1   *SNP Microsatellite Goldsinny wrasse* Ctenolabrus rupestris *Cleaner fish***Final manuscript version**

2

3

4

5   **TECHNICAL NOTE**

6

7

8

9

10

11   **Development of SNP and microsatellite markers for goldsinny wrasse (*Ctenolabrus***

12   ***rupestris*) from ddRAD sequencing data**

13

14

15

16   Authors: <u>Jansson E</u>.*, Taggart J.B.[#], Wehner, S. [#], Dahle G.*, Quintela M.*, Mortensen S.*,

17   Kvamme B.O.* & Glover, K. A.*[&]

18

19

20   [*]*Institute of Marine Research, 5817 Bergen, Norway*

21

22   [&] *Sea Lice Research Centre, Department of Biology, University of Bergen, Norway*

23

24   [#]*Institute of Aquaculture, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA,*

25   *United Kingdom*

26

27

28

29   Corresponding author: Eeva Jansson, eeva.jansson@imr.no

30

31

32

33   **Abstract**

34

35   Wrasse (*Labridae*) species have been used as parasite cleaners in Atlantic salmon farming

36   since the 1980s. However, their use has recently escalated, with millions now being

37   introduced into salmon cages each year. Most fish are of wild origin, their exploitation

38   potentially impacting native populations. Genetic information is urgently required to inform

39   management decisions. We identified 174 microsatellite and 149 SNP markers from ddRAD

40   sequence data. From these, 17 and 48 microsatellite and SNP markers respectively were

41   validated by genotyping 150 goldsinny wrasse collected from five locations along the

42   Norwegian and Swedish coasts. Two to 30 alleles were identified at the microsatellite loci,

43   while gene diversity (H_e) ranged 0.101–0.907. All SNP loci were biallelic, with averaged H_e

44   per locus ranging between 0.063 and 0.495.

45

47

48   The goldsinny wrasse, *Ctenolabrus rupestris* (Linnaeus 1758) is one of six Labridae species

49   inhabiting Scandinavian waters, and one of four used in commercial salmonid farms as

50   cleaner fish (Skiftesvik et al. 2015). Large-scale mixed fisheries for cleaner fish currently

51   operate in several countries. In Norway alone, over 21 million wrasses were caught in 2014

52   (~11.7 million being goldsinnies; Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries 2015,

53   www.fiskeridir.no/). Heavy fishing pressure combined with long-distance translocations

54   raises concern about the effect that these practices may have on wild populations that are both

55   potentially overfished, and receive human-mediated gene-flow. Therefore, genetic markers

56   are urgently needed to conduct genetic studies.

57   Goldsinny genomic DNA was extracted from fin tissues using the Qiagen DNeasy

58   Blood & Tissue Kit. For SNP discovery a 'standard' ddRAD library was constructed using

59   five DNA samples from each of four Norwegian populations; methodology described

60   elsewhere (Manousaki et al. 2016). For microsatellite discovery a second ddRAD library was

61   prepared using one fish from each of four populations, using more frequent cutting restriction

62   enzymes. A microsatellite enrichment step (Techen et al. 2010) was incorporated using the

63   following oligonucleotide baits $(GACA)_6$, $(GATA)_6$, $(GGAT)_6$, $(AGC)_8$, $(GGA)_8$, $(GAA)_8$,

64 $(AAT)_8$. Both libraries were sequenced as part of an Illumina MiSeq run (v2 chemistry, 160

65   base paired end reads). Stacks software (v1.27; Catchen 2013) was used to identify SNPs

66   from paired-end reads (de novo assembly; key parameters m=6, M=2, n=1). Targeted

67   microsatellites with ≥ 8 repeats were identified with spreadsheet searches.

