

Badnall, Toni Patricia (2009) The wedding song in Greek literature and culture. PhD thesis, University of Nottingham.

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/12089/1/531919.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end user agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

THE WEDDING SONG IN GREEK LITERATURE AND CULTURE

Toni Patricia Badnall, BA Hons. (Dunelm), MPhil (Cantab)

Thesis submitted to the University of Nottingham for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2008

University of Pictilingham |

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the Greek wedding song and its function in literature and culture. The genre, hymenaios or epithalamium, has received little scholarly attention, particularly in English (cf. Muth, WS 1954; Tufte, Los Angeles 1970; Contiades-Tsitsoni, Stuttgart 1990, ZPE 1994; Swift, JHS 2006 & DPhil diss.). Yet an examination of the poetry of marriage, a crucial aspect in the study of the ancient world, contributes to our understanding of gender and social relations, as well as literature.

Using elements of genre theory, gender studies, anthropology and cultural history, I argue that the epithalamium was part of a ritual of transition; for both the bride and for the community.

The archaic epithalamium enacts this transition in lyric; tragic adaptations of the genre explore the consequences when this transition is unsuccessfully performed. In contrast, the wedding songs of Attic comedy represent a 'happy ever after' ending for the communities of the protagonists, and portray these unions as a Sacred Marriage of man and goddess. The Hellenistic epithalamium takes elements of these literary predecessors, and uses them to articulate a transition in marital relations, and literary politics, in the *oeuvre* of Theocritus. *Philia* relations in this era evolve to depict a more prominent mutuality between husband and wife, which also underpins the erotic writings of Plutarch. But more importantly, this author develops epithalamial *topoi* to present marriage as an 'initiation' for the bridal couple, which brings the thesis full-circle to the concept of transition while laying the foundation for one of the central concepts of Menander Rhetor's prescripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project could not have been completed without a PhD grant from the School of Humanities, University of Nottingham.

Further thanks are due to Prof. Judith Mossman, who provided stimulating and diligent supervision during this project. Dr Betine Van Zyl Smit and Prof. Alan Sommerstein reviewed chapters of this work in 2006 and 2007 respectively; their comments were gratefully received and considered. Dr Patrick Finglass, Dr Helen Van Noorden, Cressida Ryan, and Rosie Harman read various chapters and made helpful comments.

Material from a number of sections was presented at the Annual Meeting of Postgraduates in Ancient Literature in Manchester, 2006; the Nottingham Classics Research Workshop in 2006; 'Gender and the Sacred', a conference in 2008 at the University of Roehampton; the International Plutarch Society Congress in Coimbra, 2008; the Archaic Song graduate symposium in Oxford, 2008; and various sessions of Oistroi, the Nottingham Classics Graduate Workshop. These elicited stimulating discussion and helped me to structure my material effectively in the Introduction, chapters on Sophocles, Aristophanes, and Plutarch, and sections on Phaethon and Iphigenia in Aulis.

The Classical Association provided a bursary in 2007 for a stay at the Fondation Hardt, Geneva, where the section on *Troades* was produced and many sparkling polyglot conversations were had over dinner about the epithalamium. The Graduate School awarded a generous travel prize to allow me to attend the Plutarch congress.

Dave Heaton proof-read the entire thesis (any mistakes are therefore my own), and James Osborn put up with me for the three years of its production and the year of applying for the PhD position. I would like to thank my friends, family, and colleagues for their support during this time.

CONTENTS

Introduction Hymenaios: What's in a name?	1
Chapter one The Archaic Wedding Song	37
Chapter two The Tragic Hymenaios: Aeschylus	79
2.1 Suppliant Maidens: arrested transition	81
2.2 Prometheus Bound: political transition	97
2.3 Agamemnon: perverted transition	102
Chapter three	110
The Tragic Hymenaios: Sophocles	
3.1 Antigone: procession to death	111
3.2 Deianeira: girl, interrupted	124
Chapter four	136
The Tragic Hymenaios: Euripides	
4.1 Phaeinon: Hights of fancy?	137
4.2 Troades: what's love got to do with it?	160
4.3 Iphigenia in Aulis: the cost of transition	185
Chapter five	209
The Comic Hymenaios	
Chapter six	238
The Hellenistic Epithalamium	
Chapter seven	262
Plutarch's Coniugalia Praecepta: the Philosophical Epithalamium?	
Chapter eight	291
How to Compose and Epithalamium: Menander Rhetor	
Conclusion	320
Bibliography	324
~ ~ T	

INTRODUCTION

HYMENAIOS: WHAT'S IN A NAME?

Then first they washed and put their tunics around them, and the women made themselves ready. And the godlike bard (θεῖος ἀοιδὸς) took up his hollowed lyre, and stirred in them the desire for sweet song (μολπῆς τε γλυκερῆς) and noble dancing (ἀμύμονος ὀρχηθμοῖο). And the great house echoed all around to the footsteps of the men (ἀνδρῶν), celebrating, and the fair-girdled women (γυναικῶν). And so someone would say (τις εἴπεσκε) who heard them (ἀκούων) outside the house: 'surely someone has married (τις ἔγημε) the much-courted queen'.¹

This scene from the Odyssey shows that even in the earliest Greek texts, music and matrimony were closely associated. But what is a wedding song, and what is its function in Greek literature and culture? Hymenaios appears as a catch-all term for this song from our earliest examples until well into the fifteenth century AD. It can mean 'wedding song', refer to the god of marriage, or even be used as a synonym for 'wedding' or 'marriage' itself,² and can be sung at any stage of the ceremony: at the nuptial bath, gamos feast, the procession to the groom's home, or outside the bridal chamber during the wedding night,³ as Muth states:

-

¹ Hom. Od. 23.142-49.

² LSJ s.v. ὑμέναιος.

³ Bathing song: Aesch. PV 556-57; processional hymenaioi: Hom. II. 18.491-92, [Hes.] Scut. 270-285, Sappho fr. 44 V, Eur. Tro. 308-34, possibly Pha. 227-44 (cf. Ch.4, p.145-48), Ar. Pax 1316-59, Av. 1720-65; banquet song: Eur. IA 1040-44: ὅτ᾽ ἀνὰ Πήλιον αι καλλιπλόκαμοι / Πιερίδες †ἐν δαιτὶ θεῶν† / χρυσεοσάνδαλον ιχνος / ἐν γαῖ κρούουσαι / Πηλέως ἐς γάμον ἡλθον (though the exact wording 'at the banquet of the gods' is a conjecture of Diggle, other editors also print some form of δαίς); songs at the thalamos: Sappho fr. 30 V, Aesch. PV (above), Theoc. 18, Ap Arg. 4.1159-60, Men. Rhet. 409.8-14.

Tatsächlich sind auch Hymenaioi bezeugt, die zu anderen Teilen der Feier gehörten als zur νυμφαγωγία. Hymenaios war also von Anfang an die Bezeichnung der Litteraturgattung des Hochzeitslieds schlechthin und einen anderen Terminus gab er dafür in der ältesten Zeit noch nicht. Allerdings wurde dieses Lied, um es nochmals hervorzuheben, mit Vorliebe bei der domum deductio der Braut gesungen.⁴

The term hymenaios has a long literary history, in which the god of marriage is inextricably connected with the song, but it is uncertain how that association came about. Muth offers the most plausible explanation: that, like Paean/the paean, the song took its name from the refrain, and the god became personified from the song⁵ – but the origin of the refrain itself is lost to us. Jolles collects a number of references to Hymenaios,⁶ under four categories: as the son of a Muse; as a boy who died in the bloom of youth; as a young man who rescued virgins from the hands of abductors; and as a son of Dionysus and Aphrodite.

The first category lends itself well to the marriage song. In Pindar (fr. 128c = 139 S-M), he is the brother of Linus and Ialemus, all of whom are mourned by their unnamed mother. The refrain 'Hymen!' or 'Hymenaios!' is similar to 'ai, Linus!' and 'Ialemus!', and may have a similar origin in the antiphonal responsion of folk-song. By the time of Pindar, Hymenaios has met a similar end to his brothers, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ γάμοισι χροϊζόμενον / [Μοῖρα] σύμπρωτον λάβεν (7-8), and having achieved personification, may also have become the wedding god as well as the name of the song. ⁷ The development of the refrain as a lament for

_

⁴ Muth (1954) 36.

⁵ Muth (1954) 8-9.

⁶ Jolles (1916) 126-30.

⁷ Schol. Eur. Rhes. 895, which quotes this fragment, names the youths' parents as Apollo and Calliope, and Orpheus as their brother. Schol. Pind. P. 4.313a debates the divine parentage of Orpheus, but repeats the genealogy (19-21, cf. Schol Eur. Rhes. 895.1-4, Asclep. Tragil. 8a). The Suda (θ 41) gives him as the son of Calliope and Magnes (cf. Cornelius Balbus in Serv. ad Virg. Aen. 4.127, Anton. Lib. Transform. 23); Catullus

the god who died on his wedding-night (an extreme case of marital 'separation' rites) or as an apotropaic exclamation (to ward off a similar fate) is possible, but is not supported by the extant evidence.

Servius (ad Virg. Aen. 1.651) rejects Hymenaios as both the god of marriage and as a youth who died on his wedding day, whose name was invoked expiationis causa. He argues instead that this figure was a young Athenian, a liberator of virgins during a war. When the girls were married, they invoked his name quasi liberatoris virginitatis, as Thalassio was invoked at Rome. Elsewhere, Servius discusses him as a son of Venus and Liber (4.127), or the inventor of marriage, and relates the tradition preserved by Cornelius Balbus: that Hymenaios, an expert, beautiful musician, died singing at the wedding of Liber and Althaea, on account of which honour was attributed to him in marriage. Latin sources, however, remain attached to Hymenaios, rescuer of virgins: Lactantius Placidus (ad Stat. Theb. 3.283) tells of a young Athenian in love with a noble maiden; being of lowly parents, he despaired of marrying her. Following her when she and the other women went to celebrate the rites of Demeter, he was caught with them when they were abducted by pirates. He killed the pirates and restored the girls to Athens, thus winning the maiden. Because such a happy marriage came out of these events, placuit Atheniensibus nomen Hymenaei nuptiis miscere. The Vatican Mythographer (1.74) reiterates this story, ⁸ but the romantic aetiology is unattested in the early, Greek sources. Tzetzes (Chil. 596-606) tells the same story (omitting the Eleusinian Mysteries), but also includes an Argive tale of Hymenaios, the son of Terpsichore, who disappeared from his marriage chamber (13.593-94).

Photius (Bibl. 293 = p.321 Bekker) discusses the first three categories in combination: that the song was sung κατὰ πόθον καὶ ζήτησιν for Hymenaios, the son of Terpsichore,

61.2 and Nonnus Dionys. 24.88, 33.67 as the son of Urania, with no father listed; and Alciphron Ep. 1.16.2 as the son of Terpsichore (again, with no father named).

⁸ Myth. Vat. 2.263 gives the same account, with Servius' (1.652) arguments at the beginning and end.

who disappeared on his wedding day, or else in honour of the Attic Hymenaios, who went after girls stolen by pirates. Eustathius (ad Hom. II. 18.493) calls him an Argive, who rescued Athenian girls from Pelasgian pirates. When they were given in marriage, they sang a song for him, ἡν ὁμωνύμως ἐκάλουν ὑμέναιον. Alternatively, a young man called Hymenaios πρὸ ὤρας θανόντα, about whom there is a story in the wedding, which is called 'hymenaios'. The tradition of a dying Hymenaios is a strong one: Schol. Pind. P 3.96, Schol. Eur. Alc. 1.18, and Apollodorus (Bibl. 3.121.4) record that he was resurrected by Asclepius.

The invocation of a dead or dying god, especially one who is then raised to life, may associate Hymenaios with Adonis or Persephone. Like Hymenaios, for both gods the experience of mature sexuality is analogous to death. Both are said to rise again (and their anodoi are connected with plant fertility): Persephone for one third of the year; Adonis for the night of his annual marriage to Aphrodite. While Persephone may be associated with the experience of the bride, Adonis may be a closer parallel to the male Hymenaios. Such gods, sons and/or consorts of divine mothers, have their origins in Near Eastern cults of the grieving Great Mother. The renewal of life they represent, in keeping with their connection with mystery religion, is more spiritual than earthly – the most obvious example being Jesus Christ, son of the paradigmatic mater dolorosa, Mary. Christian conceptions of marital symbiosis (expressed also in Plutarch)⁹ may be responsible for Photius' alternative etymology of hymenaios: οἷον ὑμεναίειν καὶ ὑμονοεῖν τούτους ἀεὶ ὑμόσε ναίοντας (Bibl. 293),¹⁰ but are too late to be responsible for the tradition of the dying god expressed in Pindar.

-

⁹ Cf. Ch.7, p.267.

¹⁰ Cf. Tzetzes Chil. 591. Both Tzetzes (582-86) and Eustathius (ad Hom. II. 18.493) reject an etymology deriving from 'hymen', the virgin membrane.

Alternatively, Hymenaios is the son of Venus and Bacchus, because the desire for love is linked with drinking, 11 but, as will be discussed in Chapter 4, 12 the sources for this myth are Roman, and again, too late to explain the earliest association of Hymenaios with the hymenaios.

Epithalamios is a later term, which does not seem to come into use before the Alexandrian edition of Sappho, in which it is the title of a book of her poems composed for weddings. Its literal meaning, 'at the thalamos', does not seem to have defined a prescriptive usage, and the two words are used almost interchangeably from the Hellenistic period onwards, although hymenaios remains the more popular term. A hymenaios then - or epithalamium – is a song performed in the celebration of a wedding, or, as some of our literary examples will show, a song that refers to that celebration.

Genre

How can we identify a wedding song? In many examples, it is a straightforward process to attribute a song to a marriage. The public of Ithaca in the Odyssey are ironically half-correct in their assumption that there is music coming from the palace because someone has married Penelope. Similarly, on the Shield of Achilles in the Iliad, which depicts the bringing of brides to their bridegrooms, there are not only weddings represented (γάμοι, 18.491), but also wedding feasts (ειλαπίναι), as well as the procession at which the hymenaios is raised (ἡγίνεον ἀνὰ ἄστυ, πολὺς δ' ὑμέναιος ὀρώρει, 493). On the Hesiodic Shield, the occasion can be inferred from the numphagôgia and its processional song: ἤγοντ' ἀνδρὶ

 $^{^{11}}$ Myth. Vat. 3.11.2, cf. Sen. Med. 110 (Lyaei, no mother mentioned), Donat. ad Ter. Ad. 5.7.6. 12 See p.152.

γυναῖκα, πολὺς δ' ὑμέναιος ὀρώρει. Sappho narrates in fr. 44 V Hector's bringing home of his bride Andromache, before launching into an extended description of the celebration that follows, returning to the bride and groom at the end of the poem: Εκτορα κ'Ανδρομάχαν θεο<ε>ικέλοις (34).

As this song finds an increasingly literary use in other poetic genres – already foreshadowed in the irony of the Odyssey – the identification becomes more problematic. Aeschylus uses epithalamial imagery throughout Supplices, yet the songs of the Danaids in this play are utilised in their avoidance of marriage. They are not hymenaioi in the same way as those sung by the Oceanids for Prometheus and Hesione in Prometheus Bound, or even those sung by Helen's gambroi when she came to Troy, perverting all the norms of marriage, in Agamemnon. Yet the hymenaios seems to be an informative principle for the play, and perhaps the whole trilogy, as Chapter 2 will argue. The Hymn to Eros in the third stasimon of Sophocles' Antigone is another example of this kind of song: not communal celebration, but the disruption of the community through the power of Eros, is the subject of this ode, which leads into the lament for Antigone's departure to her $\pi\alpha\gamma\kappa$ oίταν θ άλαμον. Cassandra's monody in Euripides' Troades is an ironic celebration of her marriage to Agamemnon, which is at the same time a rape that will lead to his death. What about Plutarch's Coniugalia Praecepta, which takes epithalamial imagery, but mixes it with Platonic philosophy and presents itself as a letter to a newly-married couple?

Even in the oldest extant examples, whether or not a song is a 'wedding song' is often unclear. Aside from the attribution of certain fragments of Sappho to the Book of Epithalamia, only the imagery of many of these gives clues as to the occasion of their

_

¹³ [Hes.] Scut. 273.

¹⁴ Aesch. PV 555-60, Ag. 699-703.

¹⁵ See pp.81-82.

¹⁶ Soph. Ant. 804; Ch.3, pp.112-14.

¹⁷ Ch.4, esp. p.161.

¹⁸ Ch.7, pp.263-64, 266.

performance. Lardinois has recently discussed frr. 16, 94 and 96 V as laments for friends departed to marriage, to be performed at wedding ceremonies. 19 Wilamowitz argued that fr. 31 should be interpreted in the same context (though this suggestion is now largely discredited)²⁰ – yet none of these even allusively refers to a wedding. Finally, the lack of precise context for Alcman's Partheneion has led to interpretations of this song as an epithalamium.²¹ I repeat my earlier question: what is a wedding song – and how can we tell?

Cairns argues that, rather than by form (epic, lyric, elegy, or epistle), poetic genres should be classified by content, in terms of primary and secondary elements:

For example, the primary elements of the propemptikon are in these terms someone departing, another person bidding him farewell, and a relationship of affection between the two, plus an appropriate setting. The primary elements of the komos are a lover, a beloved, and the lover's attempts to come to the beloved, plus an appropriate setting. These primary elements will be present in every example of the genre, either implicitly or explicitly...this is because it is only by recognising these primary elements that an ancient audience could know to which genre a poem or speech belonged. As well as containing the primary elements of its genre every generic example contains some secondary elements (topoi).²²

This categorisation in terms of content does not appear to have made much of an impact among modern genre theorists, who more often see genê and eidê in terms of poetic form,

¹⁹ Lardinois (2001) 83-88.

²⁰ Wilamowitz (1913) 58, contra Page (1955) 30-33.

²¹ See e.g. Griffiths (1970) 10-11, in which he argues against Maas (1914) 130-4 and Gow-Page (1965) 366. Lardinois (1994) 74, (1996) 155-56, 170-72 suggests the choral group of the Partheneion is paralleled in Sappho's circle, but does not explicitly connect this song with the epithalamium, and Calame (1997) 74, 88, dissociates the maiden song from 'established' lyric genres such as the nuptial song. See also Peponi (2007) 353-54.

²² Cairns (1972) 6.

and their manipulation, after Kroll, in terms of a Kreuzung der Gattungen, and who debate the imagery with which we should understand this 'crossing' or 'fusion'. 23

These models of 'content' and form both seem somehow inadequate, for they assume poetic production to have been a definitive process with unchanging rules applicable across all periods and milieus.²⁴ Both are also somewhat anachronistic, for they assume distinctions for earlier poetry which are owed more to the categorisation of genres in Hellenistic Alexandria – or later. Yet archaic (and classical) poetic composition was inseparably bound up in its performative context, as recent scholarship has addressed.²⁵ A wedding song is a wedding song because it is performed at a wedding, or a dramatic/literary representation of one.

But because literature does evoke themes and images from the wedding song in an occasionally non-nuptial context, it is necessary to ask what the identifiable features of this genre are. And, used with caution, other models of genre may contribute to our understanding. For modern scholars, the moment of performance has passed and therefore the 'contextual' genre must be recovered from the text itself. Performative context is indicated by the presence of particular elements. These elements, when they intrude into a different context, may produce a Kreuzung. One may adopt some of Cairns' terminology, without embracing uncritically his assumptions.²⁶ By this reasoning, the performance of a wedding song is indicated by terms associated with the ceremony of marriage. When wedding songs, or topoi associated with them, cross over into other generic 'forms' (particularly in the pre-Hellenistic period), it may be that a) the (internal) dramatic context is associated with a wedding, and a wedding song is a natural corollary – much like the tragic lament; b)

²³ E.g. Barchiesi (2001) 144.

²⁴ See especially Cairns (1972) 32.

²⁵ Depew & Obbink (2000) 1-2 argue that genre is mostly a modern construct – in an oral culture such as archaic or classical Greece, a poem only existed for or through its performance: 'the literary genera in fact reflect different conditions of performance' (p.3). ²⁶ As does Fowler (2000) 205-19.

performative context is inherently fluid and allows cross-fertilisation from other types of oral poetry (this might, for example, be seen in some of Sappho's lyric re-workings of 'epic' Homeric themes); or c) that archaic and classical poets deliberately mixed things up to create particular effects, acknowledging the specificity of performative context and the results of such mixis. Or indeed, all three.

By Cairns' model, elements which indicate a wedding song might be: a person who is marrying (who is usually the addressee of the song; this may either be the bride, the groom, or both); the role of singer or speaker (performed by (an)other participant(s) in the wedding ceremony, usually acquaintances of the couple or their families); the relationship between these (usually one of affection or companionship,²⁷ but it may be more formal, as in the case of the encomia of Phaethon, Birds, and Menander Rhetor's prescriptions);²⁸ and the appropriate setting speaks for itself – a wedding, at any stage in its celebration.

A poet may, however, choose to manipulate 'primary elements'. In two fragments of Sappho (frr. 107 and 114 V), the bride herself is the speaker and in one of these, the addressee is her lost virginity and speaks back to her. Cassandra in Troades is both singer and recipient of the wedding song, though she exhorts the Chorus to sing. ²⁹ The Chorus of Sophocles' Trachiniae sing of a song raised by the $\delta \acute{o}\mu o \zeta$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \acute{o}\nu u \mu \phi o \zeta$, ³⁰ which overlaps with Heracles' bringing of a second 'bride' into his house. For whom, and at what wedding, is this to be performed? Similarly, in Euripides' Phaethon, a subsidiary chorus performs a wedding song for a wedding that will not happen – the bridegroom is dead and no bride is

_

²⁷ E.g. Sappho fr. 30.7 V: ὑμάλικας, perhaps also 103.8 V: ὑμαλικ[, Theoc. 18.22: συνομάλικες, cf. Calame (1997) 33-34, who adds the ἄλικες...ἑταῖραι of Coronis in Pind. Pyth. 3.17-19, the virgins who sang for the Nymph Aegina in Bacch. 13.88, and Cydippe's companions in the hymenaios of Call. fr. 75.42-43 Pf.

²⁸ Cf. Eur. Pha. 240, Ch.4, p.150; Ar. Av. 1711-18, 1726-30 (also & δαιμόνων ὑπέρτατε, 1765), Ch.5, p.222-23; Men. Rhet. esp. 399.16-19, Ch.8, pp.303-4, 315.

²⁹ Eur. Tro. 335-37, Ch. 4, p.166.

³⁰ Soph. Trach. 205; Ch.3, p.129: LSJ suggests (s.v. μελλόνυμφος) that this refers to the maidens of the household. Seaford (1986) 56 argues that this refers instead to the delayed resumption of Heracles and Deianeira's marriage, a transition which, I will argue in Chapter 3, has never been completed by their cohabitation and reintegration into the community.

present.³¹ Such manipulations, I will argue, inform our knowledge of how the wedding song is being used in these texts, but they complicate its identification. I find the concept of primary terms useful in the broader discussion of the use and function of the epithalamium, but Cairns' strict model of genre as 'content' is inadequate for this project. I suggest a different but related set of elements, more closely related to 'context', by which to identify generic examples: a bride (most often called numphê), a bridegroom (gambros or cognate term), both of whom may be either speakers or addressees, and a wedding (gamos).

Wedding songs are songs containing these 'primary elements'. As the ancients referred to them interchangeably as hymenaioi or epithalamia, I shall do the same. Poetic representations of mythical or dramatic wedding songs will also be discussed under this heading. 'Epithalamial' or 'hymeneal' songs are songs which cannot be securely related to a wedding, but which are nonetheless enlightening for our discussion. They contain topoi belonging to the wedding song, or related language, even when uttered in another context.

As well as its literary significance, the performative context of the song (speaker, addressee, relationship, and occasion) is also important for its social significance. Who sings wedding songs, and why? This question involves issues not only of genre but also gender: Wilson calls Sappho's epithalamia 'a genre which is distinctively woman-oriented', 32 and other scholars go even further, specifically identifying the wedding song as a female speech genre:

In addition to laments, ancient writers attributed other specific types of song or musical genre to women, such as the katabaukalesis (lullaby), the ioulos (spinning

 ³¹ Eur. Pha. 289ff (heavily lacunose); Ch.4, pp.147-48.
 ³² Wilson (1996) 142.

song), the Linus song associated with the harvest, and the hymenaios. These songs accompanied daily and seasonal domestic tasks as well as marked major life events.³³

Yet the Odyssean example above shows a communal celebration with dance and song, and participation by both sexes. Though a hymenaios is not mentioned, the picture of what a wedding song 'is' is nonetheless clear. Other early examples show a similar picture. On the shield of Achilles, the women do not actively participate in the procession, but watch the young men dance as the celebration passes by:

…ἐν τῆ ἡα γάμοι τ' ἔσαν εἰλαπίναι τε, νύμφας δ' ἐκ θαλάμων δαϊδων ὕπο λαμπομενάων ἡγίνεον ἀνὰ ἄστυ, πολὺς δ' ὑμέναιος ὀρώρει· κοῦροι δ' ὀρχηστῆρες ἐδίνεον, ἐν δ' ἄρα τοῖσιν αὐλοὶ φόρμιγγές τε βοὴν ἔχον· αἱ δὲ γυναῖκες ἱστάμεναι θαύμαζον ἐπὶ προθύροισιν ἑκάστη.³⁴

The ritual is no less communal for its separation into gendered spheres. The married women witness the marriage from their doors (so Andromache is encouraged to remain at home earlier in the text)³⁵ while the men enact the public element of the ritual. In the context of the shield's peaceful city and wider context of the ordering of the universe, marriage and its ordering of gendered spheres are shown to be essential to the functioning of the polis and

_

 $^{^{33}}$ McClure (2001) 10, cf. Athen. 14.618-19: the katabaukalesis is a song of τῶν τιτθευουσῶν (14.618e7), the ioulos of τῶν ταλασιουργῶν (14.618d5). Linus is a song of τῶν ἱστουργῶν (14.618d3-4) who would normally be female, also sung ἐν πένθεσιν and ἐπ' εὐτυχεῖ μολπᾳ (14.619c1-3). The hymenaios is sung ἐν δὲ γάμοις (14.619b12-c1), but the gender of its performers is not mentioned.

³⁴ Hom. Il. 18.491-96.

³⁵ Hom. Il. 6.490-93.

indeed the cosmos. The hymenaios, both as 'wedding' and 'wedding song', represents the establishment of the fundamental relationship of human society. Similar processions show gender divisions that nonetheless build a picture of participation by all elements of society alike: on the Shield, another group of men bring home a bride on a wagon by torchlight and the sound of the hymenaios, but these torches are held by slave-women (δμφῶν, 276) who precede the vehicle. Choruses follow them: men singing (τοὶ...ἴεσαν αὐδὴν, 278), and women or girls dancing (αἶτ...ἄναγον χορὸν, 280). On the one side youths (νέοι) form a kômos with flute-playing, dance, and song, and on the other they keep time with the flute-player and laugh. The mixture of elements is different from the Iliad (as indeed, the wedding ceremony varied across the Greek world), but the atmosphere is still one of joyful celebration: πᾶσαν δὲ πόλιν θαλίαι τε χοροί τε / ἀγλαῖαι τ' εἶγον.

Even in Sappho, where, following Wilson's designation of a 'woman-oriented' genre, we might expect a more gynocentric representation, the same communality occurs. It is true that in fr. 44 V maidens sing a 'holy' song: $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o \zeta$ $\check{\alpha} \gamma \nu [o \nu \ (26)$. Shortly afterwards, however, other sections of society join in with their own songs, as they had participated in the procession according to age, sex, and status:³⁸

γύναικες δ' ἔλέλυσδον ὄσαι προγενέστερα[ι

πάντες δ' ἄνδρες ἐπήρατον ἴαχον ὄρθιον

πάον' ὀνοκαλέοντες Ἐκάβολον εὐλύραν

ὔμνην δ΄ Εκτορα κ'Ανδρομάχαν θεο<ε>ικέλο[ις.39

³⁶ [Hes.] Scut. 281-84.

³⁷ [Hes.] Scut. 284-85.

Sappho fr. 44.13-18 V: the sons of Ilus yoke mules to wagons, women and maidens ride on carts, the daughters of Priam are somehow $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho \iota \varsigma$ from the others, and bachelors ($\mathring{\eta} \iota \theta \epsilon \circ \iota$) yoke horses to chariots.

The ancient Greek wedding, then, involved not simply a couple, but the whole community, and the wedding song is the commemoration of the establishment of their place within that community. In other wedding songs, a similar pattern of speaking voices occurs. Many of Sappho's epithalamia do not identify the speaker, although firr. 107 and 114 V must refer to the bride, whose putative longing for her departed maidenhead is expressed in the first person, $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\epsilon\nu\dot{\iota}\alpha\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ (107), and who is identified by the personal pronoun as the object of its departure: $\pi\hat{o}\hat{\iota}$ $\mu\epsilon$ $\lambda\dot{\iota}\pi\partial\iota\sigma'$ $\dot{\alpha}<\pi>o\dot{\iota}\chi\eta\iota$; (114.1). Interestingly, this last fragment is identified as a lament, expressing the bride's regret at her departure from childhood, a point to which I will return below. In a happier scenario, a chorus of parthenoi urge the bridegroom to fetch his own age-mates so that they might sing all night long:

πάρθενοι δ[

παννυχισδοι[σ]αι[

σὰν ἀείδοισ[ι]ν φ[ιλότατα καὶ νύμ-

φας ιοκόλπω.

άλλ' ἐγέρθεις ἠϊθ[ε

στείχε σοις υμάλικ[ας

ήπερ όσσον ά λιγύφω[νος

⁴⁰ Lardinois (2001) 81-82 on fr. 114 V. He compares this poem with laments for the dead, in which the speakers addresses directly the 'deceased' and accuses them of 'deserting' them (cf. Hom. II. 24.725, Eur. Hipp. 848, Alexiou (1974) 121 on modern Greek bridal laments in which a mother addresses a daughter who is 'leaving' her). The structure of this fragment: $(\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \eta)$. $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha$, $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha$, $\pi \alpha \dot{\iota} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\iota} \pi \sigma i \sigma$ ' $\dot{\alpha} < \pi > \pi \sigma \dot{\iota} \chi \eta \iota$ / $(\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha)$. †οὐκέτι ἤξω πρὸς σε, οὐκέτι ἤξω† is also structurally parallel to an antiphonal lament for Adonis (fr. 140 V): Κατθνάσκει, Κυθέρη', ἄβρος Αδωνις· τί κε θεῖμεν; / καττύπτεσθε, κόραι, καὶ κατερείκεσθε χίτωνας.

ύπνον [ί]δωμεν.41

The mixed-sex choral performance in this fragment is limited to the young, but still anticipates performance by both genders. The tragedians emulate this model. Aeschylus' Danaids are the primary singers of Supplices, but recent scholarship suggests that a mixedsex choral exodos was employed specifically to evoke the wedding song and its social significance. 42 Nymphs perform the hymenaioi in PV, but the bridegroom's kin in Agamemnon. Antigone performs an antiphonal lament with the male Chorus in Sophocles. 43 Euripides favours maiden song, but other elements of society are included in the celebrations: King Merops may join in the wedding song in Phaethon, Cassandra urges her mother and other captive Trojan women to participate in her celebration, and in the narrative of Peleus and Thetis' marriage in Iphigenia in Aulis, the Muses sing the hymenaios, but the Nereids γάμους ἐχόρευσαν, Cheiron offers a prophecy of the couple's future offspring, and the gods themselves pronounce the makarismos.⁴⁴ Attic comedy presents a different picture: in Aristophanes' Peace and Birds, the wedding song is sung by citizens of the relevant city, 45 with the bridegroom joining in -a far cry from the antiphonal laments of some women's songs. Theocritus returns to the image of the maiden chorus in his Hellenistic Epithalamium for Helen, but in the same era, Apollonius shows Orpheus and the Argonauts singing the wedding song for Jason and Medea, joined the following morning by the Nymphs of Phaeacia. 46 In my final examples, Plutarch and Menander Rhetor, an exclusively male voice is presupposed by the conventions of philosophical discourse and epideictic oratory – perhaps less a usurpation of a female speech genre by the male voice than a development dictated by

⁴¹Sappho. fr. 30.2-9 V.

⁴² Swift (2006b) 205.

⁴³ Soph. Ant. 806-82.

⁴⁴ Eur. Pha. 217-18, Tro. 325, 332-40, IA 1040-45, 1057-57, 1062-75, 1076-79; Ch. 4, pp.144-45, 166, 189, 191.

⁴⁵ See Ch. 5, p. 221

⁴⁶ Theoc. 18.2, Ap. Arg. 4.1159-60, 1193-99; Ch. 6, pp.240-41.

the genre's new form.⁴⁷ The wedding song, then, can be sung by and to either or both sexes. Moreover, other than Sappho, no matter what sex the internal speaker, the words of our extant songs are those of a male poet. Any focalisation on 'female speech' must take place through a male lens, and this must be borne in mind when submitting the wedding song to feminist critique. Can it be said to be 'gendered' in any other sense?

Gender

An examination of the topoi of this song shows that some gendered patterns do begin to emerge. Communal celebration, music, dance and song are common to both genders, as is ribaldry, sacral imagery, erôs, and the use of mythical narrative (and mythical foreshadowing, the ironic 'anti-epithalamium'). 48 The most obvious difference is in the use of natural imagery. According to Menander Rhetor, narratives from nature formed a major part of one of the main kephalai of the epithalamial oration (the thesis on Gamos), and scenes from seasonal nature set the tone for love in his Peri Kateunastikou. 49 The locus amoenus, the seductive natural setting for love, is an integral image of erotic poetry, but Sappho uses two striking natural images, that of the fruit unplucked in the highest branches:

οίον τὸ γλυκύμαλον ερεύθεται ἄκρωι ἐπ' ὕσδωι, άκρον ἐπ' ἀκροτάτωι, λελάθοντο δὲ μαλοδρόπηες.

⁴⁷ See Ch.7, p.264; Ch.8 p.292.

⁴⁸ See Tufte (1970) 37-55: 'Instead of expressing joy over a proper union, the anti-epithalamium expresses lamentation or foreboding over a union which is for some reason improper or unsanctioned, and thus presages tragedy, death, dissention, revenge, murder, war, or other disruptions of order and nature. Sometimes, but not always, the misfortune is partially resolved on a note of hope or triumph. In general, the anti-epithalamium is a poem or excerpt using epithalamic devices in an expression of unhappiness, disorder, and evil omen associated with an improper union'. This motif will be discussed in greater detail in Chs. 2 and 4. ⁴⁹ Men. Rhet. 401.26-402.10, 408.8-26; Ch.8, p.314.

ού μαν εκλελάθοντ', αλλ' ούκ εδύναντ' επίκεσθαι. 50

And of the flower trampled underfoot:

οίαν τὰν ὑάκινθον ἐν ὤρεσι ποίμενες ἄνδρες, πόσσι καταστείβοισι, χάμαι δέ τε πόρφυρον ἄνθος...51

The image of the plucked fruit or flower symbolises the loss of virginity on the wedding night, and is characteristic of epithalamial imagery spoken by the female voice. The exposure of the previously protected plant to rough handling is used in tragedy with the image of the sheltered garden from which a young woman is thrust by her marriage. Deianeira laments her loss of this state of innocence in Trachiniae:

τὸ γαὸ νεάζον ἐν τοιοῖσδε βόσκεται χώροισιν αὐτοῦ, καί νιν οὐ θάλος θεοῦ. ούδ' όμβρος, ούδε πνευμάτων ούδεν κλονεί, άλλ' ήδοναῖς ἄμοχθον ἐξαίρει βίον ές τοῦθ', ἕως τις ἀντὶ παρθενοῦ γυνή κληθη, λάβη τ' εν νυκτί φροντίδων μέρος, ήτοι πρὸς ἀνδρὸς ἢ τέκνων φοβουμένη.⁵²

 ⁵⁰ Sappho fr. 105 V.
 ⁵¹ Sappho fr. 105b V.
 ⁵² Soph. Trach. 144-50; Ch.3, p.128.

This state of being $\alpha\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\circ\varsigma$ (143), 'without trial or experience', is commonly used by maidens on the cusp of marriage. Aeschylus' Danaids term themselves ἀπειρόδακρύν in contrast to the tears they shed at the prospect of forced marriage. 53 and the ideal of separation from the trials of adulthood and sexuality is expounded by Procne in another play by Sophocles:

αὶ νέαι μὲν ἐν πατρὸς ήδιστον, οίμαι, ζώμεν άνθρώπων βίον. τερπνώς γάρ ἀεὶ παίδας άνοία τρέφει. όταν δ' ες ήβην εξικώμεθ' έμφρονες, ώθούμεθ' έξω καὶ διεμπολώμεθα θεῶν πατρώων τῶν τε φυσάντων ἀπο, αί μὲν ξένους πρὸς ἄνδρας, αίι δὲ βαρβάρους, αί δ' είς άγηθη δώμαθ', αί δ' επίρροθα.54

According to Seaford, this topos is an expression of bridal reluctance, a lament for the necessary departure of the bride to womanhood and an uncertain future.⁵⁵ From a female perspective, this transition can be seen as traumatic and violent, and the imagery of 'reaping' her virginity is prevalent. As the harvested fruit is cut off from the nourishment of its parent plant, and has no future but consumption, so this image can be associated with death (the culling of the flower of youth in war, analogous to the linking of bloodshed in consummation

 ⁵³ Aesch. Supp. 71; Ch.2, p.82.
 ⁵⁴ Soph. fr. 583.3-10 R.
 ⁵⁵ Seaford (1985) 51-52.

and death in Greek thought);⁵⁶ hence the lamentation for the loss of one's old life. The bride's separation from her mother, the nourishing parent, is also part of this nexus of images. It may be implied in an epithalamium of Sappho:

Έσπερε πάντα φέρηις ὄσα φαίνολις ἐσκέδασ' Αὖως, φέρηις ὄιν, φέρηις αἷγα, φέρηις ἄπυ μάτερι παἷδα.⁵⁷

It is employed again by Sophocles in his description of Deianeira as a calf that has wandered from her mother on the day Heracles fought with Achelous for her hand,⁵⁸ and it is used twice by the maidens of Theocritus 18: in the accusation that the drunk or sleepy Menelaus should have left Helen by her mother's side,⁵⁹ and again in the image used by the girls to express their longing for their absent friend:

πολλὰ τεοῦς, Ελένα, μεμναμέναι ὡς γαλαθηναί ἄρνες γεινάμενας ὅιος μαστὸν ποθέοισαι. ⁶⁰

-

⁵⁶ Cf. Aesch. Supp. 663-64: ἤβας δ' ἀνθος ἄδρεπτον / ἔστω. The first appearance of this motif is at II. 8.302-8: μήκων δ' ὡς ἑτέρωσε κάρη βάλεν, ἥ τ' ἐνὶ κήπῳ / καρπῷ βριθομένη νοτίησί τε εἰαρινῆσιν, / ὡς ἑτέρωσ' ἤμυσε κάρη πήληκι βαρυνθέν; also Stes. fr. S 15.ii.14-17 Davies: ἀπέκλινε δ' ἄρ' αὐχένα Γαρ[υόνας / ἐπικάρσιον, ὡς ὅκα μ[ά]κω[ν / ἄτε καταισχύνοισ' ἀπαλὸν [δέμας / αῖψ' ἀπὸ φύλλα βαλοῖσα ν[. A paper by Lynn Kozak (University of Nottingham, September 2005) argued for the fetishisation of the beautiful, dying male body in ancient epic based on Priam's words to Hector in Hom. II. 22.71-76, and this sexualisation of death can be extended further and seen not only in the death of young male characters, but also of young, beautiful parthenoi (e.g. Iphigenia: Aesch. Ag. 227-43 or Polyxena: Eur. Hec. 544-65) whose deaths are often accompanied by violently, voyeuristically sexual descriptions. The Greek eroticisation of death is thus part of an interconnected web of imagery in which the consummation of erôs is likened to, or results in, death.

⁵⁷ Sappho fr. 104a V.

⁵⁸ Soph. Trach. 527-30, cf. Seaford (1985) 52-55.

⁵⁹ Theoc. 18. 12-15.

⁶⁰ Theoc. 18.41-42.

The language used by the maidens of Catullus 62 also makes clear the reactions of young women to this separation and sexual awakening:

Hespere, quis caelo fertur crudelior ignis?

qui natam possis complexu auellere matris,

complexu matris retinentem auellere natam,

et iuueni ardenti castam donare puellam.

quid faciunt hostes capta crudelius urbe?⁶¹

In the case of a tragic bride such as Deianeira, the potential trauma of the transfer of the bride between oikoi and between modes of existence is made particularly explicit, for it is during this liminal period that she is assaulted by the centaur Nessus in a perversion of the normally contained and formalised violence of the wedding ceremony. Elements of lament and protest at this transition, however, are not unique to tragedy and persist in rural societies of today. Separation and liminality are particular features of the modern Greek wedding song – as the bride steps over the threshold for the last time as a girl, her family take leave of her as of one dead in a manner strikingly similar to the Sapphic and Catullan odes:

_

⁶¹ Cat. 62.20-24.

⁶² Soph. Trach. 562-65; Ch.3, p.126.

⁶³ Alexiou (1974) likens the modern Greek wedding song to the funeral lament. She and others mention the moirológia and its associated ethic of protest in this context: not a lament for oneself or a protest to fate 'sung at any angry moment', but a specific ritual lament, 'sung usually at death and avoided on other occasions as illomened' (p.116), including laments for the dead, those who have left their country, changed their religion, or have married. Caraveli (1986) 181 notes that the thematic conventions of lament may cause this protest to become generalised among the lamenters (hence potentially disruptive) as well as specifically directed towards the object of their song, for they 'allow the focus of the song to shift from the plight of the deceased to the plight of the mourner (see Hom. II. 19.301-2, in which Briseis and her women mourn for Patroclus and themselves). Since the performers of these ritual laments are women, the grievances thus voiced often relate to the social role of omen in the context of the androcentric village and to painful situations...peculiar to women'. At such intersections between the (largely private) world of ritual and the (largely public) world of village or political life, the boundaries of gender hierarchy may become blurred and allow what is unspoken in the social contract between men, but significant to the cycle of ritual observed by women, to be uttered. As Lardinois states (2001:88), in the wedding this is a ritualised, contained form of protest. Like funeral lamentation, it may to some degree be a necessary part of the ceremony, but is circumscribed (McClure (1999) 37). In the case of the wedding lamentation must give way to an essentially positive, if externally imposed, transition.

Today the sky is black, today the day is black,

today a mother takes leave of her daughter.

The seven skies have opened the twelve gospels

and have taken my child from out of my arms.

You are leaving, daughter, and I shall never laugh again,

Nor wash on Saturdays, nor change for a festival. 64

In India, Maithil women sing samdaun as the bride leaves her home to reside in her

husband's village: 'the separation at which these songs are sung marks not only the beginning

of her roles as daughter-in-law and wife, but the end of her role as a daughter, the end of her

life in her natal village, and the beginning of the end of many relationships with village

friends and relatives'. 65 Again, these songs remark on the sheltered innocence of childhood

and the sorrow of separation, using the now-familiar imagery of the secluded garden:

In the sandalwood grove in my father's courtyard

There hangs a swing

In it the graceful Sītā is swinging

Ten friends are pushing

Oh that Sītā is being taken away by Raghubar as she weeps

Seeing the swing her mother cries

-

⁶⁴ Alexiou (1974) 120. Cf. the lament for the dead: 'Today the sky is black and the day is gloomy, / today the eagle and the dove take leave, / today children take leave of their father...'. Perhaps more striking is the use of the 'sheltered garden' motif typical of ancient Greek bridal laments: 'I had a pure white cotton plant growing in my courtyard; / I weeded it, I watered it, and it was all my own. / But a stranger, yes a stranger came and took it from me...' (p.121), which is also common to moirológia for the dead: 'Here in this neighbourhood, here in this house, / there was a fountain with water and a shady tree, / where brothers and cousins would sit in its shade, / where her husband would sit and with him her children. / Now the fountain is dried up, and the tree is uprooted' (p.122).

⁶⁵ Henry (1998) 425.

The courtyard is not pleasing to her

Nowhere does she hear the sweet voice of Sītā

Without my daughter I will go crazy...⁶⁶

In addition, the Nanhui women China have transformed the wedding song into a complex system of bridal lamentation (kujia), still performed at various stages of the wedding ceremony, which 'center around the bride's grievance at being "sold" in marriage to a family that will probably mistreat her [here we recall Procne's complaints], her sadness and anxiety at leaving her natal home, and her own lowly status and unfortunate destiny'. 67 Of these, the songs for the preparation of the trousseau bear the most resemblance to their Greek

From birth I have borne my father's name [family name].

As fixed and certain as the nail knocked into the weighing scales,

I am just poor merchandise like the shells purchased during the "moldy season"

Or like wet cigarette butts.⁶⁸

Chinese scholars, like those of the Greek lament, have seen an element of social protest in these songs: as resistance to arranged marriage, or containing a derivative of protest at earlier forms of marriage by abduction. The song may also be a socially acceptable, expressive female genre - acceptable because ritually performed in a period of liminality and constitutive only of individual, and hence non-threatening, grievances. As we will see in relation to the Greek epithalamium, these songs also represent the transformation of the girl into a wife and express some of the ambivalent cultural attitudes surrounding marriage. In

counterparts:

Henry (1998) 426.
 McLaren & Chen (2000) 208.

⁶⁸ McLaren & Chen (2000) 220.

addition to these ritual and social functions, the Chinese songs signify a female-transmitted oral tradition replete with generic conventions, through which are ritually expressed quasi-narratives, invocations for good fortune, blessings and curses, and rhetorical persuasion, ⁶⁹ in which the bride moves her audience to sympathy and consolation, ⁷⁰ thus strengthening bonds with her kin and gaining status and protection for herself.

Similarly in Greece, or at least in classical Athens, the bride's natal family retained bonds with, and authority over, her even after she married. Aspects of Greek 'bridal reluctance' are paralleled in Chinese bridal lament. The bride feels spurned by her natal family, sold away from the familiar in a contract between men which anthropologists have termed 'the exchange of women' (we may note a fragment from a Sapphic epithalamium: δώσομεν, ἡσι πάτηρ),⁷¹ an exchange in which she has no say, for the production of legitimate children, undergoing 'death' for the sake of the continuance of male (homo)social relations. She becomes a metaphorical sacrifice performed for social continuation. This sacrifice is taken to its most extreme conclusion by mythology and tragedy, but may also be represented as something positive.

For example, when uttered in the male voice, the imagery of nature, associated above with the lament, is often presented in terms of productive agricultural and animal cultivation. The most obvious example of this imagery is in the Athenian formula of enguê: $\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ εγγυ $\mathring{\omega}$ παίδων επ' ἀρότωι γυησίων / τὴν θυγατέρ'. The female body is a fertile field to be

⁶⁹ McLaren & Chen (2000) 209.

⁷⁰ McLaren & Chen (2000) 218.

⁷¹ Sappho fr. 109 V, although this may refer to the dowry, rather than the bride. Wohl (1998) xiii, following Lévi-Strauss; cf. also Rabinowitz (1993), Ormand (1999).

 $^{^{72}}$ Men. Dysc. 842-43. In other contexts, the sexual female is an animal to be tamed, as is evident in the use of the verb δαμάζω to mean both 'tame' or 'break in' an animal and 'subject' a woman to a husband; and of δάμαρ for 'wife' (LSJ s.v. δαμάζω, δάμαρ. It can also mean 'subdue' or 'kill', adding further connotations of violence to the semantic field of marriage). The dual conceptualisation of the female as both 'field' and 'filly' reveals an interesting dichotomy. The horse is an animal representative of the aristocracy. It is a status-object which requires effort to tame – and even then, the most famous horses talk back (Hom. II. 19.408-17). It is thus an image appropriate to the context of sexuality in heroic epic (Hom. II. 18.432 δάμασσεν), Spartan

ploughed. Although this image is not used in early lyric or dramatic wedding songs, such sentiments are crudely voiced by men in other plays: Oedipus sowed his seed in the same field as his father; Haimon can plough 'other fields' than Antigone's; and Deianeira sums up Heracles' attitude to marriage and childrearing in these terms. Only in Aristophanes' Peace does the topos of agriculture enter the wedding song itself. The Chorus 'gather' or 'reap' the divine bride, Opôra (Harvest): τρυγήσομεν αὐτήν (1342-43). The benefits of the peace guaranteed by this marriage are presented in terms of cultivation, both of the land and of the female 1324-27).

In the Hellenistic epithalamium, the boundaries of gendered imagery become more blurred. Helen's compatriots compare her beauty to that of various phenomena from domesticated nature: a cypress tree in a field or garden (Theoc. 18.29-30), a Thracian steed in its chariot (30).⁷⁵ Plutarch likens the initial disposition of new brides to the thorns in their crowns of asparagus or sharp grapes, both images of 'plucking', but later in his Coniugalia Praecepta speaks of the most sacred 'ploughing': that between man and wife for the production of children.⁷⁶ I will discuss his use of natural imagery at length in the penultimate chapter, because he develops this agricultural topos in a most startling way. The imagery of

__

partheneia (Alc. fr. 1.59 μππος), and early lyric (Anacr. fr. 72.1 πῶλε). This is in keeping with mythical and aristocratic representations of marriage as a contest in which the bride must be won: the race of Meleager and Atalanta, the chariot-race of Pelops for Hippodameia, the contests of Helen's suitors (either in skill or in gifts). A description of a marriage ceremony presided over by Sappho speaks of ἀγῶνας (possibly of suitors, Sappho fr. 194 V = Him. Or. 9.4), and Herodotus (6.128) narrates the contests held by Cleisthenes for the hand of his daughter. As field or furrow, the female is the passive recipient of male sexual productivity, as Apollo infamously states in Aesch. Eum. 658-61. This image of the female is an appropriate foil to the classical Athenian politês: the citizen/farmer/soldier, although its locus classicus is in Hesiod (above). A diachronic development of topoi can thus be identified. One notable exception is the description of Phaethon as the νεόζυγι πώλωι in Eur. Pha. 223-34, but this is also exceptional in that it refers to the bridegroom, not the bride. Interestingly, Helen's compatriots identify her both as a cypress in a garden or fertile field and as a horse in Theoc. 18 (below), and Plutarch returns to the image of 'woman as horse/status-object' in his recommendations that a husband treat a rich wife with respect (Mor. 139B8-10), as well as referring to the 'sacred ploughing' (below).

⁷³ Soph. OT 1211-13, Ant. 569, (though interestingly, Creon also likens Antigone's punishment to the disciplining of a horse: vv.477-78), Trach. 31-33; Ch.3, p.129.

 $^{^{74}}$ Ch.5, p.225; cf. also vv.708-9: κἇτ' ἐν τοῖς ἀγροῖς / ταύτη ξυνοικῶν ἐκποιοῦ σαυτῷ βότρυς, 1356: συκολογοῦντες.

⁷⁵ Ch.6, p.243-44.

⁷⁶ Plut. Mor. 138D6-E5, 144A10-B9; Ch.7, p.264-66.

'harvest' is prevalent in both Coniugalia Praecepta and Amatorius, but as we will see, the 'reaping' of sexuality is only the most superficial part of this harvest. The real karpon is aretê, virtue, through which true communion may be achieved - not between bodies, but between souls, and between humanity and the truly divine. Menander Rhetor, by contrast, uses this imagery to create a more conventional locus amoenus, in which features of the landscape desire of their own accord to be joined in matrimony.⁷⁷

What is for women an image of death and loss is for men one of continued life and the bounty of harvest. This finds its clearest expression in Catullus 62, in which youths and girls compete in an amoebaean song that makes use of the contrasting aspects of this image: to the maidens, the rising of Hesperus is a cruel sight that tears a bride from her mother's embrace and is likened to the sack of a city, whereas to the young men, this star is not a breaker of bonds, but one who strengthens them – at least, the social bonds between men: qui desponsa tua firmes conubia flamma, / quae pepigere uiri, pepigerunt ante parentes (27-28). The bride is likened by the girls to a hidden flower in a garden which, once plucked will wither (defloruit, 43), but to the youths, the untouched virgin is like an unproductive vine, wasting away 'uncultivated' (numquam...extolit, numquam...educat, 62.50). Her marriage will make her not less, but more dear to both her natal and marital family:

at si forte eadem est ulmo coniuncta marito, multi illam agricolae, multi coluere iuuenci: sic virgo dum intacta manet, dum inculta senescit; cum par conubium maturo tempore adepta est, cara uiro magis et minus est inuisa parenti.⁷⁸

⁷⁷ Men. Rhet. 401.29-402.2; Ch.8, p.314. ⁷⁸ Cat. 62.54-58.

Where the female focus is on the separation from one's youth and companions, the male concentrates on reintegration into productive adulthood. This can be explained in social terms: marriage is a telos for women, an initiation into adulthood and accomplishment of their socially determined role, in a way that it is not for men. As a telos, and a rite of passage, it is comparable to death, hence the emphasis on departure and lamentation in many wedding songs and other 'epithalamial' poetry in the female voice. Though these rites may be structurally similar, and in tragedy may often be conflated, their outcomes are fundamentally different, and Seaford is correct to state that in reality the 'negative tendency' inherent in this transition must be overcome. ⁷⁹ In the central section of this thesis I will explore Seaford's dichotomy of reality:positive::tragedy:negative and examine the failed transitions of tragic brides in depth. Why do they fail, what consequences does this have for the community in which the marriage should be enacted, and how might this be resolved? In the next chapter, however, I will examine how the lyric epithalamiast attempts to negotiate in song the successful transition of the archaic Greek bride.

'Female' wedding songs, especially those directed towards other women, seem to focus primarily on the personal, individual aspects of this transition (the private sphere), but as we see from Catullus, the male voice often takes on the role of society and emphasises the communal benefits of marriage (the public sphere). Wilson notes the prevalence in an epithalamial simile by Pindar of such concerns:

As usual in Pindar's poetry, emotion takes second place to more serious male concerns: glorious objects, the expedient exchange of women in an aura of male solidarity, the envy of friends and a plenitude of good wine.⁸⁰

_

⁷⁹ Seaford (1987) 106.

 $^{^{80}}$ Wilson (1996) 144, on Pind. Ol. 7.1-6: Φιάλαν ὡς εἴ τις ἀφ'νειᾶς ἀπὸ χειρὸς ἑλών / ἔνδον ἀμπέλου καχλάζοισαν δρόσω / δωρήσεται / νεανία γαμβρῷ προπίνων / οἴκοθεν οἴκαδε,

The inclusion of such a scene within an epinician poem is telling: what for women may be cast in terms of violence is for men a victory, as Sappho suggests when she addresses a bridegroom:

Ολβιε γάμβρε, σοὶ μὲν δὴ γάμος ὡς ἄραο

ἐκτετέλεστ', ἔχηις δὲ πάρθενον, ἂν ἄραο.81

The victorious tone of the exodoi of Peace and Birds is a development of this gendering, as is the presentation of marriage in terms of athletic victory in Menander Rhetor's kateunastikos. As we have already seen, strict gender divisions are not always observed – fr. 112 V above shows that a female poet may appropriate this imagery when addressing a male; Theocritus' maidens use the image of domestication; while Plutarch makes use of the 'plucking' motif – but the exact speaking voice of the former is not identified and the latter two are later developments. I will suggest in later chapters that as configurations of (elite) marriage and the family changed in the Hellenistic and Roman periods, and Greek ideologies interacted with Macedonian, Egyptian, and Roman society and literature, so also the configuration and function of the wedding song changed to adapt to new aspects of family and community life.

Ritual

-

πάγχρυσον, κορυφὰν κτεάνων, / συμποσίου τε χάριν κ $\hat{\alpha}$ -/ δός τε τιμάσαις <ν>έον, ἐν δὲ φίλων / παρεόντων θῆκε νιν ζαλωτὸν ὁμόφ ρονος εὐν $\hat{\alpha}$ ς.

⁸¹ Sappho fr. 112.1-2 V.

⁸² Men Rhet. 406.14-24; cf. Ch.5, p.222, Ch.8, pp.311, 13.

What is the function of the wedding song? What is its role in the Greek wedding, in Greek literature, and culture more generally? Several indications in lyric and drama suggest that it served a particular ritual function. The song of the maidens in Sappho fr. 44 V is described as 'holy' (ἄγνον, 26) and an ἄχω θεσπεσία (27). In addition, ritual cries such as the ololugê and paean feature in the final verses of this fragment. R3 Cassandra dedicates her wedding torches to Hymenaios and Hecate αἷ νόμος ἔχει, and refers to ὁ χορὸς ὅσιος and μακαρίαις ἀοιδαῖς. Trygaeus opens his wedding song in the Peace with the injunction εὐφημεῖν χρὴ (1318), which echoes the command given at other major undertakings. Antigone seems to regard this ritual as her right: ὑμεναίων ἔγκληρον. Yet it is difficult to determine what this function was: no fully extant descriptions of a Greek wedding ceremony of any age survive, and no extant source preserves evidence of exactly which processes determine gamos. When Cassandra speaks of nomos, what relation – if any – does this bear to marriage kata nomon, as expounded by the Athenian legal texts? What comprises a Greek wedding, and where does the wedding song fit in this schema?

Given the evidence, the best picture that we can compile is a composite one from a variety of textual and visual sources.⁸⁷ We should be wary of assuming a single pattern of marriage – this evidence is not applicable for the entire Greek world at all periods. Based mainly upon evidence from Classical Athens, elements of this picture could be included or omitted as befitted the occasion and the participants: the kurios of the bride would betroth her to the groom in the ceremony known as enguê or enguêsis, described above.⁸⁸ She was not

⁸³ Sappho fr. 44.31-33 V.

⁸⁴ Eur. Tro. 324, 328, 336; Ch.4, pp.166, 178.

⁸⁵ Ar. Pax. 96, 434.

⁸⁶ Soph. Ant. 813-14; Ch.3, p.118.

⁸⁷ The dangers of this type of reconstruction are mentioned by Oakley and Sinos (1994) 5. For this reason they, and Ormand (1999) 9-10, concentrate on the evidence from classical Athens in their descriptions of the wedding ceremony.

⁸⁸ E.g. Hdt. 6.130.10-12, Men. Dysc. (above). Oakley & Sinos (1994) 9 argue that the loutrophoros-amphora Boston 03.802 (fig. 1) depicts such a scene.

required to be present for this transaction, which could take place without her consent, or even knowledge – many girls, among them Demosthenes' sister and Aristotle's daughter, were betrothed at a very young age and would be given out (ekdosis) when they reached physical maturity. ⁸⁹ The enguê could be used in court to testify to the legitimacy of the marriage and resulting children. A dowry was often given with the bride, and at least in classical Athens, it seems to have been considered rude not to provide one (it seems that this could be used to prove a marriage's legitimacy). ⁹⁰

Prior to the wedding itself, both families would make a sacrifice, the proteleia, and the bride-to-be would dedicate her childhood toys and cut her hair, marking the beginning of her official passage to adulthood. She and the groom would be bathed and adorned, and there might be a feast in the house of the father of the bride, she fore the couple processed to their new oikos accompanied by friends and relatives, often with their mothers bearing torches. The processional hymenaioi for this stage of the ceremony comprise the greater

⁸⁹ Dem. 27.4.3, 29.43.2-4, Diog. Laert. 5.12.5.

⁹⁰ E.g. Is. 3.8.14. Vernant (1980) 47 notes that 'marriage is first and foremost a statement of fact, the fact being συνοικείν, lasting cohabitation with the husband', but states two essential preconditions: enguê (p.45), 'a necessary element but not its sufficient condition' and proix (p.46), which in the classical period 'had the force of a legitimation, testifying that the daughter had been truly settled by her oikos in the family of her spouse' (i.e., incorporation). Leduc (1992) 236 agrees that a bride must be given away with property. As we see from Isaeus, the defendant was content with 'minimum legal requirements' rather than specific dowry conditions for his sister's betrothal: μόνον τὸ κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ἐγγυῆσαι διεπράξατο (3.39.8-9), indicating that the dowry was a social, rather than legal, requirement. In addition, the giving of dowry was not obligatory in earlier times: though Andromache in Sappho fr. 44.8-10 V brings great wealth to Troy, Homeric suitors gave hedna to the bride's father (II. 22.472, cf. 16.178, 190; Andromache is πολύδωρος 6.395, cf. 22.88). Penelope's hedna, however, are to be prepared by those in her father's house and are described as ὅσσα ἔοικε φίλης ἐπὶ παιδὸς ἔπεσθαι (Od. 1.277-78). It is conceivable that some of the wealth accumulated by the kurios through bride-gifts was then settled upon the daughter when she left the house.

⁹¹ E.g. Eur. IA 434 (proteleia, cf. Aesch Ag. 227; Ch.2. p.105, used subversively); AP 6.133, 6.280 (dedications); Hdt. 4.34.3-35.1, Eur. Hipp. 1423-27 (cutting hair, vv. 26-27, also associated with mourning); on this aition see Dunn (1996) 93-96; cf. Oakley & Sinos (1994) 14-15 on Oxford GR 1966.714 (figs. 3-5), Mainz 116 (figs. 6-8), and Syracuse 21186 (fig. 9).

⁹² Eur. IT 818-19 (bath), IA 1088 (numphokomos); Oakley & Sinos (1994) 15-21: for the groom's bath, see Warsaw 142290 (figs. 10-13), for that of the bride, Athens 1453 (figs. 14-15), Karlsruhe 69/78 (figs. 16-19 – water-fetching processions), New York 1972.118.148 (figs. 20-21 – bath). Adornment scenes are shown on Athens 17790 (fig. 23), 1454 (figs. 28-29), Würzburg 541 (figs. 24-27), Boston 95.1402 (fig. 30), New York 19.192.86 (fig. 31), and London E 774 (figs. 32-35).

⁹³ Euangelos fr. 1, Plut. Mor. 666F3-67A7, Luc. Symp. 41.4-11: 'Αρισταινέτου 'εν μεγάροισι.

⁹⁴ This is 'the most widely represented wedding scene on vases' (Oakley & Sinos (1994) 28), and includes both mythical representations, e.g. Florence 3790 (figs 62-63 – Peleus and Thetis) and mortal wedding scenes, e.g. Berlin F 2372 (figs 72-73 – although Eros is present in this scene).

number of our extant wedding songs. The couple might share food, and be showered with fruits, nuts and coins, all actions symbolic of fertility. 95 They would then enter the thalamos to consummate the marriage, outside which the guests would sing epithalamia. Some, as in Sappho frr. 30 and possibly 23 V, would stay all night, 96 but the door would be guarded by the thurôros, the doorman branded a giant thug in fr. 110 V. The following day was called epaulia, or anakalyptêria after the symbolic unveiling of the bride, and the gifts given to the bride on this day shared the same name. Some scholars argue that this unveiling took place earlier in the proceedings, before the consummation or even before the procession, and indicated the bride's symbolic 'consent' to the union. 97 But although brides are almost always represented in vase-painting as being half-unveiled, either by their own hand or by that of a numpheutria or bridesmaid, 98 the bulk of the literary evidence suggests this took place later in the marriage, and would thus symbolise its successful completion. 99 The real telos, however,

_

⁹⁵ Theopompos fr. 15, cf. Boston 10.223 (Oakley & Sinos (1994) 34 & figs. 60-61, incorporating the anakalyptêria). Ormand (1999) 9 states that the shared food was a meal of sesame cakes, but cites no sources for this.

