lauren and Reilly, Jessica K and Pearce, Mark S and Parkinson, Kathryn N and Adamson, Ashley J and Reilly, John J and Vella, Stewart A (2016) Can't play, won't play : longitudinal changes in perceived barriers to participation in sports clubs across the child-adolescent transition. British Medical Journal. ISSN 0959-8138 (In Press) ,

This version is available at <http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/55746/>

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Can't play, won't play: longitudinal changes in perceived barriers to participation in sports clubs across the child-adolescent transition

Laura Basterfield^a, Lauren Gardner^b, Jessica K Reilly^a, Mark S Pearce^c, Kathryn N Parkinson^a, Ashley J Adamson^a, John J Reilly^d & Stewart A Vella^b

Affiliations:

^a Institute of Health & Society and Human Nutrition Research Centre, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

laura.basterfield@ncl.ac.uk; jessica.reilly@ncl.ac.uk; Kathryn.parkinson@ncl.ac.uk;
Ashley.adamson@ncl.ac.uk

^b Early Start Research Institute, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW 2522, Australia

laq994@uowmail.edu.au; stvella@uow.edu.au

^c Institute of Health & Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4LP, UK

mark.pearce@ncl.ac.uk

^d Physical Activity for Health Group, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1QE, UK

john.j.reilly@strath.ac.uk

Corresponding author:

Laura Basterfield, Laura.Basterfield@ncl.ac.uk

Phone +44(0)191 2225276, Fax +44(0)191 2225581

Key words: sport, children, adolescents, transition
Word count: 3290

ABSTRACT

Background

Participation in sports is associated with numerous physical and psychosocial health benefits, however, participation declines with age, and knowledge of perceived barriers to participation in children is lacking. This longitudinal study of children and adolescents aimed to use the ecological model of physical activity to assess changes in barriers to participation in sports clubs to identify age- and weight-specific targets for intervention.

Methods

Longitudinal study. Perceived barriers to sports participation were collected from a birth cohort, the Gateshead Millennium Study (n>500) at ages 9 and 12 years. The open-ended question “Do you find it hard to take part in sports clubs for any reason?” was completed with free text and analysed using content analysis, and the social-ecological model of physical activity.

Results

Barriers from across the social-ecological model were reported. Barriers at 9y were predominantly of a physical environmental nature, and required high parental involvement (for transport, money, permission), or were associated with a lack of suitable clubs. At 12y, perceived barriers were predominantly classed as intrapersonal (“they’re boring”) or social environmental (“my friends don’t go”). Perceived barriers were not associated with weight status.

Conclusions

Perceived barriers to sports participation change rapidly in childhood and adolescence. Future interventions aiming to increase sports participation in children and adolescents should target specific age groups, should consider the rapid changes which occur in adolescence, and aim to

address prominent barriers from across the social-ecological model. Perceived barriers may be unrelated to current weight status, allowing for more inclusive solutions.

WHAT ARE THE NEW FINDINGS:

- **Children’s perceived barriers to sport participation change over a short space of time**
- **Perceived barriers may be unrelated to weight status**
- **Perceived barriers may be similar for boys and girls before adolescence**

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity has been associated with numerous health benefits during childhood and adolescence [1]. Sport is one of the most popular forms of physical activity worldwide[2] and it contributes approximately 55-65% of daily moderate to vigorous energy expenditure in youth[3,4], and conveys a range of psychosocial health benefits that are over and above those attributable to physical activity [5,6]. However, as 20-30% of children in the UK, USA and Australia do not participate in sport [7-9] and participation rates decline dramatically as children age, particularly during adolescence, it is important to investigate the barriers preventing participation in youth sport[10,11]. Much of the current research focuses on reasons for participation and dropout[11-13]. However, understanding barriers preventing participation from the child or adolescent’s perspective could be particularly useful. The ecological model of health behaviour was developed to “emphasize the environmental and policy concepts of behaviour, while incorporating social and psychological influences”[14]. The social-ecological model of physical activity (EMPA) was developed from this and “portrays physical activity behavior as being influenced by interplay between environmental settings and biological and psychological factors”[15] providing a framework for designing and evaluating physical activity and other health-related behavioural interventions. The model comprises four main domains: intrapersonal (individual beliefs, knowledge, skills, age), social environment (relationships, culture, society), physical environmental (natural or man-made environments), and policy

