#### Loma Linda University

## TheScholarsRepository@LLU: Digital Archive of Research, Scholarship & Creative Works

Loma Linda University Electronic Theses, Dissertations & Projects

9-1983

## Palynostratigraphy of the Eocene Little River Section, Grays Harbor County, Washington

Roy E. Jensen

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsrepository.llu.edu/etd

Part of the Geology Commons, and the Stratigraphy Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Jensen, Roy E., "Palynostratigraphy of the Eocene Little River Section, Grays Harbor County, Washington" (1983). *Loma Linda University Electronic Theses, Dissertations & Projects*. 1089. https://scholarsrepository.llu.edu/etd/1089

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by TheScholarsRepository@LLU: Digital Archive of Research, Scholarship & Creative Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loma Linda University Electronic Theses, Dissertations & Projects by an authorized administrator of TheScholarsRepository@LLU: Digital Archive of Research, Scholarship & Creative Works. For more information, please contact scholarsrepository@llu.edu.

#### Abstract

# PALYNOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE EOCENE LITTLE RIVER SECTION GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY, WASHINGTON

#### by

#### Roy E. Jensen

A total of 27 samples from Eocene marine rocks exposed along the Little River, Grays Harbor County, southwestern Washington were analyzed for palynomorphs. Strata studied included the Crescent Formation, "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age", and the Lincoln Creek Formation. A total of 77 microfossil types were identified of which 32 were pollen and spores, 24 fungal remains, 18 dinoflagellate cysts, and 3 miscellaneous microfossils.

Based upon the stratigraphic distribution of 21 palynomorphs, three informal palynologic assemblage biozones were recognized. The oldest zone, Zone 1, of late early or early middle Eocene age, contains <u>Platycaryapollenites</u>, <u>Platanoidites</u>, <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-2, trilete type-2 and D-6 dincflagellates. Zone 2, of middle Eocene age, is recognized by the presence of <u>Proteacidites</u>, <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-2, tricolpate reticulate type-1, trilete type-2, and D-14 and D-15 dinoflagellates. Zone 3, of late Eocene age, is recognized by <u>Tsugapollenites</u>, <u>Bombacacidites</u>, <u>Tiliaepollenites</u>, <u>Selaginella</u>, <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> type-2, and D-8 dinoflagellates. None of the palynozones established by previous Pacific Northwest palynologic investigations were recognized in this study.

## LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY

Graduate School

# PALYNOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE EOCENE LITTLE RIVER SECTION, GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY, WASHINGTON

by

Roy E. Jensen

### A Thesis in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Science

in Geology

September 1983



die

Roy E. Jensen

All Rights Reserved

Each person whose signature appears below certifies that this thesis in his opinion is adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree Master of Science.

ann H. Fisk Fisk, Associate Professor of Geology Chairman Lanny H/.

Knut Andersson, Assistant Professor of Geology

H. Paul Buchheim, Assistant Professor of Geology

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my wife, Vanessa R. Ellis Jensen, for her continual support, encouragement and assistance during this study.

Dr. Lanny H. Fisk, chairman of my committee, provided special encouragement and guidance throughout the course of this study. The other members of my committee, Drs. H. Paul Buchheim and Knut Andersson contributed through critical discussion of the manuscript. My fellow graduate students have been a steady source of help throughout the study. I would like to particularly thank Bob Cushman and Kevin Nick.

The project was suggested to me by Dr. John Armentrout with Mobil, Dallas, Texas. Samples and technical assistance were supplied by.Exxon Company, U.S.A., Houston, Texas with the special assistance of Dr. William Elsik. Thanks to Dr. Robert Sutton, Department of Anatomy, Loma Linda University for use of the Zeiss Photomicroscope and to Carol Fisk who provided technical assistance with photography.

iv

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	vi
List of Illustrations	vii
Introduction	1 1 1
Previous Work	25
Geology	7 7 7
Materials and Methods	15 15 15 18 18 21
Results	22 22 22
Discussion	33 33 35
Conclusions	39
Literature Cited	40

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Ι.	List of pollen and spores identified from the Little River section	23
II.	List of fungal remains identified from the Little River section	24
III.	List of dinoflagellate and miscellaneous microfossils identified from the Little River section	25

.

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.	Index map of southwest Washington indicating the location of the study area	3
2.	Correlation of Pacific Northwest Eo-Oligocene foraminiferal and molluscan stages and world wide	
	geologic time scale (modified after Armentrout, 1981; calc. nannofossil zones from Hardenbol and Berggren,	58
3.	Stratigraphic column for southwestern Washington	5
4.	(after Armentrout, 1975)	9
	(after Armentrout, 1975)	11
5.	Columnar section of rock strata in the Little River section showing location of palynology samples (after Rau, 1966)	17
6.	Species-population curves for three samples used to determine sampling requirement for pollen counts	20
7.	Relative abundance of the palynomorph types from the Little River section	27
8.	The stratigraphic distribution of some diagnostic palynomorphs used in establishing palynologic assemblage zones in the Little River section	29
9.	The stratigraphic ranges of the dinoflagellate groups within the Little River section	31
Plate		
1.	Stratigraphic distribution of pollen and spores within the Little River section	Pocket
2.	Stratigraphic distribution of fungal remains, dinoflagellate groups, and miscellaneous micro- fossils within the Little River section	Pocket
3.	Gymnosperm Pollen	46
4.	Gymnosperm Pollen	48
5.	Pteridophyte Spores	50

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (cont.)

Plate	INTRODUCTION	Page
6.	Pteridophyte Spores	52
7.	Pteridophyte Spores	54
8.	Pteridophyte Spores and Angiosperm Pollen	56
9.	Angiosperm Pollen	58
10.	Angiosperm Pollen and Fungal Remains	60
11.	Fungal Remains	62
12.	Fungal Remains	64
13.	Fungal Remains	66
14.	Fungal Remains and Miscellaneous Microfossils	68
15.	Dinoflagellates	70
16.	Dinoflagellates	72
17.	Dinoflagellates	74
18.	Dinoflagellates	76

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Purpose

The objective of this study was to investigate the palynology of Eocene marine rocks exposed along the Little River, Grays Harbor County, southwestern Washington (Figure 1). Data is presented on the sequence of pollen, spores and dinoflagellate cysts from the Little River section for the purpose of establishing palynologic assemblage zones and differentiating lithostratigraphic units based upon their characteristic palynoflora. Additionally, these palynologic assemblage zones are compared with established foraminiferal and palynologic biozones for the purpose of determining age relationships and attempting correlations between marine and nonmarine facies of rocks of similiar ages.