68   Stacks analysis identified 1371 RAD loci containing one or two SNPs in at least 17 of

69   the 20 samples. Allele frequencies per population were computed and a subset of potentially

70   informative SNPs (149) selected (Supplementary material 1); i.e. having a minimum minor

71   allele frequency difference among the four populations of 0.3 and possessing suitable flanking

72   sequence for PCR assay. Similarly a list of 174 microsatellite sequences was produced

73   (Supplementary material 2), ranked by repeat number and PCR potential. From these lists 52

74   SNP and 25 microsatellite sequences were randomly selected for marker testing and

75   validation. Those markers showing promise from an initial analysis were thereafter screened

76   in an additional 150 samples collected from five sites (n = 28-33) along the Swedish and

77   Norwegian coast.

78   PCR primers for microsatellites were designed using Primer3 software (v. 2.3.4;

79   Untergrasser et al. 2012) implemented in Geneious v 9.0.4 (Biomatters). Microsatellites were

80   amplified in four multiplexes (Table 1), each multiplex (10 μl) comprising 50 ng DNA

81   template, 1 X Buffer, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM dNTPs, 0.05-2 μM each primer (Table 1) and

82   0.05 U GoTaq polymerase. PCR profiles for the different multiplexes varied only by number

83   of cycles. An initial 4 min denaturation at 94 °C, was followed by 24/25/22 cycles (groups 1-

84   2/3/4, respectively) of 50 s at 94 °C, 50 s at 58 °C, and 80 s at 72 °C, and a final extension at

85   72 °C for 10 min. Forward primers were fluorescently labelled, amplicons being screened on

86   an ABI Prism 377 Genetic Analyzer, and genotypes scored using GeneMapper v5 (Applied

87   Biosystems). SNP locus primer design, amplification and genotype calling was based on the

88   Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX Platform, as described by Gabriel et al. (2009). Selected

89   SNP loci were analyzed in two assay groups (Supplementary material 3). Seventeen of 25

90   microsatellite loci, and 48 of 52 SNP loci gave reliable polymorphic genotypes, and were

91   analyzed further.

92   Micro-Checker v.2.2.3; (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to check microsatellite

93   loci for possible null alleles, large allele drop outs and scoring errors due to stuttering. 95%

94   confidence intervals for scoring errors and null alleles were calculated with 1000

95   randomizations. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and genotypic linkage disequilibrium

96   (LD) between loci tests for all loci were performed with exact tests (Markov chain; 10000

97   dememorisations, 20 batches, 5000 iterations per batch) in Genepop (v. 4.3; Raymond &

98   Rousset 1995, Rousset 2008). Number of alleles (*A*), expected and observed heterozygosities

99   (H_e, H_o), and inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}), were calculated using GenAlEx (v. 6.5; Peakall
100 and Smouse 2006, 2012).

101   In the pooled dataset including all individuals, HWE tests showed significant deviation
102 from expectations ($P<0.05$) for four microsatellite (Table 1) and four SNP loci (Table 2).
103 However, consistent and statistically significant deviation from HWE was observed only for
104 the microsatellite locus Cru092 across all five study populations, and in three out of five
105 populations for the locus Cru081 (Supplementary material 4). These two loci were also
106 repeatedly suggested to harbour possible null alleles by the Micro-Checker analysis (Cru092
107 in all populations, and Cru081 in four out of five populations; data not shown). Nine SNP
108 locus pairs were known to be tightly linked (both SNPs in the same fragment being assayed;
109 Table 2). In addition to these, significant linkage ($P<0.0001$) was found between two
110 microsatellite marker pairs (Cru142/Cru012 and Cru016/Cru082). Microsatellite loci over all
111 samples had on average 9.494(±0.606) alleles (Table 1), and the mean H_e was 0.661(±0.028).
112 Averaged expected heterozygosity over all SNP markers and samples was 0.334(±0.009).