(legislation). Barriers to sports participation may fall into any of these domains. Although there is a relatively small amount of research in the area, a review of studies found that adolescents perceived social and intrapersonal factors to be the most prominent barriers to sports participation[16]. However, these studies involved cross-sectional research designs and there is no research into how perceived barriers to participation change over time in the **same** children, and data on children's barriers (rather than adolescents') is lacking[16]. Barriers would be expected to change as children develop both physically and socially; what they gain in motor skills and sports knowledge[17] may be tempered by a new awareness of social standing and peer influence[18].

In our recent prospective study in an English birth cohort[19], continued participation in sports clubs between the ages of 9 and 12 years was associated with decreased adiposity. This finding is particularly important given the high prevalence of obesity and associated negative outcomes among youth[9]. Additionally, this finding suggests that individuals with increased adiposity may be less likely to participate in sports, and body-related or social barriers may be particularly prominent in this group[20]. Similar barriers may be evident among females, as compared to males[21], as girls and young women are less physically active and take part in less sport than their male peers[22,23].

The novel aim of this study was to investigate how perceived barriers to participation in school- and outside-school sports clubs change in the same cohort over three years. This information would allow interventions to be tailored to the specific needs of children and adolescents at a time of high-risk of dropout. Three main hypotheses were tested: 1. Perceived barriers will change from 9 years to 12 years. 2. Overweight children will perceive different barriers to healthy weight children. 3. Girls will perceive different barriers to boys.

METHODS

Sample

The Gateshead Millennium Study is a birth cohort of adolescents born in northeast England between June 1999 and May 2000, described in detail elsewhere[24]. Briefly, all children born to Gateshead-resident mothers in 34 pre-specified recruiting weeks were invited to participate. There were no exclusion criteria. 1029 babies from 1011 mothers were in the original cohort, 523 male (50.8%) 506 female (49.2%). Mothers were predominantly from the white ethnic majority (98%). The metropolitan borough of Gateshead is a mix of urban and rural, and the northeast of England is more deprived than England in general[25]. The sample has remained socioeconomically representative of northern England and stable throughout the study; at birth 15% of the sample were in the most affluent quintile, 20% in the second most affluent quintile, then 23%, 22%, and finally 19% of the sample in the least affluent quintile. At follow-up at age 9y, 18% of the sample were in the most affluent quintile, followed by 22%, 22%, 20%, and then 18% in the least affluent quintile[24]. The northeast consistently has higher than national average levels of childhood obesity[26].

Data for the current analyses were collected at two data sweeps: in 2008-2009 and 2012, corresponding to ages 8-10 years and 11-13 years, referred to as 9y and 12y[19]. For each phase, families who had not previously opted out of the cohort were sent a letter and information leaflet inviting them to take part. Informed consent was obtained from the main carer of each child, and children provided written assent. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Newcastle University Ethics Committee.

Measures – barriers to sport participation

Children completed the Youth Sport Survey (adapted from Godin and Shepherd 1985 [27]), about sports clubs they attended both in school and outside school[19]. Briefly, for this study, 72% of children at 9y (n=421) and 63% of children at 12y (n=331) children took part in a sports club, and participation at 12y was associated with reduced fat mass index[19]. The children also answered the question “Do you find it hard to take part in sports clubs for any reason?” with free text answers for

both school sports clubs and outside-school sports clubs. The responses were analysed using content analysis using an inductive thematic approach: the answer was read and the 'theme' or 'subdomain' associated with it was created. For example, the answer "I don't take part in any outside school clubs, because none of my friends do" was used to create a subdomain of 'friends don't go', other similar responses were then placed into this category. Subdomains were then grouped thematically according to a simplified version of EMPA[15] that consisted of three main domains: physical environmental, intrapersonal and social environment. A cumulative EMPA count was then created for each domain of EMPA. A response could be placed into more than one category of EMPA if it included more than one factor. Sub-domains were not created a priori as we did not know what answers would be given.