#### Previous Work

The biostratigraphy of Tertiary rocks in southwestern Washington has been primarily based upon zonations established for marine organisms, such as benthic foraminifera (Rau, 1981), mollusks (Armentrout, 1975; Addicott, 1976), calcareous nannofossils (Armentrout and Worsley, 1980), and diatoms (Barron, 1981) (Figure 2). The foraminiferal zonation developed by Rau and others (see Rau, 1981) is the best known biostratigraphic standard for marine sediments in the Pacific Northwest.

Figure 1. Index map of southwest Washington indicating the location of the study area.

:

:



Figure 2. Correlation of Pacific Northwest Eo-Oligocene foraminiferal and molluscan stages and world wide calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphic units with geologic time scale (modified after Armentrout, 1981; calc. nannofossil zones from Hardenbol and Berggren, 1978).

•	Syster.	Ŧ	SERIES EPOCH	CALC. NANNOF.	FORAM STAGES	MOLLUSCAN STAGES
241		nut t	16 35	NP25	den ander an	
		ENE	LATE	N P 2 4		JUANIAN
		OLIGOCE	ikant logy	NP 2 3	"ZEMORRIAN"	-?-?-?-?-?-?
1			ARLY	NP22		MATLOCKIAN
1				NP21		Southwestern, 3
R	3	ind		NP20	on several lower	Tertiary dooo
]	w		AT	NP19	"REFUGIAN"	GALVINIAN
0	NU	ENE		NP18	<u>ermen (1970) -</u>	
1	LEOG		e. Co	NP17		
	PA		RIDDLE	NP16	"NARIZIAN"	COALEDO FAUNA
	ka a	EOG		NP15.		
-	1.00		ned	NP14	S	
0	owig		44.1	NP13	"ULATISIAN"	stratignaphic z
-	ine		RLY	NP12		TYEE-UMPQUA
-	-	1	EAI	NP11	-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	-2-2-2-2-2-2
1				NP10		- MARITAN CARE

In constrast to marine organisms, little work has been published on the palynostratigraphy of Tertiary sediments in western Washington and Oregon. Previous reports include: Crickmay and Pocock (1963) on Paleogene rocks of northwestern Washington, Hopkins (1966, 1968, 1969) on the Whatcom Basin of southwestern British Columbia and northwestern Washington, Griggs (1965, 1970) on the type section of the Chuckanut Formation of northwestern Washington, Ballog and others (1972) on the Miocene Montesano Formation of southwestern Washington, Newman (1981) on the nonmarine Paleogene formations in central Washington, and Reiswig's (1982; Reiswig and Jensen, 1983) study of the Eocene Chuckanut Formation. In addition, one unpublished thesis deals with palynology: Sparks (1970) on the "Cowlitz Formation" and its equivalents. Previous reports from other parts of the region include Rouse (1962) on the Burrard Formation of Southwestern British Columbia, Rouse and others (1970) on several lower Tertiary deposits in British Columbia and Alberta, Newman (1970) on some subsurface Tertiary rocks in the Columbia Basin, and Rouse (1977) on Paleogene rocks in British Columbia and the Canadian Arctic.

Most of these palynological studies were restricted to nonmarine rocks and/or to small stratigraphic sequences which could not be tied to any established biostratigraphy. Rouse (1977), Newman (1981), and Reiswig (1982) recognized informal palynostratigraphic zones for nonmarine Eocene rocks. Unfortunately, these must be regarded as "informal, operational biostratigraphic units" with undefined boundaries (Newman, 1981; Reiswig, personal communication 1983).

#### GEOLOGY

#### General Statement

Tertiary rocks in southwestern Washington measure nearly 13,000 feet thick and have been mapped as nine formational units (Figure 3). These rocks crop out in several structural basins separated and underlain by early to middle Eocene volcanics (Figure 4). These strata consist primarily of marine sedimentary and volcanic rocks. Excellent summaries of the Tertiary geologic history of southwestern Washington are found in Snavely and Wagner (1963) and Armentrout (1977).

#### Stratigraphy

The geology of the Little River area was first studied by Rau (1966) as part of a larger regional study of Tertiary benthic foraminiferal faunas. Rau (1966) assigned rocks in the Little River section to three litho-stratigraphic units: from oldest to youngest, the Crescent Formation, "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age", and the Lincoln Creek Formation.

The oldest rocks in the section were assigned to the Crescent Formation of Arnold (1906). The type area for the formation is at Crescent Bay in the northern part of the Olympic Peninsula. The Crescent Formation consists of aphanitic to porphyritic augite-rich basalts with minor interbedded marine sedimentary rocks (Rau, 1966). The volcanic flows show extensive pillow structures, flow breccia and

Figure 3. Stratigraphic column for southwestern Washington (after Armentrout, 1975).



.

Figure 4. Generalized geologic map of southwestern Washington (after Armentrout, 1975).



massive lava flows. The sedimentary interbeds vary from a few feet to several hundred feet in thickness and consist mainly of fossiliferous basaltic sandstone and siltstone. In the Little River section a siltstone and sandstone unit found above the highest volcanic flow is included in the Crescent Formation on the basis of foraminiferal and lithological similiarity (Rau, 1966).

The Crescent Formation basalts are part of an early to middle Eocene volcanic sequence which extends from Vancouver Island on the north to southern Oregon. This sequence consists of at least 60,000 cubic miles (240,000 km<sup>3</sup>) of basalts (Snavely and Wagner, 1963), which erupted onto the sea floor along the ancient Pacific margin of the North American Plate. The Crescent Formation is interpreted as either the product of ocean-ridge volcanism (Glassley, 1975; MacLeod and others, 1977) or as submarine seamounts (Cady, 1975) erupted into oceanic sediments close to the continental margin.