Table 1 Summary of 17 polymorphic microsatellite markers for goldsinny wrasse

Locus	Primers (5'-3')	Multiplex group	Primer conc. (μM)	Repeat motif	Product size (bp)	*N/A*	*He*	*Ho*	*F$_{IS}$*	HWEP
Cru007	F: TTGGTGTGAGGAGAAAGTGC-VIC	1	0.1	(GACA)n	115-211	150/23	0.888	0.913	-0.029	NS
	R: ACTCCTGCCTGTCTGTGTAT									
Cru077	F: GAATCCTACCGGTCATCAGC-PET	1	0.07	(GAA)n	131-137	150/2	0.427	0.454	-0.063	NS
	R: CTTAAAGCCCCGACGTAGAG									
Cru081	F: ATCCTCACCCTGAAGGAGAC-FAM	1	0.1	(CTT)n	172-292	149/30	0.865	0.693	0.199	***
	R: CGTTTCCAGTTCCTACCCAG									
Cru142	F: TTTAAAAAGGGCACAGGGCT-PET	1	0.2	(CCT)n	174-203	149/10	0.712	0.637	0.106	NS
	R: AATCATCTCCATCAGCAGGC									
Cru016	F: AAAAAGGAGCTGGACAGGAC-NED	2	0.15	(CTGT)n	177-277	149/24	0.907	0.832	0.083	**
	R: GATCAGGTGCTCTTGACCTG									
Cru026	F: CTACAACCTGTCGCACCTAC-FAM	2	0.1	(CTGT)n	153-165	150/4	0.205	0.23	-0.122	NS
	R: TAGGTGAGGTGTGAGACAGG									
Cru092	F: TGTGTTGTCAGTGTGTGGTT-PET	2	0.1	(CTT)n	143-177	120/14	0.748	0.374	0.500	***
	R: GGCTCAAACAGAAGCTCCTT									
Cru099	F: TTGTGTTAGCTTGTGTGCCT-VIC	2	0.05	(GGA)n	83-115	150/12	0.799	0.815	-0.020	NS
	R: TCCAACACCTCCCTCTCTTT									
Cru112	F: GAGTGTTTGGGATGGAGGTG-VIC	2	0.05	(GGA)n	189-201	150/5	0.101	0.106	-0.057	NS
	R: ACCAGAACCCAAACACATCC									
Cru012	F: GATAAAGCCGAAGAGCCCTC-FAM	3	0.1	(GACA)n	126-198	150/18	0.895	0.868	0.030	NS
	R: CGCATATGGTCATCCGTTCT									
Cru018	F: GGGGCAGTTTAAGCTAGCAA-NED	3	0.1	(CTGT)n	146-250	149/25	0.896	0.865	0.035	NS
	R: GCGTCAGTGACACCTAACA									
Cru065	F: CTGGACTCATCGCAAAGACA-PET	3	0.2	(GAA)n	102-138	149/12	0.824	0.782	0.052	NS

	R: TGACCTCGCTGATGTCAGTA									
Cru037	F: AGCCAAGGAGACAAAATGGT-VIC	4	0.07	(GATA)n	135-193	146/18	0.802	0.843	-0.050	NS
	R: AGACTGATCCAAAACAGCTACC									
Cru082	F: CCGCTTCCTTCTTCCTCTTC-VIC	4	0.2	(CTT)n	232-313	148/18	0.727	0.695	0.043	**
	R: TAGAGAGCGGGAGAGAGAGA									
Cru110	F: CAGGCCCATTAGTGTCAGAC-PET	4	0.1	(GGA)n	180-201	119/6	0.640	0.608	0.049	NS
	R: TGCATTCTGTTGTCAGCTGT									
Cru132	F: TGTGAGGGGTTCATACAGGT-FAM	4	0.1	(GGA)n	163-172	150/3	0.243	0.248	-0.021	NS
	R: AACCAACTTACAGCCAGCTC									
Cru140	F: TCTCGCATAGAGGAGTCCAG-NED	4	0.1	(CCT)n	151-169	149/6	0.566	0.589	-0.038	NS
	R: CCCCTGCTGCACATTCATAT									

N/A number of samples amplified/number of alleles, *He* expected heterozygosity, *Ho* observed heterozygosity, F_{IS} inbreeding coefficient, HWEP result for H-W equilibrium test; NS = non-significant, * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$

