



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Parvalbumin interneurons are differentially connected to principal cells in inhibitory feedback microcircuits along the dorso-ventral axis of the medial entorhinal cortex

Citation for published version:

Grosser, S, Barreda, FJ, Beed, P, Booker, SA & Vida, I 2021, 'Parvalbumin interneurons are differentially connected to principal cells in inhibitory feedback microcircuits along the dorso-ventral axis of the medial entorhinal cortex', *eNeuro*. <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0354-20.2020>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1523/ENEURO.0354-20.2020](https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0354-20.2020)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

eNeuro

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Abstract**

2 The medial entorhinal cortex (mEC) shows a high degree of spatial tuning, predominantly
3 grid-cell activity, which is reliant on robust, dynamic inhibition provided by local interneurons
4 (INs). In fact, feedback inhibitory microcircuits involving fast-spiking parvalbumin (PV) basket
5 cells (BCs) are believed to contribute dominantly to the emergence of grid-field firing in
6 principal cells (PrCs). However, the strength of PV BC-mediated inhibition onto PrCs is not
7 uniform in this region, but high in the dorsal and weak in the ventral mEC. This is in good
8 correlation with divergent grid field sizes, but the underlying morphological and physiological
9 mechanisms remain unknown. In this study, we examined PV BCs in layer 2/3 of the mEC
10 characterizing their intrinsic physiology, morphology, and synaptic connectivity in the juvenile
11 rat. We show that while intrinsic physiology and morphology are broadly similar over the
12 dorso-ventral axis, PV BCs form more connections onto local PrCs in the dorsal mEC,
13 independent of target cell type. In turn, the major PrC subtypes, pyramidal (PC) and stellate
14 cells (SC), form connections onto PV BCs with lower, but equal probability. These data thus
15 identify inhibitory connectivity as source of the gradient of inhibition, plausibly explaining
16 divergent grid field formation along this dorso-ventral axis of the mEC.

17

18 **Significance Statement**

19 Inhibition by parvalbumin basket cells (PV BCs) is essential for the emergence of grid firing in
20 principal cells (PrCs) in the medial entorhinal cortex (mEC). The strength of PV BC-mediated
21 inhibition decreases along the dorso-ventral axis, in correlation with the grid field size of
22 spatially tuned PrCs. In this study, to identify underlying cellular mechanisms, we combined
23 electrophysiological recordings and neuroanatomical analysis investigating properties and
24 connectivity of PV BCs in layer 2/3 of the rat mEC. While morphological and physiological
25 properties were largely uniform, IN-PrC connectivity was higher in the dorsal than ventral
26 mEC. Thus, our results identify a difference in PV BC connectivity as source of the
27 inhibitory gradient in the mEC with implications for grid size modulation.

1

2 **Introduction**

3 The hippocampal formation, comprising the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus as its central
4 structures, is a key component of the mammalian spatial navigation system (O'Keefe and
5 Nadel, 1978). The mEC acts as the primary entry point of spatial information to the
6 hippocampus, with L2/3 neurons projecting to the dentate gyrus, as well as to the CA1 - CA3
7 areas (Varga et al., 2010; Witter et al., 2017). As such, spatially modulated neuronal activity
8 has been described in essentially all areas of the formation, most notably as place cells in
9 CA1 (O'Keefe, 1979), grid cells in layer 2/3 (L2/3) and layer 5 of the mEC (Boccarda et al.,
10 2010; Sargolini, 2006) and the dentate gyrus (Park et al., 2011). Grid cells are PrCs which
11 display preferential action potential (AP) firing in hexagonally-arranged fields which overlay
12 the 2-dimensional environment (Hafting et al., 2005). Grid cells have been identified in the
13 entorhinal cortex of all mammals so far investigated (Hafting et al., 2008; Yartsev et al.,
14 2011). PrCs of mEC L2/3 comprise reelin-containing SCs, which are the canonical, highly
15 spatially modulated grid cells, and calbindin-containing PCs which also display spatial tuning
16 (Sargolini, 2006; Tang et al., 2014; Tennant et al., 2018). The spatial tuning of PrCs is
17 maintained along the dorso-ventral extent of the mEC (Ray et al., 2014), however, grid fields
18 are not uniform, but show a gradient in the scale and size of grid fields. In the dorsal mEC,
19 grid fields are small with higher spatial resolution, whereas in ventral mEC, grid fields are
20 larger; perhaps corresponding to different roles in spatial navigation (Brun et al., 2008;
21 Hafting et al., 2005).

22 Despite these known features of mEC neuronal activity, the cellular and network
23 mechanisms leading to physiological divergence of grid field size along the dorso-ventral axis
24 are not fully understood. Intrinsic physiology of L2/3 PrCs displays dorso-ventral asymmetry
25 (Heys et al., 2010) tuning dorsal PrCs to higher theta frequencies (Pastoll et al., 2012),
26 whereas gamma frequency activity is of higher power in the dorsal mEC (Beed et al., 2013).
27 Although L2/3 PrCs themselves are interconnected (Winterer et al., 2017), they form a

1 sparse network which is overlain by a rich population of local GABAergic inhibitory INs
2 (Berggaard et al., 2018; Buetfering et al., 2014; Couey et al., 2013). These local feedback
3 inhibitory microcircuits, in particular fast-spiking PV BCs, have been proposed as a key
4 component of grid cell organization, as both stable grid firing and spatial organization are
5 dependent on IN activity (Miao et al., 2017; Pastoll et al., 2013). PV BCs produce fast-spiking
6 trains of APs and mediate both feed-forward and feedback inhibition onto the perisomatic
7 compartments of PrCs (Berggaard et al., 2018; Jones and Buhl, 1993). Their postsynaptic
8 effects control the precise timing of APs in PrCs and contribute to the generation of coherent
9 network oscillations (Bartos et al., 2007; Pouille, 2001). However, despite their well-
10 established involvement in perisomatic inhibition in the mEC, little is known regarding their
11 electrophysiological and neuroanatomical properties.

12 GABAergic inhibition in layer 2 of the mEC shows distinct properties along the dorso-ventral
13 axis, in good correlation with the gradient of grid activity. Although the density of PV BCs is
14 similar in the two subregions, they produce stronger postsynaptic effects and target PrCs
15 over a wider area in the dorsal mEC compared to that in ventral domains (Beed et al., 2013),
16 which may explain the difference in inhibitory strength along the dorso-ventral axis
17 (Berggaard et al., 2018). Therefore, in this study we examined the morphology, intrinsic
18 physiology and synaptic connectivity of PV BCs in the dorsal and the ventral mEC in a
19 comparative manner, by performing whole-cell patch clamp recordings from single PV BCs
20 as well as synaptically coupled pairs of INs and PrCs in acute rat brain slices, combined with
21 *post hoc* visualization and morphological analysis.

22

23 **Methods**

24 All experiments were performed in accordance with the [Country Legal Guidelines] and
25 institutional guidelines in the presence of permissions from local authorities [Local Authority
26 License]. Recordings were performed on acute brain slices from 18 - 27 day-old male and
27 female Wistar rats, expressing the yellow-shifted Venus fluorescent protein under the VGAT

1 promoter (Uematsu et al., 2008), housed on a 12 hr light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* food and
2 water.

3 *Electrophysiological recordings from acute brain slices:*

4 Acute brain slices were produced as described earlier [Reference]. Briefly, rats were
5 anesthetized (3% Isoflurane, Abbott, Wiesbaden, Germany) and then decapitated. Brains
6 were quickly removed and transferred to carbogenated (95% O₂ / 5% CO₂) ice-cold sucrose-
7 ACSF containing (in mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 glucose, 75
8 sucrose, 7 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 1 Na-pyruvate, 1 ascorbic acid. Horizontal brain slices (300 μm
9 thick) were cut using a Vibratome (VT1200 S, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Dorsal and ventral
10 mEC slices, corresponding to approximately 4.6 - 5.6 mm and 6.8 - 7.8 mm from the dorsal
11 surface of the brain, respectively (Paxinos, 1998), were separately collected (Figure 1A) and
12 placed in a submerged holding chamber filled with carbogenated sucrose ACSF at 32 - 34°C
13 for 30 minutes and then at room temperature until recording. Experiments were alternated
14 between dorsal and ventral slices to prevent bias due to slice condition.

