Flexible timing of reproductive effort as an alternative mating tactic in black grouse (<i>Lyrurus tetrix</i>) males
E. Nieminen ¹ , M. Kervinen ¹ , C. Lebigre ² & C.D. Soulsbury ³
¹ Department of Biological and Environmental Science, P. O. Box 35, FI-40014 University
of Jyväskylä, Finland
² Earth and Life Institute, Place de la Croix du Sud 4, Carnoy building, B-1348 Louvain-la-
Neuve, Belgium
³ School of Life Sciences, Joseph Banks Laboratories, University of Lincoln, Lincoln LN6
7TS, UK
Short title: Alternative reproductive tactics in black grouse

17 Summary

18 Alternative reproductive tactics often take the form of dichotomous behavioural phenotypes. Focusing attention on such obvious dichotomy means that flexible patterns of 19 20 behaviour within tactics is largely ignored. Using a long-term dataset of black grouse Lyrurus tetrix lek behaviours, we tested whether there were fine-scale differences in 21 22 reproductive effort (lek attendance, fighting rates) and whether these were related to age and phenotype. Yearling males increased their lek attendance and fighting rate to a peak 23 when adult male effort was declining. Adults and yearlings allocated reproductive effort 24 according to their body mass but this was unrelated to differences in timing of effort. In 25 26 adult males, different patterns of lek attendance were associated with different costs of reproduction, measured by mass loss or gain. Overall, our work demonstrates that 27 individuals can use flexible patterns of reproductive effort both in terms of their own 28 29 condition, their age and the likely costs of behaviours.

30

31 Key words: alternative reproductive tactics, costs of reproduction, lekking, phenotype

33 Introduction

34 Individuals within populations often vary in the way they compete for access to mates. Such variation can include differences in morphological (e.g. colour polymorphism) and 35 behavioural phenotypes (e.g. callers and satellites; Taborsky et al., 2008). Variation 36 typically comes in two forms: strict *alternative reproductive strategies* with genetic 37 polymorphisms underpinning distinct morphological or behavioural phenotypes (e.g. Lank 38 et al., 1995; Sinervo & Lively, 1996), whereas alternative reproductive tactics refer to 39 conditional or flexible behavioural patterns that are used as a part of a strategy where an 40 individual's reproductive behaviour depends on environmental and/or genetic variation 41 42 (Gross, 1996; Oliveira et al., 2008). While alternative reproductive tactics are more common and better studied than alternative reproductive strategies, the mechanisms 43 underlying the variation in alternative reproductive tactics are unknown (Taborsky et al., 44 45 2008). Traditionally, the behavioural literature has separated alternative phenotypes into those due to genetic differences (e.g. polymorphisms) and those due to environmental or 46 individual cues (e.g. conditional tactics; Brockmann, 2001). 47

Some of the classic systems with alternative reproductive strategies such as ruff 48 (Philomachus pugnax) and side-blotched lizards (Uta stanburiana) have clear genetic 49 polymorphism (Lank et al., 1995; Alonzo & Sinervo, 2001). In these cases, genotype 50 frequencies underlying the alternative reproductive tactics are believed to be balanced by 51 frequency-dependent selection, leading to equal fitness expectations of individuals using 52 different tactics (Sinervo & Lively, 1996). In contrast, the vast majority of described cases 53 of alternative reproductive tactics involve conditional responses of reproductive 54 competitors (Gross, 1996). Conditional tactics can take two forms. For some species, 55 individuals are forced to use an alternative tactic through their whole life if environmental 56 conditions during development determine their ultimate characteristics. Drivers of these 57

differences include hormones (Hews et al., 1994) and food availability (Moczek & Emlen, 58 1999). In such cases, individual males can "make the best of a bad job", by expressing 59 behaviours which may lead to some (limited) fitness benefits (Mysterud et al., 2008). 60 Conversely, alternative reproductive tactics may occur at different life stages as an 61 individual's transition between different states. For example, many organisms show age-62 specific patterns of early life improvement and late life senescence in trait expression 63 (Kervinen et al., 2015; Hayward et al., 2015), which would suggest that age plays an 64 important role in the expression of alternative reproductive tactics (Pianka & Parker, 65 1975). In particular, the competitive ability of young and old males is generally lower than 66 67 prime-aged males (Mysterud et al., 2008; Mason et al., 2012). Alternative tactics in this context can include switching between dichotomous behaviours e.g. old damselflies switch 68 from territorial to sneaking behaviour (Forsyth & Montgomery, 1987) or delaying onset of 69 70 reproduction (Kervinen et al., 2012). However, few studies have looked at how age or body condition may impact the variation of a single behavioural tactic (though see Mason 71 et al., 2012; Tennenhouse et al., 2012), despite many of these tactics showing considerable 72 variation (e.g. Clutton-Brock et al., 1979; Hogg, 1984). In species where male-male 73 competition is particularly intense, males engaging in reproductive effort typically have 74 75 impaired body condition. This can happen through physical mass loss (Deustch et al., 1990; McElligott et al., 2003; Hämäläinen et al., 2012), injury (Clutton-Brock et al., 1979) 76 or deterioration in the quality of important traits (e.g. vocal display: Vannoni & McElligott, 77 2009). In such cases, individuals can take advantage of these declines by boosting their 78 own display rates (Pitcher et al., 2014) or increasing their reproductive effort towards the 79 end of the breeding season (Mason et al., 2012). 80