Data were coded independently by the lead author and a research assistant, who then met to discuss and agree on any discrepancies. Answers that were difficult to assign were agreed upon between the authors.

Measures - anthropometry

At each timepoint, height was measured to 0.1cm with a Leicester height measure (Chasmors, London UK) and weight to 0.1kg in light indoor clothing. BMI centiles and BMI z-scores according to age-specific UK 1990 data[28] were derived, and children categorised into healthy weight (HW, <85th centile), overweight (OW, ≥85th <95th centile) or obese (OB, ≥95th centile). Stage of puberty was assessed at 12y using the self-reported Pubertal Development Scale[29], a self-report measure of puberty for young adolescents with good reliability and validity.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed in SPSS 21 and STATA 13. Answers were analysed by EMPA domain and overweight status. d . To assess the change in reported barrier over time, a change variable was created; children either changed domain of EMPA or did not, and within-subjects longitudinal

associations were then examined using logistic regression. X^2 tests were used to assess the association of EMPA domain with overweight status. The influence of puberty at 12y and socioeconomic status (SES) (measured by Townsend score, an area-based measure derived from residential postcode[30], and divided into quintiles) was tested with one-way ANOVA. Differences between sexes were tested by logistic regression. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

At 9y 574 children took part and at age 12y 500 adolescents took part, and 441 children answered the questions at both 9y and 12y. There were no differences in BMI or BMIz-score between children who took part at both timepoints and those who did not, but results are restricted to those with data at both 9y and 12y. Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Participant characteristics, n=441

	9y		12y	
Male (n, %)	210	47.6	210	47.6
Female (n, %)	231	52.4	231	52.4
BMI (mean, SD)	17.9	2.8	20.5	3.8
BMI z-score ^a (mean, SD)	0.5	1.1	0.7	1.2
Healthy weight (n, %)	297	67.7	274	62.7
Overweight ^b (n, %)	80	18.2	82	18.8
Obese ^b (n, %)	62	14.1	81	18.5
Stage of puberty ^c	-	-	2.3	0.6

^az-scores calculated relative to age-specific UK 1990 reference data [28]

^bcutpoints for population monitoring of overweight were used to categorise the children into healthy weight (<85th centile), overweight ($\geq 85^{\text{th}}$ <95th centile) or obese ($\geq 95^{\text{th}}$ centile). Overweight and obese categories were combined for this analysis.

^cContinuous scale from 1-4; pre- to post-pubertal

Responses to the question “do you find it hard to take part in sports clubs for any reason?” are described by social-ecological domain of EMPA (Fig. 1). Children who reported no perceived barriers

represented a substantial portion of the responses (40%-60%) and were included in the analysis to reduce bias.

The number of subdomains within each domain of EMPA differed by age and by school- or outside school-sports clubs; 46 subdomains were identified in total, there were 24, 24, 29 and 28 subdomains for 9y school-, 9y outside-school, 12y school- and 12y outside-school sports clubs respectively (Table 2, supplementary material due to size).