Foraminiferal assemblages within the Crescent Formation sedimentary interbeds indicate an Ulatisian (late early Eocene) age (Rau,1966). These fossils were also interpreted by Rau (1966) as suggesting that deposition in the upper part of the Crescent Formation took place in "open sea conditions, varying from . . . upper bathyal (approximately 1,300 feet) to upper neritic (approximately 100 feet or less)."

The Crescent Formation is correlative with the Metchosin Volcanics of Vancouver Island (Clapp, 1917) and the Siletz River Volcanics (Snavely and Baldwin, 1948), the Tillamook Volcanics (Warren, and others, 1945), and the Roseburg Formation volcanics (Baldwin, 1974) in Oregon. Unconformably overlying the Crescent Formation are rocks which Rau (1966) called the "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age." This unit is approximately 1800 feet thick in the Little River section. It consists of thin-bedded micaceous mudstone, siltstone, and silty sandstone. Foraminiferal faunas of this unit indicate a Narizian (middle Eocene) age according to Rau (1966) who suggested that these sediments were deposited within the upper part of the bathyal depth zone (800-3000 feet).

The "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age" are correlated with the upper part of the McIntosh Formation (Snavely and others, 1951), part of the Skookumchuck Formation (Snavely and others, 1958), and the "Cowlitz Formation" (Weaver, 1912, 1937).

Resting conformably on the "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age" are strata assigned to the Lincoln Creek Formation. The Lincoln Creek Formation was named and described by Beikman and others (1967) in the Grays Harbor area to replace Weaver's (1912) name Lincoln Formation. The type section includes a composite of many sections along the Chehalis River in the Centralia area (Beikman and others, 1967).

The Lincoln Creek Formation is a thick sequence primarily consisting of tuffaceous mudstone, siltstone, and sandstone. In the Satsop River area, Rau (1966) divided the Lincoln Creek Formation into 10 "local members" based on lithology. Only the bottom four members were sampled in this study. Beginning with the stratigraphically lowest member, they are: a basal member (Tl-1) of massive, fossiliferous basaltic sandstone; a massive tuffaceous siltstone member (Tl-2) containing concretions; member Tl-3 consisting of interbedded tuffaceous and poorly sorted sandstone; and finally member Tl-4 composed mainly of thickly-bedded, poorly-sorted conglomerate interbedded with massive siltstone.

Fossils from the Lincoln Creek Formation indicate an age ranging from late Eocene to early Oligocene (Armentrout, 1975, 1981). Foraminiferal faunas are assigned to the Refugian and Zemmorian stages (Rau, 1958, 1966). Rau (1966) concluded that the Lincoln Creek Formation was deposited in the upper bathyal depth zone in open-sea conditions.

The Lincoln Creek Formation is correlated with the Blakely Formation (Tegland, 1933; Weaver, 1937; Fulmer, 1975) in the Puget Sound area and part of the Twin River Formation (Brown and Gower, 1958; Rau, 1964) of the northern Olympic Peninsula.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sampling

The Little River is located in the Satsop River area, Grays Harbor County, southwestern Washington (Figure 1). The bottom of the section begins in NW ¼, NE ¼ Section 14 R7W T22N and extends to NW ¼, SE ¼ Section 27 R7W T2IN. Twenty-eight samples (Figure 5) were collected from the Little River section by John Armentrout in 1972 for Humble Oil and Refining Co., Exploration Division (now known as Exxon Company, U.S.A.). Twenty-seven of the twenty-eight samples were processed at Exxon's palynology lab in Houston using Exxon standard palynological processing techniques. The lowermost sample was not processed, presumably because it was coarse sandstone. Slides and residues of palynological preparations were obtained through the courtesy of Dr. William Elsik and the Exxon Company, U.S.A.

#### Identification

Palynomorphs discovered on the slides were first photographed and prints of each form were mounted on 5x7" cards. Identifications and taxonomic nomenclature of fossil pollen and spores was based upon the Jansonius and Hill (1976) <u>Genera File of Fossil Spores and Pollen</u>. This reference provides a readily accessible standardized means by which other palynologists can determine what criteria have been used for identifying individual taxa of pollen and spores. Pollen and spores in most cases were identified to form-genera. Elsik (1981) was found to be very useful in the taxonomy of fungal remains. An artificial

Figure 5. Columnar section of rock strata in the Little River section showing location of palynology samples (after Rau, 1966).

.





5

13

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

-	-	-	-
		-	
-	-	-	-
		-	
	-		-
1000			
-	-	-	
-		• • •	
			-

EXPLANATION

## SILTSTONE

SILTY SANDSTONE

111

1.10

------

XX

.....

SILTSTONE

TUFFACEO

TUFFACEOUS

SILTSTONE

SILTSTONE

BASALTIC

SANDSTONE

BASALT

NO EXPOSURE

NO EXPOSOR

POORLY EXPOSED

BOUNDARIES

classification system based upon broadly defined morphological features was used in categorizing dinoflagellate cysts.

#### Photography

Palynomorphs were photographed with a Zeiss Photomicroscope III using high power (40X and 100X) planachromatic objectives. Most of the dinoflagellates were also photographed using differential (Nomarski) interference contrast. The film Kodak Panatomic X was used and processed in Kodak Microdol-X. Enlargements were made on black and white Ilford Ilfobrom no. 4 single-weight photographic paper developed using Kodak Dektol.

#### Analytical Procedure

The slides were examined in two ways: First, each slide was examined to see what forms were present in a qualitative way. Second, relative frequency counts were made of each sample. In order to determine the number of specimens necessary to get an adequate measure of relative abundance, I used species-population curves to determine sampling requirements (Wilson, 1959; Kidson, 1971). Species-population curves are obtained by plotting the number of identified taxa versus the total number of specimens counted in each sample. Curves plotted from representative samples are shown in Figure 6. From where the curve reached a plateau, I determined 200 grains per sample should provide a reliable measure of the relative abundance of the taxa in each sample. 200 grains were counted in all samples with the exception of Figure 6. Species-population curves for three samples used to determine sampling requirement for pollen counts.



sample #25 which contained insufficient grains on the slides provided by Exxon. These data were used to plot a relative frequency diagram for the section.