15 For recording, slices were transferred to a submerged chamber and superfused with pre-
16 warmed, carbogenated ACSF containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25
17 NaH₂PO₄, 25 glucose, 1 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 1 Na-pyruvate, 1 ascorbic acid. The bath
18 temperature was set to 32–34°C with a perfusion rate of 12 - 13 ml/minute. Slices were
19 visualized using an upright microscope (BX-51WI; Olympus) equipped with infrared
20 differential interference contrast optics and a digital camera (Zyla CMOS, Andor, UK). PV BCs
21 were identified as Venus-positive large multipolar cells visualized by epifluorescent
22 illumination delivered via a fixed wavelength LED source (λ = 514 nm, OptoLED, Cairn
23 Research, UK). L2/3 PrCs were preselected for recording within the cortical layer as neurons
24 lacking Venus fluorescence. The boundary of mEC was identified based on previous
25 anatomical studies (Canto and Witter, 2012).

1 Whole-cell patch-clamp electrodes were produced from borosilicate glass capillaries (outer
2 diameter 2 mm, inner diameter 1 mm, Hilgenberg, Germany) using a horizontal puller (P-97,
3 Sutter Instruments, CA, USA) and filled with an intracellular solution consisting of (in mM): K-
4 gluconate 130, KCl 10, HEPES 10, EGTA 10, MgCl₂ 2, Na₂ATP 2, Na₂GTP 0.3, Na₂Creatine
5 1 and 0.1% biocytin (adjusted to pH 7.3 and 315 mOsm), giving a series resistance of 2.5 -
6 4 MΩ. All recordings were performed with an Axopatch 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices,
7 CA, USA), filtered online at 10 kHz with the built-in 2-pole Bessel filter, and digitized at
8 20 kHz (National Instruments, UK). Following breakthrough into whole-cell configuration, we
9 characterized resting membrane potential and intrinsic physiological properties in current-
10 clamp mode. The apparent membrane time constant and input resistance were measured in
11 averaged responses (30 traces) to a small hyperpolarizing current pulse (10 pA, 500 ms
12 duration). AP properties were determined from small depolarizing current steps to 10 pA
13 above rheobase (10 pA steps, 500 ms duration); AP and afterpotential amplitudes were
14 measured from threshold. Discharge frequency and sag response were characterized using
15 hyper- to depolarizing current pulses (a family of -500 pA to 500 pA in 100 pA steps, followed
16 by a single step to 1 nA, 500 ms duration for FS BCs, and a family of -250 pA to 250 pA in 50
17 pA steps, 500 ms duration for PrCs). Maximal discharge frequency of FS BCs was measured
18 in responses to 1 nA pulses. The voltage “sag” amplitude of PrCs was measured in averaged
19 responses to -250 pA pulses. We calculated the inter-spike interval (ISI), as the ratio
20 between the length of the first ISI and the second ISI in a pulse train elicited by a 250 pA
21 current pulse. The latency to the first spike was taken as the time between the start of the
22 current pulse and the threshold crossing of the first AP. For voltage-clamp recordings,
23 neurons were kept at a holding potential of -65 mV. Specific membrane capacitance was
24 measured with hyperpolarizing voltage pulses (-10 mV, 500 ms duration). Finally, the
25 spontaneous synaptic input onto PV BCs was characterized by recording excitatory post-
26 synaptic currents (EPSCs) for 1 minute. Cells were excluded if resting membrane potential
27 was more depolarized than -45 mV, initial series resistance >30 MΩ or >20% change

1 occurred in series resistance over the course of the recording. The liquid junction potential
2 was not corrected.

3 Paired recordings between PV BCs and L2/3 PrCs were performed to determine connectivity
4 and synaptic properties, as described earlier [Reference]. Briefly, a fast-spiking putative PV
5 BC was recorded in current-clamp mode and a postsynaptic Venus-negative cell was
6 patched in close proximity (<200 μm distal) and recorded in voltage clamp at a holding
7 potential of -60 mV to record outward GABAA receptor-mediated unitary IPSCs (estimated
8 reversal potential for Cl^- = -70 mV). The series-resistance was compensated to 80%. APs
9 were produced in the IN by short depolarizing pulses (2 nA amplitude, 2 ms duration) and the
10 postsynaptic cell recorded simultaneously. The reciprocal connectivity was then tested from
11 the PrC to the IN under the same conditions, albeit with the PrCs in current-clamp and the IN
12 in voltage clamp mode. Depending on the stability of the IN recording, multiple postsynaptic
13 principal cells (2 - 4) were recorded for each IN. Synaptic connectivity was characterized in
14 response to 50 APs evoked in the presynaptic neuron, with failures of transmission
15 determined as IPSC amplitudes less than twice the standard deviation of baseline
16 fluctuations measured within a 20 ms window prior to the AP. IPSCs were detected and their
17 amplitudes determined in a 10 ms window directly following the AP.

18 All electrophysiological data was acquired online using the open-source WinWCP software
19 package (courtesy of J. Dempster, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, UK;
20 http://spider.science.strath.ac.uk/sipbs/software_ses.htm) and off-line analysis was
21 performed using the Stimfit software (courtesy of C. Schmidt-Hieber; <http://stimfit.org>,
22 (Schlögl et al., 2013)).

23 PrCs were classified as PCs or SCs by principal component analysis following the approach
24 by (Fuchs et al., 2016), using three physiological parameters: depolarizing afterpotential
25 (dAP), latency and inter-spike interval (ISI), calculated previously (indicated with a double
26 asterisk in Table 3), as well as the presence or absence of an apical dendrite. For the
27 clustering itself, we standardized the parameters and ran the principal component function
28 "princomp" in R (<https://rstudio.com>) using a custom script. We grouped the resulting data on

1 the first two principal components, which were responsible for >90% of the samples variance
2 as determined by the elbow method, and clustered it using the “ward” hierarchical clustering
3 approach using custom written scripts in python 3.7.

4

5 *Neuronal visualization and immunohistochemistry.*

6 Following recording, cells were resealed by obtaining outside-out patches and slices
7 immersion fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) at
8 4°C for 24 - 48 hours. Slices were then transferred to fresh PB and stored for a maximum of
9 3 weeks. Prior to immunohistochemical processing, slices were rinsed in PB, followed by PB
10 buffered saline (PBS, 0.9% NaCl) and blocked in PBS containing 10% normal goat serum
11 (NGS), 0.3% TritonX-100 and 0.05% NaN₃ for 1 hour. Slices were then incubated with a
12 monoclonal mouse antibody raised against PV (1:5000, PV-235, Swant, Switzerland) in PBS
13 containing 5% NGS, 0.3% TritonX-100 and 0.05% NaN₃ for 72 hours at 4°C. Slices were
14 then rinsed in PBS and a fluorescent-conjugated secondary antibody was applied (goat anti-
15 mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor-405, 1:1000, Invitrogen, UK) in combination with fluorescent-
16 conjugated streptavidin (Alexa Fluor-647, 1:1000, Invitrogen, UK), in a PBS solution
17 containing 3% NGS, 0.1% TritonX-100 and 0.05% NaN₃ for 24 hours at 4°C. Slices were
18 rinsed in PBS and then desalted in PB before being mounted in on glass slides
19 (Fluoromount-G, Southern Biotech) with a 300 µm thick agar spacer, cover-slipped, sealed,
20 and stored at 4°C prior to imaging.