Table 2 shows how perceived barriers to taking part in sports clubs changed over three years. For younger children the physical environmental domain was prominent, suggesting more practical difficulties, such as a lack of suitable club e.g. “[I would like to play] Tennis - isn't a club” (ID1, boy, 9y), “[I did] Street dance-not many people took part so it stopped” (ID2, girl, 9y), a lack of permission or transport: “[I would like to do] karate & football – [but there is] no one to take me” (ID3, boy, 9y), but also that clubs were only available to older or younger children. Lack of time was an issue at both ages: “I do nothing out of school or after school - not enough time” (ID5, girl, 12y). By adolescence the respondents showed a marked change in their responses, with answers predominantly intrapersonal and social environmental, and displaying a general lack of interest: “because ... I can't be bothered to stay after school” (ID4, boy 12y), and having other interests and priorities, whether friends, family or homework: “I always have a lot of things to do at break and lunch, and none of the after school clubs suit me” (ID6, girl, 12y).

At both ages, there were children and adolescents describing themselves as ‘not sporty’ or disliking sports, and at 12y worrying about not fitting in: “Sometimes I feel as if I won't fit in with others or maybe feel left out” (ID7, boy, 12y), “I don't feel comfortable and don't like doing things without my friends” (ID8, girl, 12y), “I am often very shy and rarely speak for myself. I am worried that I will get things mixed up so try to avoid things” (ID9, girl, 12y). There were reports about lack of fitness: “My chest really hurts when I exercise for too long” (ID10, girl, 12y), and also some starkly honest

responses, e.g. “my mum won't pick me up because she doesn't care” (ID11, girl, 12y) and: “because the internet exists” (ID12, girl, 12y).

To assess within-subject change over time, only the first answer given was used as the majority of children gave only one answer (15 and 12 children at 9y gave a second answer for school- and outside school sports clubs, respectively, and 13 and 8 children at 12y). The within-subjects change over time found that 154 of 435 children identified barriers within the same domain of EMPA for school-sports clubs from 9y to 12y, and 160 of 420 for outside-school sports club (Table 3). The distributions were also different between school- and outside-school sports clubs at both ages, although the changes in EMPA domain from 9y to 12y were not statistically significant ($X^2 p=0.054$ for outside-school sports clubs and $p=0.410$ for school sports clubs).

Table 3 Change in reported barrier to (a) school-sports club participation, and (b) outside-school sports club participation from age 9y to 12y, by EMPA domain

a 9y EMPA domain	12y EMPA domain				Total
	Physical environment	Intrapersonal	Social environment	No barrier reported	
Physical environment	7	25	12	32	76
Intrapersonal	6	26	6	25	63
Social environment	3	16	13	16	48
No barrier reported	13	82	48	105	248
Total	29	142	86	178	435

b 9y EMPA domain	12y EMPA domain				Total
	Physical environment	Intrapersonal	Social environment	No barrier reported	
Physical environment	13	18	11	41	83
Intrapersonal	2	12	4	16	34
Social environment	5	8	8	26	47
No barrier reported	15	51	60	130	256
Total	35	83	89	213	420

Analysis by overweight status is shown in Fig. 2. There were no statistically significant differences between HW and OWOB children at each timepoint, however change in perceived barrier was associated with OWOB status at 12y, after controlling for sex, puberty and baseline OWOB status (OR and 95% CI 1.7, 1.0-3.0, $p=0.033$). This was due to more OWOB children reporting 'no perceived barrier' at 9y, then a barrier at 12y, than HW children (26% v. 34%).

The distribution of answers by EMPA was similar between the sexes, with the exception of outside-school sports clubs at 9y, where girls gave fewest responses in the intrapersonal domain, but boys' responses were split equally between intrapersonal and social environment. No statistically significant differences between the sexes were found either cross-sectionally at each age, or in the change in EMPA exhibited longitudinally when tested by X^2 ($p>0.05$), or by stage of puberty at 12y (one-way ANOVA $p>0.05$).

There were no associations with SES.

DISCUSSION

The current study is novel in using responses from the same group of children, at 9 and then 12 years of age, and highlights the range of perceived barriers – 46 were identified across just a three-year timespan. The current work has also addressed an important gap in the literature by contributing to the knowledge of younger children's experiences.

Age-related changes

There was a clear difference with age; as children, the perceived barriers were predominantly of a physical environmental nature. This is in keeping with children of this age and stage of development; they are not yet overly concerned about their social standing [18], and parental support is vital as the children may be considered too young to travel home alone, don't have their own money (or parents can't afford clubs/equipment), may forget to get permission forms completed or are refused

permission: “[I would like to go] swimming – Mum won’t let me go back” (ID 13, boy, 9y). Others have found that parental support, plus access to a variety of clubs, are motivators for young children’s participation in sports[16]. A lack of time was cited at both ages, and at 9y this may have been due to homework or other clubs they enjoyed: “[I would like to do] karate – but clashes with piano lessons” (ID 14, girl, 9y). Lack of time and competing demands were reported by parents of children this age in Canada [31], and children in Ireland who had never participated in sports clubs provided similar reasons to those above; they struggled to find suitable clubs, with transport, and with feelings of incompetence[32]. Participants in the current study also reported that they ‘weren’t good at sport’ or that it was ‘too hard’, and there were also several children with injuries or who were scared of getting hurt. This could point to exposure to developmentally inappropriate sports [17] or that the children lacked the fundamental movement skills required to perform them. These could be difficult feelings to overcome, perhaps helped by improved teacher and coach training in understanding and assessing fundamental movement skills[33], and communicating their importance to parents

The transition from primary to secondary school, (and from childhood to adolescence) marked a clear distinction in barriers, with the most prominent subdomains capturing disinterest. Other researchers have emphasised the need for ‘sampling’ at these ages, where children try different sports with an emphasis on fun and participation, rather than competition[34]. In the current study, many of the children already participated in a sports club, which may explain why many children did not perceive any barriers. However, many of the responses could be targets for intervention, including providing transport home, making clubs free/cheaper, and making clubs available to children of all ages. The results of this study also suggest that interventions to increase sports participation need to be age-specific. The 12 year olds’ concerns relating to their social environment emphasise the importance of friendship groups at this age: “I don’t take part in any outside school clubs, because none of my friends do” (ID15, girl, 12y). This emerges during early adolescence as

they become more aware of what their friends think of them, and the need to feel accepted and similar[18]. This has been described in a dance intervention for girls[35]; the authors also suggest emphasising enjoyment and socialisation in recruitment campaigns[35]. Peer acceptance and friendship quality are two important dimensions of peer influence that have been linked with increased commitment to sports, greater enjoyment, and improved psychosocial well-being among adolescents[36,37].

Some of the findings of this study echo those of Stanley et al. (2012)[38,39] who discussed physical activity (not specifically sport participation) at lunch-time and after-school with 10-13 year olds in Australia. The children mentioned the importance of friends, parental support, lack of time and perceived enjoyment[38,39], highlighting a degree of generalizability of studies to this age group, enabling successful sports interventions developed in one setting to be applied more rapidly in others.

Weight- and sex-related barriers

The analysis found no clear difference with overweight status, suggesting children and young adolescents have similar concerns across the weight spectrum. This may help future intervention designs to be more inclusive and is consistent with the finding that there is no difference in participation in organised sports by weight status[40]. Similarly, sport participation has previously been shown to differ by SES[19] whilst other research has found that SES and cultural background, but not BMI, predict dropout from sport[41]. There was also no clear association with sex, which is surprising, given that levels of physical activity and sports participation are lower in girls than boys. Allender et. al[16] reviewed barriers reported by young women, however few of them were replicated in the current study. This may reflect the younger age of the current participants and that

sports clubs at 9y may be available to both sexes. Further follow-up will show how perceived barriers change again.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of the current study include the relatively large sample size, with repeated assessment over three years, across the key period of transitions from primary-secondary school and childhood-adolescence. The use of a simple tool to extract information could be perceived as a weakness, but the breadth of answers, together with the similarity with qualitative studies shows that answers to a simple question could help to inform intervention design. Other limitations include a lack of representation from across the entire socio-ecological model; information on policy barriers was limited but might have included 'lack of clubs' or 'expense', however more information would be required to decide that. An additional qualitative study with alternative methods, e.g. interviews, might have revealed insights that were not possible from the methods used in the current study, as the researcher could prompt the participant for further explanation, or to give additional context to their answer. Additional information across the entire range of adolescence would also add value, therefore it would be useful to follow the cohort further into adolescence and adulthood.

