



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Reply to comments on “Low-cost chitosan-calcite adsorbent development for potential phosphate removal and recovery from wastewater effluent” by Pap et al. [Water research 173 (2020) 115573]

Citation for published version:

Pap, S, Kirk, C, Bremner, B, Turk Sekulic, M, Shearer, L, Gibb, SW & Taggart, MA 2020, 'Reply to comments on “Low-cost chitosan-calcite adsorbent development for potential phosphate removal and recovery from wastewater effluent” by Pap et al. [Water research 173 (2020) 115573]', *Water Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115828>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.watres.2020.115828](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115828)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Water Research

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



13 **Abstract**

14 This letter is in response to the comments of Dr Hu and Dr Zhang on “*Low-cost chitosan-*
15 *calcite adsorbent development for potential phosphate removal and recovery from*
16 *wastewater effluent*”. We thank Dr Hu and Dr Zhang for their interest and comments, and
17 having reflected, we wish to provide some clarification.

18 **Keywords:** Adsorption; Kinetics; Isotherm; Bohart-Adams model; Curve characteristics

19 **1. Correction of curve fitting results**

20 We agree that the model parameters obtained using Origin software and the Levenberg–
21 Marquardt method are slightly different from those we reported. We used Excel, which
22 clearly produced some insignificant divergence in the calculated data (Pap et al., 2020).
23 However, we feel that there is limited difference in the key constants and error values
24 generated, and moreover, the thermodynamic data (e.g., ΔH was 88.66 and is now 63.71
25 kJ/mol) remain similar. Therefore, no changes are considered necessary to our concluding
26 remarks (also see Supplementary Information which compares previous and updated
27 data).

28 **2. Bohart–Adams model**

29 The authors thank Dr Hu and Dr Zhang for their insightful comments regarding the
30 different versions of the Bohart-Adams model (exponential vs logistic given by Eqs. (1)
31 and (2) in their Comment). Indeed, we were not familiar with the logistic version at the
32 time the article was prepared, and as such, we used the exponential version, which is able
33 to track breakthrough data up to about $C < 0.3C_0$ breakthrough (Ang et al., 2020; Chu,
34 2020). One of our main goals was to design a column adsorption system to remove P from
35 wastewater at low concentrations. Therefore, the model may (in practice), be largely

36 irrelevant when we have a breakthrough of >20-30%, as the adsorbent bed would need
37 replacing (Ang et al., 2020).

38 **3. Use of Clark model**

39 The authors agree that to use the Clark model, the adsorption system should ideally follow
40 Freundlich. However, since the Freundlich equation was approximately valid, the Clark
41 model was considered suitable for the approximation of a packed bed column system
42 (Han et al., 2009; Rout et al., 2017; Wan Ngah et al., 2012). However, we agree that in
43 future it would be better to correlate the experimental data with the Clark model as a
44 three-parameter empirical model. See Supplementary Information for the refitted data
45 (Figure S1c-e).

46 **4. Partial and complete data**

47 We would agree that using model fitting on a partial breakthrough curve can affect model
48 parameters, however, this approach is common (Han et al., 2008; Lim and Aris, 2014;
49 Zheng et al., 2019). It should be noted that the model parameters were not used for further
50 calculations, or, to draw significant key conclusions. The authors will consider this
51 constructive criticism in future studies.

52 **5. Conclusions**

53 We thank the authors of "*Comment on "Low-cost chitosan-calcite adsorbent*
54 *development for potential phosphate removal and recovery from wastewater effluent"*" by
55 *Pap et al. [Water research 173 (2020) 115573]*" for drawing out the above points for
56 clarification. We believe such contributions avoid the propagation of errors within the
57 literature and help improve the robustness of papers published in the field of adsorption.

58 **Conflict of interest**

59 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
60 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

61 **References**

62 Ang, T.N., Young, B.R., Taylor, M., Burrell, R., Aroua, M.K., Baroutian, S., 2020.

63 Authors' response to comments on Ang et al. "Breakthrough analysis of continuous
64 fixed-bed adsorption of sevoflurane using activated carbons." *Chemosphere* 126389.

65 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126389>

66 Chu, K.H., 2020. Breakthrough curve analysis by simplistic models of fixed bed

67 adsorption: In defense of the century-old Bohart-Adams model. *Chem. Eng. J.* 380,

68 122513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122513>

69 Han, R., Ding, D., Xu, Y., Zou, W., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Zou, L., 2008. Use of rice husk for

70 the adsorption of congo red from aqueous solution in column mode. *Bioresour.*

71 *Technol.* 99, 2938–2946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.027>

72 Han, R., Wang, Yu, Zhao, X., Wang, Yuanfeng, Xie, F., Cheng, J., Tang, M., 2009.

73 Adsorption of methylene blue by phoenix tree leaf powder in a fixed-bed column:

74 experiments and prediction of breakthrough curves. *Desalination* 245, 284–297.

75 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.013>

76 Lim, A.P., Aris, A.Z., 2014. Continuous fixed-bed column study and adsorption

77 modeling: Removal of cadmium (II) and lead (II) ions in aqueous solution by dead

78 calcareous skeletons. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 87, 50–61.

79 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2014.03.019>

80 Pap, S., Kirk, C., Bremner, B., Sekulic, M.T., Shearer, L., Gibb, S.W., Taggart, M.A.,
81 2020. Low-cost chitosan-calcite adsorbent development for potential phosphate
82 removal and recovery from wastewater effluent. *Water Res.* 173, 115573.
83 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115573>

84 Rout, P.R., Bhunia, P., Dash, R.R., 2017. Evaluation of kinetic and statistical models for
85 predicting breakthrough curves of phosphate removal using dolochar-packed
86 columns. *J. Water Process Eng.* 17, 168–180.
87 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2017.04.003>

88 Wan Ngah, W.S., Teong, L.C., Toh, R.H., Hanafiah, M.A.K.M., 2012. Utilization of
89 chitosan-zeolite composite in the removal of Cu(II) from aqueous solution:
90 Adsorption, desorption and fixed bed column studies. *Chem. Eng. J.* 209, 46–53.
91 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.07.116>

92 Zheng, Y., Wang, B., Wester, A.E., Chen, J., He, F., Chen, H., Gao, B., 2019. Reclaiming
93 phosphorus from secondary treated municipal wastewater with engineered biochar.
94 *Chem. Eng. J.* 362, 460–468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.01.036>

95