114

115

Table 2 Summary of 48 polymorphic SNP markers for goldsinny wrasse

Locus_SNP position	Primers (5'-3')	Allele	N	MAF	He	Ho	F_{IS}	HWEP
Locus10068_101	F:ACGTTGGATGTTATTTCAAATGCCGCCGCC	A/G	149	0.219	0.339	0.274	0.191	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGAGAGGAAAACCCCAAAACCG							
Locus10440_106	F:ACGTTGGATGTCATGATCTCAACATCCCAC	C/T	148	0.291	0.397	0.435	-0.096	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCCATATTGATACACTGATG							
Locus10844_70	F:ACGTTGGATGTTCCTTTGAGTGACTCTCCC	A/G	149	0.311	0.421	0.423	-0.005	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTCTTCCACTCACAC							
Locus10844_86	F:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTCTTCCACTCACAC	A/T	149	0.313	0.413	0.383	0.074	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTTCCTTTGAGTGACTCTCCC							
Locus10854_59	F:ACGTTGGATGGCAACCCCATTCACCTAATC	A/G	150	0.160	0.261	0.171	0.346	**
	R:ACGTTGGATGTGATATTTACTGGCTTTGC							
Locus10854_87	F:ACGTTGGATGTGATATTTACTGGCTTTGC	C/G	138	0.476	0.476	0.580	-0.219	***
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCAACCCCATTCACCTAATC							
Locus11260_41	F:ACGTTGGATGGACCAAAAAGGGTAACTGGG	C/G	149	0.352	0.455	0.474	-0.040	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCATGCTGTGAATGTTCCCTC							
Locus12300_71	F:ACGTTGGATGCGGAATATCGATAGTTTAA	A/G	150	0.479	0.488	0.558	-0.142	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTCGATACAGGGATACAAGTG							
Locus12300_108	F:ACGTTGGATGTCGATACAGGGATACAAGTG	A/T	149	0.248	0.367	0.374	-0.021	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCGGAATATCGATAGTTTAA							
Locus13412_49	F:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTCCAAGGCTTTTAATG	C/T	149	0.148	0.250	0.271	-0.084	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCCTTTCATTTATTATCTCC							
Locus13412_95	F:ACGTTGGATGCCTTTCATTTATTATCTCC	A/G	147	0.049	0.092	0.097	-0.060	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTCCAAGGCTTTTAATG							
Locus13542_71	F:ACGTTGGATGAAAAAACGTCCCTGGCAGAG	A/G	147	0.427	0.487	0.405	0.168	*
	R:ACGTTGGATGTGACAGCTAGTGATGTTACC							
Locus13594_103	F:ACGTTGGATGCCTCTCCCAGCTTCTCCTT	C/G	150	0.130	0.217	0.260	-0.196	NS

