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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of second order Hamiltonian system with the nonlinearity of linear growth. Compared with the existing results, we do not assume an asymptotic of the nonlinearity at infinity to exist. Moreover, we allow the system to be resonant at zero. Under some general conditions, we will establish the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial periodic solutions by using the Morse theory and two critical point theorems.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following second order Hamiltonian systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{x}=V_{x}(t, x), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $V(t+T, x)=V(t, x)$ for some $T>0$. During the past forty years, the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for second order Hamiltonian systems have been extensively studied by variational methods. There has been a lot of results under various suitable solvability conditions, such as the sublinear conditions (see [14, 18, 22, $23,27,28]$ and references therein), the superlinear conditions (see [ $3,8,9,16,17,21,24,29]$ and references therein), and the asymptotically linear conditions (see [ $2,6,10,15,19,20,30$ ] and references therein).

In this paper, we shall study the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial periodic solutions for (1.1) when the nonlinearity $V_{x}(t, x)$ has linear growth. Compared with the existing results, we do not make any assumptions at infinity on the asymptotic behaviors of the nonlinearity $V_{x}(t, x)$. Specifically, we do not require the system to be asymptotically linear at infinity. Instead, we assume that there exists a $T$-periodic symmetric matrix function $A_{\infty}(t)$ such that for some $K>0$,

$$
V_{x x}(t, x) \geq A_{\infty}(t) \quad\left(\text { or } V_{x x}(t, x) \leq A_{\infty}(t)\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T],|x| \geq K,
$$

[^0]where for two symmetric matrices $A$ and $B, A \leq B$ means that $B-A$ is semi-positively definite. Under this general linear growth condition, we will construct a sequence of approximate systems and use the Morse theory and two critical point theorems to establish the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial periodic solutions for the system. The idea of our proof is closely related to the work of Liu, Su and Wang [13], where they dealt with the existence of nontrivial solutions of elliptic problems. Note that in [13] the authors assumed that the elliptic problem was nonresonant at zero. By contrast, here we allow system (1.1) to be resonant at zero. On the other hand, system (1.1) with periodic boundary condition is rather different from the elliptic problems with Dirichlet boundary condition. These lead us to need some new technique.

Now let us say some words about the idea of the proof. We first construct a sequence of approximate systems which are asymptotically linear and non-resonant at infinity. Then in a crucial step we establish the $L^{\infty}$ bound to the solutions of the approximate systems whose Morse index is controlled by the Morse index at infinity. Finally, we use the Morse theory and two critical point theorems to obtain the nontrivial periodic solutions with the controlled Morse index for the approximate systems, therefore using the previous $L^{\infty}$ estimate they are also the nontrivial periodic solutions of the original system.

We make the following assumptions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) V(t, x) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $V(t, 0)=0$ and $V(t+T, x)=V(t, x)$;
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left|V_{x}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{1}(1+|x|), \quad\left|V_{x x}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{2}, \quad t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right) V_{x}(t, x)=A_{0}(t) x+\left(G_{0}\right)_{x}(t, x)$, where $A_{0}(t)$ is a $T$-periodic continuous symmetric matrix function and $\left(G_{0}\right)_{x}(t, x)=o(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$;
$\left(H_{4}^{ \pm}\right)$There exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\pm G_{0}(t, x)>0, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], 0<|x|<\delta
$$

$\left(H_{5}^{ \pm}\right)$There exists a $T$-periodic continuous symmetric matrix function $A_{\infty}(t)$ such that for some $K>0$,

$$
\pm V_{x x}(t, x) \geq \pm A_{\infty}(t), \quad \forall t \in[0, T],|x| \geq K .
$$

Let $E:=H_{T}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the Hilbert space of $T$-periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ under the inner product

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{x} \cdot \dot{y}+x \cdot y) d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

and norm $\|x\|=\langle x, x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We define the functional $I$ on $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{x}(t)|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, x) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right), I \in C^{2}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and the critical points of $I$ in $E$ are $T$-periodic solutions of (1.1).
Clearly, the set $\sigma=\left\{\left.\left(\frac{2 k \pi}{T}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right\}$is the set of the eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{x}=\lambda x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T$-periodic boundary condition. Consider the eigenvalue problem of the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{x}-A_{\infty} x=\lambda x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T$-periodic boundary condition. Without loss of generality, in ( $H_{5}^{ \pm}$) by considering $A_{\infty}(t) \mp \epsilon I_{N}$ instead of $A_{\infty}(t)$ for $\epsilon$ small if necessary we may assume that 0 is not the eigenvalue of (1.4). Let $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots<\lambda_{l}<0<\lambda_{l+1}<\lambda_{l+2}<\cdots$ be distinct eigenvalues of (1.4). Clearly, $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $E\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be the eigenspace of (1.4) corresponding to $\lambda_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

We define the linear operator $\tilde{L}$ on $E$ by

$$
\langle\tilde{L} x, y\rangle:=\int_{0}^{T} \dot{x} \cdot \dot{y} d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

Then $\tilde{L}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator. Define the linear operators $B_{0}$ and $B_{\infty}$ on $E$ by

$$
\left\langle B_{0} x, y\right\rangle:=\int_{0}^{T} A_{0}(t) x \cdot y d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

and

$$
\left\langle B_{\infty} x, y\right\rangle:=\int_{0}^{T} A_{\infty}(t) x \cdot y d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