21

22 *Confocal imaging and reconstruction.*

23 Recorded cells and pairs of cells were imaged on a laser scanning confocal microscope
24 (FV1000, Olympus, Japan). First, a low magnification (4x air immersion, Olympus, Japan)
25 overview image was taken to confirm the cellular localization to the mEC, then high
26 resolution z-stacks were made with a 30x silicone immersion lens (N.A. 1.05, UPlanSApo,
27 Olympus) over the whole extent of the cell (1 µm axial steps). To confirm PV neurochemical
28 identity of the recorded cells, a high-magnification image (60x objective, N.A. 1.2, Olympus,

1 Japan) was taken over the soma and proximal dendrites and axon collaterals. Cells were
2 deemed to be PV BCs if the soma, proximal dendrites, or axon terminals showed labeling for
3 PV and presented axonal baskets characteristic of BC morphology (Figure 1B, C). Axi-
4 axonic neurons presenting typical vertical axon cartridges (Defelipe et al., 1985; Somogyi et
5 al., 1982) were excluded from the analysis.

6 Images were analyzed offline using the FIJI software package
7 (<http://fiji.sc/wiki/index.php/FIJI>). Image stacks were stitched, the cells reconstructed and
8 volume filled using the Simple Neurite Tracer plug-in (Longair et al., 2011). Image scaling
9 and measurement of morphological parameters were performed using a custom script within
10 the NEURON environment (Hines and Carnevale, 1997). Comparative morphology was
11 assessed by overlaying dendritic and axonal arborizations from reconstructed cells from
12 either dorsal or ventral mEC in MATLAB (2013b, Matworks, USA). Superimposed images
13 were smoothed using a Gaussian filter, based on a standard deviation of seven and
14 presented on a logarithmic scale. The 2D correlation indices were calculated utilizing the
15 'corr2' MATLAB function. Axonal bouton density was determined in a subset of cells,
16 randomized and presented for blind counting. The number of boutons was counted on 8 - 10
17 straight, 25 - 70 μm long axon segments from each cell and the corresponding bouton
18 density along the axon was calculated. The total number of boutons was estimated from the
19 length of the axon and the bouton density calculated for the respective cells.

20

21 *Statistics and Analysis.*

22 Data is shown as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) unless indicated otherwise.
23 Data was tested for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and, where normally distributed, assessed
24 with Student's t-test for statistical significance. Non-normal data was tested using the Mann-
25 Whitney U non-parametric test. Fisher's exact test was used for analysis of connectivity to
26 compare contingencies and two-way ANOVA for testing significance between three and
27 more groups. For the reciprocal connectivity ratios shown in Figure 4D, standard error values
28 were obtained from the variance calculated from the first order Taylor expansion of the

1 samples (Stuart and Ord, 2010). For all tests significant differences were assumed if $p < 0.05$
2 and significance level was set to * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$.

3
4

5 **Results**

6 To investigate the anatomical and physiological diversity among PV BCs at the cellular level,
7 which could underlie differences in inhibitory output along the dorso-ventral axis of the mEC
8 (Beed et al., 2013), we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from the dorsal and the
9 ventral mEC in acute brain slices (Figure 1A). PV BCs were selected in L2/3 based on their
10 expression of Venus-YFP and multipolar appearance of their cell bodies, when observed
11 under the epifluorescent microscope. During the recordings, we identified 98 fast-spiking INs
12 (45 cells in the dorsal and 53 cells in the ventral mEC from 40 rats), out of which 89 were
13 subsequently confirmed to be immunoreactive for PV with morphological features of basket
14 cells (Figure 1B, C, see further detail below).

15

16 ***Similar intrinsic physiological properties and excitability of L2/3 PV BCs in dorsal and*** 17 ***ventral mEC***

18 A possible explanation for the differences in lateral inhibition between dorsal and ventral
19 mEC (Beed et al., 2013) may arise from INs possessing divergent intrinsic responses to
20 depolarizing currents. To investigate this possibility, we characterized both the passive and
21 active properties of the recorded INs. PV BCs showed no difference in any of their passive
22 properties between dorsal and ventral mEC: their resting membrane potential, membrane
23 resistance, time-constant and cell capacitance (Table 1). In response to suprathreshold
24 depolarizing current pulses, PV BCs consistently fired non-accommodating trains of APs at
25 high frequency in both dorsal and ventral mEC; Figure 1D). There was no apparent
26 difference in the excitability of PV BCs between the two subregions in terms of the voltage
27 threshold, rheobase or their maximum firing frequency at 1 nA either (Table 1). Finally, given
28 that the excitability of a given neuron is directly related to its synaptic input, we asked

1 whether the spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) arriving onto PV BCs in
2 the dorsal mEC were stronger than those arriving in the ventral mEC (Figure 1E). We
3 observed no difference in either amplitude or frequency of spontaneous EPSCs between the
4 two IN groups (Figure 1E-G). Together, these data show that PV BCs display comparable
5 intrinsic excitability and spontaneous excitatory synaptic inputs, independent of their location
6 along the dorso-ventral axis of the mEC.

7

8 ***Dorsal and ventral PV BCs show similar dendritic and axonal morphologies***

9 Another plausible explanation for dorso-ventral differences in lateral inhibition might be a
10 divergence in PV BC morphology; allowing individual neurons to receive and transmit
11 information to narrower vs. wider fields of the mEC. Therefore, we next analyzed the
12 morphology of the recorded and visualized neurons (Figure 1B and C). PV BCs from both
13 dorsal and ventral mEC had 3 - 9 aspiny or sparsely spiny primary dendrites emerging from
14 the soma, spanning layers L3 to L1, often with a dendritic tuft reaching the pial surface. The
15 axon emerged typically from the soma and formed a dense local arbor in L2/3 around the cell
16 bodies of neighboring neurons, consistent with the INs being basket cells (Figure 1B and C).
17 While we observed differences in their morphology, including the extent of the axon, this
18 variability was similarly present in both dorsal and ventral mEC.

19 To determine if the distributions of dendritic or axonal arbors were different between dorsal
20 and ventral mEC, we reconstructed a subset of the INs and performed morphometric
21 analysis (Figure 2). PV BCs from the dorsal mEC had a total dendritic length of 4.8 ± 0.3 mm
22 (22 PV BCs from 18 rats), comparable to those in the ventral mEC with 4.4 ± 0.3 mm (21 PV
23 BCs from 17 rats; $p = 0.29$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 2A). Sholl analysis revealed a higher
24 number of segments in proximal dendrites of dorsal PV BCs at 100-200 μm of the soma
25 compared to ventral INs (Figure 2B, $F = 22.4$, two-way ANOVA; Figure 2B). To examine the
26 extent, symmetry, and distribution of dendrites, we next analyzed the dendritic volume
27 density (Figure 2C). Superimposing PV BC dendritic arbors with the somata as reference
28 point, we calculated the mean volume density for the reconstructed neurons. This analysis

1 revealed no discernible differences in the distribution pattern between the two groups for the
2 transverse and vertical axes (Figure 2C Insets) confirming that dendrites in dorsal and
3 ventral PV BCs had very similar density distributions. A quantitative comparison of the
4 volume density maps revealed that dendrites of reconstructed neurons in dorsal and ventral
5 regions are highly similar ($r= 0.75$, 2D correlation coefficient).