R:ACGTTGGATGCGTCAGCATGAATCTGTTTG

Locus	Primer	SNP	N					
Locus13663_99	F:ACGTTGGATGGGGTTATCTACTTTGAAATG	C/T	150	0.227	0.348	0.335	0.038	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGGATCTTCTGTGTTGACTGG							
Locus137_47	F:ACGTTGGATGTTACATATGCCTACCTCCCC	A/G	150	0.273	0.395	0.398	-0.006	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTCAAACCACCGAGGAAGAAG							
Locus13732_76	F:ACGTTGGATGGGTCACAGACTGAACACAAC	A/G	150	0.133	0.229	0.214	0.067	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGATCCCAACGATCAGAGAGTG							
Locus15371_74	F:ACGTTGGATGCAATAGAATGATTGGACTAGC	G/T	146	0.279	0.395	0.259	0.345	***
	R:ACGTTGGATGGAGGCTGGATCCCCTTTTTG							
Locus1870_72	F:ACGTTGGATGCTTCGGAGTACACGTGAGAA	G/T	148	0.388	0.474	0.402	0.153	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGAATTGTTTAGCTGGCATCC							
Locus1883_66	F:ACGTTGGATGTACCTAGAACCACGACTGAC	C/T	149	0.287	0.401	0.327	0.184	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTGCTAATGTGCTGTGGTCTC							
Locus2038_99	F:ACGTTGGATGACCTCTGGAGGCCTTTTAAC	C/G	150	0.302	0.419	0.352	0.161	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGACTGTAATTACCTGCAATC							
Locus207_103	F:ACGTTGGATGAGTGAGTCTCTGCGTGTCTG	A/G	150	0.292	0.410	0.378	0.079	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGGAAAAAGGTAGGCTAATCTC							
Locus3090_60	F:ACGTTGGATGTTATCTGATTACCCTGACGG	A/T	150	0.246	0.368	0.345	0.062	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGTTGAAGAAGAACAGGCTCC							
Locus3299_58	F:ACGTTGGATGGTTATTTATCTGTTTTATCCC	A/G	148	0.454	0.482	0.404	0.162	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTTAAAATGTCAGAGGAAAC							
Locus3299_101	F:ACGTTGGATGGTTATTTATCTGTTTTATCCC	A/T	150	0.120	0.208	0.188	0.094	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTTAAAATGTCAGAGGAAAC							
Locus3594_98	F:ACGTTGGATGCAAAGACAGCACCTATAAA	A/C	149	0.483	0.495	0.499	-0.007	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTGATTAGAACCATTTTAAAC							
Locus3684_35	F:ACGTTGGATGGTCCGCCTGTCTTGTTAACT	A/G	150	0.053	0.100	0.106	-0.065	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCAGGAGTGTGTGTTCATTTT							
Locus3684_63	F:ACGTTGGATGGTCCGCCTGTCTTGTTAACT	C/G	147	0.159	0.263	0.237	0.099	NS

8

	R:ACGTTGGATGGCAGGAGTGTGTGTTCATTTT							
Locus3836_83	F:ACGTTGGATGGCTCTGACTTAAAGTTCACG	A/G	150	0.146	0.245	0.266	-0.084	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTCATGTGTTCTACAGG							
Locus4072_41	F:ACGTTGGATGTTCAGGGCGACACAAACCTC	A/C	150	0.342	0.436	0.456	-0.045	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGAAGTCGACCTTTCCACTTCC							
Locus4263_103	F:ACGTTGGATGTGAACACTGTCAGTCCACAC	A/T	149	0.397	0.461	0.424	0.082	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCCCCTGTCAACACCATGAGA							
Locus4688_92	F:ACGTTGGATGCTGCAGTTTGTCTAAAACTTC	G/T	149	0.344	0.446	0.483	-0.082	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGTTAGTTTAGCAGCTTAGCAC							
Locus5363_67	F:ACGTTGGATGTTTGTTTATGCTGGTGTGCC	C/T	149	0.082	0.148	0.138	0.065	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCCACATTGGATGTCCAACAG							
Locus5704_64	F:ACGTTGGATGTCTGAATGTCAATGCCCCTC	A/G	150	0.234	0.314	0.361	-0.151	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGATTAAGGTCCCATGGGCTTC							
Locus605_109	F:ACGTTGGATGACAGTATGCATCACTGGCTC	G/T	150	0.447	0.479	0.477	0.004	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGAATGTAGAAGGTAGACGTG							
Locus6318_97	F:ACGTTGGATGCAGAAACTTGGAGAAGCTCG	A/G	148	0.163	0.266	0.246	0.078	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTCACTTGTATTGCTG							
Locus6440_49	F:ACGTTGGATGTCCTGGGCTTCCTCTTTCTC	A/T	142	0.166	0.274	0.290	-0.057	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCAGAGCAGCGGGAGGAGCA							
Locus6440_72	F:ACGTTGGATGCAGAGCAGCGGGAGGAGCA	A/C	148	0.128	0.217	0.201	0.073	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGTCCTGGGCTTCCTCTTTCTC							
Locus6583_45	F:ACGTTGGATGGACAGTCCTCCTACAATCAG	G/T	144	0.069	0.128	0.125	0.020	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCTCATCTGAGGAGGAACATC							
Locus6883_68	F:ACGTTGGATGAAAGATGCCATGACAGTGCC	A/G	148	0.033	0.063	0.066	-0.051	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGAGGCTGGATCCCCTTTTG							
Locus713_94	F:ACGTTGGATGGACATAAAAACTGTCCAACCC	A/T	148	0.419	0.472	0.393	0.167	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCCTACAACACAAAGTGTAACG							
Locus7167_86	F:ACGTTGGATGGTAATCGTTGCTGTGCTTTG	A/G	149	0.075	0.134	0.108	0.190	NS