⁹⁶ Sappho frr. 30.3, 23.13 V (παν]νυχίσ[δ]ην).

⁹⁷ E.g. Sourvinou-Inwood (1978) 106, Oakley & Sinos (1994) 25.

⁹⁸ The earliest examples show the bride holding her own veil, as in St. Petersburg Б 1403 (Oakley & Sinos fig. 99) and Florence 4209 (the Francois vase, Oakley & Sinos figs. 50-53). The figure of the numpheutria seems to have been introduced c.500 BC: on Warsaw 142319 (Oakley & Sinos figs. 100-4), Aphrodite adjusts Hebe's veil as Heracles leads his bride to bed. Both types of scene are used by red-figure painters, and later classical vases even show Eros flying in to adjust the bride's veil (Athens 19522 (Oakley & Sinos figs. 124-27)). Llewellyn-Jones (2003) 109-110 suggests the 'veil-gesture' performed by the bride does not indicate her unveiling, but rather her veiling of herself in a gesture of modesty, and provides many parallels from modern 'veil-cultures'. This idea has merit, particularly in representations of the public elements of the wedding such as the procession, in which it may be improper for a respectable woman to appear outside unveiled. Representations of the bride being unveiled by another (particularly in the presence of the groom, as Oakley (1982) 113-18 discusses with respect to Boston 10.223), and especially by Eros, seem at odds with this interpretation of modesty, but Llewellyn-Jones distinguishes the 'veil-gesture' from the ritual of anakalyptêria, in which the bride is passively unveiled. The gesture may, however, be analogous to those of the actors on the Pronomos Vase (Naples 3240), in which the figures' identity is indicated by their manipulation of their iconic attribute.

⁹⁹ The earliest use of the term, by Pherecydes of Syros, refers to the gift of a robe given by Zas to Chthonie on the third day of their marriage (fr. 2.13-19). Lysias calls these gifts τὰ δοθέντα ἀνακαλυπτήρια γυναικὶ γαμουμένη (fr. 14a Carey), but does not specify when in the gamos they were given. Photius and the Suda both associate it with the epaulia (Photius α 1502, Suda α 1888, ε 1990: καθόσον ἐν τῆ τοῦ νυμφίου οἰκία ἡ νύμφη τότε πρῶτον ἐπηύλισται, cf. Pausanias ε 49: ἡ δευτέρα τῶν γάμων ἡμέρα οὕτω καλεῖται, καθόσον κτλ.). Sicily was given to Persephone as an anakalyptêria gift κατὰ τὸν τοῦ Πλούτωνος καὶ Φερσεφόνης γάμον (fr. 164.14 FGH, also Diod. Sic. 5.3.2.6, cf. Plut. Tim. 8.8.2: ἐν τοῖς γάμοις), again, not specifying an exact moment unless to mean 'during the gamos (feast)'. Harpocration specifically associates the anakalyptêria with the epaulia, but states that this happened ὅταν τὸ πρῶτον

had yet to be completed. The couple must live together, sunoikein, for the purpose of producing legitimate children. A woman would be called gunê rather than parthenos after her marriage, ¹⁰⁰ but childbirth might be said to signal 'true' integration into the marital oikos: Euphelitus, for example, states that he began to trust his wife after their son was born. ¹⁰¹

This lengthy process from betrothal to motherhood is remarked upon by scholars. No one act was sufficient in itself, but marriage entailed a whole ritual and social process, with its intention the perpetuation of the oikos – a process which included songs, particularly processional hymenaioi. According to Oakley and Sinos:

There was no official legal document at Athens to establish official sanction for a marriage: the legitimacy of a gamos was determined by evidence of the intent of the bride's father and the groom, as provided by the engyê...what was important was the performance of essential rituals – appearing the gods, feasting, and the ceremonial transfer of the bride and her incorporation into her new home.¹⁰²

Of these, they hold the procession to be 'the central act of the wedding'. ¹⁰³ This would account for the high number of processional songs that are wholly or mostly extant (recall the Iliad and Shield, Sappho fr. 44, the exodos of Supplices; Troades as well as possibly Phaethon; and Peace and Birds) as well as such representations on vase-painting. The

άνακαλύπτωνται ὅστε ὁραθῆναι τοῖς ἀνδράσι (A 115). When was the bride first seen by her husband? Pollux also states that this term refers both to the gifts and the day on which ἐκκαλύπτει τὴν νύμφαν. Hesychius calls the gifts optêria and the anakalyptêria ὅτε τὴν νύμφην ἐξάγουσιν <τοῦ θαλάμου> τῆ τρίτη ἡμέρα (α 4345 ο 1888). Only the Etymologicum Symeonis associates this event with an earlier stage: ὅταν πρῶτον ἀνακαλύπτωνται ἐν τῆ ἐστιάσει τῶν γάμων καὶ τοῖς ἀνδράσι καὶ

30

τοῖς ἑστιωμένοις ὁρώμεναι (1.3.13, on Lysias). 100 Hdt. 5.39.1, Lys. 1.6, cf. Rehm (1994) 17, Konstan (1995) 149, Ormand (1999) 19.

¹⁰¹ Lys. 1.6: the wife's production of an heir means ἡγούμενος ταύτην οἰκειότητα μεγίστην εἶναι. Cf. Goldhill (1986) 121: 'As the telos of a man's life is found in the hoplite rank and war, so for a woman the aim and final point is marriage and the procreation of children' (my emphasis); Patterson (1991) 59; Vernant (1991) 202-3, on Loraux (1981) 36-67.

¹⁰² Oakley & Sinos (1994) 10.

¹⁰³ Oakley & Sinos (1994) 26.

concept of behaviour endorsing the marriage also informs Patterson's opinion, that 'no specific legal ceremony was necessary – or sufficient' to denote legitimate marriage. She sees marriage as:

A composite process leading to or having its goal in the establishment of a new household or oikos, with the eventual production of children, introduction of children into appropriate civic and religious groups, marriage of children – and eventually the replacement of parents by children in new oikoi of their own which will continue or renew the life of the parents' oikos.¹⁰⁴

This composite process and renewal of parental oikoi also has as its result the continuation of the polis, situating marriage once more in the public sphere. Marriage is a matter of the propagation of society, of which sunoikêsis must be the central fact, with the intent to cultivate legitimate children denoted by the enguê. In the context of the community, as we see enacted in our earliest wedding songs, a wedding procession, accompanied by music and festivity, provides a public – and very loud – announcement of that intent. These songs involve the whole community, and often suggest, as I have mentioned above, that the marriage will benefit the community as a whole. This is particularly relevant in democratic Athens, where the body of citizens is reproduced by the marriage. There is little evidence for non-citizen marriage, but the problems of taking a bride of non-citizen stock are elucidated in Euripides' Andromache. ¹⁰⁵

. .

¹⁰⁴ Patterson (1991) 60.

 $^{^{105}}$ Though Andromache describes herself as married (νυμφεύομαι, 403) to Hector's murderer, and knows that Neoptolemus would take the death of their son hard (κᾶτα πῶς πατὴρ / τέκνου θανόντος ῥαδίως ἀνέξεται, 340-41), the idea that Molossus could rule over Phthia is rejected: ἢ τοὺς ἐμούς τις παῖδας ἑξανέται / Φθίας τυράννους ὄντας, (201-2).

The centrality of this ritual to oikos and community life provides fertile ground for its manipulation in literary epithalamia, particularly in tragedy. If it is omitted or improperly performed (which, I will argue, applies equally to hymenaios as 'wedding' and 'wedding song'), the consequences are both disastrous and far-reaching:

When tragic weddings or funerals – rituals constitutive of the family – go awry or fail to effect their desired transitions, it is not simply the individual oikos that suffers. The ripples spread with increasing force to shake the polis as well, probing the nature of its social and political underpinnings and challenging those in the theatre audience to consider new and often radically different directions for the city. ¹⁰⁶

The concept of marriage as transition is one which I would like to explore in greater detail. I have spoken of 'departure' and 'separation', topoi which most often find expression, associated with death, in bridal laments; and of 'reintegration', often connected with 'cultivation' and 'victory', particularly in epithalamia sung by or to men. The addition of a transitional stage accords with Van Gennep's tripartite scheme of rites of passage, 'ceremonies whose essential purpose is to enable the individual to pass from one defined position to another which is equally well defined'.¹⁰⁷ He argues that 'postliminal' rites, those of incorporation, are the focus of the wedding in traditional societies, ¹⁰⁸ and it cannot be denied that a large number of wedding rituals focus on the bride's integration into her new oikos: the sharing of food and katachysmata, which is both a fertility rite and one performed

¹⁰⁶ Rehm (1994) 9.

Van Gennep (1960) 3. He goes on to define this ceremonial schema (p.11) as including 'preliminal rites (rites of separation), liminal rites (rites of transition) and postliminal rites (rites of incorporation)'. Avagianou (1991), Rehm (1994) 5 and Clark (1998) also address the wedding in terms of this framework.

in other contexts of incorporation, such as the entrance of a new slave into the household; 109 the epithalamia, with their focus on the marital love of bride and groom; the epaulia/anakalyptêria indicating the bride's establishment in the marital home; and the beginning of their life together, resulting in childbirth.

I have stated that marriage in ancient Greece was, in addition, the bride's initiation into adulthood: according to Van Gennep's schema, the rites of 'transition' or limen should therefore be emphasised. 110 I will return to the wedding procession, arguably the central act of the ritual, and to its accompanying songs, in order to illustrate this. Although Van Gennep states that wedding processions should be seen as preliminal, as 'the change of residence is marked in the ceremonies by rites of separation, always primarily focused on the territorial passage', 111 I argue that this should be seen as a transitional rite. During this passage, the bride is literally between limina, as yet belonging neither to her father's house nor that of her husband. She is neither child nor adult, but suspended between two identities. This is often symbolised by her literal suspension above the ground in a cart or chariot.

This passage affects not only the identity of the bride (and, to a lesser extent, that of the bridegroom), but also of the community, and this phenomenon is also remarked upon by Van Gennep:

Every marriage is a social disturbance involving not just two individuals but several groups of varying sizes. A marriage modifies a number of elements in their

 $^{^{109}}$ Ptolemaeus s.v. καταχύσματα, Ammonius κ 256.3-4, Pollux 3.77.1-7, Syrianus 74.9-13, Hesychius κ 768c, Photius κ 145, Suda κ 877, 878, cf. Schol. Ar. Plut. 768.1-18.

¹¹⁰ Van Gennep (1960) 11. Calame (1997) 10-15, examines the choral activities of young men and women in the context of 'group initiation' into adulthood, a process during which the initiands exist in a liminal period and, especially at Sparta, 'enjoy an intermediary status between the conclusion of their initiation and enrolment in the army or their marriage' (pp. 14-15). This will be discussed at greater length in Ch. 1, however, we may view the wedding ceremony as a series of rituals within a larger rite of passage designed to effect the transition of the parthenos to womanhood and of the couple to the establishment of their oikos within the community. Van Gennep (1960) 116.

relationships to each other, and these changes, step by step, bring about a disturbance in the equilibrium. 112

Marriage involves a whole community, and that community participates in the transitory journey between oikoi and between identities. The procession, and the movement of imagery from separation to reintegration, can thus be seen in terms of a communal ritual whose aim is to effect the transition of the bride from parthenos to gunê, thereby guaranteeing the fertility of the oikos, and, by extension, the continuity of the community – especially the classical polis. The hymenaios is part of this ritual, a 'hymn for the wedding', as it were. It must be borne in mind that not only the processional hymenaios, but other wedding songs also, make use of the imagery of progression (as well as other topoi), and only Catullus 62 among our extant songs represents anything like a complete and fully enacted transition, from separation/lament to reintegration/celebration. Caution must be exercised when utilising this interpretive framework, but it is probably safe to say that the epithalamium is a genre particular to various aspects of the marital transition.

Our literary examples emphasise a woman's first transition – from parthenos to gunê, and this may underline the importance of such a transition in Greek thought. For this reason – and that space does not permit me to address the marriages which, given the average nuptial age in ancient Greece, would have frequently taken place later in a woman's life – I have concentrated on first marriages. Where second marriages do appear in wedding songs, such as that of Helen in Agamemnon, they may be problematised – or this may be due to the fact that this song was sung for an adulterous union. Similarly, the importance of this transition to the community is most relevant in citizen or elite marriage rites: our extant hymenaioi do not

-

¹¹² Van Gennep (1960) 139.

¹¹³ On the connection between ὑμήν and ὕμνος, see Jolles (1916) 126, Muth (1954) 12-22.

provide us with songs for metic or non-citizen couples, thus, it is difficult to assess the role of marriage in strengthening bonds among these communities.

As part of a transitional rite of passage, the wedding song in literature draws attention to transitions and the problems inherent in them. They are not only fundamental to the relationships upon which the community is built, but often represent a crisis in these relationships, between identities, genders, and between oikos and polis. The final 'gendering' of the wedding song centres on the representation it gives of male/female relations in its performative and social context. Different authors manipulate the generic 'elements' of the wedding song in various ways to achieve various effects dependent upon their period of composition, their own gender and that of their speakers, their literary form and the social and political circumstances within which they composed. This thesis asks the question: how is the wedding song being used in these works, and with what picture of gender relations do our literary weddings present us?

Chapter 1 will distinguish Sappho's epithalamia from 'epithalamial' songs (including Alcman's partheneia) to establish an ideal model of transition and gender relations in the 'real' wedding. The central section of this thesis will then examine how those relations fail in tragedy: from the abuse of epithalamial ideals in Aeschylus' Supplices, Agamemnon and the disputed Prometheus Bound (Chapter 2), to Sophocles' focus on the failure of transition in his use of the term anumenaios ('without wedding songs') and its implications in Antigone, Electra and Trachiniae (Chapter 3). Chapter 4 will examine the perverted hymenaioi which result from transgressive cycles of sexual relations in Euripides' Phaethon, Troades and IA. These songs exist in dialogue with Aristophanes' celebratory exodoi in Peace, Birds and Lysistrata, which will be analysed as developments of the Sacred Marriage motif in Chapter 5.

Chapter 6, on the Hellenistic epithalamium, shows both the genre and its social context to be in a state of transition, and so forms a crossroads at which the wedding song both looks back to previous literary models, and forward to a public idealisation of mutual, married erôs. The genre's transition to prose occupies Chapters 7 and 8, examining Plutarch's development of that erôs as part of an eschatological, as well as social, transition; and finally, the more secular presentation it receives in the prescriptions for epithalamial orations — which, like the Greek novel, present marriage as an initiatory telos for both partners. Further analysis of the novel, as well as New Comedy, is unfortunately absent from this thesis: constraints of time and space prevented chapters on these genres, but there is certainly scope for further research.

CHAPTER ONE

THE ARCHAIC WEDDING SONG

The scarcity of evidence for the archaic epithalamium poses interesting challenges for the critic of the Greek wedding song. We possess references to the hymenaios in epic, some fragments of Alcman that may or may not relate to a wedding, and a number of poems by Sappho, consisting mostly of a small number of heavily mutilated verses. Aside from commentaries, and analyses of their use as intertexts for later works, 114 these epithalamia receive little scholarly attention. Modern Sapphic studies turn their backs, for the most part, on her heterosexual, formulaic, socially 'mainstream', and communal compositions in favour of articulating an understanding of her expressions of 'lesbian' desire. Female speech, female consciousness, and a rejection of patriarchal structures characterise this criticism, resulting in a range of images from 'Sappho Schoolmistress' to Sappho, female symposiast; 115 thiasosleader or chorêgos of Aphrodite; 116 and sexual initiator of young girls whose homoerotic desire was so internalised she was herself incapable of 'normal' heterosexual relations. 117 The passionate identification of feminist scholarship with a love-struck poetess burning her bra in the agora of Mytilene leaves little room for examination of the songs that commemorate the establishment of such 'normal' heterosexual relationships among her circle. Yet both she and Alcman were famed in antiquity for their composition of wedding songs, as well as other lyric poetry. 118

¹¹⁴ E.g. Page (1955), Gow (1950) 348-61, Fordyce (1961) 245-55, Seaford (1986) 51-59.

¹¹⁵ Parker (1993) 304-51, contra esp. Wilamowitz (1913) 77.

¹¹⁶ E.g. Gentili (1988) 76-89, Lanata (1996) 11-18.

¹¹⁷ Calame (1997) 251.

¹¹⁸ Menander Rhetor 402.16-17 (Sappho), AP 7.19 (Alcman).

The criticism that does stray into epithalamial territory often encompasses songs with no obvious connection with a wedding as it strives to contextualise and interpret other 'lesbian' songs. 119 This chapter aims to redress that balance. I will separate Sappho's 'epithalamia' from the songs I categorised in the Introduction as 'epithalamial': songs which make use of the diction and images of the wedding song, but which may not relate directly to the occasion of marriage. I will also analyse the epithalamic fragments and attempt to construct some meanings for the archaic (predominantly Sapphic) wedding song: how should we understand this song? How is it being used? What, if anything, does it say about marriage in late seventh-/early sixth-century Lesbos, and what understanding do we gain of marriage and gender relations from this representation? I have spoken of marriage (and marriage songs) as a transition, a journey through initiation into adulthood and through literary history. This is our point of departure.

Songs of Separation

What is a wedding song? Aside from those songs assigned by the Alexandrian editor to Sappho's Book of Epithalamia, I have designated the genre as being signified by the elements 'bride', 'bridegroom', 'wedding' and/or hymenaios. 120 Linguistically, this would seem to exclude those fragments most often discussed as epithalamia (frr. 16, 31, 94 and 96 V, as well as the Partheneion) without further consideration. The poems, however, are fragmentary, and the lack of generic signifiers may be due to this, or could represent a poetic innovation: the poet's manipulation of 'primary elements', relying on the audience's ability to identify

¹¹⁹ For which Parker (1993) 337-38 criticises Merkelbach on frr. 17, 94 & 96, and Wilamowitz on fr. 31. See also Hallett (1996) 140-41 on the Partheneion, frr. 82, 16 & 96 and Lardinois (1996) 197-69 on fr. 31 and (2001) 81-91 on frr. 16, 31, 94 & 96. ¹²⁰ Introduction, p.10.

the genre, in order to achieve particular poetic ends. By examining their use of epithalamial topoi, I aim to establish whether or these songs can be identified as wedding songs.

Fr. 16 V maintains that whatever one loves, $\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu'$ otto tiz Eratai (3-4) is the fairest thing on the earth, and that the speaker would rather see the face and walk of absent Anactoria than the Lydian chariots and infantry. The poem is ordered in Sapphic strophes, as are the wedding songs frr. 27 and 30 V – likewise, the other fragments of the first book of Sappho, which are not epithalamia. Its speaking voice in the first person singular (Ey\alpha, 3, $\beta \delta \lambda \delta \mu \alpha \nu$, 17) is echoed in other wedding songs but is also a feature of choral lyric which supposes multiple singers. The types of wedding song to which it corresponds are telling. Fr. 115 V is an eikasmos and frr. 107 and 114 V are laments for virginity; fr. 16 V contains features of both of these – indeed, the two are connected. Helen of Troy, who left her home and family to follow Paris, reminds the speaker of Anactoria:

. .]με νῦν ἀνακτορί[ας ο] γέμναι-

σ' οὐ] παρεοίσας. 123

Lardinois notes that as well as being associated with marriage (another woman is compared to Helen in the possibly epithalamic fr. 23.5 V), Helen was also associated with death: her abduction by Paris can be construed as a descent into the Underworld, as in Euripides' Helen. Here Helen appears as the agent of abandonment, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda [i\pi \sigma i] \dot{\sigma}' \dot{\epsilon} \beta \alpha$ (9), not a passive abductee – although, as in the case of bridal lament, the happy life left behind is

¹²¹ Sappho fr. 16.17-20 V. The absence of the 'bride' might rule out a nuptial context, but cf. Ch. 4, p.147.

¹²⁴ Lardinois (2001) 84.

¹²² Sappho fr. 107 V ἐπιβάλλομαι, 114.1 με λίποισ', 2 ἤξω πρὸς σέ, 115.1, 2 ἐικάσδω, cf. Alc. fr. 1.77

Αγησιχόρα με τείρει.

¹²³ Sappho fr. 16.15-16 V.

stressed and the future remains uncertain: [τὸ]ν ἄνδρα τὸν> [αρ]ιστον (7-8), φίλων το[κ]ήων (10). Her agency is qualified by the fact that she was led astray: παράγαγ' αὐταν (11), and a verb stemming from agein could well recall the numphagôgia that brought a bride to her new home. That Anactoria seems not to be present, and that Helen's departure has reminded the speaker of this fact, suggests that like Helen, Anactoria too has left. She may have escaped Sappho's affections; 'fleeing' like the beloved of fr. 1.21 V. She may have died, and become aligned with the chthonic aspect of Helen. Or she may have left her circle of friends to marry: an action which, in the aristocratic poleis of the archaic period, is likely to have been exogamic and to have taken her away from her own philai in a manner similar to Helen's elopement. The language of departure (καλλ[ίποι]σ'), as Lardinois remarks, is similar to that of the departed virginity in fr. 114 V (λίποισ').

Helen is surpassingly beautiful: περσκέθοισα κάλλος [ἀνθ]ρώπων (6-7), a quality common to brides and bridal figures. If this song is an epithalamium, it is then an encomium for the beauty of the bride. Aligned with Helen, Anactoria is surpassing, but has left her old life behind. Perhaps Anactoria also follows ὅττω τις ἔραται (although she is unlikely to have known her bridegroom before the marriage). Perhaps, as we will see from other epithalamia, the song idealises the love between husband and wife in these terms, in order to encourage harmony to develop. What is most obvious is that the subject of the song is ὅττω τις ἔραται to the speaker – she would rather see Anactoria's beauty than the Lydian military might. Whether or not this is deliberately presenting a positive image of the bride – she is valued by her old philai, so as to guarantee her value to her new family – is unclear.

¹²⁵ Ibid.

¹²⁶ See pp.73-76.

Elsewhere Sappho also turns the epic into the erotic. In a nuptial context, this is most obvious in fr. 44 V, to which I shall turn later: the description of Hector and Andromache's wedding procession which, as Schrenk has argued, alludes to certain episodes in the Iliad. 127 Winkler also addresses this reconceptualisation of Homer in the context of frr. 1, 16 and 71. To him, this erotic, feminised reworking signifies Sappho's 'double-consciousness', the ability to understand both public, masculine culture and private, feminine subculture and to expose the limitations of the former by reading it through the latter. Her heroic poems 'are not just from another tradition, they embody the consciousness both of her 'private' womancentred world and the other 'public' world'. 128 Is it appropriate, however, to compose a wedding song comparing the bride to the adulterous Helen – even in terms of this double-consciousness?

Helen is certainly attested in the epithalamium: Theocritus 18 is a wedding song for her and Menelaus, and the epithalamiast in Lucian also compares a bride to her. ¹²⁹ She functions as a paradigm bride despite, or perhaps because of, her nuptial adventures. ¹³⁰ Yet the idealised, legitimate union in Theocritus is a far cry from depictions of her illegitimate elopement with Paris. Or is it? Their relationship appears on a number of vases with nuptial associations, particularly lebetes gamikoi and loutrophoroi. Helen's compelling beauty and its consequences would have powerful associations in the context of the bridal bath that was part of the numphokomos. A number of aryballoi, ceramic containers for cosmetics, may also be associated with this kind of bridal adornment or with the phernê given by the bride's parents after the wedding. ¹³¹ Helen is also depicted as a bride at the moment of her abduction: an Attic red-figure skyphos shows Paris taking Helen in the *cheir' epi karpô*i gesture during

¹²⁷ Schrenk (1994) 144-50.

¹²⁸ Winkler (1981) 66.

¹²⁹ Luc. Symp. 41.7, cf. also Sappho fr. 23 V.

¹³⁰ Lardinois (2001) 84.

LIMC s.v. 'Helene' on Ruvo 1619 (RF lebes gamikos), Berlin V.I.4906 & Athens 1162 (RF aryballoi), Naples 82265 (RF loutrophoros), Bâle HC 227 (RF lebes gamikos), Athens 1282, 17315 & Boston 95.1403 (RF aryballoi), and Leningrad 1929 (polychrome aryballos).

their wedding procession, attended by Aphrodite, Peitho, and Eros. 132 An epithalamium containing a narrative of Paris and Helen's love might therefore be feasible, although the question remains: with which aspect of the myth could the audience be expected to identify?

If this is the case, fr. 16 V could, as Lardinois suggests, commemorate the departure of Anactoria to marriage - either anticipated, 133 or accomplished (hence the speaker's 'memory' of the departed). It deals with the separation between friends on this occasion and their relationships with one another. Paris, the new bridegroom, may be τις ἔραται to Helen, but to the speaker, it is the departing 'bride'. Most importantly, it addresses the power of love, specifically as a breaker of bonds between former philai, whether or not this love is idealised in the context of a wedding as that which leads a bride to her new life. The language is epithalamial, but the song itself cannot be securely identified as a wedding song.

Fr. 31 V is again in Sapphic strophes, and again in the first person singular – neither of which, we saw above, directly indicate a wedding song. Here, a verse akin to makarismos opens the song:

Φαίνεταί μοι κῆνος ἴσος θέοισιν ἔμμεν' ἄνηρ, ¹³⁴

This, it has been argued, is equivalent to the blessings pronounced upon Hector and Andromache: θεο<ε>ικέλοις. 135 Page refuted this interpretation:

¹³² Oakley & Sinos (1994) 32-33 on Boston 13.186. The reverse of the vase shows Menelaus reclaiming Helen

¹³³ Lardinois (2001) 83: frr. 16, 94 and 96 'are in fact laments that Sappho herself or the young friends of the bride performed at weddings'.

Sappho fr. 31.1-2 V. Winkler (1981) 73-77 suggests that this makarismos points to a re-creation of Odyssey 6, in which a blessing is followed by a statement of deep personal dread. ¹³⁵ Sappho fr. 44.34 V.

Since such terms are commonly used on occasions other than weddings, it should be obvious that their use in the present poem cannot be used as an argument that this occasion is a wedding. 136

Indeed, 'equal to the gods' is a common epithet of heroes, as is 'like the gods'. ¹³⁷ In fact, the use of theoeikelos in fr. 44 V is its only use in wedding poetry ¹³⁸ – olbios or cognates of makar- are far more common for makarismos. ¹³⁹ In a similar way to fr. 16, the poet is separated from a beloved girl in a context that may or may not indicate marriage: ὅττις...ἰσδάνει refers to an unspecific person, not an identifiable 'groom'.

In fr. 31 V the separation is emotional, rather than spatial. Unlike Anactoria, the girl is envisioned as present and Sappho does not have to rely on memory in order to see her:

The woman sits, however, next to the godlike man, who listens to her άδυ φωνείσας and γελαίσας 'ιμεροεν. ¹⁴¹ This fires the sexual jealousy of the speaker, who describes herself as suffering the physical effects of love. ¹⁴² How can this be construed as a wedding song?

The positioning of the man and woman would seem to be telling. In what contexts other than a wedding feast could a man respectably sit ἐνάντιός (2) to a woman in ancient

¹³⁶ Page (1955) 31.

¹³⁷ ισόθεος is used regularly in Homer: II. 2.565, 3.310, 4.212, Od. 1.324 inter al., and is used outside of heroic poetry for any 'godlike' individual. Θεοεικέλος is rarer: II. 1.131, 19.155, Od. 4.216, 276, 8.256.

¹³⁸ Although cf. fr. 96.4 V.

¹³⁹ Sappho fr. 112.1, Eur. Pha. 240, Tro. 311-12, Ar Av. 1721-25, Theoc. 18.16.

¹⁴⁰ Sappho fr. 31.7-8 V.

¹⁴¹ Sappho fr. 31.3-4, 5 V.

¹⁴² Sappho fr. 31.5-6, 9-16 V.

Greece? We may be unable to say, lacking sufficient evidence for sexual segregation on archaic Lesbos. Our assumption that men and women did not interact is based on classical Athenian ideology, in which citizenship depended on the chastity of the women of the oikos, who were therefore encouraged to remain indoors. In this milieu, men and women did not sit together even at weddings: Euangelus' fragmentary comedy Anakaluptomenê shows slaves setting up separate tables for men and women for a gamos. 143 Our song does not have to relate to a wedding - indeed, if such segregation also operated on Lesbos, it could not. Other archaic texts, particularly Homer, present a different picture: Helen in the Iliad converses with the Trojan elders on the wall, and in her home with Hector. 144 In the Odyssey, she sits with her husband to receive his guests, as does Arete on Phaeacia. 145 Penelope also receives the disguised Odysseus in her hall, and sits and converses with him. 146 These, though, are married women – the latter two trusted queens, the former the daughter of Zeus whose sexual conduct might well be called into question. Different rules apply for Nausicaa, an unmarried maiden who would attract censure by being seen with Odysseus. 147 The subject of the song might well be a new wife, but could equally be a mature gunê, secure enough in her status to talk and laugh with a man even if he were not her husband. She may be neither – Sappho fr. 30 V suggests that girls and youths participated in mixed-sex activities, in that poem, the choral performance of epithalamia. A Lesbian girl or woman could therefore potentially come into more contact with males than her Athenian counterpart.

Rather than a wedding, the fragment could relate to a symposium, in which a woman – specifically a hetaira – could converse with men or speak on the subject of erôs. Sappho's

¹⁴³ Euangelus fr. 1.

¹⁴⁴ Hom. II. 3.161-244, 6.342-68.

¹⁴⁵ Hom. Od. 4.120-295, 6.303-9, 1.139-66.

¹⁴⁶ Hom. Od. 19.53-360.

¹⁴⁷ Hom. Od. 6.273-89.

ancient biography preserves a tradition of her in this context, 148 but Lidov argues that this motif, arising from Attic comedy, 149 actually brought together 'incidents based on a travesty of various types of wedding songs, such as are found in Sappho, with stories about Rhodopis [the hetaira reputedly responsible for the downfall of Charaxus, Sappho's brother] and her fellow slave Aesop'. 150 It cannot be denied that Sappho's love poetry is equally applicable to the emotions of the symposium, ¹⁵¹ and this applicability may have accounted for the survival of her poems – transmission invariably takes place in the male voice, and so must be equally appropriate to masculine concerns. Fr. 31 V lends itself well to such generality: it shows no evidence of a banquet, nuptial, sympotic or otherwise. We cannot identify the subject as parthenos, hetaira or numphê, as no age is indicated. Her interlocutor is seemingly a mature man, ώνηρ, rather than the gambros of the wedding songs. Even the identity of the speaker is ambiguous: we cannot assume that Sappho herself is driven mad by desire in this fragment. 152 The suggestion that the poet was a hetaira poses problems for songs which are identifiably wedding songs: would the elite of Mytilene have commissioned a courtesan to compose poetry for their unions? Here, however, there is only a man, a woman, and a conversation – possibly a scene of courtship, in whatever context – and a suffering onlooker.

Questions must also be asked about this erotic suffering: is the narration of such internalised erôs on the part of the speaker appropriate to a wedding song? It is true that in fr. 112 V makarismos for the groom is immediately followed by effusive praise of the seductive beauty of the bride:

1.

 $^{^{148}}$ Hdt. 2.134.4-35.29, Strabo 17.1.33.18-22, P. Oxy 1800 fr. 1, Ov. Her. 15.63-70, 117-20, Sen. Epist. 88.37, Ael. V.H. 12.19, Athen. 13.596c-d, Phot. s.v. ρ 490 (= Suda ρ 211), see Lidov (2002) esp. 222.

¹⁴⁹ Sappho is mentioned in an erotic context in Epicrates Ant. fr. 3.2, and was the eponymous heroine of plays by Amipsias, Amphis, Antiphanes, Ephippus, Timocles, and Diphilus; her love for Phaon was the subject of Menander's Leukadia. Rhodopis was also a comic figure (Comica Adespota fr. 579). ¹⁵⁰ Lidov (2002) 234.

¹⁵¹ Cf. Parker (1993) 337, contra Lardinois (194) 78.

 $^{^{152}}$ She is, however, female: παῖσαν, χωλοτέρα (v.14). A possible quotation of this fragment in Plutarch's Amatorius (763A1-6) presents the same sentiments in the mouth of a male speaker, indicating the universal power of such love; see also Plut. Dem. 38.4, Ch.7, p.287.

σοὶ χάριεν μὲν εἰδος, ὅππατα <δ'>
μέλλιχ', ἔρος δ' ἐπ' ἰμέρτωι κέχυται προσώπωι
<. >τετίμακ' ἔξοχά σ' ᾿Αφροδίτα. ¹⁵³

This praise, however, describes the bride's physical appearance. It is purely external beauty – although we may suppose that it might inspire erôs in those who view her. In fr. 31 V, no mention is made of the subject's physical appearance, only the speaker's reaction at her interaction with a man. The speaker's desire is internal – indeed, it is so acute that it is envisioned as preventing her from utterance, never mind encomium (v.9).

When love is the subject of a formal wedding song in Sappho, it is the love between the bride and groom, as in fr. 30.4 V, not between the bride and her companions. Homoerotic desire between females can be an appropriate subject of song in lyric poetry, as in partheneia, but these reflect the bonds between girls in a ritual choir and may offer a comparison for the expressions of desire between women in Sappho, rather than suggesting that both are wedding songs. A socially-sanctioned eroticism could well be the subject of the song in this case. As in fr. 16 V, the poem does not necessarily need to praise the erotic capacity of a bride, but takes as its subject the power of the love between the speaker and a female addressee. Once again, the woman is ὅττω τις ἔραται to the speaker – who is not a bridegroom – which probably rules out the performance of this song at a marriage.

¹⁵³ Sappho fr. 112.3-5 V.

¹⁵⁴ The girls' beauty and its effect on chorus members is elaborated in Alcm. frr. 1.70-77, 3.61-64.

Fr. 94 V is another song discussed by Parker and Lardinois as a sympotic ode and bridal lament respectively. 155 Again, there is a first-person address to a departing female like Anactoria, and like virginity in fr. 141 V; and Voigt identifies a glyconic metre, elsewhere associated with wedding songs. 156 Here the focus is on the moment of separation between Sappho (identified in v.5) and the girl: κατελίμπανεν (2), ἀπυλιμπάνω (5). These verbs, with their connotations of 'leaving behind' and 'abandonment' suggest the emotional effect of the departure on both the departed and those who remain. The companion leaves Sappho against her will: ἀέκοισ' (5), a common term for bridal reluctance which is often used in contexts suggesting forcible abduction or rape.¹⁵⁷ The use of this term in connection with Thetis (whom Zeus δαμάσσεν, which, as we saw in the Introduction, also connotes violent death), and Persephone, goddess of the Underworld, may support a reading of the wish for death by a reluctant bride in the opening line, τεθνάκην δ' ἀδόλως θέλω. 158 Aeschylus' Danaids also wish for death rather than forced matrimony with the Aegyptids. 159 Sommerstein is, however, quite right to point out that the suppliants would prefer neither option, 160 and if the departing girl in this fragment is in fact a bride, perhaps the same is true of her. The symbolic death involved in the transition to marriage is conflated by bridal lamentation with the 'real thing'.

This statement needs qualification on two counts: firstly, we cannot be certain whether the wish for death is that of the companion, or of Sappho herself. The statement does

¹⁵⁵ Parker (1993) 346: 'if we compare Xenophanes 1, his description of the perfect symposium, with Sappho 2, 94, and others, we find all the same elements: cups, wine, wreaths and perfume. Even the incense, altars, and hymns are as much a feature of the symposium as of the sacrifice'. Contra Lardinois (1994) 74 and (2001) 85. ¹⁵⁶ Voigt (1971) 102, cf. Eur. Tro. 314, 22-23, 31, 38-39.

¹⁵⁷ Also Hom. II. 1.348 (Briseis); similarly, Zeus subdued Thetis to Peleus οὐκ ἐθέλουσα (18.434). Cf. H.H.Dem. 19, 72, 412, 432 (Persephone). King Pelasgus in Aesch. Supp. 940-41 tells the herald that he may only remove the Danaids to marriage with their cousins if they are ἑκοῦσας and εὐσεβὴς πίθοι λόγος.

158 Sappho fr. 94.1 V; cf. p.22 n.72.

Aesch. Supp. 787-91; Ch.2, pp.82-83. Deianeira makes the same wish when Achelous contends for her hand: Soph. Trach. 15-17; Ch.3, p.125.

¹⁶⁰I am grateful for Prof. Sommerstein's comments on the significance of bridal reluctance, particularly with reference to the Danaids, given during the annual review of this thesis in June 2007.

not contain any indication of speaker, and it is also common for the bereaved to wish for death in laments. ¹⁶¹ Secondly, even if it is made by a bride, can we take the statement at face value? An expression of reluctance or unhappiness is a common topos for new brides, especially in connection with leaving their old homes and lives. ¹⁶² It reflects the Greek polarisation of the female as the passive sexual partner – the expression of unwillingness demonstrates her valuation of virginity and suggests that she will possess similar sophrosunê once married. Persephone's self-presentation is of rape, but she is taken from the meadow, a locus typical of burgeoning sexuality and resultant rape. ¹⁶³ To display public eagerness for sexual contact, even in marriage, is not only immodest in a female, but can also suggest a perversion of the marriage rite, as in Cassandra's enthusiastic hymenaios in Euripides. ¹⁶⁴ The male is the active partner, the seducer, the persuader, the initiator (often expressed in poetic or iconographic terms as the abductor). The bride's kallos may inspire the erôs that facilitates this process, but she is not expected to take the initiative.

Here it is not a bridegroom who strives to overcome this reluctance, but Sappho herself. She answers the girl:

χαίροισ', ἔρχεο κάμεθεν

μέμναισ', οΐσθα γὰρ ὤς <<> πεδήπομεν. 165

¹⁶¹ Alexiou (1974) 178. This wish conforms to strict conventions: either 'that the mourner had died instead of the dead, or that they had died together, or that neither had ever been born'.

¹⁶² E.g. Sappho frr. 107, 114 V, Aesch. Supp., Soph Trach., fr. 583 R. Unusually, it is Phaethon the bridegroom who evidences this reluctance in Eur. Pha; Hippolytus exhibits a similar unwillingness to make the transition to adulthood. The bride is shown weeping in her modesty in Cat. 61.79-81, and encouraged not to struggle against her fate in Cat. 62.59.

¹⁶³ H.H.Dem. 5-6, cf. Eur. Hel. 180, Ion 888-90 (Creusa raped while picking flowers), Mosch. 2.62 (Europa); compare Eur. Hipp. 73-74.

¹⁶⁴ See Ch.4, p.170-71.

¹⁶⁵ Sappho fr. 96.7-8 V.

The injunction chairein can be used in any context of greeting or leave-taking, but is also specifically related to two epithalamia of Sappho: frr. 116 χαῖρε, νύμφα, χαῖρε, τίμιε γάμβρε, and 117 V †χαίροις† ἀ νύμφα, χαιρέτω δ' ὁ γάμβρος. More literally, it means 'to rejoice', suggesting that the occasion is less unhappy than Sappho's interlocutor makes out. The exhortation to memory, however, is a feature of the propemptikon (leave-taking poem or speech) and does not appear in any other extant wedding song. ¹⁶⁶ The epithalamium encourages and celebrates the transition to a new life; where it looks back on the old, this tends to be in the first person through the voice of the bride. ¹⁶⁷

The intimate relationship of speaker and girl in the previous two fragments is expanded in this song. The interlocutor addresses her complaints directly to Sappho: $\tau \delta \delta$ ἔειπε μοι (3), who replies in the first person singular: $\tau \alpha \nu \delta$ ἔγω $\tau \alpha \delta$ ἀμειβόμαν (6). Immediately, however, the poet locates herself within a group of companions who shared the girl's life, and cared for her. Sappho is the prominent figure of this group. It is she who reminds the girl of their past activities, ἔγω θέλω ὁμναισαι (9-10), which the addressee undertook at her side: $\tau \alpha \rho$ ἔμοι (14). These activities seem both erotic and ritualised: the women garland themselves (12-14, 15-17); anoint themselves with perfume (18-20); seem to undertake an erotic activity in a bedroom (21-23); and are present at the $\tilde{\iota}$ ρον and $\tilde{\iota}$ αλσος (24-27).

This list of activities, particularly 'you put away desire/longing' has provoked extensive scholarly comment. Stigers focuses on the unity between the speaker and the addressee created by the narrative, in which 'Sappho dramatizes her absorption with the other

-

 $^{^{166}}$ E.g. Hom. Od. 8.461-62: "Χαῖρε, ξεῖν', ἵνα καί ποτ' ἐων εν πατρίδι γαίη / μνηση ἐμεῖ...", cf. Men. Rhet. 398.26-29: εἶτα ἐπὶ τούτοις ἄπασιν ἀξιωσεις αὐτον μεμνῆσθαι τῆς πάλαι συνηθείας... An exhortation to memory is included in the Peri Kateunastikou, but this is an exhortation to intercourse based on the couple's courtship, 410.26-30.

See examples of bridal reluctance: Introduction, pp.15-22; Theoc. 18.22-25 presents the only ancient example of recollection of the 'old life' by voices other than the bride's.

woman, the lapse of her separate self-consciousness as she is caught up in the other's sensuousness...the tension in Sappho's poem, then, is between the friends and the outside forces that are requiring them to separate. The aim of the dialogue is to obliterate the tension; it becomes a monologue in order to insist on the unity of the two participants'. Whatever the circumstance of the separation, the poet directs attention away from these into a 'private world' of eroticised memory that excludes these external pressures – is this appropriate to a wedding song? Winkler goes even further and reads the stanza following the 'satisfaction' of desire as representing a somatic rather than geographical sacred landscape, taking οὐδ'...ἔπλετ' ὅππ[οθεν ἄμ]μες ἀπέσκομεν (25-26) to mean 'we explored every sacred place of the body'. 169

Again, this reading is inappropriate to a nuptial context: no participant in a marriage would be pleased to hear the epithalamiast drowning out the sorrow of separation in a homoerotic internal space with no place in it for the bridegroom, or that the women of Lesbos had had carnal knowledge of the virgin bride. Is the context at fault, or the reading? While there is no generic signifier of marriage, the initial language suggests bridal departure. Comparable expressions of homoerotic desire in partheneia suggest that such poetry was appropriate to a pre-marital state, within the ritual setting of choral activity (perhaps indicated here in v.27). What is certain is that this period is now coming to an end, and the subject is leaving.

What is intriguing about the $\pi \acute{o}\theta \acute{o}[\nu]$ of this poem is that it demonstrates a desiring female subject. There is an acceptance of desire and the contexts of its expression $(\sigma \tau \rho \acute{o}\mu \nu [\alpha \nu])$ in these verses. This is unusual in nuptial poetry but recalls the locus amoenus

¹⁶⁸ Stigers (1981) 53.

¹⁶⁹ Winkler (1981) 82.

Alcm frr. 1.77, 3.61-63, 79-81 (see pp.53, 65), cf. Lardinois (1994) 70: 'the activities [of fr. 94] are compatible with those of a chorus and one can even read a linear progression into them, starting with the preparations and leading up to musical performances in temples and in other places'.

in which an idealised – particularly mythological – marriage takes place. Indeed, an almost nuptial progression seems to be enacted in this poem: from fatal protestation, through female beautification, to sexual acculturation and the fulfilment of desire. In this progression, the qualities of the bride praised in fr. 112 V attach themselves to the departing girl: charis (χαίροισ'), gentleness (ἀπάλαι δέραι, 16), love and desire (πόθο[ν, 23), and connection with the divine (ἷρον, ἄλσος). This fragment cannot be said to be an epithalamium, but it employs the language of this genre, perhaps to evoke the period of preparation for marriage, or even to suggest a homoerotic marriage-substitute. ¹⁷¹

A final fragment dealing with relationships between women makes use of similar topoi. Fr. 96 V is in a slightly different metre, 172 and slightly different structure: here the poet addresses Atthis, but the central relationship is between Atthis and a woman in Lydia, who is spoken of in the third person. Not just one, but both women receive the now-familiar comparison to the divine. The absent friend held Atthis to be $\dagger\theta\epsilon\alpha\sigma\iota\kappa\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\rho\iota\gamma\nu\omega\tau\alpha\dagger$, 173 and she herself is compared to a heavenly body:

νῦν δὲ Λύδαισιν ἐμπρέπεται γυναί-

κεσσιν ὤς ποτ' ἀελίω

δύντος ὁ βροδοδακτυλος <σελάννα>

πάντα περ<ρ>έγοισ' ἄστρα·174

1

¹⁷¹ I am grateful to Professor Chris Pelling for this suggestion. This is paralleled in Gentili's interpretation of the Partheneion, but might suggest a more formal, institutional bond between women than is necessarily supported by the extant evidence.

¹⁷² Voigt (1971) 106: cr 3gl ba |||.

¹⁷³ Sappho fr. 96.4-5 V. Page (1955) 87 prints θέαι σ' ικέλαν ἀριγνώται, and is followed by Campbell (1982) 120.

Sappho fr. 96.6-8 V.

This image of the 'surpassing' or 'outstanding' woman, often in comparison to a divine being, is used regularly in nuptial and quasi-nuptial contexts: Helen in fr. 16 V, the bride in fr. 112 V, Nausicaa in the Odyssey, Helen in Theocritus 18, and Europa in Moschus' Europa. It may also be used of a bridegroom in fr. 106 V when the poet addresses a man as πέρροχος. Moreover, the woman, like Anactoria, is now no longer present. She stands out among the Lydian gunaikes (mature women or wives). A departure to marriage is plausible.

The function of memory is again important in this song. The woman in Lydia retains her bond with Atthis by remembering her: ἐπιμνάσθεισ ᾿Ατθιδος Ἰμέρωι (15-16). The seductive setting for the memory of love is created not by the eroticism of shared ritual activity, but by the simile of the woman's beauty (9-14). The gods of seduction, Aphrodite and Peitho, enter this nexus of images (possibly in comparison with the subjects of the song from v.21) in the highly fragmentary final section. He was a precious from v.21 in the highly fragmentary final section. What is their function here? Aphrodite appears to pour nectar from a golden vessel, νέκταρ ἔχευ ἀπὸ / χρυσίας (27-28) in a manner reminiscent of her epiphany in fr. 2.13-16 V, a scene which owes more to the theoxenia of a religious festival than to a wedding. They might function to seduce a bride away from post-transitional lamentation, but the intertextuality here suggests otherwise. Parallels with the Louvre Partheneion have been noted: the plurality of the speaking voice:]. ώσμεν (3), ἀμμ.[(18), α.μι (ἄμμι? 21), καμ (κ' ἄμ[μι? 27); the playful self-denigration, perhaps of a chorus, while comparing its leaders to goddesses (21-23); and an agonistic quality, between the chorus and Atthis and the Lydian Woman, and between the dances of 'then' (μόλπαι, 4) and 'now' (εμπρέπεται is thought to relate to dancing). He dances of 'then' (μόλπαι, 4) and 'now' (εμπρέπεται is thought to relate to dancing).

¹⁷⁵ Sappho frr. 16.6, 112.5 V, Hom. Od. 6.109, Theoc. 18.25, Mosch. 2.71.

¹⁷⁶ Sappho fr. 16.26, 29 V

¹⁷⁷ Lardinois (1996) 162-63, cf. Burnett (1983) 312, Hallett (1996) 140, Calame (1977:1) 91, also (1997) 42-43.

pre-marital context might then be envisioned, from which one woman has departed to Lydia, although a chorus of mature Lesbian gunaikes is not out of the question. ¹⁷⁸

This song again focuses on the relationship between women, kept alive by memory, and the fact of their separation. As the circumstances of this – indeed of all the separations examined thus far – are unknown, an interpretation of the fragment as a wedding, or even 'epithalamial' song, depends on the assumption that the Lydian woman's departure is a departure to marriage. As in the other 'epithalamial' songs, this is suggested by the use of epithalamial language and topoi: the comparison to gods, the outstanding beauty of the gunê, the locus amoenus, the presence of Aphrodite and Peitho; but the fragment also contains features appropriate to other transitionary genres such as the partheneion. The attention given to the relationship between women within the larger framework of a (possibly choral) group is akin to that in Theocritus 18 (the wedding chorus are δώδεκα παρθενικαὶ within a cohort of τετράκις έξήκοντα κόραι, who remember and long for their newly-married companion), 179 which is undoubtedly beholden to Sappho, but there are significant differences: Helen, though outstanding, was only one girl among the chorus – there is no particular or individual relationship in Theocritus' poem. As a result, the pothos expressed by the maidens is less strongly homoerotic. It hints at close bonds within the group, but these are expressed in the image of the young animal - as we have seen, a common image of both lamentation and eventual domestication. Finally, the bridegroom Menelaus features significantly in the Idyll. In Sappho fr. 96 V, no men are mentioned at all.

This leads me to conclude that there are three possibilities: a) this is not a wedding song; b) Lesbian wedding songs might not feature a bridegroom; ¹⁸⁰ or c) there existed songs

¹⁷⁸ Mature women are represented in frr. 44.31 (γύναικες προγενέστερα[ι) and 58.13-27 V; if Cleis in fr. 132 V is Sappho's daughter rather than the 'love' suggested by Bennett (1994) 346, the poet was herself a mature gunê.

¹⁷⁹ Theoc. 18.2-4, 24, 41-42; cf. Ch.6, pp.240-41.
¹⁸⁰ But contrast frr. 44, 106, 111, 112, 113, 115, 116, 117 & 141 V.

that concerned the transition to marriage and its effect on both the bride and her companions, but which were not directly related to a wedding.¹⁸¹ The use of epithalamial language in a poetic context where no marriage can be safely assumed leads me to suggest that frr. 16, 31, 94 and 96 V relate to (c), this 'transitional' period. Women's separations and their strategies for dealing with these are probably the key to our interpretation of these songs, rather than epithalamia proper, for they deal wholly with the relationships between women and only fr. 31 V even suggests the presence of a putative bridegroom and thus a marital relationship.

Even the relationship in this poem leaves questions to be answered. In fragments of wedding songs in which Sappho includes a bride and a groom, both participants are addressed more or less equally – although the bride tends to receive the greater portion of ekphrasis. These fragments are one-sided: they focus on the departed girl or woman to the exclusion of the circumstances for that departure. They might pertain to some rite of separation prior to marriage or commemorate the departure afterwards, but without the generic signifiers of a marital relationship it is impossible to identify them securely as wedding songs through their use of topoi. They do, however, unquestionably use epithalamic devices and language and, by doing so, contribute to our understanding of marriage and marriage songs.

The notion that these songs deal with the departure of a girl to marriage shows that this departure had a significant effect on both the bride and her former philai. For the companions of the bride, poetic reactions could range from representations of sexual jealousy in fr. 31 V to fond memory in frr. 16 and 94 V. The bride's own feelings might range from a wish for death, as in 94, to sorrow for the loss of companionship even while performing the activities of her new life, as in fr. 96 V. We must remember that the formal, occasional conventions of lyric poetry dictated that these expressions themselves be formalised, poetic

-

¹⁸¹ These might include songs which, as suggested by Prof. Pelling's reading of fr. 94 V (cf. p.51 n.171), use epithalamial imagery to contrast the departure to marriage with a homoerotic separation – which may also be true of fr. 96 V.

utterances in accord with their performance context: lyric poetry performed in a public and socially regulated setting. They constitute a poetic representation of the emotions surrounding departure and thus should be seen as conventional rather than truly personal. They also suggest that the period before this separation was a happy and sheltered time, as our tragic and ethnographic bridal laments confirm. To her friends, the young woman is desirable and desires in return: ἔραται, ἔρατον, λάμπρον, άδυ, μέροεν, ἀπάλαι, πόθο[ν, ἔχαιρε, μέρωι, ἐπή[ρατ]ον. 182 Thus the maidens in Catullus can claim that a uirgo is cara as long as she remains intacta, but when 'plucked' will be dear to neither pueris nor puellis. 183

These songs also give the impression that a girl's life during this period might not have been as secluded as is commonly assumed. The activities of the women in fr. 94 V are described as kala, in deliberate contrast to the deina which they are now forced to suffer (4, 11). Their public appearance in shrines, groves and dances is mentioned (25, 27, 96.5). Parthenoi performed songs at weddings, and stayed out all night doing it (30.2-3). What is more, although their activities are likely to have been segregated according to gender, girls did address and interact with men who may not have been related to them. Thus they seem to have participated in a range of enjoyable, ritually-oriented, and conceivably mixed-sex activities – although if the progression posited for fr. 94 V above is correct, these were enacted with a view towards the young woman's eventual acculturation or integration into adult society through marriage. Calame posits for Sparta an intermediary period between the end of 'tribal initiation' (best described as a 'season' when girls are acknowledged as marriageable parthenoi) and marriage, and it may be useful to apply the same theory to

_

¹⁸⁶ Calame (1997) 14-15.

¹⁸² Sappho frr. 16.4, 17, 18; 31.3-4, 5; 94.16, 23; 96.5, 16, 21-22 V.

¹⁸³ Cat. 62.45-47.

¹⁸⁴ Also at Athens: Men. Epitr. 452.

¹⁸⁵ Sappho fr. 30.4-9 (address to a groom), 7 (assumed interaction with his age-mates), 31.1-6 (woman and man converse) V. It is possible that the badinage about a doorkeeper and bridegroom in frr. 110 & 111 V and the addresses to a groom in frr. 112, 113, 115, 116 & 117 V were sung by girls, but we cannot be certain.

Lesbos, and to suggest that these songs deal with the events of this intermediary period and its conclusion.

Frr. 94 and 96 V suggest that there was a ritual significance to this period. The garlands worn by the subject of 94 are suggestive of those worn in ritual contexts, as well as the picking of flowers that indicates ripeness for marriage. Moreover, Sappho gives the impression of their extensive participation in religious activities: κωὕτε...ἀμ]μες ἀπέσκομεν (94.24-26). The dances performed by Atthis in fr. 96 V may also indicate choral performance in a ritual context. A cultic aspect to the performance of Sappho's poetry has certainly been suggested, although the thiasos previously favoured by scholars is perhaps too institutionalised a framework within which to understand this. ¹⁸⁷ It may be more useful to see the ritual activities of her circle in terms of those undertaken by marriageable parthenoi elsewhere: the kanephoroi at Athens, ¹⁸⁸ partheneia at Sparta, the races at the Heraia in Elis, ¹⁸⁹ or choroi performed for other religious festivals. The ancient Greek ritual calendar provided numerous opportunities for the ritual participation of young women in religious rites and for songs relating to these occasions.

The focus of such rituals seems to have been beauty and feminine grace, which Calame argues signified 'adult' or 'marriageable' status.¹⁹⁰ The semiotic nexus therefore created is one in which girls undertake a variety of rituals which acculturate them to the status of desirable brides. The beauty of girls leaving this context to be married is represented as superior, and warrants comparison with Helen, as in fr. 16 V, or other goddesses (as in 96).

¹⁸⁷ Parker (1993) 318 dismisses Gentili's (1988) 80 claim of a thiasos, rightly stating that fr. 1 provides us with insufficient evidence for such a group. See however Bennett (1994) 346 'goddess worship', Lanata (1996) 11-25 hetairai (companions, rather than courtesans) in the service of Aphrodite, Hallett (1996) 136-37.

¹⁸⁸ Ar. Lys. 646: κάκανηφόρουν ποτ' οῦσα παῖς καλὴ.

¹⁸⁹ Paus. 5.16.2.3-7: ὁ δὲ ἀγών ἐστιν ἄμιλλα δρόμου παρθένοις· οὕτι που πᾶσαι ἡλικίας τῆς αὐτῆς, ἀλλὰ πρῶται μὲν αἱ νεώταται, μετὰ ταύτας δὲ αἱ τῆ ἡλικία δεύτεραι, τελευταῖαι δὲ θέουσιν ὅσαι πρεσβύταται τῶν παρθένων εἰσι, cf. Calame (1997) 28, 115-16, 187 ('adolescent initiation into adult life and marriage'), 236.

This creates an image of powerful female erôs which must then undergo the next stage of

female transition: subjection to the male and initiation to full adulthood in marriage and

childbirth. The separation from this milieu at the height of its pleasures is traumatic for all

participants, and leads to the lament for departure and recreation of this period in memory

that we see in these poems. Fr. 94 V, however, suggests that an understanding of the

inevitability – and desirability – of this transition was inherent to the poetry of this

intermediate period. Despite her protests, the beloved girl is still leaving: she is commanded

chairein, and told to go, taking pleasant memories with her. The participation in lamentation

of both women allows them to articulate their reconciliation to the 'bereavement'. 191

Lamentation is turned, through the medium of song and the memory invoked of the activities

of this period, into sexualised and ritualised acculturation and possibly acceptance, which

might be realised in a more specifically epithalamic context by topoi of celebration. The

narrative movement of this fragment suggests that songs connected with marriage, even if

they are not epithalamia, might have had some role in this process of acculturation.

Acculturation: the Partheneion

The idea of 'female acculturation' also seems to be the key to understanding Alcman's

partheneia, albeit an earlier stage of acculturation. The Louvre Partheneion has, however,

been previously interpreted as an epithalamium, and I agree with Contiades-Tsitsoni that it

should not be seen as such 192 – nor should fr. 3. Griffiths argued, on the basis of similarities

with Theocritus 18, that fr. 1 is an epithalamium on which the Epithalamium for Helen was

dependent. Arguing from the loss of one girl from their group (ἀντ[ὶ δ' ἕνδεκα / παίδων

δεκ, 98-99), two possibly meta-epithalamic myths of marital malpractice (the Hippocoontids

¹⁹¹ Alexiou (1974) 125. ¹⁹² Contiades-Tsitsoni (1990) 59.

57

and the Giants), and the concern of the chorus immediately after this with Agido (ἀείδω / ἀρίδως τὸ φῶς, 39-40; light being an all-pervading motif of epithalamia), he suggests that: 'the Partheneion is actually a diegertikon sung by ten girls to the newly-wed Agido at the break of day'. ¹⁹³ Gentili goes even further – he argued for a parallel to a Sapphic thiasos that could involve genuinely matrimonial homoerotic relationships (based on the use of σύνδυγο(ς) in fr. 213.3 V), and that the Partheneion constituted a homoerotic epithalamium for Agido and Hagesichora:

It moves from agonistic language to reference to precious objects as a means of defeating a rival for the affection of a beloved, to resigned withdrawal from combat, and – finally – to the attainment of "peace" when one of their number accedes to the amorous desires of another at the moment of ritual marriage. First comes the amorous struggle, a part of the girls' final attempt to separate Agido from Hagesichora, then the idea that they are now beyond being helped by any precious object, or even by their own beauty. 194

Since Calame, however, it has been generally agreed that partheneia are part of a pre-marital initiation rite signifying the end of childhood and the entrance to marriageable virginity. ¹⁹⁵ Debates continue, though, as to exactly what rite is signified, and under the auspices of which goddess. As with our 'epithalamial' fragments of Sappho, there are no generic signifiers of

¹⁹³ Griffiths (1970) 29; see Ch.6, p.241-42.

¹⁹⁴ Gentili (1988) 76.

¹⁹⁵ I.e., being a young woman eligible for marriage. Calame (1997) 258-63; compare Bowra (1934) who argued that the occasion of the Partheneion was a pannuchis ritual for Helen and Dionysus; Hamilton (1989) who compared the activities represented in this fragment with those on Attic 'Brauronian' krateriskoi to conclude that both were female group rituals, although he does not specify an exact context apart from a private, female rite; Robbins (1991) who again does not specify an exact occasion, but reads the song as a competition between the chorus, and Agido and Hagesichora, to greet the Dawn-goddess; Hallett (1996), agreeing with Griffiths; and Cyrino (2004) who argues for a premarital initiation rite presided over by Aphrodite.

marriage: no numphê, no gambros, and no gamos/hymenaios. The focus is on the relationship between the girls and, in this case, we cannot even securely identify a departure. Alcman fr. 4A does, however, refer to a marriage and has been analysed by Contiades-Tsitsoni as an epithalamium. ¹⁹⁶ This poem is similar in tone and in its concentration on female activity to frr. 1 and 3. In the following section, I aim to place the partheneion in relation to the epithalamium within a schema of female initiation.

Fr. 1 begins with a lacunose rendering of a myth relating a struggle between the sons of Tyndareus and their cousins, the sons of Hippocoon. Mythical narratives form part of a number of epithalamia, and these 'meta-epithalamia' often reflect or comment on the action of the wedding being performed. 197 A moralising gnomê suggests that the opening myth has erotic overtones, and therefore may be seen in a nuptial context: $[\mu\dot{\eta} \dots \dot{\alpha}\nu\theta]\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$ ώρανὸν ποτήσθω / [μηδὲ πη]ρήτω γαμῆν τὰν ᾿Αφροδίταν...[]η παίδα Πόρκω (16-19). Page identified two possible erotic references: Clement of Alexandria's reference to this poem is followed by the statement that Euphorion, in his Thrax, referred to the sons of Hippocoon as ἀντιμνηστῆρες of the sons of Tyndareus, and Plutarch preserves a version of the myth in which Enarsphorus (v.3) attempted to rape Helen in her youth. 198 No details of the exact nature of the offence are given in the extant poem, but it is possible that a sexual transgression against the divine was involved, and was punished by the Tyndarids (Polydeuces is mentioned in v.1). The rape of Helen would be an appropriate mythical subject given her divine aspect in Sparta, often related to marriage. 199 Finally, if Helen is identifiable with one of the goddesses mentioned in the song (or even with Hagesichora, as

. .

 $^{^{196}}$ Contiades-Tsitsoni (1990) 50-54. I am not convinced by her analysis – the image of women praying to achieve marriage (τελέσαι γάμον, 15) suggests that a wedding may happen in the future, but is not necessarily the occasion of the present song.

¹⁹⁷ Cf. esp. Ar. Av. 1731-42 (ἐν τοιῷδ' ὑμεναίῳ), Eur. IA 1036-98 (τίν' ἄρ' Υμέναιος ἔστασεν Ἰαχάν...;).

¹⁹⁸ Page (1951) 32, cf. Clem. Alex. Schol. Protr. 27.11, Plut. Thes. 31.

¹⁹⁹ Pausanias speaks of a sanctuary of Helen at the Plane-Trees in Sparta (3.15.3.1, cf. Theoc. 18.43-48), and she is said to be buried at a temple of Menelaus in Therapnae (3.19.9.2-4).

Griffiths suggests),²⁰⁰ then the Partheneion takes on the aspect of a cult hymn to her, in which a transgression, its punishment, and resolution are narrated, and the chorus state their intention to follow the pious course:

έστι τις θιῶν τίσις.
δ δ' ὄλβιος, ὅστις εὖφρων
ἀμέραν [δι]απλέκει

ἄκλαυτος·201

Is such a myth appropriate to an epithalamium, however? In the preceding section, I discussed the problems of narrating one rape of Helen in the context of a wedding song. Here another rape may be represented, which, though not adulterous, seems nevertheless to be transgressive. If the contest between Tyndareus' sons and their rival suitors is the subject of the myth, its presentation is no less problematic – the death-count listed at the beginning of the fragment is hardly propitious for a wedding, whose aim is the re-creation of life. The epithalamium does not shy away from problematic narratives: we have myths of Hector and Andromache, Zeus and Hera, Peleus and Thetis, Helen and Menelaus, and Menander Rhetor recommends more, especially unions of the hyper-sexual Heracles, but these are idealised, and represent the joyous celebration of the marriages themselves and the blessings conferred upon the couples as a result. The foreshadowing is a subtle undertone, contributing irony or uncomfortable discordance to the meaning of the wedding song. We do not have extant meta-

²⁰⁰ Griffiths (1970) 22-26.

²⁰¹ Alcm. fr. 1.36-39. $\check{o}\lambda\beta\iota o\varsigma$ here should not necessarily be taken as nuptial makarismos, as it is also appropriate to gnomai in the context of hymns to the gods, cf. H.H.Dem. 486. ²⁰² Alcm. fr. 1.1-12.

²⁰³ Sappho fr. 44 V, Ar. Av. 1730-40, possibly Sappho fr. 141 V, Eur. IA. 1036-79, Theoc. 18, Men. Rhet. 402.10-20, 406.24-28, 409.2-8; Ch.8, pp.309-10.

epithalamia depicting outright rape. Those that do survive present images of social cohesion, even if this means that they present versions of myths which contradict well-known traditions of force.²⁰⁴

As in our Sapphic songs and many extant epithalamia, praise is given to female figures. But the Partheneion is more akin to fr. 96 V, in which two women are singled out for encomia. Agido, as described above, is represented with 'light' imagery, and compared to the sun: F' ἄτ' ἄλιον (41), Immediately, however, the chorus notes that their leader Hagesichora forbids them either to praise or to blame Agido (43-45), and they proceed to describe her pre-eminence:

δοκεί γαρ ήμεν αὐτά

εκπρεπής τως ώπερ αί τις

εν βοτοίς στάσειεν ιππον

παγὸν ἀεθλοφόρον καναχάποδα

τῶν ὑποπετριδίων ὀνείρων. 205

Hagesichora is ἐκπρεπής. By the same logic that identifies Helen (in both fr. 16 V and

Theocritus 18), the Lydian woman, Nausicaa, and Europa as bride-figures, this outstanding

beauty should mean that if a wedding is intended, Hagesichora, not Agido, is its bride. 206

Like a bride, she is compared to a horse: ὁ μὲν κέλης / Ἐνητικός (50-51). But so is

Agido, who offers competition (perhaps literally racing her) for this pre-eminence:

 $^{^{204}}$ See esp. Ch. 4, pp.192-93 on the third stasimon of IA. 205 Alcm. fr. 1.43-49.

²⁰⁶ Cf. p.52; Ch.6, p.255-56.

ά δὲ δευτέρα πεδ' 'Αγιδώ τὸ Γείδος

ἵππος Ἰβηνῶι Κολαξαῖος δραμήται·207

Neither girl, however, seems to be getting married. What the fragment does show them doing is performing a religious observance: $^{\circ}$ Αγησιχ[$^{\circ}$]ρ[$^{\circ}$]... $^{\circ}$ Αγιδο $^{\circ}$ αρμένει / θωστήρ[ιά τ'] ἄμ' ἐπαινει (79-81). Hesychius' gloss of εορτή for θωστήρια suggests a religious, rather than marital, ritual. Prayers to the gods are mentioned in a nuptial context in Alcman fr. $^{\circ}$ 4A, $^{\circ}$ and could indicate a pre-nuptial sacrifice, the proteleia. But unless Agido and Hagesichora are undergoing a double (or homosexual, as Gentili suggests) marriage, this does not adequately explain the prominence of both in the 'festival', the role of the chorus as a whole in making a dedication, $^{\circ}$ or its desire, rather than the 'bride's', to please the goddess Aotis. Fr. 4A offers a scenario of multiple female participants performing prayers for the accomplishment of marriage, described as γ υναιξὶ καὶ ἀνδρά[σι / φίλτ]ατα (16-17); perhaps a similar scenario, and similar telos, is envisioned for the maidens who, through the agency of Hagesichora, [τρ]ήνας ἐρατ[α]ς ἐπέβαν (1.91). Though not yet married, their participation in the rite looks forward to that occasion.

Griffiths' problem of ἀντ[ὶ δ' ἕνδεκα / παίδων δεκ still remains, although I do not believe it can be answered as simply as he suggests: 'earlier in the season there had been eleven in the choir, now with the bride's departure there are only ten left'. Of those ten, he imagines Agido to be the bride and Hagesichora to be a benevolent daimon, which raises

²⁰⁷ Alcm. fr. 1.58-59.

²⁰⁸ Hesych. s.v. θωστήρια.

 $^{^{209}}$ Alcm. fr. 4A.14-15: ταὶ δ' ὅτε δὴ ποταμῶι καλλιρρόωι / ἀράσαντ' ἐρατὸν τελέσαι γάμον, cf. Ar. Pax. 1328.

²¹⁰ Alcm. fr. 1.61: ὀρθρίαι φάρος φεροίσ**αις**.

²¹¹ Alcm. fr. 1.88-89: **ἐγω[ν]** δὲ τᾶι μὲν 'Αώτι μάλιστα / Γανδάνην **ἐρῶ**.

²¹² Griffiths (1970) 12.

more problems. Eleven names appear in the fragment: Agido, Hagesichora, Nanno, Areta, Thalycis, Cleêsithêra, Aenesimbrota, Astaphis, Philylla, Damareta and Vianthemis. If Aenesimbrota is, as Page suggested, some kind of choral mistress and not to be included within this group,²¹³ that leaves the names of eight choreutai, a bride and a goddess. Why then leave two girls unnamed? Our Sapphic fragments refer to the bride only as numphê, and to the names of the epithalamial chorus not at all.

Two other interpretations are possible: that the eleven names refer to the $\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha$, from which Aenesimbrota is to be excluded. Perhaps she was once part of the chorus, or involved with its production, but no longer. The $\delta\epsilon\kappa$ would then refer to the other girls, including Agido and Hagesichora, some of whose attention you would go to Aenesimbrota's to attract. Alternatively, Aenesimbrota is herself a chorus member, and simply a friend of Astaphis, Philylla, Damareta and Vianthemis at whose house these girls could be found. She is part of the $\delta\epsilon\kappa$, and the eleventh girl is Hagesichora, the chorêgos, who is outstanding in every other way, is constantly singled out for attention, and cannot be included among the paides of the chorus. She may be a bride, but most likely her pre-eminence indicates her status as a marriageable parthenos, in the context of a ritual that prepares for marriage and childbirth but does not necessarily signify it.

Whether a girl has departed for marriage or is about to do so is impossible to tell from the contents which, like three of Sappho's 'epithalamial' songs, do not indicate the presence of a bridegroom that would make a wedding ceremony a more plausible interpretation. The girls speak of themselves as neanides, parthenoi and paides – the same designation is given to Astymeloisa, the subject of Alcman's third partheneion. Both chorus and subject are unmarried maidens, yet are highly sexualised: the girls are represented as horses, given

²¹³ Page (1951) 65-66.

 $^{^{214}}$ Alcm. fr. 1.73: οὐδ' ἐς Αἰνησιμβρ[ό]τας ἐνθοῖσα φασεῖς...

²¹⁵ Alcm. fr. 1.68, 86, 90, 99, 3.72 (π]αρσενικάς), 82 (παίδα), 83 (παιδι.), 84 (παίς).

purple clothing and luxurious ornaments, ²¹⁶ and are described in erotic terms like those of the young women in Sappho's 'epithalamial' songs: τὸ φῶς, ἐκπρεπὴς, χαίτα χρυσὸς, ἀργύριον πρόσωπον, τὸ Γεῖδος, θιειδης, ἐρατά, ἐπιμέρωι. ²¹⁷ If Sourvinou-Inwood was correct in stating that the 'bears' of the Athenian arkteia shed the krokotos, another luxurious garment denoting femininity, at the Brauronia on completion of their initiation, ²¹⁸ then a parallel may be drawn between partheneia and the arkteia, whose climax she imagined as taking place at the five-yearly Brauronia. ²¹⁹

In both rites, a religious festival provides the occasion for the reintegration ritual of a tribal initiation, during which a representative group of the cohort of about-to-be-marriageable-parthenoi (pre-pubescent to menarche) have taken part in a series of ritual activities aimed at their socialisation and acculturation to their adult roles. By demonstrating controlled sexualisation, if not sexuality, during their reintegration rites, they indicate that they have internalised sexual and gender norms and their mythological aitia, and thus are ready to assume those roles. In a ritual under the auspices of the civic religion which aims at male control of their sexuality, they are presented to society as parthenoi, desirable brides (in this respect the rite could be termed one of 'social puberty' along the lines defined by Van Gennep). There will then follow a period of maturation (menarche to marriage) during which the parthenos will take place in other 'civilising' rituals and activities, such as those described in the section on Sappho above, before she is fully initiated by marriage.

This period, and the ages at which it takes place, varies according to social context: Hesiod says ἡ δὲ γυνὴ τέτορ' ἡβώοι, πέμπτῳ δὲ γαμοῖτο, 221 and a marital age of fifteen (supposing the completion of the arkteia at ten at the latest) is certainly usual for

²¹⁶ Alcm. fr. 1.64-69.

²¹⁷ Alcm. fr. 1.40, 46, 51-54, 55, 58, 71, 76, 101.

²¹⁸ Sourvinou-Inwood (1988) 127-34.

²¹⁹ Sourvinou-Inwood (1988) 21.

²²⁰ Sourvinou-Inwood (1988) 112, cf. Van Gennep (1960) 65-70.

²²¹ Hes. Op. 698.

Athens. In Sparta, Plutarch attests that girls married when they were ἀμαζούσας καὶ πεπείρους, 222 and therefore perhaps were initiated later – a greater seniority for the girls of the Partheneion might account for Griffiths' interpretation of the poem as an epithalamium. We cannot be certain of the 'initiatory' and marital ages of the girls on Lesbos – Sappho's 'epithalamial' songs display a similar degree of sexual self-awareness to the Partheneion, but we must remember that these were composed by Sappho and Alcman, who were probably not the same age as their choreutai, and thus might give a distorted poetic representation of this acculturation.

We may thus note a series of transformations undergone by Greek women in the passage to adulthood: from asexual childhood to marriageability, designated by the arkteia at Athens and partheneia at Sparta; an intermediate period between physical maturation and marriage in which other ritual activities (such as being a kanephoros, or a racing parthenos at Elis), 223 including perhaps other maiden choruses, were performed and the female homoerotic sentiments expressed in the partheneion found expression; and the final transition to adulthood represented by heterosexual marriage and the wedding song. Just as marriage itself was a composite and often extended process, so too was the progression to womanhood culminating in marriage and childbirth. Taken together, they constitute the process of initiation for a Greek woman.

Maturation rites signify separation from childhood, intermediary rituals are part of the liminal period of partheneia (virginity), and marriage rites begin the process of reintegration into a fully adult role. Van Gennep first noted that transitions may be expanded into tripartite sub-structures, ²²⁴ and this seems to be the case for female initiation. Sourvinou-Inwood saw

²²² Plut. Lyc. 15.3.2-3.

²²³ See p.56, n.189.

Van Gennep (1960) 11. He gives the example of betrothal, a liminal period between adolescence and marriage, but notes that 'the passage from adolescence to betrothal itself involves a special series of separation, a transition, and an incorporation into the betrothed condition; and the passage from the transitional

the rites at the beginning and end of this process (maturation and marriage) as enclosing and symbolically regulating the potentially dangerous female sexuality that developed in the intermediary period.²²⁵ As we have seen, participation in ritual during this period could also facilitate female acculturation, and offer a socially-sanctioned vehicle for the expression of sexuality in the poetry of this period. The partheneion and the epithalamium stand at opposite ends of this liminal period, and should not be conflated.²²⁶ Their shared language and topoi, however, suggest a shared ideology to these rites: both were part of an ongoing transition within which young girls were transformed into women, productive members of the adult community.²²⁷

From separation to incorporation: the epithalamium

The epithalamium is a separate category of song from both the partheneion, which deals with the entry into partheneia and looks forward to marriage, and from 'epithalamial' songs, which probably deal with this intermediary period and its termination upon marriage (Sappho fr. 16 etc). Here we come to deal with the epithalamia proper, of which only Sappho's fragments survive. Leaving aside the songs rejected as epithalamia above, we are left with a number of fragments that fall under three broad categories – although they include other, secondary topoi. They are composed in a variety of metres, and it is almost impossible to determine the form of most of them: only a few lines – or even less – are extant. There are songs which indicate **lamentation**, which often include images of 'plucking' and departure: frr. 104a, 105a, 105b, 107, perhaps 109 (the father will 'give' something – the bride?), and

period, which is betrothal, to marriage itself, is made through a series of rites of separation from the former, followed by rites consisting of transition, and rites of incorporation into marriage'.

²²⁵ Sourvinou-Inwood (1988) 29.

²²⁶ Cf. Parker (1993) 332, on Calame (1997:1) 167.

²²⁷ Cf. Swift (2006b) 169.

114 V. These images, however, are polysemic: both Hague and Griffith saw the 'plant' eikasmos, particularly in 105a, as a positive encomium on the bride's beauty. The question in fr. 107 V: $\hat{\eta}\rho$ ' έτι παρθενίας έπιβάλλομαι; moreover, may be rhetorical eikasmos and makarismos: possibly fr. 23, and frr. 104b, 106, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115 and 194A V. The image of the larger-than-life bridegroom is developed to pronounce a reverse encomium on the outsized doorkeeper in fr. 110 V. **Celebration** is represented in frr. 27, 30, 44, elements of 103, and 141, including the celebratory farewell to the bride and groom in frr. 116 and 117 V. These celebrations can be human, heroic or divine, and often show mixed-sex dance and song that is nonetheless structured according to gender and age.

Under a simple tripartite 'rite of passage' scheme, we might expect a progression of these songs from lamentation to celebration, perhaps via encomia. A similar structure occurs in Theocritus 18: the maidens complain that Menelaus should have left the bride with her mother and friends, pronounce encomia, speak of their former lives, pronounce eikasmos, express their longing and intention to establish a cult for Helen (reconciliation through memory), bid them farewell and wish for marital blessings, and invoke Hymenaios. ²³⁰ In Catullus 62, the protests of the girls against the cruelty of Hesperus and the loss of virginity are interspersed with the youths' praise of that star and the necessity of the bride's domestication, and invocations of Hymenaios. ²³¹ In Catullus, the youths have the last word (59-62) – did celebration triumph? In Nanhui Chinese bridal song, the bride ceased her

-

²²⁸ Odysseus' comparison of Nausicaa to a palm-tree (Hom. Od. 6.162-69) is one of our oldest extant examples of this type of praise; Hague (1983) 135, Griffiths (1989) 58-61 saw a positive point-by-point correspondence in this image, symbolising fertility, sweetness, passivity and modesty: the 'delayed marriage' behind the image of the near-forgotten or unreachable apple is held to be an index of the bride's desirability. Cf. Theoc. 18.26-31; Ch.6, pp.243-44.

²²⁹ Cf. Johnson (2007) 118.

²³⁰ Theoc. 18.9-15, 16-24, 26-37, 38-48, 49-57, 58.

²³¹ Cat. 62.20-24, 25, 26-30, 31, 32, 33-37, 38, 39-47, 48, 49-58, 58b.

lament as the bridegroom's house came in sight.²³² Continued protest goes against the culturally positive objective of the transition (and is thus most often expressed in tragedy). From those poems that make use of epithalamial imagery, we might posit the following schema, although this is a cautious and speculative suggestion:

²³² McLaren & Chen (2000) 216; cf. Introduction, pp.21-22; Ch.4, p.175.

Fragment	Aspect	Stage	Intertext
109	Giving: of bride?	Enguê?	
27	Celebration:	Preparation?	
	μέλπεσθ',	σ]τείχομεν γὰρ	
	χάρισσαι	ες γάμον.	
104a	Lament:	Procession	Cat. 62
	Hesperus/young	(separation)?	
	animal	Epithalamium?	Theoc. 18
104b	Celebration:	Procession	Cat. 62
	Hesperus	(reintegration)	
105a	Lament: plucked fruit	Procession	Cat. 62
		(separation)	G
105b	Lament: trampled	Procession	Cat. 62
111	flower	(separation)	
	Badinage: groom	Procession (end):	
		γάμβρος	
		†(εισ)έρχεται †	10
108	Encomium: of bride	Epithalamium	Theoc. 18
[23]	Encomium of bride	Epithalamium?	
	(eikasmos)	παν]νυχίσ[δ]ην	
30	Celebration/love:	Epithalamium:	
	ἀείδοισ[ι]ν	παννυχίσδοι[σ]αι	
	φ[ιλότατα		
110	Badinage:	Epithalamium	
	doorkeeper		10
112	Encomia	Epithalamium:	Theoc. 18
	(makarismos)	ἐκτετέλεστ'	
113	Encomium: of bride	Epithalamium	Theoc. 18
116	Celebration/rejoicing:	Epithalamium	Theoc. 18
	χαῖρε		
117	Celebration/rejoicing:	Epithalamium	Theoc. 18
	†χαίροις†,		
	χαιρέτω		
107	Lament: long for	Accomplishment:	
	virginity	έτι ἐπιβάλλομαι	
114	Lament: long for	Accomplishment:	
	virginity	λίποισ'	
		ἀ<π>οίχηι,	
		†οὐκέτι ἥχω†	
106	Encomium: of man	Unknown	
115	Encomium: of groom	Unknown	
	(eikasmos)		

Figure 1: reconstruction of epithalamial process

Our fragments do not offer a simple structure – a warning of the dangers of using Hellenistic and Roman poetry to reconstruct their archaic models. Indeed, a number of fragments actually go against the schema defined above. Fr. 27 V shows a scene of celebration as the participants are going to a wedding: σ]τείχομεν γὰρ ἐς γάμον (8). It seems to be addressed to the bride: καὶ γὰρ δὴ σὺ πάις ποτ[(4), and shows a number of festive activities: μέλπεσθ',]ζάλεξαι, ἄ]δρα χάρισσαι (5-7). There is no bridal lamentation in this scene. The bride herself does not speak, and the maidens who might protest on her behalf are to be sent away. ²³³ It ends with a moralising gnomê separating gods from mortals, like that in the Partheneion. ²³⁴ As in Alcman, the transition to adulthood (παίς ποτ[) seems to a subject of celebration, and an essential part of mortal lot.

In fr. 44 V, rather than Andromache's departure to Troy, her arrival there is presented as a cause of celebration for its people. Music is again a feature of the procession to Ilion, with a heavy emphasis on sacral elements: αῦλος δ' ἀδυ[μ] έλης, ψ[ό] φο[ς κ]ροτάλ[ων, μέλος ἄγινον, ἄχω θεσπεσία, κράτηρες| φίαλαί τ', μύρρα κα|ὶ κασία λίβανός τ' ὀνεμείχνυτο, γύναικες δ' ἐλέλυσδον, πάον' ὀνκαλέοντες, ὁμνην (23-34). Here we see a later stage in the procession. The departure has been accomplished in Thebe, and the major part of the journey to the bride's new home has already been made by ship: ἐνι ναῦσιν ἐπ' ἄλμυρον / πόντον (7-8). The Trojan procession forms part of Andromache's reintegration into the house of Priam. As the groom's philoi (Ἰλίαδαι, 13, Περάμοιο θυγ[α] τρες, 16), who will benefit by this marriage (not least through the extensive dowry described in vv.8-10), they have cause for celebration – even the women.

²³³ Sappho fr. 27.9-10 V: ἀλλ' ὅττι τάχιστα[/ πα]ρθένοις ἄπ[π]εμπε.

²³⁴ Sappho fr. 27.12-13 V, cf. Alcm. fr. 1.16-21, also noted by Campbell (1982) 77.

Even those fragments commonly associated with lamentation may have a double meaning, as previously mentioned.²³⁵ The image of a sheltered fruit or flower ripe for plucking (or of a protected space) in frr. 105a and b V, is used to lament the loss of the bride's virginity in Sophocles (post-transitional lamentation), Catullus, and modern Greek and Indian folk-song (anticipated loss).²³⁶ It cannot be definitively associated with the exact moment of separation – or, as in frr. 16 and 96 V, this separation may still be felt after the accomplishment of the transition. The bridegroom, however, is compared to a slender sapling (ὄρπακι βραδίνωι) in fr. 115 V, and 'garden' imagery is also used to praise Helen's beauty during the evening epithalamium (Theoc. 18.29-30).²³⁷ Neither context indicates lamentation. Hague associates such encomia with the wedding feast, although she admits that 'almost all the songs for every part of the ceremony compliment the couple by means of this technique'.²³⁸ Intriguingly, she associates naturalistic imagery with the locus amoenus:

The comparison of the bride or groom to a plant removes the couple from their village to the landscape of a garden or meadow, to a simple life, of the type men led before they developed such niceties as beds, the way of life of the city of hogs described by Plato (Republic 372 a-d) in which men recline on beds of leaves strewn with yew and myrtle. This was a time when a young girl alone might expect to be ravished by a god, for a meadow or garden is often the setting for such surprises, as well as for the love-making of the gods.²³⁹

Lamentation for the loss of virginity goes hand-in-hand with the representation of burgeoning sexuality implicated in the 'plucking' motif. On the one hand, the transition may be a cause

²³⁵ See p.67.

²³⁶ Cf. Introduction, pp.15-22.

²³⁷ Ch.6, pp.244.

²³⁸ Hague (1983) 132-33.

²³⁹ Hague (1983) 135.

of apprehension; on the other, it is a natural progression and may be eagerly anticipated, as it is for the women of Alcman fr. 4A and for Nausicaa in the Odyssey.²⁴⁰ The image contributes to the poetic representation of a seductive setting for love, facilitating the erôs between the bride and groom. It may even be used as an erotic pun, as in Aristophanes' Peace.²⁴¹ The meaning may vary depending on the gender, age, and marital status of the singer, and perhaps also the stage of the ceremony at which it is sung. Likewise, a lament for the loss of virginity may be articulated after marriage, as in frr. 107 and 114 V, suggesting that marriage produced mixed feelings often at odds with the tripartite progression imagined by Van Gennep. The reintegration of Sappho's brides may not have been as traumatic as that of Deianeira and Procne, but it was nonetheless a potentially troubled period of acclimatisation to a new environment.

It is therefore worth considering a cautious construction of the sequence of wedding songs as defined above. It is for the most part consistent with the idea of transition, and the exceptions do not necessarily disprove this hypothesis. Attitudes to marriage are characterised by some degree of ambivalence in both ancient and modern Greek wedding song, and the same topoi could be used to express a number of different perspectives. There is no single, holistic viewpoint (masculine or feminine) on marriage, and this schema is not absolute: what it shows is a general cultural ideal of a progression from modest protest to eventual acceptance and acculturation. It also indicates that just as the designation of song is not absolute, neither were the events of the wedding ritual. Separation might begin at enguê, but if the bride was betrothed as a child, marriage rites would properly begin with the preparations for marriage: the proteleia and numphokomos. Transition was physically

_

²⁴⁰ Hom. Od. 6.66 (θαλερον γάμον), also 163-69.

²⁴¹ Ar. Pax. 1340-43, cf. also Winkler (1981) 79-81, suggesting that the reddening $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda o \nu$ is a clitoral image. Henderson (1975) 134-36 identifies several comparable terms in comedy for the female genital region, but none referring specifically to this organ. See Ch.5, esp. p.215.

Alexiou (1974) 42 records a Pontic funeral custom of laughing and saying 'there's never a funeral without joy, nor a wedding without a tear'.

expressed by the wedding procession, but in reality a woman occupied a liminal space from maturation until first lochia, when she had fulfilled her ultimate function as 'wife' by bearing a child to her new oikos. Finally, reintegration, despite the symbology implied in the wedding, was not an immediate process, and acceptance of the new life in place of the old might take some time, as fr. 96 V and our Sophoclean laments demonstrate. This was recognised by the ancients, and Plutarch counsels the husband's patience in a treatise that owes much to the epithalamium (Mor. 138D6-E5).²⁴³ The sequence of epithalamia could reflect the transition from separation to reintegration, in which the couple, especially the bride, are praised for their accomplishment of the marriage. Yet it is overly simplistic to think that this whole passage took place within the course either of one song, or a sequence of songs. How might it actually be achieved?

An examination of the other topoi used by Sappho in the epithalamia is useful at this point. The fragments discussed at the outset of this chapter are deeply erotic, and though they are not epithalamia per se, nonetheless depict a socially-sanctioned eroticism to which a young woman was acculturated in preparation for her marriage: this erotic imagery was shared with the epithalamium. The eroticism of the epithalamia was remarked upon in antiquity: Himerius speaks of the 'rites of Aphrodite' and Sappho's creation of the atmosphere for the accomplishment – consummation – of marriage:

...τὰ δὲ ᾿Αφροδίτης ὄργια <μόνη> παρῆκαν τῆ Λεσβία Σαπφοῖ {καὶ} ἄδειν πρὸς λύραν καὶ ποιεῖν <ἀδὴν> τὸν θάλαμον· ἡ καὶ εἰσῆλθε μετὰ τοὺς ἀγῶνας εἰς θάλαμον, πλέκει παστάδα, τὸ λέχος (᾿Ομήρου) στρώννυσι, †γράφει† παρθένους <εἰς> νυμφεῖον, ἄγει καὶ ᾿Αφροδίτην ἐφ᾽ ἄρμα<τι> Χαρίτων, καὶ χορὸν Ἐρώτων συμπαίστορα. καὶ τῆς μὲν

²⁴³ Ch.7, p.266.

ύακίνθω τὰς κόμας σφίγξασα, πλην όσαι μετώπω μερίζονται, τὰς λοιπάς ταὶς αύραις ἀφῆκεν ὑποκυμαίνειν, εἰ πλήττοιεν. τῶν δὲ τὰ πτερά καὶ τοὺς βοστρύχους χρυσῷ κομήσασα πρὸ τοῦ δίφρου σπεύδει πομπεύοντας καὶ δάδα κινοῦντας μετάρσιον.244

Writers in antiquity (particularly those writing epithalamia, as Himerius here) perceived Sappho's poetry as creating an erotic space for the consummation of marriage. This marital erôs might seem to be a feminine construction but it, and manipulations of it, became integral to male hymeneal discourse, as later chapters will demonstrate. The fear of violence expressed in tragedy by the Danaids, Deianeira, and Procne is absent from Himerius' space. 245 So are the anxieties of separation evident in other epithalamia and fr. 94 V. There is only the wedding song, contests, a garlanded room and a bed, a chorus of girls, and Aphrodite on the Graces' chariot, attended by the Erotes.

The setting for reintegration is thus one of seduction. It features the accourrements of erotic acculturation familiar from fr. 94 V: music, garlands, bed, and female chorus, as well as the presence (real or imagined) of the gods of love. In such a way, the fulfilment of marriage is constructed as a divinely-sanctified occasion, for which the bride would have been prepared through the activities undertaken both during maturation/'tribal initiation' and during the intermediary period between maturation and marriage. These erotic aspects are present throughout Sappho's poetry, and her epithalamia and 'epithalamial' songs are no exception. Fr. 112 V best demonstrates the construction of an erotic space by the poet, within which the relationship between the bride and groom should develop. The bridegroom's marriage has been fulfilled as he prayed: σοὶ μεν δὴ γάμος ὡς ἄραο / Ἐκτετέλεστ' (1-

²⁴⁴ Sappho fr. 194 V (= Him. Or. 9.4 p.75s. Col), cf. Ar. Lys. 832, Ach. Tat. 4.1.2, Euseb. Laud. Const. 7.3.3, 7.4.7, Schol. Ap. Rhod. 3.1-5. ²⁴⁵ Cf. Introduction, pp.16-17.

2). We soon learn why the girl has been prayed for (πάρθενον, ἂν ἄραο, 2). She possesses charis (3), erôs and himeros (4). Aphrodite has honoured her - like our other brides and bride-figures, she stands out beyond others (ἔξοχά, 5).

Charis is repeated in fr. 108 V (ὧ κάλα, ὧ χαρίεσσα κόρα) and in the celebrations of fr. 27 V discussed above. 246 The Graces themselves, along with the Muses, are summoned in fr. 103.8 V: ἄγναι Χάριτες Πιέριδέ[ς τε] Μοῖ[σαι (similar verses exist in frr. 53 and 128). Erôs appears again in fr. 23.1 V, as eraton to describe Anactoria's walk in fr. 16.17 V, and as philotês in fr. 30.4 V. Himeros is used to describe the girl's laughter in fr. 31.5 and Atthis in 96.16 V. Aphrodite appears again at fr. 96.26 V, and we should include pothos in fr. 94.23 and Peitho at 96.29 V. 247 The intermediary period prior to marriage and the wedding itself both make use of the imagery of love: by the time a girl marries, this space is familiar to her, but its desire is now expressed in heteroerotic terms. It would not be unintuitive to suggest that the transition of marriage, particularly the reintegration whose climax is the consummation, is (at least rhetorically) accomplished through the agency of erôs. Later texts even show the deployment of such topoi in order to effect by logos the acceptance of the marital transition, if prior acculturation and preparation proves insufficient. In the exodos of Aeschylus' Supplices, Aphrodite is honoured next to Hera, and given a retinue of Pothos, Peitho, Harmonia and the Erotes. These are used to emphasise the desirability of marriage to the unwilling Danaids (τίεται δ' αιολόμητις / θεὸς ἔργοις ἐπὶ σεμνοῖς, 1036-37) and insist on its inevitability (1050-51). ²⁴⁸ Menander Rhetor exhorts his bridegroom to acceptance with reference to the beauty of the bride, the seductive locus of consummation, and the attendant gods. 249

²⁴⁶ Sappho fr. 27.7 V.

²⁴⁸ Ch.2, pp.192-93.
²⁴⁹ Men. Rhet. 407.3-14; cf. Ch.2, pp.91-92; Ch.8, p. 314.

The desired effect on the addressees of such erotic exhortation must be the development of erôs and charis between the bride and bridegroom, including (but not limited to) the sexual relationship founded on the wedding night. The encomia for the physical beauty of both may also function not simply as public praise, but also as inspiration for such erôs. Makarismos lends additional weight to this positive valuation of marriage intriguingly, in Sappho this focuses on the blessedness of the groom for his possession of the bride. He has prayed for her; there is no other like her. ²⁵⁰ The epithalamium stresses the value of a wife to a husband as much as a husband to a wife. This implies a far more mutual (if still unequal) relationship than either male-oriented or female-oriented poetry supposes, as one might expect from a communal genre directed towards the continuation of the community. This illuminates the celebratory aspects of the genre: lamentation for a drastic change in circumstances might be expected, but on the whole, that change should be seen as positive. The parthenos has become a gunê, a productive member of society, cara uiro magis et minus est inuisa parenti.²⁵¹

The effect on the speakers of such discourse also bears investigation. We know from Sappho, and Himerius confirms, that maidens sang these songs, presumably at the weddings of friends and relations, and presumably in the intermediary period before they too were married. I suggest that just as girls participating in partheneia or the arkteia might be expected, as part of a maturation rite, to internalise the social and sexual norms embodied by their ritual activities; so too would performance of epithalamia for other people during an acculturation period cause them to internalise the ideologies behind these songs. Marriage songs were part of the process that, for their performers, might end in marriage. Participation in these songs demonstrates accord with the community's view of marital relationships, and an understanding of the part the performers will play – both in lamentation and celebration.

 ²⁵⁰ Sappho frr. 112.1-2, 113 V.
 ²⁵¹ Cat. 62.58; cf. Introduction, p.24.

These were not, however, exclusively female songs: men also participated. What could such sentiments signify when expressed through a male lens? Again, this could demonstrate the acceptance and internalisation of wider cultural values. If mixed-sex choral performance is assumed, we can allow no less a didactic function to the male chorus than to the female. Indeed, as Swift argues, the mixed-sex wedding chorus indicated the interdependence of gender roles in society at large²⁵² – a macrocosm of the mutual relationship privileged by the wedding song. Men might sing of the 'taming' and 'cultivation' of the female, but this is no more the whole story than the female bridal lament. Older women (and indeed men) whose own transitions had been accomplished may join in: the whole of society joins together to affirm and replicate its own values.

The wedding songs of Sappho (particularly those containing naturalistic imagery) and 'epithalamial' fragments might then be used differently by different gender, age and status groups, and for different rhetorical purposes. But they are not necessarily the product of a female 'subculture', 'occupied with the vital activities customarily assigned to women by a cultural division of labour – the tasks of domesticity, including sexuality, reproduction, and nurturing, and the ceremonies surrounding the human life cycle'. 253 They can be said to be 'woman-oriented' in that they focus on the central event of female life, 254 marriage, but a qualification must be made. Marriage is part of a greater transition that spans a woman's life from pre-menarche to childbirth, and must be seen as part of this transition rather than isolated from it. This transition took place within a communal and civic set of rituals, rather than a private, feminine space. Marriage does not only involve women. A wedding must have a bridegroom, which frr. 16. 94 and 96 V do not. The event affects him, and the community, as well as the bride, and it is regrettable that the parameters of this thesis do not allow for

²⁵² Swift (2006a) 133-35, (2006b) 173; see Introduction, p.14. ²⁵³ Skinner (1996) 179.

²⁵⁴ Wilson (1996) 142.

greater discussion of the male experience of marriage.²⁵⁵ Plato, in the fourth century BC, singles out song and dance as the 'basic medium of transmitting an awareness of cultural values to the young', 256 and society's valuation of a successfully accomplished transition, from separation/lamentation to reintegration/celebration through the internalisation of erotic and acculturating imagery, should be central to our understanding of the wedding song.

²⁵⁵ See, however, my discussions on Prometheus in Ch. 2, Phaethon in Ch. 4, the Aristophanic bridegrooms in Ch. 5, the parity of Hellenistic marital experience in Ch. 6, and the reluctant bridegroom of Menander Rhetor's Peri Kateunastikou in Ch. 8. ²⁵⁶ Skinner (1996) 185 cf. Plat. Leg. 2.654a6-7.

CHAPTER TWO

THE TRAGIC HYMENAIOS: AESCHYLUS

The lyric wedding song articulates, through various combinations of imagery, the integration of the bride into her husband's home (and the couple into the community) and the subordination of her fertility to the oikos, on behalf of the social and cosmic order embodied by the polis. This ritual is a source of both trauma and celebration to the initiand bride and to the community. She is separated from her former life in a ritual akin to death. She and her philoi must adapt to this loss, and her new family must adapt to integrate the new gunê. At the same time, she is honoured as a blessing to the groom and a benefit to her new community, and the potential violence of the physical transition to adulthood may be elided, or that transition facilitated, by the seductive and erotic topoi of the epithalamium.

In tragedy, this transition tends to fail spectacularly. Some transitions are abhorred and avoided, such as that of the Danaids.²⁵⁹ Some are never accomplished or are disrupted, such as those of Electra, Antigone, Deianeira and Phaethon.²⁶⁰ At best, some are politicised to highlight other social transitions, as with the marriage of Prometheus and Hesione.²⁶¹ Many are perverted or improperly performed, like the rapes of Helen and Cassandra or the sacrifice of Iphigenia.²⁶² The consequence of this failure is a lack of reintegration. The dangerous sexual potentiality demarcated by maturation and marriage rites is not contained and causes increasing disruption of the oikos, polis and cosmos. The following chapters will examine how the tragedians deal with this crisis, and how the epithalamium is used by them.

2

²⁵⁷ For 'bridal initiation', see Introduction, p.25, 33-35; Ch. 1, esp. pp.66-69.

²⁵⁸ Ch.1, pp.73-76.

²⁵⁹ See pp. 89-97.

²⁶⁰ Ch.3; Ch.4, pp.137-60.

²⁶¹ See nn 97-98

²⁶² See pp.102-8; Ch.4, pp.161-208.

Tufte coins the term 'anti-epithalamium' to remark the tragic usage of this genre:

Instead of expressing joy over a proper union, the anti-epithalamium expresses lamentation or foreboding over a union which for some reason is improper or unsanctioned, and thus presages tragedy, death, dissension, revenge, murder, war, or other disruptions or order and nature...In general, the anti-epithalamium is a poem or excerpt using epithalamic devices in an expression of unhappiness, disorder, and evil associated with an improper union.²⁶³

I will argue that tragedy uses the wedding song not only to express dramatic irony, as above, but also deliberately to invoke the marital transition and its inherent connotations, in order to comment on significant issues within the text – and that it does so with an acute awareness of the way in which that crisis was enacted (or perceived to be enacted) within its own cultural milieu.

Whether by accident (i.e., due to the number of plays extant) or by design, the motif of the hymenaios becomes increasingly prevalent in later tragedy: most instances of the term occur in Euripides, who not only speaks of the occasion of the song's performance but also uses whole wedding songs in his plays. Aeschylus and Sophocles linguistically evoke the hymenaios to articulate different issues surrounding the transition. This chapter will examine how Aeschylus develops the wedding song and what this may tell us about marriage and gender relations.

²⁶³ Tufte (1970) 38. She identifies four recurring and overlapping patterns in this usage: to remark on the absence of the ritual and order customarily associated with marriage; to remark on the presence of epithalamic trappings that function in reverse; instead of seeking to repel the elements and symbols of evil omen as is customary in the wedding ritual and epithalamium, it summons them or remarks on their presence; or to place a conventional epithalamium in a situation already tragic or horrible, or about to become so.

Suppliant Maidens: arrested transition

Three of Aeschylus' seven complete plays deal with, or use the motif of, songs of marriage. Of these, Supplices is the most problematic and at the same time the most fertile ground for inquiry. While the play does not overtly use the term hymenaios, the concept permeates the work. The tradition in Hyginus even suggests that the wedding song was founded in Greece as a result of the action of the plays: ...quinto loco Argis quos fecit Danaus Beli filius filiarum nuptiis cantu, unde hymenaeus dictus, 264 and moreover, one of the surviving fragments (43 R) seems to suggest that a diegertikon (awakening song) was included in the trilogy:

κάπειτα δ' εύτε λαμπρον ήλίου φάος ἕως ἐγείρῃ πρευμενεῖς τοὺς νυμφίους νόμοισι θέντων σὺν κόροις τε καὶ κόραις.²⁶⁵

The daughters of Danaus flee to Argos to avoid enforced marriage with their cousins the Aigyptids (Supp. 8-10). If Seaford is correct and much of Hyginus derives from the tragedy, ²⁶⁶ eventually they marry them but (all except Hypermestra) murder the bridegrooms on their wedding night, and then remarry in Greece. This story forms the tragic aition for the institution of the wedding song – which would then become a central theme of the trilogy. That many of the songs of the Danaids evoke or resemble epithalamia supports this hypothesis, as does the tragedian's use of similar aitia to form the conclusions of his

²⁶⁴ Hyg. Fab. 273.

²⁶⁵ Aesch. fr. 43 R.

²⁶⁶ Seaford (1987) 116. Garvie (1969) 167: Hermann and Croiset agree that Hyginus is probably closer to Aeschylus, though other scholars have favoured Ps.-Apollonius as more closely reproducing the plots of the trilogy. Neither of these are entirely unproblematic, however: while Hyginus appears to agree with Aeschylus in making the proposed marriage the motive, not the sequel, to the Danaids' flight, Ps.-Apollonius presupposes a version in which the marriage and murder had already taken place in Egypt. Garvie sees this account as contaminated and not entirely dependent upon Aeschylus – but states that the same is true of Hyginus.

Prometheia and Oresteia trilogies.²⁶⁷ Aeschylus uses imagery familiar from Sappho's wedding songs, and an analysis of this may yield clues as to the role of this genre in tragedy. What motifs are used in the drama, and how and when are they used? How far do the choral odes of Supplices formally resemble wedding songs, and what role do they play in Supplices and the trilogy as a whole?

One of the most recurrent epithalamial motifs in Supplices is that of bridal lamentation. While Tufte argues (above) that the lamenting anti-epithalamium is a tragic reversal of the norm, the Greek wedding song in its traditional lyric form contains elements of lamentation by the bride or her friends for the life left behind as in Sappho frr. 107 and 114 V, discussed in the previous chapter (the latter in particular bears structural similarities to the antiphonal lament for Adonis in fr. 140 V). Similarly, in their first choral ode, the Danaids lament the loss of carefree maidenhood:

δάπτω τὰν ἁπαλὰν Νειλοθερῆ παρειὰν

απειρόδακρύν τε καρδίαν.²⁶⁸

More explicitly, maidens on the cusp of marriage turn what should be a wedding hymn into a funeral dirge, which they chant while still living: $\zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \gamma \acute{o} \iota \zeta \mu \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$ (116). This association of marriage with death is repeated throughout the play (particularly in the parodos, first episode and second stasimon): they rend their own veils as would mourners (131-33) before threatening to hang themselves (154-61, 455-65, 787-90) if their supplication

²⁶⁷ In the Prometheia, it is likely that the Athenian festival of Promethea was established in commemoration of his binding and release, and subsequent voluntary binding by a ring of stone and iron (Athen. 15.674d8-11, cf. Griffith (1983) 303); the Oresteia explains the establishment of the Areopagus murder court. All three aitia are, speculatively, the result of the final victory of peithô over bia in the trilogies. ²⁶⁸ Aesch. Supp. 70-72; see Introduction, pp.15-22.

is not heeded, prefer Hades to the Aigyptids (791), and state that they would rather die than suffer this marriage:

έλθέτω μόρος, πρὸ κοί-

τας γαμηλίου τυχών. 269

A girl who died before marriage was often imagined to have instead married death/Hades, as is demonstrated by a 'Sapphic' epigram:

Τιμάδος άδε κόνις, τὰν δὴ πρὸ γάμοιο θανοῦσαν

δέξατο Φερσεφόνας κυάνεος θάλαμος.270

Other Greek funerary epigrams use the same topos.²⁷¹ This image is connected with the perception of marriage as itself as a kind of 'death', in which the bride's blood is shed in the consummation of the rite and she assumes a new identity as a gunê. 272 In tragedy, the two telê often become conflated and a marriage becomes a funeral – as a result, the ritualised lament for separation apparent in many epithalamia becomes an actual thrênos, and the protest against the fate of the bride turns into that for her death. ²⁷³ From antiquity to the present day the wedding song has maintained this interchangeable character, and Greek

²⁶⁹ Aesch. Supp. 804-5. ²⁷⁰ AP 7.489.1-2.

²⁷¹ AP 7.182, 486, 487, 488, 490 & 491.

83

²⁷² Sissa (1990) esp. 106-123 discusses this 'dramatised execution', particularly in relation of the death of the wedding god Hymenaios (cf. Introduction, pp.2-5). Though the bride may 'die' socially as a parthenos, this does not seem to be related in Greek thought to the destruction of the hymen (cf. p.4 n.10), nuptial bloodshed being instead explained by the painful reaction of the vagina to first penetration. It is perhaps significant that Aphrodite in Aesch. fr. 44.1 R describes penetration as 'wounding' (τρῶσαι). Compare Deianeira's death in Soph. Trach. 912-35; Ch. 3, p.132. ²⁷³ E.g. Eur. IA 1036-97.

moirológia are sung for those who have married as well as those who have died, emigrated, or changed their religion. The role of blood in marital imagery pertains not just to the loss of virginity, but also the blood of childbirth and the continuance of the bloodline (an important feature in the citizen-marriages under discussion). As such, it features significantly in marital travesty, but here the Danaids go one step further, threatening to take the poetic topos into their own hands and pre-empt marriage by death: a bloodless death by hanging rather than by the sword with its connotations of sexual penetration and maternity. They seek to avoid what they view as personal pollution ($\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu ... \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta}$, 9) by polluting the altars of the city with death in a sacred space, turning on its head the topos of hanging traditionally committed inside (especially inside the thalamos) by Greek women. Their sexuality, and rejection of sexuality, enters the public sphere.

Already the discourse of the wedding song appears to indicate a crisis between the feminine (personal) and masculine (civic) ideals, which, though it is momentarily averted by the Argives' decision to honour the maidens' supplication, is yet foreboded ($\pi\rho o \phi o \theta o u \mu \alpha u$, 1044) in the play's exodos. The strident lamentation for the perceived death in the marriage bed is muted to a sense of foreboding for death to follow by the end of the play, having reached its climax in the ode preceding the Herald's appearance. As the Chorus catch sight of their suitors putting in to land at Argos and the hated consummation seems inevitable, their fear is so great that they wish to disappear (779-83) or, failing that, to die. Significantly, the imagery of explicit violence and rape, absent from the lyric epithalamium, also reaches

²⁷⁴ Alexiou (1974) 116, 120; Introduction, pp.19-20; also Holst-Warhaft (1992) 40-43.

²⁷⁵ Cf. King (1983) 119, Loraux (1987) 15. Interestingly, Loraux argues that death by hanging is the result of the excessive valuation of the status of 'bride'/numphê: the very state abhorred by the Danaids. Though they reject sexuality, the poet, however, stresses their nubile status.

²⁷⁶ Soph. OT 1263-64 (Jocasta), Ant. 1220-21 (Antigone), Eur. Hipp. 777-81 (Phaedra).

²⁷⁷ Cf. Sappho fr. 94.1 V, Soph. Trach. 15-17.

²⁷⁸ See Ch.1, p.60-61.

its crescendo in this scene, with the threats of the Herald (and the possible appearance, as has been suggested, of a subsidiary Chorus of Aigyptids): ²⁷⁹

οὐκοῦν †οὐκοῦν

τιλμοί τιλμοί καί στιγμοί,

πολυαίμων φόνιος

ἀποκοπὰ κρατός.280

As the trilogy progresses, it is possible that lamentation was juxtaposed with the traditional wedding song, in the diegertikon fragment (43 R) that must precede the discovery of the murdered bridegrooms and subsequent mourning. Seaford notes that:

This is a fine example of an idea to which tragedy constantly returns: the often horrific contrast between songs opposed in mood.²⁸¹

I believe that this juxtaposition represents more than a dramatic trope of antithetical songs. It is part of a progression from lamentation, which reaches its peak in the mid-point of Supplices and fades to a sense of unease towards the end of that play; to lamentation amidst exultation as we discover the telos of death has been substituted for that of marriage; to the wedding song 'proper' of which the trilogy may form the tragic aition. Because of the events which make up this aition, the wedding song never quite loses its threnodic character, but the imagery would seem to move towards a resolution throughout the trilogy, and is

²⁷⁹ Garvie (1969) 193.

Aesch. Supp. 838-41. The simultaneous climax of fear and lamentation on one hand, and of violence and rape imagery on the other, may indeed lend weight to Macurdy's (1944:96) conjecture that the hubris of the Aigyptids constitutes the reason for the Danaids' flight. ²⁸¹ Seaford (1987) 115.

representative of the movement from rejection to acceptance of marriage and sexuality embodied in the speech of Aphrodite (fr. 44 R) discussed below.

The Danaids' asexual preferences are expressed further by the poet's use of another hymeneal motif, the mythical 'meta-epithalamium'. These wedding songs within wedding songs are used to elevate the participants in the ceremony to the divine or heroic level by comparison with the mythical protagonists, and are often used in drama to comment upon the action of the nuptials in which they are performed. In the first two odes, the Chorus tell of the courtship of Zeus and their $\mu\alpha\tau\rho\dot{o}\varsigma$ $\dot{\alpha}\rho\chi\alpha\dot{\iota}\alpha\varsigma$ (51), Io. Both songs elide Zeus' usual sexual aggression towards mortal women (and indeed, his violent courtship of Io in another possibly Aeschylean play, Prometheus Bound) and narrate the conception of the offspring of this union, Epaphus, through the caress of Zeus' hand (Ě $\phi\alpha\psi\nu$, 47).

Though in their dialogue with the king the Danaids use the usual verb for sexual intercourse to describe the union ($\mu\epsilon\iota\chi\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, 295), in their songs the affair is idealised, and far from causing the symbolic 'death' of a previously untroubled maiden, Zeus instead soothes ($\theta\epsilon\lambda\xi\alpha\varsigma$, 471-72) the tormented Io. By using the traditional lyric function of the meta-epithalamium to assimilate themselves with their mythical ancestor, the Danaids use this topos in its tragic mode to pass comment on their own situation – to insist upon their own inviolability by intercourse:

σπέρμα σεμνᾶς μέγα ματρὸς εὐνας ἀνδρῶν, ε ε, ἄγαμον ἀδάματον ἐκφυγεῖν.²⁸³

²⁸² E.g. Sappho frr. 44, 103, 141 V; Ar. Av. 1719-65; Eur. Pha. 227-44, IA 1036-97; Theoc. 18; Cat. 61, 64. Aesch. Supp. 141-43.

86

The meta-epithalamium has a very specific purpose in this context – it is used very narrowly at the outset to establish a parallel with Io and her conception without penetration. This insistence upon asexuality is reminiscent of the virgin goddess Athena, who remains an eternal parthenos at the side of her father Zeus – and certainly there are indications in Supplices that the maidens (like Sophocles' Electra and Antigone) are excessively devoted, or in thrall, to their patriline. Their violent avoidance of marriage might be seen to align them with the equally un-Greek Amazons, yet their alignment with Danaus and emphasis on virginity suggests otherwise. Eternal virginity is not, however, an acceptable course for the mortal maiden, and I suggest that some external factor has disrupted the usual and anticipated transition from parthenos to gunê – suggestions range from the hubris of the Aigyptids, to Aeschylus' expression of the anxiety of the position of the epiklêros in Athenian law, to Danaus' almost overweening control over his daughters' sexuality and fertility:

μόνον φύλαξαι τάσδ' ἐπιστολὰς πατρός, τὸ σωφρονεῖν τιμῶσα τοῦ βίου πλέον.²⁸⁷

This burgeoning reproductivity, untouchable by man, is more suggestive of the sexually-charged virginity of Artemis than the asexuality of Athena, and by situating the Danaids within the sphere of this goddess, the play insists upon their character as prospective brides at the same time as they themselves refuse that state. This tension is expressed by imagery

_

²⁸⁴ These maidens, however, regret that their alignment with their paternal family has caused them to remain unmarried (Soph. El. 958-66, Ant. 806-943), and Electra convinces Chrysothemis that an honourable marriage will be hers, having avenged Agamemnon: El. 967-71.

²⁸⁵ Macurdy (1944) 96.

²⁸⁶ Thompson (1973) 290-91 (1941:303-4), refuted in Macurdy (above); Seaford (1987) 117-19.

²⁸⁷ Aesch. Supp. 1012-13, Zeitlin (1996) 143, Sommerstein (1996) 147. An annotation on Supp. 37 suggests that this may be because of an oracle proclaiming the death of Danaus himself at the hands of his son-in-law (pp.144-5). Garvie (2006) xviii-xix. refutes this interpretation of the scholion, but as Sommerstein (2008) 284-85 notes, this does not mean Aeschylus did not use the oracle story in the trilogy – vv.1006-9 indicate that Danaus wished to preserve his daughters' virginity not just from their cousins, but absolutely.

familiar from wedding songs throughout the Greek tradition – that of the young animal or sheltered plant.²⁸⁸ While they reject the outcome of their sexuality, the maidens describe themselves in terms that emphasise it: they are like prey in fear of predators, an image common in the modern Greek wedding song;²⁸⁹ in both this image and in their hoped-for assimilation with Io they compare themselves to the heifer (ὡς δάμαλιν, 351) an image which is thought to derive from Sappho: φέρηις ὄιν, φέρηις αίγα, φέρηις ἄπυ μάτερι $πα \hat{i} δα (fr. 104(a) V).^{290}$

Even Danaus acknowledges his daughters' latent sexuality with a comparison to tender fruits:

τέρειν' ὀπώρα δ' εὐφύλακτος οὐδαμῶς.

θηρες σφε κηραίνουσι καὶ βροτοί· τί μήν; 291

This is a traditional epithalamial image (οἶον τὸ γλυκύμαλον..., Sappho fr. 105(a) V). 292 The positioning of this imagery within Supplices may be significant. Unlike outright lamentation, which recurs throughout (moderated towards the end), or the metaepithalamium, which is placed at the beginning of the text, plant and animal imagery (used in lyric wedding songs to protest at the bride's separation from the 'sheltered garden' of childhood, to represent the acculturation of the bride to her new social role, or to create a locus amoenus within which this acculturation will take place) occurs only in spoken

²⁸⁸ See Introduction, pp.15-22. This image of undomesticated nature again emphasises the bride's Artemisian aspect: 'It is possible to perceive that the core of her personality is a concern with transitions and transitional marginal places, such as marshes, junctions of land and water and so on, and marginal situations' (OCD s.v. 'Artemis'). The seeming emphasis of the trilogy on dramatic and ritual transition might place the action in the sphere of this goddess, although (as in 'real-life' marriage) it is Aphrodite who triumphs.

Aesch. Supp. 223-25, 351-52, 510-11; cf. Seaford (1987) 111.

²⁹⁰ Seaford (1986) 52, cf. later uses in Soph. Trach. 529-30 and Eur. IA 1082-89.

²⁹¹ Aesch. Supp. 998-99.

²⁹² See esp.Introduction, pp.15-16.

episodes – not choral songs. Given the popularity of this motif in nuptial poetry, why does it not occur in the Danaids' songs, which so resemble traditional wedding songs?

I suggest that this is expressive of the emotional state of the Chorus expressed in the lyrics of the play. In reasoned dialogue with other characters, the maidens are willing to countenance their own sexual maturity, and both they and their father express this in terms of the imagery appropriate to the numphê (in the same way that they speak of the sexual union of Zeus and Io in their supplication to the king). When their heightened emotional state prompts them to lyrics, it is indeed as though their fear betrays a 'pathological aversion' to sexuality, and they deny this sexuality, even in its negative expression of 'plucking', both on their own behalf and that of Io. By doing so, it is possible that they also desexualise the image of the 'young animal' by insisting in song on the asexual reproduction of the heifer.

As they vacillate between acceptance and rejection of their own fertility, the Danaids praise the bounty of Argos. They wish for the city to be governed well, in awe of the gods (τὼς πόλις εὖ νέμοιτο / Ζῆνα μέγαν σεβόντων, 670-71) and to be reproduced by successful childbirth (Αρτεμιν δ' ἑκάταν γυναικῶν λόχους ἐφορεύειν, 676-77). The works of Ares are rejected (678-83),²⁹³ and the earth encouraged to be bountiful:

καρποτελη δέ τοι Ζεὺς ἐπικραινέτω φέρματι γῶν πανώρωι, 294

The wish for agricultural and civic fertility and stability resembles those of the comic exodoi, in which the processional hymenaios of which this motif is part signals the 'happy ending' for

02

²⁹³ Compare the exodos of Aristophanes' Peace: 1319, 24, 27. This song is itself thought to replicate the traditional hymenaios (Maas 1914:131).

Aesch. Supp. 688-90, cf. Ar. Pax. 1321-23. Similar 'civilising' sentiments are expressed about Hymenaios in Cat. 61 and by the iuuenes of Cat. 62.34-37.

the protagonists and their communities.²⁹⁵ In Aeschylus' play it may serve a similar (though not identical), yet ironic function, as, in the second stasimon, the Chorus rejoice that their struggles seem to be at an end and that they have come to be part of a just society:

άγε δή λέξωμεν ἐπ' Αργείοις εύχὰς ἀγαθάς ἀγαθῶν ποινάς.296

We have, however, already seen how the Danaids' songs rule out any possibility of their integration through marriage and childbirth into any society of which they are a part. As they wish for these blessings upon their new city and praise it for its dikê, at the same time their statement ἄζονται γὰρ ὁμαίμους (651) removes them from this equation, as if the Argives had after all vindicated the maidens' removal from the normative cycle of civic life.

Even their wish for blessings upon their new home is overshadowed by a negative perception of fertility, particularly within marriage. For if marriage is the initiation into adulthood for young women as war is for young men,²⁹⁷ the 'plucking' of a maiden's virginity is likened to death by association with the image of the culling of the flower of youth in battle:

ήβας δ' ἄνθος ἄδρεπτον ἔστω, μηδ' 'Αφροδίτας εὐνάτωρ βροτολοιγὸς Α-

²⁹⁵ See Ch.5, esp. p.225.
²⁹⁶ Aesch. Supp. 625-26.
²⁹⁷ Vernant (1999) 19-20 (= 1968: 15).

ρης κέρσειεν ἄωτον.²⁹⁸

That Ares is specifically named as the bed-mate of Aphrodite in this ode suggests the overwhelming power of the goddess as the Danaids perceive it. They fear subjection by her $(\mu\eta\delta'\ \dot{\upsilon}\pi'\ \dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\gamma\kappa\alpha\varsigma\ /\ \gamma\dot{\alpha}\mu\circ\varsigma\ \dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\circ\iota$ Κυθερείαι / στυγερὸν πέλοι τόδ' $\dot{\alpha}\theta\lambda\circ\nu$, 1031-33), yet this is a subjection which every living being must experience, and one in which she glories:

ἐρὰ μὲν ἁγνὸς οὐρανὸς τρῶσαι χθόνα, ἔρως δὲ γαῖαν λαμβάνει γάμου τυχεῖν· ὅμβρος δ' ἀπ' εὐναέντος οὐρανοῦ πεσὼν ἔκυσε γαῖαν· ἡ δὲ τίκτεται βροτοῖς μήλων τε βοσκὰς καὶ βίον Δημήτριον δένδρων τ' ὁπώραν· ἐκ νοτίζοντος γάμου τέλεῖθ' ὅσ' ἐστι· τῶν δ' ἐγὼ παραίτιος.²⁹⁹

It is in this subjection to Aphrodite that we are supposed to understand the dramatic intent expressed by the use of epithalamial imagery. Throughout the play, the violence of the erga Aphroditês is emphasised, as is her cosmic role as demiurge. To the Danaids, and perhaps also to the Athenian bride, marriage is a rape to which a woman must be subject in order for society to be reproduced. The locus amoenus of the wedding song offers one solution to this conceptualisation. In this ideal:

²⁹⁸ Aesch. Supp. 663-66; cf. p.17 n.56.

Acsch. Supp. 603-60, ct. p.17 h.50.

299 Aesch. fr. 44 R, generally believed to have come from the final play in the Danaids trilogy, although Garvie (1969) 205-6 notes that its context is highly ambiguous.

[the bride] tolerates the violence perpetuated by men in the grip of Eros, and allows herself to be seduced by the perfumes of the flowering meadows. She ends up by submitting to the yoke of marriage, to be united in love on a soft bed, in a relationship of reproductive reciprocity.³⁰⁰

In Supplices, the Danaids reject that subjection and reproduction for themselves – though not for the women of Argos. The flowering meadows and soft beds are absent, and peithô is merely hinted at to the uncomprehending Herald (είπερ εὐσεβής πίθοι λόγος, 941) and in the political persuasion that must be undertaken in order to advance the Danaids' cause. 301 The traditional makarismos, beauty and seduction of the wedding song, designed to honour the bride and facilitate her sexual transition, is instead expressed politically, in the persuasion of the assembly and in the blessings bestowed upon Argos. The seductive imagery of Sappho's wedding songs – the choruses of Graces and Muses, the beauty of the evening star and the bride (κάλα, χαρίεσσα, 108, cf. also 104(a) and (b), 112) and groom (ὅρπακι βραδίνωι, 115.2),³⁰² the olbos that befalls the man upon his marriage to such a woman (112.1, 113), and even the teasing banter towards the thuggish θυρώρος, a ritualised protest against the violence of the occasion (110), 303 is rejected by the poetic strategy of the play in favour of negative images. We see the plucking of a young flower or breaking of an animal, the marriage to death or Hades on the nuptial couch. Only in the final insistence on marriage as woman's destiny and in Aphrodite's train of Pothos, Peitho, Harmonia and the Erotes does the tragic dialogue express anything approximating the idealised wedding chamber described

³⁰⁰ Calame (1999) 198.

³⁰¹ Aesch. Supp. 516-23, 605-24.

 ³⁰² Seaford (1987) 112 notes how the comparison of the bridegroom to a plant is used disapprovingly in Supp.
 104-6 – burgeoning sexual maturity instead becomes the δυσπαραβούλοισι φρεσίν of the Aigyptids (108).
 303 Cf. Ch.1, pp.46, 67.

in Sappho fr. 194 V.³⁰⁴ Both the erotic and the tragic are inherent in the lyric wedding song – it is probably the case that, because of the nature of the tragic text, in its exploration of the failure to effect the bride's transition to a new life, 'one of the negative elements in the wedding ceremony...has triumphed over the positive'. ³⁰⁵

This 'negative' perception functions on a more complex level. For the images used by the play to express the negative aspect of marriage are precisely those used by political and philosophical discourse from the mid-fifth century to conceptualise marriage as a positive, civilising institution. The flower or fruit may be plucked, yet the plant (as an analogy for the female) is cultivated to both its own, and man's, benefit. The animal may be broken and yoked, but it is tamed and domesticated. The parthenos may 'die' on the marriage bed, but she is 'reborn', like Persephone rising from the Underworld, a gunê, the wife and mother of citizens. This image finds its ultimate expression in the image of woman as a fertile field to be ploughed and cultivated. This discourse is problematised in Supplices, for the Danaids reject the violence inherent in domestication and determine to remain $\dot{\alpha}\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma\nu$ (143), favouring instead an erotic alignment with the divine and the ideal of Io's painless reproduction. The male political programme clashes (momentarily – but portentously) with the female voice, and moreover, the voice of erotic persuasion that would seduce the female to tolerate that violence becomes employed in the male, political sphere. Here the charis typical of the wedding song is instead realised by consensual reciprocity between men:

_

³⁰⁴ Cf. Ch.1, pp.73-74.

³⁰⁵ Seaford (1987) 106-7.

³⁰⁶ Note particularly the image of domestication used by Xenophon Oec. 7.10.2-3: ἐπεὶ ἤδη μοι χειροήθης ῆν καὶ ἐτετιθάσευτο ὅστε διαλέγεσθαι, and its use in this context to effect the integration of the bride into the household: 'Ischomachus is concerned to transform the wife, who was an outsider to his oikos, into an insider by means of education' (Pomeroy (1994) 272).

³⁰⁷ Seaford (1987) 106.

E.g. Soph. OT 1211-13, Ant. 569, Men. Dysc. 841-3; see Introduction, p.22. The prominence of agricultural images is discussed by duBois, (1988) 39-85 (woman as 'field' and 'furrow'), and Ormand (1999) 20.

309 Zeitlin (1996) 152-53.

εγώ δ' ἄν οὐ κραίνοιμ' ὑπόσχεσιν πάρος, ἀστοῖς δὲ πᾶσι τῶνδε κοινώσας πέρι. 310

The Danaids ignore this masculine discourse and insist that the issue of their consent enter the political arena, demanding that it become a subject for public debate. By doing so, they resist the traditional female passivity of the marital transition. Persuasion is taken out of the personal space of the thalamos and wedding song and into the civic space of the agora and male parrhesia – to refuse the very discourse which that order employs in favour of marriage. The tension between men's assumption of peithô and women's potential refusal of it is just one element that adds to the antithetical character of the songs in this play, a character which Seaford supposes may, in the context of the successful hymenaial transition at the end of the trilogy and the foundation of the formal-wedding song, have been adduced to explain the antithetical character of the formal wedding song.

It would make sense for this transition if Supplices were the second play in the Danaid trilogy (though it is uncertain how much space would be left for the resolution of the action after this play). The conclusion of the trilogy is ultimately life affirming, as demiurgic Aphrodite expresses marriage in terms of sacred reproduction, possibly in order to encourage the protagonists' acceptance of the institution. If Aigyptids was the first play, it might have represented an opposite polarity, which was bridged by the transitionary lyrics of Supplices. As the motif of lamentation evolves (or resolves) into the wedding song as the trilogy progresses, so too the power of Aphrodite plays a greater role in the Chorus' songs in Supplices as the story progresses; affirmation of life begins to take the place of preference f or death. The action moves from total denial of sexuality, to acceptance (cf. PV 865-66: μίαν

_

³¹⁰ Aesch. Supp. 368-69.

³¹¹ Aesch. Supp. 370-75.

³¹² Seaford (1987) 116

³¹³ Sommerstein (1996) 143-46, contra Garvie (1969) 185-86, Friis Johansen & Whittle (1980) vol. I.24-25.

δὲ παίδων μέρος θέλξει τὸ μὴ / κτεῖναι σύνευνον), to its supremacy (fr. 44 R). The increasing prominence of this motif, as Hypermestra spares her husband out of love, suggests that the power of Aphrodite and marital erôs became less agonistic in the course of the drama and more integrated with the preservation and reproduction of the polis. The drama thus suggests that a complex balance of persuasion – both personal and political – was essential to the continuance and functioning of the polis as a whole.

Tragedy can also juxtapose the joyful occasion of the epithalamium with a situation of lament to create tragic irony, as in the fragment quoted above – when the kouroi and korai arrive to perform the diegertikon, they will find the bridegrooms slaughtered, and so Danaus' wedding song presages a thrênos. Similarly, in another fragment (350 R) Thetis remembers the makarismos given by Apollo at her wedding to Peleus, a blessing which proves false when the god kills the son of that union, Achilles:

ο δ' αὐτὸς ὑμνῶν, αὐτὸς ἐν θοίνῃ παρών, αὐτὸς τάδ' εἰπών, αὐτὸς ἐστιν ὁ κτανὼν τὸν παῖδα τὸν ἐμόν.³¹⁵

The poet specifically uses the motif (if not the actual term) of the hymenaios to depict the tragic result of that marriage. These are the aspects absent from Sapphic epithalamia, songs which seem to exist in a kind of sheltered, almost mythical, Hyperborean space.³¹⁶ In drama,

_

³¹⁴ One might, for example, contrast the destructive capacity that results from the attempt to effect marriage by force, ἄνδρας γυναικῶν οὕνεχ' αἰμάξαι πέδον; (Aesch. Supp. 477) with the productive capacity of marriage accomplished by persuasion and love: αὕτη κατ' Ἄργος βασιλικὸν τέξει γένος (Aesch. PV 869).

³¹⁵ Aesch. fr. 350.7-9 R.

As Redfield (2003) 113 notes: 'The Hyperboreans live at ease with nature and with each other, without age or sickness or struggle or conflict; their lives are given over to music, dance, feasting and ornament. Their life...is like that of the Golden Age and also like that of the gods, in that they wait for no special occasion, but sing and dance more or less continuously...This means that maidens are particularly at home in Hyperborea, in

particularly in comedy, wedding songs can express particular political concerns;³¹⁷ in Aeschylean tragedy, they bring the issue of the subordination through reciprocity assumed by Calame to the forefront of tragic, and therefore civic, discourse, and remark on its failure. As Sommerstein states, however, this fragment may not necessarily have pointed to a total failure of makarismos and reciprocity – for Apollo is the god of prophecy and thus speaks only the truth (cf. Choe. 559, Eum. 615). 318 Given that this play is probably based on the epic Aethiopis, it may be that in the dramatic future presaged by Apollo, Achilles would be restored to life and live forever on the 'White Island' in the Black Sea, or in the Elysian Fields. 319

In this case, the use of particular epithalamial topoi gives depth to this discourse and suggests that its failure is not total – for example, uncontained bridal lamentation becomes juxtaposed with, and is eventually superseded by, the formal wedding song and acknowledgement and acceptance of the power of Aphrodite and erôs (both social and sexual), suggesting a movement towards resolution of the crisis of male and female, political and personal, peithô and bia expressed in Supplices. The motif of the meta-epithalamium is given a special prominence and specific purpose at the beginning of the text to establish kinship with Io and a parallel with her asexual conception of Epaphus, and this is connected with the wish for civic and agricultural fertility – though fertility is used ironically to presage a 'happy ending' where there will be none; at the same time it is also used within the Danaids' songs to contrast the social norm with their own ideals. In the final song, the possible addition of a male subsidiary chorus reinforces this norm, and insists (although more gently than the Aigyptids) that this wish for removal from the cycle of social and sexual life cannot be fulfilled:

the Golden Age world, since maidens are typically sheltered, know nothing of war, political conflict, or toil, and are (or always should be) young, beautiful, healthy, and adorned.'

Ar. Pax. 1318.

³¹⁸ Sommerstein (1996) 375.

μετά πολλάν δὲ γάμων ἄδε τελευτά

προτερών πέλει γυναικών. 320

This acknowledgement is expressed throughout the episodes of the play in the use of plant

and animal imagery, which, while it contains traditional elements of bridal reluctance, also

privileges a burgeoning sexuality which cannot be denied. The complex combinations of

imagery expose a moment of crisis, but the development of these motifs throughout the play

- and possibly the trilogy - does point towards an eventual resolution at the end of the

Danaids.

Prometheus Bound: political transition

Prometheus Bound is another tragedy in which the hymenaios comments on the interrelation

of peithô and bia in personal and social life. The effortless marital transition of Prometheus

and Hesione is contrasted with the violent struggle between Prometheus and Zeus that

accompanies the transition to Olympian ascendancy. As Prometheus is held prisoner by

Kratos and Bia at the behest of Zeus, chained to a Scythian rock for having given fire to

mankind, the Oceanid nymphs, eternal parthenoi, lament his fate. In an ode that structurally

³²⁰ Aesch. Supp. 1050-51; see Introduction, p.14. Taplin (1977) 230-37 sets out the case for a supplementary chorus of maids, based on the presence of δμωίδες in v.977, but concludes that it was probably composed of the Argive bodyguards introduced at 985; see also Friis Johansen & Whittle (1980) 306-9. Sommerstein (1996) 151 argues: 'it would not be unfitting if at [the trilogy's] end those same Argive spearmen became the Danaids' new bridegrooms'.

resembles a lament for the dead,³²¹ they contrast his present misery with the joyous day on which they performed the hymenaioi for his marriage to their sister:

τὸ διαμφίδιον δέ μοι μέλος προσέπτα

τόδ' ἐκεῖνό θ' ὅτ' ἀμφὶ λουτρὰ καὶ

λέχος σὸν ὑμεναίουν

ιότατι γάμων, ότε ταν ομοπάτριον έδνοις

ἄγαγες ' Ησιόναν πιθών δάμαρτα κοινόλεκτρον. 322

The maidens raise the hymenaios around the nuptial bath and bed, a traditional epithalamial image.³²³

Unlike in Supplices, where the action of the play may function as an aition for the formal wedding song, here the song is deliberately juxtaposed in opposition to the main drama, making a more stark contrast. While many of the themes seem familiar from Aeschylus' treatment in Supplices, their dramatic application is slightly different – a possible case for this drama's inauthenticity. The motif of the hymenaios is used to lament Prometheus as though he were already dead. Though often interchangeable (and indeed, in this passage one is contained within the other), the two songs are at the same time separated

_

³²¹ Alexiou (1974) 165-184. This consists in the contrast between past and present (τόδ' ἐκεῖνό θ'...ἰότατι γάμων, 556-60, cf. Hom. II. 19.287-90); between the mourner and the dead in the first and second persons in the dialogue (φρίσσω δέ σε δερκομένα, 540); an unfulfilled wish (μηδάμ' ὁ πάντα νέμων / θεῖτ' ἐμᾶι γνώμαι κράτος ἀντίπαλον Ζεύς, 526-27: although this does not exactly meet Alexiou's criteria, it nonetheless expresses a wish that the situation were different), and provocation by reproach (Ζῆνα γὰρ οὐ τρομέων / †ιδίαι† γνώμαι σέβηι θνατοὺς ἄγαν, Προμηθεῦ, 542-43, cf. Aesch. Choe. 491-96; Hom. II. 24.725-26, 743-45).

³²² Aesch. PV 555-60.

³²³ Sappho fr. 30.2-5 V; Introduction, pp.13-14.

See Griffith (1977), (1983) 32; West (1990) 53, 67-72, who attributes the play to Aeschylus' son Euphorion; Podlecki (2005) 195-200, who discusses previous criticism before conceding the (albeit unrevised) drama to Aeschylus.

(τὸ διαμφίδιον) both to make the contrast more effective and to show how one can easily become the other in tragedy. On a superficial level, the wedding song functions as tragic irony: juxtaposed with the dramatic situation, it likens it to death – but the gods cannot die (933), which only emphasises the hopelessness of Prometheus' existence.

There are other issues at work in this passage. Prometheus' courtship of Hesione expresses his concern for peithô. She is $\delta \acute{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha$, the state abhorred by the Danaids, but she is also the wooed and wedded wife. Her sisters remember how Prometheus courted her with bride-gifts (ἕδνοις ἄγαγες), persuaded her to be his wife ($\pi \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$) and how she holds his bed in common with him (κοινόλεκτρον). Their relationship is one of commonality and reciprocity, which contrasts sharply with the other relationships of the play's protagonists: Prometheus and Zeus interact with mutual trickery and violence, as a result of which the hero withholds the secret that could prevent the overthrow of the current regime, and his relations with man are called χάρις ά χάρις (545) by the Chorus. Similarly, Zeus employs violent lust towards the female sex, threatening Io's father with the thunderbolt (χαλινὸς πρὸς βίαν, 672) if he does not give up the girl ἄκουσαν ἄκων (671). 325 A prospective marriage with Thetis adds further anti-epithalamial elements as the subdued Prometheus predicts that he will have the last laugh – not only will Zeus' next conquest bear a son mightier than his father (ἡ πρὸς δάμαρτος ἔξανίσταται θρόνων; 767), but also Zeus will eventually sire a son who will release the bound Titan ἄκοντος Διός (771). The father of gods and men begets nothing but a continuing cycle of violence, which will prove his undoing, and that of all his plans. Such anti-epithalamia, if Prometheus des not reveal his secret, seem to function as justice for his mistreatment.

³²⁵ Cf. Aesch. Supp. 227-28.

The unbalancing of the cosmic or social order due to the mismarriages of the royal house is a theme that permeates the next play to be discussed, Agamemnon, as well as recurring throughout the works of Sophocles. That those who enact these destructive marriages do not recognise that $\dot{\delta}\mu\alpha\lambda\dot{\delta}\zeta$ $\dot{\delta}$ $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\mu\sigma\zeta$, / $\dot{\alpha}\phi\sigma\beta\sigma\zeta$ (901-2), is the mark of a tyrant. As in the Danaid trilogy, Prometheus suggests that a degree of subjection is necessary, but that a balance must be maintained both publicly and privately in order for the oikos, and in a wider context the social order of which it is a part, to function. Prometheus employs peithô in the marital lektron, and refuses to submit to the political regime until he has been granted reciprocal charis by Zeus (although, admittedly, this could become the catalyst of cosmic upheaval):

ούκ ἔστιν αἴκισμ' ούδε μηχάνημ' ὅτωι προτρέψεταί με Ζεὺς γεγωνῆσαι τάδε πρὶν ἀν χαλασθῆι δεσμὰ λυμαντήρια. 326

Zeus, meanwhile, employs bia politically and sexually and so is presented as a tyrant whose desire for dominance will prove his undoing. Prometheus, then, indicates a point of crisis much like that of Supplices, in which the protagonist (Prometheus/the Chorus), while recognising the value of persuasion, rejects the concept in their dealings with the antagonist (Zeus/the Aigyptids), a violent, hypersexual, off-stage presence.

Like the resolution posited for the Danaides, however, (and indeed, that which seems to accompany the Oresteia), there are indications in the fragments (and in PV) that the Prometheia also ended with the establishment of relations of persuasion and reciprocity

³²⁶ Aesch, PV 989-91.

between both divine and mortal figures. In terms of sexual relations, Sommerstein remarks: 'The last speeches of Prometheus to Io indicate there may be (now or in the future) another side to Zeus'. 327 This is the Zeus of the second oracle of Dodona, who will make Io ἡ Δτὸς κλεινὴ δάμαρ (834, a term that recalls Hesione's status as Prometheus' wife), and τίθησ' εγκύμονα / επαφῶν ἀταρβεῖ χειρὶ καὶ θιγὼν μόνον (848-49, more akin to the descriptions of his courtship of Io in the Supplices). A further tragedy, Prometheus Lyomenos, tells us that the Titan was released from his bonds, 328 perhaps as a result of his agreement to reveal the secret of Zeus' potentially disastrous marriage, though Griffith remarks, 'how the action was handled in Lyomenos we cannot tell'. 329 The Prometheus plays, however, like the Danaides trilogy and the Oresteia, as mentioned above, 330 would seem to give a tragic aetiology of some civilising institution, ritual or cult, in which proper relations – between male and female, god and man, persuasion and force – must be taken into account if the household, society and cosmos is to continue. The hymenaios plays an interesting role in this context. As both 'wedding' and 'wedding song', it is the cornerstone of human society, and its discourse is shown to be central to the proper functioning of all these spheres.

It is implied in Supplices, and made explicit in Prometheus, that peithô played a significant role in this discourse.³³¹ This seems out of place in Athens, where the emphasis on the subordination and seclusion of women might be thought to preclude an idealised discourse between husband and wife. This is not only not the case in Aeschylus, but it also seems that persuasion occupied a central role in Athenian ideology. The goddess Peitho was fundamental to the democracy defended so rigorously by the Athenians against the encroachment of Persian tyranny during Aeschylus' lifetime. As one of the foundational

³²⁷ Sommerstein (1996) 307.

³²⁸ Cf. Schol. PV 511.

³²⁹ Griffith (1983) 303.

³³⁰ See pp.81-82.

³³¹ Buxton (1982) esp. 67-68, 90-91.

elements of the city – Pausanias states that when Theseus had brought the people of Athens together, he founded the cults of Aphrodite Pandemos and Persuasion³³² – she enjoyed cult as the goddess whose timai encouraged 'political and domestic concord',³³³ and scholars have often identified references to a contemporary (mis)persuasion of the Athenian Assembly in Supplices.³³⁴ Though it is possible to read topical allusions into these plays, however, what is significant here is the general importance of persuasion for an Athenian audience – and its use, for better or worse, underpins our final play for discussion.

Agamemnon: perverted transition

If, in Supplices and Prometheus, it is possible to insist that proper honours be given in marriage, that the correct forms be observed, and that peithô be employed in the thalamos, Agamemnon shows such νυμφοτιμία to be the very cause of a city's downfall, and an inappropriate act which reaches beyond the occasion of its performance to blight the entire family of the men who go to war over it.

As the Herald announces Agamemnon's victory and incipient return to Argos, the Chorus of Elders reflect on the wrath which repaid the Trojans for their wedding songs when Paris brought Helen to the city:

2

³³² Pausanias 1.22.3.1-4, Redfield (2003) 66.

³³³ Redfield (2003) 80.

³³⁴ Sommerstein (1997) 74-77: Cimon's persuasion of the Athenians to support Pericleidias the Spartan in the Messenian revolt at Ithome in 462. The Oresteia is far more immediately 'topical' (Sommerstein (1996) 396). Herington (1967) 74-85, (1986) 28-31, however, argues for a West Greek bias: Aeschylus' biography preserves a tradition of linguistic influence (Athen. 9.402b1-c2) and local knowledge (Schol. Ar. Pax. 73b.5-6, Macrobius Sat. 5.19.17.1-18.1) but Herington also observes a sharp break in the representation of the cosmos between, on the one hand, the Persae and Theban tetralogy, and the Danaid tetralogy, Prometheia and Oresteia, each of which he sees as betraying awareness of contemporary western Greek thinking. Pelling (1997) 217-18 and Macleod (1982) 144 both argue that these tragedies are more than political plays, and the text may have many meanings for each audience or reader – just as the audience of a wedding song may identify with the same image in a number of ways.

```
τραπέζας ἀτί-
μωσιν ύστέρωι χρόνωι
καὶ ξυνεστίου Διὸς
πρασσομένα τὸ νυμφότι-
μον μέλος ἐκφάτως τίοντας,
υμέναιον ος τότ' ἐπέρ-
ρεπε γαμβροίσιν ἀείδειν.
μεταμανθάνουσα δ' ύμνον
Πριάμου πόλις γεραιά
πολύθρηνον μέγα που στένει, κικλήσκου-
σα Πάριν τὸν αινολεκτρον.335
```

Seaford's observation that Hymenaios 'has a habit of turning wedding celebrations into funeral lamentations' 336 is particularly borne out by this passage – nowhere else in Aeschylus is such tragic irony manifested by the interchangeable nature of the hymenaios and the thrênos (additional emphasis is given to these terms by their primary positions in vv.707 and 711) than in this $\kappa \hat{\eta} \delta o \varsigma$. It is an 'anti-epithalamium' par excellence, 'the reader or audience at times being aware of the impending tragedy or evil when the participants are not'. 337 This hymenaios seems to be more integrated into the action of the play than that of Prometheus, for while it contrasts the joyous nuptial ode with the lament brought on as a result of Paris' marriage to Helen, it has immediate consequences for both this flashback scene and the wider

³³⁵ Aesch. Ag. 702-12. ³³⁶ Seaford (1987) 113. ³³⁷ Tufte (1970) 39.

dramatic context – for what is birthed from this marriage is not children, but a Fury³³⁸ who destroys those who perform the wedding song before turning her attention to the instruments of that destruction – the House of Atreus. Nor is this house free from sexual transgression: Agamemnon attempts to bring his concubine Cassandra into the house in a scene that resembles a wedding, contributing to his downfall at the hands of Clytemnestra.

Cassandra, having been forcibly removed from her natal home, enters the scene with Agamemnon on a carriage (ἀπήνης, 1039) like a bride. Clytemnestra describes her necessary submission to slavery in terms akin to those of marriage: χαλινὸν δ' οὐκ ἐπίσταται φέρειν / πρὶν αἰματηρὸν ἐξαφρίζεσθαι μένος (1066-67), as do the Chorus: εἴκουσ' ἀνάγκηι τῆιδε καίνισον ζυγόν (1071). Her own words, however, betray the marital relationship as that between Agamemnon and Clytemnestra (ὁμοδέμνιον πόσιν, 1108). Clytemnestra is herself an archetypal bride, the kalon kakon brought into the home – the dog that bites the hand that feeds it (1228-30), a lion cub as much as her sister Helen (1258-60). ³³⁹ But for Cassandra, the 'death' she will undergo in the house is no mere metaphor for consummation: ποῖ δή με δεῦρο τὴν τάλαιναν ἤγαγες / οὐδέν ποτ' εἰ μὴ ξυνθανουμένην (1138-39), and it is her prophecy, not she herself, who is veiled as a bride: καὶ μὴν ὁ χρησμὸς οὐκετ' ἐκ καλυμμάτων / ἔσται δεδορκώς νεογάμου νύμφης δἴκην (1178-79).

Like these anti-epithalamial elements, Helen's wedding song is a hymenaios that should never have taken place, a wedding song performed for another man's wife, and so the bridal timê which may be insisted upon in Aeschylus is out of place here – Helen is not numphê; she is already a gunê, a woman and mother married to Menelaus. The persuasion

-

³³⁸ Also identified with Helen (νυμφόκαλυτος Ερινύς) at Ag. 737-49.

It is tempting to view the correspondences in the light of a perverted wedding ceremony, but what must be stressed here is the perversion inherent in these acts and in the House of Atreus, not the wedding ceremony. Though rape and marriage were related in Greek thought, and indeed, the Greek wedding ceremony contained elements of ritual violence, Aeschylus here demonstrates that excessive force (or excessive persuasion) results only in death and the destruction of society. Iphigenia becomes, rather than makes, the customary sacrifices for the setting out on a new venture (προτέλεια, 227);³⁴¹ she is not married (even to/in death), but her death becomes a violent, bestial parody of sexual assault

³⁴⁰ See p.101

³⁴¹ Cf. Fraenkel (1950) 40-41: 'The word in itself...suggests cheerful images and ideas. For this very reason here [v.65] and in 227 Aeschylus inverts it and gives it a sinister meaning', 129, also Eur. IA 433, 718.

by her father and his ministers: she is seized ($\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, 234), forcibly gagged ($\beta i \alpha i$ χαλινῶν τ' ἀναύδωι μένει, 238), and finally submits to voyeuristic nudity (κρόκου βαφὰς δ' ἐς πέδον χέουσα, 239) before the Chorus can bear to recall no more. Finally, she receives no νυμφότιμον μέλος, no wedding songs, but the virginal songs she sung beside her father's table (243-47) are recalled with grief by the Chorus in contrast to her abrupt silencing.

This leads to a cycle of violence and sexual corruption, which reaches its point of crisis in the murder of Agamemnon by Clytemnestra. The result of these unions, moreover, is not the fertility and social cohesion we expect from marriage, but a Fury who devastates the families and societies of both of Helen's husbands. 342 The resolution of this crisis comes only when the Furies which arise from another murder, that by Orestes of Clytemnestra, are incorporated into the polis as goddesses of marriage and fertility at Athens.

The hymenaios of Agamemnon introduces the idea of recognition of woman's destructive potentiality – a potentiality that may be unleashed if the marriage is not performed properly. Menelaus, Paris and Agamemnon fail to conduct appropriately the exchange of women and so bring a 'beautiful evil' into their houses. This Hesiodic idea of woman, the gift ότε δή κακὸν εἶχ' ἐνόησεν, 343 is also an Athenian concern, resulting from the tenuous situation of ekdosis which meant a bride still belonged to her natal kin even after marriage. A wife was thus a stranger in the house, of whose loyalties one could never be certain. In Sophocles' Antigone, Creon expresses men's anxieties about the potential character of the woman brought into the home, 344 and this anxiety is also stated by the chorus' simile of Helen in Agamemnon as a lion cub reared in the house, which became:

³⁴² Aesch. Ag. 1186-93. ³⁴³ Hes. Op. 89.

³⁴⁴ Soph. Ant. 648-51.

ἐκ θεοῦ δ' ἱερεύς τις "Ατας δόμοις προσεθρέφθη.³⁴⁵

The 'inherent conflict of interest'³⁴⁶ in the institution of exogamous marriage continually begs the question: if a woman does not truly belong to her husband's family, why should she not transfer her allegiance to the house of another man? Tragedy explores these crises of integration, both those that are completed, as in Agamemnon, and those that remain incomplete, as in Supplices and many plays of Sophocles. Here the resolution of that crisis comes about in an unusual fashion. In highlighting the destructive potential of Helen, Aeschylus creates the female as the locus of violence and barrenness. As the trilogy progresses towards its conclusion, the male therefore becomes its polar opposite: the locus of persuasion (in the law courts) and reproduction (in Apollo's privileging of the father as genitor)³⁴⁷ – although Athena provides an element of ambiguity, as an exponent of 'good' female persuasion.³⁴⁸

When the Furies are persuaded, the transitions which have been problematised in their previous verses (the transition from old to new gods, Eum. 150; from maternal blood to the privileging of the marriage bond, 261-62; the Erinyes' vengeful telos at 393; their fear of transition to lawlessness, 490-98; and their anti-epithalamic threat of blight against the fertility of Athens, 775-92, 807-22) move more towards an epithalamial bias, a resolution of the theme of marriage. The Chorus are given reciprocal honours for their beneficence: τὰν ἐμὰν χάριν (939). Mortal girls are encouraged to find partners: νεανίδων δ' ἐπηράτων /

³⁴⁵ Aesch. Ag. 735-36; cf. p.101; Knox (1979) 27-29; Goldhill (1984) 61.

³⁴⁶ Griffith (2001) 131.

³⁴⁷ Aesch. Eum. 658-61.

³⁴⁸ Athena is 'entirely for the male' (737-38), and as such her persuasion is highly rhetorical: 'what she does goes beyond what we would call 'persuading': she uses a veiled threat [esp. 826-30], promises, argument, and so forth. If we recognise that the main sense of $\pi\epsilon i\theta\omega$ is "I get (someone) to acquiesce", then the character of the scene is more adequately conveyed' (Buxton 1982:49).

άνδροτυχεῖς βιότους δότε (959-60). Athena credits Peitho for such a result (970), and the Furies celebrate public concord: κοινοφιλεί διανοίαι (985). The verses which accompany their procession off-stage seem, in this context, to resemble nuptial makarismos as well as a religious hymn: <χαίρετε> χαίρετ' ἐν αἰσιμίαισι πλούτου (996), and they are escorted by women and Athena herself under torchlight to their new home (1021-27). The destructive female, whose 'rejection of marriage leads to the massacre of the male, the corollary of which is the threat of extinction to human society as a whole', 349 is thus finally given back a productive role (though for the Eumenides, this is more kourotrophic than generative) in subordination to the masculine political order.³⁵⁰

Aeschylus implies that marital and social relations are always in delicate balance, ever evolving, and hinge on proper personal and political conduct of which the hymenaios is a part. In his tragedies, this concept is one of a range of functions of the wedding song: hymenaioi are used to discuss the potential problems of marriage or the political order; to mourn or forebode mourning; or to create dramatic irony through the contrast between a joyful marriage and the tragic situation. None of these categories are exclusive of the others, and they create a loaded drama based on both the traditional antithetical character of the song and its interaction with contemporary discourse.

These songs are performed variously by maidens and mixed-sex groups (the composition of the chorus of gambroi in Agamemnon is unspecified, but the tone of the passage is one of communal celebration). Regardless of performer, the wedding songs give a powerful emphasis to the interdependence of gender roles in Aeschylus' societies. Hymenaioi are used to evoke the gender crisis by commenting on and insisting upon reciprocity and the proper balance of relations between the sexes, reflected in the wider framework of the polis

³⁴⁹ Zeitlin (1996) 91. ³⁵⁰ Aesch. Eum. 938-948.

and the cosmos. As such, they are especially appropriate to tragedies in which marriage and its role in the integral fabric of the polis or cosmos is an especial concern – wherein its inherent crises must be resolved in order for life to continue.

On the shield of Achilles, we saw the marriages in the 'city at peace' forming an essential part of social life³⁵¹ – a microcosm for the cosmos. Aeschylus' plays that use the wedding song develop this idea that the conduct appropriate to a marriage is also appropriate to the public sphere. This is our first real example of a civic hymeneal ideology: relationships within the oikos must function properly for those within the polis to work. In tragedy, however, the transition between oikoi and between regimes usually fails. Aeschylus offers a model in his trilogies of subordination through reciprocity – charis – with which to remedy these failures. Indeed, we may speculate that the 'trilogy' framework can be understood in dramatic terms as representing the separation, transition, and reintegration of the protagonists into normative social life. In these plays, the crises represented by the use of the hymenaios are resolved in the course of the overall performance. As we will shortly see, with Sophocles and Euripides, however, the rite of passage schema is rejected even on the meta-dramatic level. There is no option for reintegration, and so a dangerous potentiality is left uncomfortably unresolved.

³⁵¹ See Introduction, p.11.

CHAPTER THREE

THE TRAGIC HYMENAIOS: SOPHOCLES

This chapter examines how Sophocles uses the topos of the hymenaios, and how this contributes to our understanding of marriage in democratic Athens. A relatively straightforward use of the term in Oedipus Tyrannus would seem to suggest that perverted hymeneals and foreboding are the order of the day. Teiresias predicts disaster with the revelation of the truth behind Oedipus' marriage to Jocasta: ὅταν καταίσθη τὸν ύμέναιον, δν δόμοις / ἄνορμον εισέπλευσας, εύπλοίας τυχών;³⁵² This is an intriguing development of the imagery of departure and transition in the wedding song. The journey undertaken traditionally refers to the female, as it is the bride who is transferred between oikoi. Here, however, Jocasta is the 'harbour' into which Oedipus unknowingly 'sailed': the transition was improperly, if unwittingly, performed (indeed, Oedipus made the journey to Thebes and married Jocasta, 35), and the transgressive sexuality that results can only be resolved by the utter destruction of the house (425).

A greater complexity is, however, at work elsewhere in Sophocles' use of the term hymenaios. In Oedipus at Colonus, a variation of this word is used to describe death:

ο δ' επίκουρος ισοτέλεστος,

Αϊδος ὅτε μοῖρ' ἀνυμέναιος

άλυρος άχορος άναπέφηνε,

³⁵² Soph. OT. 422-23. Here hymenaios is used as 'marriage', yet the song can also be inferred from the implication of lamentation in the passage, and the ironic use of boa in v.420, which can mean a cry or shout as well as a joyful exclamation made during a wedding song, as in Eur. Tro. 335-37.

θάνατος ες τελευτάν.353

Death holds no wedding hymns, no music, no dancing. Jebb suggests that this is because

death is more properly owed the lament: 'to death belongs the $\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o \zeta$, not the joyous song of

the marriage procession, or the music of the lyre, with dancing, 354 but a further analogy may

be drawn. If death is anumenaios, then to be anumenaios is to exist in a state akin to death.

This chapter will explore the meaning of that state for three 'bride' figures: Antigone and

Electra, who are referred to by this term, and Deianeira, who is not, but whose transition

seems somehow interrupted, leading to an ambiguous 'epithalamial' song. As we shall see,

this term does not merely imply death, but effectively deprives these women of either telos:

they exist in permanent liminality, expressed by erêmia.

Antigone: Procession to Death

Scholars have seen the excessive mourning of Antigone and Electra, as a result of which they

are condemned to death and unmarried 'living death', in the context of concerns of female

speech and action in the mid-fifth century: women's voices are associated with their

subjectivity and sexuality; 355 and at the same time, many traditionally female symbolic roles

(for example public mourning) became increasingly allocated to the polis while excluding

women as active participants. 356 The struggle of these heroines to act in the face of the regime

can be seen as a reaction to the policies of democratic Athens, either the Periclean citizenship

law of 451/50BC which foregrounded women's positions as wives and transmitters of

³⁵³ Soph. OC. 1220-23.

³⁵⁴ Jebb (1900) 193.

³⁵⁵ McClure (1999) 19-20.

³⁵⁶ Pelling (2000) 190-91, contra Blok (2001) 106, also Tyrrell (1999) 148-55, esp. on the relation of Polyneices' burial to the Samian episode (Thuc. 1.115.2-117).

citizenship, or bound up in the ideology of funerary legislation. A clash of public and private may be understood, and these women's lack of marriage rites as one consequence of their rejection of Athenian female norms. Some see them as heroic, others as deeply transgressive, but all acknowledge deep ambiguity. The Sophoclean use of the hymenaios, while connected with the ambiguous position of the Athenian wife and with tragic lamentation, is not confined to this issue. It articulates concern for the necessity of this transition and the roles that must be played if it is to be successfully enacted. It also betrays the inadequacy of this ritual – or indeed, any other form of containment – for control of female sexuality, and a deep-seated anxiety regarding Eros, the god through whose agency the marital transition, and hence reproduction of society, was supposed to be achieved.

The heroine of Antigone defies Creon's ruling in order to bury and mourn her brother Polynices, a traitor to the state, and is subsequently sentenced to death. Her sentence ruptures the filial bond between her fiancé Haimon and his father Creon, the author of the edict. As such, her laments for her unmarried death follow on from a choral ode which both praises and blames the power of Eros, as an irresistible and cosmic force equal in might to the laws of the city ($\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \hat{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \delta \rho c$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\alpha} \hat{\iota} \zeta / \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, 797-98) – though one not necessarily conducive to their actuation ($\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta$ $\dot{\eta} \delta \eta$ $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ κα $\dot{\nu} \tau c \zeta \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu / \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ $\dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \omega \alpha \nu$, 801-2). Seaford suggests that this hymn 'is appropriate to a hymenaial context', and its use of epithalamial imagery and a wedding-like procession suggests a hymenaios, but this is perverted. Eros is militarised, an image unparalleled in the

³⁵⁷ Cf. Alexiou (1974) 22, Holst-Warhaft (1992) 3-6, McClure (1999) 45-47.

³⁵⁸ Jebb (1900) xx. on Antigone; Segal (1981) 168, 177, 192, 200 on Antigone; Sorum (1982) 205 on Antigone, 208-9 on Electra; Seaford (1985) 317 on Electra's justified but 'excessive' mourning; Murnaghan (1986) 193 on Antigone, (subverted by vv.904-20); Sourvinou-Inwood (1989) 139-40 on Antigone; Kitzinger (1991) 308; Griffith (1999) esp. 29 on Antigone; Foley (2001) 150-51, 61 on Electra, 197, 180, 182 on Antigone.

³⁵⁹ Ch.1, pp.73-76.

³⁶⁰ Soph. Ant. 26-30.

³⁶¹ Seaford (1987) 108.

epithalamium. ³⁶² He spends the night upon the cheeks of a girl (ἐν μαλακαῖς παρειαῖς / νεάνιδος **Έννυχεύεις**, 783-84) and gives victory to the bride:

νικά δ' ἐναργὴς βλεφάρων ἵμερος εὐλέκτρου νύμφας, τῶν μεγάλων πάρεδρος ἐν ἀρχαῖς θεσμών άμαχος γάρ έμ-

παίζει θεὸς 'Αφροδίτα.³⁶³

This image recalls the erôs and himeros characteristic of the face of a bride in Sappho fr. 112 V.3-4 V). While the wedding song stresses the mutuality between bride and groom, however (he is ὄλβιε to possess her, 112.1; she is blessed with grace by Aphrodite, 112.5), the hymn to Eros is more agonistic – the bride is the victor in a contest which destroys, rather than reproduces, families. Gods and men alike are subject to this erôs, suggesting a cosmic and social order held to ransom by the capricious daimon:

καί σ' οὐτ' ἀθανάτων φύξιμος οὐδεις ούθ' άμερίων σέ γ' άνθρώπων, ὁ δ' ἔχων μέμηνεν. 365

³⁶² Although cf. Aphrodite σύμμαχος in Sappho fr. 1.28 V.

³⁶³ Soph. Ant. 795-800. Contrast the serious ἄμαχος with Aphrodite's sportive activity: ἐμπαίζει. This juxtaposition highlights the gulf between mortal and immortal activity.

³⁶⁴ See Ch.1, p.46. ³⁶⁵ Soph. Ant. 788-90.

With the laws of the city set as game-pieces in the sport of Aphrodite and held as nothing beside divine law by Antigone, social norms and telê become inverted and the anticipated nuptial chamber instead becomes the παγκοίταν θάλαμον (804).

This raw, cosmic power of Eros is very rarely stressed in wedding songs. Its closest parallel is in the hieros gamos of Aeschylus fr. 44 R – but in this fragment, the universality of love and marriage is represented positively. This is a productive and beneficial erôs, inverted in the Sophoclean ode. The Sapphic language is here used antithetically. This is neither a communal celebration nor a song by maidens, but performed by a chorus of old men, to stress the negative power of love over Haimon. As such, the Chorus emphasise the destructive rather than the creative aspects of love. The ode betrays an unease regarding one of the principal topoi of the epithalamium, an unease directly connected with the erotic power of the bride. The language may be epithalamial, but the purpose of this song is the opposite.

Antigone seems to have turned her back on marriage:³⁶⁷ while Haimon coaxes and threatens Creon for her life, and commits suicide in her tomb in a perverse mimêsis of marriage,³⁶⁸ she never once mentions her fiancé,³⁶⁹ and opts for the noose and a 'virginal' suicide.³⁷⁰ To the social order of Thebes, she represents a dangerous potentiality, aligned both sexually and politically with the previous regime.³⁷¹ Physical containment seems the only

-

³⁶⁶ Ch.2, p.91.

Yet it is she who laments that she must die unmarried: 813-16, 867, 876-77, 917. This tragic paradox is discussed further on pp.114-15.

 $^{^{368}}$ Soph. Ant. 635-765, 1238-41: καὶ φυσιῶν ὁξεῖαν ἐκβάλλει ῥοὴν / λευκῆ παρειᾳ φοινίου σταλάγματος. / κεῖται δὲ νεκρὸς περὶ νεκρῷ, τὰ νυμφικὰ / τέλη λαχὼν δείλαιος ἔν γ^* Αιδου δόμοις.

 $^{^{369}}$ Jebb (repr. 2004) 110 thought that v.572, & φίλταθ' Αῖμον, &ς σ' ἀτιμάζει πατήρ, must be spoken by Antigone: 'This solitary reference to her love heightens in a wonderful degree our sense of her unselfish devotion to a sacred duty'. The problem is that it is a solitary reference: nowhere else in the play are Antigone's feelings for Haimon discussed. Most editors now assign this line to Ismene: Brown (1987) 68-69, Lloyd-Jones & Wilson (1990) 206 with app. crit., Griffith (1999) 92, 217: 'a third person's interruption of a two-person stichomythia would be highly unusual (unparalleled in S.); and in any case much more characteristic of the warm-hearted Ismene to express such concern, than of Ant., who is already devoted to death and never utters a word about Haimon or her feelings for him'.

³⁷⁰ Soph. Ant. 1221-22, Loraux (1987) 15; cf. Ch. 2, p.84.

 $^{^{371}}$ Cf. 73-74: φίλη μετ' αὐτοῦ κείσομαι, φίλου μέτα, / ὅσια πανουργήσασ', 524-25: κάτω νυν ἐλθοῦσ', εἰ φιλητέον, φίλει / κείνους.

way to enclose the danger she embodies. Dramatically, her protests at this containment are also enclosed, between a condemnation of the bride's erotic potentiality in the third stasimon and myths of imprisonment in the fourth.

This ode draws a parallel between Antigone and other mythical figures imprisoned in an attempt to enclose their potentiality. Danae's is also both sexual and political: she is held ἐν τυμβήρει θαλάμῳ (945-46) in an attempt to prevent her bearing a son. Lycurgus is imprisoned by Dionysus πετρώδει ἐν δεσμῷ (957-58) for his own attempt to check (παύεσκε, 963) the Maenads and the εὕιον πῦρ (964). Cleopatra's sons are trapped in blindness by their stepmother Eidothea while Cleopatra is called ἀνυμφεύτου (980), an expression which denies her fulfilment even though she had married and borne children. Their imprisonment is not made explicit, but is implied or foreshadowed in the description of Cleopatra's upbringing τηλεπόροις δ' ἐν ἄντροις (983). The reference to two illicit or unhappy unions in this song and their correspondences to Antigone's situation in the context of the preceding action might recall the narrative 'meta-epithalamium'. But this, like other epithalamial topoi in this sequence, is inverted. The closing verses pronounce that harsh fate afflicts even those of royal birth, such as the princess now imprisoned: κὰπ' ἐκείνᾳ / Μοῦραι μακραίωνες ἔσχον, ὧ παῖ (986-87).

It is implicit in all these stories, however, that such potentiality cannot be artificially contained: Danae bears the Zηνὸς γονὰς χρυσορύτους (950); Lycurgus is in turn afflicted with madness (μανίας, 959). The Cleopatra story has no clear result, but an alternative tradition asserts that Phineus, the children's father, blinded them, and was punished for this by the Argonauts. ³⁷² Fate is inescapable (οὕτ'...ἐκφύγοιεν, 952-54). The

³⁷² Apollod. 3.15.3, cf. Griffith (1999) 291. Diodorus Siculus (4.43.3.3-44.3.8): imprisonment, not blinding; Schol. Hom. Od. 12.69.14-21: Phineus punished by Zeus; Serv. ad Aen. 3.209, Myth. Vat. 1.27, 2.142: Phineus

fact that many of these suppressions end in violent release may highlight the nature of marriage as being externally imposed and, to an extent, an artificial, ritual containment of a masculine construct of 'wild' sexuality that is itself somewhat artificial. Murnaghan comments on this artificiality and its tension with natal kinship bonds in the Antigone:

As she articulates a rationale for preferring the tie of kinship she has honoured over the marriage she has necessarily renounced, Antigone draws an important distinction between ties of marriage and tie of blood: ties of marriage are seen as artificial human constructs that can be made and unmade while ties of blood are seen as natural, unalterable, and incapable of being manufactured through human conventions.³⁷³

That marriage ties can be 'made and unmade' suggests insecurity in the institution; a fallacy in the model of sexual 'containment' it offers. The lyric epithalamium implied the inevitability and desirability of such containment;³⁷⁴ Sophocles' use of epithalamial topoi exposes its inadequacy. The position of the Athenian bride as movable goods, 'lent out' by her natal oikos to produce children for another, yet still subject to her original kurios, ³⁷⁵ may contribute to such a feeling of inadequacy and insecurity. If the separation of a woman from her birth family is never completed, neither is her reintegration into a new home. Antigone represents a dramatic extreme of Greek marriage, in which female sexuality might always be regarded as being in transition. Rejecting marriage, only physical confinement may contain

punished by gods, Argonauts run off Harpies; Schol. Ap. Rhod. Argon. 2.178 (141.1-6): blinding, no retribution; Hyg. Fab. 19.1-2 (similar); Phylarchus FGH fr. 18: Asclepius restored the sight of the boys for Cleopatra's sake, but was killed by Zeus' thunderbolt for this, s.v. Sextus Empiricus Adv. Math. 1.262.1-2 (cf. 260.8), Schol. Pind. P. 3.96.9-10, Schol. Eur. Alc. 1.20-21 (see Introduction, p.4).

³⁷³ Murnaghan (1986) 198.

³⁷⁴ Ch.1, pp.68, 76.

³⁷⁵ LSJ s.v. ἐκδίδωμι shows the connection of the term 'to give in marriage' with 'letting for hire', especially of slaves for work.

her, yet even this cannot prevent damage to both natal and marital families – which for her, are the same thing.

The epithalamium does not wholly explain the dramatic sequence articulated above, but its resonances expose certain perceptions about women's valuation of the hymenaios. The two choral odes enclose an antiphonal lament between Antigone and the Chorus. Amoeban song is a feature of epithalamia; the most obvious examples pitting female resistance to marriage against male insistence on its necessity. 376 Here again the motif is inverted: Antigone sorrows for the married life she will not have, while the Chorus counsel her to tlamosunê and remind her that this is her own will (αὐτόνομος, 821). Bridal reluctance is taken to its most reductive conclusion: the valuation of her natal family to the point of denial of marriage.³⁷⁷ Her lament, however, undermines such 'reluctance': she protests that her previous mourning was in accordance with her rights as daughter and sister of the dead, identifying herself as belonging to the paternal oikos:

έλθοῦσα μέντοι κάρτ' ἐν ἐλπίσιν τρέφω φίλη μὲν ἥξειν πατρί, προσφιλής δὲ σοί, μητερ, φίλη δὲ σοί, κασίγνητον κάρα·378

When she is punished by death for her performance of this duty (εὐσεβοῦσ', 924), in death she laments that she will have no share in marriage, thus identifying herself as 'bride':

³⁷⁶ E.g. Aesch. Supp. 1018-73 (cf. Swift 2006a:132, 2006b:205), Cat. 62; see Introduction, pp.24-25; Ch.2,

Foley (2001) 175, esp. n.11 on the name Antigone as meaning 'instead of or opposed to generation'. A similar etymology can be observed for Electra as deriving from an alpha-privative meaning 'without lektron', hence 'unmarried'.

³⁷⁸ Soph. Ant. 897-99.

ούθ' ύμεναίων

ἔγκληρον, οὖτ' ἐπὶ νυμ-

φείοις πώ με τις ύμνος ὕ-

μνησεν, άλλ' Άχέροντι νυμφεύσω. 379

Previous chapters have shown that bridal lament in the first person is usually a protest against the transition, or more specifically, against the separation from the 'sheltered garden' of parthenia. Here Antigone laments that this will not happen to her. She undergoes a departure (τὰν νεάταν ὁδὸν / στείχουσαν, 807-8), but there will be no makarismos to accompany it, no erôs to facilitate the transition, and no reintegration at the end of it. As the bride of death, she is ἀραῖος ἄγαμος (867), and as ἀνυμέναιος (876-77) is also ἄκλαυτος and ἄφιλος (876). She will have a place neither among the living not the dead, but will be perpetually liminal (μέτοικος, 852). This liminality emphasises her fundamental paradox: her simultaneous privileging of the natal over the marital, and lamentation for the loss of that marriage.

The notion that women are owed a share in hymenaioi is echoed in Sophocles' Electra as an aspect of adulthood of which Electra and Chrysothemis are cheated along with their father's inheritance (ἐστερημένη) and is repeated of Polyxena in Euripides' Hecuba: ἄνυμφος ἀνυμέναιος ῶν μ' ἐχρῆν τυχεῖν (416). The women themselves lament their lack of wedding songs, suggesting that in spite of their protests, this ritual was regarded by

³⁷⁹ Soph. Ant. 813-16.

³⁸⁰ See Introduction, pp.15-22.

³⁸¹ See also Sorum (1982) 207 for the inversion of the marital telos in this episode: 'on the verge of marriage and womanhood she returns to her parents'.

women as their right or 'due'. 382 Contemporary medical discourse, with which Sophocles was probably familiar, 383 regarded marriage not merely as a woman's lot or share, but as essential to her health. 384 She must reproduce for her own benefit as well as that of society. Antigone's erotic fascination with death could be a manifestation of the symptoms of delayed maturation mentioned in the Hippocratic texts – an ailment which could be cured through marriage and intercourse, woman's 'natural' transition. 385 The deprivation of this telos leaves only one option open: marriage to death. Yet as we see, this is no marriage, and no telos, at all:

καὶ νῦν ἄγει με διὰ χερῶν οὕτω λαβὼν ἄλεκτρον, ἀνυμέναιον, οὕτε του γάμου μέρος λαχοῦσαν οὕτε παιδείου τροφῆς ἀλλ' ὧδ' ἐρῆμος πρὸς φίλων ἡ δύσμορος ζῶσ' ἐς θανόντων ἔρχομαι κατασκαφάς.³⁸⁶

Death may be anumenaios for the Chorus of OC, but for Antigone, to be anumenaios is a living death: $\zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma$ ες θανόντων. By insisting on her performance of funeral rites, she denies herself the wedding song, aligning herself with the dead while still alive. As a result, she goes alive to her tomb without rites of her own.³⁸⁷

³⁸² Soph. Ant. 814, Lloyd-Jones (1994) 79.

³⁸³ Kosak (2004) 8, 11-13, cf. Collinge (1962) 47; Simpson (1969) and Ryzman (1992) on disease themes; Wilson (1941), Biggs (1966) and Worman (2000) on engagement with contemporary medical issues; Knox (1957) on OT.

³⁸⁴ Hipp. De Virg. Morb. 1.10-12: αι δὲ παρθένοι, ὁκόσησιν ὥρη γάμου, παρανδρούμεναι, τοῦτο μᾶλλον πάσχουσιν ἄμα τῆ καθόδῳ τῶν ἐπιμηνίων, πρότερον οὐ μάλα ταῦτα κακοπαθέουσαι.

³⁸⁵ Hipp. De Virg. Morb. 36-43, cf. King (1983) 113-115, Foley (2001) 199.

Soph. Ant. 916-20. Note the perversion of the *cheir' epi karpôi* gesture with which a bridegroom would usually lead a bride to her new home; and that while Antigone has previously stated that she will 'marry Acheron' (816) she in fact envisages her entombment as a denial of her marital meros.

³⁸⁷ Soph. Ant. 811. Αιδας ζώσαν ἄγει, 920, cf. above.

Like Electra, and like Cassandra in the following chapter, this perversion forces Antigone to perform her own lament.³⁸⁸ The kommos between her and the Chorus formally resembles a thrênos with an antiphonal structure in which, from the end of the third stasimon, they respond to her laments: 'Behold me...the bride of Acheron', 'With glory and praise...you descend alive to Hades'; 'I am like Niobe...', 'But she was a goddess...such fate is a credit to you'; 'You mock me...', 'It is your own fault, and your ancestral curse'; 'My family have destroyed me', 'You have destroyed yourself'; and she follows with an epode. According to Alexiou, such an ending is 'a formal refrain, metrically different from the rest of the ode...they occur most frequently in odes which are laments or invocations, at the moment when the dramatic tension within the ode has reached its highest pitch'. 389 As Creon comes to take Antigone away, she incorporates dactylic and trochaic metres into her verse for the first time, combining formal alliteration with an emotional register in a series of alpha-privatives: ἄκλαυτος, ἄφιλος, ἀνυμέναιος...ἀδάκρυτον (876-81). She laments that she will no longer look upon the sun (a common threnodic motif, also at 808-10), and complains of her lack of friends. Most perversely, the antiphony recalls the dialogue between the living and the dead that is a common feature of Greek funerary lament. 390 Antigone goes alive to her grave, and is lamented as if dead while still alive. Not only is her 'marriage' perverted by its conversion to her 'funeral', but even this is conducted improperly. She is taken (ἄγει, 811, ἄγομαι, 876, 939; recall the numphagôgia), not to the telos of marriage or that of death, but to perpetual liminality, erêmia, and invisibility. 391 What should have been her bridal (or at least funeral) procession is instead a road to nowhere, at the end of which the unfulfilled sexual potentiality of the parthenos is turned back upon the house which rejected her in a devastating psychological explosion which, as Griffith notes, 'results in the obliteration of the

³⁸⁸ Cf. p. 122; Ch.4, pp.168-69.

³⁸⁹ Alexiou (1974) 134.

³⁹⁰ Alexiou (1974) 139.

³⁹¹ Soph. Ant. 887, 919.

whole extended family'. Such a subversive transition means that she vacillates between defiance in her act and lament over its consequences, life and death, virginal hanging and 'marriage in death'. Nor is such vacillation unique to this play.

Electra laments the unmarried fate of herself and her sister Chrysothemis in similar terms to Antigone:

ή πάρεστι μέν στένειν πλούτου πατρώου κτήσιν **ἐστερημένη**, πάρεστι δ' ἀλγεῖν ἐς τοσόνδε τοῦ χρόνου

ἄλεκτρα γηράσκουσαν ἀνυμέναιά τε.393

This play on the heroine's name suggests Electra and her sister wasting into old age and childlessness in their devotion to their paternal family, denied the telos of womanhood by Aegisthus.³⁹⁴ Their alignment to their patriline threatens the new regime (like Danae, they might bear sons to overthrow the king), and so a lack of transition is imposed upon them. Like Antigone, being anumenaios is a living death for Electra, in which she is erotically aligned with the dead: οἰκονομῶ θαλάμους πατρὸς.³⁹⁵

Similarly, she regrets her lack of feminine telos. To a Greek woman, marriage may be a cause of lament, but to live an old maid ($\gamma\eta\rho\acute{\alpha}\kappa ου\sigma\alpha\nu$) in the house of one's dead father was far worse:

³⁹² Griffith (1999) 50.

³⁹³ Soph. El. 959-62, cf. 164-65, Ant. 876-77, Eur. Hec. 416, Or. 206; Finglass (2007) 401-2.

³⁹⁴ Soph. El. 964-66. This is made more explicit in Eur. El. 22-24, but cf. Seaford (1985) 318: 'it may indeed be supposed that Elektra's enemies fear the threat that might be posed by her offspring, as in Euripides' version. This is, however, never indicated in the play. And it is anyway unthinkable that Elektra should want to be married while absorbed in mourning'. Cf. Hdt. 1.107-8 as well as Ant. 944-50.

³⁹⁵ Soph. El. 190. Here the term has connotations of both 'marriage chamber' and 'tomb', as in Ant. 804, 947. See Seaford (1985) 318-19, but contra Finglass (2007) 158.

ὄν γ'εγώ ἀκάματα προσμένουσ' **ἄτεκνος**, τάλαιν' **ἀνύμφευτος** αἰεν σίχνῶ, δάκρυσι μυδαλέα, τὸν ἀνήνυτον οῖτον ἔχουσα κακῶν.³⁹⁶

Electra's wasting away into anumenaios old age makes plain the pathos implied by Antigone's living entombment. Indeed, the same fate is threatened if she will not stop her excessive lamentation for Agamemnon.³⁹⁷

Despite her sorrow at her lack of feminine fulfilment, she will not cease to mourn for her murdered father: οὐ μὲν δὴ / λήξω θρήνων στυγερῶν τε γόων,³⁹⁸ and so, like Antigone, vacillates between defiant mourning and sorrow over its cost to her. Electra, however, goes further and mourns to cause deliberate pain to Clytemnestra and Aegisthus (λυπῶ δὲ τούτους, 355). Her assumption of lamentation as vendetta takes this revenge to its ultimate conclusion when she thinks that Orestes will no longer return to champion her. ³⁹⁹ Moreover, to her mind, the act of murder will free her and Chrysothemis to marry (ὤσπερ ἑξέφυς, ἐλευθέρα / καλῆ τὸ λοιπὸν καὶ γάμων ἐπαξίων / τεύξη)⁴⁰⁰ – and this is where her living death contrasts sharply with Antigone's.

³⁹⁶ Soph. El. 164-67, also 188: άς φίλος οὐτις ἀνὴρ ὑπερίσταται.

³⁹⁷ Soph. El. 379-82, cf. Ant. 774, 887-88, Finglass (2007) 207.

³⁹⁸ Soph. El. 103-4, cf. 132-33, 223-25, 231-32, 239-44, cf. Kitzinger (1991) 307: 'it is not that she doesn't want to stop mourning Agamemnon; it is that she cannot'.

³⁹⁹ Soph. El. 954-57: νῦν δ' ἡνίκ' οὐκέτ' ἔστιν, ἐς σὲ δὴ βλέπω / ὅπως τὸν αὐτόχειρα πατρώου φόνου / ξὺν τῆδ' ἀδελφῆ μὴ κατοκνήσεις κτανεῖν / Αἴγισθον, cf. Alexiou (1974) 22 (especially on Electra), Holst-Warhaft (1992) 5-6, 33, 118 (on the general connection between women's laments and incitement to revenge), Foley (2001) 145-71.

⁴⁰⁰ Soph. El. 967-72, Foley (2001) 163.

Antigone's insistence on burial and lament of Polynices in defiance of the polis leads her to rebellion inappropriate for a woman and denial of female transition. In Electra, the heroine, paradoxically, thinks that transition to marriage will result from her adoption of an even more masculine position: that of tyrannicide. What would an Athenian audience have made of these paradoxes? Sourvinou-Inwood suggested that far from arousing sympathy, Antigone's actions would have alienated her from her viewers:

Antigone privileged her own interests over those of the polis and subverted the very articulation of the polis. For the fifth century Athenians her actions were not a response (let alone a correct and acceptable one) to 'legitimately' conflicting duties, towards the oikos and the polis...her oikos duty was to obey Kreon. ⁴⁰¹

As with most epithalamia, the key to understanding Antigone's song and Electra's use of anumenaios seems to be in the enactment of correct modes of behaviour for, and between, men and women. Both dramas are overshadowed by the performance of incorrect sexuality in the past: Oedipus and Jocasta's union and Polynices' use of a marriage alliance to make war on his own city; 402 and the rape of Helen, leading to Iphigenia's sacrifice, Clytemnestra's adultery, and Agamemnon's murder. 403 These transgressive actions lead to death, and to transgressive acts by the daughters of the houses in response to those deaths. They attempt to act correctly by the dead as befits their role of female mourners and by their patriline as befits virgin daughters, 404 but the political situation that results from previous transgressions means

⁴⁰¹ Sourvinou-Inwood (1989) 139. In this duty both women also fail in their role as epiklêroi (or perceived epiklêros, in Electra's case) to produce children for their father's oikoi.

⁴⁰² Soph. Ant. 863-65: 'ιὼ ματρῷαι λέκτρων ᾶται κοιμήματά τ' αὐτογέννητ' ἐμῷ πατρὶ δυσμόρου ματρός; 869-70: δυσπότμων γάμων.

⁴⁰³ Soph. El. 516-609 (Clytemnestra and Electra's contrasting viewpoints on the sacrifice of Iphigenia and murder of Agamemnon).

⁴⁰⁴ Soph. Ant. 924: εὐσεβοῦσ'; El. 968: εὐσέβειαν, but compare Sorum (1982) 206: Antigone's independent action leads her to assume a role that is socially defined as masculine. Further, though lamentation is an

that they themselves are in violation of normative (female) behaviour. 405 Balance and reciprocity – between speech and silence, male and female, private grief and political regime – are lost in these plays. This ruptures the possibility of transition. Unlike in Aeschylus, only further death may resolve these crises.

The transgression of female norms means that the normative progression of female life – daughter, wife, mother – is also disrupted. The maidens seem caught in the midst of transition: desirous of marriage, yet unable to forsake paternal devotion. But with their fathers and brothers dead (though in Electra, Orestes' death is only feigned)⁴⁰⁶ they seem neither to belong wholly to their patriline nor to a husband. They are anumenaioi, perpetually liminal – the living dead. Sophocles seems less interested in the wedding song per se than in inversions of hymenaioi: thus entombment is presented as marriage in a corruption of both marriage and funeral rites. Aeschylus remarked on the necessity of correct behaviour in marriage; 407 Sophocles goes further and specifies the nature of that conduct. Women must obey feminine norms in order to attain the telos that is their destiny and their right – but the need for adherence to social norms is not limited to women. Creon and Aegisthus attempt to impose a perverted transition, or the lack of one, and are punished. Haimon, having defied his father, can only achieve his in death. Men must also enact the telos correctly, else the containment it represents will be exposed as inadequate. Transitions, both to marriage and to death, must be performed eusebeôs if society is to continue.

Deianeira: Girl, Interrupted

essentially feminine duty, burial would have been performed by male relatives - or not at all, in the case of traitors to the state.

⁴⁰⁵ Soph. Ant. 61-68, 484-85, 578-79, 677-80; Chrysothemis presents similar sentiments of yielding to those in power as Ismene at El. 333-40, 396, and Clytemnestra criticises her appearance outside the house at 516-18. Electra herself states: μανθάνω δ' ὁθούνεκα / ἔξωρα πράσσω κοὐκ ἐμοὶ προσεικότα (618-19). See also 997-98.

⁴⁰⁶ Soph. El. 673, see Sorum (1982) 207, Foley (2001) 153 'her [Electra's] status too, depends on a dead father and brother'.

⁴⁰⁷ Ch.1, esp. pp.108-9.

Trachiniae includes not one, but two improperly performed marriages. The first is Heracles' with Deianeira: though she is not explicitly anumenaios, she exists in a state of liminality that recalls the use of the term in Antigone and Electra. The second is Heracles' with Iole, which ensures that Deianeira will never be 'fulfilled'. On the surface, Deianeira's union with Heracles is the archetypal 'hero rescues maiden from monster' story. Her prologue describes her fear of marriage with Achelous, beyond that of the average Geek bride:

ήτις πατρός μέν έν δόμοισιν Οινέως ναίουσ' ἔτ' ἐν Πλευρῶνι νυμφείων ὅτλον άλγιστον ἔσχον, εἴ τις Αἰτωλὶς γυνή. 408

She is a passive object in this transaction, with the river as $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$ (9), and can merely hope for death before consummation:

τοιόνδ' έγω μνηστήρα προσδεδεγμένη δύστηνος ἀεὶ κατθανεῖν ἐπηυχόμην, πρίν τῆσδε κοίτης ἐμπελασθῆναι ποτε. 409

This wish for death, we have seen, is common to 'epithalamial' songs, if not to epithalamia themselves. 410 It betrays bridal reluctance that must be overcome by the encouragement to

⁴⁰⁸ Soph. Trach. 6-8. ⁴⁰⁹ Soph. Trach. 15-17.

⁴¹⁰ E.g. Sappho fr. 94.1 V (if this is in the voice of the departing girl), Aesch. Supp. 779-91; see Ch.1, p.47.

transition to a new life. In Deianeira's case, she is saved from both virgin death and hated marriage by the arrival of Heracles (18-21).

Unusually among tragic brides, Deianeira leaves her father's house under her own preferred circumstances (ἀσμένη δέ μοι, 18). Once the transfer to Heracles' oikos gets underway, however, things start to go wrong. Ormand notes how, following a 'courtship' that is in reality little more than an agôn between two hypermasculine figures with herself as the prize, Deianeira complains about the perversion of the traditional send off from her father's house and subsequent attempted rape by the centaur Nessus: 411

ος τον βαθύρρουν ποταμον Εύηνον βροτούς μισθοῦ ἀπόρευε χερσίν, οὕτε πομπίμοις κώπαις ἐρέσσων οὕτε λαίφεσιν νεώς. ος κἀμέ, τον πατρῷον ἡνίκα στόλον ξυν Ἡρακλεῖ τὸ πρῶτον εῦνις ἑσπόμην... 412

He states that:

Deianeira's choice of words, particularly the adjective "processional" (pompimos) is significant. She uses the word because she is complaining about the lack of traditional $pomp\bar{e}$ (procession) after her wedding.⁴¹³

⁴¹¹ Ormand (1999) 41, cf. Armstrong (1986) 101-2. Armstrong further links this passage with Deianeira's erêmia by noting that eunis can mean both 'bride' and 'deserted' ('lacking' her patros, understood with eunis from patrôion).

⁴¹² Soph. Trach. 559-63.

⁴¹³ Ormand (1999) 41.

We have seen how marriage is a process of transition for the bride, from enguê to childbirth, which is part of a wider initiation into adulthood. The wedding procession functions as a ritual compression of this process, inscribed in its songs which may represent a transition from wildness and reluctance to domestication and acceptance. 414 Electra and Antigone demonstrated the consequences if that process was withheld or perverted; Trachiniae presents a different consequence of its being improperly performed. Instead of processional oars or a ship with sails and a hymeneal stolon to effect her transition into the civilised and civilising institution of marriage, 415 Deianeira is carried over the river, a symbol of her liminal state, by a centaur, a hypersexual beast representative of the very margins of civilisation – who then attempts, in a perversion of the *cheir'* epi karpôi gesture with which a bridegroom would lead a bride to her new home, to appropriate her sexuality for himself, outside the context of the oikos.

That oikos is unstable - Heracles' actions mean that the family are forced into continual transition and separation from each other. He and Deianeira never complete the marriage process through sunoikêsis, living together as man and wife (though by the time of the dramatic action, their son, Hyllus, is himself old enough to marry – an extraordinary deferral of reintegration):

έξ οῦ γαρ ἔκτα κεῖνος Ἰφίτου βίαν, ήμεῖς μὲν ἐν Τραχῖνι τῆδ' ἀνάστατοι ξένω παρ' άνδρι ναίομεν, κείνος δ' ὅπου

βέβηκεν οὐδεὶς οἶδε.416

⁴¹⁴ Cf. Ch.1, pp.72-73.

⁴¹⁶ Soph. Trach. 38-41.

⁴¹⁵ Compare Sappho fr. 44.5-8 V: Ἐκτωρ καὶ συνέταιρ[ο]ι ἄγοισ' ἐλικώπιδα / Θήβας ἐξ ἰέρας Πλακίας τ' ἀπ [ἀϊ]ν<ν>άω / ἄβραν 'Ανρδομάχαν ἐνὶ ναῦσιν ἐπ' ἄλμιρον / πόντον.

On Van Gennep's model of rites of passage, here the rites of limen, the dangerous transitional phase between separation and reintegration, are corrupted and the transition permanently arrested. The essential fact of reintegration - cohabitation - is missing from their relationship. 417 Deianeira's visualisation of her wedding procession as unsanctioned abduction and rape (and one that consequently overshadows the whole of her married life), followed by abandonment, suggests that its proper performance was regarded as essential for the successful transition and transfer of the woman between oikoi. To effect its telos ensures a kind of successful fulfilment in marriage, a reintegration which Deianeira never experiences. She is described during her wedding contest as a calf wandered from its mother, an image which may derive from the wedding song:

κάπὸ ματρὸς ἄφαρ βέβαχ',

ὥστε πόρτις ἐρήμα.⁴¹⁸

Both Deianeira and the Chorus look back to the images of partheneia even after Deianeira is married. This imagery can be used to indicate bridal anxiety or reluctance, which in the course of the Greek wedding would ideally be overcome, 'perhaps by persuasion or perhaps by rites of incorporation'. 419 Deianeira's marriage, however, was characterised by violence and due to her arrested transition it is unlikely that her reintegration could ever have been accomplished. She expresses post-transitional lamentation, identifying with the 'sheltered garden' image (τὸ γὰρ νεάζον ἐν τοιοῖσδε βόσκεται / χώροισιν αὐτοῦ, 144-45) at the same time as the 'fertile field':

⁴¹⁷ Cf. Wohl (1998) 33, also on Iole's failure to make the transition from parthenos to gunê. ⁴¹⁸ Soph. Trach. 529-30; cf. Sappho fr. 104(a) V, Seaford (1986) 52.

⁴¹⁹ Seaford (1986) 52-54.

κάφύσαμεν δή παίδας, ούς κείνός ποτε,

γήτης ὅπως ἄρουραν ἔκτοπον λαβών,

σπείρων μόνον προσείδε κάξαμῶν ἄπαξ. 420

As a result, she remains liminal. Unlike the Greek bride, this calf is never truly domesticated,

always erêma, and never truly a part of a new household. Despite her status as μητερ (64)

and δάμαρτά...Ηρακλέους (406), Deianeira has never undergone the process of

acculturation expressed in the wedding songs that would make her teleia: she, like her house,

is eternally μελλόνυμφος.

The news of Heracles' return leads to a choral ode anticipating a marriage, prompted

by Deianeira herself:⁴²¹

άνολολυξάτω δόμος

έφεστίοις άλαλαγαῖς

ο μέλλονυμφος. 422

Her joy seems to suggest that the wedding to be celebrated is the long-awaited reunion of

herself and Heracles. His labours are complete; now they may both be reintegrated. This song

follows many epithalamial patterns: as in Sappho fr. 44.33-34 V, the chorus of women call

upon the men to raise a song to Apollo (ἀρσένων / ἴτω κλαγγὰ τὸν εὐφαρέτραν /

⁴²⁰ Soph. Trach. 31-33; see Introduction, pp.15-22. ⁴²¹ Soph. Trach. 202-4.

422 Soph. Trach. 205-7.

129

'Απόλλω προστάταν, 207-9). Here maidens also join in: παιᾶνα παιᾶν' ἀνάγετ', ὧ παρθένοι (210-11). The overall image is one of communal celebration – until Artemis is invoked, both in her 'wild' aspect (ἐλαφαβόλον, 214) and as torch-bearer (ἀμφίπυρον). The virginal is again juxtaposed with the nuptial. This could refer to the anticipated transition of marriage, but is slightly jarring in view of Deianeira's earlier vacillation.

Marriage is an institution which reproduces and strengthens social relations and reaffirms gender roles. The introduction of Dionysiac imagery to this song suggests the rupture or dissolution of those boundaries. The aulos (217) is appropriate to the wedding song, 423 but not the function of the god as τύραννε τᾶς ἐμᾶς φρενός (218), suggesting madness and frenzy which have no place in the epithalamium. It is the result of madness – that of uncontrolled passion – which Deianeira is called to look upon when the Chorus say: τάδ' ἀντίπρωρα δή σοι / βλέπειν πάρεστ' ἐναργῆ (223-24). The next thing Deianeira sees will be the στόλον (227, cf. 562) by which Iole, Heracles' captive-bride, is brought into the house.

Μελλόνυμφος could therefore also refer to the second improperly performed marriage. Indeed, the Messenger's words cast this union in the context of transgressive marriage from the beginning. Heracles destroyed a city to obtain Iole (ἕλοι / τήν ὑψίπυγρον Οἰχαλίαν, 353-54); as in Antigone, the destructive power of love is blamed for this rupture:

Έρως δέ νιν

⁴²³ Hom. II. 18.495, [Hes.] Scut. 281, Sappho fr. 44.24 V.

⁴²⁴ Cf. 368: ἐντεθέρμανται πόθω, 445: νόσω, 476: δεινὸς ἡμερός.

μόνος θεῶν θέλξειεν αἰχμάσαι τάδε. 425

The hero wished to have Iole as his κρύφιον λέχος (360), creating ambiguity as to her role in the house. Lechos can be used of a wife or a concubine, and Deianeira refers to herself with the same term at v.27. The captive is not to be a sex-slave: οὐκ ἀφροντίστως...οὐδ' ώστε δούλην (366-67). Lichas swore that he was bringing her (ἄγειν) as the δάμαρτ'... ' Ηρακλεῖ (428), the very term by which he addresses Deianeira in v.406. Deianeira draws the obvious conclusion that the two of them are to occupy the same position:

έγω δε θυμοῦσθαι μεν οὐκ επίσταμαι νοσοῦντι κείνω πολλὰ τῆδε τῆ νόσω, τὸ δ' αῦ ξυνοικεῖν τῆδ' ὁμοῦ τίς ἀν γυνὴ δύναιτο, κοινωνούσα τών αὐτών γάμων; ορώ γαρ ήβην την μεν έρπουσαν πρόσω, την δὲ Φθίνουσαν ων <δ'> ἀφαρπάζειν φιλεῖ όφθαλμὸς ἄνθος, τῶνδ' ὑπεκτρέπει πόδα. ταῦτ' οῦν φοβοῦμαι μὴ πόσις μὲν Ἡρακλῆς ἐμὸς καλῆται, τῆς νεωτέρας δ' ἀνήρ. 426

Though both are presented as wives, it is desire for Iole that rules the husband. He will be Deianeira's posis in name only. Though she is to all intents and purposes married, to her

⁴²⁵ Soph. Trach. 354-55. ⁴²⁶ Soph. Trach. 543-51.

mind, she will never have completed the transition of gunê to an anêr. This takes further the theme of 'artificial containment' in the previous two plays. Here the ritual and telos of marriage is insufficient to enclose Deianeira's sexuality – though married and a mother, she remains, in a sense, anumenaios, and therefore threatening. When she attempts to reclaim her marital relationship, she inadvertently kills her husband. In the end, it is only her robe which will sunoikein with Heracles. 427

Again we are given a sense of the perceived importance of the marriage relationship to women: not only the social construction of posis/damar, but also the personal relationship of anêr/gunê. Deianeira is married and has borne children but is separated from Heracles and privileges the marital relationship in her suicide. Perhaps post-transitional bridal lamentation should be seen in this context: the socio-ritual transition has been accomplished, but the bride has yet to establish a relationship with her husband and stresses her ongoing separation. Rituals may be inadequate to overcome this: the bride still feels herself to be liminal. In Deianeira we see an extreme example of this phenomenon. Her pre-marital anxiety and liminality becomes the central fact of the text, and this unfulfilled potentiality eventually proves the death of her husband – and herself.

I agree with Ormand's hypothesis: arguing for the lack of fulfilment of tragic women in marriage and tragedy's inability to express this comprehensibly, he notes that Trachiniae presents Deianeira's transformation from parthenos (the wandering calf) to gunê as incomplete, and as such, her integration into her new oikos is interrupted. 428 She is suspended in a liminal phase and arrested in her bridal identity until the moment of her death – a death figured as a sexual penetration in which she makes up the bed (920), bares her breasts (925-

⁴²⁷ Soph. Trach. 1055, see Ormand (1993) 224-26. ⁴²⁸ Ormand (1999) 42.

26), and stabs herself with a sword in the liver (930-31). 429 Only through one telos can she express her achievement of another.

An inappropriately performed procession, as in Trachiniae, or anumenaios wedding, as in Antigone, disrupts the telos of the ritual – or is used to indicate its disruption and the consequences to follow. The Sophoclean plays give the impression that women are owed these rituals – not merely because they are integral to the survival of the household and the community of which it is a part, but also on a personal level. Epithalamia, with their progression towards civilising imagery and their encouragement of acceptance, are part of a wider, communal ritual whose aim is to effect the transition of the bride from parthenos to gunê, thereby guaranteeing the fertility of the oikos and by extension, the continuity of the polis. 430 By implication, their improper performance will result in a corruption of interpersonal relations within the household, sterility, and social stasis. At the same time, the rituals of marriage by themselves are not sufficient to effect this telos. Correct behaviour between husband and wife contributes to the establishment of a relationship that extends beyond the epithalamium and which is presented as the cornerstone of feminine 'fulfilment'.

Sophocles seems at first glance to use the hymenaios in a similar way to Aeschylus' manipulation of the genre in PV or in Agamemnon, in which the term contrasts the expectations of joy which would accompany marriage in reality with the tragic situation, and thus emphasises the current misery of the protagonists (such as Antigone, Electra, and to an extent Oedipus);⁴³¹ or, more ironically, looks forward to it. There are further similarities with the Aeschylean hymenaios: namely, that the motif can be used to underscore a recognition (or lack of recognition) of illicit or cursed sexuality, and that those who fail to recognise it as such are often classed as tyrants⁴³² – whose downfall is thus imminent. In a similar way to

⁴²⁹ Cf. Foley (2001) 97.

⁴³⁰ See Introduction, pp.34-35.

⁴³¹ Cf. Ch.2, pp.97-99, 103. 432 Soph. El. 661, 64, also Ant. 506.

Supplices, the Choruses of Antigone and Trachiniae use songs that are like (and yet unlike) wedding songs in order to foreshadow or comment upon the corruption of the hymeneal telos.⁴³³

In the suggestion of arrested transition, however, Sophocles differs from Aeschylus. While the Danaids resist marriage and attempt to avoid their own transitions by flight to Argos and obedience to their father, Antigone's and Electra's devotion to their patrilines causes them to remark on the meaning of their loss of marriage. They are at once defiant over these actions, and at the same time pitiful and 'lost', denied a 'share' in marriage and children. Deianeira, while she attains this lot on a social level, fails to make the transition to gunê in her own self-identity, and can only assert her status as wife/mother in death. The association of the term anumenaios with death, moreover, suggests both a pitiable sterility and the potential destructiveness of such a state. Its implications of silence, liminality and unfulfilled erêmia intersect with the political sphere in which it can be applied – forcibly – to the female in order to reflect on the Athenian ideals of women's silence, obedience, and invisibility – ideals more prevalent under the Periclean regime, but not necessarily the result of it.

When applied to a maiden, anumenaios can suggest the unleashing of a destructive potentiality as a result of her denial of traditional fulfilment in the role of wife, a denial often resulting from her fulfilment of her role as daughter. This denial is due both to her tragic excess and the fear of her reproductive capacity by the authorities, and is bound up in Athenian anxieties about women's role in society. The lack of fulfilment in marriage can also be applied to the tragic wife, who occasionally experiences a lack of integration into the marital household as a result of the lack of appropriate ritual behaviour (as in Trachiniae). The tragic hymenaios emphasises the dangers of the liminal position of the parthenos, and to

⁴³³ Cf. Ch.2, p.81.

-

an extent of women as a whole in Athenian society, ⁴³⁴ and of the period of transition between oikoi. It emphasises the importance for personal fulfilment of female rites of passage – and the importance of their correct performance if society is to continue.

The hymenaios in Sophocles is therefore a more complex topos than in Aeschylus. Sophocles uses 'epithalamial' odes, but these suggest a lack of appropriate ritual and an inversion of the anticipated telos. He also highlights women's valuation of marriage through their status as anumenaios. This is a positive valuation of marriage, implying a social and personal fulfilment lacked by Antigone, Electra and Deianeira. Positive representations of marriage in the female voice do exist, but it is rare to find them expressed by the bride in the first person in the wedding song. As mentioned in Chapter 1, it is inappropriate for a woman to display eagerness for sexual contact – Sophocles' plays suggest, however, that lamentation for the missed opportunity of marriage was acceptable. Similar sentiments are displayed by Greek funerary epigrams on the death of parthenoi: 436 to wish for death is an acceptable, ritualised expression of pre-marital anxiety, to achieve death was an occasion for real grief.

⁴³⁴ Anxiety over the transferable position of women and their potential for ill is apparent in the simile of Helen as a lion cub brought into the house in Aesch. Ag, and is expressed by Creon in Soph. Ant. 647-51: μή νύν ποτ', ὧ παῖ, τὰς φρένας γ' ὑφ' ἡδονῆς / γυναικὸς οὕνεκ' ἐκβάλης, εἰδὼς ὅτι / ψυχρὸν παραγκάλισμα τοῦτο γίγνεται, / γυνὴ κακὴ ξύνευνος ἐν δόμοις.

⁴³⁵ Compare Sappho frr. 30, 44.25-27, 31 V and Theoc. 18 with Eur. Tro 308-41; see Introduction, pp.15-22; Ch.1, pp.47-48.

⁴³⁶ AP 7.182, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491; see p.83, n.271. These, however, are not in the bride's own voice, which further highlights the abnormality of Antigone, Electra and Deianeira's self-laments. A similar situation occurs in Cassandra's performance of her own wedding song (see Ch. 4, pp.168-69).

CHAPTER FOUR

THE TRAGIC HYMENAIOS: EURIPIDES

The tragedians studied thus far have been shown to use the motif of the wedding song in very different ways; Euripides adds a further difference. He uses whole epithalamia in his plays — in Phaethon and Troades, these are complete lyric hymenaioi. In IA, the third stasimon is devoted to a choral reminiscence of the wedding song performed at the marriage of Peleus and Thetis. I will examine these songs, as hymenaioi in themselves and as part of a wider dramatic structure, to discover how the youngest and arguably most sophistic playwright uses the wedding song. Can a coherent overview of its Euripidean development be identified? Is this development consistent with the analyses of Euripides' pushing the boundaries of tragic convention generally posited for his drama?

It can be established at the outset that these songs are performed for perverted or transgressive unions. Phaethon's mortal stepfather, Merops, arranges his son's marriage to a goddess, not knowing that the boy is the product of the extramarital liaison of Merops' wife, Clymene, with Helios (Pha. Hypothesis 6-9). Agamemnon takes Cassandra, virgin priestess of Apollo, as his spear-bride 'by force', 'abandoning piety to the god' (Tro. 43-44). Iphigenia is summoned to Aulis on the pretext of marriage to Achilles – but actually to be sacrificed for a fair wind to Troy in an ironic inversion of the marriage ceremony (IA 89-105). The perversion takes place on a generic as well as dramatic level. The elements discussed in the Introduction of 'bride', 'bridegroom' and 'wedding' are all present in some form, ⁴³⁷ but there is a disjunction, or confusion, of these elements, which throws the song into question. The question asked of Iphigenia's arrival in IA' Υμέναιός τις ἢ τί πράσσεται; (is this a

See p.10

⁴³⁷ See p.10.

wedding (song) or what is happening?, 430), is appropriate to all Euripides' hymenaioi. We must draw our conclusions about the tragedian's depiction of marriage against this confusion.

Phaethon: flights of fancy?

The wedding song of Phaethon is unique among our dramatic examples – as a fragment of a mostly lost play, it is the only tragic epithalamium to receive much scholarly attention. Due to the fragmentary nature of the tragedy and its obscure mythology, commentators have never satisfactorily answered the key interpretive issues: who is the bridegroom Phaethon, and who is his unnamed bride? These questions have a fundamental impact upon how we read the wedding song at 227-44, yet it is nearly impossible to answer them from the text itself. A wider dramatic manipulation of the 'primary elements' 'bride' and 'groom', casting the song's recipients into doubt, renders the song even more problematic than usual. I argue that this crisis of identity is an essential framework within which to understand this song, and the tragedy in general.

Euripides' manipulation of the genre takes place simultaneously with another: Aristophanes' use of the wedding song at the end of Peace and Birds to signify happy endings for the protagonists and their communities, which I shall discuss in the next chapter. Euripides does the opposite. His hymenaioi take place in the midst of the dramatic action, and are surrounded by crushing revelations of death and the destruction of family. What is the connection between the two, and could Phaethon (if the date of c.420BC is correct)⁴³⁸ exist in

⁴³⁸ Diggle (1970) 49: 'metrical evidence, such as it is, favours the attribution of Phaethon to the later part of Euripides' career, to within a few years of 420'. However, Webster (1972) 628, following Zeliński, argues for a later date of 415-409. cf. Cropp & Fick (1985) 69: 427-14BC. The progressive development of the hymeneal motif in Euripides seems to support an earlier date for Phaethon, but this is still too uncertain for confidence.

dialogue with Peace of 421BC? Aristophanes is always thought to react against Euripidean tragedy, but the reverse may be true here. 439

If we date the play at c.420, Phaethon is the first of Euripides' plays known to contain a full hymenaios, a trend continued in Troades (415) and IA (405). Does he, in Phaethon, create a point of departure for his later plays? If so, in what way? This brings us back to one of the central questions of this thesis: how is the wedding song being used in this play? Contiades-Tsitsoni argues for an interpretation of tragic irony in all three plays, consonant with the 'marriage to death' motif common in tragedy:

Der Thematik dieser Partien liegen die traditionellen Motive der "tragischen Hochzeit" zugrunde, der Hochzeit, die durch den Tod verhindert wird, und des "frühzeitigen Todes", des Todes $\pi\rho\grave{o}$ $\gamma\acute{\alpha}\mu$ oιo. Beide Motive haben ihren "Sitz im Leben" 440

This is an interpretation shared with Tufte, who applies the designation 'anti-epithalamium' to this ode:

As used in the Troades, the anti-epithalamium consists of a conventional epithalamium interrupted and followed by the "anti" devices. In another play of Euripides, the fragmentary Phaethon, a somewhat similar technique seems to have been employed.⁴⁴¹

⁴³⁹ Such comic reactions exist: Ar. Av. 213-14 may be based on a similar passage (1107-12) in Euripides' Helen, produced two years earlier. See Dunbar (1995) 205, 'though alternatively both may be imitating a common model unknown to us'; Burian (2007) 258; Allan (2008) 272. The dating of Phaethon, however, is too insecure to be certain of such an allusion to Peace.

⁴⁴⁰ Contiades-Tsitsoni (1994) 52.

⁴⁴¹ Tufte (1970) 42.

By this logic, Phaethon seems to set the tone for the further two tragedies: a nubile young woman or a youth on the cusp of marriage goes instead to their death, and the rejoicing surrounding the proposed union turns out to be bitterly inappropriate to the situation. Yet I believe that an examination of the song in its wider textual and dramatic context might raise specific problems that are also addressed in these later plays. As mentioned above, the first of these problems concerns the 'primary elements' which identify the ode as a wedding song.

Crisis of identity

Our first problem lies in the identification of the bride and bridegroom of this play – in the case of the former, the extant fragments do not preserve her name, only the fact that she is a goddess ($\theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$, 241). This has not prevented extended debate over her identity. As for the bridegroom, recent scholarship has problematised (perhaps excessively) the parentage, and thus 'identity', of Phaethon. Two semi-divine Phaethons exist in the mythical tradition prior to Euripides - a beautiful boy, son of Eos and Cephalus, carried off by Aphrodite in Hesiod, 442 and a son of Helios (and possibly the nymph Rhode) in Aeschylus' Heliades, a youth whose sisters were transformed into poplars and weep tears of amber for him beside the Eridanus. 443 Schol. Hom. Od. 17.208, which may reflect this version, tells how he drove the chariot of Helios and was killed by the thunderbolt of Zeus. In neither version is Phaethon presented as a bridegroom, but the son of Helios, who crashes the chariot of the Sun, is a far closer match.

Wilamowitz argued the opposite: 'Euripides nicht sowohl eine neue Sage erfunden, als Phaethon den Sohne der Eos mit Phaethon dem Sohne des Helios kontaminiert hat'. 444 In

⁴⁴² Hes. Th. 984-991.

⁴⁴³ Cf. Eur. Hipp. 735-41. 444 Wilamowitz (1883) 130.

addition, Euripides was thought to have combined Hesiodic material with other myths, namely the catasterism of Phaethon as the evening star in Eratosthenes (194-97), and the myth of the fatal ride of Phaethon, 'eigentlich die Sonne', supposedly originating in Corinth.⁴⁴⁵

In the light of this interpretation, the bride becomes Aphrodite, and Phaethon, called the νεόζυγι...πώλωι (233-34) of that goddess in the wedding song, is her husband. Wilamowitz's theory was an interpretive spectre that Diggle hoped to lay to rest by distinguishing between the Hesiodic son of Eos and Cephalus and the Euripidean son of Merops/Helios and Clymene:

The legends which he [Wilamowitz] sought to impose upon Euripides were legends of his own invention, justified by neither the text of Hesiod nor the text of Euripides nor the text of any other author. 446

Diggle sees the Euripidean Phaethon as being totally separate from the Hesiodic character, 447 and in answer to Wilamowitz's speculation of a fusion of legends surrounding the youth's transformation into a star, maintains that the catasterism of Phosphorus-Hesperus was not attested before the Hellenistic period – any attempt to bring the legends into line, and into line with Euripides, must therefore stem from this period. 448

If more than one youth went by the name of Phaethon, an Athenian audience could well be expected (particularly after Aeschylus' tragedy) to know the difference between them and dissociate the tragic and Hesiodic characters. The prologue suggests that the boy's descent has already been elucidated: $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\epsilon$ iς δ μ οί π οτ' ϵ iφ' δ τ' η υνά σ θη θ εὸς /

⁴⁴⁵ Wilamowitz (1883) 134, 141-42.

⁴⁴⁶ Diggle (1970) 12.

⁴⁴⁷ Diggle (1970) 13.

⁴⁴⁸ Diggle (1970) 14.

αιτοῦ τί χρήιζεις ἕν (45-46). Underlying similarities, however, remain – these would have been recognisable to an audience versed in epic poetry.

Though the parentage of the two youths differs, legend situates them both in Ethiopia as denizens of the solar family. Phaethon's Hesiodic half-brother, Memnon, is Αἰθιόπων βασιλη̂α (Th. 985), while Euripides has his Phaethon praised as βασιλη̂ (Pha. 237) and βασιλεύς (240) of that people. Hesiod's Phaethon is 'a man like the gods' (common praise in the epithalamium and 'epithalamial' songs); 449 whereas Euripides' will become 'dear to the starry palace of gold' through his marriage to a goddess. The youth of both figures is emphasised: τόν ρα **νέον** τέρεν ἄνθος ἔχοντ' ἐρικυδέος ήβης (Th. 988); **νέος δ.[** / ήβης (Pha. 132-33), $\pi\alpha i\varsigma$ (104), $\pi\alpha iδ$ (107). This youthful aspect has a fundamental bearing on our identification of the boy. For it is at the height of this period, when Phaethon is occupied by 'childish thoughts', παιδ' ἀταλά φρονέοντα (Th. 989) that he is snatched by Aphrodite. Similarly, Euripides' protagonist seems to have entered the fullness of his youth, the age at which his marriage can be arranged. 451 He, like many Greek brides, seems resistant to the idea of leaving childhood behind, and perhaps, like Hippolytus, prefers such 'youthful pursuits' as athletics – or chariot racing – to the responsibilities of adulthood, marriage and sexuality: ... ισσπερ ή Κλυμένη λέγουσα "μισῶ δ' εὐάγκαλον τόξον κρανείας γυμνάσια δ' οἴιχοιτο". 452 Finally, Aphrodite places Hesiod's Phaethon in her temple, ζαθέοις ἐνὶ νηοῖς (Th. 990) and makes him its keeper: νηοπόλον μύχιον (991). Euripides' Phaethon is also placed within, in the treasury where the house's precious

⁴⁴⁹ See esp. Ch.1, pp.42-43.

⁴⁵⁰ See also Eur. Pha. 103, 117, 135, 161, 172, 176, 276, 312, 322.

⁴⁵¹ The association between the 'flower of youth' and the 'season of marriage' is made elsewhere in Euripides, e.g. Hel. 12-13.

⁴⁵² Plut. Mor. 698E, repr, as fr. inc. sed. 4 in Diggle (1970) 70. Two fragments, δεδοικ[(147), and Phaethon's remark on the enslavement to dowry at 158-59, have been used alongside this to suggest that he was temperamentally hostile to the idea of marriage and feared the departure from youth, cf. Collard (1995) 199, Reckford (1972a) 410 and (1972b) 338-39.

possessions would be kept: κρύψω δέ νιν / ζεστοῖσι θαλάμοις (Pha. 221-22). Aphrodite in the Phaethontic wedding song also hides her πώλος: ἐν αἰθέρι κρύπτεις (234).

Other factors identify Phaethon with the 'newly-yoked colt'. Besides the fact that he yokes the horses of Helios (Nagy points out the closeness of the stables of Helios and Eos, one of whose horses was called $\Phi\alpha\epsilon\theta\omega\nu$), 453 he is also represented as being 'yoked' in marriage: ζεῦξαι νύμφης τε λεπάδνοις (109). This is a common metaphor for the female experience of marriage, often seen in terms of the domestication of a formerly unbroken animal. 454 Newly-yoked here must mean 'newly-married'. The identification of the 'colt' with the offspring of Aphrodite's marriage, however, cannot support his identification with her Hesiodic beloved: the $\pi\omega\lambda\omega\iota$ is also $\sigma\omega\nu$ $\gamma\omega\mu\omega\nu$ $\gamma\varepsilon\nu\nu\alpha\nu$ and thus must refer to a son, not a husband (regardless of Nagy's supposition that Clymene should be seen as a chthonic Aphrodite, and can therefore be, along Near Eastern lines, a 'Great Mother' figure mourning her son/consort). 455 If there is a connection or conflation between these two myths, it must be sought at a less literal level.

Reckford proposes that the relationship of Aphrodite and Phaethon may operate on only a symbolic level – in much the same way as in Hippolytus. 456 Yet it is difficult to see how this may have been achieved or why it would have warranted the performance of a wedding song. Others reject Aphrodite as the bride, often in favour of the identification of her (after Diggle)⁴⁵⁷ as a daughter of Helios:

⁴⁵³ Nagy (1973) 164, on Hom. Od. 23.246.

⁴⁵⁴ See p.23, n.72.

⁴⁵⁵ Nagy (1973) 171.

⁴⁵⁶ Reckford (1972a) 406. By associating both Phaethon and Hippolytus with the experience of the bride (departure, yoking and 'death') Euripides represents the loss of innocence that accompanies marriage and the human condition in general. There are dangers to this interpretation; chiefly, the representation in two plays of a youth hostile to marriage in a symbolically erotic relationship with Aphrodite. ⁴⁵⁷ Diggle (1970) 159-60.

No later poet could add another marriage to [Aphrodite's] famously cuckolded one with Hephaestus in Homer, Od. 8.266ff., even in the play's near-fairy setting...Mortal Merops could not 'arrange' either an actual or even a token marriage of a youth to her...As a husband himself of a god's daughter, however, Merops might reasonably claim marriage for his son to a daughter of his divine neighbour, Helios.⁴⁵⁸

This is likely to be the case (and as paternal half-siblings, who were not raised in the same household, such a marriage would have been acceptable to an Athenian audience), so any use of the Hesiodic Phaethon myth must therefore function in a different context. It could, however, be an informative principle for the text, an 'older myth' which illuminates our understanding of the story of Phaethon the charioteer used here.⁴⁵⁹

Euripides used contradictory versions of well-known myths, but often, particularly in later tragedies, these were deliberately juxtaposed with other versions, either epic or tragic (from an already complex and contradictory tradition), to create a distinctive jarring effect. 460 The contrast between logos, 'what people say', and ergon, 'what is reality', especially in the tragedies of 412BC has been explored by Wright, and I believe is also a useful interpretive tool for Euripides' hymeneal plays. In Phaethon, as well as Troades and IA, words are undermined by hidden realities – not least the truth of the hero's parentage: Clymene's assurance that her children are also Merops', 461 versus the extra-marital fathering of her son. The acts surrounding the wedding also fit this pattern: the joy of Merops and the girl chorus, versus the audience's knowledge of Phaethon's death. In the midst of such a clash of word and deed, true and false identity, the insertion of an older Phaethon-myth adds to the confusion and provokes a crisis of understanding among the audience that parallels that

⁴⁵⁸ Collard (1995) 198.

⁴⁵⁹ Reckford (1972a) 406, 425.

⁴⁶⁰ Wright (2005) 82: 'the plays' meaning results from the odd manner in which these elements are combined, as well as the choice of mythical subjects itself'.

⁴⁶¹ Hypothesis 3-4: πάντων δὲ [π]α[τ]έρα ἔφ[η τὸ]ν / κατὰ νόμους συ[μβι]οῦντα εἶναι[·]

occurring inside the drama. In c.420, Euripides could be taking the audience, as well as his charioteer, for a mythological ride far ahead of the 412 trilogy.

The song gives no more clues than the rest of the fragments – if anything, it is even more oblique. It is a lyric wedding song in two strophes, beginning with the refrain Υμήν Υμήν. ⁴⁶² There is extensive praise of Aphrodite and her unnamed son in their aspect as gods of marriage, and makarismos is pronounced upon the man who will marry a goddess: ὁ μάκαρ, ὁ βασιλεὺς μείζων ἔτ' ὅλβον, / ὸς θεὰν κηδεύσεις. ⁴⁶³ Similar blessings are spoken for Agamemnon and Cassandra in Troades: μακάριος ὁ γαμέτας, / μακαρία δ' ἐγὼ (311-12), and at the wedding of Peleus and Thetis in the IA: μακάριον τότε δαίμονες...ἔθεσαν (1076-78). The implication is the same in all three plays. The anticipated matrimonial blessings will not come to pass – in fact, these unions themselves directly result in death and tragedy. ⁴⁶⁴

The song is performed by a maiden chorus (παρθένοις, 218), an image familiar from the Sapphic epithalamium (fr. 30.2 V). Clymene hears Merops leading this chorus onstage as

⁴⁶² Eur. Pha. 227, cf. Sappho fr. 111 V, Eur. Tro. 308-41, Ar. Pax. 1318-67, Av. 1720-55, Theoc. 18.58, Cat. 61, 62.

Eur. Pha. 240-41. Collard (1995) 235 argues that only Merops can be the 'great king' of these verses, whether or not he intends to share power with Phaethon after the wedding. Aphrodite then 'makes the marriage' for Merops (νυμφεύεαι) who then becomes 'marriage-kin' to a goddess (θεὰν κηδεύσεις). This seems a slightly strained use of νυμφεύω, commonly used to 'give in marriage' to a person, rather than to 'vouchsafe' the marriage of one's child, as Collard would use it – especially since Euripidean parallels exist of basileus referring to the prince rather than the king: Rh. 379 (of Hector); see Diggle (1970) 152-53.

referring to the prince rather than the king: Rh. 379 (of Hector); see Diggle (1970) 152-53. 464 In the case of Phaethon, the day of his marriage seems to bring the revelation of the truth of his birth ($\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\epsilon i\varsigma$, 45) that prompts him to drive the sun god's chariot. Cassandra will be, as she herself says, a Fury brought to Argos whose union will bring down the House of Atreus. The wedding of Peleus and Thetis resulted in the beauty contest of the goddesses, and ultimately the Trojan War, but also functions as an analogue for the 'marriage' of Achilles and Iphigenia – in reality a trick which will result in Iphigenia's sacrifice and Achilles' death at Troy. In all three plays, there is a fundamental misconduct of a royal marriage – not only the one being performed in the text, but of marriage throughout the royal house. In Troades and IA, the 'marriages' of Agamemnon and Cassandra and Iphigenia and Achilles are symptomatic of the perverted unions that permeate the House of Atreus. The House of the Sun has its own cross to bear in that regard – something about the descendents of Helios predisposes them to transgressive sexuality, as Phaedra complains (Eur. Hipp. 337-41). As the direct descendant of this god, Phaethon is the brother of Pasiphae, Circe and Aieetes; the uncle of Phaedra, Ariadne, Medea and Apsyrtus (called 'Phaethon' by Apollonius, Arg. 3.245). It is entirely possible that he, like the couples of Euripides' later epithalamia, is condemned to repeat the errors of his genos until the stain is removed from its line.

she grieves over Phaethon's body, but it is uncertain whether the king himself is participating in the ode. He is leading (ἡγούμενος, 218) the girls in their γαμηλίους / μολπὰς (217-18), perhaps functioning as a chorêgos or master of ceremonies, as Sappho is said to have done. The use of a mixed-sex subsidiary chorus to indicate epithalamial activity is not new in tragedy, and has roots in the traditional wedding song:

...the focus is on the combining of both sexes, while each group nevertheless remains aligned to its own gender rôle...the mixed chorus itself would have triggered assumptions of marriage in the minds of an ancient audience. And such a connection is appropriate, since we know that both the bride's and the groom's friends had a rôle to play in the wedding festivities, that men and women both attended the wedding feast, and that marriage ritual itself symbolizes the combination of male and female.⁴⁶⁶

This is perhaps the first time it is used in tragedy with an actual wedding song and may, like other tragic references to hymenaioi, point to a disruption of gender or social roles. At first glance, though, the song appears to be a traditional processional hymenaios, with little beyond its juxtaposition with the tragic death of the bridegroom (and prevailing problem of identifying the bride) to cause any interpretive problems.

Its form has caused some confusion among critics. Diggle comments:

This hymenaeus does not correspond to anything in Greek practice, neither with the hymenaeus sung during the procession which accompanied the bride to her husband's

⁴⁶⁵ Sappho fr. 194.1-2 V = Himer. Or. 9.4; Ch.1, p.76. Also AP. 9.189, and Sappho test. 217 V = Philostr. Imag. 2.1

^{2.1. 466} Swift (2006a) 134-35; see Introduction, p.14.

home, nor with the epithalamion which was sung later outside the marriage-chamber. Rather it borrows features from both types of hymn. Like the processional hymenaeus it takes place out of doors; and like the evening epithalamion it is sung by a choir of girls.467

Collard, too, sees an abnormality in its performance:

This rite belongs properly to the house of the bride...This irregularity is dictated by dramatic need and effect: Merops' happiness is at once overtaken by discovery of the groom's death. 468

Does the song itself suffer from a crisis of identity? This seems an overly simplistic and extreme statement. Epithalamia, as we have seen, were a flexible genre, performed at all stages of the Greek wedding ceremony, by a variety of participants. Until the Hellenistic period, all merely went by the title of hymenaioi. 469 Our literary record does not allow us to draw a firm distinction between hymenaios and epithalamion, so a Kreuzung of forms should not necessarily be assumed here. The designations of Diggle and Collard are then somewhat artificial: it is the context of this song which renders its performance perverse; a blessing pronounced upon the man whose corpse lays smouldering within the house; an epithalamium whose thalamos refers to the royal treasury which has become Phaethon's tomb (221-23).

Moreover, it is a wedding song without a wedding. Neither partner is imagined as being present (the maidens do not know, when they address Phaethon, that his body is nearby). This does seem unusual. We know from ancient evidence that the bridegroom did not have to be present during the processional transfer of the bride to her new home - his

⁴⁶⁷ Diggle (1970) 149. ⁴⁶⁸ Collard (1995) 201-2.

⁴⁶⁹ Introduction, p.1.

place might be taken by a parochos or nymphagôgos, who would bring the bride from her

natal home to the marital oikos. 470 Can one, however, have a wedding procession without a

bride? Surely this is another example of premature rejoicing so apparent in this scene.

Euripides not only manipulates the identities of the couple, a 'primary element' in the song,

he seems to erase them altogether, in a dramatic foreboding of the revelation to come.

But even a 'lack of element' is not entirely unknown. The wedding song can express

anticipation for the appearance of one of the bridal couple. Sappho remarks on the arrival of

the bridegroom and his entry into the thalamos: γάμβρος †εισέρχεται ἴσος† Αρευι,

(fr. 111.5 V). Menelaus is enjoined to begin the wedding rites (ὑμέναιον εὐτρέπιζε, ΙΑ

437) although Iphigenia has not yet reached Aulis. Later, Catullus has the bride arriving, like

an epiphany, partway through the wedding song:

Prodeas noua nupta, si

Iam uidetur, et audias

Nostra uerba. 471

Like the bells rung in church before the Christian wedding or the chords of 'Here Comes the

Bride', 472 this sort of hymn heralds or anticipates the arrival of the bridal pair and the

completion of marriage. It builds a sense of joyful expectation, which in Phaethon is

frustrated – the bride will not arrive, and the marriage will not be consummated. The putative

blessings of the makarismos will never be fulfilled. The song's apparently traditional

structure is given a darker meaning by its situation in the play. It is, as the 'anti-

⁴⁷⁰ Pollux 3.40.4-41.4: ... δ δ' ἄγων τὴν νύμφην ἐκ τῆς τοῦ πατρὸς οἰκίας νυμφαγωγός, ὁπότε μὴ ὁ νυμφίος μετίοι...; compare Hesychius ν 712, where he distinguishes the numphagôgos, who fetched the bride, from the parochos, who rode on the carriage with the bridal pair. Cf. Ar Av. 1737-41 (Ἐρως...Ζηνὸς

πάροχος), also Oakley & Sinos (1994) 28.

⁴⁷¹ Cat. 61.92-94, cf. also 62.4: **iam ueniet** uirgo, iam dicetur hymenaeus.

⁴⁷² R. Wagner (1841) 'Bridal Chorus' from Lohengrin.

147

epithalamium' prescribes, an apparently normal hymenaios sung in a situation of (unrecognised by the singers, at least) abnormality. These contextual abnormalities are developed further in Troades and IA: Cassandra sings her wedding song amidst destruction and slaughter, her joy at her union jarring with the grief that surrounds her: ἐσφέρετε πεύκας δάκρυά τ' ἀνταλλάσσετε / τοῖς τῆσδε μέλεσι, Τρωιάδες, γαμηλίοις (Tro. 351-52); while Peleus and Thetis' divinely sanctioned match is contrasted with the proposed union of their son with Iphigenia, an impious deception which defies nomos and does violence against the gods. 474

Traditional topoi?

The context of the song is problematised, but its language seems traditional. Aphrodite is represented in her heavenly aspect, Διὸς οὐρανίαν (228), and as the purveyor of marriage: τὰν παρθένοις / γαμήλιον (229-30), βασιλῆ νυμφεύεαι (237). She is a universal force of life and love, a figure familiar from other 'hymeneal' contexts. Her principal timai of erôs and beauty are honoured: ἐρώτων πότνιαν (229), θεῶν καλλίστα (232), as they are when she bestows them upon the bride in Sappho fr. 112 V. In keeping with this central role, Aphrodite is hymned (ἀείδομεν, 228) in the first position as the goddess of marriage. This place of honour is later dedicated to the god by the rhetoricians, whose epithalamia set out to hymn the chambers and alcoves and couples and families, and πρό γε πάντων

⁴⁷³ Tufte (1970) 39, also Contiades-Tsitsoni (1994) 55.

⁴⁷⁴ See p.197.

⁴⁷⁵ E.g. Aesch. Supp. 1035-35, fr. 44 R, Eur. Hipp. 447-50. This is especially pertinent if Reckford's assumption of a connection between the role of the goddess in the Phaethon and the Hippolytus is correct.

αὐτὸν τὸν θεὸν τῶν γάμων. 476 Her role in the marriage will bring great benefit to the city:

ά τὸν μέγαν

τᾶσδε πόλεως βασιλη νυμφεύεαι

άστερωποίσιν δόμοισι χρυσέοις

άρχὸν φίλον 'Αφροδίτα. 477

This positive, political view of marriage is unusual – it is far more common for archaic and classical authors to speak of the detriments of bringing a new bride into the house. 478 Marriage, though necessary for the normative functioning and continuance of society, nevertheless possessed the potential to undermine and destabilise it, a fact exploited by many tragedies. Exceptions are most often found in wedding poetry, which represents the act not simply as a painful and traumatic transition for the bride, but also as a longed-for and joyful occasion, in which the groom is considered blessed for his possession of the girl; Οὐ γὰρ †ἑτέρα νῦν† πάις ὧ γάμβρε τεαύτα. 479 This positive attitude towards marriage is given a public bent in the wedding song of Phaethon: βασιλεύς μείζων ἔτ' ὅλβον (240), and in the play at large:

ναῦν τοι μι' ἄγκυρ' οὐχ ὁμῶς σώιζειν φιλεῖ

τῶι τρεῖς ἀφέντι. προστάτης θ' ἁπλοῦς πόλει

⁴⁷⁶ Men. Rhet. 399.13-15. The rhetorical gods Gamos and Eros take the place of Aphrodite and Hymen in these later orations; however, like them, the earlier poetic gods are both demiurges and political benefactors; see Ch.8, pp.300-1.

⁴⁷⁷ Eur. Pha. 236-39.

⁴⁷⁸ E.g. Hes. Op. 695-705, Soph. Ant. 648-52.

⁴⁷⁹ Sappho fr. 113 V; see Introduction, esp. p.17; Ch.1, p.76.

Not only is Phaethon praised for his possession of a goddess as his bride, but the marriage will result in increased stability for his country and increased status within that country for himself. The civic-spiritedness of this perception of marriage should not entirely surprise us. In classical Athens, the purpose of marriage was to reproduce the oikos, providing citizens for the polis. Certainly, girls expected to get married, 481 and marriage could be a companionable, productive, relationship. 482 Merops' attitude, and that of the epithalamium, reflects the fact of marriage as a societal necessity. Arranged marriage was a cultural expectation – for both sexes. Tragedy, it has often been said, uses women as the 'other' through which to examine the Athenian male 'self': 483 marriage is a prime locus in which to do this; any representation of the institution will consequently be distorted by generic gendering. A more positive picture is presented by comedy and oratory, genres arguably closer to everyday 'reality'. 484 Married life is the adult citizen norm. 485 It is unusual in Phaethon that girls take this attitude. Maiden choruses can express joy at a marriage, 486 but their mode of expression focuses on the private: the relationship between the couple (Φ[ιλότατα, Sappho fr. 30.4 V; ἕδνοις / ἄγαγες " Ησιόναν πιθών δάμαρτα κοινόλεκτρον, Aesch. PV 559-60), 487 or praise and good wishes (Theoc. 18).

⁴⁸⁰ Eur. Pha. 124-26, cf. Diggle (1970) 38: 'Merops...is either urging Phaethon to join him in the responsibilities of government or is preparing to abdicate in Phaethon's favour'.

481 See e.g. Aesch. Supp. 1050-51, Soph. Ant. 813-14, El. 918, Eur. Hec. 416 (see Ch. 3, pp.123-24), Men. Dysc.

esp. 38-39 (Pan arranges a girl's marriage in recompense for worship), Just (1989) 40.

Xen. Oec. 7.11 (koinônon).

⁴⁸³ Zeitlin (1990) 68.

⁴⁸⁴ Pelling (2000) 211.

⁴⁸⁵ Garland (1990) 199 argues that Sparta was the only polis known to have imposed severe penalties upon bachelors: 'no other state is known to have been so authoritarian, and perhaps none needed to be'. He further suggests that the term heitheos 'bachelor' indicated a young man (p.200) - the mature Athenian citizen male was therefore perceived as the head of an oikos.

⁴⁸⁶ In Eur. Hipp. 1140-41, they even strive for it.

⁴⁸⁷ See Introduction, p.25.

A parallel does exist for the expression of civic benefits in the wedding song: in the exodos of Aristophanes' Peace. There Trygaeus (a vintner, not a prince) also marries a goddess, Opôra. This union will ensure the repatriation of Peace in Athens (ἐπὶ τούτοις...λάμβανε / γυναῖκα, 706-7), hence stability for the community. Farmers will be able to produce crops and children (κριθάς τε ποεῖν...γυναῖκας τίκτειν ἡμῖν, 1324-27). Political stability will be ensured by the return of Peace's other handmaiden, Theôria, to the Boulê (βουλή, πρυτάνεις...σκέψασθ' ὅσ' ὑμῖν ἀγαθὰ παραδώσω φέρων, 887-88). The benefits of this union to Athens are explicitly proclaimed. Like Phaethon, Trygaeus is praised and promised lasting fame for his actions (καὶ πλήν γε τῶν θεῶν ἀεί σ' ἡγησόμεθα πρῶτον, 917). Peace's wedding song, however, is performed by an all-male chorus – unattested in the extant epithalamium before Peace in 421BC. Here's Euripides seems to have exposed a convention of gendered speech in the epithalamium.

Aristophanes' hymenaioi will be discussed at greater length in the next chapter, but if a date of c.420 is to be assumed for Phaethon, it is likely that a dialogue with Peace exists. If the comedy is later, its hymenaios is paratragic. If not, Euripides takes similar concerns and topoi and locates them in the discourse of the more traditional maiden chorus. As in Aristophanes, we may assume a pattern of the Sacred Marriage of hero and goddess – but this is rendered perverse by the deception surrounding Phaethon's conception and upbringing, which leads to the events surrounding this song. ⁴⁹⁰ Such a distortion of mythical patterns is typically Euripidean, and may argue in favour of a later date for Phaethon than Peace. The expression of masculine Realpolitik by young women, more often the voices of protest or

.

⁴⁸⁸ The prayer to the gods in the hymenaios (1322-30; Ch.5, p.225) includes a wish for wealth, barley, wine, figs, offspring and the 'good things we have lost', as well as an end to war.
⁴⁸⁹ Ch.5, p.221.

⁴⁹⁰ Ch.5, p.223. An Athenian audience may well have associated this model with the Sacred Marriage of the Basilinna and Dionysus. Demosthenes sees the correct performance of this ritual as integral to social stability – the deception surrounding the identity of Phano, who performs this duty in Against Neaira 59.72-78, betrays a contemporary concern for the consequences arising from such deception, similar to that portrayed in Phaethon.

romanticisation in the epithalamium, adds further dissonance. Euripides calls attention to his use of the wedding song. Its discourse is traditional (positive) yet not (political). We will see further examples of such dissonance in Troades and IA.

Thus far, the topoi of the song have been shown to be manipulations of traditional themes. Aphrodite, goddess of erôs and beauty, is the purveyor of marriage who effects this hieros gamos. The bridegroom will become a ruler dear to her, who will reap the benefits of marriage. Aphrodite's son (σῶν γάμων γένναν, 235) poses more problems. The offspring most commonly associated with Aphrodite (particularly in a nuptial context) is Eros, but nowhere in this god's mythology is he referred to as:

τῶι τε νεόζυγι σῶι

πώλωι τὸν ἐν αἰθερι κρύπτεις.491

Diggle, following Weil, sees this figure as Hymen(aios), 'whose name was invoked at the beginning of the hymn', a view widely accepted by critics. 492 This young man, commonly the son of Apollo and a Muse, but later the child of Venus and Bacchus, vanished – perhaps due to untimely death – on his wedding night. 493 In the context of Phaethon's death and concealment, 'the significance of the myth of Hymen's death or disappearance is transparent'. 494 The association of the two youths is an ironic development of the epithalamic eikasmos, in which the bridal couple, as well as being called 'godlike', are often conflated

⁴⁹¹ Eur. Pha. 233-34.

⁴⁹² Diggle (1970) 151, cf. Nagy (1973) 165, Contiades-Tsitsoni (1994) 52, Collard (1995) 235, contra Webster (1972) 629: 'there appears to be no Greek evidence for Hymen being the child of Aphrodite and no evidence at all for his being hidden in heaven by Aphrodite'.

493 See Introduction, pp.2-5.

⁴⁹⁴ Diggle (1970) 151.

with, or compared to, gods and heroes as part of the traditional nuptial encomia: γάμβρος †εισέρχεται ἴσος† Αρευι. 495

This kind of comparison was made programmatic by Sappho; ⁴⁹⁶ as well as offering a divine sanction through the presence of the gods, ⁴⁹⁷ it elevates the participants in the wedding beyond their mortal circumstances. Such encomia were a prominent feature of the wedding song. In the context of marital reintegration, relationships within the community must be rearranged to incorporate the new adult (even more so if, like Phaethon, the marriage is arranged with a gain in status in mind). ⁴⁹⁸ As such, a unique opportunity for more than merely metaphorical elevation is offered, ⁴⁹⁹ which such encomia promote. Phaethon may not be the son or lover of Aphrodite, but by identifying him with such a figure, the song suggests that he is worthy of such a position, and therefore a man to be reckoned with.

The comparison of Phaethon to a son of Aphrodite, the ν εόζυξ πῶλος, is intriguing in connecting Phaethon not only with Hymen, but also with the bride. It is usually the female who is yoked, in order to 'tame' her dangerous sexuality to a domestic purpose. Aphrodite is, after all, τὰν παρθένοις γαμήλιον, associated with the accomplishment of marriage for young women. The yoking need not imply 'either compulsion on the part of Merops or subservience to his divine bride on the part of Phaethon', 500 but it nonetheless puts Phaethon in an odd position. Like a bride, he is 'given in marriage', νυμφεύεαι (237) by

10

⁴⁹⁵ Sappho fr. 111.5 V, cf. also fr. 23.3, 4 V, Luc. Symp. 41.4-11.

⁴⁹⁶ Sappho fr. 44.34 V: Έκτορα κ'Ανδρομάχαν θεοεικέλο[ις, also perhaps fr. 31.1-2 V: ἴσος θέοισιν, Himer. Or. 9.186: Σαπφοῦς ἡν ἄρα μήλω μὲν εἰκάσαι τὴν κόρην...τὸν νυμφίον τε 'Αχιλλεῖ παρομοιῶσαι καὶ εἰς ταὐτον ἀγαγεῖν τῷ ἡρωι τὸν νεανίσκον ταῖς πράξεσι; cf. Ch.1, pp.42-43; this Chapter, p.141.

⁴⁹⁷ This is made apparent in the parodos of Phaethon: θεὸς ἔδωκε, χρόνος ἔκρανε / λέχος ἐμοῖσιν ἀρχέταις, and a divine sanction is also given to the marriage of Peleus and Thetis in IA (703): Ζεὺς ἡγγύησε καὶ δίδως ὁ κύριος. Compare, however, the union of Agamemnon and Cassandra (Tro. 43): τὸ τοῦ θεοῦ τε παραλιπὼν τό τ' εὐσεβες.

⁴⁹⁸ Introduction, p.34.

⁴⁹⁹ Cooper (1996) 34.

⁵⁰⁰ Diggle (1970) 117. He compares other instances of the 'yoking' of the husband, in which no reversal of the usual roles is assumed: Soph. OT 825-26, Eur. Pho. 337-38, IA 907. See also Eur. Tro. 670.

Aphrodite.⁵⁰¹ This is a strangely passive role for the male, the active partner in marriage. The language of Phaethon's marriage, his 'yoking', the 'colt', and Aphrodite's 'marrying him off', feminises him, and hints at the subversion of normative relationships.

Such language has earlier parallels in Euripides, where it is used in choral odes to comment upon the transgression of relations in the dramatic action. In Hippolytus, the same verb is used of Semele's marriage to Zeus, again under the auspices of Aphrodite: [Κύπρις] νυμφευσαμένα (Kirchhoff: -μέναν codd., 61). The goddess also acts as kurios in Iole's union with Heracles: ἐξέδωκεν (Hipp. 553). These acts are 'extraordinary', ⁵⁰² and somehow dangerous. Aphrodite is the guarantor of marriage for young virgins – the patroness of the event, not an active participant. Her 'giving in marriage' of these brides ends in destruction, as does Phaethon's marriage. In this drama, as in Hippolytus, mortals cross the line that divides them from gods through transgressive behaviour that results in divine punishment. Similarly, gods cross that boundary to interfere directly in mortal rituals – a fine balance is disrupted, which results in death. This reciprocal transgression inverts the reciprocal charis which should exist between man and god, and this inversion of norms contributes to the more general failure of social relations in the play. Moreover, it makes a man like a woman – a further disruption of these relations. ⁵⁰³

Phaethon's experience is uncannily similar to that of the Greek bride (again, leading to comparisons with Hippolytus).⁵⁰⁴ He departs from his natal oikos on his wedding day, never to return.⁵⁰⁵ Like a bride, he may be subject to competing claims upon his loyalty from

⁵⁰¹ This reading is dependent on Aphrodite's remaining the subject of vv.236-39: Diggle (1970) 152.

⁵⁰² Halleran (1991) 114.

⁵⁰³ Cf. Eur. Med. 262: Jason ἐγήματο Medea.

⁵⁰⁴ Reckford (1972a) 415.

⁵⁰⁵ This is a departure from the masculine norm – Swift (2006a) 129: 'though men also undergo a transition, there is nothing to parallel the sharp cut-off between parthenos and gunê, and the ideas associated with male transition are more to do with assuming citizen responsibilities than a total change of status. After all, the ephebeia took place well after puberty'. Somehow, both these aspects – the cut-off between childhood and adulthood in marriage, and the assumption of civic responsibility, are combined in the Phaethon. This

two families – those of Merops, who raised him, and if his bride is a Heliad, those of his marital oikos, which is also that of his natural birth. In addition, he is extremely young, an unusual state of affairs in a culture where women were generally married early to men far beyond them in age. Though Phaethon's bride may not be older than he, she is far beyond him in (at least perceived) social status, a fact at which he balks:

ελεύθερος δ' ὢν δοῦλος ἐστι τοῦ λέχους, πεπραγμένον τὸ σῶμα τῆς φερνῆς ἔχων. 507

His father arranges the marriage (and may only inform him of the bride's identity on the day of the wedding), in what is a long-awaited telos, a transition to adulthood:

ορίζεται δὲ τόδε φάος γάμων τέλει...

θεος έδωκε, χρόνος έκρανε

λέχος ἐμοῖσιν ἀρχέταις.

ἴτω **τελεία γάμων** ἀοιδά.⁵⁰⁸

Like many brides, when faced with the departure from the safety of childhood and the assumption of maturity, he expresses reluctance – and is probably persuaded to acquiesce during the agôn.⁵⁰⁹ A variety of reasons have been suggested for his resistance to marriage, chiefly that he refuses either a specific bride or marriage in general, due to a predisposition

conceptualisation of marriage underpins Menander Rhetor's later Peri Kateunastikou, as I argue in the final chapter of this thesis.

⁵⁰⁷ Eur. Pha. 158-59.

⁵⁰⁶ Hes. Op. 695-98.

⁵⁰⁸ Eur. Pha. 95-101.

⁵⁰⁹ Diggle (1970) 39, 'even if that acquiescence may have been feigned', Collard (1995) 230; see Introduction, pp.15-22.

against sexuality. 510 The latter interpretation, however, risks too close an assimilation with Hippolytus. Though both (at least initially, in Phaethon's case) reject marriage and are punished for transgression against the divine, causing their transition to adulthood to fail, the transgressions are different even if the transition is the same. Hippolytus' is his refusal to honour Aphrodite; Phaethon's is his attempt to act like a god by taking on the attribute of his solar father. There is no evidence in the extant text that he refused to marry – his protests betray more of a sense of unease over the forthcoming nuptials, one that concerns his standing relative to his bride.

Here the experience of Phaethon differs from that of a parthenos: the bride had no say in her union, and must submit to it regardless of her feelings. Besides the positive, 'civilising' aspects of marriage, the wedding song often reflects this truth in a ritualised protest at the bride's fate, and an erotic, persuasive discourse aimed at overcoming this reluctance and facilitating the transition. 511 This was not physically necessary for its completion, but is an idealised representation of a continuing reciprocity and persuasive dialogue between husband and wife. In the case of Phaethon, it is necessary, for although he may be bride-like in his passivity to greater powers, he is still the active partner in marriage, and the act could not be accomplished without his assent. Merops seems to recognise the need for careful persuasion during their agôn, ει γὰρ εῦ λέγω (120), 512 but somewhere in this scene the relationship between father and son breaks down and descends into sententious accusations. Persuasion fails, and with it, familial relations – foreshadowing the failure of social relations in the arrested marriage.

The manipulation of persuasive and reciprocal discourse in both sexual and social relations is a theme explored by the later hymeneal tragedies. In Troades, Cassandra's failure

 ⁵¹⁰ Reckford (1972a) 409-10.
 511 Ch.1, pp.73-76.
 512 Collard (1995) 231.

to persuade her audience of the truth of her marriage to Agamemnon is symptomatic of a wider failure of peithô brought about by excessive bia. All charis – between men and women, between cities, and between men and gods – has been stripped away in the corruption of social relationships brought about by Helen's adultery and the protracted war. In IA, peithô experiences a staccato series of failures and successes in which persuasion to assent to sacrifice is mixed in with, and concealed beneath, the more usual persuasive and idealised discourse of marriage – and since no-one really knows what they are being persuaded to do, they fail to recognise the relationships in which they stand to one another and the appropriate behaviour between them.⁵¹³

Somewhere in the lost fragments of this scene appears to be the persuasive breakthrough – at least, to the extent that Merops feels able to sing a wedding song, anticipating Phaethon's arrival with the bride. This in itself may be seen as persuasive rhetoric: the blessings that will befall Phaethon will come as a result of his acceptance and the establishment of a relationship between him and his wife. The audience, however, knows that Phaethon has gone to seek his natural father and discover the truth of his birth: "... $H\lambda$ ίου μολών δόμους / τοὺς σοὺς ἐλέγξω, μῆτηρ, εἰ σαφεῖς λόγοι (61-62). These blessings will therefore prove false, like the makarismoi of Troades and IA Ergon contradicts logos. Instead of the renewal of life – the ultimate aim of marriage and the marriage hymn – we are faced with death. Merops' rhetoric comes too late.

The context of crisis

⁵¹³ E.g. IA 819-54: Clytemnestra treats Achilles as her philos, but he responds to her as the wife of his superior.

⁵¹⁴ Compare Eur. Ion. esp. 69-73.

As often in the tragic hymenaioi, a widespread disruption of relationships leads to the misperformance of the wedding rite and the corruption of its songs. This is evident not only in the performance of the hymenaios, but in the structure of the play as a whole. In our fragments, the wedding song stands between a celebratory choral ode and a lament for Phaethon's death. This reversal of the ideal progress from lamentation to celebration expressed through the wedding song mirrors the inversion of expected relationships present in, and exposed throughout, the play. It does not seem to be accidental. The parodos anticipates the successful telos of marriage, presenting itself almost as a dawn diegertikon the attitude of premature rejoicing for ὑμεναίων δεσποσύνων (88) is thus established long before the epithalamium itself and is unravelled in the course of the action.

The fulfilment of marriage is presupposed: τέλει (95), τελεία (101). The aorists εδωκε and ἔκρανε (99) further suggest a completed action. God has ordained; it must be so. At last (ποτ', 96) the Chorus come forward to sing the wedding song – but their masters' appearance prompts them instead to silence (96-98, 102-5). It will not be they, but a subsidiary chorus of parthenoi who perform the hymenaios – which will in turn give way to the Chorus' lament. Already, their long prayed-for plans begin to go awry. Rather than the dusk-till-dawn progression from separation to reintegration, lament to celebration, the celebrations begin at dawn and as the day progresses, move towards lamentation. The

⁵¹⁵ The linking of choral odes, together with a thematic unity in the episodes of the text, will be further elaborated in the discussions of Troades and IA.

The imagery is certainly hymeneal: 'Αὼς (64), cf. Sappho fr. 103.10 V, Theoc. 18.26, 56-57, Aesch. fr. 43 R; ἀηδών (68), cf. Sappho fr. 30.8 V: λιγύφω[νος. The nightingale, a 'clear-voiced' night-singing bird, should probably be assumed, as at Theoc. 12.6-7: ἀηδών...λιγύφωνος. The 'bridal' Cassandra in Agamemnon (1145-46) is also compared (and compares herself) to the nightingale bewailing her own fate. The nightingale's characteristic role is as lamenter – Procne mourning for her murdered son – which is made clear in this passage (Ἰτυν ἢτυν πολύθρηνον, 70), cf. Chandler (1934) 78-80, though he admits that the nightingale's song itself is 'neutral' and lends itself to various interpretations: lament, symbolising the poet or his poems, proclaiming springtime and love, praise of God, and virtuosity; Young (1951) 181, Forbes Irving (1990) 99-107. I suggest that anti-epithalamic foreboding is at work here: amid the celebrations, the song looks forward to Clymene's laments for Phaethon. The image of the country's dawn activities is one of peaceful productivity, similar to that in the hymenaios of Peace. It expounds the benefits of marriage as a civilising institution. Not only the marriage, but also the expression of it in song, is right (δίκαιον, 89) and desirable (ἔρως).

anticipated transition fails, and the imagery of civilisation is replaced by negative elements of foreboding.

Because the wedding is fundamental to society, society itself is destabilised by this failure – what Merops feared in his 'ship of state' metaphor comes to pass. Phaethon becomes overshadowed by his mother's transgression: Clymene has had an unsanctioned relationship, one that, when the truth is revealed, may threaten her life:

'Ωκεανοῦ κόρα,

†πατρὸς ἴθι πρόσπεσε γόνυ λιταῖς σφαγὰς σφαγὰς οἰκτρὰς ἀρκέσαι σᾶς δειρᾶς†. 517

The joyous wedding song is replaced by this choral lament, linking the two unions thematically through their shared result:

...the anti-epithalamium is associated with an illicit union which appear to accompany and presage disastrous consequences. 518

Furthermore, Clymene has borne the child of that union and raised him as the son and heir of her husband. Such 'cross purposes' within the marriage are precisely what the hymenaios seeks to avoid – Clymene's corrupted hymenaioi, like that of Helen in the later tragedies which make use of epithalamia, have disrupted the functioning of her oikos and laid an all-too-unstable foundation for the marriage of her son. 520

⁵¹⁷ Eur. Pha. 281-83. Diggle (1970) 170 admits uncertainty as to whether the corruption in these lines is confined to πατρὸς ἴθι πρόσειπε or whether it is more extensive: 'there is no alternative but to obelise'.

⁵¹⁸ Tufte (1970) 43. ⁵¹⁹ Reckford (1972b) 339, Collard (1995) 199.

⁵²⁰ Cf. pp.182, 205-7.

Phaethon now doubts himself as Merops' son and heir, and the responsibility of a

marriage of state and governance of Ethiopia becomes an inappropriate destiny for him. He

also doubts his true parentage. 521 Clymene, seemingly, has persuaded him ($\pi \epsilon \pi o \theta \alpha$, 53),

but the question persists: having lied to Merops for so long, is she telling the truth now? His

actions may be caused by self-doubt; he cannot escape this fundamental conflict of identities,

and his attempts to do so cause his downfall. Whereas the rites and songs of marriage were

aimed at mediating the transition between identities of the participants, this marriage

exacerbates that difference, to fatal effect. Anxiety such as Phaethon's must be overcome in

reality, and illicit sexuality such as Clymene's destroys the household.

One thing is certain: this epithalamium stands is stark contrast to the 'happy ever

after' wedding song of Peace. Why? Euripides is not averse to ending a tragedy happily with

the (re)marriage of its protagonists, as in Helen and Alcestis, but here, as so often in Euripides

, the tragic wedding turns to tears. Phaethon's attempt at 'flight' avails him nothing. 522 We

cannot fully explain what is happening, other than that a traditional wedding song is

performed in a tragic context; that the play highlights the problems of peithô; and that family

history overshadows the current hymenaios. Yet these factors contain hints of what is to come

in more 'familiar' contexts.

Troades: What's Love Got to Do with It?

Amidst the destruction of Troy, Hecuba learns the fate of her daughter Cassandra from the

Greek herald Talthybius: the virgin priestess of Apollo will become the λέκτρων σκότια

νυμφευτήρια of Agamemnon (252). As the Chorus are instructed to bring her out, torch-

⁵²² Cf. Padel (1974) esp. 234-35 on 'escapism' in Euripidean lyric.

160

fire interrupts the herald, and Cassandra rushes from the tents of her own accord, brandishing torches and singing a hymenaios for her marriage to the general. The monody that follows is difficult to interpret, both for its air of celebration in a situation of disaster, and because of the difficulties involved in this union.

As in Phaethon, we see a manipulation both of the 'primary elements' and the topoi of the song. Whereas in the previous play, the generic elements of 'bride', 'bridegroom' and 'wedding' were cast into doubt by the nature of the fragments and the possibility of conflicting or conflated Phaethon-myths, in Troades there is a blatant perversion of these elements. The 'bride' is the prophetess of Apollo, to whom that god promised eternal virginity (41-42). The spear-bride who warrants a hymenaios recalls Sophocles' Iole, who also straddles the boundary between concubine and wife. Like Iole, her 'groom' is her captor, who is already married (250).⁵²³ The 'wedding' is a consummation of enforced concubinage, a glorified sexual slavery or rape.⁵²⁴ As in Phaethon, Euripides' manipulation of the fundaments of the genre cast it and its performative context into confusion.' Υμέναιος τις ἢ τί πράσσεται; This is a question we may also ask of Cassandra's union with Agamemnon: is a marriage-hymn appropriate to the situation?

Here comes the bride?

⁵²³ See p.168. Cf. Soph. Trach. 360. Iole is imagined as the wife of Heracles, a great insult to his existing wife Deianeira: Ch.3, p.131.

Compare Ch.2, p.104 on the presentation of this union in Aeschylus' Agamemnon. On the parity of experience between rape and marriage, see Ch.2, pp.91-92; Ch.3, pp.126-27; but cf. Ch.1, pp.60-61; and Ch.2, p.92 for the inappropriateness of the language of rape to the epithalamium. See Dué (2006) 143-46 on the conflation of imagery in Cassandra's hymenaios.

Cassandra uses the vocabulary of marriage to describe her union: she is γαμουμένα (313), and nuptials are γάμοις ἐμοῖς (319) and ἐμῶν γάμων (339). She calls Agamemnon 'husband', γαμέτας (311), and herself 'bride', νύμφαν (337). In her subsequent speech, she also calls him posis (340), a term repeated of other marriages – Hecuba and Priam (107), Andromache and Hector (587, 654, 655, 730), Helen and Menelaus (1023), the gods (854, 978), and generically (1014, 1032, 1081), as well as for less regular marriages – Andromache and Neoptolemus (662), and Helen and Deiphobus (959, 961).

No-one else, however, refers to her union in those terms. Indeed, the transgressive, abnormal character of Cassandra's marriage informs our understanding of the whole dramatic situation in the Troades. Hecuba's encounters with Cassandra, Helen, and Andromache are linked by their conceptualisation as extreme examples of the prevailing breakdown in sociosexual relations, and all three women undergo marriages whose validity is questioned by the situation in which they are enacted – marriages which undermine both their old and new homes. Helen is married by both Paris and Deiphobus, but still called the damar of Menelaus, as he is called her posis (above). For the sake of her marriage, the Greeks destroy Troy, and though they do not realise, destroy themselves in the process (365-69). Andromache's famous wifely virtues win her the attention of Neoptolemus, but her status is equally doubtful. She states that the son of Achilles wishes to take her as his wife, and calls him her husband, yet she is called the wife of Hector, and calls upon his shade in these terms (above). Is she a bride to be married, a widow, wife, or slave? 526

⁵²⁵ Cf. vv.44, 347: others use the same language of the union.

⁵²⁶ The ambiguity of Andromache's status will cause problems in her mythological afterlife, as Euripides explores in Andromache: the heroine presents herself as a slave concubine, in contrast to Neoptolemus' wedded wife, Hermione, but still a perceived threat to that status of wedded wife (29-35). Hermione, on the other hand, seems to see Neoptolemus as husband to them both (78): δυοῦν γυναικοῦν. The use of the dual here suggests equivalence between the two women, akin to that perceived by Deianeira between herself and Iole, but also a similar dichotomy of posis/anêr. Since Andromache has borne a son to the house (24), and Hermione has not, the status of the latter is further undermined. See also Allan (2000) 269-70, Torrance (2005) 39-66. The conflation of wife and concubine, bride and slave, is another example of the way in which perverted marital relations inform the action of Troades – action drawn together by the same experience among the Chorus, who

As a result of this ambiguity, modern commentators dispute how literally we are to view Cassandra's monody: Lee states that although the wedding song seems a mere parody to the women of Troy, her lyric 'is an expression of the emotions of a woman who sees her fate as it truly is...through the eyes of the god whose servant she has become'. 527 Barlow disagrees, arguing that this very divine possession allows her the refuge of deluded madness - that Euripides separates the episode into the 'unhinged frenzy' of the hymenaios and the 'clear prophetic perception' of the subsequent iambics, and that, in contrast to Lee's analysis, 'her madness protects her from seeing her fate as it truly is'. 528

The opinions of others within the play are more ambiguous. Croally suggests that Hecuba does acknowledge the legitimacy of the marriage: 'we can only note that acceptance in the use of the phrase 'γάμους γαμεῖσθαι τουσδ'' (347: 'this marriage would be contracted')'. 529 Hecuba, however, deems the wedding song inappropriate (351-52) – is this due to its juxtaposition of joy with horror, or because it contradicts what she views as the 'reality' of the situation (logos vs. ergon)?

Certainly, the language of Cassandra's union is used in the context of other, less regular relationships. The gods describe Agamemnon's action as γαμεῖ βιαίως (44), as does Hermes when narrating Apollo's rape of Creusa: ἔζευξεν γάμοις / βίαι, 530 though no marital relationship should be supposed in Ion. Whereas Cassandra adopts this vocabulary in her own self-presentation, Creusa does not use the language of gamos: she refers to her union as μιγηναί (Ion 338), to Apollo as ὁμευνέτας (894), and to her own hymenaios as ούχ ὑπὸ λαμπάδων οὐδὲ χορευμάτων / ὑμέναιος ἐμός (1474-75) – a far cry from

lament their lawful husbands even as they tell of their own marriages-by-force and are led away to bear children for Greece (562-67).

⁵²⁷ Lee (1976) 125.

⁵²⁸ Barlow (1986) 170, 173.

⁵²⁹ Croally (1994) 88.

⁵³⁰ Eur. Ion 10-11.

Cassandra's torch-lit dancing. Does Cassandra's role as prophetess give her access to the divine opinion expressed in the prologue, and enable her to see her marriage through the eyes of the gods? Or is Creusa right, and does her example expose Cassandra's perception as delusional? I will treat the question of her madness below, but I think we must cautiously accept Cassandra's version of events, for she is cursed to prophesy truly even as she is cursed not to be believed.⁵³¹ When she says:

ει γαρ έστι Λοξίας,

Ελένης γαμεί με δυσχερέστερον γάμον

ό τῶν ἀχαιῶν κλεινὸς ἀγαμέμνων ἄναξ,532

we must believe not only that Agamemnon will have a gamos more hateful than Helen, but also that he will have a gamos.

Such confusion produces a layered set of ironies both within and without the drama itself. Tufte calls this ode an 'anti-epithalamium', of the type which 'places a conventional epithalamium in a situation already tragic or horrible, or about to become so. In drama especially, a conventional epithalamium is used in this way for dramatic irony, the reader or audience at times being aware of the impending tragedy or evil when the participants are not'. The irony goes deeper – though the Greeks are initially unaware of their impending ruin, they are warned, and the warning is ignored. Talthybius states that Cassandra's words are as wind, she is not in her right mind, her speech on the folly of the Greek victory and Troy's triumph in death are worthless, 534 and whatever she thinks of her marriage to

 $^{^{531}}$ Aesch. Ag. 1203-13, Eur. Alex. fr. 62g = 42b N.².

⁵³² Eur. Tro. 354-56.

⁵³³ Tufte (1970) 39.

⁵³⁴ Eur. Tro. 417-19, also 406-7.

Agamemnon, his description of her as **καλὸν** νύμφευμα (420) is rendered ironic by his disbelief in her outburst. Euripides manipulates the mythological tradition of Cassandra as well as the hymeneal tradition, so as to force the audience to question the veracity of her vision. Do we believe her? Do the characters? Our only benchmark is that the mythological tradition confirms the results of her prophecies – yet this tradition is itself questioned. If we accept her statements about the future, we must also accept her statements about the present. If she will bring down the House of Atreus through her marriage, she will marry to do it. Euripides, however, makes that belief challenging.

Nothing is as it seems; to the characters of the plays, to their Athenian audience, to the modern reader. Least of all is Cassandra's wedding song as it appears to be on the surface – it contains a paradox of joy and misery, victory and defeat, marriage and death. It is bitterly inappropriate to its internal audience, but the divinely-inspired Cassandra sees the presence of all of these elements in her situation through the eyes of her god.

(In)appropriate expression?

A genre which contains the antitheses above, and aims at the facilitation of the acceptance of, and transition to, their positive aspects and a new sphere of existence, ⁵³⁶ is therefore the perfect vehicle of expression. At first glance, the form of the ode appears in keeping with the extant epithalamia. The processional hymenaios, for the bringing of the bride to the groom or departure to the wedding chamber, appears in Sappho's narrative epithalamium of Hector and

⁵³⁵ Croally (1994) 126: 'Everyone knew – the whole mythical tradition authoritatively asserts it – that the Greeks won the Trojan War, yet Cassandra, speaking the truth, denies this fact. Gilbert Murray [1946:142] thought that we must believe Cassandra but, if we do, we deny anther part of the mythical tradition. We seem to have reached an impasse: how can we believe Cassandra? But how can we not, when disbelieving her, as the Trojans have discovered, normally leads to disaster? Euripides confronts a mythical donnée (Greek victory in the Trojan War) with a mythical figure who speaks the incredible truth. Whose truth is being questioned? That of Cassandra? Of myth? Of Euripides? We cannot finally be sure'.

Andromache as well as fr. 111 V; Euripides' Phaethon contains a similar example, as do Aristophanes' Peace and Birds, and the tradition is continued in Latin by Catullus (Carm. 61 and 62). Departure, as we have seen, is an important motif in the wedding song. It corresponds to the 'separational' phase of marriage as a rite of passage, and is often expressed in the female voice as a lament for the loss of one's old life – though this convention is dependent upon the identity of the singer and addressee. ⁵³⁷

Cassandra's monody resembles the processional songs above in a number of ways: the bride goes by torchlight to her bridegroom: $\phi\hat{\omega}\varsigma$ φέρε (308), $\lambda\alpha\mu\pi$ άσι (310), $\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\phi\lambda$ έγω πυρὸς $\dot{\phi}\hat{\omega}\varsigma$ / ἐς $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\nu$, ὲς $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\gamma\lambda\alpha\nu$ (320-21); and the hymeneal refrain is sung: $\dot{\omega}$ ' $\Upsilon\mu$ έναι' $\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\xi$. Makarismos for the good fortune of the participants is pronounced upon the bridal couple:

μακάριος ὁ γαμέτας, μακαρία δ' ἐγὼ βασιλικοῖς λέκτροις κατ' * Αργος ἁ γαμουμένα. 539

Cassandra makes reference to music and dancing, such as might accompany a wedding procession or be performed for an epithalamium: πάλλε πόδ' αἰθέριον, <ἄναγ'> ἄναγε χορόν (326), ὁ χορὸς ὅσιος (328), χόρευε, μᾶτερ, χόρευμ' ἄναγε (332), μακαρίαις ἀοιδαῖς (336), μέλπετ' ἐμῶν γάμων (339). The preceding dialogue, moreover, contains allusions to certain acts which accompanied the wedding ceremony: Talthybius' duty to στρατηλάτηι / ἐς χεῖρα δούς νιν (295-96) parallels, though not

⁵³⁷ See Introduction, pp.15-26; Ch.1, esp. pp.38-57.

⁵³⁸ Eur. Tro. 310, 314: Υμὴν ὧ Υμέναι' ἀναξ, 331: Υμὴν ὧ Υμέναι' Υμὴν.

⁵³⁹ Eur. Tro. 311-13.

exactly, the enguê, in which the bride was given 'into the hand' of her husband by her kurios; and his instruction to the captives to ekkomizein Cassandra recalls the opening of the hymenaios in Aristophanes' Peace: νύμφην ἔξω τινὰ δεῦρο κομιζειν (Peace 1316).

Yet this term may also be used of a corpse, ⁵⁴⁰ and it is possible that the threnody as well as the hymenaios underpins this song. While in Aristophanes the bride is escorted out and torches brought as a celebration of peace and renewal of human and agricultural bounty, ⁵⁴¹ Euripides reminds us that these are structural elements of both the wedding and the funeral, part of yet another ghastly crime of war, and the total cessation of Trojan productivity – indeed, the torches are feared to be a sign of the captive womens' selfimmolation (301-2), and presage the fires which extend this barren destruction to the whole city of Troy at the end of the play. Aristophanes' wedding songs signal a happy ending for the protagonists and their fellow-citizens, the renewal (if fantastic) of hope and prosperity for the communities involved. In contrast, we know that Agamemnon's union with Cassandra ends in their deaths, and prompts Orestes' matricide of Clytemnestra and subsequent haunting by the Furies. Could a further irony be at work here? In the latter two episodes, Hecuba's encounters with her kinswomen are characterised by hope sprung from disaster, to be taken away and leave despair in its wake – that Helen might be justly executed, only for Menelaus to be beguiled (if not explicitly) by her beauty and take her back to Greece, 542 and that Andromache might blandish Neoptolemus and raise Hector's son Astyanax to be an avenger for Troy, only to be told he must be killed to prevent just this.

⁵⁴⁰ Ekkomidê may also be performed for a corpse: LSJ s.v. ἐκκομιδή, ἐκκομίζω.

⁵⁴¹ Ch.5, p.217.

⁵⁴² Like many aspects of the play, the reunion of Helen and Menelaus is inferred from the mythical tradition in Homer and directly contradicts the statement made by Menelaus (Tro. 1055-57): ἐλθοῦσα δ' "Αργος ἄσπερ ἀξία κακῶς / κακὴ θανεῖται καὶ γυναιξὶ σωφρονεῖν / πάσαισι θήσει, cf. Lloyd (1992) 99-112, (1984) 303-304: he argues that 'we are not entitled to make use of our knowledge of the story if nothing is made of it in the play'; contra Gregory (1991) 174, Meridor (1984) 211-213: Euripides 'seems to hint at this well-known fact' in vv.1107-9, when 'the chorus envisage "the daughter of Zeus" crossing the sea as she "takes up in her hands her golden mirror"...the incongruity of such a description with her status of condemned captive, as well as her presentation as Zeus' daughter, may point to the chorus' assumption that Helen is not subject to human justice and will be reinstated in her husband's fayour'.

Other funereal elements pervade the ode. Cassandra's mention of her mother's weeping for the dead Priam, ἐπὶ δάκρυσι καὶ γόοισι (315-16), may recall the goos of the Trojan women in the Iliad – an antiphonal lament with the professional mourners who sing the thrênos for Hector. 543 Under these circumstances, Cassandra's reiterated cry to Hymenaios (which increases in frequency towards the end of the ode), might be seen as a refrain of lamentation. 544 Yet her joy in her circumstances resists – or complicates – such a reading, as I will go on to discuss. Rather, the combination of the joyful and the ghastly, hope and despair, suggests with Cassandra as with her sisters-in-law something of what is to come.

If this is not the marriage Hecuba hoped for (345-7), at least her daughter will go to a kingly bed (312). But Hecuba knows her hope is in vain, that Clytemnestra is the true bride of Agamemnon (Λακεδαιμονίαι νύμφαι, 250), that her daughter is mad and delusional to think that she will be Troy's avenger (οὐδὲ...σεσωφρόνηκας, 349-50), and that there is no hope for them (ἐλπίδων ποίων ὕπο; 505). Cassandra's appearance thus establishes a thematic unity for the episodes of the drama, and her wedding song informs her internal audience not of a happy ending, but that their troubles have only just begun. The manipulation of certain formulaic aspects reflects this inversion of purpose.

Though Cassandra sings a joyful wedding hymn, which may, as Barlow suggests, reflect 'some of the natural exuberance a girl may feel at her wedding', 545 there is something inherently wrong in this. Not simply because 'the elements of normality [which are especially ironic]...are totally inappropriate to this one person for whom the married state was never contemplated', 546 but because these elements are in themselves abnormal. While it is not

⁵⁴³ Hom. II. 24.720-23, Alexiou (1974) 12.

⁵⁴⁴ Cf. Alexiou (1974) 135-36.

⁵⁴⁵ Barlow (1986) 173. The transition may be traumatic, but as the feminine telos may also be eagerly anticipated. Contra Croally (1994) 229-30, who sees her joy as pertaining not to the marriage itself, but to the destruction it will bring Agamemnon: 'she does so [i.e., looks forward] with some glee and admiration for her own responsibility in the matter'. Compare Aesch. Ag. 1071-1330. 546 Barlow (1986) 173.

unknown for the bride to sing a wedding song (or the song to be cast in the voice of the bride), our surviving examples of this depict a lament, as in Sappho frr. 107 and 114 V, the sentiments of which are echoed in tragedy and in the songs of modern ethnological studies. ⁵⁴⁷ The transition, though necessary and generally positive, is a fearful one, a rite of passage envisioned as death and rebirth, and so a maiden may be perceived as marrying Hades. Antigone presents an extreme example of this tendency in her lament as she leaves the stage in Sophocles' play, ⁵⁴⁸ but even this image is warped in the Cassandra episode – she does not imagine marrying Hades himself, but marrying Agamemnon in Hades: ev Atδου νυμφίωτ γημώμεθα (445). ⁵⁴⁹

The element of lament in a wedding song, and the ethic of protest inherent to that genre, 550 may lend the epithalamium the force of social comment – but this protest is ideally overcome in the course of the transition. Lament as social comment, however, is how Suter perceives this ode: 'this speech is an example of an astrophic solo, elsewhere (and I believe here also) used for self-lament in a situation of extreme alienation'. 551 According to her, the whole play is a lament, 552 and Euripides' use of the genre as a vehicle for social comment offers 'a proleptic lament for Athens...a warning and a plea to the public in the theatre'. 553 Though she believes that Cassandra's madness and her death far from home are responsible for this emotion, alienation is also a characteristic of bridal lamentation, the erêmia of separation from the familiar, preceding reintegration into her new home. 554

The ethic of the epithalamium is mapped onto the wider dramatic and cultural contexts of the play. However, this explanation may not be all it seems. Though the ode

⁵⁴⁷ See Introduction, pp.15-22; Ch.1, pp.71-72; Ch.2, pp.82-86; Ch.3, pp.117-20, 125.

⁵⁴⁸ Soph. Ant. 806-928.

⁵⁴⁹ But see Soph. Ant. 1240-41 for a marriage completed in Hades.

⁵⁵⁰ Cf. Alexiou (1974) esp. 116: protest in the moirológia; Holst-Warhaft (1992) 41: protest at departure; McClure (1999) 37; Lardinois (2001) 88, on Caraveli (1986); cf. p.19, n.63.

⁵⁵¹ Suter (2003) 9.

⁵⁵² Suter (2003) 1.

⁵⁵³ Suter (2003) 21-22.

⁵⁵⁴ E.g. Soph. Trach. 530; see Ch.3, p.128.

contains some technical features of lament, it cannot be said to be a moirológia for the fate of the bride, since these lamentations are typically expressions of reluctance on her part: of anxiety about, and protest against, the transition she must undergo (an experience over which she has little or no control), acceptance of which the positive, civilising imagery of the traditional wedding song aims to effect. This is not truly the case in Cassandra's song, which is wildly joyous and expresses eagerness for the coming union. Indeed, her enthusiasm is unparalleled in other wedding songs Cassandra hastens of her own accord to the nuptial bed, as her iambic speech also makes clear: $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{\epsilon}$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ so $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$ (355-56). Such eagerness is improper for a maiden about to embark on marriage – though the transition may be secretly longed for at the same time as dreaded, and many texts show anxiety about the sexually incontinent female, and well-bred Greek woman did not publicly admit desire for sexual intercourse.

Nor, it seems, should a Trojan princess. Though Hecuba, Cassandra and Andromache are geographically barbarians, they operate within the same moral standards as Greek women. Andromache is silent, secluded, and submissive – the Greek ideal, except that this continent behaviour has won her destructive reputation (643-60). Hecuba imposes exacting standards upon her view of the world, and demands that Helen die to provide an object lesson for would-be adulteresses in the future (1029-32). Ironically, it is Helen, the Greek princess, who plays the barbarian (991-97, 1021-22). Another boundary is destabilised, 558 and Cassandra's inappropriate eagerness throws the un-barbarian behaviour of her kinswomen into sharper relief. The immodesty inherent in her actions also underscores the perversions

⁵⁵⁵ Cf. Seaford (1985) 50-59; Introduction, pp.17-18; Ch.2, p.88.

⁵⁵⁶ Hom. Od. 6.66: θαλερὸν γάμον, Alcm. fr. 4A.15: ἐρατὸν τελέσαι γάμον, Cat. 62.37: quid tum, si carpunt, tacita quem mente requirunt?

This is evident in tragedy (Clytemnestra, Phaedra, Helen), comedy (the loose wives of Thesmophoriazusae), and oratory (Euphiletus' wife in Lysias 1).

⁵⁵⁸ In making the 'identification of Greek against barbarian' (Croally 1994:115) more problematic, Euripides also makes the examination of the Greek/male/free self against the barbarian/female/slave more difficult.

upon which this marriage is founded. But she is not as eager for the union itself as for its result: not the birth of children and her new status as 'wife' (indeed, though Agamemnon is

called her husband and she his bride, she is never referred to as his gunê or damar, as

Andromache is to both her husbands), but the violence that will result from his taking of her,

which will avenge his destruction of Troy:

κτενώ γαρ αὐτὸν κάντιπορθήσω δόμους

ποινάς άδελφῶν καὶ πατρὸς λαβοῦσ' ἐμοῦ. 559

The use of her hymenaios (in the sense of wedding, as well as wedding song) as a vehicle for

vendetta justice takes the interchangeability between this genre and lament to its most

extreme conclusion, 560 and one wholly in keeping with the character of Troades – it is

difficult to tell whether the ode is one or the other, as neither the joy nor the sorrow inherent

to the nuptial transition is as it seems. Only Apollo's priestess can see the truth and accept the

paradoxes of her situation, and this identity as priestess and prophet is central to our

understanding of it.

In keeping with this identity, the language of the song is almost hyper-sacral.

According to Barlow, her repetitive vocabulary 'underlines Cassandra's obsessive fervour

and single-minded delusion of a sacral occasion misconceived and wrongly applied, but

expressed in terms consistent with her function as priestess'. 561 Though held in a prisoner-of-

war camp, Cassandra seems to imagine that the action is taking place in the temple of Apollo:

σέβω φλέγω -

171

 ⁵⁵⁹ Eur. Tro. 359-60.
 560 Cf. Alexiou (1974) 22.
 561 Barlow (1986) 174.

ιδού ίδου -

λαμπάσι τόδ' ιερόν. 562

And though the gods have left Troy in its desolation, she incorporates a cletic address to Apollo into her hymenaios: $\check{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon$ $\check{\sigma}\grave{\upsilon}$ $\Phi\hat{o}\hat{\iota}\beta\epsilon$ (308). Identifying her current activity as doing the god's work ($\theta\upsilon\eta\pi\circ\lambda\hat{\omega}$, 310) further underscores the perversion inherent in the marriage of a virgin priestess of Apollo. She abandons her sacred insignia (a kind of perverted anakalyptêria) before Agamemnon takes her, so that they cannot be defiled 563 – a sharp contrast to the behaviour of her conquerors, men willing to drag a priestess from supplication at Athena's statue (and, in the later tradition at least, rape her there and then). 564 Appropriately, the virgin takes refuge in the shrine of the virgin goddess. Cassandra's use of $\theta\upsilon\eta\pi\circ\lambda\hat{\omega}$ sets up a deliberate and ironic contradiction between logos (divine service) and ergon (sacrilege). 565 Or does she genuinely believe she is serving the god – is she delusional?

Her divine ecstacy has in addition a darker, more manic element, for it contains elements of Bacchic, as well as Apolline, worship. Her pine torches can equally be used in the cult of Dionysus as the wedding procession, and she is called μαινὰς (306) and described as βακχεύουσαν (342). She uses the ritual Dionysiac cry, εὐὰν εὐοῖ (326) as well as the more traditional refrain to Hymenaios. This 'seems to have been a unique Euripidean creation', in which 'Cassandra is characterised by special language' throughout

⁵⁶² Eur. Tro. 308-10.

⁵⁶³ Eur. Tro. 451-52, cf Aesch. Ag. 1039-1272: p.108, n.327. Whereas such bridal imagery functioned metaphorically in Agamemnon, here Cassandra imagines it literally – another sign of madness, or Euripides' manipulation of a mythical 'truth' that only she can understand (cf. Mossman 2005:359-60).

⁵⁶⁴ This is generally thought to be a Hellenistic addition to the tradition, although Archaic vase-paintings of the scene often show Cassandra naked (OCD s.v. 'Cassandra'). In Euripides, Mason (1959) 89 argues that the words ὡς ἔτ' οῦσ' ἀγνὴ χρόα (453) suggest that she escaped physical rape by Ajax, and Poseidon's words Αἴας εῖλκε Κασσάνδραν βίαι (70) refer to her removal from the temple, not a sexual attack.

⁵⁶⁵ Apollo's own rapacious nature, however, complicates this dichotomy, as the myths of Daphne (cf. δάφναις, 308), Creusa, Cyrene and Cassandra all suggest.

the trilogy. ⁵⁶⁶ But does the conflation of the two ritual aspects have a greater significance? The madness of Dionysus is of a different character to posession by Apollo: it implies violent Maenadism. ⁵⁶⁷ The identification of Apollo's cult with Bacchism in Cassandra's language further increases our difficulty in identifying her prophecy as Apolline truth, ⁵⁶⁸ but though Mason finds 'nothing incongruous' in this representation, ⁵⁶⁹ the conflation of ritual indicates a dissolution of boundaries (as often with wedding and funerary ritual in tragedy) – here attributable to the collapse of civilisation, and civilised norms, resulting from protracted war. ⁵⁷⁰ This theme may operate both within and without the dramatic frame: while Cassandra displays characteristics of possession by both gods and treats her marriage in these terms, it is the victorious Greeks who have abandoned piety, boundaries and nomoi altogether, and the 'marriage' is treated as such: τὸ τοῦ θεοῦ τε παραλιπών τό τ' εὐσεβες, / γαμεῖ βιαίως σκότιον 'Αγαμέμνων λέχος (43-44). Is the union a warning for the audience of the transgression and social dissolution which accompanies gratuitous warfare?

If so, it is strained and undermined by its expression in Cassandra's speech – both by her madness, and by her questioning of mythical 'truth'. We know she speaks truthfully, and most of what she says is straightforwardly factual in the light of inherited tradition: that she will be the catalyst for the death of Agamemnon (356-60) and the matricide of Clytemnestra (363-64). The Greeks destroyed thousands for the sake of one woman (368-69), including, in the case of Agamemnon, his beloved daughter ($\tau \alpha \phi i \lambda \tau \alpha \tau'$, 371). In doing so, they inflicted damage upon their own oikoi (374-85), while the Trojans fought for and in the presence of

⁵⁶⁶ Scodel (1980) 70.

In contrast to Mason's (1959:92) assertion, Dionysus also functions as a god of prophecy, as at Eur. Hec. 1267, and is worshipped at Delphi: Aesch. Eum. 24-26, Eur. Ion 550-53, with Zeitlin (1993) 167-71, Orph. fr. 35 Kern, Call. fr. 643 Pf.

³⁵ Kern, Call. fr. 643 Pf.
⁵⁶⁸ Croally (1994) 134: 'The framing of Cassandra's arguments also raises questions about the truth value of the representation performed under the aegis of Dionysus'. Cassandra herself draws an important distinction between divine possession and raving (366-67): ἔνθεος μέν, ἀλλ' ὅμως / τοσόνδε γ' ἔξω στήσομαι Βακχευμάτων.

⁵⁶⁹ Mason (1959) 92.

⁵⁷⁰ Cf. Ch.3, p.130 for the use of such imagery in Sophocles' Trachiniae.

their families (386-94). She foretells that Hecuba will not be taken back to Greece (428-31), and describes the trials of Odysseus before shying away from the future and returning to the task at hand (432-44). The only part of her speech without mythological provenance is her statement that she will be thrown out unburied, ⁵⁷¹ an uncharacteristically gloomy and morbid image amid her exultation.

What strains belief, however, is her value-judgement of the fate of the Trojans. To Cassandra, Τρῶες δὲ πρῶτον μέν, τὸ κάλλιστον κλέος, / ὑπὲρ πάτρας ἔθνηισκον (386-87). Such a judgement would be comprehensible to an Athenian audience. Kleos is the fame that lives on after death, such as that of Achilles (II. 9.412-13), and is guaranteed by reperformance after the event. Her association of $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}$ oc with $\pi\dot{\alpha}$ trac is, however, part of the same set of warrior values that she has criticised the Greeks for adhering to.⁵⁷² Hector and Paris are singled out for praise among the Trojan dead – indeed, she considers them to be the most fortunate. Because of the war, Hector is δόξας...ἄριστος (395). Had the Greeks remained at home, he would not have been famous (397). Paris is similarly blessed – if it were not for his marriage, σιγώμενον τὸ κῆδος είχ' αν εν δόμοις (399). The consolation of fame is Homeric, even if Cassandra's mode of expression is incongruous. With it, she realigns her position with that of tradition. Logos and ergon fuse, but with some dissonance. We accept Cassandra's factual truth, but may question her presentation of it.

⁵⁷¹ Eur. Tro. 448-50. This seems to be a Euripidean invention, as Schol. Eur. Tro. 448.4-7 asserts: ὑπ' οὐδενὸς δὲ παραδίδοται ή Κασσάνδρα ἄταφος ἐκβεβλημένη - ἄλλως ὅτι Ἰδικῶς Ἱστορεῖ ἄταφον τὴν Κασσάνδραν ἐκβεβλησθαι είς ὄρος. Pausanias states that she was buried: that ὅσους σὺν Άγαμέμνονι ἐπανήκοντας ἐξ Ἰλίου were buried at Mycenae, but that the Amycleans also claim her tomb (2.16.6). In Aeschylus, she does not speak of her fate after death, saying only: ἀλλ' ὡς θανούσηι μαρτυρήτε μοι τόδε, / ὅταν γυνὴ γυναικὸς ἀντ' ἐμοῦ θάνηι / ἀνήρ τε δυσδάμαρτος ἀντ' ἀνδρὸς πέσηι (Aesch. Ag. 1317-19). There is something akin to this evasion in Euripides (Tro. 361): πέλεκυν οὐχ ὑμνήσομεν... Such hesitancy, like that surrounding Hecuba's fate, is intriguing. It cannot be that Cassandra simply wishes to concentrate on her revenge – she refuses to cause pain to her mother ($\pi\alpha\theta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$). 431). Perhaps her own future causes her similar pain; the shift from calm jambics to trochaics in v.444, just prior to her mention of her corpse, suggests a more agitated emotional state, and potentially undermines her triumphal attitude. ⁵⁷² Croally (1994) 126-27.

Similarly, we must accept her marriage with Agamemnon, but its nature as γαμεῖ βιαίως makes it a similarly uncomfortable truth.

The adverb βιαίως and its associations with rape colour our perception of the marriage no matter how joyously Cassandra presents it. Indeed, the hymenaios is as remarkable for what it lacks as for what it contains, namely, the lack of any legal or religious sanction. Talthybius' enguê, on closer analysis, seems a mere parody of the act which strips the marriage contract back to its most basic part, the giving of a woman into the hand of a man in a sexual transaction in which she has no say. The experience of the Greek bride is an uncomfortable informative principle for this perversion of marriage imagery. If the girl is Agamemnon's geras, his war-prize, she is a slave and chattel to dispose of at will, including her sexual favours. If she is his bride, then we expect her to be given to him by her guardian in a legally binding betrothal from which a new family and household will spring – but there is none. 573 Cassandra's father and brothers are dead; she has no male relative to act as her kurios in the matter of her marriage. One may argue that the rules of Athenian marriage are not applicable in the context of the Trojan War, or that, as part of the booty, Agamemnon becomes her kurios and may marry her as he wishes, but this seems facile and contradicts both the function of tragic Troy as a recognisable 'other' space, ⁵⁷⁴ and other mythical exempla – as Achilles' geras, Briseis looks to Patroclus as her guardian who will give her to Achilles in marriage when they return to Phthia. ⁵⁷⁵ Does any such kurios exist for Cassandra, or is this another corruption of sociosexual relations, hubris and bia dressed up as gamos?⁵⁷⁶

⁵⁷³ Compare Men. Dysc. 842-43.

⁵⁷⁴ Zeitlin (1986) 117, Croally (1994) 38-41, 188.

⁵⁷⁵ Hom. II. 18.297-99: ἀλλά μ' ἔφασκες ἀχιλλῆος θείοιο / κουριδίην ἄλοχον θήσειν, ἄξειν δ' ἐνὶ νηυσὶν / ἐκ Φθίην, δαίσειν δὲ γάμον μετὰ Μυρμιδόνεσσι.

⁵⁷⁶ A similar situation seems to exist in the case of Andromache, who unlike Cassandra is referred to as the wife of Neoptolemus, but like her was chosen from among the captives by the hero rather than given away in marriage by her kurios.

If violence is the chief characteristic of this wedding, it follows that the erotic topoi traditional to the epithalamium, designed to mitigate the violence inherent in the transition, 577 are also missing from Cassandra's wedding song. Though Hymenaios is imagined as present, Cassandra calls on Hecate rather than Artemis, the usual recipient of virgin dedications. Aphrodite, Eros, Peitho and the Graces, the expected nuptial deities, are entirely absent.⁵⁷⁸ In their absence, the love extolled by the poets (σὰν ἀείδοισ[ι]ν φ[ιλότατα καὶ νύμφας ιοκόλπω, Sappho fr. 30.4-5 V, c.f. also fr. 112.4 V) has vanished, to be replaced by the hatred of the bride for her husband: τούς γάρ εχθίστους ἐμοὶ / καὶ σοὶ γάμοισι τοῖς εμοίς διαφθερώ (404-5). Peithô, the gentle persuasion of the bride to overcome her reluctance and accept the transition to womanhood effected by marriage, not only goes totally unmentioned in Cassandra's hymenaios, but is also rejected in the play at large: the Chorus urge Hecuba to destroy Helen's persuasive speech (967) which will persuade no wise man (982). Instead, marriages are contracted by its opposite, bia (although how far we are to believe Helen that both Paris and Deiphobus took her by force is debatable), and, as mentioned, Cassandra needs no urging, so eager is she to complete the union and get on with her revenge. Moreover, there is a failure of charis that is 'universal in the world of the play', not only in erotic relations, but also in a wider social framework.⁵⁷⁹ The gods of the epithalamium have departed, like the gods of the prologue, withdrawing from a world where their timai are scorned and their sphere of influence transgressed by violence and hatred. 580

A similar case can be made for other traditional epithalamic topoi, many of which are perverted rather than missing. Alongside praise for the couple, Cassandra seemingly praises

⁵⁷⁷ See Ch.1, pp.73-76.

⁵⁷⁸ Cf. e.g. Sappho fr. 197 V = Himerius Or. 9.4; see esp. Ch.1, pp.73-74.

⁵⁷⁹ Scodel (1980) 133-34. She sees this failure in the abandonment of Troy by the gods, who owe the Trojans erotic charis, and in human relationships with the gods. This can be extended further, to a failure of charis in everyday life, manifested primarily in the failure of normative relations between men and women. ⁵⁸⁰ Cf. Hes. Op. 197-201; a similar situation is represented in Eur. IA 1090-97.

her father (ὡς ἐπὶ πατρὸς ἐμοῦ μακαριωτάταις / τύχαις 327-28), 581 but censures her mother, who is too engrossed in weeping for Priam to perform her appropriate offices:

έπει συ ματερ, †επι δάκρύσι καί† γόοισι τὸν θανόντα πατέρα πατρίδα τε φίλαν καταστένουσ' έχεις, ἀναφλέγω πυρὸς φῶς. 582

There are no prayers and good wishes for the future, no naturalistic imagery - either as lament for 'plucking' or celebration of the bride's 'harvest' or 'cultivation'. The seductive locus amoenus for the consummation of marriage instead becomes its opposite, the porch of Hades and the tomb of Agamemnon with its wintry streams (ὕδατι χειμάρρωι, 449), beside which Cassandra will be thrown to the beasts. Hatred and death lend themselves only to sterility, the antithesis of the hymeneal objective. The imagery of cultivation, agriculture, and 'plucking' of fruit that may symbolise the bride's loss of virginity in the epithalamium is rightly transformed into this barren image.

The perversion of 'primary elements' discussed above may give us some clue as to how we are to understand the manipulation of topoi in this ode. Cassandra, far from being lost within her delusions, recognises the absence of those positive, civilising aspects of the wedding song which would acculturate the bride to her new way of life – she knows exactly what is missing, and how abnormal this is:

...φάος

 $^{^{581}}$ Perhaps here she simply praises his fate, as she does for Hector and Paris. 582 Eur. Tro. 315-20.

παρθένων ἐπὶ λέκτροις

αί νόμος ἔχει.583

It is uncertain what nomos Cassandra refers to here, but given the parallels elsewhere in Euripides, it must refer to Hecuba's bearing the marriage-torch in the wedding procession, an image frequently depicted on Athenian vases.⁵⁸⁴ Hecuba cannot bear the torch as is customary; Cassandra must do it herself. And call the dance. And sing the wedding song. Her hymenaios is one long list of elements which violate nomos, and cast a shadow of doubt over Tufte's definition of this song as a 'conventional epithalamium' used for dramatic irony. Unlike the hymenaios in Phaethon, there is little conventional about this song, and its transgression of nomos is symptomatic of the marriage itself. Further, because marriage is the cornerstone of the community, the oikos the foundation upon which other relationships in the polis are built, this transgression reflects the state of society at large.

The Bride's Departure

Far from being removed by the action by her ecstasy, Cassandra's monody is deeply embedded in the play's overall unity, not simply in its accumulation of lamentation, as Suter suggests, but on an ethical level: 'the anti-epithalamium thus dramatizes not only Cassandra's forced union with Agamemnon, her plans for his destruction, and her prospective union in death with her father, but symbolizes also the theme of the entire play – the miseries for all mankind brought on by the illicit and unsanctioned union of Paris and Helen'. 585 The

⁵⁸³ Eur. Tro. 323-25.

⁵⁸⁴ Eur. Med. 1026-27, Phoen. 344-45 (νόμιμον [ες γάμοις]), IA 733-34 (οὐχ ὁ νόμος). Or does Hecuba actively refuse to lift the torches, seeing such celebratory action as inappropriate? She certainly insists that they be taken away at the end of the ode (351). Tufte (1970) 42.

centrality of the hymenaios to our understanding of Troades suggests that, just like the lament, the wedding song constitutes an informative principle for the drama. The experience of the bride is evident in the play's emphasis on transition – for both the conquered and the conquerors. 586 All the women face separation from the familiar, sheltered life they have previously known (even if this was a husband's home rather than a father's) and express anxiety about the future: ἢ λέκτροις πλαθεῖσ' Ἐλλάνων / ἔρροι νὺξ αὕτα καὶ δαίμων (203-4). The natural erêmia of the bride at this separation is expressed in the loss and desolation faced by all the captives (ἐρημόπολις μάτηρ ἀπολείσθαι ὑμῶν, 603), their wedding beds (καρατόμος ἐρημία, 565), and by the city at large (ἐρημία γὰρ πόλιν, 26).

Furthermore, the notion of the marital transition as a journey, often nautical, expressed in some wedding songs, 587 is brought to mind by the repeated vocabulary of sailing, 588 the notion of the voyage of Helen and Menelaus as a journey towards remarriage, and the bitter words of Andromache:

κρύπτετ' ἄθλιον δέμας καὶ ρίπτετ' ἐς ναῦς ἐπὶ καλὸν ἔρχομαι υμέναιον, ἀπολέσασα τουμαυτής τέκνον.⁵⁸⁹

As in the modern Greek wedding song, which likens the bride to the girl taken in Charon's boat in the funeral lament (and indeed, the ancient tradition which casts the maiden as the

⁵⁸⁶ Gregory (1991) 156.

Particularly evident in the arrival of Andromache at Troy for her wedding in Sappho fr. 44 V, inverted by Sophocles (OT 420-23); see Ch.3, p.110.

Craik (1990) 1, 3-4, 9-11. This is not necessarily, as she argues, entirely sexual, as e.g. 686-95.

⁵⁸⁹ Eur. Tro. 777-79.

bride of Hades),⁵⁹⁰ to the captives, this journey is a voyage towards death: at first they are merely afraid that the Greeks will kill them outright (179-80), confusing the movement of the ships, a metaphor for transition and toil,⁵⁹¹ with the decision to end their lives; but, realising they will be taken to Greece, Hecuba likens her life as a slave to death and laments her fate: νεκροῦ μορφά...αἰαῖ αἰαῖ. (192-93). Finally, their transition turns out to be not to a new state of life, but actually a passage to death – most of them will be destroyed by shipwreck en route to Greece; the experience of the Greek bride taken to a tragic extreme. Hecuba will die before departure, transformed into a dog.⁵⁹²

In the absence of so many positive elements of the wedding song, it is unsurprising that acceptance of this transition – externally imposed, feared, and a parody of the eagerly-anticipated one which accompanied 'real-life' marriages – should be difficult to come by. But, as we saw in Chapter 1, the wedding song (as well as the lament) aimed at the eventual acceptance and reintegration of the bride (or mourner) into the community after the period of separation and change. Scodel interprets Hecuba's opening anapaests as 'essentially an exhortation to $\tau\lambda\alpha\mu\sigma\sigma$ 0 $\nu\eta$ which is a central part of the traditional consolatio...her very laments constitute acceptance of what has taken place', and Mead sees a gradual progression towards the positive: 'her many references to the former glory and present desolation of Troy are not so much laments as attempts to accustom herself to the situation and the beginning of questioning as to why such things should be, speculation taking the

⁵⁹⁰ The 'voyage to death' motif recurs in the modern Greek wedding song – the bride may beg her mother to hide her as she crosses her natal threshold for the last time, as in the funeral lament for a girl who asks the same as Charon comes for her. Alexiou (1974) 121 identifies this tendency towards lament as the bride's resistance, albeit conventionally expressed, to leaving behind girlhood, a tendency that was expressed literally by the Nanhui Chinese, among whom the bride would ritually lament, among other stages, as she was taken in an actual boat to her new husband's home (McLaren & Chen 2000: 216); see Introduction, pp.21-22.
⁵⁹¹ Cf. Eur. Tro. 686-95.

⁵⁹² This is not mentioned in Troades, but is prophesied by Cassandra in Alexandros (fr. $62h = 968 \text{ N.}^2$), and also mentioned in Hecuba: 1265-73; Mossman (1995) 194-200. ⁵⁹³ See esp. pp.72-73.

⁵⁹⁴ Scodel (1980) 68. For the expression of this in a bridal context, we may compare the consolatio of Hades in H.H.Dem. 360-69.

place of action for an able and active woman for whom independent action is no longer possible'. 595

Cassandra has already gleefully accepted her fate and needs no consolation; we assume that Helen is in perfect control of hers; to the other women who surround her, Hecuba is practical even in her devastation, counselling submission and philia to Andromache even as a mother might on the eve of her daughter's wedding: τίμα δὲ τὸν παρόντα δεσπότην σέθεν, / φίλον διδοῦσα δέλεαρ ἀνδρὶ σῶν τρόπων (699-700). The experience of the bride – taken from her home, given to a stranger, and eventually acculturated to a new life at the end of a transition cast as a voyage towards death –is thus central to our understanding of Troades, and presents us with a startling picture of its dramatic world. Such figures as Astyanax, relics of the old life, must be left behind.

That the experience of the bride may be used at all as an analogy for the taking of a slave woman amidst the destruction of a city presents a bleak image of marriage – to the parthenos, how different was it to be given out in marriage or be apportioned in sexual slavery, either way a transaction between men in which she had little or no control, upon which she may lament but ultimately must accept her change in circumstances?⁵⁹⁷ This is not the whole story, however: Cassandra's hymenaios, and the 'marriages' of the captive women, illustrate a perversion of normative sociosexual relations. That women expected to be married is a given – but not like this:

ας δ' έθρεψα παρθένους

ες αξίωμα νυμφίων εξαίρετον,

⁵⁹⁵ Mead (1939) 104.

⁵⁹⁶ Eur. Tro. 697-700. In Nanhui bridal lament, the mother counsels the Chinese bride to submit in similar terms: 'once you change your household, you must learn how to follow their ways; you must not compare your new home with living here...' (McLaren & Chen (2000) 219); see Introduction, pp.20-21; p.175, n.590.

⁵⁹⁷ See Introduction, p.21 on the 'exchange of women'; also Ch.2, p.102.

άλλοισι θρέψασ' εκ χερών άφηιρέθην. 598

We have previously seen that marriage, as well as being traumatic and potentially violent, could also be eagerly anticipated and a beneficial institution. The model of marriage presented in Troades inverts the positive expectations of Phaethon, but similar conclusions may be drawn: correct relations must be performed in order for society to function properly. Perverted hymenaioi are symptomatic of a failure of these relations, and contribute to further failures.

In addition, the model presented here is not all it seems on the surface. The women, like brides, are totally subject to the authority of their captors. But the captors are subject to a higher authority: while the mortal characters believe that men are the agents of the transition being enacted, in reality, the gods control the action. It is they who will oversee the journey to Greece and effect its telos. Furthermore, they do this in punishment at the Greeks' violation of sociosexual relations – both those between the sexes (the rape of Cassandra) and those between mortals and immortals (the rape of Cassandra in the temple). 599 Eventually, the men will undertake a marriage to death. As with all tragic hymenaioi, this song presents us with a picture of a world in crisis, of a total breakdown in social and sexual relations. One failure of philia and nomos – that of Helen and Paris – begets another, until all philia and nomos fails. What might, in a normal marriage, be gained by persuasion is in war taken by force, and so the wedding song, which aims at persuasion, fails, like all of Cassandra's utterances, to persuade – at least its internal audience. 600

If the capture and rape of a city's female population is symptomatic of a world gone wrong and the corrupt relations characteristic of war, what does this say for the play's

⁵⁹⁸ Eur. Tro. 484-86.

⁵⁹⁹ Eur. Tro. 65-70.

⁶⁰⁰ Mason (1959) 88: this failure to persuade makes Cassandra dramatically effective.

cultural context, in which the women and children of Melos had recently been led back to Athens following the sack of their island? Thucydides portrays another failure of persuasion in the Melian dialogue, with the threat of force if the island's submission is not gained peacefully, and scholars tend to see Troades in terms of a criticism of events on Melos and a warning against the further hubris of a campaign on Sicily. For an Athenian audience in 415BC, however, the situation may have been different: the play is unlikely to have been written before the capture (though topical allusions may have been added before the performance); the Melian campaign was a success, and imperialist sentiment most likely cast the voyage to Sicily in a positive light. War was part of civic ideology, and the enslavement of the defeated a fact of life. Melos was not the only city sacked during the War. The disruption of the oikos through extended warfare, represented through the experience of the young bride, is also an Aristophanic topos, and may associate Euripides' mythical representation with a more general Peloponnesian War context.

The hubris committed by the Greeks was not the sacking and rape of Troy, but outrage against the gods. The nemesis that befalls the Achaeans cannot have been expected to find a parallel in Athens.⁶⁰⁴ Herodotus' report of the reaction to Phrynichus' The Capture of Miletus demonstrates that the Athenians did not like tragedy to correspond too closely to

⁶⁰¹ See esp. Mead (1939) 102, Murray (1946a) 82-83, (1946b) 127-28, Luschnig (1971) 8; Lee (1976) xiv maintains that the anti-war message, 'is not the whole play, and we are too easily satisfied if we regard the Melos incident as the play's central theme'; Barlow (1986) 34-35: it is more than merely a political play; see also Pelling (2000) 204.

⁶⁰² Bosworth (1993) sees the resistance of the Melians, not the force of the Athenians, as the more destructive

⁶⁰² Bosworth (1993) sees the resistance of the Melians, not the force of the Athenians, as the more destructive activity, and suggests that the arguments made by the Melians against the invasion were inappropriate to the context: 'the achievable is what is exacted by the superior and conceded by the weak. That excludes questions of justice as inappropriate in a debate between participants who are not subject to the same constraints' (35). The sack of Troy is not comparable. Macleod (1983) admitted deeper ambiguities in the Athenians' discourse: 'with artful rhetoric, they disguise their defence, which has to admit that they have in some sense done wrong, as a defiant warning' (61). The possibility thus exists for varied levels of approval of the Melian action – and varied levels of association with Troades.

⁶⁰³ Peace guarantees childbirth (hence marital relations, Pax. 1327), Dicaeopolis will give a draught of peace to the bride and not the bridegroom, because 'she is a woman and not responsible for the war' (Ach. 1062), Lysistrata also speaks of the damage done to the oikos by war – a woman gives her sons to be soldiers, then wastes into old age while her husband fights (Lys. 588-97).

⁶⁰⁴ The Athenian envoys to Melos thought a reversal of fortune highly unlikely: Thuc. 5.91.1-2.

reality: 605 self-examination was desirable, but this should take place through the focalisation

of the 'other'. Euripides, it is true, complicates this other, but in doing so questions the

categories by which the audience constructed its idea of 'self'. Even so, Troades focuses

more on the general effects of war, particularly on male/female relations, than on the cultural

context of any particular war.

Within that perversion of relations, only Cassandra the prophet can see that her

marriage will be beneficial, and only she can identify the appropriate mode of speech with

which to express this. As with all prophecies, hers is no less true for others' inability to

understand it, and it is by such ambiguous, oblique means that Euripides lays the foundations

for the transition of Cassandra and her fellow captives. At the same time, he dramatises the

inversion of nomoi, noting the damage to even the victorious society of prolonged warfare:

τὰ δ' οἴκοι τοῖσδ' ὅμοι' ἐγίγνετο.

ξῆραί γ' ἔθνηισκον, οἱ δ' ἄπαιδες ἐν δόμοις

ἔσθ' ὅστις αὐτῶν αἷμα γῆι δωρήσεται. 606

War perverts social institutions, leading to the dissolution and weakening of both victorious

and defeated societies. The centrality of the hymenaios to these institutions allows the ripples

of its subversion to spread out from the song, to the drama as a whole and its cultural context.

Euripides plays with our expectations of the ritual to present a vision of the chaos that results

when philia and nomoi go awry. Cassandra and Agamemnon's union may show the pattern of

sexual violence taken to tragic extremes, but it remains debatable whether those extremes

offer a lesson to be learned outside the tragedy.

⁶⁰⁵ Hdt. 6.21.

__

⁶⁰⁶ Eur. Tro. 380-82.

Iphigenia in Aulis: the cost of transition

In Troades, both Greeks and Trojans were in a state of transition as they awaited a fair wind to Greece at the end of the Trojan War. The voyage was cast as a 'marriage to death' for the captives, but with the gods as authors of their transition, it also became such a voyage for the Greeks. Agamemnon would marry his captive bride, Cassandra, in Hades. This transition forms a doublet with that at the beginning of the War, represented by Euripides nine years later in IA. Again, the Greeks await a fair wind and a voyage – this transit will cost the sacrifice of Agamemnon's daughter, Iphigenia. Playing on the structural and linguistic similarities between sacrifice, death and marriage, ⁶⁰⁷ Agamemnon summons her to Aulis on the pretext of marriage to Achilles. Achilles, when he learns of this abuse of his name, promises to defend Iphigenia's life and marry her; throwing the mythic tradition into doubt.

Between Achilles' acceptance of Iphigenia and Agamemnon's insistence that her sacrifice take place is a choral ode detailing the wedding song of Peleus and Thetis, parents of the prospective groom. The song bears strong resemblances to Sapphic epithalamia: naturalistic imagery, music, community feasting and celebration, dancing and singing maidens, makarismos and good wishes for the future. Coupled with its jarring comparison of Iphigenia, not to the mythical bride but to a sacrificial animal, it provides an ironic contrast to the action of the play and a lyric interlude preceding the maiden's crushing discovery of her fate. Though not a wedding song per se, this ode should be examined alongside other Euripidean epithalamia, as it exposes similar problems, which find their most developed exposition in this play.

-

⁶⁰⁷ Cf. Foley (1985) 85, cf. Vernant (180) 138 = (1974) 149, also Michelakis (2006) 71.

In a play in which sacrifice disguised as marriage is the dominant motif, 608 a hymenaios, with its tacit acknowledgement of the necessity of symbolic sacrifice, is entirely appropriate to direct the action (compromised by Achilles' acceptance of the marriage, rather than sacrifice) back to its traditional course. In IA, like Troades, the world is turned upside down. The kourotrophic goddess Artemis demands a girl's life (89-91). A chaste parthenos must pay for the transgression of an unchaste gunê: τἀμὰ δ' οὐκ ἀποκτενῶ 'γὼ τέκνα·κοὺ τὸ σὸν μὲν εῦ / παρὰ δίκην ἔσται κακίστης εὕνιδος τιμωρίαι (396-97, 881, 1236-37). The anax andrôn is a slave to his army (450). The father will take the mother's place, against nomos, in a 'wedding' that is really a sacrifice (727-50), and the mother plots revenge on the father (1455). Achilles threatens to disinherit his own heroic tradition; consent is given, withheld, given, withheld, given...lies are truth and truth is lies (1115-16) and a girl who wished she could sail with her father to Troy leads the Greeks to victory and liberation from the barbarian (1472-3). A final piece of extra-dramatic irony caps this list of inversions: the text of IA is so corrupt that we do not know if Iphigenia really was sacrificed at the end of the play. The finale is a later interpolation. 609

As in Phaethon and Troades, there is a deep and fundamental anxiety in IA about 'appearance' and 'reality': nothing is as it seems. The deception arising from the rhetoric of acceptance, of which the wedding song is part, appears to offer a solution to this social disruption, but may actually undermine it. Wright suggests a philosophical idea of the theme of deceptive appearances and the confusing nature of reality at the heart of some of Euripides' other late tragedies;⁶¹⁰ if we read IA as an expression of Euripidean anxiety arising

_

⁶⁰⁸ Foley (1985) 67-68.

⁶⁰⁹ Diggle (1994) 414-19; Kovacs (2003) 98 argues that 1531, the departure of Iphigenia, is the end of the play. Regarding the third stasimon itself, Kovacs merely states (p.94) that it was part of the first production, while Diggle suspects the strophe and antistrophe of being developed by Euripides original, and the epode as less confidently proven (399-401).

⁶¹⁰ Wright (2005) 50; cf. p.150.

from rhetorical deception, our belief in outward appearances is shattered and the play's

rhetoric is rendered dubious, especially that contained within the marriage song.

How is the song being used? It is seemingly at odds with the action of the play, and

debate rages as to the function of these lyrics. Most critics note the idealisation of the

marriage of Peleus and Thetis, so different from its Iliadic paradigm, and the (ironic) contrast

of this to the dramatic situation:

The third stasimon of the Iphigenia in Aulis stands out in contrast to the dramatic

situation and serves to define it by showing us what it is not.⁶¹¹

Michelakis agrees, 612 and Foley also comments on the Sapphic idealism against the

background of late-fifth century anxiety:

The descriptions of both the marriage and the shield [of Achilles, implied by

δωρήματ', 1074] have a pictorial, distant quality that contrasts with the troubled

marriages and the mob violence of the action. The tone is more reminiscent of lyrics

such as Sappho's fragment on the arrival of the bride Andromache at Troy...The

epithalamic themes and the hint at Achilles' future role in epic again augment and

pressure for a return to an ideal and ordered social life and a Panhellenic poetic

perspective on events. 613

The hymenaios is thus cast as a Golden Age in contrast to the social meltdown within and

outside of the text. Does this ode really refer to the 'good old days' of Panhellenism and

⁶¹¹ Walsh (1974) 241. ⁶¹² Michelakis (2006) 17.

⁶¹³ Foley (1985) 82.

direct the action (and the audience) from fractured disorder towards mythic idealisation? Foley identifies Sappho fr. 44 V, the wedding of Hector and Andromache, as the primary intertext here, 614 but is the romance of that fragment simply juxtaposed with the tragedy, or does the hymenaios have a more significant function in the text?

Euripides' Sappho?

To see the third stasimon merely as ironically juxtaposed to the action is to undermine its true significance. There is a manipulation of generic elements in this ode. The action of IA thus far points to a marriage of Achilles and Iphigenia; we would expect them comprise the 'primary elements' 'bride' and 'groom'. Instead, the wedding of Peleus and Thetis takes centre stage. This is ironic, as it is the arrival of Iphigenia like a bride in the first episode which recalls Andromache in Sappho fr. 44 V. for Instead, the Sapphic wedding narrative forms the model for that of an appropriate, but unexpected, couple – as in so many instances in IA, the expectations of the audience are frustrated. The mythical narrative is not entirely unusual: as well as fr. 44 V, we possess mythical epithalamia in frr. 103 and 141 V and Aristophanes had, seven years earlier, included a mythical meta-epithalamium in the exodos of Birds. Our expectations are further frustrated, however, by Euripides' manipulation of another element, that of 'wedding'. This element is clear in Sappho, and is also emphasised in the third stasimon: Yμέναιος (1096), γάμον (1044, 1077), γάμους (1057), ὑμεναίους

_

⁶¹⁴ Perhaps Hinds' (1998: 22-23) definition of 'allusion' is more suited to this context: Euripides' dialogue with Sappho fr. 44 V is, as Hinds describes, a 'covert', 'implied' or 'indirect' reference, as opposed to an explicit reworking of that text. In a play which deals so specifically with the interrelation of illusion and reality, Euripidean allusion can be seen as 'precisely the teasing play which it defines between revelation and concealment'.

⁶¹⁵ Eur. IA 435-38: ἀλλ' εία τἀπὶ τοισίδ' ἐξάρχου κανᾶ, / στεφανοῦσθε κρᾶτα, καὶ σύ, Μενέλεως ἄναξ, / ὑμέναιον εὐτρέπιζε, καὶ κατὰ στέγας / λωτὸς βοάσθω καὶ ποδῶν ἔστω κτύπος, cf. also 1036-45 (a similar scenario at the wedding of Peleus and Thetis), and Sappho fr. 44.24-30 V: αῦλος...κ]ροτάλων...μέλος ἄγν[ον...πάνται δ' ῆς κὰτ ὁδο[ις / κράτηρες |φίαλαι...μύρρα καὶ κασία λίβανός τε.

⁶¹⁶ See Ch.5, p.224.

(1079). This will not be the case with Iphigenia. Neither the wedding of Hector and Andromache nor of Peleus and Thetis will form a paradigm for her experience – she will not be a bride, but a sacrificial victim (1080-84).

Unlike in Phaethon and Troades, the epithalamial topoi almost beguile us in this ode, and their 'pictorial, distant quality' suggests that this effect is probably intentional. The narrative in Sappho fr. 44 V focuses heavily on the sensory, particularly auditory, aspects of the procession, and the description in IA does the same. The audience is drawn in through this appeal to sensory perception. The hymenaios itself is sung by the Muses: ἔστασεν 'ιαχάν...αί καλλιπλόκαμοι / Πιερίδες...μελωιδοῖς...ἀχήμασι (1039-45). The êchêma recalls the 'holy song' of the maidens in Sappho: πάρ[θενοι...ἄχω θεσπεσία (fr. 44.25-27 V). The Euripidean song is similarly accompanied by music: λωτοῦ Λίβους (1036), φιλοχόρου κιθάρας (1037), συρίγγων καλαμοεσσᾶν (1038-39). As in Sappho, where songs of young and old women are set against the paean of men, he song sung by the Muses competes with the noise made by the Centaurs, μέγα δ' ἀνέκλαγον (1062) and Chiron's prophecy: ἐξονόμαζεν (1066). In Sappho's narrative, sounds, sights and smells combine to increase the festival atmosphere:

πάνται δ' ής κὰτ ὁδο[ις κράτηρες| φίαλαί τ' ό[. . .]. .εακ[.].[
μύρρα κα|ὶ κασία λίβανός τ' ὀνεμείχνυτο.⁶²⁰

⁶¹⁷ Cf. Introduction, p.12; Ch.1, p.70.

 $^{^{618}}$ Cf. Sappho fr. 44.24-25 V: αῦλος δ' ἀδυ[μ]έλης...ψ[ό]φο[ς κ]ροτάλων.

⁶¹⁹ Sappho fr. 44.31-33 V: ἐλέλυσδο]ν...ονκαλέοντες.

⁶²⁰ Sappho fr. 44.28-30 V.

This is echoed in Euripides by Ganymede's mixing of the wine bowl:

ό δὲ Δαρδανίδας, Διὸς

λέκτρων τρύφημα φίλον

χρυσέοισιν άφυσσε λοι-

βὰν ἐκ **κρατήρων** γυάλοις. 621

Rather than the pais amphithales symbolic of good fortune and fertility, this wedding is

attended by a boy who represents the cessation of transition, and sterility. As Zeus' bed-

partner, Ganymede is fortunate in his immortality and eternal youth. Like a bride, he has been

separated from his family, never to return. He will, however, never achieve adulthood and

family, but will remain forever under the homosexual dominance of Zeus, forever liminal

between gods and humans. In this, he symbolises the inversion of both gender relations and

of mortal-divine charis – a failure present in the wider context of the drama. 622

The tragedian recalls epic and lyric wedding celebrations most when narrating the

activities performed by various gender and social groups. This is a particular feature of the

wedding song as a communal celebration, emphasising the separate but interdependent

spheres of the genders. 623 In the Iliad, youths dance while women watch from their doors as

the bride is taken through the city. 624 In Hesiod's Shield, slave-women bear torches ahead of

the nuptial car, while male participants sing and females dance. 625 In Sappho, the sons of Ilus

yoke mules, and women and girls ride on carriages. The daughters of Priam ride apart and

⁶²¹ Eur. IA. 1049-52; Hermes does this in Sappho fr. 141.1-3 V.

⁶²² Cf. also Eur. Tro. 821-58.

⁶²³ See Introduction, pp.11-14.

624 Hom. Il. 18.491-96.

625 [Hes]. Scut. 272-85.

bachelors yoke horses to chariots (fr. 44.13-18 V). 626 In Euripides, the guests are again represented as performing different activities in cohorts: Πιερίδες (1041), ὁ δὲ Δαρδανίδας...Γανυμήδης (1049-53), πεντήκοντα κόραι Νηρέως / γάμους έχόρευσαν (1056-57), θίασος έμολεν ίπποβάτας / Κενταύρων επί δαίτα τὰν / θεῶν κρατῆρά τε Βάκχου (1059-61), εἰδὼς γεννάσειν / Χείρων ἐξονόμαζεν (1065-66), μακάριον τότε δαίμονες...έθεσαν (1076-78).

The scene is thus characterised by a dreamlike, idealistic quality, much like those other narratives. It seems, like them, to represent an ideal of social cohesion in stark contrast to the dissolution of the play that surrounds it. But all is not as it seems. The opening verse of the ode, τίν' ἄρ' Υμέναιος (1036) recalls not only the wedding song for Peleus and Thetis, but also the question asked by the army of Iphigenia's arrival: Υμέναιός τις ἢ τί πράσσεται; (430). We are invited to ask the question, 'is this a wedding (song), or what is happening?' In repeating the question, Euripides invites his audience to connect the two unions and to draw parallels – and also to question its assumptions surrounding the marriage of Peleus and Thetis. At first it seems as though Euripides does for this couple what Sappho did for Hector and Andromache. There is evidence in Lesbian poetry of the joyful wedding of Peleus and Thetis, 627 providing multiple reconfigurations of epic for Euripides to use. A closer examination, however, causes us to question not only the romantic rewriting of the Peleus and Thetis story against its Homeric model, but also the earlier example in Sappho.

If we argue for an idealised picture of social cohesion, it is notable that this song does not present any picture of society. Andromache arrives at Troy in Sappho's song, and the activities greeting her are indicative of civilisation and civilised behaviour. Likewise on the

⁶²⁶ See Introduction, p.12.627 See Sappho fr. 141 and Alc. fr. 42 V.

shields of Achilles and Heracles, the brides are escorted $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\nu$. Marriage is shown in the context of community, as a ritual that strengthens and reproduces communities. This is particularly significant in the Iliad, in which marriage and law-courts are represented on Achilles' shield as fundamental to the peaceful city. The marriage in IA, however, takes place in the wild, on Mount Pelion. The Muses travel $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}$ $\Pi\dot{\eta}\lambda\iota\nu\nu$ (1040), and the Centaurs $\kappa\alpha\theta$ ' $\dot{\nu}\lambda\alpha\nu$ (1048). The image is one of untamed nature – the opposite of the 'taming' effect that marriage was hoped to produce. How can domestication take place without a domus?

This is an inversion not only of civilised patterns of marriage, but also of one tradition of the wedding of Peleus and Thetis. Visual representations most commonly depict either the rape of Thetis or the gods arriving at the house of Peleus for the ceremony; 629 no house is shown in Euripides. In Alcaeus, Peleus ἄγετ' ἐκ Νή[ρ]ηος ἔλων [μελάθρων / πάρθενον ἄβραν / ἑς δόμον Χέρρωνος (fr. 42.7-9 V). With no oikos and no polis, the contrast to the social upheaval surrounding Iphigenia's marriage/sacrifice becomes less defined. We might see the potential for rape in the marginal, wild setting which forms the locus for other abductions. 630

The breakdown of idealisation becomes more pronounced when we consider the participants in this marriage. The attendance of the gods at the wedding of Peleus and Thetis is a long-standing part of the mythical tradition, indicated here by δαίμονες. In Sappho fr. 141 V, the actions of the gods are similar to those in Euripides: Έρμαις ἐοινοχόησε (3), πάντες κάλειβον (4-6), ἀράσαντο δὲ πάμπαν ἔσλα γάμβρωι (6). Alcaeus also indicates the presence of the gods at the wedding: πάντας ἐς γάμον μάκ[αρας

_

⁶²⁸ Hom. II. 18.493, cf. [Hes.] Scut. 284: πᾶσαν δὲ πόλιν.

⁶²⁹ LIMC (s.v. 'Peleus') lists 139 depictions in which a context of rape is likely, and 16 (4 of which are Roman) of their wedding ceremony.

⁶³⁰ E.g. H.H.Dem. 4-10, H.H.Aphr. 121-24, Eur. Hel. 179-90, Ion. 886-92.

καλέσσαις (fr. 42.6 V). Indeed, the marriage of Thetis to Peleus guarantees divine stability, for Zeus arranged the marriage lest she bear him or Poseidon a son mightier than his father.⁶³¹ The cycle of cosmogonic succession was thus arrested. The transition made by the bride guaranteed the community on a cosmic scale. There are divine representatives in the third stasimon of IA, the Muses, and the Nereids. None of the Olympians, however, are mentioned by name. Though Zeus acts as Thetis' kurios in the marriage,⁶³² his only mention in this passage is in connection with Ganymede.⁶³³

Perhaps the most notable guests are the Centaurs. Again, the civilising aspects of the wedding song are abandoned in favour of wildness – these creatures blur the boundary between human and beast. Their garlands give them a sympotic appearance (ἐλάταισι στεφανώδει τε χλόαι, 1058), as does their destination, the mixing-bowl of Bacchus. This description is coloured negatively by tradition: it was at the wedding of Peirithoos and Hippodamia that the Centaurs got drunk and attempted to rape the female guests, resulting in the Battle of Lapiths and Centaurs. Their presence at a wedding connotes sexual violence and warfare – elements prominent in the wider text.

The gods remain largely unmentioned – effacing the divine sanction given to this marriage by the rhetoric of the text. It is presented as marginal, with undertones of rape, sterility, and death. Other nuptial motifs serve to dissociate the occasion from the ideal of Andromache's wedding. She brings with her a golden catalogue of gifts:

πόλλα δ' [ελί] γματα χρύσια κάμματα

_

⁶³¹ Pind. Isth. 8.26-45.

⁶³² Eur. IA 703.

⁶³³ See p.190.

Hom. Od. 21.295-304, Pind. fr. 166 S-M. While Chiron is often represented at this wedding (as in Alc. fr. 42 above, and many wedding scenes in vase-painting), the presence Centaurs themselves appears to be a Euripidean invention.

προφύρ[α] καταὕτ[με]να, ποίκιλ' ἀθύρματα, ἀργύρα τ' ἀνάριθμα ποτήρια κάλέφαις. 635

The only gift mentioned in connection with Thetis is the armour she will give her son: χρυσέων ὅπλων ἡ Ηφαιστοπόνων...θεᾶς ματρὸς δωρήματ ἱ (1072-74). In this armour, he will assault Troy, kill Hector, and die. A discordant note is struck, and the fantasy begins to crumble. The pronouncement by Chiron is part of the traditional bridal makarismos. Like Andromache, or indeed any bride, Thetis is complimented by the assembled throng (τᾶς εὐπάτριδος, 1077; cf. Sappho fr. 44.5-7 V) and her marriage praised (1045, cf. 44.34), but the makarismos pronounced by the gods and by Chiron only points to grief:

ος ήξει χθόνα λογχήρεσι σὺν Μυρμιδόνων ἀσπισταῖς Πριάμοιο κλεινὰν γαῖαν ἐκπυρώσων.⁶³⁷

The blessing shatters the illusion of happiness – this heroic future dooms Iphigenia, and Achilles himself, to death. 638 In representing Chiron's prophecy of the sack of Troy, the song

⁶³⁵ Sappho fr. 44.8-10 V; cf. p.28, n.90.

 $^{^{636}}$ Eur. IA. 1071-75. It is likely that this prophecy is meant to direct us to Iliad 18 on two levels: firstly, Thetis' gifts include the shield on which a similar wedding is described, and secondly, to her own first-person narrative of her marriage (Hom. II. 18.429-41), in which she is married by violence and doomed to lose the son praised in the choral ode above. A similar allusory play exists even in the idealised narrative of Sappho fr. 44 V: Schrenk (1994) 144-45 suggests that 'both the use of epic vocabulary and the narrative structure of the poem direct the reader to particular episodes in the Iliad, namely, Andromache's discovery of the death of her husband in Book X and the return of Hector's corpse in Book Ω '. See also Griffin (1980) 2-8: Andromache's reaction to Hector's death also recalls the day of her marriage in her casting away of her bridal headdress. As with the prospective marriage of Achilles and Iphigenia, the agamos gamos of Helen and Paris also condemns the socially-sanctioned, productive marriage of Hector and Andromache.

has redirected the dramatic action to the story known from Homer and Aeschylus. Achilles will go to Troy – a journey requiring Iphigenia's sacrifice. If we must accept this epic/tragic version of events, we must also view the marriage of Peleus and Thetis in the light of her portrayal as the mater dolorosa of the Iliad, and victim of false makarismos in Aeschylus. 639

Understanding a second set of allusions, in addition to those to lyric poetry, may reveal how we are supposed to understand this ode. It does not stand in contrast to the dramatic action. Rather, it is not merely a foil for that action. The song is not a separate lyrical interlude, a reference to an epic golden age, or an ironic juxtaposition, but itself an intrinsic and pathos-laden part of the drama whose unfolding demands Iphigenia's sacrifice. In this, it is deeply embedded in the action of the play. ⁶⁴⁰

The metaphor of sacrifice

Euripides, then, suggests that the action of the play may deviate from its traditional course, from sacrifice into marriage. He employs a mythical 'meta-epithalamium' to recall the idea of a wedding song for Iphigenia and Achilles, expressed in v.430, and to comment on the action. Like Iphigenia, Thetis' fate might deviate from that expressed in prior traditions. The absence of traditional elements of her marriage, however (the Olympians, the palace), and the presence of non-traditional figures who represent a negative and violent sexuality (Ganymede, the Centaurs), suggest that the wedding may not be as 'ideal' as at first assumed. The meta-epithalamium, moreover, suggests a correspondence between the current action and that represented in the song.⁶⁴¹ If the ode recalls a Homeric/Aeschylean Thetis, it also

⁶³⁸ Walsh (1974) 245: 'It [this portion of the song] glorifies Achilles without qualification, but at the same time precludes the possibility that he will keep his promise to Clytemnestra, who had put so much trust in his heroic

⁹ Cf. Aesch. fr. 350 R; Ch. 2, pp.95-96.

⁶⁴⁰ See p.186 on its authenticity.

⁶⁴¹ Cf. esp. Ch.2, pp.86-87.

functions in the same way for Iphigenia. The plot ceases to move towards what the audience know to be an impossible conclusion, and the Chorus return to the expectation of sacrifice in the epode.

Here meta-epithalamium becomes anti-epithalamium: the traditional language of the wedding song is used in a subversive way. Iphigenia will be wreathed like a bride – and like a sacrificial victim (κάραι στέψουσι καλλικόμαν / πλόκαμον 'Αργειοι 1080-81). In this, she is like a young animal separated from its mother (μόσχον ἀκήρατον...παρὰ δὲ ματέρι, 1085-89), a traditional image of the wedding song. This image corresponds to the 'separational' stage of marriage, generally used by women as a lament for the loss of the bride's formerly sheltered life. 642 In this passage, the lament has become a protest for Iphigenia's literal loss of life (αιμάσσοντες, 1086). Marriage is again an anticipated transition, for which Iphigenia has been reared: Ἰναχίδαις γάμον (1089). The blood she sheds, however, will not be that in the marriage bed, but of her λαιμόν (1086).⁶⁴³

This rite, moreover, is not perceived in terms of conventional animal sacrifice, an act which reaffirms the community. Rather, it is seen in terms of the breakdown of societal norms – perpetrated by the very figures that should promote and safeguard cultural cohesion:

ποῦ τὸ τᾶς αἰδοῦς ἢ το τᾶς ᾿Αρετᾶς

σθένει τι πρόσωπον,

οπότε τὸ μὲν ἄσπετον ἔχει

δύνασιν, ά δ' 'Αρετά κατόπι-

σθεν θνατοίς άμελείται,

 $^{^{642}}$ See Introduction, p.18; Ch.2, p.88; Ch.3, p.128. 643 Cf. Eur. Hec. 565; p.17 n.53; see Fowler (1987) esp. 191-92 on the comparison of virgin sacrifice to defloration.

'Ανομία δὲ νόμων κρατεῖ, καὶ <μὴ> κοινὸς ἀγών βροτοῖς μή τις θεών φθόνος ἔλθηι;644

As in Troades, a relationship presented as marriage transgresses the boundaries of nomos. This forces us to question the legitimacy of the 'sacrifice' of her double in this ode, Thetis. The divine sanction assumed for the marriage of Achilles' mother may not be all it seems.

Indeed, the experiences of the two women are presented in remarkably similar terms in IA. The play as whole diverges from the Homeric paradigm, which saw Thetis subjected to Peleus by force (ἐκ μέν μ' ἀλλάων ἁλιάων ἀνδρὶ δάμασσεν, II. 18.432), and presents the union as an idealised wedding. The action of the drama diverges similarly from the tradition of the sacrifice, although notably this tradition is not present in Homer. Iphigenia may be one of Agamemnon's daughters, Chrysothemis, Laodice, and Iphianassa, offered as bride to Achilles to persuade him to rejoin the battle in the Iliad. It is uncertain whether Homer was unaware of the myth of sacrifice, or whether he knew it but chose not to allude to it. Aeschylus was aware, and his Iphigenia is an unwilling victim, her death cast in the imagery of rape. In Euripides, it is presented in terms of legitimate marriage, and an act to which Iphigenia – finally – acquiesces: ταῦτα γὰρ μνημεῖά μου / διὰ μακροῦ καὶ παῖδες οῦτοι καὶ γάμοι καὶ δοξ' ἐμή (1398-99). Moreover, as Thetis was 'sacrificed'

⁶⁴⁴ Eur. IA 1090-97. The introduction of female abstracts in this passage heightens further the distance of the ode from the Olympian gods, but is not unknown in situations of mortal transgression, e.g. Hes. Op. 197-201.

⁶⁴⁵ See also 701-3; Foley (1985) 72-73. The words Zεῦς ἠγγύησε καὶ δίδως ὁ κύριος, are, however, expressed by Agamemnon, whose logos is not to be trusted and conflicts with reality (1115-16).

⁶⁴⁶ Hom. II. 9.144-48, 286-90. In Sophocles (El. 157) Iphigenia was sacrificed, but Chrysothemis and Iphianassa remain alive in the house.

⁶⁴⁷ Aesch. Ag. 227-38; see Ch.2, p.105 on the sacrifice as proteleia.

to Peleus to preserve Zeus' sovereignty, so Iphigenia must be sacrificed to maintain Agamemnon's (ὤστε μὴ στερέντα σ' ἀρχῆς ἀπολέσαι καλὸν κλέος, 357).

The sacrifice implied metaphorically by the meta-epithalamium is made literal in the play upon which that topos comments. A fundamental difference is notable. Despite the necessity of her marriage for cosmic stability, Thetis remains unwilling, lamenting its outcome: ἔτλην ἀνέρος εὐνὴν / πολλὰ μάλ' οὐκ ἑθέλουσα (II. 18.433-34). Any protests Aeschylus' Iphigenia might make are stopped by force (βίαι ἀναύδωι). Euripides' Iphigenia laments initially (1211-52, 1279-1335), even after Agamemnon has offered his weak rhetoric of necessity: ἀλλ' Ελλάς, ῆι δεῖ, κἄν θέλω κἄν μὴ θέλω, / θὖσαί σε (1271-72). The appearance of Achilles, bent on defending her, seems to prompt a change of heart. She is no longer unwilling, but states βούλομαι / εὐκλεῶς πρᾶξαι (1375-76). She repeats her father's spurious patriotism almost verbatim:

είς ἔμ' Ελλὰς ἡ μεγίστη πᾶσα νῦν ἀποβλέπει, κἀν ἐμοὶ πορθμός τε ναῶν καὶ Φρυγὼν κατασκαφαὶ τάς τε μελλούσας γυναῖκας, ἢν τι δρῶσι βάρβαροι, μηκέθ' ἀρπάζειν ἐᾶν †τὰς† ὀλβίας ἐςἱ Ελλάδος, τὸνἱ Ελένης τείσαντας ὅλεθρον, ἣν ἀνήρπασεν Πάρις. 649

-

⁶⁴⁸ Whether or not Iphigenia's acquiescence is convincing is itself a problematic issue: Griffin (1990) 148, Foley (1985) 66, Luschnig (1985) 3, Michelakis (2006) 39.

⁶⁴⁹ Eur. IA 1378-82, cf. 1270-75. Wilkins (199) 179 sees the self-sacrifice of virgins in Euripides as analogous to the 'non-literal self-sacrifice' of the hoplite soldier in battle. The rhetoric of Agamemnon and Iphigenia is thus deeply embedded in Athenian civic ideology, and should not be spurned as merely facile. Furthermore, if marriage is to women what war is to men, then the representation of self-sacrifice as the telos of marriage is integral to fifth-century social structures. The literal sacrifice, as with the metaphorical one of marriage, is performed on behalf of the community to ensure its integrity and continuance.

Our perceived 'knowledge' of the tradition had previously been transgressed by Agamemnon, Menelaus, and Achilles. It is Iphigenia, however – a maiden who, as either bride or sacrifice, would have had no agency in her transition – who performs the final divergence. 650 In asserting her consent she allows the story as we know it to progress, but divorces herself from her presentation in that tradition. In her willingness, she also dissociates herself from allusions to a Homeric/Aeschylean Thetis, and presents herself as an acquiescent bride. It is as though, in accepting the superficial justification offered by Agamemnon, she becomes one with the superficial narrative of the choral ode. Deeper allusions and anxieties are dismissed, and she goes willingly under the knife⁶⁵¹ – though recent scholarship on the fallacy of the 'willing victim' in Greek animal sacrifice may cause us to question such a dismissal. 652 What is certain is that the story we know is 'back on track', but details have fundamentally changed.

The wedding song in IA and the action surrounding it thus raises more questions about our understanding of the mythical tradition in which Euripides composed his play than it answers. This is consistent with the rest of the play:

IA plays with the spectators' familiarity with earlier versions of the story to challenge their assumptions but also to provide them with an intricate and suspenseful narrative. 653

⁶⁵⁰ The passivity of Iphigenia in either of those roles would seem to negate Foley's (1985:62) statement: 'Here the ritual experience of women and children, excluded from political participation, offers an apparent cure for the political crises produced by men and forges unexpected links between the public and private worlds'. Her sacrifice may drive a deep wedge between public and private. Though she counsels Clytemnestra to acceptance (1454), tradition still maintains that her death would be the catalyst for her mother's future crimes.

⁶⁵¹ Wilkins (1990) 183: 'the principal rhetorical force of the scene is the great desire of the victim to die against the wishes of $\phi i \lambda o \iota$, relatives and friends'.

⁶⁵² Naiden (2007) esp. 61-2 argues against the popular viewpoint (esp. Burkert (1983) Ch.1) that the sacrificial victim 'nodded' its assent, and that tragic sacrifice was often perverted because of a lack of this consent, especially in human victims. Yet such 'assent' is exposed in Naiden's article as fallacy, so we may question the efficacy of a comparison to between Iphigenia and animal sacrifice. In addition, as I have shown, perversions exist despite her willingness, not because of its lack. 653 Michelakis (2006) 21.

First Agamemnon attempts to avert the sacrifice (107-9, 115-23), then Menelaus (473-503), then Achilles (932-39, 1361, 65). Other contradictions intrude upon our understanding, and are in turn overshadowed by our knowledge of the tradition: Odysseus, the traditional hero of the oikos, is a key figure in the sacrifice (424-27, 1362), and presented variously as the son of Laertes (203-4) and of Sisyphus (424, 1362). Orestes, the soon-to-be-matricide, is presented as a helpless baby. Agamemnon's own marriage began in violence against the oikos:

ἔγημας ἄκουσάν με κάλαβες βίαι,
τὸν πρόσθεν ἄνδρα Τάνταλον κατακτανών·
βρέφος τε τοὐμὸν †σωὶ προσούρισας πάλωι†
μαστών βιαίως τῶν ἐμῶν ἀποσπάσας.655

The language recalls his marriage β tαίως to Cassandra in Troades. It is little wonder that such a man perpetrates violence against his own house. Clytemnestra still claims to have been ἄμεμπτος γυνή (1157) to him; ἐς τ' ᾿Αφροδίτην σωφρονοῦσα (1159) and μέλαθρον αὕξουσ' (1160). These verses cause us to recall that Clytemnestra becomes the opposite of the 'blameless wife' in response to the murder of another child, that she goes on to become 'unchaste' in matters of Aphrodite and that she too does violence against her house. A familiar pattern emerges, in which one act of sexual transgression begets another, until all is consumed by violence. Iphigenia's self-sacrifice aims to stop this cycle (μηκέθ' ἀρπάζειν), but simply allows it to continue. The rhetoric that surrounds her act is thus undermined.

⁶⁵⁴ E.g. Eur. IA 465-66, cf. Michelakis (2006) 100.

⁶⁵⁵ Eur. IA 1149-52.

Idealised visions?

Euripides, then, deliberately plays on variant mythical traditions – including his own⁶⁵⁶ – to cause the audience to question their knowledge of mythical 'truth'. Epic violence is disguised as political expediency and sexual violence as political alliance-making. From the third stasimon onwards, the traditional picture begins to converge with the Euripidean one, causing us to question closely the 'idealised' vision presented in this ode as well as the surrounding action. Ironically, it is Iphigenia's own deviation from tradition, in her voluntary self-sacrifice, which allows that tradition to continue. We are left with an uncomfortable paradox, one made even more uncertain by the state of the play's final episode. Such allusory play demands closer examination of critics' supposition of idealisation underlying all the play's choral odes:

The odes, like Iphigenia's lyrics, do not deny the brutality of the events about to ensue, but their form and beauty translate it to another level...the further the action in the corrupt political world of the play veers from the predicted sequence of the myth, the less relevant the ideals of the odes seem to become. 658

This 'beauty' is questionable. The parodos, perhaps the most 'epic' of these odes, by situating itself within that epic tradition, points to a focus on war. It thus makes clear the

658 Foley (1985) 84, see also Michelakis (2006) 28.

⁶⁵⁶ In IT (356-76), Iphigenia entertains vengeful feelings towards the family who sacrificed her, rather than her forgiving portrayal in IA. In the sacrifice described in the earlier play, Clytemnestra and Orestes do not travel with Iphigenia to Aulis, and she regrets her lack of farewells.

Though both the extant (spurious) ending and Eur. fr. 857 (a possible fragment of the original) preserve the substitution of a deer – rendering Iphigenia's voluntary self-sacrifice pointless.

increasingly prevalent association between heroism and violence. As Iphigenia arrives, the choral language becomes less epic and more epithalamic. Those to whom Aphrodite comes in moderation are μάκαρες (543). Marriage is described as the λέκτρων 'Αφροδίτας (544), and the goddess called Κύπρι καλλίστα (553). Eros appears as the kindler of love, but, as in anti-epithalamic passages such as the Ode to Eros in Sophocles' Antigone, his disruptive capacity is also described: τὸ μὲν ἐπ' εὐαίωνι πότμωι, / τὸ δ' ἐπὶ συγχύσει βιοτᾶς 550-51).

The ambiguous representation of love in this ode reflects once again its conceptualisation in Greek thought as both desirable and dangerous. For the young bride, destructive love is rejected (554-57), and moderate desire held to be part of feminine virtue (568-70). This is presented in contrast to Helen's love, as Iphigenia is contrasted with Helen throughout IA:

έλεφαντοδέτων πάροι-

θεν θρόνων δς στάς Ελένας

έν άντωποῖς βλεφάροις

ξρωτά τ' ξδωκας ξρωτί τ'

αὐτὸς ἐπτοήθης.

Έλλάδα σύν δορί ναυσί τ' ἄγεις

_

⁶⁵⁹ This association seems to be undermined by the action of the play. Achilles, the 'ideal' hero, is presented as a man of piety and moderation rather than his traditional hot-headed action (824), and a defender of maidens rather than killer of men (935). His complaint against Agamemnon's dishonour of him (961-67) and the prophecy of his actions at Troy, however, recall his portrayal in the Iliad and the Homeric ideal of the warrior-hero.

⁶⁶⁰ Cf. Pha. 232: Κύπρι θεῶν καλλίστα.

⁶⁶¹ See Ch.3, pp.112-14.

†ες Τροίας πέργαμα†.662

We know from Aeschylus, however, that the two women were more closely associated: in

Agamemnon, the looks cast by Iphigenia towards her father upon her sacrifice are described

in similar terms to the smouldering gaze of Helen upon her elopement. 663 Any contrast is

ironic and premature, for Euripides' Iphigenia is herself capable of arousing erôs. 664 If love

for Achilles prompts her self-sacrifice, 665 and leads to the War, she is no less capable of

destructive love than the wanton Helen.

The reference to Cassandra's prophecies in the next ode (757-61) recalls her portrayal

in Euripides' Trojan trilogy. The parity of experience between Helen and the Trojan women

also points back to the motif of violent (re)marriage as a structural unifier in Troades, as well

as forward to the violence which seems inevitable at this point in IA (when Agamemnon has,

for now, successfully deceived Clytemnestra about the nature of Iphigenia's

marriage/sacrifice): κόρας πολυκλαύτους δάμαρτά τε Πριάμου... Έλένα

πολύκλαυτος (779-81). Helen's marriage ruins the successful unions of the Trojan

women, as well as Iphigenia's own chance at marriage. Again, however, the role of the drama

in the mythical tradition is highlighted and the tradition itself questioned:

ει δή φάτις έτυμος ώς

†έτυχε Λήδα† ὄρνιθι πταμένωι,

Διὸς ὅτ' ἀλλάχθη δέμας, εἴτ'

⁶⁶² Eur. IA. 582-89; for the contrast/association between Iphigenia and Helen, see 396-97, 881, 1236-37.

⁶⁶³ Aesch. Ag. 240-41 (Iphigenia), 742 (Helen).

⁶⁶⁴ Eur. IA 1410-15.

⁶⁶⁵ Smith (1979) 174, 180.

⁶⁶⁶ Cf. Troy πολύθρηνον in Aesch. Ag. 711.

έν δέλτοις Πιερίσιν

μύθοι τάδ' ες άνθρώπους

ήνεγκαν παρά καιρόν άλλως.667

By the time we reach the third stasimon, we have been exposed to a layered set of allusions to

epic, tragedy, and epithalamium – it is unsurprising that the ode in question poses problems

as to its dominant paradigm. IA continually questions its own mythology, and its place within

that mythology. The contrast between 'spoken' tradition and the 'tablets' of the Muses in the

above song draws attention to the distinction between logos and ergon, present in all three

'hymeneal' plays of Euripides, but most pronounced in IA. As in the myth of Peleus and

Thetis, the audience (internal and external) must form their own opinions as to the

'authoritative' tradition.

That tradition is far from idealised. The final ode reconfigures motifs from the

meta/anti-epithalamium of the third stasimon, 668 to present Iphigenia not as the victim, but

the instigator of violence:

ιδεσθε τὰν Ίλιου

καὶ Φρυγῶν ελέπτολιν

στείχουσαν.669

⁶⁶⁷ Eur. IA 795-800.

 668 Eur. IA 1513-14: ἐπὶ κάραι στέφη / βαλουμέναν = 1080: ἐπὶ κάραι στέψουσι, 1515: ῥανίσιν

αίματορρύτοις = 1084: αίμασσοντες, 1516-17: †θανοῦσαν εὐφυῆ τε σώματος δέρην /

σφαγείσαν... † = 1083-84: βρότειον...λαιμόν.

⁶⁶⁹ Eur. IA 1511-13. Fraenkel prints ἑλέναυς, cf. (1950: vol. 2) 331.

Again, she is aligned with Helen: ἑλένας ἕλανδρος ἐλέπτολις, ⁶⁷⁰ but also with the chaste, bloody Artemis of the hymn: πότνια...θύμασιν βροτησίοις / χαρεῖσα (1524-24). In exchange for this charis will come the journey to Troy (1525-26) and fame for Agamemnon and the Greeks: κλεινότατον στέφανον...κλέος ἀείμνηστον (1529-31). The cost of the journey, of the immortal kleos bestowed by epic, is enumerated: not simply the security of tradition, but of other nomoi: normative relations among and between families and cities, and between men and the gods. Charis, that essential characteristic of the wedding song, is inverted in this world which demands the inversion of the metaphors of marriage: actual, rather than symbolic death.

The text, in addition, has undergone its own transition: in coming full-circle, it has taken the audience through an epic ideal to the violence underlying it. ⁶⁷¹ The action of IA should make us mistrustful of 'idealising' rhetoric in the play at large: all too often, it questions the models underlying those ideals, without providing a secure answer. If ideals are questioned, so might ideology be: Iphigenia's sacrifice is presented in terms of fifth-century polis ideology. ⁶⁷² In problematising the ideals upon which such structures rest, the play exposes their inadequacy to cope with the situation of crisis depicted. Iphigenia dies to preserve social cohesion, but her death will only contribute to social dissolution. As with Troades, the question may be asked: can social structures outside the dramatic frame withstand the pressure of violence? As with Troades, the answer may be found in the experience of the bride.

As a bridal sacrifice, Iphigenia represents the separational stage of marriage as a rite of passage. She will not only lose her old life, she will lose her life. The loss of the sheltered

⁶⁷⁰ Aesch. Ag. 689-90, also Eur. Tro. 358 of Cassandra: κάντιπορθήσω.

⁶⁷¹ Thus we must question Foley (1985) 102: 'when politics are irredeemable, ritual and poetry offers a timeless scenario for a positive and necessary deception and for a politics of love that dissolves even while it consents to a politics of revenge'.

⁶⁷² Eur. IA 1376, 1394, 1400-1.

innocence of childhood is made more profound. An Athenian audience at the end of the fifth century might relate to this sense of loss. The impact of war on society and the family, part of the discourse of Troades as well as in a number of Aristophanic plays, ⁶⁷³ is present in IA. The play presents a world turned upside down in which there is no escape from war's effects – either in idealised yet violent epic visions, or on the tragic stage. At the same time, this message is polysemic: Iphigenia's act allows Greek victory against the barbarian, and is to her an affirmation of Greek identity and social structures.⁶⁷⁴ An audience may make the connection between IA and Troades, seeing the two plays as a doublet framing the action of the War, or they may see Iphigenia's sacrifice as a literal expression in dramatic terms of the metaphorical marriage/war analogy: a rite of passage in which the young woman or man subsumes themselves as an individual to the needs of the community; its defence both within (in the case of marriage) and without (in the case of war). 675 Hope and death, salvation and condemnation, are both present. The polysemic language of the wedding song expresses these paradoxes throughout.

The hymenaios is, however, questioned in this play. From Iphigenia's arrival, there is confusion over whether the wedding song is an appropriate genre with which to articulate the action. The wedding song of Peleus and Thetis is cast into doubt by the audience's knowledge of the past and future of that marriage. The use of epithalamic themes and images in the choral odes draw attention to the contradictions underlying the rhetoric of the songs. Yet IA questions the value of its own peithô and rhetoric themselves, not simply their expression in any one genre. We are constantly forced to question truth and falsehood, logos and ergon.

The play highlights the dangers of transgression against the oikos by a sexually incontinent female (Helen), but also those that exist within it when transgression is

Ar. Pax 1327, Ach. 1062, Lys. 588-97; see p.193.
 Compare e.g. Lysistrata's brand of feminine Panhellenism: Ar. Lys. 41, etc.
 Cf. Wilkins (1990) 189-90.

perpetrated by the male (Agamemnon). Marital love can itself be a cause of social disruption, as is Achilles' pothos for Iphigenia. IA demonstrates how even actions in defence of the oikos can harm it. The gaps in both civil and domestic rhetoric are exposed. The interdependence of the civil and domestic spheres is also made apparent. In using the analogy of Thetis' 'marriage-as-sacrifice' on Pelion for Iphigenia's 'sacrifice-as-marriage' in Aulis, Euripides stresses the importance of social context for both marriage and sacrifice – and the importance of these rituals to society – at the same time as underlining the potential subversion of both ritual and society.

IA presents a transition perverted by wider failures of social relations. A failure to recognise and enact correct behaviour between men and women, between father and children, between the needs of the oikos and the desires of the army, between states and their allies (and enemies), and between gods and men, results in a profoundly dark vision of a society in crisis. The human sacrifice demanded by Artemis represents not merely a ritual perversion, but is symptomatic of a social failure on a wider scale. 'Greece is sick' (411), and those who have no part in that sickness (recall the bride in Ar. Ach. 1062, ότιὴ γυνή 'στι τοῦ πολέμου τ' οὐκ αἰτία) attempt to offer a path to salvation. But nothing is as it seems – in the end, Iphigenia's acceptance of her fate may simply represent her succumbing to that sickness, or to its inevitability. Like Polyxena, she opts to die with dignity not as an alternative to life, but because the alternative is death without it. 676 Tu ne pugna, Catullus will remind his bride, ⁶⁷⁷ and, like a bride, the sacrificial virgin must realise the futility of struggle. Her only option is to accept the role offered post-transition – a blessed lot, but a costly one.

⁶⁷⁶ Eur. Hec. 74: θανείν πριν αισχρών μή κατ' άξιαν τυχείν. Notably, both sacrifices are cast as 'marriage' to Achilles. Cf. Luschnig (1985) 92. ⁶⁷⁷ Cat. 62.59.

Euripides presents us with the most fully developed expression of all the tragedians of the 'marriage to death' topos. There is a coherent development in his use of the epithalamium in his plays. In Phaethon, Troades, and IA, a wedding ceremony literally ends in the death (or, in the case of Iphigenia, ambiguous fate) of one or both of its protagonists. The metaphorical sacrifice of bloodshed in the bridal bed becomes increasingly literal across these plays. In all three, moreover, the failure of transition is caused by a previously perverted hymenaios (either in the sense of 'wedding', or as 'wedding song'), and continues to cause failures of both gender and political relations. The tragic hymenaios in these plays, which in reality demonstrates how the transition should be performed, highlights and comments upon the ways in which it is not. Euripides pushes the boundaries of its dramatic development, using it as an informative principle for the action and odes of these dramas. Within this structure, the contrast between illusion and reality becomes more pronounced towards 405BC, when, in IA, both the mythical tradition and the current action are questioned, with no secure conclusion offered. The audience is left doubting the wedding song, its relationship to the tragedy, and the tragedy itself. In doing so, the use of a hymenaios to effect a happy ending in Aristophanes' plays is questioned, an issue which will be addressed in the next chapter. The failure of ritual and abuse of the oikos by war and politics is potentially reversed in Peace, Birds, and Lysistrata – a reversal which may function in the service of the bellicose polis.

CHAPTER FIVE

THE COMIC HYMENAIOS

With the tragedians we witnessed the hymenaios used to illustrate a marital transition that had in some way failed, or was incomplete or perverted. This chapter examines how the epithalamium was adapted for comic usage, and what picture of marriage and gender emerges on the comic stage. Three Aristophanic comedies use plot devices akin to that of Euripides' Phaethon: unite with a goddess and secure both personal benefits and the future of the community. 678 In Peace (421 BC), Trygaeus the vinedresser takes the personified Opôra (Harvest) as his wife as part of the bounty of Peace's return to Athens. In Birds (414), a year after Euripides staged Cassandra's wedding song, absconded-debtor-turned-king-of-the-birds Peisetaerus marries Basileia (Princess) in order to guarantee his new-found sovereignty. This goddess is the custodian (ταμιεύει, 1538) of the thunderbolt of Zeus and all else – receive her, promises Prometheus, and Peisetaerus will have everything: ἡν γ' ἡν σὺ παρ' ἐκείνου παραλάβης, πάντ' ἔχεις (1542). The plays close with weddings; the wedding songs also constitute the exodoi of the cast and chorus.

These finales, according to Sommerstein, had, by the 420s, become part of the elaborate and formalised structural scheme of Aristophanic comedy, in which the exodos typically included sexual union (with a young bride or courtesan) and feasting: 679

⁶⁷⁸ See Ch.4, p.151. ⁶⁷⁹ Sommerstein (1980) 9-11.

Both these are likely to go back to a very early stage in the history of comedy, and both survived in a refined form in the betrothal (naturally to be followed by a feast) with which the plays of the New Comedy period so often ended.⁶⁸⁰

Lysistrata, performed in 411, is different. Epithalamial resonances begin early in the play, in the choral competition between the old men and women.⁶⁸¹ This performance may be intended to evoke the epithalamium, especially in the resultant reunion at the end of the song: the men and women sing an amoebaean song, each stressing their role in the community (614-705). They threaten one another sexually (671-76, 694-95), including the women dousing the men with a λούτρον νυμφίκον (377-78), before reconciling. 682 The exodos proper is a Spartan hymn, ⁶⁸³ but it is preceded by praise of Athens and peaceful reaffirmation of mortal marriage after the women's sex-strike.⁶⁸⁴ The personified 'bride' in this play, is Diallagê (Reconciliation), given not to the comic hero, but to the men of Greece to divide between them. 685 Embodying the land as well as the new regime, she is the object upon which both Athenians and Spartans vent their urge for sexual and martial conquest. She becomes a fetish for their violence, which is displaced onto her person rather than onto Greece itself. But as a symbol for Greece, she calls into question the efficacy of such displacement, and read retrospectively against Opôra and Basileia, may also call into question their function as 'beneficial' symbols. The transition made in Aristophanes' marriages may thus function as subversively as those in the tragic hymenaioi.

⁶⁸⁰ Sommerstein (1980) 11.

⁶⁸¹ Ar. Lys. 254-1042.

⁶⁸² Swift (2006a) 136: 'The choruses unite when the women become reconciled to the men, and make overtures of friendship towards them...The language the chorus uses after they unite also contains sexual overtones, playing on double-entendres between the language of feasting and the language of sex', cf. (2006b) 177; Introduction, esp. p.14; Sommerstein (1990) 209 on 1061-64.

⁶⁸³ Henderson (1987) 218.

⁶⁸⁴ Ar. Lys. 1273-90.

⁶⁸⁵ Ar. Lys. 1159-80.

The generic elements of these songs also make for an intriguing representation of (particularly female) sexuality. Apart from Lysistrata, where the Athenian and Spartan peacemakers both serve as 'bridegrooms' for Diallagê, this element is represented by the comic hero: an aging Athenian farmer magically rejuvenated by the promise of sexuality embodied by his goddess. These figures are in stark contrast to the mythical heroes and aristocratic bridegrooms of our previous wedding songs, and their like is not seen again in the period under study. The brides present us with a greater problem. Opôra and Basileia are goddesses, but neither exists in the usual pantheon. As one interpretation for Phaethon's bride suggested, they are created by the playwright for his dramatic program, ⁶⁸⁶ in this case, to personify the benefits of the new regime. Diallagê does the same: she is 'a physical embodiment of the pleasures and benefits of peace'. Though not overtly divine in Lysistrata, she is described in such terms in Acharnians. All three females are mute personifications, and this presents a problem.

Opôra and Diallagê are nude characters, like many others of Aristophanes' mute females, and by so appearing in public are cast in the mould of hetairai. Opôra at least receives a bridal bath ($\lambda \epsilon \lambda o u t at$, 868) but bridal attire is never mentioned. Basileia probably does not appear undressed, but certain aspects of her presentation, I will argue, associate her with these other females. Debate rages as to whether these characters were played by real hetairai or male actors in 'nude' costume; in either case, the evocation of a

_

⁶⁸⁶ Diggle (1970) 160, with reference to Peace and Birds; see p.142.

⁶⁸⁷ Taaffe (1993) 69.

 $^{^{688}}$ Ar. Ach. 976: the Chorus address her as ξύντροφε of Aphrodite and the Graces.

⁶⁸⁹ Oakley & Sinos (1994) 16-19 (figs. 20-35) collect evidence for the adornment of the bride: perfume, an extravagant gown, stephanê, special shoes called nymphides, and the bridal veil.

⁶⁹⁰ Stone (1981) 145-54 with discussion of the evidence for both nudity per se and the debate on male vs. female actors. She states that 'these scenes almost always occur right before or during the celebratory exodos scene...the closing scenes are also the most robust in their humour; it is in this spirit that I find the concept of a man padded with false breasts and wearing a leotard painted with nipples, navel and pubic hair most appealing as a solution. Moreover, this assumption prevents an unnecessary rupture of the men-only rule on the Athenian stage', but admits that 'the issue is problematic and the evidence scant, so that a firm conclusion cannot really be reached' (p.150). Sommerstein's discussions on the 'sexy mute' type (1983:239 on Vesp. 1373-75, 1985:175-76 on Pax 886-904, 1990:215-26 on Lys. 1162-72) suggests that to allow the characters' comments and stage

scantily-clad prostitute was probably intentional (in Birds, this is displaced onto - or suggested by – the appearance of the flute-girl/wife, Procne). 691 More to the point, to cast such a figure in the role of 'bride' raises serious questions about the nature of the exchange of women in classical Athens. The ambiguity inherent in such a presentation is exacerbated further by the participation of the hetaira-type in another primary element, that of 'wedding' to a citizen. Not only could such an act be construed as illegal in Athens, ⁶⁹² but when the 'wedding' is in each case presented as a variation of the hieros gamos model, the subversive nature of the comic wedding song is made apparent.

How to sing a comic wedding song

In Peace, the song is sung by a chorus of all-male characters and a male protagonist – the bride is the unspeaking recipient of verses, but no women participate, and this is striking. The epithalamium is not an exclusively female genre, yet the wedding is a ritual instance (one of few) in which the public appearance and utterance of women was acceptable, positive, even essential. As such, the epithalamium is often associated with women. ⁶⁹³ Compared especially with the hymenaioi of Euripides, in which women are the main or sole voices, ⁶⁹⁴ this lack of female participation seems significant. It has been noted, however, that women play a very minor role in Aristophanes' plays before 411BC, ⁶⁹⁵ and the lack of female participation may stem from this absence. Aristophanes' presentation is almost Homeric, in which war and

business implied by them, at least a representation of female anatomy must have been visible. See also Zweig (1992) 73-89, Finnegan (1995) 12, 17, Foley (2000) 282. ⁶⁹¹ See Barker (2004) 195-99 on Procne.

⁶⁹² Ap. [Dem.] 59.16. A hetaira was often a non-citizen, so marriage to such a woman might contravene Athenian marriage legislation: ἔστω δὲ καὶ ἐὰν ἡ ξένη τῶι ἀστῶι συνοικῆι κατὰ ταὐτά, καὶ ὁ συνοικών τηι ξένηι τηι άλούσηι ὀφειλέτω χιλίας δραχμάς (see Carey (1992) 92 on the authenticity of this document).

⁶⁹³ McClure (2001) 10; see Introduction, pp.10-11.

⁶⁹⁴ Eur. Pha. 218: παρθένοις, 217-28: πόσις...ἡγούμενος, Tro. 307: δεῦρο Κασσάνδρα, 332: χόρευε, μάτερ, 338-39: καλλίπεπλοι Φρυγών / κόραι, ΙΑ 1041-45: Πιερίδες. ⁶⁹⁵ Finnegan (1995) 3.

speech are the prerogatives of men.⁶⁹⁶ In Birds, a number of the avians who make up the Chorus are linguistically gendered as female, but as Dunbar remarks, there is a complete lack of reference in the play to the male-female division of the Chorus. ⁶⁹⁷ It is thus likely that this aspect of gendered speech and gendered activity is not given particular emphasis.

In Lysistrata, women play a much greater role in the action. The antiphonal, 'epithalamial' chorus takes place between the old men and old women – a comic parody of the πάρθενοι and ὑμάλικ[ας imagined by Sappho. 698 Like the old men who respond to Antigone's lament in Sophocles, both parties are closer to death than to new life. Once Diallagê has been presented and the spouses reunited, it is the Athenian and Spartan delegates who respond to each other's songs, 699 with the now-united Chorus and possibly Lysistrata interjecting⁷⁰⁰ – in this way, the mixed-sex amoebaean associated with the epithalamium comes to represent not only the whole of society, but also the whole of Greece.

Unlike the often ambiguous performative contexts of Euripides' wedding songs, the processional exodoi are easily attributable to a stage of the wedding ceremony. The mixed chorus in Lysistrata is less readily assigned. The agôn between male and female perspectives would seem to associate it with the 'separational' stage, often characterised by lament and protest. This is in keeping with the dramatic action, in which the young wives are separated from their husbands by the sex-strike. The united chorus corresponds to 'reintegration', when husbands and wives are reconciled: ἀνὴρ δὲ παρὰ γυναῖκα καὶ γυνὴ στήτω

⁶⁹⁶ Hom. II. 6.492-93, Od. 1.358-59. Note that in the hymenaios in II. 18.491-96, it is κοῦροι who perform, while the γυναίκες remain in their doorways (Introduction, p.11). Cf. however Od. 23.142-49 (p.1), in which both men and women participate in the song and dance to mask Odysseus' slaughter of the suitors. ⁶⁹⁷ Dunbar (1995) 242-43.

 $^{^{698}}$ Sappho fr. 30.2, 7 V, cf. however the γύναικες προγενέστεραι and πάντες δ' ἄνδρες of fr. 44.31-32 V.
699 Ar. Lys. 1247-1320/21.

⁷⁰⁰ Henderson (1987 & 2000) attributes her verses 1273-90 to the Athenian envoy in contravention of the MSS; Sommerstein (1990) keeps Lysistrata as the speaker. ⁷⁰¹ Ar. Lys. 194-236.

 π αρ' ἄνδρα (1275-76). This song is 'epithalamial' rather than an epithalamium: it evokes the wedding song for dramatic purposes. As in many Euripidean hymenaioi, normative marital relations have been corrupted by war, and this song, like the tragic hymenaios, may indicate this fact. The women are already married, so the reconciliation of the two choruses prefigures remarriage – it is thus a more ambiguous song than the earlier exodoi. These are processional hymenaioi, songs which accompanied the transfer of the bride from her natal to her marital home.

There are interesting variations on the traditional format. While Basileia is indeed being transferred from the house of her kurios (via Cloudcuckootown) to her new home and the consummation of her marriage ἐπὶ δάπεδον Διὸς καὶ λέχος γαμήλιον (1757), Opôra has already arrived at the house of her bridegroom, been molested verbally by his slave, and now progresses away from this house to the telos of marriage in the countryside during the song:

δεῦρ' ά γύναι εἰς ἀγρόν, χὤπως μετ' ἐμοῦ καλὴ καλῶς κατακείσει.⁷⁰⁶

Olson notes the unusual sequence of action here:

_

⁷⁰² Cf. Introduction, pp.15-25.

⁷⁰³ See Introduction, p.10.

⁷⁰⁴ See Ch.4, pp.184, 206.

⁷⁰⁵ There may be a relationship here with the hymenaioi in Eur. Hel. 1435 (staged the previous year in 412BC), which Theoclymenus orders for himself and Helen, but which prefigure Helen's reunion with Menelaus. Another parallel occurs in Alcestis (produced in 438BC) in which Admetus' antiphonal lament with the Chorus (esp. vv.903-1005, contrasting the hero's marriage and marriage-songs to his current grief), prefigures Heracles' ekdosis of the 'bride', the resurrected Alcestis, at 1024.

⁷⁰⁶ Ar. Pax 1331-33. Trygaeus' house in the country, however, is evidently envisioned as 'home': οἴκαδ' εἰς τὰ χωρία (562), as well as being the abode prescribed by Peace (707-8).

The bride was properly introduced to the bridegroom's house only via an elaborate ceremony...at the end of the procession that followed the feast...but Harvest has nowhere else to go. 707

While the displacement of this introduction from the end to the beginning of the wedding may be due to the necessities of staging, it nonetheless seems significant. Structurally, the wedding procession is a rite of limen, bridging the gap between the bride's separation from her old life and rebirth into her new, as symbolised by her acceptance into her husband's home and the consummation therein. To hold this procession after the eisagôgia seems somehow to subvert a fundamental rite of passage. It also removes the sexual aspect of the wedding from the domestic context of the house and resituates it in the fields, and while the 'cultivation' of both Opôra's sexuality and the countryside are constantly emphasised in the song (τί δράσομεν αὐτήν...τρυγήσομεν αὐτήν, 1340-43), there is nonetheless an undertone of removal from civilisation into the wilderness. To Opôra's entry into the house lacks the dignity of a wedding: she and Theôria are presumably still nude, and their appearance is marked by the slave's banter: he accuses the gods of pimping their own, suggests that the bride may find fellatio more to her taste than mortal food, and fondles her companion. Considering the feasting and revelling yet to come, the goddesses appear more like prostitutes arriving early for a symposium or komos.

 $^{^{707}}$ Olson (1998) 233-34 (my italics), cf. also Oakley and Sinos (1994) 34-37 for depictions of these rituals on Attic vases.

⁷⁰⁸ See Introduction, p.33-35.

⁷⁰⁹ Cf. Ch.4, p.192.

⁷¹⁰ See Stone (1991) 148 on vv.887-92: the language in Theôria's introduction to the Boule suggests, like Diallagê, that her pubic area was visible.

Ar. Pax 849, 855, 879-80. Ribald jocularity and euphemism were an established topos of the epithalamium and may have been used for the bride (γλυκύμαλον ἐρεύθεται, Sappho fr. 105a.1 V; see p.74, n.234), members of the bridal party (such as the thurôros of fr. 110 V) and bridegroom (μεγάλω, fr. 111.7 V). This becomes more pronounced in the Latin fescennina iocatio (Cat. 61.119-23), but the use of obscenity in this

Nevertheless, the processions are both conducted with due ceremony. The bride is brought from the house (or, in the case of Basileia, from Heaven), ⁷¹² and torches are raised. ⁷¹³ Though not carried by the couple's mothers, as in other representations, 714 they nonetheless seem to sanctify the action, which is surrounded by communal rejoicing (συγχαίρειν, Pax 1319). A possible parody of a Euripidean wedding song exists here, in the opening of the hymenaios of Birds: ἄναγε δίεχε πάραγε πάρεχε (1720). This is remarkably similar to the opening of Cassandra's monody from Troades, and may be a deliberate allusion:

ἄνεχε, πάρεχε, φῶς φέρε· σέβω φλέγω – 'ιδοὺ 'ιδού –
715

Whether an Aristophanic spoof of the tragedian, a deliberate appropriation of the line for a different purpose, or a coincidental allusion through the use of an actual ritual call. 716 the effect on an experienced Athenian ear, which might recently have sampled Troades, must have been jarring. It momentarily brings the aftermath of war into the picture of nuptial rejoicing, and in that moment we may question the nature of that joy as we questioned Cassandra's. How appropriate is the happiness portrayed on stage, and how blessed is the king to have taken his bride from the losing side in war? Peisetaerus' behaviour has become

passage is more explicit than is extant in the Greek epithalamic tradition. Henderson (1975) 183 notes the prevalence of fellatio as a motif as 'an erotic and a scurrilous topos throughout classical literature, particularly in epigram and in iambic poetry' but not epithalamium. Since some of this obscenity is directed towards Opôra, Taaffe (1993) 39 is wrong to state that 'Eirene and Opora provide happy procreation; while sex does return, neither of them receives sexual abuse'.

712 Ar. Pax 1318, Av. 1685-87, 1706-14.

713 Ar. Pax 1319, Av. 1720.

⁷¹⁴ Eur. Med. 1024-27, Tro. 316-24, Phoen. 344-46. This is possibly another elision of traditionally female roles in the wedding ceremony, particularly if a general lack of female presence in these plays cannot be supported in the light of the bird-chorus. Yet even considering this Chorus, the plays are undeniably male-dominated.

⁷¹⁵ Eur. Tro. 308-9, cf. Robert (1921) 306-8, Dunbar (1995) 754: Schol. Ar. Av. 1720.9-10 cites the Euripidean line as being ridiculed here; cf. Ch.4, p.172. Rau (1967) 12 defines such quotation as being a particular paratragic device: 'Eine konkreten Tragikerstelle, trimetrisch oder lyrisch, wird zitiert oder variiert, um einem komischen Sachverhalt punktuell Prägnanz, Pathos oder Feierlichkeit zu verleihen'. Robert (1921) 308, Rau (1967) 13, Dunbar (1995) 753.

increasingly hubristic since the founding of Cloudcuckooland. Does he risk becoming another Agamemnon, one endowed with divine powers and Zeus' thunderbolt rather than a king's sceptre? Does he risk a similar downfall?

Like Peace, the play gives no hint of such apprehension; indeed, the celebratory and optimistic tone of both has been commented on by critics. The Unlike Euripides, whose hymenaioi raise our expectations only to thwart them with death, the exodic nature of Aristophanes' wedding songs gives a note of the finality to the proceedings, a stamp of 'happy ever after' (in the same way that many fairytales and modern comedies end with the marriage of the hero and heroine). The last thing we see is rejoicing, and this rejoicing, not its intertextual shadows, will most likely shape our overall impression of the drama. Moreover, these songs effect a complete reversal from the situations at the beginning of the plays: whereas Trygaeus suffered the effects of war and fed a beetle dung-cakes, he now enjoys the benefits of peace and shares wedding-cakes with his friends: $\pi\lambda\alpha\kappa\omega\nu\tau\alpha\zeta$ $\xi\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ (1367). Peisetaerus began as a fairly low-life character – fleeing Athens to escape jury service and debts, he and his companion Euelpides long for a place free from cares and the social obligations of city life. He ends the play as king of the birds, a god, and the master (through Basileia) of all the pragmata he sought to avoid at home:

...την εύβουλίαν,

την εύνομίαν, την σωφροσύνην, τὰ νεώρια,

τὴν λοιδορίαν, τὸν κωλακρέτην, τὰ τριώβολα.719

⁷¹⁷ Sommerstein (1985) xvi. on Peace, (1987) 5 on Birds, also Dunbar (1995) 5 and Olson (1998) xlii. ⁷¹⁸ Ar Av 109-42

⁷¹⁹ Ar. Pax 1539-41, cf. Sommerstein (1987) 311.

This irony cannot dampen the representation of triumph in the exodos, and this sense of triumph gives the epithalamium somewhat of the character of a victory-ode.⁷²⁰ This may seem odd, as this is not a genre generally associated with the wedding song (more often compared to the funeral lament), yet it is not incongruous. Both forms are essentially encomial and their function is to commemorate the event and praise the participants.⁷²¹ In Aristophanes, the triumph is that of a victorious general, but offers a similar elucidation of marriage as an episode in the ongoing battle of the sexes, in which the man must come out, as it were, on top. The female 'opponent' is subdued, ⁷²² and what power she has (i.e., that of fertility) is assumed by her victor/husband for himself, his oikos, and his community.

Lysistrata's gift of Diallagê is presented in similar terms. Lacking definitive victory over each other, the Athenians and Spartans instead plan to divide and conquer the symbolic female. Diallagê is cast as the bountiful earth whose agricultural subdual offers a substitute for victory – the sexuality of peace, presented as the spoils of war. The reversal of fortune allowed by this gesture is pronounced: from the sexual withdrawal and gunaikokratia of the beginning of the play comes reunion and male control over sexuality and political institutions. More subtly, the empty beds lamented by the women of Greece at the outset of their venture are now filled, and normal marital life can resume. In these plays, the benefits of female sexuality are portrayed as that which the abstractions represent: peace and plenty, and sovereignty, but the principle of domination is the same.

As discussed in the Introduction, this 'victorious' point of view generally appears in texts featuring a male speaker: Peace, the iuvenes of Catullus 62, and Menander Rhetor. The lament, by contrast, is only expressed by the female speaking voice, of Sappho's bride,

_

⁷²⁰ Dunbar (1995) 769, Calame (2004) 176-81. The victory thus referred to can be either that of Peisetaerus, or the anticipated victory of the poet in the Dionysiac contest.

⁷²¹ For the bridegroom praised in similar terms in later literature, see Men. Rhet. 406.14-20; Ch.8, p.311.

⁷²² Cf. p.22 n.72.

⁷²³ Ar. Lys. 1162-72.

⁷²⁴ Note the victorious interjection at 1291-94.

especially frr. 107 and 114 V, the Danaids, the Spartan maidens of Theocritus, and the virgines of Catullus 62, regardless of the gender of the text's author. 725 We have seen that the epithalamium contains some gendered conventions of speech, representing, at least for rhetorical purposes, conceptions of the institution vastly differing between the sexes. 726

Such difference of opinion is readily apparent in the mixed chorus of Lysistrata. In its parody of epithalamial agônes, the traditional ethos of the song is reversed. Rather than juxtaposing women's complaints with men's praise of the current event (i.e., marriage), here the men protest against the situation – not marriage, but a suspension of it and the political reversal that ensues – while the women extol its benefits:

Χ.γε. ...δεινά γάρ τοι τάσδε γ' ήδε τούς πολίτας νουθετείν, καὶ λαλείν γυναίκας ούσας ἀσπίδος χαλκής πέρι, καὶ διαλλάττειν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἀνδράσιν Λακωνικοῖς, οίσι πιστὸν ούδὲν εί μή περ λύκω κεχηνότι...

Χ.γυ. ...άρα προύφείλω τι χρηστόν τῆ πόλει παραινέσαι; Εί δ' ἐγὼ γυνὴ πέφυκα, τοῦτο μὴ φθονεῖτε μοι, **ἡν ἀμείνω γ' εἰσενέγκω** τῶν παρόντων πραγμάτων...⁷²⁷

The situation threatens the former way of life of the men as marriage may do to young girls: καὶ μάλιστ' ὀσφραίνομαι τῆς Ιππίου τυραννίδος (619); ⁷²⁸ but, like Catullus' chorus

⁷²⁵ There are isolated variations, as for example the wedding song sung by the Trojans for Helen, which became a lament (Aesch Ag. 699-715). This, however, is an unusual example: the bridegroom's kin (gambroisi, 708) sang the song at her marriage, but it is Troy herself who learns to lament this hymenaios (709-11).

726 See Introduction, pp.15-25.

727 Ar. Lys. 626-50.

of iuvenes, the women argue that it will be better for the community in the long term: κατάρχομεν τῆ πόλει χρησίμων (639). Finally, the contest in which each attempts to outdo, and threatens, the other, is concluded with the men admitting the women's victory and the two sides becoming reconciled. Positive and negative elements find a middle ground, establish a discourse, and go forward into the future with a mutual affection (καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν οὐκέτι / οὕτε δράσω φλαῦρον οὐδὲν οὕθ' ὑφ' ὑμῶν πείσομαι, 1040-41).

In Peace, a male chorus and male speaker respond to each other's songs; and in Birds, a mixed chorus and male protagonist. The male-dominated voice of these plays presents a unilaterally positive view of marriage, with none of the hesitation that may mark female speech on the subject. For the bridegroom and his male citizen comrades, the transition to marriage is far less abrupt than that of the bride, 729 and is taken in their stride by the participants of the wedding song. Even so, the dialogues are vastly different in tone and content. The text of Peace is problematic between vv. 1331-67, and it is impossible to reconstruct the exact form of the song. Nonetheless, the interaction between the vinedresser and a now-farmer Chorus surrounding the goddess of harvest gives the song an agrarian quality, 731 made more apparent by the association of human sexuality and fertility with crop cultivation: κριθάς τε ποεῖν ἡμᾶς πολλὰς...τάς τε γυναῖκας τίκτειν ἡμῖν (1324-27), τρυγήσομεν αὐτὴν (1342, 43), συγκολογοῦντες (1356), τοῦ μὲν

7.

⁷²⁸ See also Ar. Lys. 672-81, where the women's incursion is presented in terms of (female) barbarian incursion. ⁷²⁹ Cf. however Eur. Pha. 158-59; Ch.4, pp.154-56.

⁷³⁰ Olson (1998) 315.

The identity of the Chorus is, to quote Sommerstein (1985) xviii, 'oddly fluid'. They begin as Panhellenes but speak as if Athenian (349-57). During the 'hauling scene' they are divided into political units (Boeotians, Argives, Laconians, Megarians, Athenians), yet by 508 only the peasants remain and it is these who reap the benefits of peace and give the hymenaios the character of rural folksong. This casts a new light on the Panhellenic nature of the peace to be established: Treu (2007) 259 states that the function of the parodos of Peace is to 'deliver a single message: that the help of every single citizen (all of Greece) is required if the war is to end'. The participation of the whole community in this venture is akin to the participation of all in the wedding procession, symbolising the couple's integration into that community. Yet, if all citizens do not participate, either in the retrieval of Peace (γεωργοὶ τούργον εξέλκουσι κάλλος οὐδείς, 511) or in the wedding song which arises as a result, what does it say about that community?

μέγα καὶ παχύ, / τῆς δ' ἡδὺ τὸ σῦκον (1359-60). It would seem that despite the extra-domestic context of the marriage, the wedding song still expresses its 'positive' elements in terms of the civilisation of the wild female/cultivation of the land. 733 The tone is folksy, 'volkstümlich', 734 and made more so by ribald joking familiar from traditional epithalamia (Sappho frr. 110, 111 V).

The song concluding Birds, by contrast, is conducted in a much higher register, 'as befits the marriage of the new Zeus and the new Hera'. 735 It is presented not only in terms of victory, but also as a cultic hymn, with praise of the new god (e.g. παμφαής ἀστήρ, 1709-10), the blessings he confers (μακαριστὸν σὸ γάμον τῆδε πόλει, 1725), and his attributes (ἔχων γυναικὸς κάλλος, 1713, πάλλων κεραυνόν, 1716), and containing a narrative ($\pi o \tau$ ', 1731): a 'meta-epithalamium' that both parallels and comments on the wedding currently being performed.⁷³⁶ It is 'hieratisch', ⁷³⁷ and its description of the hieros gamos of Zeus and Hera suggests that this too is a sacred marriage of god-king and goddess to secure Peisetaerus' divine sovereignty. These more elevated epithalamia are also traditional. 738 Comparisons to gods or heroes have an encomial function, similar to representations of gods at otherwise mortal marriages on Athenian vases – the marriage is identified as extraordinary and the participants elevated through their connection with the

⁷³² Cf. p.22 n.72.

⁷³³ Henderson (1975) 8 sees this language as distinct from the obscenity that characterises the 'cultivation' of Diallagê (p.166): such metaphors as are used in the hymenaios of Peace have a long and 'grand' literary pedigree. ⁷³⁴ Maas (1914) 6.

⁷³⁵ Sommerstein (1987) 309, cf. Dunbar (1995) 750.

⁷³⁶ The narrative wedding songs of Sappho may function in this way; cf. also the songs of the Danaids about Io's union with Zeus in Aesch Supp. (Ch.2, pp.89-91), and Eur. IA 1036-97 (Ch.4, p.206).

⁷³⁸ Cf. Sappho fr. 44 V, Eur. IA 1036-79, Dunbar (1995) 757 on Telesilla's lost epithalamium for Zeus and Hera.

divine.⁷³⁹ When a hero marries a goddess, the 'sacred' aspect of the marriage is even more pronounced.

A Sacred Marriage?

All three plays contain elements of the hieros gamos motif: the marriage of the highest gods, or of the hero and the goddess, in order to guarantee sovereignty or the fertility of the land. Avagianou identifies such a marriage as dependent upon a wedding ceremony, the immortal nature of both partners, and a permanent marital union. If these categories are not artificially imposed, the marriage of Peisetaerus and Basileia, modelled on the union of Zeus and Hera, falls within this model and the other two operate on its margins. The Sacred Marriage is, strictly speaking, an Athenian festival of Zeus and Hera, and Avagianou is less convinced by unions of gods and heroes, and vegetation, agricultural, and seasonal interpretations. The hieros gamos represents a rite of passage, based on the ritual patterns of human marriage, and through which Zeus and Hera receive their titles of Teleios and Teleia. She finds no evidence that the Attic Sacred Marriage was a rite of agricultural fertility, the marriage of goddess and hero looks back to an earlier age, when gods and mortals mixed freely – does Aristophanes use this motif in his epithalamia to blur the boundary between past and present, mortal and immortal; and if so, why?

Oakley & Sinos (1994) 29, on London B 174 (Attic black-figure amphora) and Oxford 1965.119 (Attic black-figure hydria in the style of the Lysippides Painter); Ch.2, p.86; Ch.4, p.153.

⁷⁴⁰ Avagianou (1991) 201.

⁷⁴¹ Hesych. *t* 322, Photius *t* 103, Etym. Mag. 468.56, cf. Alc. (Com.) Hieros Gamos, Men. Meth. fr. 2.2, cf. Theoc. 17.131.

⁷⁴² Avagianou (1991) 201.

⁷⁴³ Avagianou (1991) 32.

⁷⁴⁴ Avagianou (1991) 33.

The motif is most obvious in Birds. The marriage of Peisetaerus and Basileia is explicitly modelled on that of Zeus and Hera. This is in keeping with the cosmogonic themes that run throughout the play, ⁷⁴⁵ and the presentation of Peisetaerus as the new King of the Gods once he has overcome the Olympians. The parallels are noted in the exodos:

"Ηρα ποτ' 'Ολυμπία

τὸν ἠλιβάτων θρόνων

άρχοντα θεοίς μέγαν

Μοίραι συνεκοίμισαν

εν τοιώδε υμεναίω.⁷⁴⁶

Indeed, the probability that Basileia functions as a substitute for Hera, making her a fitting bride for the new Zeus, has been explored by Holzhausen. Marriage to her, as in Zeus' marriage to 'Olympian' Hera, guarantees Peisetaerus' sovereignty and universal power, as Prometheus makes clear:

Πε. τίς ἐστιν ἡ Βασίλεια;

Πρ. καλλίστη κόρη,

ήπερ ταμιεύει τὸν κεραυνὸν τοῦ Διὸς

καὶ τάλλα άπαξάπαντα...

Πε. ἄπαντα γ' άρ' αὐτῷ ταμιεύει;

⁷⁴⁵ Dunbar (1995) 7-8.

⁷⁴⁶ Ar. Av. 1731-35.

⁷⁴⁷ Holzhausen (2002) 42, who notes that the name Basileia is used as an epithet for other goddesses, notably Hera: Phoronis fr. 4.1, H.H.Hera 1-2, Pind. N. 1.39.

Πρ. φήμ' ἐγώ.

ήν γ' ἢν σὸ παρ' ἐκείνου παραλάβης, πάντ' ἔχεις.⁷⁴⁸

The birds appear to view this marriage as instituting a kind of Golden or Blessed Age,⁷⁴⁹ in which the pre-Olympian rule of the Bird Gods, expounded earlier in the play (1322-27), is reestablished. As we will see, the Golden Age becomes a notable motif in the Aristophanic Sacred Marriage.

The model of Zeus and Hera is also apparent in the wedding song of Peace. The return of Peace to earth, guaranteed by Opôra's marriage to Trygaeus the vinedresser, will bring about an abundance of agricultural and human fertility:

κάπευξαμένους τοῖσι θεοῖσιν διδόναι πλοῦτον τοῖς Ελλησιν κριθάς τε ποεῖν ἡμᾶς πολλὰς πάντας ὁμοίως σίνον τε πολὺν σῦκά τε τρώγειν,

This fertility recalls the result of the gods' mating on Mount Ida in the Iliad.⁷⁵¹ Other parallels, rejected by Avagianou's classification of 'Sacred Marriage' but equally applicable to this union, have been noted. Bowie explored the marriage of the god Dionysus and the

-

⁷⁴⁸ Ar Av 1536 13

 $^{^{749}}$ Ar. Av. 1725-28: $\dot{\omega}$ μακαριστὸν σὰ γάμον τῆδε πόλει γήμας. / μεγάλαι μεγάλαι κατέχουσι τύχαι / γένος ὀρνίθων / διὰ τόνδε τὸν ἄνδρ'.

⁷⁵⁰ Ar. Pax 1322-27.

⁷⁵¹ Hom. II. 346-49.

Basilinna at the Athenian Anthesteria as a possible ritual meaning behind the marriage of Trygaeus and Opôra, 752 although here the human and divine roles are reversed. The Basilinna may also provide a parallel for Basileia, further linking the two plays. 753 The agrarian marriage of Demeter and the hero Iasion provides a more striking model. They unite in a 'thrice-furrowed field' to produce the god Ploutos (Wealth). 754 This deity makes those to whom he comes rich, and bestows great olbos upon them. 755 Olbos, 'wealth' or 'blessing', is part of the traditional language of makarismos and so appropriate to any wedding-hymn (e.g. Sappho fr. 112.1 V). The marriage in Peace is also predicted to bring ploutos and other agatha to the Greeks, so Aristophanes may also have had this Hesiodic parallel in mind. Moreover, Peace provides us with the most striking example of a Golden Age ideology: the determination τάγαθὰ πάνθ' ὁσ' ἀπωλέσαμεν / συλλέξασθαι πάλιν ἐξ ἀρχῆς and ληξαι τ' αἴθωνα σίδηρον may well reflect the desire of the protagonist to turn his back on Hesiod's genos sidêreon, 756 and achieve a more peaceful and blessed – perhaps more primitive – state in the country, far away from the sins that render this race damned from birth.⁷⁵⁷

The model becomes more problematic in Lysistrata, for there is no wedding. Instead, Diallagê's body, representing the Greek landscape, is divided up by the Athenians and Spartans, and the peace this prompts allows the mortal women to end their sex-strike and return to their husbands. There exist mythical parallels for the 'marriage' to the land or earth,

⁷⁵² Bowie (1993) 147, on Apollodorus Against Neaira 75.

Anderson & Dix (2007) 323-24: 'from the same stem come Basile and Basilinna, both of which have religious and cultic associations...with Basile, we have a goddess venerated together with ancestral heroes; with the Basilinna, we have a mortal woman "married" to a god. These instances provide a point of reference for the spectators as they contemplate the fictive marriage of the mortal Peisetairos with the goddess Basileia'. Hes. Th. 969-71.

⁷⁵⁵ Hes. Th. 971.

⁷⁵⁶ Ar. Pax 1328-30, cf. Hes. Op. 176. Sommerstein (1985) 195 notes that the phrase is Homeric (e.g. 485) – it may thus refer to the Heroic Age which precedes the Iron Age. Even so, the decision to abandon αἴθωνα σίδηρον signifies rejection of 'Homeric' martial values in favour of simpler, more peaceable pastimes.

the most notable being that of Earth and Heaven in Aeschylus fr. 44 R. 758 The resultant fertility of this marriage is parallel to that of Zeus and Hera, or Demeter and Iasion. Diallagê's mate, however, is neither god nor hero, but everyday Greek males in all their lustful glory. Rather than marriage, the assignment of her bodily parts is presented in baldly sexual (and occasionally violent) language: τῆς σάθης ἄγε (1119), δηοῦτε (1146), πρωκτὸς (1148), κύσθον (1158), κινήσομεν (1166), βινεῖν (1180). This kind of violence can form part of a Sacred Marriage, as in the wedding song for Opôra and Trygaeus (1340-43), but that takes place within the context and socially sanctioned tradition of ribald joking in the epithalamium, and omits the primary obscenities used here. 759

In Lysistrata, the context is the reaffirmation of human marriage. Diallagê, being in this play to all intents and purposes human, takes on the publicly sexual role common to the hetaira, allowing it to be displaced from the wives so that they can become respectable brides again. The epithalamial elements in the mixed choral ode do not prefigure her union, but that of the Athenian wives, who are retaken in marriage during this scene: κάπειτα τὴν αὑτοῦ γυναίχ' ὑμῶν λαβών ἄπεισ' ἕκαστος (1186-87). She does not merely reconcile individual wife and husband – she is Reconciliation personified, so all must have a share in her bounty. Though a return to pre-war peace and prosperity is envisioned (εὖπορος, 1262), it does not seem to be quite a Golden Age. Human endeavour is stressed, for in the future the Greeks must 'take care never to make the same mistake again', implying that the transgressive nature of the genos sidêreon is ever-present, and must be kept at bay (tò λοιπὸν αύθις μη ζαμαρτάνειν έτι, 1278).

How are we to read this, particularly against the marriages of the former two personifications? Does Diallagê provide a stark contrast against which the previous marriages

 ⁷⁵⁸ See Ch.2, p.91.
 759 See Introduction, p.23; p.216.

appear even more sacred? Or is it part of a progressive degradation that causes us to question Aristophanes' 'sacred' epithalamia? I suggest that a clue to understanding Diallagê's role lies in her ultimate function in the text. She is given by Lysistrata to establish peace, but ultimately to (re)establish mortal marriage in its normative form. In this she is like Pandora, to whom Opôra and Basileia also bear marked similarities. This association bears further investigation, for union with a Pandora-figure suggests not a blessed Sacred Marriage, but man's degeneration from a former state of blessedness: a false makarismos.

If Diallagê is the personified land, then Pandora is a most appropriate model to apply to her, for Pandora is also a chthonic goddess often associated with Gaia. The land, one interpretation of the name Pandora, the 'giver of all', is an epithet of Earth used in Aristophanes. This causes us to question seriously the applicability of a Golden Age ideal in this case, because Pandora in Hesiod's Works and Days provides an alternative ending to this age of bliss and ease. The invention of woman means that man must work in order to support a wife and to ward off the evils of Pandora's jar. Toil and labour are the characteristics of a post-Golden Age universe, in which men must actively reap the benefits of natural and human fertility. The union with Diallagê symbolises the subordination of the land, as she also stands in for the subordination and re-domestication of the Greek wives. Agricultural husbandry, the work of peace-time, is sexualised with respect to Diallagê:

Πρ.α. ήδη γεωργείν γυμνός ἀποδύς βούλομαι.

Πρ.λ. ἐγών δὲ κοπραγωγῆν γα †πρῶτα†, ναὶ τὼ σιώ.⁷⁶³

_

⁷⁶⁰ West (1978) 164, on Hippon. 104.48, Ar. Av. 971 (with sch.) Philoch. F 10, Diod. 3.57.2, Philo de opif. mundi 133, de aetern. mundi 63, Philostr. VA 6.39, Orph. Arg. 974-75; cf. Hom. epigr. 7.1. She may also be the same figure as Anêsidora, another title of Ge or Demeter.

⁷⁶¹ LSJ s.v. Πανδώρα, cf. Ar. Av. 971.

⁷⁶² Hes. Op. 90-92.

⁷⁶³ Ar. Lys. 1173-74.

The sexualisation of agriculture is also apparent in the presentation of Opôra. In the betrothal scene in of Peace, Trygaeus is ξυνοικών with Opôra and must make βότρυς with her (708). The wedding chorus enact 'gathering the vintage' of the bride (1342-43), and make jokes on the size and sweetness of the couple's 'figs' (1359-60). In addition, Olson noted the prevalence in Peace of the motif of the anodos of the earth-goddess, ⁷⁶⁴ a story-pattern associated with Pandora. In Hesiod she is sent from the gods, but in fifth-century vase painting she arises from the earth, as does Peace with her attendants Opôra and Theôria here. Olson speculates that some of these pots may relate to a lost satyr-play by Sophocles, Pandora or The Hammerers, which may well have influenced Peace, and argues that:

It thus seems a reasonable conclusion that a story-pattern in which a god or goddess emerged from the earth or underworld (sometimes represented specifically by a cave) under the escort of Hermes in order to restore fertility to the world was relatively widespread in Greece in the classical period and would have been recognised by Aristophanes' audience when he put it to use in Peace. 765

As in the case of Diallagê, the fertility resulting from Opôra's marriage is the result of labour rather than spontaneous bounty. The ζείδωρος ἄρουρα αὐτομάτη will not καρπὸν [φέρειν] πολλόν τε καὶ ἄφθονον, ⁷⁶⁶ as in Hesiod's Age of Gold, but will be fruitful as a result of the Greeks' abandonment of war in favour of agriculture. Yet agriculture is glorified throughout the play and in no wise seen as a bad thing: farming is positive, and farmers represented as saviours of Hellenic civilisation (508). This positive valuation is something to which I will return in the conclusion to this chapter. Taaffe also notes a parallel between

⁷⁶⁴ Olson (1998) xxxii. In the H.H.Dem. 443-46 Persephone's anodos prompts a return of natural fertility, but even these verses presuppose the existence of crop cultivation rather than spontaneous bounty.

⁷⁶⁵ Olson (1998) xxxvii-xxxviii.

⁷⁶⁶ Hes Op. 117-18.

Peace and Pandora in their connection with Hermes, and Trygaeus' invocation in v.456: Ερμῆ Χάρισιν Ὠραισιν ὨΑφροδίτην Πόθω, recalls the divinities associated with the first woman: ὨΑφροδίτην...Ερμείην...Χαρίτες...Ωραι (Hes. Op. 65-75). Given Hermes' role in the marriage of Trygaeus and Opôra, this association should be extended to include Peace's attendant goddess.

The association between Basileia and Pandora has been most noted by critics. 767 Like Pandora, she is associated with Prometheus, and is given to the protagonist as a result of his intervention (1534-36).⁷⁶⁸ Like Pandora in Hesiod, Basileia is represented as a beautiful bride: καλλίστη κόρη, (1722).⁷⁶⁹ If Pandora's bridal kosmos receives more attention in both Theogony and Works and Days, then Basileia gets instead an extended celebration of her wedding. 770 Pandora's name, the 'giver of all' or 'all endowed', is an accurate description of Basileia's function as the ward of both Zeus and Peisetaerus. Previously, Prometheus had listed the things of which Basileia was the custodian; the gifts (dôra) that she would bring to her marriage. This goddess is endowed with everything, and may bestow everything.⁷⁷¹ However, this may not necessarily be a good thing: for along with good counsel, good laws, and prudence, she also brings the dockyards, abuse, financial officials, and the three-obol juryman's pay – all the evils, in short, of a supposedly 'civilised', post-Golden Age society. Her dowry is a Pandora's Box that condemns those who receive it to the very life they fled Athens to escape.⁷⁷² Whatever makarismos the birds may imagine for themselves and their city, one must ask how good things really will be under the new regime? Even if the birds will live a νυμφίων βίον (161) in Cloudcuckooland, life will not change considerably for

_

⁷⁶⁷ Taaffe (1993) 44-45.

⁷⁶⁸ Cf. Hes. Op. 85-89, Holzhausen (2002) 36.

⁷⁶⁹ Cf. Hes. Th. 572, Op. 71: παρθένω αἰδοιη ἴκελον.

⁷⁷⁰ The mute nudes Opôra and Diallagê are stripped of the bridal kosmos which in Hesiod, makes Pandora such a seductive lure – perhaps the lack of description of Basileia's numphokomos functions in the same way.

⁷⁷¹ Cf. Holzhausen (2002) 38.

Pandora's box also contains both good and bad: μέγα πῶμ' (94) as well as Ἐλπὶς (96).

ordinary men. Agriculture is still the basic fact of mortal man's existence, and mankind will undertake economic ventures and trade at sea (590-97) – things lamented by Hesiod as unfortunate and dangerous necessities of the human condition (Op. 618-34).

The 'human condition' is precisely the result of these marriages. These unions celebrate a change of regime, and it is a regime in which men, and not the gods, figure prominently. Even in Birds, Peisetaerus becomes a bird and a god, but his behaviour shows him to be still the same unwholesome Athenian peasant underneath his feathers and thunderbolt. Returning briefly to Pandora, she is the barbed gift of the gods to man, the first bride and aition of human marriage. She shares this aspect of 'gift' with her personified compatriots Opôra and Basileia, although this is more problematic in the case of Diallagê, who is bestowed by Lysistrata (the human, rather than divine, director of the action). Moreover, the gift of woman is a punishment for the hubris of men (in which category I include Hesiod's Prometheus, who was acting on behalf of men by stealing fire from Zeus). Punishment is necessitated in Peace by mankind's violence against the goddess Peace (659) and in Birds by the birds' violence against the Olympians (e.g. 1189-90). In Lysistrata, the violence consists of a prolonged internecine war which does further violence against traditional bonds of marital philia (507-8). Rather than a manifestation of an earlier age of divine-human interaction, these Pandora-figures represent the separation of man from the truly divine, especially in Peace and Birds, in which the gods are even more physically distanced from the earth. 773 Are these personifications then a dolun aipun, 774 a 'sheer trick' held out to men who think they are getting a good deal but actually τέρπωνται κατά θυμόν, ξον κακον άμπαγαπώντες?⁷⁷⁵ Rather than the bestowers of all agatha, does the

 $^{^{773}}$ Ar. Pax 199: ὑπ' αὐτὸν ἀτεχνῶς τοὐρανοῦ τὸν κύτταρον (there is no suggestion that the gods will return even when Peace is established), Av. 1515-17: ἐξ οῦπερ ὑμεῖς ἀκίσατε τὸν ἀέρα. / θύει γὰρ οὐδεὶς οὐδὲν ἀνθρώπων ἔτι / θεοῖσιν.

⁷⁷⁴ Hes. Th. 589.

⁷⁷⁵ Hes. Op. 57-58.

wedding song betray these females as a καλὸν κακὸν ἀντ' ἀγαθοῖο, ⁷⁷⁶ overshadowing the happiness of the marriages with anticipation of toils yet to come? The representation of marriage and gender seems to be one of bountiful, male-dominated sexuality for which woman, represented by the mute personifications, is a passive and positive cipher. This representation, however, may be underscored by a Hesiodic misogyny, in which woman is a destructive punishment.⁷⁷⁷

The Triumph of Iron-Age Man

Thus far our 'Hesiodic' hieros gamos has yielded polyvalent meanings. The hymenaioi for Opôra and Basileia and the 'epithalamial' songs surrounding Diallagê promise great benefits to come of the union, as is usual not only for the Sacred Marriage motif but for epithalamia more generally (especially those in the male voice). Yet, by using Pandora as a model for these personifications, Aristophanes forces us to question the nature of the gifts bestowed, and thus the future promised in these songs. We are given a Golden Age vision populated by Iron-Age figures, which may just be comic farce, but may also recall contemporary, negative, Euripidean hymenaioi, from Phaethon and Troades. ⁷⁷⁸ The intrusion of negative meanings is not unusual in the wedding song, which might contain both positive and negative elements, or an utterance of lamentation or protest alongside expressions of joy. 779 In the 'real' world, these extremes would have to be reconciled in the marriage itself, and the song may provide the beginning of such mediation. ⁷⁸⁰ The comic fantasy is closer to this median than the tragic wedding song, as is appropriate to its more 'realistic' setting and triumphal ending.

⁷⁷⁶ Hes. Th. 585.

⁷⁷⁷ Hes. Th. 590-93.

⁷⁷⁸ See Ch.4, pp.137-38; p.217. 779 E.g. Cat. 62, Theoc. 18.

⁷⁸⁰ See esp. Ch.1, p.72.

And the endings are triumphal. No apprehension about the meaning of these female gifts is expressed – unless their positive representation is itself a parody. 781 I suggest that, in this case, we are meant to read the joyous epithalamium at face value. The Pandora-paradigm is ever-present, and Aristophanes' detailed use of Hesiodic themes and language cannot have failed to resonate with an audience. His development of this model, however, has fundamental implications for our understanding of his triumphal exodoi. Pandora comes with certain attributes: beauty like the goddesses, handicrafts, charis and pothos and cares, a shameless mind and deceitful nature, and rich adornment - most of which are attached in some way to our personifications. What is missing in Aristophanes is the final gift given to her by the gods:

ψεύδεά θ' αιμυλίους τε λόγους και ἐπὶκλοπον ήθος τεύξε Διὸς βουλησι βαρυκτύπου.782

Aristophanes' personifications are mutes. They possess Pandora's external gifts, but none of the 'lies' and 'whispering words' which deceive men as to the nature of these gifts. They are as they appear: symbols of female bounty without the dangers women represent. Woman's most dangerous attribute, her voice, is removed, preventing the expression of her potentially deviant nature and thus ensuring a more passive and benevolent sexuality, and the easy access of comic man to her 'gifts'.

Hesiod's kalon kakon has been rehabilitated, and her symbolism envisioned as more positive. If these personifications then come to represent the perspective of the female presented by these plays, it is no wonder that the female voice is elided in the comic wedding

 $^{^{781}}$ As e.g. Taaffe (1993) 44 suggests in the case of Birds. 782 Hes. Op. 78-79.

song. This in turn seems to remove an element of (admittedly romanticised) male-female reciprocity from the wedding song, stripping the marital transaction down to its most basic elements. Woman is purely a field to be cultivated, sovereignty to gain and exercise. Elements of lament and protest contained in the 'plucking' motif may arise despite the poet's best attempts at joyful triumph, ⁷⁸³ but such an interpretation relies on an audience's ability to read automatically particular motifs in a particular way.

Feminist scholars suggest that the 'stripping' of the bridal voice and 'stripping back' of hymeneal imagery (also the physical 'stripping' of Opôra and Diallagê), casts a shadow over the respect these figures can command as wives. As mentioned above, both goddesses are subjected to denigration and Opôra at least is treated almost as a prostitute.⁷⁸⁴ Sommerstein, however, explains this as another aspect of the comic fantasy:

Trygaeus is doing what no one could do in real life: he is taking a wife who also has (and being immortal, will always retain) the qualities one could wish for in a mistress.⁷⁸⁵

This hazy definition between wife and lover was often drawn by the Greeks, who frequently blurred the boundaries between wife and mistress/concubine for rhetorical purposes, and we saw in Troades that the experience of these sexual transactions may be startlingly similar from a female perspective.⁷⁸⁶ The modestly mute but (in two cases) immodestly nude

_

⁷⁸³ See Introduction, pp.15-17.

⁷⁶⁴ See p.216

Normal Source (1985) 174, although she is implicitly presented in the Olympus scene as an Athenian citizen-daughter to be betrothed with due ceremony, cf. Olson (1998) 212-13.

⁷⁸⁶ See Ch.4, p.175. Neaira easily crosses between the categories of wife, mistress, and concubine as outlined by her prosecutor (Apollodorus Against Neaira 122): τὰς μὲν γὰρ ἑταίρας ἡδονῆς ἕνεκ' ἔχομεν, τὰς δὲ παλλακὰς τῆς καθ' ἡμέραν θεραπείας τοῦ σώματος, τὰς δὲ γυναῖκας τοῦ παιδοποιεῖσθαι γνησίως καὶ τῶν ἕνδον φύλακα πιστὴν ἔχειν, and Euphiletus quotes the law that a man may punish with equal severity a moichos caught with his wife or pallakê (Lys. 1.31). Pelling (2000) 191 comments on the problems inherent in accepting such distinctions at face value: 'for most Athenian males a wife rather than a

presentation of these brides blurs that boundary even further and almost makes mockery of the 'sacred' aspect of the marriages. Basileia is more idealised, but is nonetheless a speechless, passive object of spectacle, and may be categorised as the same visuallyexploited, objectified 'type' as Opôra and others. The resulting representation seems negative:

Pax and Aves ambiguously portray mute, abstract characters both as hetairai (available objects for men's lust) and brides (symbols of legitimate marriage)...the conflation in imagery and verbal abuse betrays the fundamental notion that women, despite legal and social distinctions between wives and hetairai, share the basic capacity qua women to be controlled, used sexually, and possibly be abused by men at will. 787

Aristophanes' Golden Age marital fantasy proves therefore to be a highly contemporary, Athenian, rhetorical/political sexuality. His presentation of Diallagê may debase the hieros gamos model even further.

The reconciliation effected between Athens and Sparta in Lysistrata, symbolised by Diallagê, is analogous to, and indeed allows, the reconciliation between men and women after their conflict. (Re)Marriage, then, becomes symbolic of the re-establishment of correct social relations in a peaceful world. So far, so good. Yet this reconciliation – specifically the figure of Reconciliation, or Diallagê – has occasioned criticism which again undermines the utopian

hetaira would have been the main source of sexual pleasure, despite Apollodorus' categorisation...So perhaps we should take Apollodorus' listing as cumulative rather than exclusive: hetairai give only pleasure, concubines give everyday care too, but only wives add the possibility of legitimate children. That is better, but it still suggests firmer distinctions than can have operated in practice; the roles of a live-in hetaira and of a concubine must have been particularly similar (as with Pericles' renowned hetaira Aspasia, for instance); childbirth as well as 'daily care' could be envisaged as the role of concubines, not merely wives, and it may be (though it is hotly

disputed) that a concubine's children could even be citizens provided that she was of citizen birth herself. So even the cumulative approach does not quite work, as it imposes a more rigid scale of divisions than can have operated in reality'. ⁷⁸⁷ Zweig (1992) 77.

vision of the exodos. The mute nude abstraction is given to mankind with oaths and pledges that may recall an enguê, but a highly sexualised and bawdy one. Diallagê is encouraged to grope the men towards the treaty-table (τῆς σάθης ἄγε, 1119). They, in return, are presented with a vision of her as the body female/body politic ripe for the taking. As in Peace and Birds, male community relations are guaranteed by the symbolic 'rape' of the female personification. What is more, even the symbolic 'marriage' between man and his country posited by the former two plays is degraded here as the public licentiousness between Diallagê and the men firmly places her in the category of prostitute.

Lysistrata's injunction for her to do this ώς γυναῖκας εἰκος, 'like a woman/wife' (1118; recall the lascivious Procne, ὤσπερ παρθένος, Av. 670) again blurs the distinction between categories of women and suggests that the 'gifts' of all females may be so obtained. 788 Stroup offers a positive reading (for the male citizen body, at least) of this association within the play's strategy of composition:

No mere bawdy abstraction of a favourable democratic outcome, then, the figure of Diallagê is rather a politically compelling means of transforming the earlier hetairizations of citizen wives into an undiluted embodiment of an eroticized, and newly attainable, democratic impulse...It both reinstates male occupation of Greek topography and, no less importantly, resolves the gender balance in terms of the vocal, discriminating, and active male and the silent accessible, and nearly passive female.789

⁷⁸⁸ Stroup (2004) 41-42 argues that the public trading of wives in their sexuality comically 'hetairizes' them (creates them as pseudo-hetairai): it 'underlines in its bawdy innocence the shaky discursive antithesis of wife vs. hetaira...by extension, the representation of Athenian wives as Athenian hetairai morphs the comedy as a whole into a fantastic, political Hetären-symposion. It is a topsy-turvy world in which sympotic activity not only mimes, but in a sense, actually becomes the civic, and a world in which a democratic peace can mean only the return of the wife to the confines of the private home'. 789 Stroup (2004) 66.

Diallagê, in her association with the land and the politics of reconciliation, is a final manifestation of the Pandora-figure who 'rehabilitates' woman's potentially subversive sexuality for male purposes and civic relations. Female sexuality had erupted into the public sphere as a result of the overturning of society symptomatic of protracted war. Here both woman and the polity she represents are re-established under male control. What is more, they go willingly, in Lysistrata's donation of the body female to this cause, and Diallagê's complicity. It may seem pornographic from a feminist perspective, ⁷⁹⁰ but Aristophanes has in fact represented the ultimate Athenian fantasy: the wife with all the charms of a mistress, a mistress who is in turn like a submissive wife. And this wife/hetaira is the symbol of a new Age of Man – peaceful yet bellicose, political but carefree, a world separate from the gods but one which receives their gifts and ensures that Pandora's whispering mouth is wellstopped. For all its potentially subversive mythical paradigms, it is a world which would appeal to the collective ego of an Athenian audience, which demands the resolution of paradoxes and uses the polysemic wedding song as its vehicle for doing so.

In each of these plays, the union and wedding song symbolises the establishment of a new social order. We have previously observed the foundational role of the hymenaios (as both wedding and wedding song) in the oikos/polis structure, ⁷⁹¹ and this precedent makes its function in the exodoi of Aristophanes both logical and meaningful. A largely positive representation of marriage is given, in which the institution guarantees the appropriate conditions for the future conduct of social relations. These relations are conducted among men, for men, and woman's complicity in Lysistrata may be the final closing of the dangerous Pandora's Box opened by the motif in Peace. Neither a utopia nor a tragic dystopia results, but an Iron Age world of men who toil in the landscape (rural or urban)

⁷⁹⁰ E.g. Zweig (1992) 73. ⁷⁹¹ Esp. Ch.2, pp.108-9.

represented by the female, which, though idealised, is startlingly similar to the Athens outside of the play. As in marriage in the extra-dramatic world, a balance of positive and negative elements must be achieved if the transition to a new state is to be effected.

CHAPTER SIX

THE HELLENISTIC EPITHALAMIUM

We have seen that the Sapphic epithalamium may function as a lyric expression of the transition made by a young woman from parthenos to gunê.⁷⁹² Tragic wedding songs highlighted the problems inherent in this transition,⁷⁹³ and in comedy, fantasy weddings expressed valorisation of the Iron Age and the ideal role of woman within that Age.⁷⁹⁴ The Hellenistic epithalamium constitutes a unique phase in the development of the wedding song: it looks both back, to earlier poetic models, and forward, to new constructs of marriage, arising in the Hellenistic period, which will be prominent in later chapters. Due to the constraints of this project, it is not possible to examine the entire Hellenistic corpus of wedding poetry, much of which is fragmentary or contained within larger texts.⁷⁹⁵ I will restrict my analysis to Theocritus' Epithalamium for Helen (Idyll 18), the most coherent epithalamial text. This poem has already received detailed treatment by Hunter, who discusses it as a Spartan poem, a Ptolemaic poem, and an ironic reading of the Helen myth aimed at an audience skilled in 'selective' cultural memory.⁷⁹⁶ I aim to examine the Idyll qua epithalamium, and discuss its role in the development of this genre.

Little is known about the song's context. The idea that Theocritus, like Callimachus and Posidippus, may have composed a wedding song for his patrons Ptolemy II and Arsinoe

⁷⁹² Ch.1, p.73.

⁷⁹³ Esp. Ch.2, pp.79-80.

⁷⁹⁴ Ch.5, pp.232-37.

Frinna fr. 1 (Distaff), fr. 4 (= AP 7.712), Theoc. 15.100-43 (hymeneal themes in the Hymn to Adonis), Call. In Del. 296-99, fr. 392 Pf. (epithalamium for Ptolemy II and Arsinoe II), epig. 54 (= AP 7.89), Posid. fr. 114 (epithalamium of Ptolemy II and Arsinoe II), Ap. Arg. 4.1159-60, 1193-99 (hymenaioi for Jason and Medea), Bion Epitaph. Adon., [Bion] 2 (Epithalamium of Achilles and Deidameia), Moschus 2 (Europa).

⁷⁹⁶ Hunter (1996) 152-66. It is usually Theocritus' bucolic poems which receive scholarly attention, as in Hunter (1999), cf. Dover (1971) liv.

II (the latter was associated with Helen/Aphrodite in cult), ⁷⁹⁷ of which this narrative formed a part, is an attractive but unprovable hypothesis. ⁷⁹⁸ Yet it is possible to read Ptolemaic associations into this poem, so the possibility that it may have been part of an epithalamium for the royal couple will be discussed where relevant. Like Sappho fr. 44 V, which cannot be securely associated with a 'real' wedding, and IA 1036-97, ⁷⁹⁹ this song tells of the epithalamium for a mythical couple, Helen and Menelaus. Like those songs, this marriage also resulted in tragedy which, more than anything else, should render the subject matter inappropriate for a 'real' wedding song, especially for a monarch.

The meta-epithalamic song itself is performed by a chorus of twelve Spartan maidens upon the closing of the thalamos door (κατεκλάξατο, 5) on the bridal couple – the epithalamium 'proper'. This seems to recall the scene set by Sappho fr. 30.1-3 V, especially in its allusion to a subsequent 'awakening song':

εγρέσθαι δὲ πρὸς ἀῶ μὴ Ἰπιλάθησθε. νεύμεθα κἄμμες ες ὄρθρον, ἐπει κα πρᾶτος ἀοιδός εξ εὐνᾶς κελαδήση ἀνασχών εὕτριχα δειράν. 800

Sappho's parthenoi command the bridegroom to awaken and fetch his humalikas to join the night-long song. Theoritus' parthenikai envision themselves as the only chorus, who will

⁷⁹⁷ Theoc. 15.110-11: Ελένα εἰκυῖα / ᾿Αρσινόα, cf. Basta Donzelli (1984) 306-16. Gow (1950 vol. I) xvii, (1950 vol. II) 348 suggests that this poem may be related to Theocritus period of patronage under the

⁷⁹⁸ See Kuiper (1921) 223-42. Gow (1940) 116 called this theory 'a mere guess'.

⁷⁹⁹ Cf. esp. Ch.4, pp.188-95.

⁸⁰⁰ Theoc. 18.55-57, cf. Sappho. fr. 30.6: ἀλλ' ἐγέρθεις...The awakening song or diegertikon appears at Aesch fr. 43 R, with ominous connotations (Ch.2, p.81).

return at dawn to wake the couple. 801 Other maiden choruses in the literary epithalamium (the Oceanids in PV, the maidens in Phaethon, the women Cassandra exhorts to join her song in Troades, and the Muses in IA) contrast a situation of 'happy past' or 'ideal present' with an (unhappy) 'actual present'. 802 For the Alexandrian reader, sensitive to the canon of both lyric and tragedy formulated in the Hellenistic period, the form of the song is thereby loaded. When we look at the community-wide celebration of the marriage of Hector and Andromache in Sappho fr. 44 V, however, we can see that mixed choruses do not portend so well either. 803 The epithalamium, however ironic, can be sung by either or both genders. 804

The epithalamium appears to be 'gendered' not so much in its voice, but in its focus on feminine social and sexual experience. The specific focus of Idyll 18 has, however, led to comparisons with another (female) genre, the partheneion. Though few of Alcman's maiden songs, and none of his epithalamia, survive, Hunter argues for a considerable Nachleben for this poet and his interest to the Alexandrians. He notes parallels between Theocritus' Helen and Alcman's Hagesichora who, as Aôtis, may have been associated with Helen in her manifestation as a Spartan goddess: their radiance, their shared function as leader of the chorus, the comparison of both to horses, and their beauty. 805 Griffiths proposes, on the basis of similarities between the two poems, that Partheneion 1 is itself an epithalamium and that Theocritus was dependant upon Alcman. 806 As I argued in Chapter 1, it is more likely that the

⁸⁰¹ Sappho fr. 30.6-9, contra Theoc. 18. Dover (1971) 231: 'Theocritus does not indicate whether he envisages other choruses as filling in the gap between the departure and return of the girls'.

802 See Ch.2, pp.97-98; Ch. 4, pp.144-45, 166, 189.

803 See also Swift (2006) 131-33 on Eur. Hipp. 1102-50.

⁸⁰⁴ Cf. Erinna frr. 1, 4 (female voice, though West (1977) 118-19 claims, on the basis of the erudition of the Distaff, that 'Erinna' is merely a 'pretty creation' of an early Hellenistic male poet. This is refuted by Pomeroy (1984) 67, Snyder (1989) 96; discussion by Neri (2003) 31-32, Loman (2004) 110), Theoc. 15.97 (Αργείας θυγάτηρ), also Bion Epitaph. Adon. (female voice, see Reed 1997:24, but Hymen also sings, vv.87-90), Ap. Arg. 4.1159-60 (Argonauts), 1193-99 (Orpheus and Nymphs), Mosch. 2.122-24 (Tritons), [Bion] 2 (Lycidas).

Hunter (1996) 152-53, on Alcm. fr. 1.44, 45-49, 51-55; comp. Theoc. 18.22-25, 30, 26-28.

⁸⁰⁶ Griffiths (1972) 11.

transition represented by Alcman is one to a state of marriageability: the celebration of partheneia. 807

As in all the Idylls – and indeed most Hellenistic poetry – these maidens sing their song in dactylic hexameter. This is both a development of the period and appropriate to the epic subject matter. The poem quotes directly and indirectly from non-dactylic Sapphic epithalamia: ὅλβιε γάμβρ';*⁸⁰⁸ οια ᾿Αχαιιάδων γαῖαν πατεῖ οὐδεμί ἀλλα;*⁸⁰⁹ χαίροις, ὡ νύμφα· χαίροις, εὐπένθερε γαμβρέ,*⁸¹⁰ as well as dactylic: ὡ καλα, ὡ χαρίεσσα κόρα.*⁸¹¹ The hexameter verse and situation in the Trojan cycle of myth locate the song firmly in the heroic past. Yet this poetic form is also a symptom of the literary present. The emergence of a written 'book culture' and the loss of many forms of choral lyric connected with élite polis life led to a 'separation between metrical and musical rhythm' from the end of the fifth century BC.*⁸¹² Many old forms of choral lyric ceased to be relevant, as the performance context for 'high' poetry focussed increasingly on recitation. The 'sung' hymenaios, however, continues to be mentioned as late as the third century AD, ⁸¹³ so it is possible that they continued to be performed, if not composed. At a literary level, this separation increasingly led to composition in hexameter and elegiac couplets, metres which lend themselves to recitative performance.

The Doric Greek of the poem has already been much analysed, and befits both its status as an Idyll and its subject of 'Spartan' Helen.⁸¹⁴ The diction is that of encomium,

⁸⁰⁷ See pp.57-66.

 $^{^{808}}$ Theoc. 18.16, cf. Sappho. fr. 112 V, Voigt (1971) 123: cho ba (|) cho ba ||.

 $^{^{809}}$ Theoc. 18.20, cf. Sappho fr. 113 V: οὐ γὰρ / ἀτέρα νῦν πάις, ὧ γάμβρε, τεαύτα, Voigt (1971) 123: 3 io?.

⁸¹⁰ Theoc. 18.49, cf. Sappho fr. 117 V †χαίροις ἀ νύμφα†, χαιρέτω δ' ὁ γάμβρος, Voigt (1971) 125: 3 ia^?.

⁸¹¹ Theoc. 18.38, cf. Sappho fr. 108 V.

⁸¹² Hunter (1996) 3.

⁸¹³ Men. Rhet. 409.8-13.

⁸¹⁴ Gow (1950 vol. I) lxxii. identifies the dialect as belonging to Theocritus' 'genuine' poems in Doric (along with Idd. 1-7, 10, 11, 14, 15 & 26). The concern of the poem for 'Dorian' verse is apparent in v.48, and Hunter (1996) 155 suggests that this may draw attention to itself as being mimetically analogous to Alcman.

despite Helen's problematic mythology. Praise may additionally connect the two parts of the Idyll – the wedding song for human Helen, and the plane-tree cult of divine Helen – whose relationship has plagued critics: 815 the encomium is a central feature of both hymn and hymenaios, and may act as a bridge in a deliberate Hellenistic Kreuzung der Gattungen. As is appropriate for both goddess and bride, Helen is praised in superlative language, above and beyond Menelaus: ὅτα ἀλλαιάδων γαῖαν πατεῖ οὐδεμί ἄλλα, 816 and the standard against which her peers compare themselves:

τετράκις ἑξήκοντα κόραι, θῆλυς νεολαία, τῶν οὐδ' ἄτις ἄμωμος ἐπεί χ' Ελένα παρισωθῆ.817

As well as in beauty, Helen is peerless in feminine accomplishments:

οὐδέ τις ἐκ ταλάρω πανίσδεται ἔργα τοιαῦτα, οὐδ' ἐνὶ δαιδαλέῳ πυκινώτερον ἄτριον ἱστῷ κερκίδι συμπλέξασα μακρῶν ἔταμ' ἐκ κελεόντων. οὐ μὰν οὐδὲ λύραν τις ἐπίσταται ῶδε κροτῆσαι ¨Αρτεμιν ἀείδοισα καὶ εὐρύστερνον ᾿Αθάναν.818

In keeping with its continued allusion to Sapphic epithalamia, the song employs extravagant eikasmos, praising Helen in similes that compare her to various phenomena of the natural

_

⁸¹⁵ Stern (1978) 34-37, Pantelia (1995) 76.

⁸¹⁶ Theoc. 18.20.

⁸¹⁷ Theor. 18 24-25

⁸¹⁸ Theoc. 18.32-36; cf. Ch.1, pp.51-52, 61.

world: dawn ('Aως, 26) springtime (λευκον ἔαρ, 27), a cultivated cypress (κυπάρισσος), and a steed in its chariot (ἡ ἄρματι Θεσσαλὸς ἵππος, 30). 819 According to Konstan, these encomia introduce:

a subtle vein of humour or irony in the contrast between the divine stature of Helen, whose praises occupy the middle thirty lines of the chorus (19-48) and the rather hapless figure which Menelaus cuts as the butt of several sallies. 820

The badinage familiar from Sappho fr. 110 (where a chorus uses superlative language in order to hurl abuse at the supersized door-keeper)⁸²¹ thus takes on a more ironic function: Menelaus' makarismos (ὅλβιε γάμβρ', 16) depends on his (almost accidental) possession of such a bride (ἀγαθός τις ἐπέπταρεν...τοι).

The 'blessing' of marriage to Helen has further literary significance: because he is the husband of the daughter of Zeus, Menelaus is destined not to die, but to enjoy eternal blessings in the Elysian Fields (to which this passage may allude). 822 Here, though, he comes off considerably worse than his wife. Where superlative language is used of him, it is in the same context of Sappho fr. 110 V: to characterise him as a figure of fun. He is heavy-limbed (λίαν βαρυγούναστος, 10), has drunk too much (11), and, rather than being eager to consummate his marriage, is accused of being εύδειν μαν σπεύδοντα (12). This disparity between the couple is perhaps unsurprising: it exists already in the depiction of their 'married bliss' in Sparta after the Trojan War (Odyssey 4), is 'in keeping with Theocritus' treatment of

⁸¹⁹ Theoc. 18.26-31; see Ch.1, p.67, Dover (1971) 234.

⁸²⁰ Konstan (1979) 233.

⁸²¹ Sapph. fr. 110 V. 822 Hom. Od. 4.561-69.

love in several other idylls', 823 and also reflects a typically epithalamic focus on the bride she is an object of beauty in her own right, whose transfer between oikoi acts to strengthen social relations, and moreover, one whose loss is a cause of regret for the performers of this song. The loss of Helen, however, and the pain it causes, points forward to her elopement. Can we reconcile the Trojan War with the makarismos of this Idyll?

Helen at Court?

Such praise, regardless of its recipients or the outcome of the marriage (compare Agamemnon and Cassandra, Sappho's comparisons of the bridegroom to Achilles, or the marriage of Peleus and Thetis, one of the most represented wedding scenes in the Greek tradition), is typical of the epithalamium. 824 It is also typical of Helen, who, even in Homer, is consistently presented as 'better' than her husbands. In the Iliad, she receives no censure for her actions, only sympathy in contrast to widespread contempt for Paris. 825 Stesichorus, Gorgias, and Euripides' Helen vindicate her of any wrongdoing, and the Homeric Helen expresses remorse on a number of occasions. 826

It has been argued that such sympathy strips Helen of any agency and lays the blame for the War squarely on the shoulders of Paris, the active male seducer, 827 yet in Homer, she maintains a narrative agency of her own that often contradicts the versions of events given by

⁸²³ Konstan (1979) 234, esp. Id. 3, and those on Polyphemus and Galatea. Menelaus' comic inferiority hints at Helen's reasons for elopement with Paris: she herself criticises his haplessness in Eur. Tro. 943-94: δν, δ κάκιστε, σοίσιν εν δόμοις λιπών / Σπάρτης ἀπήρας νηὶ Κρησίαν χθόνα. The imbalance of an unprepossessing man and superlative woman later becomes popular in the Greek novel, particularly Chariton. 824 See Ch.1, p.67.

⁸²⁵ Hom. II. 3.156-60.

⁸²⁶ E.g. Hom. II. 3.172-75.

⁸²⁷ Fulkerson (2008) conference paper.

her menfolk. She is more intelligent than Menelaus in a number of representations (even when presenting herself as a victim of force, circumstance, or the gods, as in Troades), able to manipulate emotions and interpret oracles. As the daughter of Zeus, she not only seems possessed of some measure of divine omnipotence, but also some measure of divine licence. She is a law unto herself, and far superior both to her companions and her spouse. Her divine aspect is constantly emphasised, and even when she is called $T\nu\nu\delta\alpha\rho$ (5), Zeus soon appears as $\pi\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$ of Menelaus (18).

While referring to the history of Helen, the praise of the female and divine characterisation is also appropriate to the poet's own time. The much-married and later divinised Arsinoe II was a powerful patron at the Ptolemaic court and the prime example for scholars of an increased political prominence for women in this period. ⁸³⁰ Queens, as well as kings, became the subject of extensive encomia, in spite of the 'retrogressive social notions' of Demetrius of Phalerum, the Peripatetic employed by Ptolemy I Soter to establish a new administrative apparatus for the Egyptian kingdom – one that looked to classical Athens, infamous for its 'repression' of women, for its model. ⁸³¹ This classical self-fashioning also fused with Egyptian Pharaonic and Macedonian customs. ⁸³² Female prominence does not seem to be confined to the court, as Burton argues:

_

⁸²⁸ In the Iliad, she tells her own story, like Philomela, through her weaving (3.125-28), and in the Odyssey, presents a version of herself as helper to the besieging army (4.235-64), rather than the harmful temptress of Menelaus' narrative (265-89).

⁸²⁹ Hom. Od. 4.220-26, 15.169-78.

⁸³⁰ E.g. Pomeroy (1984) 40, Burton (1995) 124.

⁸³¹ Pomeroy (1984) 46, (1997) 64.

In the one, sibling marriage granted prominence to the queen as well as the king as transmitter of royal status, in the other, polygamous monarchy forced a wife to establish a power base in order to ensure the safety and succession of her own children, Ogden (1999) ix-x.

Although Hellenistic Greece was still basically a patriarchy, and women's lives remained more circumscribed than men's, normative boundaries between public and private, male and female, domestic and political were becoming more fluid.⁸³³

Pomeroy offers some explanations for this:

In the new territories acquired by Alexander's conquests, neither polis nor monarch exerted pressure on individuals to perpetuate their families. No longer was there a need to produce sons who as citizens would defend their homeland, for soldiers could be recruited from foreign territories with the promise of land and cash. No longer did ethnocentrism, as reflected at its most restrictive in Pericles' citizenship law, prevail in the determination of social and civic status...Rather, intermarriage, at least among Greeks and Macedonians, of different ethnicities began to occur in the new cities. In the Hellenistic world the exclusively male 'public' family begins to suffer a demise.834

The generation of Ptolemy II and Arsinoe II presents for these critics a crisis of transition from the 'old world' to the new, so it is no wonder that the epithalamium, the genre of transition, is utilised to explore the limits and possibilities of this change. What results is an explicitly archaising song celebrating the good fortune of a king for his possession of a divine royal female. In spite of Menelaus' portrayal as somewhat foolish, a topos with demonstrated epithalamial provenance, we might reassess our notion of its inappropriateness for the Theoi Adelphoi.

⁸³³ Burton (1995) 41.

⁸³⁴ Pomerov (1997) 192, contra Loman (2004) 165-215.

In certain respects, an epithalamium for Helen can be seen as relevant to the royal house: the ambivalence of Helen's portrayal (mortal/goddess, bride/adulteress, Helen of Sparta/Troy) is analogous to Arsinoe's ambiguous position: associated with Helen during her life and assimilated to Aphrodite after her death, a Greco-Macedonian queen in Egypt, and the sister and wife of Ptolemy Philadelphus for whom he had already dismissed one bride, Arsinoe I. In this she followed in the footsteps of her mother Berenice, who was apotheosised by Aphrodite after death, ⁸³⁵ and was the cause of Ptolemy I's divorce from his first wife Eurydice.

A queen, especially in the marriage pattern of the Macedonian royal house, ⁸³⁶ acts as a physical manifestation of sovereignty (compare Basileia in Birds). ⁸³⁷ Her body and sexuality function symbolically for the country itself. As such, she may be a positive force in society, as is Arete in the Odyssey⁸³⁸ – in the case of Arsinoe, it seems that her final marriage functioned as an idealised model for the institution, as in Theocritus 17 – or a negative one. Penelope's suitors consume the house of Odysseus as they vie for his wife and kingship, while she wavers indecisively. ⁸³⁹ Candaules' wife is responsible for the overthrow of a dynasty, allowing Gyges to claim leadership through marriage to her. ⁸⁴⁰

_

⁸³⁵ Theoc. 15.106-8.

⁸³⁶ Ogden (1999) xix refers to this pattern as 'levirate', although this term, strictly speaking, would refer to the marriage of the king's brother to his queen. In this model, the successor to the throne married the widow of his predecessor in order to assimilate the symbolic sovereignty of the king's wife and legitimise his claim to the throne, e.g. Archelaus to Cleopatra, widow of Perdiccas II, and Ptolemy Alorus to Eurydice, widow of Amyntas III. Finkelberg (1991) esp. 306 suggests that such kingship by marriage may have been the norm in Bronze Age Greece: see especially the example of Penelope here, but also Clytemnestra and Aegisthus (n.839 below), and Jocasta and Oedipus (although she suggests that marriage to the king's daughter and being chosen as successor by the princess' male relatives was more usual, p.315).

⁸³⁷ See Ch.5, pp.224-25.
838 Alcinous married his niece Arete, thus merging the two royal lines (Hom. Od. 7.67-68). She is represented as using her influence for good, such as aiding Odysseus' homecoming.

⁸³⁹ Hom. Od. 1.245-51. By rights Telemachus should inherit the household (1.387) and the suitors should court Penelope at her father's house (1.275-78), but since she refuses to quit the palace of Odysseus, each aims to install himself in the place of the absent king. Penelope, like Arete, was seen in antiquity as the 'good queen' whose actions preserved her husband's house, but the ill effects of her vacillation upon the oikos cast doubt over that portrayal. A similar situation befalls the oikos of Agamemnon, in which Aegisthus weds Clytemnestra and rules Argos (with agency varying between texts from his wife).

⁸⁴⁰ Hdt 1.11.1-12.7: ἡ γὰρ Κανδαύλην ἀποκτείνας ἐμέ τε καὶ τὴν βασιληίην ἔχε τὴν Λυδῶν...

This is a literary characteristic of barbarian women and is part of the construction of the barbarian 'other' in Greek thought. The strong personality of Queens and Queen Mothers (such as Olympias) is also a feature of Macedonian royalty. For Arsinoe to follow this tradition would be problematic for the Hellenising Ptolemies, for it directly contradicts Hellenic values. Even in Greece, though, the problem of male subordination to a rich wife is a common topos, revealing widespread insecurity about the position of the wife within the oikos. 841 The domineering royal females who symbolise the 'other' might already have been latent in the 'self', 842 but the advent of monarchy allowed strong personality traits public expression.

A queen might bestow or withhold patronage of court poetry, or persuade her husband to do so. In politics, the fear exists that the king may be ruled from the thalamos (another particular characteristic of 'barbarian' queens). 843 With the apotheosis of Egyptian monarchs, the picture becomes doubly complicated, for the Greek gods are by nature capricious, and Aphrodite, the double of the Ptolemaic queens, even more so. A deified female monarch may be a benevolent or malevolent daimon, taking gender insecurity to a divine extreme.⁸⁴⁴ The tensions that emerge from such a reading strengthen the case against an interpretation of Idyll 18 as an epithalamium or encomium for Arsinoe per se, but it nevertheless creates a sphere for the negotiation of this problematic construction of gender relations.

⁸⁴¹ See Diggle (1970) 126 on Eur. Pha. 158-59; cf. Ch. 4, p.155.

⁸⁴² Though strong personalities and temporal power are characteristic of barbarian queens, similar traits existed among the Spartan queens for whom Helen may have been a paradigm: e.g. Gorgo (Hdt. 5.48-51, 7.239; Plut. Lyc. 14) and Chilonis (Plut. Ag. 17-18). Finkelberg (1991) 305 further suggests that royal succession in heroic Sparta may have run from mother to daughter.

843 It is particularly noted among the Persians, for whom 'the king's wife...as well as the king's mother, held

very privileged positions at court. They enjoyed immediate access to the king, were able to join the king at public appearances, such as audiences, and were permitted to dine with the king, an extremely private occasion which few were allowed to witness' (Brosius 2006:41). Atossa, wife of Darius I (Aesch. Pers.), and Amestris, wife of Xerxes (Hdt. 9.109-13) were represented as particularly domineering. The biblical Queen Esther, despite acting piously to save the Jews from genocide (Esther 8.3-5), nonetheless exacts excessive revenge (9.12-13, Dalley 2007:196-97). The conflict between Queen and Queen Mother, and its impact on the state, underpins Plutarch's Artaxerxes (cf. Ctes. F16-29), in which he criticises the 'harem politics' of the succession (1025e5-8): ...<εί> τοῦ μὲν ἀδελφοῦ διὰ τῆς γυναικωντίδος ἐνδυομένου τοῖς πράγμασι, τοῦ δὲ πατρὸς ούτως έμπληκτον ήθος και άβέβαιον έχοντος, οίεται βέβαιον αὐτῶ τὴν διαδοχὴν ὑπάρχειν. ⁸⁴⁴ Although it seems that Aphrodite-Arsinoe, as patroness of conjugal love and protectress of sailors, occupied the former position.

Scholars have had difficulty reconciling the figure of Helen to the wedding song at all. Lane favours an ironic reading of the Epithalamium, on the basis of a number of linguistic puns and allusions he claims to be inscribed in the text. 845 Stern also asks:

Can anyone be expected to read an epithalamium for Helen and Menelaus, no matter how charming and happy its tone, without remembering what the future of that marriage will be?

He situates the poem in the context of the 'ironic epithalamium' first apparent in Sappho fr. 44 V and expounded at length by Euripides. 846 The hymenaios that turns to tears remains a popular topos in the Hellenistic period.⁸⁴⁷ Pantelia, noting that 'Helen and Menelaus hardly qualify as the happy couple of a joyful wedding song', 848 attempts to rehabilitate their marriage by stressing the epic resonances with Odyssey 4, Homer's more domesticated picture of the aftermath of the Trojan War. 849 As I argue above, even this scene is not totally unproblematic, and may heap further ironies upon an 'anti-epithalamium'. If the Idyll is, as Burton argues, part of a 'hegemonic myth which the poets could explore, reinforce and test', 850 I would stress the 'testing' aspect: lyric makarismos is juxtaposed with epic or tragic allusions which serve to undermine it. Some have previously been noted, especially the irony of good wishes for the couple's future which conclude the song. 851 Others – particularly in view of their relationship to lyric – also prove illuminating.

The maidens' first address to Menelaus, ὧ φίλε γαμβρέ (9) recalls Sappho's eikasmos in fr. 115 V, but the portrayal here is far less flattering. The νεώτερος 'Ατρέος

⁸⁴⁵ Lane (2006) 23-26. 846 Stern (1978) 29, 31.

⁸⁴⁷ Cf. Erinna fr. 4.7-8, Theoc. 15.132-35, Bion Epitaph. Adon. 87-90.

⁸⁴⁸ Pantelia (1995) 76.

⁸⁴⁹ Pantelia (1995) 76-81.

⁸⁵⁰ Burton (1995) 4.

⁸⁵¹ Theoc. 18.29-53, cf. Stern (1978) 37.

ὑτῶν is lazy – and drunk. This might recall an accusation levelled against the elder son of Atreus in the Iliad: 'you wine sack' (1.225). Praise of family is one of the key components of formal encomia, ⁸⁵² yet here neither bride (daughter of Leda's adulterous liaison with Zeus) nor groom (scion of the transgressive House of Atreus) can be said to possess particularly complimentary hereditary traits. Furthermore, the characterisation of Menelaus as besotted, boorish, and undeservedly lucky may recall his unpleasant and bumbling persona in Euripides' Troades, Andromache, and Helen. He is hardly a flattering paradigm for the prodigious lover Ptolemy II.

They will pass on these characteristics to their daughter – Helen's friends wish $\hat{\eta}$ μέγα κά τι τέκοιτ', εἰ ματέρι τίκτοι ὁμοῖον (21), but Euripides' Andromache shows Hermione in the worst light of this: vain, adorned, rich; a spiteful wife who elopes with her husband's killer. ⁸⁵³ The lovely and accomplished Helen, τᾶς πάντες ἐπ' ὁμμασιν μεροι ἐντί (36), is a bride in the Sapphic mode, ἔρος δ' ἐπ' ἰμέρτωι κέχυται προσώπωι (fr. 112.4 V), but this bride's eyes already carry dangerous connotations, as in Aeschylus, ⁸⁵⁴ and her effect on the eyes of men, already noted in Agamemnon, is also developed by Euripides. ⁸⁵⁵ The reference to her destiny as a housewife also quotes from a Sapphic epithalamium: ὧ καλά, ὧ χαρίεσσα κόρα, τὸ μὲν οἰκέτις ἤδη (38, cf. fr. 108 V). She is well-prepared for this duty – no-one spins, weaves or plays music like Helen (32-37). Helen the housewife is an ambiguous figure: as a weaver, she creates a picture of sufferings

0

⁸⁵² Men. Rhet. 402.21-403.25 (Ch.8, pp.303-4); on this topos in Theoc. 18, see Russell & Wilson (1981) xxxiii-xxxiv.

xxxiv. Residual Resi

⁸⁵⁴ Aesch. Ag. 742-43; see Ch.2, p.105.

⁸⁵⁵ Aesch. Ag. 416-19, Eur. Tro. 892-93: αίρεῖ γὰρ ἀνδρῶν ὅμματ΄...

undergone for her sake. 856 As a spinner, she uses a talaron (32) given to her by an Egyptian queen – another of whom gave numerous drugs, both good and ill. 857 Her Homeric lyre is rather the voice of epic narrative, 858 and her own voice has the power to deceive and enthral. 859 In addition, female musicians, though this one sings hymns to goddesses, tend to be characterised as hetairai (although Loman argues for a move away from such representation in the Hellenistic period, as performers of both genders became increasingly professionalised).⁸⁶⁰

Finally, the farewell to the couple (49) echoes other Sapphic farewells. 861 Menelaus is called εὐπένθερε γαμβρέ, an address similar to the τίμιε γάμβρε of Sappho fr. 116 V, yet the good wishes that follow on from this are deeply ironic. Leto is invoked for euteknia (50-51) – but Hermione will prove somewhat of a let-down. That good fortune will pass along the generations of eupatridai (52-53) is a misplaced wish in the light of the literary tradition: Menelaus may have a good father-in-law, but his own lineage is shadowed and his only son is the bastard of a slave concubine in the Odyssey (4.10-14). And for θεὰ Κύπρις to grant ໂσον ἔρασθαι ἀλλάλων (51-52) is a typically epithalamial idealisation, but one that will not be fulfilled. Approdite will become involved with this couple – but it will be to instil erôs for another bridegroom in Helen. Each optimistic epithalamial echo seems to carry a specific linguistic or thematic foreshadowing of the Trojan cycle. Which (if any) are the dominant allusions? Should we read this song in the light of lyric or epic/tragedy?

Both strands affect our reading. The Idyll spans notions of genre as well as those of gender interaction, exploring ideas of transition and poetic as well as personal identity. This

⁸⁵⁶ Hom. Il. 3.125-29.

⁸⁵⁷ Hom. Od. 4.125, cf. 226-32.

⁸⁵⁸ Hom. Od. 4.235-64.

⁸⁵⁹ Hom. Od. 4.279.

⁸⁶⁰ Ar. Vesp. 1345-46, Plut. Mor. 664C, Athen. 13.607e, contra Xen. Symp. 2.1-2; see Loman (2004) 111-18 (esp. 112 on the slave status of many such women). 861 Sappho. frr. 116, 177 V.

may seem to create a literary paradox, but as we have seen in previous wedding songs, marriage is a fundamentally ambiguous and paradoxical institution, and the poetry of marriage attempts to articulate and make sense of that ambiguity. It can be both a celebration and a lament, as is demonstrated in this poem. It can present marriage as a romantic seduction and ongoing discourse, or, in tragedy, as violent rape and domination of the female. Hymen/Hymenaios, and the hymenaios, oversees the transition of the bride from parthenos to gunê, mediating between 'death' to the previous life and 'rebirth' into a new identity, and similarly the procession from old home to new - from natal to marital family, a fundamentally problematic departure in Greek (especially Athenian) marriage. A wedding song thus often problematises, or signals a problem concerning, philia relations – between genders, families, or cities.⁸⁶²

In this case, we must ask again whether this song can be connected appropriately to the royal couple, and perhaps answer in the negative. The Ptolemaic/Egyptian/Macedonian royal family saw numerous crises of philia in the lifetime of Theocritus and later: Arsinoe II married Lysimachus, king of Samothrace, whose daughter Arsinoe I married Ptolemy (II). On Lysimachus' death, Ptolemy Ceraunus, the son of Ptolemy I by his first wife Eurydice, attempted to seize the throne of Samothrace by marrying the widowed queen and murdering two of her sons by Lysimachus. Arsinoe fled, and after many trials returned to Egypt where her brother put aside Arsinoe I and married her – while Ceraunus still lived. 863 If Theocritus' view of Helen's marriage seems overly complicated and confused, marital politics during his period at court hardly provided a stable model. While Helen may seem a likely paradigm, the literary texture discussed above overlays any connection to the Ptolemies with problematic negative connotations.

⁸⁶² See Introduction, p.35.863 Ogden (1999) 62-79.

It may be safer to say that philia relationships are more generally problematic in the Hellenistic period. Migration to the new monarchic centres meant that many families no longer lived in close proximity (making burial rites and tomb-cult more difficult to maintain). 864 Marriage, childbirth and death were no longer state-regulated activities, and scholars have agued that this occurred as the need to maintain an ethnically homogenous citizen body and an army of citizen soldiers disappeared – Greeks mixed with non-Greeks and in some cases married native partners. 865 Women, such as Theocritus' Simaetha, could be left without a kurios, to fend for themselves and manage (or not) their own sexuality. Male homosocial relationships retreated from the political sphere as absolute power became concentrated in one man, perhaps leading to the greater prominence of domestic relationships. The contents of marriage contracts imply women's increased mobility and perhaps also freedom, 866 but this mobility in turn must have contributed to already existing anxieties about the position of women in the home and society. Traditional philia roles and relationships changed, and new paths were forged. Helen, one of the most problematic figures of philia in ancient myth, provides a suitable medium through which to make sense of this. Thrice-married (possibly four times, if we count Theseus); variously courted, bestowed, raped, and seduced; victim and willing adulteress; whore yet prime example of mythical depictions of marriage; praised, slandered, celebrated, and lamented; located in Greece, Troy, and Egypt, she represents the ambiguous nature of Greek marriage and philia.

There appears to be no such thing as a wholly positive hymeneal mythology – stemming from the very beginning in the various myths of Hymenaios discussed in the

⁸⁶⁴ Cf. e.g. