

Strathprints Institutional Repository

Rafert, J. Bruce and Zabalza, Jaime and Marshall, Stephen and Ren, Jinchang (2016) Singular spectrum analysis : a note on data processing for Fourier transform hyperspectral imagers. Applied Spectroscopy. ISSN 0003-7028 (In Press),

This version is available at http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/55647/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Singular spectrum analysis: A note on data processing for Fourier transform hyperspectral imagers

J. Bruce Rafert^{1,*}, Jaime Zabalza², Stephen Marshall², Jinchang Ren²

¹Department of Physics, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, U.S.A. ²Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, U.K. *Corresponding Author: <u>bruce.rafert@ndsu.edu</u>

Received XX Month XXXX; revised XX Month, XXXX; accepted XX Month XXXX; posted XX Month XXXX (Doc. ID XXXXX); published XX Month XXXX

Hyperspectral remote sensing is experiencing a dazzling proliferation of new sensors, platforms, systems, and applications with the introduction of novel, low cost, low weight sensors. Curiously, relatively little development is now occurring in the use of Fourier Transform (FT) systems, which have the potential to operate at extremely high throughput without use of a slit or reductions in both spatial and spectral resolution that thin film based mosaic sensors introduce. This study introduces a new physics-based analytical framework called Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) to process raw hyperspectral imagery collected with FT imagers that addresses some of the data processing issues associated with FT instruments including the need to remove low frequency variations in the interferogram that are introduced by the optical system, as well as high frequency variations that lay outside the detector band pass. Synthetic interferogram data is analyzed using SSA, which adaptively decomposes the original synthetic interferogram into several independent components associated with the signal, photon and system noise, and the field illumination pattern.

OCIS codes: (070.4790) Fourier optics and signal processing, spectrum analysis; (110.4234) Imaging systems, multispectral and hyperspectral imaging

While hyperspectral remote sensing has rapidly emerged as a mature field since the early 2000's [1], relatively little attention has been placed on data reduction techniques for imaging Fourier transform spectrometers. Use of the inverse Fourier transform to reconstruct the spectrum from the interferogram uses a fixed basis of sine and cosine functions that less general than the use of other techniques which use an adaptive basis generated by the time series itself.

This study reports on Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA), a modern method for time series analysis and forecasting, which has recently been used to analyze meteorological, climatic and geophysical time series [2], with further application in diverse areas such as economics or financial mathematics, oceanology, market research or social science [3], among others.

The SSA origins are normally associated with the publications [4-5] in 1986, attracting increasing interest from then on with remarkable progress reported in recent years [6-7], extending its use to varied fields such as image processing [8] or hyperspectral remote sensing [9-11].

The main purpose of the SSA method is the decomposition of an original series into several independent components or sub-series, interpretable as varying trend, oscillations or noise. According to [3], the SSA method has great potential in the extraction of components such as:

- Trends and smoothing
- Periodic components
- Complex trends and periodicities with varying amplitudes
- Structures in short time series
- Envelopes of oscillating signals

The organization of the remainder of this paper is as follows: Section 2 introduces a general framework to link SSA to hyperspectral FT imagers. Section 3 presents details for the hyperspectral imager model program (HIMP) used to provide a physics based simulation complete with arbitrary sources of signal and noise. Section 4 presents our results, while section 5 discusses the results and concludes the study.

One of the most serious difficulties in processing hyperspectral imagery from FT-based sensor systems relates to the use of the inverse FT, which uses a fixed basis of sine and cosines. That approach not only restricts the recovery of frequency components to k/N, but also frequencies that lay outside the band pass of the sensor, such as the low frequency variation across the sensor by the optical system illumination function, are intertwined in the analysis unless arbitrary filtering is applied.

Consider an interferogram $I(x) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N] \in \Re^N$ with N points. Let L (1 < L < N) be some integer called the window length and K = N - L + 1, the 1-D interferogram signal is embedded into a trajectory matrix X as

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_K \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{K+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_L & x_{L+1} & \cdots & x_N \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

This trajectory matrix is Hankel type, since it presents the same values along each anti-diagonal. In addition it also holds a symmetry property [2] making the implementation symmetric in two intervals. This simply means that 1 < L < N/2 in practical terms, where the selection of a particular L will lead to a different performance from the SSA method.