Generalisability to other settings may be affected by the predominantly white ethnic background of the participants, and the low SES of the region; more affluent families or regions may have more clubs available to them.

Setting this research in context

The importance of sports participation throughout life is being recognised by individual governments and also internationally, by the WHO Europe network for health enhancing physical activity (HEPA), The Association For International Sport for All (TAFISA) and the International Olympic Committee (IOC). The recent report by the UK Government 'Sporting Future: A New Strategy for an Active Nation'[42] promises a commitment to non-elite elite sport, and to increasing the funding and

coaching available to children from 5 years old, as well as encouraging sport for social and mental health benefits. The current study should feed into the knowledge base for those seeking to increase sports participation in children and adolescents, specifically by understanding the variety of barriers and pressures that children and young people face.

CONCLUSIONS

The transition from childhood to adolescence represents a marked change in perceived barriers to participation in sports clubs, that may not differ substantially by sex or weight status. Interventions need to be tailored to the specific needs of the age group that is being targeted, and cover as many domains of the social-ecological model as possible. Furthermore, interventions should address a narrow range of ages because a universal intervention is unlikely to be applicable to both 9 year olds and 12 year olds. The views of children and adolescents should be sought prior to, and during, intervention design and implementation.

List of abbreviations

GMS; Gateshead Millennium Study

BMI; body mass index

EMPA; ecological model of physical activity

SES; socioeconomic status

Competing interests

None to declare

Acknowledgements

Thanks are especially due to the Gateshead Millennium Study families and children for their participation in the study, and to the schools for their helpful co-operation. We appreciate the support of Gateshead Health NHS Foundation Trust and Gateshead Education Authority. We warmly thank the research team for their effort. Thanks to Kay Mann for additional statistical support.

Funding

This work was supported by the Chief Scientist Office [Grant number CZH/4/484], the University of Strathclyde, Gateshead Council, the Mental Health Foundation and Breathe North. The cohort was first established with funding from the Henry Smith Charity and Sport Aiding Research in Kids (SPARKS) and followed up with grants from NPRI, Gateshead NHS Trust R&D, Northern and Yorkshire NHS R&D, and Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Trust. LB is funded by a Newcastle University Research Fellowship. AJA is supported by a NIHR Professorship.