6 We next performed the same analysis of the axonal distribution of PV BCs along the dorso-
7 ventral axis of the mEC. The mean axon length in dorsal PV BCs was 12.9 ± 1.7 mm (22 INs
8 from 18 rats) and of ventral cells was 16.3 ± 2.4 mm (19 INs from 14 rats), which was not
9 statistically different ($p= 0.35$, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 2D). Sholl analysis (Figure 2E)
10 and volume density plots of the axonal distributions (Figure 2F) showed very similar spatial
11 structure and distribution of the axons. This was further reflected by a high correlation
12 between the volume density maps for dorsal and ventral INs ($r= 0.91$, 2D correlation
13 coefficient) as well as strongly overlapping transverse and vertical projections of the density
14 distributions (Figure 2F Insets).

15 As the length and distribution of the axon were not different between the two subregions, we
16 next examined the density of boutons along the axon of PV BCs, as an indicator for the
17 number of synapses formed. In the dorsal mEC, we found a high density of putative inhibitory
18 boutons on PV BC axons (mean density: $0.41 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^{-1}$, 87 axon segments from 10 cells
19 from 9 rats), 41% higher than that in the ventral mEC (mean density: $0.30 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^{-1}$, 85
20 axon segments from 10 PV BCs from 10 rats; $p < 0.0001$, Student's t-test on cell averages;
21 Figure 2G and H). As such, a higher number of synapses may be formed by the axon of
22 dorsal PV BCs. Indeed, estimates using the obtained density values of putative synaptic
23 boutons and the corresponding axonal lengths of the INs indicated that the number of
24 potential synaptic contacts made by dorsal INs was 7512 ± 51 , in ventral PV BCs this
25 estimate was lower at 5127 ± 39 ($p= 0.023$, Student's t-test).

26 Taken together, these data demonstrate that the dendritic distribution of PV BCs show minor
27 regional specific differences along the dorso-ventral axis of the mEC. Despite the axon of PV

1 BCs displaying a comparable length and broadly similar lateral distribution in both mEC
2 subfields, the number of putative synapses formed by this axon is greater in the dorsal mEC.

3

4 ***PV BCs along the dorso-ventral axis of the mEC are differentially connected to PrCs.***

5 Given these anatomical differences, particularly in putative synapse number, we next asked
6 whether the functional connectivity of PV BCs and PrCs was divergent between the dorsal
7 and ventral mEC. To address this, we performed paired recordings from identified PV BCs
8 and neighboring PrCs from both subregions (Figure 3A). APs elicited in the presynaptic IN
9 resulted in short-latency unitary IPSCs in 59% of the simultaneously recorded PrCs
10 at -60 mV holding potential (47 connections from 81 tested pairs from 23 rats; Figure 3B).
11 Bath application of the competitive GABA_A agonist Gabazine (10 μ) reduced these fast
12 outward synaptic currents by 96% (peak amplitude 1.5 ± 0.1 pA vs. 39.8 ± 22.7 pA under
13 control conditions, 10 BC-PrC pairs, $p= 0.026$, Student's t-test), confirming that they were
14 mediated by ionotropic GABA receptors.

15 When we divided the synaptically-coupled pairs between subregions of the mEC, we found
16 that the probability of a unitary connection from dorsal PV BCs onto local PrCs was very high
17 at 76% (29 coupled of 38 tested pairs from 16 rats). In contrast, the connectivity of ventral
18 mEC PV BCs onto PrCs was substantially lower at 41% among the tested pairs (18 coupled
19 of 44 tested pairs from 14 rats; $p= 0.0001$, Fisher's exact test; Figure 3C).

20 The amplitudes from unitary IPSCs produced by PV BCs showed a log-normal distribution,
21 with the majority of the amplitudes occurring between 5 and 100 pA and a long tail that
22 reached up to 250 pA. However, despite the marked differences in connectivity between
23 dorsal and ventral mEC, the average amplitudes of unitary IPSCs with 30.6 ± 5.1 pA (29
24 pairs from 19 rats) in the dorsal mEC and 65.4 ± 8.6 pA in the ventral mEC (18 pairs from 10
25 rats, $p= 0.16$, Mann-Whitney U test, Figure 3D) were not sufficiently different to reach
26 statistical significance due to the high variability in both samples. The apparent failure rate of
27 transmission at synapses between PV BCs and PrCs in dorsal ($17 \pm 4\%$, 29 pairs) and
28 ventral mEC ($10 \pm 3\%$, 18 pairs) was comparable ($p= 0.11$, Mann-Whitney U test). In

1 addition, the IPSC kinetics from both regions were also similar with respect to onset latency
2 (Dorsal: 2.2 ± 0.2 ms, 29 pairs; Ventral: 2.1 ± 0.1 ms, 18 pairs; $p = 0.85$, Student's t-test), 20-
3 80% rise-time (Dorsal: 0.65 ± 0.1 ms, 29 pairs; Ventral: 0.60 ± 0.1 ms, 18 pairs; $p = 0.47$,
4 Mann-Whitney U test), and decay time-constant (Dorsal: 5.2 ± 0.5 ms, 29 pairs; Ventral:
5 5.3 ± 0.6 ms, 18 pairs; $p = 0.33$, Student's t-test). Finally, no difference was found in short-
6 term plasticity in terms of paired-pulse depression (Dorsal: 0.81 ± 0.03 , 29 pairs; Ventral:
7 0.72 ± 0.04 , 18 pairs; $p = 0.11$, Student's t-test). Taken together, our paired recording results
8 converge with the morphological data demonstrating that functional synaptic connectivity is
9 higher in the dorsal than in the ventral mEC.

10 In 8 of the simultaneously recorded pairs (5 in the dorsal and 3 in the ventral mEC), we
11 observed that connectivity between BCs and PrCs was reciprocal (Figure 4A, B). These
12 reciprocally connected pairs had a smaller mean unitary IPSCs (24.6 ± 15.6 pA) than the
13 non-reciprocally connected BC-PrC pairs (51.7 ± 57.5 pA, 39 pairs, $p = 0.015$, Student's t-test
14 Welch's corrected; Figure 4A, C). We observed no statistically significant difference in EPSC
15 amplitude of reciprocally connected BC-PrC pairs (-110.8 ± 91.9 pA) compared to non-
16 reciprocal BC-PrC pairs (-55.6 ± 47.6 pA, 6 pairs, $p = 0.21$, Mann-Whitney U). Consequently,
17 the ratio of excitation vs. inhibition for reciprocal connections with 4.5 ± 4.6 was considerably
18 higher than the ratio of EPSC and IPSC amplitudes for non-reciprocally connected neurons
19 (1.1 ± 1.4 , $p = 0.01$; Figure 4D). These results suggest that mEC PV BC microcircuits might
20 be wired for competitive interactions whereby selected PrCs involved in reciprocally coupling
21 with BCs are able to effectively recruit perisomatic inhibition to suppress neighboring PrCs.

22

23 ***Connectivity onto identified PCs and SCs***

24 It has previously been shown that different PrC subtypes, PCs and SCs, receive differential
25 input from PV BCs in the mouse (Fuchs et al., 2016). Therefore, we next asked whether we
26 observed similar differences in target cell specificity in our sample of PV BC-PrC pairs in
27 juvenile rats. Simultaneously recorded PrCs were classified, grouping them based on their
28 morphology and physiological properties (Figure 5A; Table 3) by applying a cluster analysis

1 as previously described (Fuchs et al., 2016) (Figure 5B). In good agreement with clearly
2 identifiable examples of PCs and SCs among our reconstructed PrCs (Figure 5C, E), we
3 observed two well-defined clusters emerging in this analysis, which corresponded to PCs (35
4 cells) and SCs (40 cells; Figure 5B). In 7 PrCs, there was insufficient data to perform the
5 cluster analysis and these cells were excluded from further analysis. We did not observe
6 clustering corresponding to intermediate cell types as previously reported in mice (Fuchs et
7 al., 2016).