81 The black grouse (*Lyrurus tetrix*) is a lekking Galliform species that has strong 82 sexual selection through male-male competition and female choice. Males express multiple

sexually-selected morphological and behavioural traits (summarised by Kervinen et al., 83 84 2015), and reproductive success is directly linked to investment in costly behaviours. In particular, fighting is an important part of male lekking behaviour (Höglund et al., 1997). 85 Males that fight most frequently and have the highest rates of winning occupy and 86 maintain a central territory on the lek (Hämäläinen et al., 2012), and males with central 87 territories attract more females than peripheral males (Hovi et al., 1994). Gaining a 88 dominant status and thus a central territory on the lek often demands several years of active 89 display (Kokko et al., 1998). Large body mass is a key determinant of male reproductive 90 success because it positively correlates with their fighting rate and thus with the male's 91 92 mating success and dominance status (Hämäläinen et al., 2012). Hence, lighter males may invest differently in reproductive effort compared to heavier males. Body mass in black 93 grouse is age-related (Kervinen et al., 2015); young males are lighter and less capable of 94 95 coping with the costs of lekking (Siitari et al. 2007), so many males may delay the onset of reproduction into their second or even third year (Kervinen et al., 2012, 2016). Some 96 97 yearlings do lek despite being lighter and, thus unlikely to gain dominance (Kervinen et al., 2012). However, it is unclear if the yearling males that lek have different reproductive 98 tactics than adults within the lekking season. Using a long-term longitudinal dataset in 99 100 male black grouse, we tested whether there was within-breeding season variation in individual investment in reproductive effort (measured by lek attendance and fighting rate) 101 in relation to age and two measures of condition (body mass, lyre length). In addition, we 102 also tested whether different patterns of reproductive effort were linked to different 103 investment tactics as measured by mass loss over the breeding season. We predicted that 104 yearlings will have lower investment in lekking than adults, but based on previous work 105 (Mason et al. 2012), would increase their effort towards the end of the breeding season. 106 We also predicted that body mass but not lyre length would positively impact investment 107

in lekking effort. Lastly we predicted that males with greater investment in reproductiveeffort would have greater mass loss.

110

111 Material and Methods

112 Study population

Field data was gathered between 2003–2013 from three lekking sites located in Central Finland (ca. $62^{\circ}15$ 'N; $25^{\circ}00$ 'E) of which two are peat harvesting areas and one is a protected bog in a natural state. Lek sizes in the study sites varied between 6–56 territorial males (mean±SD: Site 1=30.7±12.7 males, Site 2 =21.5±7.1, Site 3=12.8±5.0). Local hunting clubs refrained from hunting in these sites and their nearby areas so the age structure of black grouse populations of the research areas was considered to be natural.

Birds were captured prior to the lekking season from January to March using walk-in 119 120 traps baited with oats and some males were re-captured following the lekking season in 3 years (2005–2007; full description of the re-captures in Lebigre et al. 2013). Birds were 121 trapped soon after they arrived at the feeding site, typically close to sunrise. All the traps 122 were sprung at the same time and immediately covered with dark clothes to reduce capture 123 stress. Each bird was removed one at a time from traps and placed into a fabric bag and 124 taken to a hide for handling. Each bird was fitted with an aluminium ID ring and three 125 126 plastic colour rings for individual identification. Birds were weighed in fabric bags (to the nearest 10 g), and the left and right outermost lyre (tail) feathers were measured from base 127 to tip (to the nearest 1.0 mm). Birds were aged as yearlings or older (hereafter adults) by 128 129 plumage differences (Helminen, 1963). All birds were released at the site of capture after handling. This research was carried out in compliance with the current laws of Finland. 130 Birds were captured under the permission of the Central Finland Environmental Centre 131

(permissions KSU-2003-L-25/254 and KSU-2002- L-4/254) and the Animal Care
Committee of the University of Jyväskylä (ESLH-2009-05181/Ym-23).