Author contributions

LB was responsible for data acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data; manuscript drafting and revision. JKR was responsible for data acquisition, manuscript drafting and revision. JJR, LG and SAV were responsible for the study conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, manuscript drafting and revision. AJA,KNP and MSP were responsible for the study conception and design, manuscript drafting and revision. LB is the study guarantor. All authors have had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Janssen I, LeBlanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 2010;7 doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-7-40.
2. Tremblay MS, Gray CE, Akinroye K, et al. Physical activity of children: a global matrix of grades comparing 15 countries. *J Phys Act Health* 2014;11(4 Suppl 1):S113-25 doi: 10.1123/jpah.2014-0177 [published Online First: 05/2014].
3. Katzmarzyk PT, Malina RM. Contribution of organized sports participation to estimated daily energy expenditure in youth. *Pediatric Exercise Science* 1998;10(4):378-86.
4. Olds T, Dollman J, Maher C. Adolescent sport in Australia: Who, when, where and what? *ACHPER Australia Healthy Lifestyles Journal* 2009;56(1):11-16.
5. Eime RM, Young JA, Harvey JT, et al. A systematic review of the psychological and social benefits of participation in sport for children and adolescents: informing development of a conceptual model of health through sport. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013;10:1-21 [published Online First: 07/12/2013].
6. Vella SA, Cliff DP, Magee CA, et al. Associations between sports participation and psychological difficulties during childhood: A two-year follow up. *J Sci Med Sport* 2015;18(3):304-09 doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.05.006 [published Online First: 19/5/14].
7. Sabo D, Veliz P. *Go out and play: Youth sports in America*. East Meadow, NY: Women's Sports Foundation, 2008.
8. Active Healthy Kids Australia. *Is Sport Enough? The 2014 Active Healthy Kids Australia Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Young People*. Adelaide, South Australia: Active Healthy Kids Australia, 2014.
9. Lifestyle Statistics Team - Health and Social Care Information Centre. *Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet: England 2013*. London: Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2013.
10. Hedstrom R, Gould D. *Research in youth sports: critical issues status*. Kansas, Missouri: Institute for the Study of Youth Sports, 2004.
11. Balish SM, McLaren C, Rainham D, et al. Correlates of youth sport attrition: A review and future directions. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2014;15(4):429-39 doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.04.003 [published Online First: 21/04/2014].
12. Gucciardi DF, Jackson B. Understanding sport continuation: An integration of the theories of planned behaviour and basic psychological needs. *J Sci Med Sport* 2015;18(1):31-36 doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2013.11.011 [published Online First: 12/12/2013].
13. Boiché JCS, Sarrazin PG. Proximal and distal factors associated with dropout versus maintained participation in organized sport. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine* 2009;8(1):9-16 [published Online First: 01/03/2009].
14. Sallis JF, Owen N. Ecological models of health behavior. In: Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K, eds. *Health Behavior and Health Education : Theory, Research, and Practice*. 4 ed: Wiley, 2008.

15. Spence JC, Lee RE. Toward a comprehensive model of physical activity. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2003;4(1):7-24.
16. Allender S, Cowburn G, Foster C. Understanding participation in sport and physical activity among children and adults: a review of qualitative studies. *Health Educ Res* 2006;21(6):826-35 [published Online First: 20/07/2006].
17. Purcell L. Sport readiness in children and youth. *Paediatr Child Health* 2005;10(6):343-44.
18. Harter S. Developmental processes in the construction of the self. In: Yawkey TD, Johnson JE, eds. *Integrative processes and socialization: early to middle childhood*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Publishers, 1988.
19. Basterfield L, Reilly JK, Pearce MS, et al. Longitudinal associations between sports participation, body composition and physical activity from childhood to adolescence. *J Sci Med Sport* 2015;18(2):178-82 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.03.005> [published Online First: 14/3/2014].
20. Zabinski MF, Saelens BE, Stein RI, et al. Overweight children's barriers to and support for physical activity. *Obes Res* 2003;11(2):238-46 doi: 10.1038/oby.2003.37.
21. Slater A, Tiggemann M. Gender differences in adolescent sport participation, teasing, self-objectification and body image concerns. *J Adolesc* 2011;34(3):455-63 doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2010.06.007 [published Online First: 18/07/2010].
22. Zimmermann-Sloutskis D, Wanner M, Zimmermann E, et al. Physical activity levels and determinants of change in young adults: a longitudinal panel study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2010;7(1):2 [published Online First: 11/01/2010].
23. The Information Centre for Health and Social Care. *Health Survey for England 2008. Volume 1: Physical activity and fitness*. In: Craig R, Mindell J, Hirani V, eds., 2009.
24. Parkinson KN, Pearce MS, Dale A, et al. Cohort Profile: The Gateshead Millennium Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;40(2):308-17 doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq015 [published Online First: 23/05/2010].
25. Office for National Statistics. *Regional profiles - social indicators - north east - February 2012*. London: Office for National Statistics, 2012.
26. Health and Social Care Information Centre. *National Child Measurement Programme: England, 2014/15 school year*.
27. Godin G, Shephard RJ. A simple method to assess exercise behavior in the community. *Can J Appl Sport Sci* 1985;10(3):141-6.
28. Cole TJ, Freeman J, Preece M. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Arch Dis Child* 1995;73(1):25-29.
29. Petersen AC, Crockett L, Richards M, et al. A self-report measure of pubertal status - reliability, validity, and initial norms. *J Youth Adolescence* 1988;17(2):117-33.

