

**The relationship between and the characteristics  
of computing competence and confidence  
in undergraduate students of nursing**

**CAROLE FERN TODHUNTER**

**M.A., B.Sc(Hons)., P.G.Dip. Ed., R.N., R.H.V., R.M.**

**Thesis submitted to The University Of Nottingham  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**May 2014**

## **Abstract**

The aim of this research was to understand the relationship between and the characteristics of computing competence and confidence in student nurses. The evolvement of ICT, computers and computing has influenced human interaction with each other and with these resources. Computing as one aspect of ICT reflects the technical interface that student nurses will encounter during their studies and elsewhere. The absence of a dominant paradigm in the literature on ICT, computers and computing influenced the choice of two sequential exploratory quantitative and explanatory qualitative studies. Data for the first study were collected through survey using a postal questionnaire, from a volunteer sample of first and second year student nurses between July 2008 and April 2009. N = 375, representing 18.75% of first and second year undergraduates in a School of Nursing. A Principal Components Analysis gave five underpinning components. These influenced the second study. This was a recording of simultaneous concurrent think-aloud commentary and behaviours of 19 volunteer first, second and third year student nurses working in small groups on a computing activity, between October and December 2010. Protocol Analysis was used to examine a computing task outcomes and concurrent think-aloud comments. The results and findings showed a complex relationship between competence and confidence in this context. The evidence revealed that these students wanted a combination of teaching and social learning approaches. In both studies

confidence had a high representation. In the second study this became evident where collaboration and social learning in small groups clearly influenced confidence and competence. Research originality and its contribution to nursing lie first in the use of an innovative combination of methods. Secondly, the grouping and exploration of a range of subtle and seemingly unremarkable phenomena gave unique insight into how student nurses develop computing competence and confidence, not examined elsewhere. Significantly the two studies revealed differing levels of ability within and across the academic year groups. The findings show that attention to the social and psychological aspects of learning is crucial for skill and confidence development. Students would benefit from a bespoke range of approaches to suit their individual needs. This requires a balanced response between ongoing assessment of individual needs and proactive teaching and learning provision. Transferable to a wider setting, this research adds to the current understanding of ICT and computer related teaching and learning in nurse education.

## **Acknowledgements**

My fantastic supervisors, Professor Heather Wharrad and Dr. Stephen Timmons.

My wonderful family, John, John and Joseph Todhunter.

My supportive colleagues at The University of Nottingham School of Health Sciences (formerly School of Nursing, Midwifery and Physiotherapy) and the student nurses who kindly volunteered to participate in the research. Without their participation none of this would have been possible.

## Contents

### Chapter One Background

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 1.1   | The research context   | 1  |
| 1.2   | The origins of the research idea   | 2  |
| 1.3   | Definitions of ICT, computers and computing  | 5  |
| 1.3.1 | ICT  | 5  |
| 1.3.2 | The computer   | 9  |
| 1.3.3 | Computing  | 10 |
| 1.4   | Learning about ICT and learning using ICT  | 11 |
| 1.4.1 | Learning about ICT   | 12 |
| 1.4.2 | Learning using ICT   | 13 |
| 1.5   | How do these experiences support the development of computing competence and confidence?                         | 18 |
| 1.6   | ICT in health care and nursing   | 19 |
| 1.7   | Why not look at qualified nurses as computer users?  | 24 |
| 1.8   | ICT in nurse education   | 26 |
| 1.9   | What is different about the computing competence and confidence of student nurses compared to registered nurses? | 31 |
| 1.10  | Is this the picture for other computer user groups?  | 34 |
| 1.11  | Why am I interested in student nurses as computer users?   | 38 |
| 1.12  | What is unique about this group of learners?   | 39 |
| 1.13  | Why does this research matter?   | 40 |
| 1.14  | Organisation of the thesis   | 42 |
| 1.15  | Conclusion   | 43 |