8 Analysis of the synaptic connectivity between PV BCs and PrCs on the basis of this
9 classification demonstrated that the connection probability was independent of whether the
10 postsynaptic target was a PC (Figure 5C, D) or a SC (Figure 5E, F). The average connection
11 probability onto PCs was 55% (18 coupled of 35 tested pairs from 20 rats) and onto SCs it
12 was comparable at 60%. (24 coupled of 40 tested pairs from 17 rats; $p= 0.66$, Fisher's exact
13 test; Figure 5G). In contrast, the connection probability from PrCs onto PV BCs was
14 substantially lower at 17% for PCs (6 coupled of 35 tested pairs) and similarly low at 20% for
15 SCs (8 coupled of 40 tested pairs, $p= 0.78$, Fisher's exact test; Figure 5G).

16 Finally, we examined whether the connectivity and synaptic properties of PV BCs onto PrC
17 subtypes was different between dorsal and ventral mEC. From dorsal PV BCs we found
18 connection probabilities of 77% onto PCs (10 coupled of 13 tested pairs from 8 rats) and
19 78% onto SCs (14 coupled of 18 tested pairs from 11 rats), which was not different between
20 the two target cell types ($p= 1.0$, Fisher's exact test). The connection probability of ventral PV
21 BCs was 36% onto PCs (8 coupled of 22 tested pairs from 12 rats) and 46% (10 coupled of
22 22 tested pairs from 11 rats) onto SCs, consistent with the overall lower probability of
23 connectivity in this region (see above), but with no significant difference between the two PrC
24 subtypes ($p= 0.54$, Fisher's exact test).

25 We observed no overt differences in any underlying property of the unitary synaptic
26 responses recorded in classified PrC subtypes, as the amplitude of IPSCs recorded in SCs
27 was 55.2 ± 12.8 pA and in PCs was 37.7 ± 11.8 pA ($p= 0.35$, Student's t-test). Similarly, the
28 amplitude of EPSCs in PV BCs was similar whether they resulted from inputs from SCs (-

1 83.4 ± 22.8 pA) or from PCs (-108.7 ± 56.8 pA; p= 0.83, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 5H).
2 Finally, there was no observed statistical difference in the IPSC paired-pulse ratio produced
3 by INs (IN-SC: 0.74 ± 0.03, IN-PC: 0.81 ± 0.07, p= 0.59) or EPSCs (SC-IN: 0.69 ± 0.06, PC-
4 IN: 0.44 ± 0.13, p= 0.09, Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 5I).

5 Together, these data show that that the reciprocal connectivity between PV BCs and PrCs is
6 independent of PrC type in the juvenile rat. However, the observed differences in the
7 connection probability of PV BCs onto PrCs along the dorso-ventral axis may enable these
8 INs to produce more robust lateral inhibition in dorsal mEC microcircuits.

9

10 **Discussion**

11 In this study we provide a comprehensive characterization of L2/3 PV BCs along the dorso-
12 ventral axis of the mEC; with respect to their intrinsic physiology, morphology, and
13 connectivity. While we observed minimal differences in PV BC intrinsic physiology or
14 dendritic and axonal morphology along this axis, PV BCs were more synaptically coupled to
15 the local microcircuit in the dorsal compared to ventral mEC, plausibly explaining divergent
16 lateral inhibition observed in previous studies (Beed et al., 2013).

17

18 ***Morphology and intrinsic physiology of PV BCs does not account for divergent mEC*** 19 ***inhibition***

20 INs comprise ~20% of all neurons in the mEC, of which fast-spiking PV BCs represent 50%,
21 and as such exert powerful control over local network activity in L2/3 (Beed et al., 2013;
22 Jones and Buhl, 1993; Pastoll et al., 2013). In the neocortex, fast-spiking PV BCs have been
23 described physiologically (Gupta, 2000; Martin et al., 1983; Wang et al., 2002),
24 morphologically (Kisvárdy et al., 1985; Thomson et al., 1996) and with respect to their
25 connectivity and network functions (Cunningham et al., 2004; Dantzker and Callaway, 2000;
26 White, 1989). By contrast, in the mEC, PV BCs have not been thoroughly studied. Here, we
27 describe the intrinsic physiological and morphological characteristics of INs in L2/3 in both
28 the dorsal and ventral mEC, which were all putatively identified as BCs based on their

1 immunoreactivity, axonal distribution and formation of boutons around neighboring neuronal
2 somata. Despite heterogeneity in their morphology, there were no major systematic
3 differences in their properties along the mEC dorso-ventral axis that would account for
4 divergent lateral inhibition (Beed et al., 2013). In cortical areas, at least 5 subpopulations of
5 PV INs have been described: large BCs, narrow BCs, nest BCs, clutch cells, and axo-axonic
6 cells which each possess different physiological and morphological properties (Buetfering et
7 al., 2014; Dantzker and Callaway, 2000; Jones and Buhl, 1993; Kawaguchi, 1997; Kisvárdy
8 et al., 1985; Pastoll et al., 2013; Somogyi et al., 1983). We excluded putative axo-axonic cell,
9 and did not attempt to characterize PV BCs based on these subgroups, which may contribute
10 to some morphological heterogeneity in our data. Despite this, the absence of distinct
11 morphological divergence along the dorso-ventral mEC suggests that the increased lateral
12 inhibition and PV immunolabeling observed in the dorsal mEC (Beed et al., 2013) must arise
13 from an alternative mechanism, such as the divergent axon bouton density and connectivity
14 that we describe here.

15

16 ***Differential connectivity of mEC PV BCs along the dorso-ventral axis***

17 Our data reveal that PV BCs in the supragranular layers of the mEC innervate local PrCs to a
18 greater extent in the dorsal, as compared to ventral mEC. This higher inhibitory connectivity
19 formed by PV BCs plausibly leads to more robust inhibition in PrCs at dorsal levels. Indeed,
20 (Beed et al., 2013) showed that while the maximum distance of inhibitory input points is
21 broadly similar (~500 μm), the relative strength over the entire local region is ~50% higher in
22 dorsal than ventral SCs. This finding fits well to our data, showing that, the axonal arbors of
23 PV INs spread up to 300 - 500 μm from the soma in both subregions; however, the
24 connection probability is approximately 50% higher in dorsal environs. This higher
25 connection probability correlates with a higher density of boutons along axon collaterals. A
26 complementary factor which might further underlie higher connection probabilities is that
27 dorsal PrC somata have been shown to be larger, and thus might be contacted by more PV
28 terminals than ventral somata (Berggaard et al., 2018). The higher density of varicosities

1 along BC axon is in good agreement with previous findings that the volume density of PV
2 axon terminals is decreasing along the dorso-ventral axis, whereas the density of PV somata
3 shows only a moderate decline (Beed et al., 2013), also suggesting a higher number of
4 presynaptic terminals formed by dorsal vs. ventral PV BCs. However, other studies found a
5 steeper decline in the number of PV somata in ventral than in dorsal sections of mEC in the
6 rat (Kobro-Flatmoen and Witter, 2019) and in mice (Fujimaru and Kosaka, 1996). Thus, a
7 lower divergence of the synaptic output of PV BCs, a lower convergence onto the target
8 PrCs and a lower number of the INs may lead to weaker inhibition in the ventral compared to
9 the dorsal mEC subregion.

10 It has been previously shown that PrCs segregate into 4 defined subtypes with differential
11 connectivity to local INs in the mouse (Fuchs et al., 2016). In our cluster analysis of mEC
12 PrCs performed in rats using the same parameters, we only observed 2 distinct clusters
13 corresponding to PC and SCs. Furthermore, connectivity analysis of the identified two PrC
14 clusters did not reveal differential connectivity onto PCs vs. SCs from PV BCs in the rat. A
15 plausible explanation for this divergence beyond a simple species difference could be a
16 difference in the age of the animals: juvenile in this study and more mature mice in Fuchs et
17 al., (2016), which will require further study.