134

135 Behavioural data

Behavioural data was gathered from late April to early May during the lekking period 136 when the majority of copulations occur. Each lek was observed daily for the entire lekking 137 period from hides. Observers were in place before grouse arrived at the lek at sunrise and 138 recorded lek observations until the grouse left (the observation period was therefore 139 typically 03:00 to 09:00 am). Behaviours (fighting, hissing, rookoing, inactive, Höglund et 140 141 al., 1997) and the spatial location of each individual male and female was recorded using scan sampling (documented as 'activity maps'). Maps were drawn every ~5 minutes. If a 142 male was observed at a lek at least once during the observation morning, it was recorded to 143 144 be present. Total number of copulations for each individual and the highest number of females observed at the same time at a lek were also recorded. Daily attendance of each 145 male (hereafter *daily lek attendance*) was calculated as a proportion of the attendance of 146 the male most present on each morning (lek attendance = number of the individual's 147 activity maps / number of maps of the most attendant male on that lek). The daily fighting 148 149 rates (hereafter *daily fighting rate*) were calculated as the proportion of time each male spent fighting on each morning. 150

151

152 Statistical analyses

153 We restricted our data to males who held permanent territories throughout the study (i.e.

154 who were present \geq 50 % of observation days and thus had permanent territories (Kervinen

et al. 2012). This allowed us to investigate individual variation within a strategy (i.e.

territoriality). Daily lek attendance and daily fighting rates are dependent on the absolute 156 157 number of lekking days as well as the start, end and peak days of lekking. These vary both between years and between sites due to environmental factors (e.g. temperature; Ludwig et 158 al., 2006). To avoid this confounding effect and to aid interpretation, the lekking periods 159 were scaled so that on day $0, \geq 50$ % of all observed copulations had occurred. Thus day 0 160 represents the peak of the lekking season. The amount of activity maps that are collected 161 162 each day for the most attending male is important, since if these decline then other males' lek attendance may appear to increase. We tested whether the number of maps collected 163 differed across the lekking season using a Poisson GLMM; we found no temporal effect on 164 165 the number of activity maps collected for the top male (Poisson GLMER: day (linear), z=0.68, P=0.497; day (quadratic), z=0.39, P=0.696). 166

To analyse differences in behavioural tactics we carried out a series of linear mixed 167 168 effects models (LMM) using the *lmer* function from the R package lmerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2014), run in R 3.0.2 (R Core Team, 2013). In all models, we included two random 169 170 effects: year and individuals' ID nested within site. In the first models, we compared the effect of age (adults/yearlings) on daily lek attendance and daily fighting rate. In each 171 model, we included the main effects age, day (linear and quadratic), and the interactions of 172 day (linear and quadratic) with male age; non-significant interactions ($\alpha > 0.05$) were 173 removed in a stepwise fashion until only significant interactions or the fixed effects 174 remained. We then tested whether males with different phenotypes had different daily lek 175 attendance patterns and daily fighting rates. We used two morphological traits linked to 176 individuals' body condition (body mass and lyre length). Previous studies showed that 177 male body mass is critical to black grouse males' lek performance as dominant males are 178 heavier and lose substantially more weight during the mating season than the other males 179 (Hämäläinen et al., 2012; Lebigre et al., 2013). The lyre length is also a measure of body 180

condition as males with longer lyres have lower blood parasite load (microfilaria of 181 182 Onchocercidae spp; Höglund et al., 1992), but it is unrelated to males' competitive ability on the lek and their lek attendance (Hämäläinen et al., 2012). Yearling and adult males 183 were tested separately because yearling males have significantly lower trait body mass and 184 tail length than adults (Siitari et al. 2007), meaning that analysing different-aged 185 individuals in the same analysis (even when accounting for age-specific effects) would 186 lead to overestimates of the association between male traits and differences in reproductive 187 tactics (Kervinen et al., 2015). Again in each model, we included the interactions of linear 188 and quadratic day with traits (body mass or lyre length); non-significant interactions (a 189 190 >0.05) were removed in a stepwise fashion until only significant interactions or the fixed effects remained. 191

For a subset of adult males (N=15 males, 148 observations), we calculated their body 192 193 mass loss over the lekking season (pre-lekking mass (g) – post-lekking mass (g)). Males were recaptured using the same walkin traps used during winter captures, baited with 194 195 willow catkins. Captures took place a few days after the mating season. There was no significant relationship between initial mass and mass lost (Pearson's correlation: r=-0.14, 196 P=0.601), and no relationship between capture day post-lek and body mass (Lebigre et al. 197 2013). We assessed whether males with differing resource investment (as measured by 198 mass loss), showed differing patterns of daily lek attendance and daily fighting rate. In this 199 model, we included the interactions of day (linear and quadratic) with mass loss as fixed 200 effects; non-significant interactions ($\alpha > 0.05$) were removed in a stepwise fashion until 201 only significant interactions or the fixed effects remained. 202

203 **Results**

204 Age-specific variation in timing of reproductive effort

There was a significant negative quadratic effect of the variable 'day' on daily lek 205 attendance (Table 1) most likely due to a decline in daily lek attendance at the end of the 206 207 lekking season (Figure 1a). Adult males had a consistent daily lek attendance across the lekking season, with a decline after the peak day of copulations (Figure 1a). Yearling 208 males had lower daily lek attendance than adult males (yearlings: mean daily attendance \pm 209 210 SD= 0.61 ± 0.35 ; adults: mean daily attendance \pm SD= 0.85 ± 0.26). There was a significant interaction between the variable 'day' (linear) and males' age (Table1) as yearling males 211 increased their daily lek attendance during the season, but their daily attendance declined 212 in a similar way as that of the adult males after the peak copulation days (Figure 1a). 213