### Chapter Two The Literature Review

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.1   | Introduction  | 44 |
| 2.2   | Search strategy and the inclusion criteria  | 45 |
| 2.3   | Competence  | 48 |
| 2.4   | Confidence  | 55 |
| 2.4.1 | Self confidence   | 56 |
| 2.4.2 | Item specific confidence  | 57 |
| 2.5   | Computing competence and confidence in student nurses   | 61 |
| 2.6   | Instruments and measures used to assess computing competence and confidence in student nurses | 66 |
| 2.7   | Computing competence and confidence in other groups   | 72 |
| 2.7.1 | Gender and computing competence and confidence  | 74 |
| 2.8   | Instruments and measures used to explore computing competence and confidence in other groups  | 77 |
| 2.9   | Gaps in the literature  | 83 |

|                                  |  |     |
|----------------------------------|--|-----|
| 2.10                             | The research questions   | 87  |
| 2.11                             | Conclusion   | 88  |
| <b>Chapter Three Methodology</b> |  |     |
| 3.1                              | Introduction   | 90  |
| 3.2                              | Why mixed methods for this research?   | 90  |
| 3.3                              | Ethical approval   | 96  |
| 3.3.1                            | Coercion   | 96  |
| 3.3.2                            | Consent  | 97  |
| 3.3.3                            | Anonymity  | 97  |
| 3.3.4                            | Confidentiality  | 97  |
|                                  | <b>Study One</b>   | 98  |
| 3.4                              | Aims   | 98  |
| 3.5                              | Method   | 98  |
| 3.5.1                            | Participants   | 98  |
| 3.5.2                            | Design decisions   | 99  |
| 3.5.3                            | Instrument decisions   | 101 |
| 3.5.4                            | The structure and content of the questionnaire   | 102 |
| 3.5.5                            | Demographic information as categorical variables   | 102 |
| 3.5.6                            | Items for measuring competence   | 103 |
| 3.5.7                            | Items for measuring confidence   | 108 |
| 3.5.8                            | Scale and level of measurement   | 112 |
| 3.6                              | Validity and reliability   | 113 |
| 3.6.1                            | Face validity  | 113 |
| 3.6.2                            | Construct validity   | 114 |
| 3.6.3                            | Cronbach's alpha coefficient as a measure of reliability                                 | 115 |
| 3.7                              | Procedure  | 118 |
| 3.7.1                            | Recruitment of subjects  | 118 |
| 3.7.2                            | Research administration and management   | 120 |
| 3.7.3                            | The choice of statistical software and the creation of codes and data files              | 121 |
| 3.8                              | Analysis   | 123 |
| 3.8.1                            | Exploration of ordinal data using histograms   | 126 |
| 3.8.2                            | Methodological reasons for choosing Principal Component Analysis                         | 126 |
| 3.8.3                            | Generic application of Principal Components Analysis                                     | 129 |
| 3.8.4                            | Sample size  | 130 |
| 3.8.5                            | Reliability of Principal Components Analysis   | 132 |
| 3.8.6                            | Multicollinearity and singularity  | 132 |
| 3.8.7                            | Communality values   | 133 |
| 3.8.8                            | Factorability  | 134 |
| 3.8.9                            | Factor regression scores   | 135 |
| 3.8.10                           | Kaiser Meyer Olkin measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity        | 138 |
| 3.8.11                           | Reducing the variables down to components  | 138 |
| 3.8.12                           | Decisions about how many components to retain using the Scree Test and Parallel Analysis | 141 |
| 3.8.13                           | Rotation   | 143 |
| 3.8.14                           | Interpretation of the rotated components   | 145 |
| 3.8.15                           | Simple structure   | 146 |