	R:ACGTTGGATGATCATCGCTCAGCCGGGTTT							
Locus739_47	F:ACGTTGGATGTTACGAACCATTCTGTCCTC	G/T	150	0.258	0.379	0.368	0.027	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGGTTTGTTAGATGGTGACGC							
Locus8493_91	F:ACGTTGGATGTGATCTTTCAGCTCAGGGTC	A/T	149	0.262	0.381	0.375	0.017	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGATGGGTGGGTAAAGCAGTTG							
Locus8916_62	F:ACGTTGGATGCCGCTTGTTCTATATGATA	C/T	150	0.333	0.438	0.399	0.090	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTGATGGAGGAAGAAA							
Locus8916_102	F:ACGTTGGATGCCGCTTGTTCTATATGATA	C/T	149	0.312	0.408	0.382	0.065	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTGATGGAGGAAGAAA							
Locus9226_60	F:ACGTTGGATGAGGTGTCTTCGTCCCTTTTG	A/C	149	0.432	0.489	0.412	0.158	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGCTTTGTGACGTCTGCTCTTG							
Locus9375_79	F:ACGTTGGATGATTCTACAGGGTCAGGTTG	A/C	148	0.229	0.349	0.324	0.072	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGGGAAGATGTTTGAAACTTG							
Locus9375_108	F:ACGTTGGATGGGAAGATGTTTGAAACTTG	A/C	148	0.091	0.165	0.183	-0.107	NS
	R:ACGTTGGATGATTCTACAGGGTCAGGTTG							

N number of samples, MAF minor allele frequency, He expected heterozygosity, Ho observed heterozygosity, F_{IS} inbreeding coefficient, HWEP result for H-W equilibrium test; NS = non-significant, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001

124
125

126   **References**

127

128   Catchen J, Hohenlohe P, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko W. (2013) Stacks: an analysis tool
129   set for population genomics. Mol. Ecol. 22: 3124–3140

130

131   Gabriel S, Ziaugra L, Tabbaa D (2009) SNP Genotyping Using the Sequenom MassARRAY
132   iPLEX Platform. Curr Protoc Hum Genet 2.12.1-2.12.18

133

134   Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A et al. (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable
135   desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics
136   28(12):1647–1649

137

138   Manousaki T, Tsakogiannis A, Taggart JB et al. (2016) Exploring a non-model teleost
139   genome through RAD sequencing - Linkage mapping in Common Pandora, *Pagellus
140   erythrinus* and comparative genomic analysis. G3: Genes Genomes Genetics 6:509-519

141

142   Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
143   software for teaching and research-an update. Bioinformatics 28:2537–2539

144

145   Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
146   software for teaching and research. Mol Ecol Notes 6:288–295

147

148   Raymond R, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (Version 1.2): Population Genetics Software for
149   Exact Tests and Ecumenicism. J Hered 86(3):248–249

150

151   Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for
152   Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8(1):103–106

153

154   Skiftesvik AB, Durif CMF, Bjelland RM, Browman HI (2015) Distribution and habitat
155   preferences of five species of wrasse (Family Labridae) in a Norwegian fjord. ICES J Mar Sci
156   73(3):890–899

157

158   Techen N, Arias RS, Glynn NC, Pan Z, Khan, IA, Scheffler BE. (2010) Optimized
159   construction of microsatellite-enriched libraries. Mol Ecol Resour 10: 508–515

160

161   Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012)
162   Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40(15):e115
163
164   Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER:
165   software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol
166   Notes 4:535–538