There was an overall negative linear effect of day on males' fighting rate meaning 214 215 that daily fighting rate decreased towards the end of the lekking season (Table 1). Yearling males fought less often than adult males (yearlings: mean fighting rate \pm SD=0.12 \pm 0.19; 216 adults: mean fighting rate \pm SD=0.23 \pm 0.24) and yearling males maintained low fighting 217 218 rates while Yearling males in general maintained low fighting rates across the season whereas the daily fighting rate of adult males decreased towards the end of the lekking 219 season as indicated by the significant interaction between day (linear) and age (Figure 1b; 220 Table 1). 221

222

223 Condition-dependence of reproductive effort

For adult males, there were no significant interactions between morphological traits and day (linear) or day (quadratic) for either daily lek attendance or daily fighting rate (Table 2). Instead, heavier males had higher lek attendance and fought more frequently than lighter males throughout the lekking season (Table 2a; Figure 2a & 2b), but there were no relationship with lyre length. The same pattern was true for yearling males with no significant interactions between morphological traits and day (linear) or day (quadratic) for either daily lek attendance or daily fighting rate. Heavier yearling males attended the lek more frequently and fought more frequently than lighter males throughout the lekking season (Table 2, Figure 2c and 2d), but no other trait was related to lek attendance or fighting rates.

The mass loss of adult males during the lekking period had a significant interaction with day (linear) (Table 3). Males with the greatest mass loss showed high daily lek attendance early in the lekking season but their attendance subsequently declined. Males with no mass loss or even an increase in mass, had low initial daily lek attendance, but their attendance increased towards the end of the lekking season (Figure 3). In contrast, there were no effects of mass loss on fighting rate on its own or in interaction with day (linear) or day (quadratic; Table 3).

241

242 Discussion

243 Age-specific variation in timing of reproductive effort

Across many species, age plays an important role in determining alternative reproductive tactics. Typically, prime-age males perform tactics that focus on male-male competition over access to females or defence of groups of females (John, 1993; Coltman et al., 2001; Saunders et al., 2005), whereas younger or older males may perform sneaking or satellite tactics (Forsyth & Montgomerie, 1987; John, 1993; Saunders et al., 2005). Young males may also show reduced levels of attendance at breeding sites (Deutsch et al., 1994; Kervinen et al., 2012). Our results show that as well as adopting completely different

behavioural tactics of lek attendance/non lek attendance (Kervinen et al. 2012), young 251 252 males who do attend the lek can adapt their reproductive effort within a breeding season. Younger males had lower attendance overall, but their peak attendance was later and 253 fighting rates higher at the end of the breeding season in comparison to adult males. Other 254 studies have found older, more dominant males declining in reproductive effort late in the 255 breeding season, with younger males increasing allocation to reproductive effort later in 256 the breeding season (Mason et al., 2012) or showing no change (Tennenhouse et al., 2012). 257 Such patterns are most likely explained by the declining body condition of the dominant 258 males, their exhaustion and males' loss of motivation in reproductive display as the 259 260 number of females' visits declines towards the end of the breeding season. By increasing reproductive effort later in the breeding season, yearling or young males have display rates 261 of behaviour that are similar to adults and may therefore gain some reproductive success if 262 females use these traits (indicators of their short term investment in reproduction) for mate 263 choice. 264

265

266 Condition-dependence of reproductive effort

Many alternative reproductive tactics are condition- or state-dependent, irrespective of age 267 (Leary et al., 2005; Lidgard et al., 2005; though see Alonso et al., 2010). Smaller males or 268 those with less available resources may show a different behavioural phenotype e.g. 269 satellite versus calling (Woodhouse's toads Bufo woodhousii: Leary et al., 2005), or may 270 271 show reductions in the allocation of reproductive effort (Yuval et al., 1998; Eggert & Guyétant, 2003). In our study, allocation of effort in both fighting and lek attendance was 272 driven by male condition both in adults and to a lesser extent in yearling males. This is 273 perhaps unsurprising, as reproductive effort is typically very energetically costly in lekking 274

species (Vehrencamp et al., 1989), and nutritional reserves are crucial for determining 275 276 participation (Yuval et al., 1998 Bachman & Widemo 1999). The non-significant trend in yearlings probably reflects the fact that lighter males did not attend the lek at all and 277 delayed their age at first reproduction (Kervinen et al., 2012). Adult males attending the 278 lek also tended to be heavier than non-territorial males (Hämäläinen et al., 2012), but our 279 data show that even within these males, body mass is impacting reproductive effort. 280 Despite this, we did not find any evidence of different reproductive tactics; lighter males 281 did not differ in terms of the temporal pattern of fighting or lek attendance, but just carried 282 out behaviours at a lower rate. In another lekking species, the great bustard, reproductive 283 284 allocation was unrelated to body mass (Alonso et al., 2010). In black grouse, body mass is important in determining social rank through fighting (Hämäläinen et al., 2012), whereas 285 in bustards, age seems to be more important for social ranking (Alonso et al., 2010). 286 287 Hence, age and condition related patterns of reproductive allocation may act independently. 288