The next step consists of a Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the trajectory matrix **X**. An equivalent Eigen Value Decomposition (EVD) applied to the matrix $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}$ can also achieve this step, obtaining Eigen Values $(\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_L)$ and corresponding Eigen Vectors $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_L)$. From these it is possible to achieve individual components according to the definitions in

$$\mathbf{X}_{i} = \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{i} = \frac{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}}}, \qquad (2)$$

The addition of all the components results in the same original trajectory matrix as $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{X}_L$. Every matrix \mathbf{X}_i is an elementary matrix, related to the collection $(\sqrt{\lambda_i}, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$, usually denoted as the *i*th Eigen Triple (ET). Even though these individual components can be grouped arising in multiple possible combinations, for the paper purpose, each component is individually extracted, where the contribution of each of them with relation to the original signal can be defined as in equation (3), measuring the significance of the particular components.

$$\eta_i = \lambda_i / \sum_{i=1}^L \lambda_i$$
(3)

At this stage, however, the individual components are in a matrix form, and an inverse procedure to the initial embedding has to be applied to each component so they can be expressed in 1-D terms. To this end, SSA implements a diagonal averaging. Considering z_i as the 1-D signal from one component X_i , expressed as

$$\mathbf{z}_{i} = [z_{i_{1}}, z_{i_{2}}, \cdots, z_{i_{N}}] \in \Re^{N}$$
 (4)

The diagonal averaging, also known as Hankelization, is implemented by equations in (5), where $a_{j,n-j+1}$ denotes the elements of the matrix X_i .

$$z_{i_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j,n-j+1} & 1 \le n \le L \\ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} a_{j,n-j+1} & L < n < K \\ \frac{1}{N-n+1} \sum_{j=n-K+1}^{L} a_{j,n-j+1} & K \le n \le N \end{cases}$$
(5)

So finally, SSA decomposes the original interferogram signal $I(x) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N] \in \Re^N$ into several independent components (6), to which a physical interpretation may be given.

$$\mathbf{I}(x) = \mathbf{z_1} + \mathbf{z_2} + \dots + \mathbf{z_L} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{z_i}$$
 (6)

In that sense, a reconstruction of the interferogram signal by some specific components z_i can lead to an enhanced signal with mitigated noisy content. A first step in the use of SSA is to identify the physical meaning of each of the L components by applying SSA to simulated hyperspectral data from a FT imager. We utilize the Hyperspectral Imager Model Program (HIMP) to provide physics-based data for simulation and analysis [12]. HIMP is an interactive, spreadsheet-based computer model, which has modelled performance for several Fourier transform hyperspectral imagers, including the Kestrel VFTHSI [13] and MightySat II.1 [14, 15]. HIMP includes parameters that allow the specification of numerous target, atmospheric, instrumental, geometrical, and detector characteristics, as well as a variety of graphical outputs. HIMP also models fringe visibility, the top hat function (fill factor was set to 50%), modulation transfer function, read noise, dark noise, and photon noise.

HIMP was used to simulate a 512-element CCD using transmitted source illumination (LOWTRAN) for a US 1976 Standard Atmosphere. The band pass was chosen to lay between 330nm and 1100nm. The major fore-optics, interferometer and detector parameters are in Table 1.