|         |  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
| 3.8.16  | Summary of the decisions and outcomes for Principal Component Analysis   | 146 |
|         | <b>Study Two</b>   | 147 |
| 3.9     | Influences from the first study  | 148 |
| 3.10    | Aim  | 148 |
| 3.11    | Method   | 149 |
| 3.11.1  | Identification of participants for the second study  | 150 |
| 3.11.2  | Recruitment of participants, research administration and management  | 151 |
| 3.11.3  | Challenges   | 152 |
| 3.11.4  | Ethical considerations and the new study population  | 153 |
| 3.11.5  | Organisation of the overall study population   | 153 |
| 3.11.6  | Data collection and management for the new heterogeneous group   | 155 |
| 3.11.7  | Responses to the paired statements   | 155 |
| 3.11.8  | Rejection of participant observation and the selection of structured observational analysis – concurrent think aloud and protocol analysis | 158 |
| 3.11.9  | Variants of think-aloud and protocol analysis  | 160 |
| 3.11.10 | Application of concurrent think-aloud and protocol analysis  | 161 |
| 3.11.11 | Strengths of concurrent think-aloud and protocol analysis  | 163 |
| 3.11.12 | Limitations of concurrent think-aloud and protocol analysis  | 164 |
| 3.11.13 | Sample size for concurrent think-aloud   | 166 |
| 3.12    | Procedure  | 167 |
| 3.12.1  | Defining the test object for the computing task  | 167 |
| 3.12.2  | The task conditions  | 169 |
| 3.12.3  | Coding schemes for the task  | 170 |
| 3.12.4  | Defining the behaviours and events in the coding scheme  | 172 |
| 3.13    | Using the Observer XT software to manage, organise and analyze the evidence  | 176 |
| 3.14    | Research accuracy and credibility  | 177 |
| 3.14.1  | Researcher distance  | 177 |
| 3.14.2  | Transparency   | 178 |
| 3.14.3  | Transferability  | 179 |
| 3.14.4  | Reflexivity and my influence on the think-aloud method   | 181 |
| 3.14.5  | Descriptive content and interpretive validity  | 185 |
| 3.14.6  | Challenges to interpretive validity  | 186 |
| 3.15    | Organising and managing the filming activity   | 187 |
| 3.16    | Pilot study  | 188 |
| 3.16.1  | Evaluation of the pilot study  | 191 |
| 3.16.2  | Coding and validity issues emerging from the pilot study   | 193 |
| 3.16.3  | Application of the software to the pilot study   | 195 |
| 3.17    | The main study   | 196 |
| 3.18    | Adaptation of the first level of coding, the protocol segments   | 197 |
| 3.19    | Using the software to manage and present the evidence  | 199 |
| 3.20    | The creation of protocols from the event logs  | 202 |
| 3.21    | The creation of themes from the verbal protocols   | 204 |
| 3.22    | Conclusion   | 205 |

## Chapter Four Results

|       |  |     |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.1   | Introduction   | 207 |
|       | <b>Study One</b>   | 207 |
| 4.2   | Demographics   | 207 |
| 4.2.1 | The use of a computer for previous activity                              | 209 |
| 4.2.2 | Previous use of a computer categorized by age                            | 210 |
| 4.2.3 | Formal study undertaken in computing knowledge and skills                | 211 |
| 4.2.4 | Previous formal study categorized by age groupings                       | 212 |
| 4.2.5 | Histograms   | 214 |
| 4.2.6 | Overview of the histograms   | 240 |
| 4.3   | Principal Components Analysis  | 240 |
| 4.3.1 | Distribution of the data - R-matrix correlations                         | 240 |
| 4.3.2 | Reliability of PCA   | 242 |
| 4.3.3 | Factor extraction  | 242 |
| 4.3.4 | The Scree Test and Parallel Analysis                                     | 244 |
| 4.3.5 | Rotation   | 246 |
| 4.3.6 | Pattern matrix   | 246 |
| 4.3.7 | Structure matrix   | 247 |
| 4.3.8 | Application of Cronbach's Alpha to the resulting components              | 248 |
| 4.4   | Component characteristics  | 249 |
| 4.4.1 | Component One: Opinions about computing confidence                       | 249 |
| 4.4.2 | Component Two: Opinions about peer support and preferred learning styles | 252 |
| 4.4.3 | Component Three: Opinions about using computer 'Help' functions          | 254 |
| 4.4.4 | Component Four: Opinions about taught provision                          | 256 |
| 4.4.5 | Component Five: Opinions about using hard copy Help manuals              | 258 |
| 4.4.6 | Geometric interpretation of the components                               | 260 |
| 4.5   | Summary of the results   | 262 |
| 4.6   | Limitations of the first study   | 264 |
|       | <b>Study Two</b>   | 267 |
| 4.7   | The characteristics of the participants                                  | 267 |
| 4.8   | The emergence of the second level protocols and themes                   | 269 |
| 4.9   | Output for description of behaviours and concurrent commentary           | 271 |
| 4.9.1 | Group one verbal protocols   | 271 |
| 4.9.2 | Group two verbal protocols   | 275 |
| 4.9.3 | Group three verbal protocols   | 279 |
| 4.9.4 | Group four verbal protocols  | 282 |
| 4.9.5 | Group five verbal protocols  | 284 |
| 4.9.6 | Group six verbal protocols   | 288 |
| 4.9.7 | Group seven verbal protocols   | 291 |
| 4.10  | Group timings  | 293 |
| 4.11  | Number of total and extracted verbal protocols                           | 296 |
| 4.12  | The emergence of the initial themes from the second level of protocols   | 298 |
| 4.13  | Limitations of the second study  | 299 |
| 4.14  | Conclusion   | 300 |



