



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Performance of HPV tests in screening of young vaccinated women- insights from the SHEVa study.

Citation for published version:

Bhatia, R, Kavanagh, K, Mathers, K, Calvet, M, Serrano, I, Wennington, H, Hopkins, M, Cubie, H, Pan, J, Pollock, KG, Palmer, T & Cuschieri, K 2017, 'Performance of HPV tests in screening of young vaccinated women- insights from the SHEVa study.', International Papillomavirus Conference 2017, Cape Town, South Africa, 28/02/17 - 4/03/17.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Bhatia R¹, Kavanagh K², Mathers K¹, Calvet M¹, Serrano I¹, Wennington H¹, Hopkins M³, Cubie HA⁴, Pan J², Pollock KG⁵, Palmer T⁶, Cuschieri K⁷

1. HPV Research Group, University of Edinburgh, UK, 2. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Strathclyde University, UK. 3. Liverpool Clinical Laboratories, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, UK 4. Global Health Academy, University of Edinburgh, UK 5. Health Protection Scotland, UK 6. Department of Pathology, University of Edinburgh, UK 7. Scottish HPV Reference laboratory, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, UK.

Introduction

HR-HPV based primary cervical screening is now being implemented in several countries. HPV vaccination is changing the extent and distribution of HPV genotypes in the population. Consequently, **it is important for clinically validated HPV tests to be tested in vaccinated population to understand the impact of immunisation on their performance.**

Scotland is well placed to achieve this as girls vaccinated with the bivalent vaccine as part of “catch-up” immunisation programme have been entering the cervical screening programme since 2010. The Scottish HPV prevalence in vaccinated women (SHEVa) studies are designed to provide insight into the performance of clinically validated HPV tests in vaccinated women (Bhatia *et al*, 2016, IJC).

The present analysis focuses on the clinical performance of a DNA and RNA based HPV test in vaccinated women attending their first cervical screen.

Methods

Samples- Cervical samples from women attending their first routine screen at age 20 were collected for national immunization surveillance and residual samples stored in Scottish HPV Archive.

For this analysis, samples were collected from 993 unvaccinated and 1007 vaccinated women between 2010 and 2012. To enrich for disease cases in vaccinated women, a further 459 samples from vaccinated women with abnormal cytology results were collected between 2013 and 2014.

HPV testing- All samples were tested using the rTHPV test (Abbott) and APTIMA HPV test (Hologic) according to manufacturers instructions.

Outcome measures- Clinical performance of assays (sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) for the detection of CIN2+

Acknowledgements: Colleagues in Scottish Cytology Laboratories. This work made use of the Scottish HPV Archive which comes under the auspice of the National Research for Scotland Lothian Bioresource. If you are interested in finding out more about the archive and how you can access samples please email - hpvarchive@ed.ac.uk

References: Bhatia R *et al*. Use of HPV testing for cervical screening in vaccinated women-Insights from the SHEVa (Scottish HPV Prevalence in Vaccinated Women) study. Int J Cancer. 2016 Jun 15;138(12):2922–31.

Results

Population distribution

Follow-up (average 17.1 months) was available for 943 women. Cases were defined as histologically confirmed \geq CIN2 with controls as either \leq CIN1 or two sequential negative cytology results (Table 1)

Population	Cases (CIN2+)	Controls (\leq CIN1 or cytology normal)
Total (N=943)	119 (35.60%)	352 (37.33%)
Unvaccinated (N= 337)	63 (18.69%)	140 (41.54%)
Vaccinated (N=606)	56 (9.47%)	212 (34.98%)

Table 1: Overview of population

Clinical performance of the HPV tests

Table 2 shows the performance of rHPV and Aptima tests in vaccinated women compared to unvaccinated women from SHEVA cohort. The sensitivity and NPV of the tests remain high but the specificity and PPV have reduced for both assays in vaccinated women.

	rTHPV Test		APTIMA HPV Test	
	Unvaccinated	Vaccinated	Unvaccinated	Vaccinated
Sensitivity	98.4 (90.3 - 99.9)	94.6 (84.2 - 98.6)	100 (92.8 - 100)	94.7 (84.4 - 98.6)
Specificity	30.0 (22.7 - 38.4)	22.7 (17.4 - 29.1)	31.4 (24.0 - 39.9)	20.3 (15.2 - 26.5)
Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	38.8 (31.3 - 46.8)	24.5 (19.1 - 30.9)	39.6 (32.1 - 47.7)	24.2 (18.9 - 30.5)
Negative Predictive Value (NPV)	97.7 (86.2 - 99.9)	94.1 (82.8 - 98.5)	100 (90.0 - 100)	94.5 (81.1 - 98.3)

Table 2: Clinical performance of HPV tests stratified by vaccination status

Conclusions

Clinically validated HPV tests maintain high sensitivity and NPV but the specificity and PPV of HPV testing as a primary screen is reduced in young vaccinated women. This has implications for the appropriate management of HPV screen-positive vaccinated women and underlines the importance of robust triage strategies.