In contrast to body mass, tail length was unrelated to patterns of investment in 289 reproduction. This was not surprising since previous tests looking at the relationship 290 between fighting rates and other ornaments found no relationship (Hämäläinen et al., 291 2012). Tail length is an important part of female mate choice and is sexually-selected in 292 black grouse (Höglund et al. 1994; Kervinen et al. 2016). Damage to the tail caused by 293 fighting is important for mate choice particularly in sub-dominant males (Höglund et al. 294 1994). In some species, tail length predicts the ability to hold resources indicating a role in 295 male-male competition, possibly by signalling quality (Savalli 1994). Tail length in 296 general is mainly used for signalling (Fitzpatrick 1998), so unlike body mass which is 297 more directly linked to fighting outcome and allocable resources for lekking, it is perhaps 298 not unexpected that tail length is unrelated to reproductive tactics. 299

Interestingly, we found evidence that alternative reproductive tactics may offer 300 301 different outcomes in terms of body condition loss. As in many species (Deutsch et al., 1990), the loss of body condition in black grouse is considerable during the breeding 302 season (Lebigre et al., 2013). We found that males investing in early lek attendance lost a 303 greater amount of body mass than those who delayed their attendance. Such a result is 304 similar to that found in fallow deer (Dama dama); fallow deer who invested most in certain 305 rut behaviours had greatest mass loss (Jennings et al., 2010). However, in sage grouse 306 (Centrocercus urophasianus), the most active displayers lost the least amount of weight 307 (Vehrencamp et al. 1989). Sage grouse however are somewhat exceptional in that males 308 309 forage on the lek and during the lekking season, something that other species do not do (Cowles & Gibson 2014). Therefore, the smaller body mass loss in active sage grouse 310 displayers is probably explained by their better foraging abilities (Vehrencamp et al. 1989). 311 312 Reproductive effort is costly in black grouse, with many males dying after reproducing or after peak reproductive effort (Alatalo et al., 1991; Kervinen et al., 2015, 2016). Our 313 314 results suggest that selective allocation of reproductive effort may therefore be one way of minimising costs of lekking and ensuring survival to the following year. Managing within-315 breeding season reproductive effort may therefore have wider importance in the scheduling 316 317 of reproduction across individuals' lifetimes.

318

319 **Conclusions**

Our results build on previous work showing age-specific differences in timing of reproductive effort (Mason et al., 2012; Tennenhouse et al., 2012), but we found no relationship between condition and timing. However, adult males with low or no mass loss had different timing of effort. Such patterns may arise for two reasons: firstly, males of lower competitive ability responding to declines in dominant males' condition and gain

some access to reproduction (Mason et al. 2012). Alternatively, males may be adjusting 325 326 timing effort to reduce the costs of such effort. Either way, it seems that how or when males invest reproductive effort is important. It is likely that flexible timing of 327 reproductive effort by males is widespread in many mating system, 328

329

343

330 Acknowledgement

We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their comments. 331

References 332

- 333 Alatalo R.V., Höglund J. & Lundberg A. (1991). Lekking in the black grouse - a test of male viability. — Nature 352: 155-156. 334
- Alonso J.C., Magaña M., Palacín C. & Martín C.A. (2010) Correlates of male mating 335 success in great bustard leks: the effects of age, weight, and display effort. — Behav. 336

Ecol. Sociobiol. 64: 1589–1600. 337

- Alonzo, S.H. & Sinervo, B. (2001) Mate choice games, context-dependent good genes, and 338
- genetic cycles in the side-blotched lizard, Uta stansburiana. Behav. Ecol. 339 Sociobiol.49: 176-186. 340
- 341 Bachman, G. & Widemo, F. (1999) Relationships between body composition, body size and alternative reproductive tactics in a lekking sandpiper, the ruff (Philomachus 342 pugnax).—Funct. Ecol. 13: 411-416.
- Brockmann, H.J. (2001) The evolution of alternative strategies and tactics. Adv. Study 344 Behav. 30: 1-51. 345