Table 1: HIMP parameters

Fore-optics Parameters	
Telescope Diameter (D) [mm]	12

Telescope focal length (f) [mm]	90.000
Slit width (p{x}) [mm]	0.100
Interferometer Parameters	
Fourier Element Focal Length (f')	115.00
[mm]	
Cylindrical Element Focal Length (f")	66.00
[mm]	
Sagnac Offset 1 (s) [mm]	2.00
Sagnac Offset 2 (s) [mm]	1.00
Aperture Function Width (W=D*(f'/f))	15.33
[mm]	
Detector Parameters	
Pixel Size (p{y}) [mm]	0.028
Pixel Spacing (PS=p for 100% fill	0.014
factor) [mm]	
CCD # pixel columns (n{pix})	512
Integration time (sec) (t)	3.3E-
	02
Quantum Efficiency (qe{2})	1.00
Dark Electrons (n{d})	10
[electrons/pixel/s]	
Dark Counts [electrons/pixel/frame]	0.33
Read Electrons	20
[electrons/pixel/frame]	
Camera Gain [Volts/electron]	8.00E-
	06
Camera Bias 'black level' [Volts]	0.00
Camera/Frame Grabber Bit Depth	16
[bits]	

Figure 1. White light interferogram I(x) computed by HIMP. Note the low frequency variation due to the illumination pattern of the CCD by the optics. The centerburst was deliberately placed off center. The x-axis has units of pixels and the y-axis on this figure and others has units of total electrons plus noise adjusted for camera gain.

The interferogram I(x) created by HIMP is shown in Figure 1 follows from equation (7), where S(k) is the spectral radiance, I(k) the instrument response function, E(k) the instrument and interferometer efficiency, V(k) the fringe visibility, s the optical path offset, and k wavenumber. The summation is adjustable within HIMP, typically in 20 wavenumber steps, over the spectral range of choice.

 $I(x) = \sum S(k) * E(k) * I(k) * (1 + V(k) \cos (2\pi ks))$ (7)

HIMP uses the Excel Data Analysis ToolPak Fourier Analysis and Excel IMABS function to retrieve the corresponding spectrum from the interoferogram, shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. HIMP output spectrum from Figure 1 using FT and IMABS functions in Excel. Note the power distribution at low frenquncies.

Results for L=3 are shown in Figure 3, where the first component has an obvious physical meaning associated with the sensor illumination function of the optics, and where the second and third components correspond to the remainder of the original input signal and various sources of noise.

We then explored L=5, L=7 and L=9 cases to see if the increased number of SSA components would suggest association with the various sources of noise and/or sensor attributes introduced by the simulation (e.g., read noise dark noise, top hat sampling--band pass independent, and photon noise--band pass dependent).

The individual components for L=5, 7, 9 cases are shown in the Figures below which are coplotted with a common scale.

Figure 3. L=3 case. The 1^{st} component is associated with the illumination function of the optics (low frequency), the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} components with the input signal and various sources of noise.

Figure 4. L=5 case. The 1st component (light blue, leftmost) is associated with the optics illumination function. The 2nd and 3rd components (red and dark blue) contain information from the Lowtran input to HIMP.

Figure 5. L=7 case.

Figure 6. L=9 case. Sucessive peaks correspond to higher frequency components.

The general pattern for L= (3, 5, 7, 9) is that SSA reproduces the original HIMP signal with the components of each series L, with successive components corresponding to regimes of higher frequency, although for small values of L various spectral features are accommodated by multiple components. In all cases, the low frequency variation contained in the HIMP output that was introduced by the optics illumination function is recovered by the 1st component. The remaining components then account for the remainder of the HIMP (signal+noise) as shown in Figure 2.

To explore how to utilize SSA to remove sources of system or sensor noise, we compared several systematic combination of components for each L to the original spectral distribution used by HIMP to generate the output interferogram (and spectra).

Figure 7. Lowtran input provided to HIMP.

Figure 8 below illustrates how well each L is able to reproduce the input shown in Fig. 7 via exclusion of the first (low frequency optics illumination function) and last (near-Nyquist noise) components. For example, for the L=9 case the 2nd through 8th components were utilized to recover the spectrum. As is expected, larger values of L provide better correspondence to the input spectrum is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 8. Use of SSA to recover the input spectrum shown in Fig 7 for various values of L with L=3 at the bottom and L=9 at the top, plotted with excluded components (e.g., L=5 (1, 4) is plotted using only the 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} and 4^{th} component of L=5).