18 With respect to the dorso-ventral differences among PrCs, it has been recently shown that
19 the intrinsic physiology of SCs favors a greater voltage response of these neurons to
20 depolarizing currents in the ventral mEC, with minimal divergence in the properties of PCs
21 (Pastoll et al., 2020). How the interplay between higher AP discharge probabilities from
22 ventral SCs affects the recruitment of PV BCs and the generation of feedback inhibition
23 during grid field firing remains yet to be analyzed.

24

25 ***A role for PV BCs in the generation of divergent spatial tuning in dorsal and ventral*** 26 ***mEC?***

27 A key role which has been proposed for the superficial layers of the mEC is to encode spatial
28 information, with most neurons showing some degree of spatial tuning in their activity (Couey

1 et al., 2013; Tennant et al., 2018). Most prominent examples of PrCs with such spatially-
2 modulated discharge observed in this region are grid-cells (Hafting et al., 2005) and head-
3 direction cells (Sargolini, 2006; Tang et al., 2014). Feedback inhibitory loops, involving fast-
4 spiking PV BCs in interaction with PrCs, has been identified as a potential mechanism for the
5 generation of spatially controlled PrC grid activity in *in vivo* electrophysiological and
6 optogenetic experiments (Couey et al., 2013; Fyhn et al., 2007; Miao et al., 2017; Pastoll et
7 al., 2013; Shipston-Sharman et al., 2016). Network simulations using attractor-based models
8 further underlined the importance of feedback inhibition for the generation of grid-cell activity
9 (Couey et al., 2013; Fyhn et al., 2007; Miao et al., 2017; Pastoll et al., 2013; Shipston-
10 Sharman et al., 2016) despite the fact that PV BCs themselves appear to have only minimal
11 spatial tuning (Buetfering et al., 2014). One major divergence in the phenomenology of
12 coherent grid activity, as a proxy for spatial information processing, is the presence of a
13 gradient of grid sizes, with smaller spatial fields observed in dorsal mEC and larger grids in
14 ventral mEC (Naumann et al., 2016). Our data provides evidence for the involvement of PV
15 BC-mediated inhibition in such a divergent grid cell firing, as high connectivity will provide
16 stronger inhibition and tighter spatial tuning as observed in the dorsal mEC. Conversely, low
17 connectivity would allow PrCs to discharge over a wider spatial field, or in response to
18 reduced depolarizing currents (Pastoll et al., 2020), as observed in the ventral mEC.
19 Convergently, gamma frequency oscillations (20 - 200 Hz) have been shown to be stronger
20 in the dorsal mEC (Beed et al., 2013). Given the defined role of PV BCs in the generation of
21 emergent gamma oscillations (Bartos et al., 2007, 2002; Tukker et al., 2007), the stronger
22 inhibition provided by their higher connectivity in the dorsal mEC may also provide a cellular
23 substrate for this difference in population activity.

24 In summary, we provide the first thorough description of the morphology, physiology, and
25 connectivity of PV BCs in the rat mEC, with respect to the dorso-ventral axis. We show that
26 while PV BC morphology and intrinsic physiology is similar along this axis, the connectivity of
27 PV BCs is highest in dorsal and lowest in the ventral mEC, plausibly contributing to
28 differences in spatial coding within these two regions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

References

Bartos M, Vida I, Frotscher M, Meyer A, Monyer H, Geiger JRP, Jonas P (2002) Fast synaptic inhibition promotes synchronized gamma oscillations in hippocampal interneuron networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 99:13222–13227.

Bartos M, Vida I, Jonas P (2007) Synaptic mechanisms of synchronized gamma oscillations in inhibitory interneuron networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 8:45–56.

Beed P, Gundfingher A, Schneiderbauer S, Song J, Böhm C, Burgalossi A, Brecht M, Vida I, Schmitz D (2013) Inhibitory gradient along the dorsoventral axis in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Neuron* 79:1197–207.

Berggaard N, Bjerke IE, B. Paulsen AE, Hoang L, T. Skogaker NE, Witter MP, van der Want JJL (2018) Development of Parvalbumin-Expressing Basket Terminals in Layer II of the Rat Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *eneuro* 5.

Boccaro CN, Sargolini F, Thoresen VH, Solstad T, Witter MP, Moser EI, Moser M-B (2010) Grid cells in pre- and parasubiculum. *Nat Neurosci* 13:987–994.

Brun VH, Solstad T, Kjelstrup KB, Fyhn M, Witter MP, Moser EI, Moser M-B (2008) Progressive increase in grid scale from dorsal to ventral medial entorhinal cortex. *Hippocampus* 18:1200–1212.

Buetfering C, Allen K, Monyer H (2014) Parvalbumin interneurons provide grid cell-driven recurrent inhibition in the medial entorhinal cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 17:710–718.

Canto CB, Witter MP (2012) Cellular properties of principal neurons in the rat entorhinal cortex. II. The medial entorhinal cortex. *Hippocampus* 22:1277–99.

Couey JJ, Witoelar A, Zhang S-J, Zheng K, Ye J, Dunn B, Czajkowski R, Moser M-B, Moser EI, Roudi Y, Witter MP (2013) Recurrent inhibitory circuitry as a mechanism for grid

1 formation. *Nat Neurosci* 16:318–324.

2 Cunningham MO, Whittington M a, Bibbig A, Roopun A, LeBeau FEN, Vogt A, Monyer H,
3 Buhl EH, Traub RD (2004) A role for fast rhythmic bursting neurons in cortical gamma
4 oscillations in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:7152–7.

5 Dantzker JL, Callaway EM (2000) Laminar sources of synaptic input to cortical inhibitory
6 interneurons and pyramidal neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 3:701–707.

7 Defelipe J, Hendry SHC, Jones EG, Schmechel D (1985) Variability in the terminations of
8 GABAergic chandelier cell axons on initial segments of pyramidal cell axons in the
9 monkey sensory-motor cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 231:364–384.

10 Fuchs EC, Neitz A, Pinna R, Melzer S, Caputi A, Monyer H (2016) Local and Distant Input
11 Controlling Excitation in Layer II of the Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Neuron* 89:194–208.

12 Fujimaru Y, Kosaka T (1996) The distribution of two calcium binding proteins, calbindin D-
13 28K and parvalbumin, in the entorhinal cortex of the adult mouse. *Neurosci Res*
14 24:329–343.

15 Fyhn M, Hafting T, Treves A, Moser M-B, Moser EI (2007) Hippocampal remapping and grid
16 realignment in entorhinal cortex. *Nature* 446:190–194.

17 Gupta A (2000) Organizing Principles for a Diversity of GABAergic Interneurons and
18 Synapses in the Neocortex. *Science* (80-) 287:273–278.

19 Hafting T, Fyhn M, Bonnevie T, Moser M-B, Moser EI (2008) Hippocampus-independent
20 phase precession in entorhinal grid cells. *Nature* 453:1248–52.

21 Hafting T, Fyhn M, Molden S, Moser M-B, Moser EI (2005) Microstructure of a spatial map in
22 the entorhinal cortex. *Nature* 436:801–6.

23 Heys JG, Giocomo LM, Hasselmo ME (2010) Cholinergic Modulation of the Resonance
24 Properties of Stellate Cells in Layer II of Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *J Neurophysiol*
25 104:258–270.

