## Composite domain walls in flat nanomagnets: The magnetostatic limit

H. Youk, G.-W. Chern, K. Merit, B. Oppenheimer, and O. Tchernyshyov<sup>a)</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

(Presented on 31 October 2005; published online 20 April 2006)

We discuss the structure of the so-called "vortex" domain walls in soft magnetic nanoparticles. A wall of this kind is a composite object consisting of three elementary topological defects: two edge defects with winding numbers -1/2 and a vortex with a winding number +1 between them. We provide a qualitative model accounting for the energetics of such a domain wall. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2167049]

Magnetic nanoparticles generate considerable interest as prospective building blocks for nonvolatile random-access memory.<sup>1</sup> Storing of information bits is made possible by the existence of two (or more) stable magnetic configurations. Switching between the two stable states can be achieved by applying an external magnetic field or by injecting current. In either case the switching process proceeds through the formation of complex transient patterns of magnetization.<sup>2</sup> Building fast and reliable magnetic memory thus requires a thorough understanding of magnetization dynamics in these nanomagnets.

In magnetic nanoparticles with the geometry of strips and rings the switching creates domains in which the magnetization is forced by the magnetostatic forces to be parallel to the edge. These domains grow and shrink at the expense of one another until one of them occupies the entire sample.<sup>2,3</sup> This process can also be viewed as the *creation*, *propagation*, and *annihilation* of domain walls. Thus the question of local stability and dynamics of the magnetic configurations can be answered by studying the static and dynamic properties of domain walls.

Domain walls in submicron rings and strips have a considerably complex structure. For instance, McMichael and Donahue<sup>4</sup> have observed, among others, configurations termed "transverse" and "vortex" domain walls. In a previous paper<sup>5</sup> we pointed out that the transverse walls are composite objects built from two elementary topological defects. In the limit where exchange interaction is the dominant force (thin and narrow strips or rings), the two elementary defects are vortices with fractional winding numbers +1/2 and -1/2; these defects are confined to the edges because of their fractional topological charges. These edge defects were discussed by Moser<sup>6</sup> and Kurzke.<sup>7</sup>

In this paper we analyze the structure of domain walls in a strip in a different limit where the dominant forces are magnetostatic. This limit, in which both the thickness and width of a strip exceed the exchange length  $\lambda = \sqrt{A/\mu_0 M_0^2}^8$  is relevant to the ongoing experimental studes.<sup>2,3</sup> The nonlocal nature of the dipolar interactions<sup>8</sup> makes the analysis considerably more difficult. Valuable information concerning the global structure of a domain wall is provided by topological considerations.<sup>5</sup> Under very general circumstances, a domain wall in a nanostrip is a composite object containing several elementary topological defects, some of which reside in the bulk and others at the edge. The topology restricts possible compositions of a domain wall, thus providing a basis for selecting appropriate trial states.

In a companion paper<sup>9</sup> we have identified three elementary topological defects that survive the transition from the exchange limit to the magnetostatic regime: the vortex (winding number n = +1), the antivortex (n = -1), and one of the edge defects (n=-1/2). Bare-bones versions of these defects can be constructed using van den Berg's method<sup>10</sup> wherein the exchange energy is initially neglected and the magnetostatic energy is minimized absolutely by preventing the appearance of magnetic charge  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}$ . (The meat can be grown by including the exchange interaction perturbatively.) Only the vortex retains its original shape; the antivortex morphs into a cross tie (two intersecting 90° Néel walls); the -1/2 defect looks like a cross tie pinned at the edge. The +1/2 edge defect likely has a high magnetostatic energy. We have also shown<sup>9</sup> that the simplest domain wall in this limit is expected to contain two -1/2 edge defects and a vortex. We next discuss the structure of a domain wall in this limit.

A head-to-head domain wall carries a fixed nonzero amount of magnetic charge  $(2M_0tw)$  in a strip of width w), with a finite density in the bulk,  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M} \neq 0$ , or at the film edge,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{M} \neq 0$  (or, most likely, both). Therefore van den Berg's method is not, strictly speaking, applicable. Nonetheless, an examination of the detailed structure of a vortex wall (bottom panel of Fig. 1)<sup>4</sup> shows that it indeed contains two -1/2 edge defects and a +1 vortex in the middle. The edge defects share one of their Néel walls; the vortex resides at its middle point.