### Slide Repository

Slides used in this study are deposited in the Burke Memorial Museum, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, the Museum of Geology and Paleontology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, and the Geology and Paleontology Museum at Loma Linda University, Riverside, California. and 1d,1de,

### RESULTS

### General Statement

The samples from the Little River section yielded an abundant assemblage of pollen, spores, fungal remains, and dinoflagellate cysts. Lists of identified palynomorphs from the Little River section are shown on Tables 1, 2, and 3. A total of 77 types were identified of which 32 were pollen and spores, 24 fungal remains, 18 dinoflagellate cysts, and 3 miscellaneous microfossils. The stratigraphic distribution of the palynomorphs identified within the Little River section is shown in Plates 1 and 2. Figure 7 presents the relative percentage of pteridophyte spores, gymnosperm and angiosperm pollen, fungal remains, dinoflagellates, and miscellaneous microfossils present in each sample.

#### Palynozonation

Three zones based on plant microfossils have been established for the Little River section. The zonation is based on the stratigraphic ranges of 21 selected palynomorphs. The stratigraphic distribution of these diagnostic palynomorphs is summarized in Figure 8. Stratigraphic ranges of dinoflagellates are shown in Figure 9.

The stratigraphically lowest zone is characterized by the presence of <u>Platycaryapollenites</u>, <u>Platanoidites</u>, and the dinoflagellates D-6 and D-3. Absent from Zone 1 are <u>Trilites</u> <u>solidus</u>, tricolpate reticulate type-1, and D-14 and D-15 dinoflagellates. Zone 1 is represented by

List of pollen and spores identified from the Little River section

#### GYMNOSPERMS

Inaperturopollenites type-1 Inaperturopollenites type-2 Pityosporites sp. Podocarpidites sp. Tsugapollenites sp.

#### PTERIDOPHYTES

<u>Cicatricosisporites</u> sp. <u>Deltoidospora</u> sp. <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-1 <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-2 <u>Lycopodium</u> sp. <u>Osmundacidites</u> sp. <u>Polypodiidites</u> sp. <u>Polypodiisporonites</u> sp. <u>Selaginella</u> sp. <u>Trilites</u> <u>solidus</u> (Potonie) Krutzsh <u>Trilete</u> type-1 <u>Trilete</u> type-2 <u>Trilete</u> type-3

ANGIOSPERMS <u>Bombacacidites</u> sp. <u>Caryapollenites</u> sp. <u>Ilexpollenites</u> sp. <u>Ludwigia</u> sp. <u>Momipites</u> sp. <u>Monocolpopollenites</u> sp. <u>Pistillipollenites</u> mcgregorii Rouse <u>Platycaryapollenites</u> sp. <u>Platanoidites</u> sp. <u>Platanoidites</u> sp. <u>Proteacidites</u> sp. <u>Pterocaryapollenites</u> sp. <u>Tiliaepollenites</u> sp. <u>Tiliaepollenites</u> sp. <u>Tricolpate</u> reticulate type-1

TOTAL 32 types

List of fungal remains identified from the Little River section

Brachysporisporites sp. Dicellaesporites type-1 Dicellaesporites type-2 Didymoporisporonites sp. Dyadosporites sp. Inapertisporites type-1 Inapertisporites type-2 Inapertisporites type-3 Monoporisporites sp. Multicellaesporites sp. Reduviasporonites sp. Pesavis sp. Polyadosporites type-1 Polyadosporites type-2 Polyporisporites sp. Pluricellaesporites sp. Striadiporites type-1 Striadiporites type-2 Dicell type-3 hyphae type-1 hyphae type-2 hyphae type-3 hyphae type-4 Microthriaceae

TOTAL 24 types

### TABLE 3

### List of dinoflagellate and miscellanceous microfossils identified from the Little River section

### DINOFLAGELLATE CYSTS

Defland	rea	sp
D-1		
D-2		
D-3		
D-4		
D-5		
D-6		
D-7		
D-8		
D-9		
D-10		
D-11		
D-12		
D-13		
D-14		
D-15		
D-16		
D-17		

TOTAL 18 types

MISCELLANEOUS TYPES

Thyttadiscus sp. scolecodonts microforams

TOTAL 3 types

Figure 7. Relative abundance of the palynomorph types from the Little River section.

.


Figure 8. The stratigraphic distribution of some diagnostic palynomorphs used in establishing palynologic assemblage zones in the Little River section.

28

.



Figure 9. The stratigraphic ranges of the dinoflagellate groups within the Little River section.



the sedimentary rocks in the upper part of the Crescent Formation and the lowest sample from the unit "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age."

Zone 2 is characterized by the presence of <u>Proteacidites</u>, tricolpate reticulate type-1, and D-14 and D-15 dinoflagellates. Common to both Zone 1 and 2 but absent from Zone 3 are trilete type-2 and <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-2. Tricolpate reticulate type-1, and D-2, D-4, D-12, and D-14 dinoflagellates are restricted to Zone 2. Additionally, dinoflagellates D-1, D-5, D-7, D-9, D-10, D-11, D-13, D-16, and D-17 are also found only in Zone 2. Zone 2 is characteristic of the unit "Sedimentary Rocks of Late Eocene Age."

The youngest zone (Zone 3) is distinguished by the first appearance of <u>Tsugapollenites</u>, <u>Bombacacidites</u>, <u>Tiliaepollenites</u>, <u>Selaginella</u>, <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> type-2, and the dinoflagellate D-8. It is also marked by the absence of trilete type-2, <u>Laevigatosporites</u> type-2, and D-4, D-12 and D-14 dinoflagellate cysts. Also present in Zone 3 are <u>Podocarpidites</u>, <u>Monocolpopollenites</u>, and <u>Pistillipollenites</u> <u>mcgregorii</u>. <u>Deflandrea</u>-type dinoflagellates are restricted to Zones 2 and 3. Zone 3 is characteristic of the lower part of the Lincoln Creek Formation. The upper limit to Zone 3 was not established because material was not available from the upper part of the Little River section. not upple from trom the urt of rt ot ot the er ser sec.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Palynostratigraphy

Pollen and spores generally enter the sedimentary environment from the air, but water transport is generally considered the most important factor in controlling the subsequent sedimentary distribution of palynomorphs (Muller, 1959; Cross and others, 1966). Because of their small size palynomorphs tend to sort as fine silt particles (Muller, 1959) and are abundant in fine-grained rocks. The resistance of the exine in palynomorphs to most geological processes (except metamorphism and strong oxidation) ensures their preservation in various types of sediments.

