

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Integrating Public Displays with Other Participation Methods (UbiPart Project)

CLARINVAL. Antoine

Published in: The 15th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (HARVARD):

CLARINVAL, A 2021, Integrating Public Displays with Other Participation Methods (UbiPart Project). in The 15th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science. International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science, 11/05/21.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Integrating Public Displays with Other Participation Methods (UbiPart Project)

Antoine Clarinval¹

Namur Digital Institute, University of Namur, Belgium antoine.clarinval@unamur.be

1 Research Objective

The emerging participative orientation of smart cities [4] has lead to the implementation of various participation methods to include citizens in decisionmaking [6]. They range from traditional such as workshops to innovative approaches supported by technology such as online platforms that allow citizens to submit ideas and vote for them with the expectation that the most popular ones will be implemented by the government. Recently, public displays (i.e. interfaces deployed in the public space to be accessible by any passerby [7] have been used as participation method [2]. These devices possess qualities that are desirable in the context of citizen participation such as the ability to be interacted with by several citizens at a time, therefore fostering discussion [1], and their deployment in the urban space, thus being able to contextualize content that concerns its location [7]. Furthermore, public displays are exempt from a limitation faced by the other participation methods. With these, citizens have to make a step forward to have the opportunity to participate (e.g. login to an online platform, attend a scheduled meeting), implying that it is challenging to attract citizens who are not already engaged in participation. On the contrary, citizens encounter public displays without explicitly looking for them, and can thus be offered a direct opportunity to participate.

However, while comparing the advantages of participation methods is interesting, the reality is that citizen participation is implemented by several methods that need to be articulated together thoughtfully. While too many concurrent methods might overburden citizens and discourage them from participating altogether, combining methods can prove valuable. Such complementarity could consist in using the results of one method to fuel another (e.g. a civic hackathon fueled by citizens' input from an online platform and social media [5]), or in alleviating the limitations of one method with another (e.g. complete a consultation on social media with a mail consultation to reach citizens who are not on social media). Therefore, when proposing a new participation method, it is essential to study how it can integrate efficiently with the others. In other terms, what are the synergies between this method and the others already put in place that can add value? This question remains unstudied for public displays [2], and is the focus of the UbiPart Project.

2 Project Steps and Expected Outputs

The first step of the Ubipart project would be to study the literature to identify potential complementarities with public displays. For example, a study found that public displays can attract much more citizens than online platforms but are less suited to collect rich data [3], thus suggesting interesting complementarities with online platforms. This first step leads to the identification of candidate methods to be combined with public displays. Then, for each method, a public display prototype would be developed to have a testable implementation of the pair. To be complementary to an online platform, a public display, performing well at conveying information to a large audience and collecting simple data, could show a visual overview of the ideas on a public display and allow voting. The display would also serve as advertisement for the participation platform and redirect citizens interested to contribute a richer feedback, since public displays are not suited to collect detailed data. Finally, following the practices of research on public displays, the prototype would be evaluated through a field study [2]. This process, exemplified with the online participation method, can be repeated for each method identified early in the project.

The Ubipart project is still at an elaboration stage, this paper being the first attempt to formalize it. The project requires expertise in citizen participation, development, and user studies. In order to ensure that several prototypes can be developed in an iterative way, the project should employ two researchers over two years. Regarding its output, the Ubipart project aims at a twofold contribution. First, a contribution for research lies in the synergies identified on which other researchers can build. Second, the developed and tested public displays can be reused by governments and thus constitute contributions for practice.

References

- 1. H. Brignull and Y. Rogers. Enticing people to interact with large public displays in public spaces. In *Proceedings of the 9th IFIP TC13 International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, volume 3, pages 17–24, 2003.
- A. Clarinval, A. Simonofski, B. Vanderose, and B. Dumas. Public displays and citizen participation: a systematic literature review and research agenda. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print), 2020.
- 3. J. Goncalves, S. Hosio, Y. Liu, and V. Kostakos. Eliciting situated feedback: A comparison of paper, web forms and public displays. *Displays*, 35(1):27–37, 2014.
- R. G. Hollands. Will the real smart city please stand up? intelligent, progressive or entrepreneurial? *City*, 12(3):303–320, 2008.
- A. Simonofski, V. A. de Sousa, A. Clarinval, and B. Vanderose. Participation in hackathons: A multi-methods view on motivators, demotivators and citizen participation. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science, pages 229–246, 2020.
- A. Simonofski, M. Snoek, and B. Vanderose. Co-creating e-government services: An empirical analysis of participation methods in belgium. In M. P. Rodriguez Bolivar, editor, Setting Foundations for the Creation of Public Value in Smart Cities. 2019.
- A. Vande Moere and D. Hill. Designing for the situated and public visualization of urban data. Journal of Urban Technology, 19(2):25–46, 2012.