- Clutton-Brock, T.H., Albon, S.D., Gibson, R.M., & Guinness, F.E. (1979) The logical stag:
- adaptive aspects of fighting in red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L.). Anim. Behav. 27:
 211-225.
- Coltman D.W., Festa-Bianchet M., Jorgenson J.T. & Strobeck C. (2001). Age-dependent
 sexual selection in bighorn rams. —Proc R Soc Lond B 269: 165–172.
- 351 Cowles, S.A. & Gibson, R.M. (2014) Displaying to females may lower male foraging time
- and vigilance in a lekking bird. --Auk 132: 82-91.
- Deutsch, C.J., Haley, M.P., & Le Boeuf, B. (1990). Reproductive effort of male northern
 elephant seals: estimates from mass loss. Can. J. Zool. 68: 2580-2593.
- Deutsch, C. J., Crocker, D. E., Costa, D. P., & Le Boeuf, B. J. (1994). Sex-and age-related
 variation in reproductive effort of northern elephant seals. In: Elephant seals:
 Population ecology, behavior, and physiology (Le Beouf, B.J. & Laws, R.M),
 University of California Press, pp 169-210.
- Eggert, C. & Guyétant, R. (2003). Reproductive behaviour of spadefoot toads (*Pelobates fuscus*): daily sex ratios and males' tactics, ages, and physical condition. Can. J.
- 361 Zool. 81: 46-51.
- Fitzpatrick, S. (1998) Birds' tails as signaling devices: markings, shape, length, and feather
 quality. -- Am.Nat. 151: 157-173.
- Forsyth, A., & Montgomerie, R.D. (1987). Alternative reproductive tactics in the territorial
 damselfly *Calopteryx maculata*: sneaking by older males. Behav. Ecol.
 Sociobiol. 21: 73-81.
- Gross, M.R. (1996). Alternative reproductive strategies and tactics: diversity within
 sexes. —Trends Ecol. Evol. 11: 92-98.

- Hayward, A.D., Moorad, J., Regan, C.E., Berenos, C., Pilkington, J.G., Pemberton, J.M., &
 Nussey, D.H. (2015). Asynchrony of senescence among phenotypic traits in a wild
 mammal population. Exp. Geront. 71: 56-68.
- Hämäläinen, A., Alatalo, R.V., Lebigre, C., Siitari, H., & Soulsbury, C.D. (2012) Fighting
 behaviour as a correlate of male mating success in black grouse *Tetrao tetrix*. —
 Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.66: 1577-1586.
- Helminen M. (1963) Composition of the Finnish populations of capercaillie, Tetrao
 urogallus, and black grouse, *Lyrulus tetrix*, in the autumns of 1952–1961, as revealed
 by a study of wings. -- Riistatiet Julk 8: 142–149.
- Hews, D.K., Knapp, R. & Moore, M.C. (1994) Early exposure to androgens affects adult
 expression of alternative male types in tree lizards. Horm. Behav. 28: 96-115.
- 380 Hogg, J.T. (1984) Mating in bighorn sheep: multiple creative male
 381 strategies. Science 225: 526-529.
- Höglund, J., Alatalo, R.V., Lundberg, A. and Rätti, O. (1994) Context-dependent effects of
 tail-ornament damage on mating success in black grouse.-- Behav. Ecol. 5: 182-187.
- Höglund J., Johansson T. & Pelabon C. (1997) Behaviourally mediated sexual
 selection: characteristics of successful male black grouse. Anim. Behav. 54: 255-
- 386 264.
- Hovi M., Alatalo R.V., Höglund J., Lundberg A. & Rintamäki P.T. (1994) Lek centre
 attracts black grouse females. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 258: 303–305.
- Jennings, D.J., Carlin, C.M., Hayden, T.J. & Gammell, M.P. (2010). Investment in fighting
 in relation to body condition, age and dominance rank in the male fallow deer, *Dama dama*. Anim. Behav.79: 1293-1300.
- John, L.K. (1993) Alternative reproductive tactics in male eastern gray squirrels: "making
 the best of a bad job". Behav. Ecol. 4: 165-171.

394	Kervinen M., Alatalo R.V., Lebigre C., Siitari H. & Soulsbury C.D. (2012) Determinants
395	of yearling male lekking effort and mating success in black grouse (Tetrao tetrix). —
396	Behav Ecol 23: 1209–1217.

- Kervinen, M., Lebigre, C., Alatalo, R.V., Siitari, H., & Soulsbury, C.D. (2015). Lifehistory differences in age-dependent expressions of multiple ornaments and
 behaviors in a lekking bird. Am. Nat. 185: 13-27.
- Kervinen, M., Lebigre, C. and Soulsbury, C.D. (2016) Simultaneous age-dependent and
 age-independent sexual selection in the lekking black grouse (*Lyrurus tetrix*).— J.
- 402 Anim. Ecol. 85, 715–725
- Kokko H., Lindström J., Alatalo R.V. & Rintamäki P.T. (1998) Queuing for territory
 positions in the lekking black grouse (*Tetrao tetrix*). Behav Ecol 9: 376–383.
- Kuznetsova A., Brockhoff P.B. & Christensen R.H.B. (2014) Package 'lmerTest': Tests for
 random and fixed effects for linear mixed effect models (lmer objects of lme4

407 package). R package version 2.0-11.