In what follows we consider a model of the vortex domain wall that is free of *bulk* magnetic charge. Thus all of the charge  $2M_0tw$  is expelled to the edges. Under this restriction it is possible to construct a vortex domain wall by piecing together the vortex and two -1/2 edge defects, as shown in Fig. 1. The resulting structure contains domains with uniform and curling magnetization. In a strip |y| < w/2 with the shared Néel wall x=y and the vortex core at (v, v), the two curling domains in the regions  $\pm v < \pm y < w/2$  are separated by parabolic Néel walls  $(x-v)^2 = (2y\pm w)(2v\pm w)$  from domains with horizontal magnetization; they also merge seam-

0021-8979/2006/99(8)/08B101/3/\$23.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: olegt@jhu.edu



FIG. 1. Top: a magnetization configuration free of bulk magnetic charges,  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M} = 0$ , and containing two -1/2 edge defects and a +1 vortex in the middle. Parabolic segments of Néel walls are shown by dashed lines. Bottom: a head-to-head vortex wall obtained in a micromagnetic simulation using OOMMF (Ref. 11) in a permalloy strip of width w = 500 nm and thickness t = 20 nm.

lessly with other uniform domains along the lines x = v and y = v.

This trial state exaggerates the accumulation of surface magnetic charge, thereby overestimating the normal component of magnetization  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{M}$  at the edge. Nonetheless it captures the major features of a vortex domain wall.

The construction of a domain wall out of the three defects is not unique and has (at least) one degree of freedom: the vortex can be placed anywhere along the shared Néel wall x=y. When the vortex core reaches the edge, it is absorbed by the -1/2 edge defect. Their fusion creates an edge defect with the winding number +1-1/2=+1/2 (Fig. 2). As can be seen from the figure, the +1/2 defect is rather extended (length 2w) and, in accordance with our previous remarks, contains magnetic charge (all of it at the edge in this model). This structure is topologically equivalent to the transverse domain wall in the exchange limit,<sup>5</sup> where both edge defects are pointlike. The transverse walls observed in the experiments<sup>3</sup> and simulations<sup>4</sup> are midway between these two extremes: the +1/2 defect definitely has a wider core, although its extent is less than 2w.

To determine the equilibrium configuration of the composite wall we computed the total energy of the composite wall and minimized it with respect to the vertical coordinate v of the vortex. The energy is the sum of the following terms.

The magnetostatic energy coming from the Coulomblike interaction of the magnetic charges spreads along the



FIG. 2. Top: a model vortex wall with the vortex absorbed by the edge. Bottom: a transverse wall observed in a numerical simulation (Permalloy, w=80 nm, t=20 nm).



FIG. 3. Energy of the vortex domain wall as a function of the vortex position v at a fixed strip width w=50 nm for several thicknesses t.

edges with the line densities  $\lambda_{1,2} = t\mathbf{M} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2} = \pm tM_0 \sin \theta$  for the upper and lower edges, respectively. It includes the interaction of magnetic charges on the same edge and on different edges:

$$E_{ii} = \frac{\mu_0}{8\pi} \int \frac{\lambda_i(x)\lambda_i(x')}{|x-x'|} dx dx',$$

$$E_{ij} = \frac{\mu_0}{8\pi} \int \frac{\lambda_i(x)\lambda_j(x')}{\sqrt{w^2 + (x-x')^2}} dx dx'.$$
(1a)

The total magnetostatic energy  $\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} E_{ij}$  is of the order  $Aw(t^2/\lambda^2)\log(w/t)$ . It represents the dominant contribution in sufficiently wide and thick strips.

The energy of the Néel walls can be computed as a line integral

$$E_{\text{walls}} = t \int \sigma(\ell) d\ell, \qquad (1b)$$

where  $d\ell$  is a line element of the wall. The wall surface tension  $\sigma$  depends on the angle of rotation across the wall, which stays at 90° along straight segments and varies along parabolic ones. See Ref. 9 for details of the calculation. This term is of the order  $Atw/\lambda$ .

The exchange energy

$$E_{\text{exchange}} = At \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \theta)^2 dx dy, \qquad (1c)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the area around the vortex where magnetization curles. This term is of the order  $At \log(w/\lambda)$ .