Then $B_{0}$ and $B_{\infty}$ are bounded self-adjoint compact operators on $E$. Let $L_{0}:=\tilde{L}-B_{0}$ and $L_{\infty}:=\tilde{L}-B_{\infty}$. Since 0 is not an eigenvalue of (1.4), we have that $L_{\infty}$ is a non-degenerate operator on $E$. Denote by $E_{0}^{+}, E_{0}^{-}, E_{\infty}^{+}$and $E_{\infty}^{-}$the positive and negative spectral subspaces of $L_{0}$ and $L_{\infty}$ respectively, and let $E_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{ker} L_{0}$. Then there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that for any $x \in E_{0}^{+}$and $y \in E_{0}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L_{0} x, x\right\rangle \geq c_{0}\|x\|^{2}, \quad\left\langle L_{0} y, y\right\rangle \leq-c_{0}\|y\|^{2} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\infty}^{-} & =\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} E\left(\lambda_{i}\right), \quad E_{\infty}^{+}=\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^{\infty} E\left(\lambda_{i}\right), \\
E & =E_{0}^{+} \bigoplus E_{0}^{0} \bigoplus E_{0}^{-}=E_{\infty}^{+} \bigoplus E_{\infty}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
i_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{dim} E_{0}^{0}, \quad i_{0}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} E_{0}^{-}, \quad i_{\infty}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} E_{\infty}^{-} .
$$

By $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we see that $x=0$ is a periodic solutions of (1.1) which is called trivial periodic solution. Our aim is to find nontrivial periodic solutions of (1.1). Now we give our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Then (1.1) has at least one nontrivial periodic solution in each of the following cases:
(1) $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right),\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$and $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$;
(2) $\left(H_{4}^{-}\right),\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$and $i_{0}^{-}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$;
(3) $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right),\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$and $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}>i_{\infty}^{-}+1$;
(4) $\left(H_{4}^{-}\right),\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$and $i_{0}^{-}>i_{\infty}^{-}+1$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, and $V(t,-x)=V(t, x)$ for any $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(1) If $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right),\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$hold and $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$, then (1.1) has at least $i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-i_{0}^{0}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions;
(2) If $\left(H_{4}^{-}\right),\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$hold and $i_{0}^{-}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$, then (1.1) has at least $i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions;
(3) If $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right),\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$hold and $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}>i_{\infty}^{-}+1$, then (1.1) has at least $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}-i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions;
(4) If $\left(H_{4}^{-}\right),\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$hold and $i_{0}^{-}>i_{\infty}^{-}+1$, then (1.1) has at least $i_{0}^{-}-i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions.

Remark 1.3. In what follows, we assume that $x=0$ is an isolated critical point of $I$ in $E$. In fact, if $x=0$ is not an isolated critical point of $I$, then $I$ has infinitely many critical points and therefore (1.1) has infinitely many periodic solutions. Therefore Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 hold naturally.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a sequence of approximate systems and establish the $L^{\infty}$ bound to the solutions of these approximate systems with appropriate Morse indexes. In Section 3, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1 by using Morse theory and previous estimate. In Section 4, we will prove Theorem 1.2 by using two critical point theorems for even functional and previous estimate.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some important preliminary lemmas. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $J \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$. Denote $K(J)=\left\{u \in H \mid J^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$. For $u \in K(J)$, we denote the Morse index of $u$ by $m^{-}\left(J^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)$ which is the dimension of the negative spectral subspace of $J^{\prime \prime}(u)$. The augmented Morse index of $u$ is defined by

$$
m^{*}\left(J^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)=m^{-}\left(J^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(J^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{ker}\left(J^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)$ is the kernel of $J^{\prime \prime}(u)$.
To construct a sequence of approximate systems of (1.1), we first construct a sequence of approximate functions $V_{k}(t, x)$. The following result is from [13].

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$(resp. $\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$) hold. Then there exists a sequence functions $V_{k}(t, x) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfying the following properties:
(a) $V_{k}(t+T, x)=V_{k}(t, x)$ and there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers $R_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ $(k \rightarrow \infty)$ such that

$$
V_{k}(t, x)=V(t, x), \quad \forall|x| \leq R_{k}, t \in[0, T]
$$

(b) there exist $C_{1}^{\prime}>0$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}>0$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\left|\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}(1+|x|), \quad\left|\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{2}^{\prime}
$$

(c) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+},\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}(t, x) \geq A_{\infty}(t)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}(t, x) \leq A_{\infty}(t)\right)$ for all $t \in[0, T],|x| \geq K$;
(d) there is $\gamma>0$ independent of $k$ such that $\left(\frac{2 p \pi}{T}\right)^{2}<\gamma<\left(\frac{2(p+1) \pi}{T}\right)^{2}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$fixed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad V_{k}(t, x)=\frac{\gamma}{2}|x|^{2}+o\left(|x|^{2}\right), \quad\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}(t, x)=\gamma x+o(|x|), \quad\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}(t, x)=\gamma I_{N}+o(1) \\
& \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) if $V(t,-x)=V(t, x), \forall t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, V_{k}(t,-x)=V_{k}(t, x)$, $\forall t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{x}|^{2} d t-\psi_{k}(x), \quad x \in E \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{k}(x):=\int_{0}^{T} V_{k}(t, x) d t
$$

Clearly, $I_{k}(x) \in C^{2}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and the critical points of $I_{k}$ correspond to the periodic solutions of the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{x}=\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}(t, x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 (a) and Remark 1.3, $x=0$ is also an isolated critical point of $I_{k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Define the linear operator $B_{\gamma}: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\left\langle B_{\gamma} x, y\right\rangle:=\int_{0}^{T} \gamma x \cdot y d t, \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