- Lank, D. B., Smith, C. M., Hanotte, O., Burke, T., & Cooke, F. (1995) Genetic
 polymorphism for alternative mating behaviour in lekking male ruff *Philomachus pugnax.* Nature 378: 59 62.
- Leary, C. J., Fox, D. J., Shepard, D. B., & Garcia, A. M. (2005). Body size, age, growth
 and alternative mating tactics in toads: satellite males are smaller but not younger
 than calling males. Anim. Behav. 70: 663-671.
- Lebigre C., Alatalo R.V. & Siitari H. (2013) Physiological costs enforce the honesty of lek
 display in the black grouse (*Tetrao tetrix*). Oecol. 172: 983–993.
- Lidgard, D.C., Boness, D.J., Bowen, W.D. & McMillan, J.I. (2005). State-dependent male
 mating tactics in the grey seal: the importance of body size. Behav Ecol 16: 541549.

- Ludwig, G. X., Alatalo, R. V., Helle, P., Lindén, H., Lindström, J., & Siitari, H. (2006)
 Short-and long-term population dynamical consequences of asymmetric climate
 change in black grouse. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 273: 2009-2016.
- Mason T.H.E., Stephens P.A., Willis S.G., Chirichella R., Apollonio M. & Richards S.A.
 (2012) Intraseasonal variation in reproductive effort: young males finish last. —Am.
- 424 Nat. 180: 823–830.
- McElligott, A.G., Naulty, F., Clarke, W.V., & Hayden, T.J. (2003) The somatic cost of
 reproduction: what determines reproductive effort in prime-aged fallow bucks? —
 Evol. Ecol. Res. 5: 1239-1250.
- Moczek, A. P., & Emlen, D. J. (1999). Proximate determination of male horn dimorphism
 in the beetle *Ontophagus taurus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). J. Evol. Biol. 12: 2737.
- 431 Mysterud A., Bonenfant C., Loe L.E., Langvatn R., Yoccoz N.G. & Stenseth N.C. 2008.
- The timing of male reproductive effort relative to female ovulation in a capital
 breeder. J. Anim. Ecol. 77: 469–477.
- 434 Pianka, E.R., & Parker, W.S. (1975). Age-specific reproductive tactics. Am. Nat. 109:
 435 453-464.
- Pitcher, B.J., Briefer, E.F., Vannoni, E., & McElligott, A.G. (2014). Fallow bucks attend to
 vocal cues of motivation and fatigue. Behav. Ecol. 25: 392-401.
- R Core Team. (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Saunders, F. C., McElligott, A.G., Safi, K., & Hayden, T.J. (2005) Mating tactics of male
 feral goats (*Capra hircus*): risks and benefits. Acta Ethol. 8: 103-110.
- 442 Savalli, U.M. (1994) Tail length affects territory ownership in the yellow-shouldered
 443 widowbird. --- Anim. Behav. 48: 105-111.

444	Sinervo	В.	&	Lively	C.M.	1996.	The	rock-paper-scissors	game	and	the	evolution	of
445	alt	erna	ativ	e male s	strateg	ies. —	Natu	re 380: 240–243.					

- Taborsky, M., Oliveira, R.F., & Brockmann, H.J. (2008) The evolution of alternative
 reproductive tactics: concepts and questions. In: Alternative reproductive tactics: an
 integrative approach, (eds Oliveira, R.F., Taborsky, M.& Brockmann, H.J.),
 Cambridge University Press, UK, pp 1-21.
- 450 Tennenhouse E.M., Weladji R.B., Holand Ø. & Nieminen M. (2012) Timing of
- reproductive effort differs between young and old dominant male reindeer. Ann.
 Zool. Fennici 49: 152–160.
- 453 Vannoni, E., & McElligott, A.G. (2009) Fallow bucks get hoarse: vocal fatigue as a
 454 possible signal to conspecifics. Anim. Behav.78: 3-10.
- Vehrencamp, S.L., Bradbury, J.W., & Gibson, R.M. (1989) The energetic cost of display in
 male sage grouse. Anim. Behav. 38: 885-896.
- 457 Yuval, B., Kaspi, R., Shloush, S., & Warburg, M.S. (1998) Nutritional reserves regulate
- 458 male participation in Mediterranean fruit fly leks. Ecol. Entomol. 23: 211-215.

460	Figure 1. Mean \pm SE individual daily lek attendance (a) and daily fighting rates (b) of
461	males across the lekking season. Filled squares represent adult males and open
462	squares yearling males. Days have been scaled so that on day 0, \geq 50 % of all
463	copulations have occurred.

Figure 2: Surface plot showing the individual daily lek attendance in relation to the day and body mass of (a) adult males and (c) yearling males and the individual daily fighting rate in relation to the day and body mass (b) adult males and (c) yearling males. Days have been scaled so that on day $0, \ge 50$ % of all copulations have occurred.