An investigation of the phase diagram and a quantitative comparison of this model with the numerical and experimental results is currently under way. In what follows we report some preliminary findings.

The evolution of the energy curve E(v) at a fixed width w and varying thickness t is shown in Fig. 3. For substantially wide and thick strips, the one and only minimum of energy is achieved with the vortex in the middle of the strip, in agreement with numerical simulations.<sup>4</sup>

As the cross section decreases, a local minimum develops with the vortex core at the edge of the strip. In this configuration (Fig. 2) the vortex and the -1/2 edge defect have merged to form an extended +1/2 edge defect. The configuration is highly reminiscent of the transverse wall<sup>4</sup> that is known to coexist with the vortex wall over a range of cross sections.<sup>3</sup> The transverse wall becomes a global minimum of energy when the cross section becomes small enough. The vortex wall remains locally stable until it becomes a local maximum of energy.

[The reader should note that the curve for thickness t = 1 nm shown in Fig. 3 is only an extrapolation: the energetics of the Néel wall in very thin films is a nonlocal problem<sup>8</sup> to which our estimate based on Eq. (4) in the companion paper<sup>9</sup> does not apply. Nonetheless, the overall trend reflected by the shape of the curve is correct: in the exchange limit domain walls containing three defects are locally unstable.]

In addition to these two wall configurations, which have been previously discussed in the literature, we have found two metastable states that correspond to the local minima of energy E(v). One of them has the vortex core rather close to but not exactly at the edge (top panel of Fig. 4). We have observed domain walls of this kind in numerical simulations (bottom panel of Fig. 4). The other metastable state occurs when the energy curve E(v) has two symmetric minima around v=0. This is a vortex wall with the vortex core slightly off center. Because the off-center minima are rather shallow it may be difficult to observe such states in practice: even slight imperfections of the nanoparticle can change the potential landscape E(v).

The simple model of a domain wall in the magnetostatic limit presented in this paper shows a qualitative agreement with the observations. Its quantitative comparison with the available experimental and numerical data is in progress. At a minimum, the model provides an insight into the nature of the vortex domain walls in a regime relevant to the experi-



FIG. 4. Top: a model vortex wall with the vortex near the edge. Bottom: a similar configuration observed in a numerical simulation (Permalloy, w = 200 nm, t=20 nm).

ments. It corroborates our earlier suggestion<sup>5</sup> that domain walls in nanostrips can be viewed as composite objects, which may be helpful in understanding their dynamical properties.

We thank C.-L. Chien, J.-G. Zhu, and F. Q. Zhu for discussions. This work was supported in part by the NSF Grant No. DMR05-20491.

- <sup>1</sup>J.-G. Zhu, Y. Zheng, and G. A. Prinz, J. Appl. Phys. 87, 6668 (2000).
- <sup>2</sup>F. Q. Zhu, D. Fan, X. Zhu, J.-G. Zhu, R. C. Cammarata, and C.-L. Chien, Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.) **16**, 2155 (2004).
- <sup>3</sup>M. Kläui *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 134426 (2003).
- <sup>4</sup>R. D. McMichael and M. J. Donahue, IEEE Trans. Magn. **33**, 4167 (1997).
- <sup>5</sup>O. Tchernyshyov and G.-W. Chern, e-print cond-mat/0506744.
- <sup>o</sup>R. Moser, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 174, 267 (2004).
- <sup>7</sup>M. Kurzke, Calculus Var. Partial Differ. Equ. (to be published) 2006.
- <sup>8</sup>A. Hubert and R. Schaefer, *Magnetic Domains* (Springer, New York, 1998).
- <sup>9</sup>G.-W. Chern, H. Youk, and O. Tchernyshyov, J. Appl. Phys. (these Proceedings); e-print cond-mat/0508740.
- <sup>10</sup>H. A. M. van den Berg, J. Appl. Phys. **60**, 1104 (1986).
- <sup>11</sup>M. J. Donahue and D. G. Porter, *Interagency Report No.* NISTIR 6376 (NIST, Gaithersburg, 1999); OOMMF User's guide, Version 1.0; http:// math.nist.gov/oommf.

Journal of Applied Physics is copyrighted by the American Institute of Physics (AIP). Redistribution of journal material is subject to the AIP online journal license and/or AIP copyright. For more information, see http://ojps.aip.org/japo/japor/jsp