The resistence to degradation and transportability of palynomorphs introduces a number of problems to their use in biostratigraphy. The first problem is that of differential degradation. Some palynomorphs are more susceptible to corrosion, oxidation, abrasion and damage during transport than others. As a general rule fungal remains, fern spores and conifer pollen are more resistant to these types of damage (Havinga, 1964; Sangster and Dale, 1964). The presence of pitted and corroded conifer and other pollen grains in samples from the Little River section implies that some of the delicate and fragile grains may have been destroyed. Thus, if differential degradation has occurred, the recovered palynofloral assemblages may be incomplete.

Reworked or recycled grains is another problem. Palynomorphs maybe reworked from older strata and redeposited with younger sediments. Stanley (1966) (19e d.differential absorption of Safanin-O stain as a criteria for distinct dolng the presence of older "reworked" grains. Because none of the prehologic preparations in this study were stained, neither this nor any other criteria were found practical in detecting the presence of recycled palynomorphs.

The purpose of palynostratigraphy is to define or characterize and divide strata based upon their contained palynoflora, independent of lithostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic units. Formal palynostratigraphic units are of three kinds: interval, assemblage, and abundance biozones (International Subcommission on Stratigraphic Classification (ISSC), 1976; North American Commission on Stratigraphic Classification (NACSC), 1983). Because of the preliminary nature of this study, I chose to divide the Little River section into informal assemblage zones using criteria similar to those used for establishment of formal assemblage zones or cenozones (ISSC, 1976; NACSC, 1983).

Of the various palynomorph groups used in this study, I found spores, pollen and particularly dinoflagellate cysts to be the most useful for zonation. Figure 9 summarizes the stratigraphic distribution of the various dinoflagellate groups. Each of the zones established in this study could be characterized by a unique type of dinoflagellate. With detailed taxonomic study of these dinoflagellates, I believe it will be possible to further subdivide the Little River section and establish interval biozones based solely upon dinoflagellate cysts.

For the most part, coniferous pollen were poorly preserved and were not used in zonation. Generally, it has been found that these types of pollen are not useful in palynozonation; however, in this study <u>Podocarpidites</u> and <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> type-2 proved useful in characterizing Zone 3. I lumped most of the bisaccate grains into the genus <u>Pityosporites</u>. Future studies may find it practical to further subdivide this group.

Most fungal remains were not found to be useful in palynozonation, although a number of types such as the di- and multicellular fungal remains appear to have restricted stratigraphic ranges. It is interesting to note that the fungal taxon <u>Ctenosporites wolfei</u>, so common in the coeval Chuckanut Formation (Reiswig, 1982) and Skookumchuck Formation (personal observation, 1983), was not present in the samples I studied from the Little River section.

lowland swamp plants, whereas marine strate are descinated by a mixings

# Age and Correlation

Benthic foraminiferal assemblages from the Little River section have been assigned to the "Ulatisian", "Narizian", and "Refugian" stages by Rau (1966) (Figure 5). These provincial foraminiferal stages have been correlated to the Cenozoic worldwide geologic time scale by Armentrout (1981) (Figure 2). Using these data, rocks studied in the Little River section range in age from late early Eocene or early middle Eocene to late Eocene.

The palynologic data in this study supports the Eocene age for these strata. No palynomorphs diagnostic of strata older or younger than Eocene were found in the recovered palynologic assemblages. Based upon correlation with Rau's foraminiferal stages, Zone 1 is approximately equivalent to late early or early middle Eocene, Zone 2 approximately equivalent to middle Eocene, and Zone 3 roughly equal to late Eocene.

Only broad correlations are possible between the palynozonation recognized in this study and previous palynozones established for Paleogene strata elsewhere in the Pacific Northwest by Rouse (1977), Newman (1981), and Reiswig (1982). These palynologic studies were conducted on nonmarine rocks and direct correlation between marine and nonmarine strata may not be possible. Theoretically, the transportability of palynomorphs might permit direct correlation of nonmarine and marine sediments; however, this is often rendered difficult by the fact that many continental facies (e.g. coal) are dominated by a palynoflora of lowland swamp plants, whereas marine strata are dominated by a mixture of upland pollen and marine plankton (Stanley, 1969). The selective nature of transport to and within the marine environment is indicated by the decreased diversity of marine pollen assemblages when compared to continental pollen assemblages (Heusser and Florer, 1973; Davis and Webb, 1975; Heusser and Balsam, 1977). For example, pine pollen grains make up 90% of the pollen found in core tops from the continental margin of eastern North America, whereas on the adjacent coast pine pollen ranges from 30% to 75% of the total (Davis and Webb, 1975).

Rouse (1977) used two palynozones to divide Eocene rocks in south-central British Columbia. Zone E-1 of early to middle Eocene age was recognized by the presence of the pollen grains <u>Pistillipollenites</u> <u>mcgregorii</u>, <u>Tilia</u>, and the fungal remains <u>Diporisporites</u> A and Ctenosporites wolfei. Gothanipollis A, Fagus, Quercus, and Juglans were some of the main diagnostic types in the late Eocene Zone E-2. <u>Multicellaesporites</u> spp., <u>Punctodiporites</u> A, and <u>Carya</u> were common to both zones.

Newman (1981) used two concurrent palynozones to subdivide Eocene rocks cropping out in central Washington. The oldest palynozone of probable early to middle Eocene was based upon the overlapping range zone of <u>Pistillipollenites</u> and <u>Platycarya</u>. The youngest palynomorph biozone, middle and/or late Eocene, was recognized by the overlapping ranges of <u>Platycarya</u> and <u>Bursera</u>.