|        |  |     |
|--------|--|-----|
|        | <b>Chapter Five Discussion and recommendations</b>   |     |
| 5.1    | Introduction   | 302 |
|        | <b>Study One</b>   | 305 |
| 5.2    | Themes emerging from the Principal Components Analysis and the histograms  | 305 |
| 5.2.1  | Theme 1 Student nurses' working independently as computer users  | 306 |
| 5.2.2  | Theme 2 Peer support and interconnected personal learning experiences  | 308 |
| 5.2.3  | Theme 3 The usefulness of online and paper Help manuals  | 310 |
| 5.2.4  | Theme 4 The influence of taught provision on computing competence and confidence   | 312 |
|        | <b>Study Two</b>   | 315 |
| 5.3    | The emerging issues from and the dimensions of the Protocol Analysis   | 315 |
| 5.3.1  | Visual analyses and protocols  | 315 |
| 5.3.2  | Confidence   | 317 |
| 5.3.3  | Under-confidence   | 319 |
| 5.4    | Timings and completion of the task   | 320 |
| 5.5    | Discussion of the overall findings from the Protocol Analysis  | 321 |
| 5.5.1  | Social dimension   | 323 |
| 5.5.2  | Collaborative dimension  | 325 |
| 5.5.3  | Emotional dimension  | 329 |
| 5.6    | <b>Synthesis of the combined findings</b>  | 332 |
| 5.7    | Examination of observable, unobservable and latent phenomena   | 333 |
| 5.7.1  | Observable phenomena   | 334 |
| 5.7.2  | Unobservable phenomena   | 339 |
| 5.7.3  | Latent phenomena   | 342 |
| 5.8    | The circumstances that trigger computing competence and confidence   | 345 |
| 5.8.1  | Confident behaviour and the value of peer support  | 345 |
| 5.8.2  | Dwelling on emotions   | 346 |
| 5.8.3  | The difference between being relieved and being pleased because of being correct   | 347 |
| 5.8.4  | The use of language related to problem solving skills  | 348 |
| 5.8.5  | The use of transferable skills and experiences   | 348 |
| 5.8.6  | Reactions to making mistakes   | 349 |
| 5.8.7  | Assumptions about year group and computing competence and confidence   | 350 |
| 5.8.8  | The right mix within student groups  | 350 |
| 5.8.9  | Using knowledge and skills from previous ICT study   | 351 |
| 5.9    | How would we recognize a student nurse who works using an optimum level of computing competence and confidence?  | 352 |
| 5.10   | Would it be possible to develop a self-assessment tool to allow students to gauge whether they were likely to be over or under confident or competent? | 353 |
| 5.11   | Would it be possible to develop guidelines for working with students who fall into the different categories outlined?                                  | 357 |
| 5.11.1 | Guidelines for working with under-confident and under-competent students   | 357 |
| 5.11.2 | Guidelines for working with confident and competent students   | 359 |
| 5.12   | Would it be possible to develop guidelines for online Help characteristics that would support learning?  | 360 |