Let $L_{\gamma}:=\widetilde{L}-B_{\gamma}$, then by Lemma $2.1, L_{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate bounded linear self-adjoint operator on $E$. We have the decomposition $E=E_{\gamma}^{-} \oplus E_{\gamma}^{+}$, where $E_{\gamma}^{-}$and $E_{\gamma}^{+}$are the negative and positive spectral subspaces of $L_{\gamma}$. Then there exists a constant $c_{\gamma}>0$ such that for any $x \in E_{\gamma}^{+}$and $y \in E_{\gamma}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L_{\gamma} x, x\right\rangle \geq c_{\gamma}\left\|x^{2}\right\|, \quad\left\langle L_{\gamma} y, y\right\rangle \leq-c_{\gamma}\left\|y^{2}\right\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
j_{\infty}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} E_{\gamma}^{-}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 (c), (d), if $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$holds, then $\gamma I_{N} \geq A_{\infty}(t)$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\infty}^{-} \subset E_{\gamma}^{-} \quad \text { and } \quad j_{\infty}^{-} \geq i_{\infty}^{-} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$holds, then $\gamma I_{N} \leq A_{\infty}(t)$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\gamma}^{-} \subset E_{\infty}^{-} \quad \text { and } \quad j_{\infty}^{-} \leq i_{\infty}^{-} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
G_{k}(t, x)=V_{k}(t, x)-\frac{\gamma}{2}|x|^{2}, \quad G_{0 k}(t, x)=V_{k}(t, x)-\frac{1}{2} A_{0}(t) x \cdot x
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{k}(x)=\int_{0}^{T} G_{k}(t, x) d t, \quad \varphi_{0 k}(x)=\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t
$$

By $\left(H_{3}\right)$, Lemma $2.1(\mathrm{a})$, (d), we see that $\left(G_{k}\right)_{x}(t, x)=o(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left(G_{0 k}\right)_{x}(t, x)=$ $o(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x)=o(\|x\|) \quad \text { as }\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{0 k}^{\prime}(x)=o(\|x\|) \quad \text { as }\|x\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we can rewrite the functional $I_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{\gamma} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}(x), \quad x \in E \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$(resp. $\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$) hold. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, if $x_{k}$ is a critical point of $I_{k}$ with $m^{-}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ (resp. $\left.m^{*}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \geq i_{\infty}^{-}+1\right)$, then there exists a constant $\beta>0$ independent of $k$ such that $\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \beta$.

Proof. We use an indirect argument. Assume that $\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the Sobolev inequality $\|x\|_{L^{\infty}([0, T])} \leq C\|x\|$, we have that $\left\|x_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$
\bar{x}_{k}=\frac{x_{k}}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}
$$

Then $\bar{x}_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{\tilde{x}}_{k}=\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(t, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to a subsequence, we have that for some $\bar{x} \in E, \bar{x}_{k} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ in $E, \bar{x}_{k} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ in $L^{2}([0, T])$. And it follows from Proposition 1.2 in [20] that $\bar{x}_{k}$ converges uniformly to $\bar{x}$ on $[0, T]$. By $\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1, there exists $C_{1}^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left|\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(t, x_{k}\right)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\left|x_{k}\right|$. Thus for every $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(t, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\left|\bar{x}_{k}\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.8) by $\bar{x}_{k}$, one has

$$
1=\left\|\bar{x}_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(C_{1}^{\prime}+1\right)\left\|\bar{x}_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}([0, T])}^{2}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{x}\|_{L^{2}([0, T])}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{C_{1}^{\prime}+1}>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that up to a subsequence $\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}$ converges uniformly to $\dot{\bar{x}}$ on $[0, T]$. For any $t \in[0, T]$, by (2.8), (2.9) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(0)\right| & =\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(s, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{t} C_{1}^{\prime}\right| \bar{x}_{k}(s)|d s| \\
& \leq\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right|+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T}\left\|\bar{x}_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right|+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(0)\right| d t & \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T} C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T} d t \\
& \leq \sqrt{T}\left\|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T} T \\
& \leq \sqrt{T}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T} T
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(0)\right| \leq C_{2}
$$

where $C_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{T}}{T}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T}$. Then for any $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right| & =\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(0)+\int_{0}^{t}-\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(s, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(0)\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{t} C_{1}^{\prime}\right| \bar{x}_{k}(s)|d s| \\
& \leq C_{2}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T}\left\|\bar{x}_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C_{2}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{x}_{k}(t)\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq C_{2}+C_{1}^{\prime} \sqrt{T} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\Delta t \in \mathbb{R}$, by (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t+\Delta t)-\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} \ddot{\bar{x}}_{k}(s) d s\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t}-\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(t, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} C_{1}^{\prime}\right| \bar{x}_{k}|d s| \\
& \leq C_{1}^{\prime}|\Delta t|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\bar{x}_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1}^{\prime}|\Delta t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$
\left\|\dot{x}_{k}(t)\right\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C
$$