470

Figure 3: Surface plot showing the interaction between individual daily lek attendance, day and the mass loss between pre- and post-lekking for adult males (N=15 males, 148 observations). Mass loss is presented so that negative values indicate large mass loss and positive values indicate mass gain. Days have been scaled so that on day $0, \ge 50$ % of all copulations have occurred.

476

477

Table 1. Temporal variation in daily lek attendance and daily fighting rate of males in
relation to age (yearling/adult). The interaction between day and age was included,
but deleted if non-significant. The parameter day is scaled so that on day 0, ≥50 % of
all copulations have occurred. All parameter estimates are multiplied by 1000 to aid
interpretation.

Model	Parameter	Estimate	±SE	t	р
Daily lek attendance	Intercept	219.60	23.19	9.471	< 0.001
(N=281 individuals/N	Day (linear)	0.25	1.40	0.18	0.857
observations 3875)	Day (quadratic)	-1.36	0.23	-5.97	< 0.001
	Age	-222.20	16.99	-13.08	< 0.001
	Day (linear) x Age	14.93	3.52	4.24	< 0.001
Daily fighting rate	Intercept	219.20	23.19	9.45	< 0.001
(N=281 individuals/N	Day (linear)	-5.89	1.26	-4.68	< 0.001
observations 3875)	Day (quadratic)	-0.16	0.20	-0.76	0.446
	Age	-98.18	13.91	-7.06	< 0.001
	Day (linear) x Age	8.87	3.11	2.86	< 0.001

487 Table 2. Temporal variation in daily lek attendance and daily fighting rate in relation to body mass and lyre length of adult (≥2 year old) and

488 yearling males (1 year old). The interaction between day and mass and lyre length was included, but deleted as non-significant. The

489 parameter day is scaled so that on day $0, \ge 50$ % of all copulations have occurred. All parameter estimates are multiplied by 1000 to aid

490 interpretation.

			Adults m	ale		Yearling males				
Model	Parameter	Estimate	±SE	t	р	Estimate	±SE	t	р	
Daily lek attendance ^a	Intercept	-3364.00	1348.00	-2.50	0.013	-9043.00	4627.00	-1.95	0.056	
	Day (linear)	2.96	1.47	2.01	0.044	11.44	4.59	2.50	0.013	
	Day (quadratic)	-0.98	0.25	-3.88	0.000	-2.30	0.83	-2.75	0.006	
	Mass	592.70	188.60	3.14	0.002	1369.00	654.90	2.09	0.041	
Daily lek attendance ^b	Intercept	572.70	174.50	3.28	0.001	1523.00	615.60	2.47	0.016	
	Day (linear)	2.83	1.49	1.90	0.057	11.56	4.58	2.53	0.012	
	Day (quadratic)	-0.94	0.25	-3.72	0.000	-2.26	0.83	-2.71	0.007	
	Lyre length	1.32	0.77	1.72	0.086	-4.72	3.23	-1.46	0.149	
Daily fighting rate ^a	Intercept	-2864.00	1110.00	-2.58	0.010	-5157.00	2427.00	-2.13	0.038	
	Day (linear)	-5.84	1.42	-4.12	0.000	1.11	2.75	0.40	0.688	
	Day (quadratic)	-0.35	0.24	-1.45	0.146	-0.12	0.50	-0.24	0.810	
	Mass	431.60	155.30	2.78	0.006	746.60	343.50	2.17	0.034	
Daily fighting rate ^b	Intercept	37.34	142.00	0.26	0.793	512.30	322.80	1.59	0.118	
	Day (linear)	-5.84	1.44	-4.07	0.000	1.08	2.75	0.39	0.695	
	Day (quadratic)	-0.32	0.24	-1.32	0.188	-0.10	0.50	-0.20	0.839	
	Lyre length	0.82	0.62	1.31	0.190	-2.08	1.69	-1.23	0.223	

491

⁴⁹² ^aN=235 adult males,2882 daily observations; 67 yearling, 511 daily observations

⁴⁹³ ^bN=230/2820 daily observations, 67 yearling, 511 daily observations

Table 3. Temporal variation in daily lek attendance and daily fighting rate of adult males in relation to the amount of weight lost over the lekking period (g). The interaction between day and mass loss was included, but deleted if non-significant. The parameter day is scaled so that on day $0, \ge 50$ % of all copulations have occurred. All parameter estimates are multiplied by 1,000 to aid interpretation.

502

501

Model	Parameter	Estimate	SE	t	р
Daily lek attendance	Day (linear)	31.87	10.17	3.14	0.002
	Day (quadratic)	0.02	0.43	0.04	0.966
	Mass loss (g)	0.89	1.66	0.54	0.592
	Day (linear) x Mass loss	-0.27	0.11	-2.43	0.017
Daily fighting rate	Day (linear)	7.69	9.09	0.85	0.399
	Day (quadratic)	4.77	2.02	2.36	0.020
	Mass loss	-0.27	0.54	-0.49	0.628

503

504



Figure 1











Figure 3