SSA provides a physics-based analytical framework for FT interferogram hyperspectral image data that utilizes an adaptive basis, allowing removal of low frequency variations introduced by its optical system and sensor as well as high frequency variations that lay outside the band pass of the detector. SSA extracts a variety of sources of noise or patterns where each of the L-components are associated with specific frequency-specific sources or physical process. We plan future work into using SSA to analyze 2-D hyperspectral data (the second dimension being the single spatial dimension found on each image frame), further investigation of how noise distribution among various components for different values of L, and how the top hat function influences the recovery of both spatial and spectral information.

Acknowledgment. We thank Strathclyde University for hosting JBR during research leave in spring of 2015.

- 1. J. B. Rafert, Hyperspectral Imaging and Applications Conference, Coventry, U.K., (2014).
- 2. N. Golyandina, and A. Zhigljavsky, Singular Spectrum Analysis for Time Series, Springer, (2013).
- 3. N. Golyandina, V. Nekrutkin, and A. A. Zhigljavsky. Chapman-Hall/CRC, 2001.
- 4. D. S. Broomhead and G. P. King, Physica D, vol. 20, no. 2, (1986).
- 5. D. S. Broomhead and G. P. King, in *Sarkar S (ed) Nonlinear Phenomena and Chaos*. Adam Hilger, (Bristol, 1986).
- 6. N. Golyandina and D. Stepanov. in Proc. of the 5th St. Petersburg Workshop on Simulation, vol. 293, (2005).
- 7. N. Golyandina and K. D. Usevich, in Matrix Methods: Theory, Algorithms, Applications, (2010).
- 8. L. J. Rodríguez-Aragón and A. Zhigljavsky, Statistics and Its Interface, vol. 3, (2010).
- 9. J. Zabalza, J. Ren, Z. Wang, S. Marshall, and J. Wang, *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 11(11), (2014).
- 10. J. Zabalza, J. Ren, J. Han, H. Zhao, S. Li, and S. Marshall, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, (2015).
- 11. J. Zabalza, J. Ren, Z. Wang, H. Zhao, J. Wang, and S. Marshall, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Earth Observation and Remote Sensing, (2014).
- 12. Bruce Rafert, R. Glenn Seller, Leonard J. Otten III, Proc. SPIE 2480, Imaging Spectroscopy, 410 (1995).
- 13. Andrew D. Meigs, Eugene W. Butler, Bernard A. Jones, Leonard John Otten III, R. Glenn Sellar, Bruce Rafert, Proc. SPIE 2819, Imaging Spectrometry II, 278 (1996).
- 14. J. Bruce Rafert, Leonard J. Otten, Eugene W. Butler, Andrew D. Meigs, Laser Focus World, (2001).
- 15. Leonard John Otten III, Andrew D. Meigs, Bernard A. Jones, Philip Prinzing, Don S. Fronterhouse, R. Glenn Seller, Bruce Rafert, C. Hodge, , Proc. SPIE 3221, (1997).



Fig. 1. Figure 1. White light interferogram I(x) computed by HIMP. Note the low frequency variation due to the illumination pattern of the CCD by the optics. The centerburst was deliberately placed off center. The x-axis has units of pixels and the y-axis on this figure and others has units of total electrons plus noise adjusted for camera gain.



Fig. 2. HIMP output spectrum from Figure 1 using FT and IMABS functions in Excel. Note the power distribution at low frequencies.



Fig. 3. L=3 case. The 1_{st} component is associated with the illumination function of the optics (low frequency), the 2_{nd} and 3_{rd} components with the input signal and various sources of noise.



Fig. 4. L=5 case. The 1st component (light blue, leftmost) is associated with the optics illumination function. The 2nd and 3rd components (red and dark blue) contain information from the Lowtran input to HIMP.



Fig. 5. L=7 case.



Fig. 6. L=9 case. Successive peaks correspond to higher frequency components.



Fig. 7. Lowtran input provided to HIMP.



Fig. 8. Use of SSA to recover the input spectrum shown in Fig 7 for various values of L with L=3 at the bottom and L=9 at the top, plotted with excluded components (e.g., L=5 (1, 4) is plotted using only the 2nd, 3rd and 4^{th} component of L=5).