Then by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, $\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}$ converges uniformly to $\dot{\bar{x}}$ on $[0, T]$.
We claim that $\bar{x}(t) \neq 0$ a.e. in $[0, T]$. In fact, conversely, if $\bar{x}(t)=0$ in a positive measure subset of $[0, T]$, then there exists a point $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that $\bar{x}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $\dot{\bar{x}}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Recall that $\bar{x}_{k}$ and $\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}$ converge uniformly to $\bar{x}$ and $\dot{\bar{x}}$ respectively on $[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{\bar{x}}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\bar{y}_{k}:=\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}$, then $\left(\bar{x}_{k}, \bar{y}_{k}\right)$ satisfies the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\bar{x}}_{k}=\bar{y}_{k},  \tag{2.14}\\
\dot{\bar{y}}_{k}=-\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(t, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For any $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}(t), \bar{y}_{k}(t)\right)\right| & =\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \bar{y}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\bar{y}_{k}(s),-\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(s, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \bar{y}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right|+\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\right|\left(\bar{y}_{k}(s),-\frac{\left(V_{k}\right)_{x}\left(s, x_{k}\right)}{\left\|x_{k}\right\|}\right)|d s| \\
& \leq\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \bar{y}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right|+\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{1+C_{1}^{\prime 2}}\right|\left(\bar{x}_{k}(s), \bar{y}_{k}(s)\right)|d s| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}(t), \bar{y}_{k}(t)\right)\right| \leq\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \bar{y}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| e^{\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{1+C_{1}^{\prime 2}} d s\right|} \leq C\left|\left(\bar{x}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right), \bar{y}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=e^{\sqrt{1+C_{1}^{\prime 2}} T}$. Then letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15), we get $\bar{x}(t)=0$ and $\bar{y}(t)=0$ for any $t \in[0, T]$, which is contrary to (2.10). Hence the claim is proved. Note that $\left\|x_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, then by this claim one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { a.e. in }[0, T] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
If $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$holds, then by (2.16), Lemma 2.1 (b), (c) and Fatou's Lemma, for any fixed $x \in$ $E_{\infty}^{-} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) x, x\right\rangle & =\langle\tilde{L} x, x\rangle-\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) x \cdot x d t \\
& \leq\langle\tilde{L} x, x\rangle-\int_{0}^{T} \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) x \cdot x d t \\
& \leq\langle\tilde{L} x, x\rangle-\int_{0}^{T} A_{\infty}(t) x \cdot x d t \\
& =\left\langle L_{\infty} x, x\right\rangle<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that there exists $k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that $\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) x, x\right\rangle<0$ when $k \geq k(x)$. Note that $E_{\infty}^{-}$is finite dimensional, there must exist $k_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$independent of $x \in E_{\infty}^{-} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) x, x\right\rangle<0
$$

for all $x \in E_{\infty}^{-} \backslash\{0\}$ and $k \geq k_{0}$. This means that $m^{-}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \geq i_{\infty}^{-}$for $k \geq k_{0}$, which leads to a contradiction.

If $\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)$holds, since $E_{\infty}^{+}$is infinite dimensional, the above argument cannot be used directly. To overcome this difficulty, we will split $E_{\infty}^{+}$into two parts. Let

$$
M=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left|A_{\infty}(t)\right|
$$

Since $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $i_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that $\lambda_{i_{0}} \geq 2\left(M+C_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ where $C_{2}^{\prime}$ is the constant as in Lemma 2.1 (b). Let

$$
E_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^{i_{0}-1} E\left(\lambda_{i}\right), \quad E_{2}=\bigoplus_{i=i_{0}}^{\infty} E\left(\lambda_{i}\right)
$$

Then $E_{\infty}^{+}=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ and $E_{1}$ is finite dimensional. For any $y_{1} \in E_{2} \backslash\{0\}$, note that

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|\dot{y}_{1}\right|^{2}-A_{\infty} y_{1} \cdot y_{1}\right) d t \geq \lambda_{i_{0}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{y}_{1}\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) y_{1} \cdot y_{1} d t \\
& \geq \lambda_{i_{0}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} A_{\infty} y_{1} \cdot y_{1} d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) y_{1} \cdot y_{1} d t \\
& \geq \lambda_{i_{0}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} M\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} C_{2}^{\prime}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda_{i_{0}}}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2} d t>0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $y_{2} \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$, by (2.16), Lemma 2.1 (b), (c) and Fatou's Lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{y}_{2}\right|^{2} d t-\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) y_{2} \cdot y_{2} d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{y}_{2}\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(V_{k}\right)_{x x}\left(t, x_{k}\right) y_{2} \cdot y_{2} d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{y}_{2}\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} A_{\infty}(t) y_{2} \cdot y_{2} d t \\
& =\left\langle L_{\infty} y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that there exists $k\left(y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that $\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle>0$ for $k \geq k\left(y_{2}\right)$. Note that $E_{1}$ is finite dimensional, there must exist $k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$independent of $y_{2} \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle>0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y_{2} \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ and $k \geq k_{1}$. Hence by (2.17) and (2.18), for any $y \in E_{\infty}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$ and every $k \geq k_{1}$,

$$
\left\langle I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y, y\right\rangle>0
$$

This implies that $m^{*}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq i_{\infty}^{-}$for $k \geq k_{1}$, which leads to a contradiction.
Therefore the lemma is proved.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will use Morse theory to prove the existence of nontrivial periodic solution for (1.1). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $J \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$ be a functional satisfying the (PS) condition, i.e., any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H$ for which $J\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ possesses a convergent subsequence. Denote by $H_{q}(A, B)$ the $q$-th singular relative homology group of the topological pair $(A, B)$ with coefficients in a field $\mathcal{F}$. Let $u$ be an isolated critical point of $J$ with $J(u)=c$. The groups

$$
C_{q}(J, u):=H_{q}\left(J^{c}, J^{c} \backslash\{u\}\right), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

are called the critical groups of $J$ at $u$, where $J^{c}=\{u \in H \mid J(u) \leq c\}$. Denote $K=K(J)=$ $\left\{u \in H \mid J^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$. Suppose that $J(K)$ is bounded from below by $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The critical groups of $J$ at infinity are defined by

$$
C_{q}(J, \infty):=H_{q}\left(H, J^{a}\right), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We say that $J$ has a local linking structure at 0 with respect to the direct sum decomposition $H=H^{-} \oplus H^{+}$if there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
J(u)>0 \quad \text { for } u \in H^{+} \text {with } 0<\|u\| \leq r, \quad J(u) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } u \in H^{-} \text {with }\|u\| \leq r
$$