- 1 Hines ML, Carnevale NT (1997) The NEURON simulation environment. *Neural Comput*
2 9:1179–209.
- 3 Jones RSG, Buhl EH (1993) Basket-like interneurons in layer II of the entorhinal cortex
4 exhibit a powerful NMDA-mediated synaptic excitation. *Neurosci Lett* 149:35–39.
- 5 Kawaguchi Y (1997) GABAergic cell subtypes and their synaptic connections in rat frontal
6 cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 7:476–486.
- 7 Kisvárday ZF, Martin KAC, Whitteridge D, Somogyi P (1985) Synaptic connections of
8 intracellularly filled clutch cells: A type of small basket cell in the visual cortex of the cat.
9 *J Comp Neurol* 241:111–137.
- 10 Kobro- Flatmoen A, Witter MP (2019) Neuronal chemo- architecture of the entorhinal cortex:
11 A comparative review. *Eur J Neurosci* 50:3627–3662.
- 12 Longair MH, Baker DA, Armstrong JD (2011) Simple Neurite Tracer: open source software
13 for reconstruction, visualization and analysis of neuronal processes. *Bioinformatics*
14 27:2453–4.
- 15 Martin KAC, Somogyi P, Whitteridge D (1983) Physiological and morphological properties of
16 identified basket cells in the cat's visual cortex. *Exp Brain Res* 50–50:193–200.
- 17 Miao C, Cao Q, Moser M-B, Moser EI (2017) Parvalbumin and Somatostatin Interneurons
18 Control Different Space-Coding Networks in the Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Cell* 171:507-
19 521.e17.
- 20 Naumann RK, Ray S, Prokop S, Las L, Heppner FL, Brecht M (2016) Conserved size and
21 periodicity of pyramidal patches in layer 2 of medial/caudal entorhinal cortex. *J Comp*
22 *Neurol* 524:783–806.
- 23 O'Keefe J (1979) A review of the hippocampal place cells. *Prog Neurobiol* 13:419–439.
- 24 O'Keefe J, Nadel L (1978) *The hippocampus as a cognitive map*. Oxford Univ Press.
- 25 Park E, Dvorak D, Fenton AA (2011) Ensemble Place Codes in Hippocampus: CA1, CA3,

1 and Dentate Gyrus Place Cells Have Multiple Place Fields in Large Environments. PLoS
2 One 6:e22349.

3 Pastoll H, Garden DL, Papastathopoulos I, Sürmeli G, Nolan MF (2020) Inter- and intra-
4 animal variation in the integrative properties of stellate cells in the medial entorhinal
5 cortex. *Elife* 9.

6 Pastoll H, Ramsden HL, Nolan MF (2012) Intrinsic electrophysiological properties of
7 entorhinal cortex stellate cells and their contribution to grid cell firing fields. *Front Neural*
8 *Circuits* 6:17.

9 Pastoll H, Solanka L, van Rossum MCW, Nolan MF (2013) Feedback Inhibition Enables
10 Theta-Nested Gamma Oscillations and Grid Firing Fields. *Neuron* 77:141–154.

11 Paxinos G (1998) “A stereotaxic atlas of the rat brain.” Acad Press Inc 7.

12 Pouille F (2001) Enforcement of Temporal Fidelity in Pyramidal Cells by Somatic Feed-
13 Forward Inhibition. *Science* (80-) 293:1159–1163.

14 Ray S, Naumann R, Burgalossi A, Tang Q, Schmidt H, Brecht M (2014) Grid-layout and
15 theta-modulation of layer 2 pyramidal neurons in medial entorhinal cortex. *Science*
16 343:891–6.

17 Sargolini F (2006) Conjunctive Representation of Position, Direction, and Velocity in
18 Entorhinal Cortex. *Science* (80-) 312:758–762.

19 Schlögl A, Jonas P, Schmidt-Hieber C, Guzman SJ (2013) Stimfit: A Fast Visualization and
20 Analysis Environment for Cellular Neurophysiology. *Biomed Tech (Berl)* 58 Suppl 1.

21 Shipston-Sharman O, Solanka L, Nolan MF (2016) Continuous attractor network models of
22 grid cell firing based on excitatory-inhibitory interactions. *J Physiol* 594:6547–6557.

23 Somogyi P, Freund TF, Cowey A (1982) The axo-axonic interneuron in the cerebral cortex of
24 the rat, cat and monkey. *Neuroscience* 7:2577–2607.

25 Somogyi P, Kisvárdy ZF, Martin KAC, Whitteridge D (1983) Synaptic connections of

1 morphologically identified and physiologically characterized large basket cells in the
2 striate cortex of cat. *Neuroscience* 10:261–294.

3 Stuart A, Ord JK (2010) *Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics, Volume 1, Distribution*
4 *Theory*, 6th ed. Arnold, London: Willey Online Library.

5 Tang Q, Burgalossi A, Ebbesen CL, Ray S, Naumann R, Schmidt H, Spicher D, Brecht M
6 (2014) Pyramidal and Stellate Cell Specificity of Grid and Border Representations in
7 Layer 2 of Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Neuron* 84:1191–1197.

8 Tennant SA, Fischer L, Garden DLF, Gerlei KZ, Martinez-Gonzalez C, McClure C, Wood ER,
9 Nolan MF (2018) Stellate Cells in the Medial Entorhinal Cortex Are Required for Spatial
10 Learning. *Cell Rep* 22:1313–1324.

11 Thomson a M, West DC, Hahn J, Deuchars J (1996) Single axon IPSPs elicited in pyramidal
12 cells by three classes of interneurons in slices of rat neocortex. *J Physiol* 496:81–102.

13 Tukker JJ, Fuentealba P, Hartwich K, Somogyi P, Klausberger T (2007) Cell Type-Specific
14 Tuning of Hippocampal Interneuron Firing during Gamma Oscillations In Vivo. *J*
15 *Neurosci* 27:8184–8189.

16 Uematsu M, Hirai Y, Karube F, Ebihara S, Kato M, Abe K, Obata K, Yoshida S, Hirabayashi
17 M, Yanagawa Y, Kawaguchi Y (2008) Quantitative Chemical Composition of Cortical
18 GABAergic Neurons Revealed in Transgenic Venus-Expressing Rats. *Cereb Cortex*
19 18:315–330.

20 Varga C, Lee SY, Soltesz I (2010) Target-selective GABAergic control of entorhinal cortex
21 output. *Nat Neurosci* 13:822–824.

22 Wang Y, Gupta A, Toledo-Rodriguez M, Wu CZ, Markram H (2002) Anatomical,
23 physiological, molecular and circuit properties of nest basket cells in the developing
24 somatosensory cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 12:395–410.

25 White EL (1989) *Cortical Circuits*. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston.

1 Winterer J, Maier N, Wozny C, Beed P, Breustedt J, Evangelista R, Peng Y, D'Albis T,
2 Kempter R, Schmitz D (2017) Excitatory Microcircuits within Superficial Layers of the
3 Medial Entorhinal Cortex. *Cell Rep* 19:1110–1116.

4 Witter MP, Doan TP, Jacobsen B, Nilssen ES, Ohara S (2017) Architecture of the Entorhinal
5 Cortex A Review of Entorhinal Anatomy in Rodents with Some Comparative Notes.
6 *Front Syst Neurosci* 11:46.

7 Yartsev MM, Witter MP, Ulanovsky N (2011) Grid cells without theta oscillations in the
8 entorhinal cortex of bats. *Nature* 479:103–107.

9

10 **Figure Legends**

11 **Figure 1 - Morphology and physiological signature of fast-spiking PV BCs in the dorsal**
12 **and ventral mEC:** (A) Representative confocal images from the slices of the dorsal (left
13 panel) and ventral mEC (right panel) immunostained for PV (in green pseudocolor) and
14 counterstained by DAPI (grayscale). Higher magnification images illustrate the superficial
15 layers (L1-3) of the mEC corresponding to the white rectangles in the overview images in the
16 separate channels. (B, C) Reconstructions of biocytin-filled fast-spiking PV BCs recorded in
17 L2/3 of the dorsal (B) and ventral mEC (C). Soma und dendrites of the INs are depicted in
18 black, axons in red; boundaries of the layers (L1-L3) are indicated by dotted lines. Insets on
19 the right illustrate the PV immunoreactivity (in green) in the biocytin filled somata of the INs
20 (Bioc, grayscale). (D, E) Voltage responses of the two visualized INs to hyperpolarizing (-500
21 to -100 pA) and depolarizing current pulses (500 and 1000 pA, 500 ms duration, see inset in
22 the middle). Note the fast-spiking non-accommodating AP discharge pattern in response to
23 the strong depolarizing current pulse in both INs. (F,G) Summary bar charts of the amplitude
24 (F) and frequency of spontaneous EPSPs in dorsal ("D", red bars) and ventral PV BCs ("V",
25 blue bars). Data from individual neurons are superimposed as open circles; numbers of
26 recorded neurons are indicated in parenthesis under the bars.