|         |  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
| 5.13    | Could better guidelines be developed for practice environments?  | 362 |
| 5.13.1  | The NMC proficiency "Interpret and utilize data and technology, taking account of legal, ethical and safety considerations in the delivery and enhancement of care." (NMC, 2007, p.8). | 363 |
| 5.13.2  | A clear understanding of the influence of ICT on practice and the important role of the practitioner   | 364 |
| 5.13.3  | Information about accessibility to University resources that would support ICT and computer use in practice areas  | 364 |
| 5.13.4  | Open ICT access to University resources for all practice partners  | 365 |
| 5.13.5  | Support and assistance for mentor learning   | 365 |
| 5.13.6  | Practitioners' competences in the use of ICT and computer-related tools for teaching and learning  | 366 |
| 5.13.7  | Access to computing and ICT resources and knowledge that reflect contemporary nursing practice   | 366 |
| 5.13.8  | Language and visual aids that reflect the level of teaching and learning   | 366 |
| 5.13.9  | Assessments that reflect the knowledge and skills of the student nurse as a computer user and not the registered practitioner  | 367 |
| 5.13.10 | Opportunities to access a range of computer based activities that directly and indirectly support practice   | 367 |
| 5.14    | What are the implications of these findings for practice?  | 368 |
| 5.15    | What overall recommendations should be made?   | 369 |
| 5.16    | Dissemination of these findings  | 374 |
| 5.17    | Links to future research   | 374 |
| 5.18    | Conclusion   | 376 |
|         | <b>References</b>  | 382 |
|         | <b>Figures</b>   |     |
| 1.      | Seven Stages of Learning (Dreyfus and Dreyfus, 1986; Dreyfus, 2001)  | 51  |
| 2.      | Demographic questions  | 103 |
| 3.      | Items for measuring competence   | 107 |
| 4.      | Items for measuring confidence   | 111 |
| 5.      | Reverse coded items for Cronbach's Alpha   | 117 |
| 6.      | Normal distribution curve illustrating frequency of scores from the paired statements  | 151 |
| 7.      | Organisation of the overall study population   | 154 |
| 8.      | Computing task information   | 169 |
| 9.      | Preliminary codes for observations   | 175 |
| 10.     | Outline of the environment for a pilot of the concurrent think-aloud method  | 190 |
| 11.     | Revised first level coding scheme for protocol segments  | 194 |
| 12.     | Reconfigured coding scheme showing revised first level protocol segments in The Observer XT  | 199 |
| 13.     | Example of predefined values in the Observer XT  | 201 |
| 14.     | Section from an event log  | 202 |
| 15.     | Age groupings within the cohort  | 208 |
| 16.     | The use of a computer for previous activity  | 209 |
| 17.     | Previous use of a computer categorized by age  | 211 |
| 18.     | Formal study undertaken in computing knowledge and skills  | 212 |
| 19.     | Previous formal study categorized by age groupings   | 213 |
| 20.     | Learning computing skills through experimenting  | 214 |
| 21.     | Learning skills by watching other people   | 215 |
| 22.     | Learning computing skills by listening to others   | 215 |
| 23.     | Learning computing skills through talking to and by asking others  | 216 |

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 24. | The usefulness of the computer Help function  | 217 |
| 25. | The usefulness of computer manuals  | 217 |
| 26. | The University's taught computing sessions  | 218 |
| 27. | Some aspects of the computer will always be the same irrespective of the activity   | 219 |
| 28. | Using a computer to search for academic literature  | 219 |
| 29. | Using a computer to complete assignments  | 220 |
| 30. | Using a computer has assisted in the management and organisation of studies   | 221 |
| 31. | Improvement in computer skills since commencement of nursing studies  | 221 |
| 32. | Being asked for help by others if they have a computing problem   | 222 |
| 33. | Being a complete beginner when it comes to using a computer   | 223 |
| 34. | Being able to work quickly through computing activities that are familiar   | 223 |
| 35. | Guessing or using intuition when working through a computing activity   | 224 |
| 36. | Being able to complete certain computing activities without having to think about them  | 225 |
| 37. | Being able to work through most computing activities in the School  | 225 |
| 38. | Having an excellent grasp of computing activities related to nursing studies  | 226 |
| 39. | Not thinking about level of confidence during the initial computing experiences   | 227 |
| 40. | Having some existing computing knowledge and skills and feeling confident about learning new computing information and activities | 227 |
| 41. | Deterioration in confidence after initial contact with a computer   | 228 |
| 42. | Feeling confident enough to have a go at working through an unfamiliar computing activity   | 229 |
| 43. | Dreading the thought of having to learn any new computing activity  | 229 |
| 44. | Feeling confident to work as long as there is plenty of time  | 230 |
| 45. | Feeling confident enough to work through a new computing activity using a hard copy Help manual                                   | 231 |
| 46. | Feeling confident enough to use the online Help function  | 231 |
| 47. | Feeling confident enough to work through a computing activity as long as there is someone available to help                       | 232 |
| 48. | Confidence using a computer increasing with practice  | 233 |
| 49. | Feeling confident enough to work alone without any help   | 233 |
| 50. | Confidence not being an issue and just getting on with the task   | 234 |
| 51. | Taught computing sessions in the University increasing confidence   | 235 |
| 52. | Being confident when asked to carry out a computing exercise in the University  | 235 |
| 53. | Having the confidence to search for literature online   | 236 |
| 54. | Feeling confident enough to work on a computer-based proficiency in the clinical area without any help                            | 237 |
| 55. | Felt confident as a computer user before current studies  | 237 |
| 56. | Feeling confident enough to help other students with their computing problems   | 238 |
| 57. | Not minding when watched whilst using a computer  | 239 |
| 58. | Being confident when using a computer away from the School  | 239 |
| 59. | The Scree Plot  | 244 |
| 60. | Geometric interpretation of first five components with oblique rotation   | 261 |
| 61. | Group one output (Homogeneous Strongly Disagree)  | 271 |
| 62. | Group two output (Heterogeneous)  | 275 |