The following results can be found in [1], [26] and [4].
Proposition 3.1 (See [1]). Suppose J satisfies (PS) condition. If $K=\varnothing$, then $C_{q}(J, \infty) \cong 0, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $K=\left\{u_{0}\right\}$, then $C_{q}(J, \infty) \cong C_{q}\left(J, u_{0}\right), q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2 (See [26]). Let 0 be an isolated critical point of $J \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$ with Morse index $\mu_{0}$ and nullity $\nu_{0}$. Assume that $J$ has a local linking structure at 0 with respect to the direct sum decomposition $H=H^{-} \oplus H^{+}$and $k=\operatorname{dim} H^{-}<\infty$. If $k=\mu_{0}$ or $k=\mu_{0}+v_{0}$, then

$$
C_{q}(J, u)=\delta_{q, k} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Let $A$ be a nondegenerate bounded self-adjoint operator defined on $H$. According to its spectral decomposition, $H=H^{+} \oplus H^{-}$, where $H^{+}, H^{-}$are invariant subspaces corresponding to the positive and negative spectrum of $A$ respectively. Let

$$
J(x)=\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle+g(x),
$$

and the following assumptions are given:
$\left(A_{1}\right) A_{ \pm}:=\left.A\right|_{H^{ \pm}}$has a bounded inverse on $H^{ \pm}$;
$\left(A_{2}\right) \kappa:=\operatorname{dim} H^{-}<\infty$;
$\left(A_{3}\right) g \in C^{1}\left(H, \mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ has a compact derivative $g^{\prime}(x)$ and $\left\|g^{\prime}(x)\right\|=o(\|x\|)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$.
Proposition 3.3 (See [4]). Under the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$, we have that J satisfies (PS) condition and $C_{q}(J, \infty)=\delta_{q, \kappa} \mathcal{F}$.
Proposition 3.4 (See [4]). Suppose that $J \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (PS) condition, and $K=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$, then

$$
\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} M_{q} t^{q}=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \beta_{q} t^{q}+(1+t) Q(t)
$$

where $Q(t)$ is a formal series with nonnegative coefficients, $M_{q}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \operatorname{rank} C_{q}\left(J, u_{k}\right)$ and $\beta_{q}=$ $\operatorname{rank} C_{q}(J, \infty), q=0,1,2, \ldots$

Now we compute the critical groups of $I_{k}$ at zero and at infinity.
Lemma 3.5. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,
(1) if ( $\mathrm{H}_{4}^{+}$) holds,

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)=\delta_{q, i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

(2) if $\left(H_{4}^{-}\right)$holds,

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)=\delta_{q, i_{0}^{-}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof. (1) We first show that $I_{k}$ has a local linking structure at 0 with respect to $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$, where $E^{-}=E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$ and $E^{+}=E_{0}^{+}$. For $x \in E_{0}^{+}$, by (1.5) and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}(x) \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2}\|x\|^{2}-o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$. This means that there exists small $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)>0, \quad \text { for } x \in E_{0}^{+} \text {with } 0<\|x\| \leq r \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$, we write $x=x^{-}+x^{0}$ with $x^{-} \in E_{0}^{-}$and $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x^{-}, x^{-}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t \\
& \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right)$and Lemma 2.1 (a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \leq \delta} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t \geq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|x|>\delta$, since $E_{0}^{0}$ is finite dimensional, we have

$$
\left|x^{-}\right| \geq|x|-\left|x^{0}\right| \geq|x|-\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \geq|x|-C\left\|x^{0}\right\| \geq|x|-C\|x\|
$$

thus let $0<r<\frac{\delta}{3 C}$, for $\|x\| \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{-}\right| \geq|x|-\frac{\delta}{3} \geq|x|-\frac{1}{3}|x|=\frac{2}{3}|x| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 (b), (d), there exists $C_{\delta}>0$ such that for $|x|>\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{0 k}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{\delta}|x|^{3} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.3)-(3.6), for $x \in E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$ with $\|x\| \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(x) & \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t \\
& \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{|x| \leq \delta} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t-\int_{|x|>\delta} G_{0 k}(t, x) d t \\
& \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{|x|>\delta} C_{\delta}|x|^{3} d t \\
& \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta} \int_{|x|>\delta}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{3}\left|x^{-}\right|^{3} d t \\
& \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{2}+C_{\delta}^{\prime}\left\|x^{-}\right\|^{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that there exists $r>0$ small enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)<0, \quad \text { for } x \in E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0} \text { with }\|x\| \leq r \text { and }\left\|x^{-}\right\|>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0}$, we can choose $r>0$ small enough such that

$$
0<\left\|x^{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\delta, \quad \text { when } 0<\left\|x^{0}\right\| \leq r
$$

Then for $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0}$ with $0<\left\|x^{0}\right\| \leq r$, since $x^{0} \in C^{2}\left([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there must exist $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<T$ such that

$$
0<\left|x^{0}(t)\right|<\delta, \quad \forall t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