30. Townsend P, Phillimore P, Beattie A. Health and Deprivation: Inequality in the North. London: Croom Helm, 1988.
31. Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute. Bulletin 14: Barriers to children's participation in physical activity. Getting Kids Active! 2010-2011 Physical Activity Monitor: Facts & Figures. Ontario, Canada: CFLRI, 2013.
32. Woods CB, Tannehill D, Quinlan A, et al. The Children's Sport Participation and Physical Activity Study (CSPPA). Research Report No 1. Ireland: Dublin City University and The Irish Sports Council, 2010.
33. Haynes J, Miller J. Preparing pre-service primary school teachers to assess fundamental motor skills: two skills and two approaches. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* 2015;20(4):397-408 doi: 10.1080/17408989.2014.892064.
34. Macphail A, Gorely T, Kirk D. Young People's Socialisation into Sport: A Case Study of an Athletics Club. *Sport Educ Soc* 2003;8(2):251-67 doi: 10.1080/13573320309251 [published Online First: 25/08/2010].
35. Jago R, Davis L, McNeill J, et al. Adolescent girls' and parents' views on recruiting and retaining girls into an after-school dance intervention: implications for extra-curricular physical activity provision. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011;8 doi: 9110.1186/1479-5868-8-91 [published Online First: 23/08/2011].
36. Smith AL, Ullrich-French S, Walker E, II, et al. Peer relationship profiles and motivation in youth sport. *J Sport Exerc Psychol* 2006;28(3):362-82.
37. Chen LH. Gratitude and Adolescent Athletes' Well-Being: The Multiple Mediating Roles of Perceived Social Support from Coaches and Teammates. *Soc Indic Res* 2013;114(2):273-85 doi: 10.1007/s11205-012-0145-2 [published Online First: 12/09/2012].
38. Stanley RM, Boshoff K, Dollman J. A qualitative exploration of the "Critical Window": factors affecting Australian children's after-school physical activity. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health* 2012;2012(9):33-41.
39. Stanley RM, Boshoff K, Dollman J. Voices in the playground: A qualitative exploration of the barriers and facilitators of lunchtime play. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 2012;15:44-51.
40. Vella SA, Cliff DP, Okely AD, et al. Associations between sports participation, adiposity and obesity-related health behaviors in Australian adolescents. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013;10:9 doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-10-113 [published Online First: 02/10/2013].
41. Vella SA, Cliff DP, Okely AD. Socio-ecological predictors of participation and dropout in organised sports during childhood. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014;11:10 doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-11-62 [published Online First: 13/05/2014].
42. HM Government. Sporting Future: A New Strategy for an Active Nation. London: UK Government, 2015.

Figures (uploaded separately)

Figure 1. Distribution of responses by domain of social-ecological model of physical activity

Fig. 1 legend. Data are % of total responses for each domain of social-ecological model of physical activity, for each category of school- and outside-school sports clubs, at each age. ('No reported barrier' has been removed for clarity)

Figure 2. Distribution of responses by domain of social-ecological framework, and overweight status

Fig. 2 legend. Data are % of total responses for each domain of social-ecological model of physical activity, for each category of school- and outside-school sports clubs, at each age, by weight status ('no reported barrier' has been removed for clarity). HW, healthy weight; OWOB, overweight or obese

Table 2 uploaded as supplementary file due to size