|     |   |       |
|-----|---|-------|
| 63. | Group three output (Heterogeneous)  | 278   |
| 64. | Group four output (Heterogeneous)   | 281   |
| 65. | Group five output (Heterogeneous)   | 284   |
| 66. | Group six output (Heterogeneous across 2 windows)   | 287   |
| 67. | Group seven output (Homogeneous – Strongly Agree)   | 290   |
| 68. | Self-Assessment Tool For Competence and Confidence  | 356   |
|     | <b>Tables</b>   |       |
| 1.  | Summary of the studies reviewed related to student nurses   | 70-71 |
| 2.  | Summary of the studies reviewed related to other computer user groups   | 82-83 |
| 3.  | Component loadings based on sample size   | 135   |
| 4.  | Interpretation of Eta squared   | 137   |
| 5.  | Guide for interpretation of loading values and overlapping variance   | 145   |
| 6.  | Output for paired questions related to the new heterogeneous group  | 157   |
| 7.  | Monte Carlo Parallel Analysis   | 245   |
| 8.  | Component 1: Opinions about computing confidence  | 251   |
| 9.  | Component 2: Opinions about peer support and preferred learning styles  | 253   |
| 10. | Component 3: Opinions about using computer 'Help' functions   | 255   |
| 11. | Component 4: Opinions about taught provision  | 257   |
| 12. | Component 5: Opinions about using hardcopy Help manuals   | 259   |
| 13. | Group timings   | 295   |
| 14. | Total and extracted number of protocols for each group  | 297   |
| 15. | Initial themes emerging within the seven groups   | 299   |
|     | <b>Appendices</b>   |       |
| 1.  | Letter to Faculty of Health Sciences Medical School Ethics Committee seeking approval for activity                        | 445   |
| 2.  | Letter from School of Nursing granting approval for activity  | 446   |
| 3.  | Research advertisement sent out to student nurses   | 447   |
| 4.  | Information sheet for healthy volunteers  | 448   |
| 5.  | Explanatory letter and study one consent form   | 455   |
| 6.  | Questionnaire information sheet   | 457   |
| 7.  | Questionnaire   | 458   |
| 8.  | SPSS codes  | 466   |
| 9.  | Letter to Faculty of Health Sciences Medical School Ethics Committee seeking application for approval of revised activity | 476   |
| 10. | Revised information sheet for Faculty of Health Sciences Medical School Ethics Committee                                  | 478   |
| 11. | Letter from School of Nursing, Midwifery and Physiotherapy giving approval for revised activity                           | 480   |
| 12. | Letter sent to the students in first study cohort inviting participation in the second study                              | 482   |
| 13. | Letter to new students requesting volunteers to participate in the second study   | 484   |
| 14. | Information sheet for normal healthy volunteers – new cohort for second study   | 486   |
| 15. | Letter and consent form to new group of students inviting completion of the questionnaire                                 | 493   |
| 16. | Total variance explained  | 495   |
| 17. | Component matrix before rotation  | 496   |
| 18. | Pattern matrix  | 499   |
| 19. | Structure matrix  | 502   |