Then by $\left(H_{4}^{+}\right)$and Lemma 2.1 (a), for $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0}$ with $0<\left\|x^{0}\right\| \leq r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(x^{0}\right)=-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}\left(t, x^{0}\right) d t=-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0}\left(t, x^{0}\right) d t \leq-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} G_{0}\left(t, x^{0}\right) d t<0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.8) and (3.9), there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)<0, \quad \text { for } x \in E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0} \text { with } 0<\|x\| \leq r \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.2) and (3.10) that $I_{k}$ has a local linking structure at 0 with respect to $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$, where $E^{-}=E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$ and $E^{+}=E_{0}^{+}$. Then by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)=\delta_{q, i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

(2) By a similar argument as (1), we can prove that $I_{k}$ has a local linking structure at 0 with respect to $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$, where $E^{-}=E_{0}^{-}$and $E^{+}=E_{0}^{+} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$. Then by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)=\delta_{q, i_{0}^{-}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)\left(\right.$or $\left.\left(H_{5}^{-}\right)\right)$hold. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, I_{k}$ satisfies (PS) condition and the critical groups of $I_{k}$ at infinity are

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q}\left(I_{k}, \infty\right)=\delta_{q, j_{\infty}^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{\gamma} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{k}(x)
$$

Since $L_{\gamma}$ is a nondegenerate operator on $E$, then $\left.L_{\gamma}\right|_{E_{\gamma}^{ \pm}}$has a bounded inverse on $E_{\gamma}^{ \pm}$. Recall that $\operatorname{dim} E_{\gamma}^{-}=j_{\infty}^{-}<\infty$. Thus the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ in Proposition 3.3 are satisfied. On the other hand, note that $\varphi_{k}(x) \in C^{2}(E, \mathbb{R})$ has compact derivative $\varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ and $\varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x)=$ $o(\|x\|)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$, then the assumption $\left(A_{3}\right)$ in Proposition 3.3 is also satisfied. Hence, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, \infty\right)=\delta_{q, j_{\infty}^{j}} \mathcal{F}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Remark 3.7. Since $I_{k}^{\prime}(x)=L_{\gamma} x+\varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x)=L_{\gamma} x+o(\|x\|)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $L_{\gamma}$ is invertible, it is easy to see that the critical point set $K\left(I_{k}\right)$ is bounded for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then since $I_{k}$ satisfies (PS) condition by Lemma 3.6, we conclude that $K\left(I_{k}\right)$ is a compact set for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the result for the case (1), the proofs for the cases (2), (3) and (4) are similar.

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, since $x=0$ is an isolated critical point of $I_{k}$, there exists $\sigma>0$ such that $I_{k}(x)$ has no nontrivial critical points in $B_{\sigma}(0):=\{x \mid\|x\| \leq \sigma\}$. Since $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$, then by (2.4), Lemma 3.5 (1) and Lemma 3.6 we have

$$
C_{q}\left(I_{k}, \infty\right) \neq C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)
$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. So by Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.7, the set $K\left(I_{k}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is not empty and compact. Denote $\mathcal{K}_{k}=K\left(I_{k}\right) \backslash\{0\}$.

Now we show that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$there exists a nontrivial critical point $x_{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{-}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq i_{\infty}^{-}-1 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use an indirect argument. Suppose that for any $x_{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{-}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)>i_{\infty}^{-}-1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A \subset E$ and $a>0$, set

$$
N_{a}(A):=\{x \in E \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, A)<a\} .
$$

Using the Marino-Prodi perturbation technique from [25], for any $\epsilon>0$ and $0<\tau<$ $\min \left\{\frac{\sigma}{3}, 1\right\}$, we can obtain a $C^{2}$ functional $J_{k}$ such that:
(i) $\left\|I_{k}-J_{k}\right\|_{C^{2}}<\epsilon$;
(ii) $I_{k}(x)=J_{k}(x), x \in E \backslash N_{2 \tau}\left(\mathcal{K}_{k}\right)$;
(iii) $I_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)=J_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ for any $x \in N_{\tau}\left(K\left(I_{k}\right)\right), K\left(J_{k}\right) \backslash\{0\} \subset N_{\tau}\left(\mathcal{K}_{k}\right)$, and the nontrivial critical points of $J_{k}$ are all non-degenerate.

By (iii), $J_{k}^{\prime \prime}(0)=I_{k}^{\prime \prime}(0)$, thus by Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}\left(J_{k}, 0\right)=C_{q}\left(I_{k}, 0\right)=\delta_{q, i_{0}+i_{0}^{i}} \mathcal{F} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (ii), $I_{k}(x)=J_{k}(x)$ for $x \in E \backslash N_{2 \tau}\left(\mathcal{K}_{k}\right)$, then by Lemma 3.6, $J_{k}$ also satisfies (PS) condition and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}\left(J_{k}, \infty\right)=C_{q}\left(I_{k}, \infty\right)=\delta_{q, j_{\infty}} \mathcal{F} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K\left(J_{k}\right) \subset N_{\tau}\left(K\left(I_{k}\right)\right)$ and $K\left(I_{k}\right)$ is compact, $K\left(J_{k}\right)$ is also a compact set. Moreover, note that the notrivial critical points of $J_{k}$ are all non-degenerate, we have that $K\left(J_{k}\right)$ is a finite set. Suppose that

$$
K\left(J_{k}\right) \backslash\{0\}=\left\{x_{k 1}, x_{k 2}, x_{k 3}, \ldots, x_{k n}\right\} .
$$

By (iii) and (3.12), we can choose $\tau$ small enough such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{-}\left(J_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k i}\right)\right)>i_{\infty}^{-}-1 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.13), (3.14), and Proposition 3.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{i_{0}^{i}+i_{0}^{0}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t^{m^{-}\left(j_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k i}\right)\right)}=t^{j^{\bar{\infty}}}+(1+t) Q(t) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ and $i_{\infty}^{-} \leq j_{\infty}^{-}$, it follows from (3.16) that $(1+t) Q(t)$ has a nonzero term with exponent $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}$. Then this means that the left hand side of (3.16) has a nonzero term with exponent $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}-1$ or $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}+1$. Thus there exists a $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$
m^{-}\left(J_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k i}\right)\right)=i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}-1 \quad \text { or } \quad m^{-}\left(J_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k i}\right)\right)=i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}+1 .
$$

Since $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}<i_{\infty}^{-}-1$, we have that $m^{-}\left(J_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k i}\right)\right) \leq i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. This is contrary to (3.15), thus (3.11) is proved.