Two Eocene palynozones were identified by Reiswig (1982) in the Chuckanut Formation. Zone E-1 of early to middle Eocene age was recognized by the presence of <u>Pistillipollenites</u> <u>mcgregorii</u>, <u>Platycarya</u> spp., <u>Holkopollenites</u> A, and <u>Rhoiipites latus</u>. The second zone (E-2) was characterized by the presence of <u>Quercus</u>, <u>Fagus</u>, <u>Gothanipollis</u> A and probably is late middle to late late Eocene in age. <u>Multicellaesporites</u> A, <u>Ctenosporites wolfei</u>, <u>Tilia</u> sp., and <u>Carya</u> were common to both palynozones.

I was unable to recognize any of the previously established palynozones by Rouse (1977), Newman (1981) and Reiswig (1982) in the palynological assemblages in the Little River section. In my study, <u>Platycarya</u> was not found associated with either <u>Pistillipollenites</u> or <u>Tilia-type</u> pollen. Also, I did not recognize any form assignable to <u>Bursera</u>. Ecological and/or environmental differences in the source area of palynomorphs may account for the differences between the nonmarine and marine palynofloras. These apparent differences highlight the difficulty of making regional correlations between coeval marine and nonmarine rocks particulary in a preliminary study of this nature. One advantage of this study is that samples were collected from stratigraphically controlled strata whereas both Newman (1981) and Reiswig (1982) used random "grab" samples collected in poorly exposed and structurally complex strata. Further palynologic work is needed on both marine and nonmarine palynofloras particularly on stratigraphically continuous sequences to verify the zonation established in this and other regional studies.

divide the Little River section into three informal patromorph assemblage zones. Based on correlations with foraminizeral stages. Zone 1 is of late early or early middle Eccene age, Zone 2 is middle Eccene in age, and Zone 3 is of late Eccene age.

3) Previous Pacific Northwest palynomorph blogunes established for time-equivalent strata by Rouse (1977), Newman (1981), and Relawig (1982) were not identified in the sequence of palynomorphs from the Little River section.

4) Further palynological investigation is necessary to clarity the stratigraphic relationship between obeval marine and non-name palynofloras in the Pacific Northwest.

38

#### CONCLUSIONS

This palynological study of the Little River section revealed the following:

1) Eocene marine strata of the Little River section contains an abundant palynoflora. Of the 77 identified types: 32 were pollen and spores, 24 were fungal remains, 18 were dinoflagellates, and 3 were miscellaneous microfossils.

2) The stratigraphic range of 21 palynomorphs can be used to divide the Little River section into three informal palynomorph assemblage zones. Based on correlations with foraminiferal stages, Zone 1 is of late early or early middle Eocene age, Zone 2 is middle Eocene in age, and Zone 3 is of late Eocene age.

3) Previous Pacific Northwest palynomorph biozones established for time-equivalent strata by Rouse (1977), Newman (1981), and Reiswig (1982) were not identified in the sequence of palynomorphs from the Little River section.

4) Further palynological investigation is necessary to clarify the stratigraphic relationship between coeval marine and nonmarine palyno-floras in the Pacific Northwest.

Creek Formation, Grays Harbor Basin, Bouthwestern Washington.

Brown, R.D., Jr., and Gower, H.D., 1958. Twin River Formation (redefinition) northern Olympic Peningula, Washington, Run

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Addicott, W.O. 1976. Neogene molluscan stages of Oregon and Washington. <u>In</u> Fritsche, A.E. and others. The Neogene symposium--Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Annual Meeting, April 1976. San Francisco. pp.95-115.
- Armentrout, J.M. 1975. Molluscan biostratigraphy of the Lincoln Creek Formation, southwest Washington: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Paleogene Symposium, Long Beach, California. pp.14-48.
- -----. 1977. Cenozoic stratigraphy of southwestern Washington. In Brown, E.M. and Ellis, R.C., eds. Geological excursions in the Pacific Northwest: Western Washington University, Bellingham, Washington. pp.227-264.
- -----. 1981. Correlation and ages of Cenozoic chronostratigraphic units in Oregon and Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 184:137-148.
- -----. and T.R. Worsley. 1980. Tertiary calcareous nannofossils from southwestern Washington. Newsletter of Stratigraphy. 9:58-71.
- Arnold, R. 1906. Geological reconnaissance of the coast of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 17:451-468.
- Baldwin, E.M. 1974. Eocene stratigraphy of southwestern Oregon. Oregon Dept. Geol. and Min. Industries Bull. 83:40p.
- Ballog, R.A., Sparks, D.M. and Waloweek, W. 1972. Palynology of the Montesano Formation (Upper Miocene) of western Washington. Pacific Coast Miocene Biostrat. Symp., Pacific Coast Sect., Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists. pp.199-212.
- Barron, J. A. 1981. Marine diatom biostratigraphy of the Montesano Formation near Aberdeen, Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 184:113-126.
- Beikman, H. M., Rau, W.W., and Wagner, H.C. 1967. The Lincoln Creek Formation, Grays Harbor Basin, southwestern Washington. U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1244I:14p.
- Brown, R.D., Jr., and Gower, H.D. 1958. Twin River Formation (redefinition) northern Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull. 42:2492-2512.

- Cady, W.M. 1975. Tectonic setting of the Tertiary volcanic rocks of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. U.S. Geol. Surv. Jour. Res. 3:573-582.
- Clapp, J. 1917. Sooke and Duncan map areas, Vancouver Island. Geol. Survey Can. Mem. 96:445p.
- Crickmay, G.H. and Pocock, S.A.S. 1963. Cretaceous of Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull. 47: 1928-1942.
- Cross, A.T., Thompson, G.C., and Zaitzeff, J.B. 1966. Source and distribution of palynomorphs in bottom sediments, southern part of Gulf of California. Marine Geol. 4:467-524.
- Davis, R.B. and Webb III, T. 1975. The contemporary distribution of pollen in eastern North America: a comparison with vegetation. Quaternary Res. 5:395-4304.
- Elsik, W.C. 1981. Fungal Palynomorphs. Unpublished short course notes.
- Fulmer, C.V. 1975. Stratigraphy and paleontology of the type Blakeley and Blakeley Harbor formations (Washington): <u>In</u> Weaver, D.W. and others, eds. Paleogene symposium and selected technical papers. AAPG/SEPM/SEG Pacific Sections, 1975 Ann. Mtg. Long Beach, California. pp.210-271.
- Glassley, W. 1974. Geochemistry and tectonics of the Crescent Volcanics, Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 85: 785-794.
- Griggs, P.H. 1965. Stratigraphic significance of fossil pollen and spores of the Chuckanut Formation, Northwest Washington. Unpublished M.S. thesis. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. 116p.
- ----. 1970. Palynological interpretation of the type section, Chuckanut Formation, northwestern Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 127:169-212.
- Hardenbol, J. and Berggren, W.A. 1978. A new Paleogene numerical time scale. In Cohee, G.V. and others, eds. Contributions to the geologic time scale. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Studies in Geology. No. 6. pp.213-234.
- Havinga, A.J. 1964. Investigations into the differential corrosion susceptibility of pollen and spores. Pollen et Spores. 6:621-625.