1 **Figure 2 - Neuroanatomical properties of PV BCs in the dorsal and ventral mEC: (A, B)**
2 Summary bar charts of the length of dendrites (A) and axons (D) of dorsal (“D”, red bars) and
3 ventral PV BCs (“V”, blue bars). Data from individual neurons is superimposed as open
4 circles; numbers of analyzed neurons are indicated in parenthesis under the bars. **(B, E)**
5 Sholl analysis of the dendritic (B) and axonal arbors (E) of dorsal (in red) and ventral PV BCs
6 (in blue). Sholl radius was set to 25 μm and significance was tested using Fischer’s exact
7 test; Asterisks indicate significant differences at the level of $p = 0.05$. **(C, F)** Cumulative heat
8 maps of the spatial densities of dendritic (C) and axonal distributions (F) for dorsal (left) and
9 ventral PV BCs (right). Individual INs viewed in the plane of the slices were aligned with their
10 somata to the middle of the plots. The color code for the density (in arbitrary units) is on the
11 left. One-dimensional density plots on the right and bottom illustrate the spatial integrals of
12 the densities along the X- and Y-axes, respectively, for dorsal (in red) and ventral INs (in
13 blue). **(G)** A confocal image of axon collaterals of intracellularly-filled PV BC displaying
14 varicosities in the dorsal (left) and ventral mEC (right). **(H)** Summary bar chart of the density
15 of varicosities along axon collaterals of PV BCs from the dorsal (“D”, red bars) and ventral
16 mEC (“V”, blue bars). Data from individual neurons are superimposed as open circles;
17 numbers of analyzed neurons are indicated in parenthesis under the bars. Statistical
18 significance: * $p < 0.05$ and *** $p < 0.001$.

19 **Figure 3 - Connectivity of recorded IN-PrC pairs shows greater coupling probability in**
20 **the dorsal mEC: (A)** Morphological reconstructions of a dorsal and a ventral synaptically-
21 coupled PV BC-PrC pair. PV BC somata and dendrites are in black, axons in red; for PrCs
22 only soma and dendrites are shown in cyan; boundaries of the layers 1 - 3 (L1 - L3) are
23 indicated by dotted lines. Insets on the bottom illustrate the PV immunoreactivity (in green
24 pseudocolor) in the biocytin filled somata of the BCs (Bioc, grayscale). **(B)** Representative
25 traces illustrate presynaptic APs evoked in PV BCs (upper traces) and short latency unitary
26 IPSCs in concurrently recorded synaptically coupled PrCs (lower traces, averaged trace in
27 black, individual IPSCs are superimposed in gray) in the dorsal (left) and ventral mEC (right).
28 **(C)** Summary bar chart of the connectivity of PV BCs onto PrCs in the dorsal (“D”, red bars)

1 and ventral mEC (“V”, blue bars). **(D)** Summary bar chart of the unitary IPSC amplitudes in
2 the dorsal (“D”, red bars) and ventral mEC (“V”, blue bars). Individual amplitude data from the
3 pairs are superimposed as open circles on the bars. Numbers of analyzed simultaneous BC-
4 PrC recordings are indicated in parenthesis under the bars. Statistical significance: ** $p <$
5 0.01.

6 **Figure 4 - Reciprocal IN-PrC pairs in the mEC show higher excitation and lower**
7 **inhibition than non-reciprocal pairs:** **(A)** Schemes and representative traces illustrating the
8 observed connectivity patterns of BC-PrC pairs: a reciprocally connected pair (left panel), a
9 unidirectionally connected pair (middle panel) displaying only a unitary EPSC in the BC
10 (bottom left trace, in gray) and unidirectionally connected PrC-BC pair (right panel) displaying
11 only unitary IPSC in the PrC (top right trace in cyan). The representative presynaptic APs
12 and the evoked unitary synaptic responses (average of 10 traces) are illustrated side-by-side
13 in the BCs (left traces, in gray) and PrC (right traces, in cyan). **(B)** Bar chart of the total
14 number of connected PV BC and PrC pairs (reciprocal connections, in gray). **(C)** Summary
15 bar chart of the unitary IPSC and EPSC amplitudes for reciprocal and non-reciprocal
16 connections. Individual peak amplitude data from the pairs are superimposed as open circles
17 on the bars. **(D)** Ratio of excitation vs. inhibition in non-reciprocal (NR, white bar) vs.
18 reciprocal pairs (R, gray bar). Statistical significance: * $p <$ 0.05.

19 **Figure 5 - Properties of synaptic coupling of stellate and pyramidal cells to PV BCs:**
20 **(A)** Voltage responses of a pyramidal cell (PC, top) and a stellate cell (SC, bottom) to
21 hyperpolarizing (-500 to -100 pA, 500 ms duration) and a suprathreshold depolarizing current
22 pulses (500 pA). **(B)** Dendrogram illustrates the separation of two subpopulations of PrCs
23 corresponding to PCs (in cyan) and SCs (in ocher) by cluster analysis. **(C, E)** Morphological
24 reconstructions of a synaptically-coupled PV BC-PC (C) and a PV BC-SC pair (E). PV BC
25 somata and dendrites are in black, axons in red; for the PrCs only soma and dendrites are
26 shown (PC in cyan; SC in ocher); boundaries of layer 1 - 3 (L1 - L3) are indicated by dotted
27 lines. Insets on the bottom illustrate the PV immunoreactivity (in green pseudocolor) in the

1 biocytin filled IN somata (Bioc, grayscale). **(D)** Electrophysiological data from a reciprocally
2 connected BC-PC pair. Representative trace illustrates presynaptic APs evoked in a PV BC
3 (top trace) and the short latency unitary IPSCs in the concurrently recorded PC (upper
4 middle trace, averaged response in black, individual IPSCs are superimposed in gray).
5 Similarly, presynaptic APs evoked in the PC (lower middle trace) were followed by short
6 latency unitary EPSCs in the concurrently recorded IN (bottom trace). **(F)**
7 Electrophysiological data from a reciprocally connected BC-SC pair as illustrated in (D). **(G)**
8 Summary bar chart of the connection probabilities between PV BCs and PCs and SCs in the
9 mEC. **(H, I)** Bar charts of the amplitudes (H) and paired pulse ratios (I) of unitary IPSCs and
10 EPSCs between PV BCs and synaptically coupled PCs and SCs. Individual values from the
11 pairs are superimposed as open circles on the bars.

12

13 **Table Legends**

14 **Table 1 - Passive and active physiological properties of dorsal and ventral PV BCs.**

15 Results shown as mean \pm SEM; number of analyzed neurons are shown in parenthesis.

16 **Table 2 - Anatomical properties of dorsal and ventral PV BCs.** Results shown as mean \pm

17 SEM; the numbers of analyzed neurons are shown in parenthesis.

18 **Table 3 - Passive and active physiological properties of stellate and pyramidal PrCs.**

19 Results shown as mean \pm SEM, number of analyzed neurons shown in parenthesis.

20 Parameters utilized for the principal component analysis are highlighted with a double
21 asterisk.

22