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.11), for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$the functional $I_{k}$ has a nontrivial critical point $x_{k}$ such that $\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \beta$. By Lemma 2.1, for $k$ large enough such that $R_{k}>\beta, x_{k}$ is also a nontrivial critical point of $I$, and thus $x_{k}$ is a nontrivial periodic solution of (1.1).

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We introduce two critical point theorems which will be used in proving Theorem 1.2. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Assume that $J \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional, satisfies the (PS) condition, $J(0)=0$ and $K(J)$ is a compact set. Let $B_{a}=\{y \in H \mid\|y\| \leq a\}$ and $S_{a}=\partial B_{a}=\{y \in H \mid$ $\|y\|=a\}$. The following two critical point theorems follow from Ghoussoub [7] and Chang [4] (see also [13]).

Proposition 4.1 (See [7]). Assume $H=Y \oplus Z$, and let $X$ be a subspace of $H$, satisfying $\operatorname{dim} X=$ $j>k=\operatorname{dim} \gamma$. If there exist $R>r>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\inf J\left(S_{r} \cap Z\right) \geq \alpha, \quad \sup J\left(S_{R} \cap X\right) \leq 0
$$

then J has $j$ - $k$ pairs of nontrivial critical points $\left\{ \pm u_{1}, \pm u_{2}, \ldots, \pm u_{j-k}\right\}$ so that $m^{-}\left(J^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{i}\right)\right) \leq k+i$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, j-k$.

Proposition 4.2 (See [4]). Assume $H=Y \oplus Z$, and let $X$ be a subspace of $H$, satisfying $\operatorname{dim} X=$ $j>k=\operatorname{dim} Y$. If there exist $r>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\inf J(Z)>-\infty, \quad \sup J\left(S_{r} \cap X\right) \leq-\alpha
$$

then $J$ has at least $j-k$ pairs of nontrivial critical points $\left\{ \pm u_{1}, \pm u_{2}, \ldots, \pm u_{j-k}\right\}$ so that $m^{*}\left(J^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{i}\right)\right) \geq$ $k+i-1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, j-k$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, by Lemma 2.1 (e), we see that $I_{k}(x)$ is an even functional on $E$. From Lemma 3.6 and Remark 3.7, $I_{k}$ satisfies (PS) condition and $K\left(I_{k}\right)$ is compact. Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) We will use Proposition 4.1 to prove this case. Let $Y=E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$, $Z=E_{0}^{+}$and $X=E_{\infty}^{-}$. Then $E=Y \oplus Z$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=i_{\infty}^{-}>i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{dim} Y$.

For $x \in E_{0}^{+}$, by (1.5) and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}(x) \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2}\|x\|^{2}+o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $\alpha>0$ and sufficiently small $r>0$ such that $I_{k}(x) \geq \alpha$ for any $x \in S_{r} \cap E_{0}^{+}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf I_{k}\left(S_{r} \cap E_{0}^{+}\right) \geq \alpha \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, recall that $E_{\infty}^{-} \subset E_{\gamma}^{-}$in this case, then by (2.3) for $x \in E_{\infty}^{-}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{\gamma} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{k}(x) \leq-\frac{c_{\gamma}}{2}\|x\|^{2}+o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists $R>r$ such that $I_{k}(x) \leq 0$ for any $x \in S_{R} \cap E_{\infty}^{-}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup I_{k}\left(S_{R} \cap E_{\infty}^{-}\right) \leq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, by (4.2), (4.4) and using Proposition 4.1, we have that $I_{k}(x)$ has $i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-$ $i_{0}^{0}$ pairs of nontrivial critical points $\left\{ \pm x_{k}^{1}, \pm x_{k^{\prime}}^{2}, \ldots, \pm x_{k}^{i_{-\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-i_{0}^{0}}\right\}$ with $m^{-}\left(I_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}^{i}\right)\right) \leq i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}+i$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-i_{0}^{0}$. By Lemma 2.2, $\left\|x_{k}^{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \beta$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-i_{0}^{0}-1$. Then for $k$ large enough such that $R_{k}>\beta,\left\{ \pm x_{k^{1}}^{1} \pm x_{k^{2}}^{2}, \ldots, \pm x_{k}^{i_{\alpha}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-i_{0}^{0}-1}\right\}$ are also nontrivial critical points of $I$, and therefore are nontrivial periodic solutions of (1.1).
(2) We will also use Proposition 4.1 to prove this case. Let $Y=E_{0}^{-}, Z=E_{0}^{+} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$ and $X=E_{\infty}^{-}$. Then $E=Y \oplus Z$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=i_{\infty}^{-}>i_{0}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} Y$.