Heusser, C.J. and Florer, L.E. 1973. Correlation of marine and continental Quaternary pollen records from the Northeast Pacific and Western Washington. Quaternary Res. 3:661-670.

- Heusser, L. and Balsam, W.L. 1977 Pollen distribution in the Northeast Pacific Ocean. Quaternary Res. 7:45-62.
- Hopkins, W.S., Jr. 1966. Palynology of Tertiary rocks of the Whatcom basin, southwestern British Columbia and northwestern Washington. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. 184p.
- ----. 1968. Subsurface Miocene rocks, British Columbia Washington, a palynological investigation. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 79:763-768.
- -----. 1969. Palynology of the Eocene Kitsilano Formation, southwest British Columbia. Can. J. Bot. 47:1101-1131.
- International Subcommission on Stratigraphic Classification (ISSC). 1976. International stratigraphic guide (H. D. Hedberg, ed): John Wiley and Sons, New York. 200p.
- Jansonius, J. and Hills, L.V. 1976. Genera file of fossil spores and pollen. Calgary Univ. Dept. Geology Spec. Pub. 3,431 cards.
- Kidson, E.J. 1971. Palynology and paleoecology of the Buck Tongue of the Mancos Shale (upper Cretaceous) from east central Utah and western Colorado. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. 244p.
- MacLeod, N.S., Tiffin, D.L., Snavely, P.D., Jr., Currie, R.G. 1977. Geologic interpretation of magnetic and gravity anomalies in the Strait of Juan de Fuca, U.S.- Canada. Can. Jour. Earth Sci. 14:223-238.
- Muller, J. 1959. Palynology of recent Orinoco delta and shelf sediments. Micropaleontology. 5:1-32.
- Newman, K.R. 1970. Palynology of interflow sediments from the Standard Oil Co. of California Rattlesnake Hills No. 1 well, Benton County, Washington. In Gilmour, E.H., and Stradling, D., eds. Proceedings, Second Columbia River Basalt Symposium: Eastern Washington State College Press, Cheney, Washington. pp.201-207.
- -----. 1981. Palynologic biostratigraphy of some early Tertiary nonmarine formations in central and western Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 184:49-65.

- North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature (NACSN). 1983. North American Stratigraphic Code. Am. Assoc. Petrol Geol. Bull. 67:841-875.
- Rau, W.W. 1958. Stratigraphy and foraminiferal zonation in some of the Tertiary rocks of southwestern Washington. U.S. Geol. Surv. Oil and Gas Investigation Chart OC-57.
- -----. 1964. Foraminifera from the northern Olympic Peninsula, Washington. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 374-G:1-33.
- -----. 1966. Stratigraphy and foraminifera of the Satsop River area, southern Olympic Penisula, Washington. Washington Div. of Min. and Geol. Bull. 53:66p.
- -----. 1981. Pacific Tertiary benthonic foraminiferal biostratigraphic framework - an overview. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 184: 85-100.
- Reiswig, K. 1982. Palynological differences between the Chuckanut and Huntingdon Formations, northwestern Washington. Unpublished M.S. thesis. Western Washington University, Bellingham, Washington. 6lp.
- -----, and Jensen, R.E. 1983. Palynostratigraphy and age of the Chuckanut Formation, Northwest Washington. Geol. Soc. Am. Absts. with Prog. 15:393.
- Rouse, G.E. 1962. Plant microfossils from the Burrard Formation of western British Columbia. Micropaleontology. 8:187-218.
- ----. 1977. Paleogene palynomorph ranges in western and northern Canada. Amer. Assoc. Strat. Palynol., Contr. Ser. 5A:48-65.
- -----, Hopkins, W.S., Jr. and Piel, K.M. 1970. Palynology of the Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary in British Columbia and adjacent Alberta. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper 127:213-146.
- Sangster, A.G. and Dale, H.M.. 1964. Pollen grain preservation of under-represented species in fossil spectra. Can. J. Bot. 42: 437-449.
- Snavely, P.D. and Baldwin, W.M. 1948. Siletz River volcanic series, northwestern Oregon. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull. 32:805-812.
- -----, Rau, W.W., Hoover, Linn, and Roberts, A.E. 1951. McIntosh Formation, Centralia-Chehalis coal district, Washington. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull. 35:1052-1061.

- -----, Brown, R.D., Jr., Roberts, A.E. and Rau, W.W. 1958. Geology and coal resources of the Centralia-Chehalis district, Washington. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1053:159p.
- ----- and Wagner, H.C. 1963. Tertiary geologic history of western Oregon and Washington. Washington Div. Min. and Geol. Rept. Inv. 22:25p.
- Sparks, D.M. 1967. Microfloral zonation and correlation of some lower Tertiary rocks in southwestern Washington and some conclusions concerning the paleoecology of the flora. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. 164p.
- Stanley, E.A. 1966. The problem of reworked pollen and spores in marine sediments. Marine Geol. 4:397-408.
- -----. 1969. Marine palynology. Oceangr. Mar. Biol. Annual Rev. 7: 277-292.
- Tegland, N.N. 1933. The fauna of the type Blakeley upper Oligocene of Washington. Calif. Univ. Publ. Dept. Geol. Sci. Bull. 23:81-174.
- Warren, W.C., Norbisrath, H., and Grivelli, R.M. 1945. Geology of northwestern Oregon, west of Willamette River and north of latitude 45°15'. U.S. Geol. Surv. Oil and Gas Inv. Prelim. Map 42.
- Weaver, C.E. 1912. A preliminary report on the Tertiary paleontology of western Washington. Wash. Geol. Survey Bull. 15:80p.
- ----. 1937. Tertiary stratigraphy of western Washington and northwestern Oregon. Wash. Univ. Pub. in Geol. 4:266p.
- Wilson, L.R. 1959. A method of determining a useful microfossil assemblage for correlation. Oklahoma Geol. Notes. 19:91-93.

## GYMNOSPERM POLLEN

All figures 1000X

# Figure

1. <u>Pityosporites</u> sp.

:

- 2. <u>Tsugapollenites</u> sp.
- 3. <u>Pityosporites</u> sp.
- 4. <u>Pityosporites</u> sp.



### GYMNOSPERM POLLEN

# All figures 1000X

- 1. <u>Podocarpidites</u> sp.
- 2. Inaperturopollenites type-2
- 3. Inaperturopollenites type-2
- 4. Inaperturopollenites type-2
- 5. Inaperturopollenites type-1
- 6. Inaperturopollenites type-1



#### PTERIDOPHYTE SPORES

### All figures 1000X

- 1. Polypodiisporonites sp.
- 2. Polypodiisporonites sp.
- 3. Polypodiidites sp.
- 4. Laevigatosporites type-2
- 5. Laevigatosporites type-1
- 6. Laevigatosporites type-2



# PTERIDOPHYTE SPORES

# All figures 1000X

- 1. Lycopodium sp.
- 2. <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> sp.
- 3. Trilete type-1
- 4. Trilete type-2
- 5. Trilites solidus (Potonie) Krutzsh
- 6. Deltoidospora sp.



### PTERIDOPHYTE SPORES

All figures 1000X

.

- 1. Trilete type-3
- 2. Trilete type-3
- 3. Unidentified trilete spore
- 4. Unidentified trilete spore
- 5. Selaginella sp.
- 6. Unidentified trilete spore



.

# PTERIDOPHYTE SPORES AND ANGIOSPERM POLLEN

All figures 1000X

- l. Osmundacidites sp.
- 2. Osmundacidites sp.
- 3. Momipites sp.
- 4. Polyvestibulopollenites sp.
- 5. Unidentified triporate
- 6. Polyvestibulopollenites sp.
- 7. Pterocaryapollenites sp.
- 8. Platycaryapollenites sp.
- 9. Monocolpopollenites sp.
- 10. Unidentified triporate
- 11. Proteacidites sp.



#### ANGIOSPERM POLLEN

### All figures 1000X

- 1. Pterocaryapollenites sp.
- 2. Caryapollenites sp.
- 3. Unidentified triporate
- 4. Bombacacidites sp.
- 5. <u>Tiliaepollenites</u> sp.
- 6. <u>Tiliaepollenites</u> sp.
- 7. Ludwigia sp.
- 8. Pistillipollenites mcgregorii Rouse
- 9. Unidentified tricolpate
- 10. Undentified tricolpate
- 11. Undentified tricolpate
- 12. Undentified tricolpate



# ANGIOSPERM POLLEN AND FUNGAL REMAINS

## All figures 1000X

- 1. Unidentified tricolporate
- 2. Unidentified tricolporate
- 3. Unidentified tricolporate
- 4. Unidentified tetracolporate (?)
- 5. Tricolpate reticulate type-1
- 6. Unidentified tricolpate
- 7. Inapertisporites type-1
- 8. Polyporisporites sp.
- 9. Inapertisporites type-3
- 10. Inapertisporites type-2
- 11. Unidentified monocell fungal spore
- 12. Polyporisporites sp.



# PLATE 11 FUNGAL REMAINS All figures 1000X

- 1. Monoporisporites sp.
- 2. Monoporisporites sp.
- 3. Inapertisporites type-3
- 4. <u>Striadiporites</u> type-2
- 5. <u>Striadiporites</u> type-1
- 6. Dicellaesporites type-2
- 7. Dicellaesporites type-1
- 8. Dyadosporites sp.
- 9. Didymoporisporonites sp.
- 10. Pluricellaesporites sp.


PLATE 12 FUNGAL REMAINS All figures 1000X

- 1. Dicell type-3
- 2. Pluricellaesporites sp.
- 3. Brachysporisporites sp.
- 4. <u>Multicellaesporites</u> sp.
- 5. Brachysporisporites sp.
- .6. Pluricellaesporites sp.
- 7. Unidentified dicell fungal spore



# PLATE 13 FUNGAL REMAINS All figures 1000X

- 1. Pesavis sp.
- 2. Polyadosporites type-2
- 3. Polyadosporites type-1
- 4. Pesavis sp.
- 5. Unidentified multicell fungal spore
- 6. Microthriaceae
- 7. hyphae type-2
- 8. hyphae type-1



#### PLATE 14

# FUNGAL REMAINS AND MISCELLANEOUS MICROFOSSILS

All figures 1000X

• 16

- 1. hyphae type-3
- 2. hyphae type-4
- 3. <u>Reduviasporonites</u> sp.
- 4. scolecodont
- 5. microforam
- 6. Thyttadiscus sp.



# PLATE 15 DINOFLAGELLATES All figures 500X

- 1. Deflandrea sp.
- 2. Deflandrea sp.
- 3. Deflandrea sp.
- 4. ? Deflandrea
- 5. D-1
- 6. D-2
- 7: D-3
- 8. D-4
- 9. D-4



### PLATE 16

#### DINOFLAGELLATES

All figures 500X

# Figure

- 1. D-4
- 2. D-4
- 3. D-5
- 4. D-6
- 5. D-6
- 6. D-6
- 7. D-8
- 8. D-8
- 9. D-9

Figures 1, 2, and 7 are interference contrast



### PLATE 17

#### DINOFLAGELLATES

All figures 500X

# Figure

- 1. D-10
- D-11
  D-12
  D-13
  D-14
- 6. D-14

Figures 1,4, and 5 are interference contrast