For $x \in E_{0}^{+} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$, we write $x=x^{+}+x^{0}$ where $x^{+} \in E_{0}^{+}$and $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0}$. For $x \in\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap S_{r}\right) \oplus$ ( $E_{0}^{0} \cap B_{r}$ ), by (1.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x^{+}, x^{+}\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2}\left\|x^{+}\right\|^{2}-o\left(\left\|x^{+}+x^{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{c_{0}}{4} r^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $r$ is small enough.
Now we consider $I_{k}$ on $\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}\right)$. For $x \in\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}\right)$, by (1.5) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x^{+}, x^{+}\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \\
& \geq-\varphi_{0 k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{4} r^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $r$ is small enough. Inspired by [12], we define a function $g: E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
g\left(x^{0}\right)=\inf \left\{I_{k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \mid x^{+} \in E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right\} .
$$

Then by (4.6), $g$ is well defined and continuous. For any fixed $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}$, by a standard minimization method, we see that $g\left(x^{0}\right)$ is attained at some $\bar{x}^{+} \in E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}$, i.e.,

$$
g\left(x^{0}\right)=I_{k}\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right) .
$$

By the Sobolev inequality $\|x\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\|x\|$, we can choose $r$ small enough such that

$$
\left\|\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\delta .
$$

Thus by ( $H_{4}^{-}$),

$$
G_{0 k}\left(t,\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right)(t)\right)<0
$$

for any $t$ satisfying $\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right)(t) \neq 0$. Since $x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}$, then $\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}$ is not identically equal to zero. This implies that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}\left(t,\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right)(t)\right) d t<0
$$

and

$$
g\left(x^{0}\right)=I_{k}\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} \bar{x}^{+}, \bar{x}^{+}\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{T} G_{0 k}\left(t,\left(\bar{x}^{+}+x^{0}\right)(t)\right) d t>0 .
$$

Since $E_{0}^{0}$ is finite dimensional, $E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}$ is a compact set. Then there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that

$$
g\left(x^{0}\right) \geq \alpha_{0}, \quad \forall x^{0} \in E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}
$$

Hence, by the definition of $g$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \geq g\left(x^{0}\right) \geq \alpha_{0}, \quad \forall x^{+}+x^{0} \in\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\min \left\{\alpha_{0}, \frac{c_{0}}{4} r^{2}\right\}$. Notice that

$$
\partial\left[\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap B_{r}\right)\right]=\left[\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap S_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap B_{r}\right)\right] \cup\left[\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap S_{r}\right)\right]
$$

then by (4.5) and (4.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \geq \alpha, \quad \forall x^{+}+x^{0} \in \partial\left[\left(E_{0}^{+} \cap B_{r}\right) \oplus\left(E_{0}^{0} \cap B_{r}\right)\right] . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\alpha>0$ smaller if necessary, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(x^{+}+x^{0}\right) \geq \alpha, \quad \forall x^{+}+x^{0} \in\left(E_{0}^{+} \oplus E_{0}^{0}\right) \cap S_{r} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf I_{k}\left(\left(E_{0}^{+} \oplus E_{0}^{0}\right) \cap S_{r}\right) \geq \alpha \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(H_{5}^{+}\right)$, it is easy to see that (4.4) also holds in this case. Then by (4.4) and (4.10), using Proposition 4.1 and a similar argument as the case (1), we can prove that system (1.1) has at least $i_{\infty}^{-}-i_{0}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions;.
(3) We will use Proposition 4.2 to prove this case. Let $Y=E_{\infty}^{-}, Z=E_{\infty}^{+}$and $X=E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$. Then $E=Y \oplus Z$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}>i_{\infty}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} Y$.

For $x \in E_{\infty}^{+}$, note that $E_{\infty}^{+} \subset E_{\gamma}^{+}$by (2.5) in this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{\gamma} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{k}(x) \geq \frac{c_{\gamma}}{2}\|x\|^{2}-o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $M_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in E_{\infty}^{+} \text {with }\|x\| \geq M_{k} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant $C_{1}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left|V_{k}(t, x)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}|x|^{2} .
$$

Thus for $x \in E_{\infty}^{+}$with $\|x\| \leq M_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{x}|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} V_{k}(t, x) d t \geq-C_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{T}|x|^{2} d t \geq-C_{1}^{\prime} M_{k}^{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf I_{k}\left(E_{\infty}^{+}\right)>-\infty \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}$, by using a similar argument as in obtaining (4.9), we have that there exist $r>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
I_{k}(x) \leq-\alpha, \quad \forall x \in\left(E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}\right) \cap S_{r}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup I_{k}\left(\left(E_{0}^{-} \oplus E_{0}^{0}\right) \cap S_{r}\right) \leq-\alpha . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (4.14) and (4.15), using Proposition 4.2 and a similar argument as the case (1), we can prove that the system (1.1) has at least $i_{0}^{-}+i_{0}^{0}-i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions.
(4) We will also use Proposition 4.2 to prove this case. Let $Y=E_{\infty}^{-}, Z=E_{\infty}^{+}$and $X=E_{0}^{-}$. Then $E=Y \oplus Z$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=i_{0}^{-}>i_{\infty}^{-}=\operatorname{dim} Y$.

It is easy to see that (4.14) also holds in this case. For $x \in E_{0}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{0} x, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{0 k}(x) \leq-\frac{c_{0}}{2}\|x\|^{2}+o\left(\|x\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$. By (4.16), there exist $r>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup I_{k}\left(E_{0}^{-} \cap S_{r}\right) \leq-\alpha \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.14) and (4.17), using Proposition 4.2 and a similar argument as the case (1), we can prove that system (1.1) has at least $i_{0}^{-}-i_{\infty}^{-}-1$ pairs of nontrivial periodic solutions.
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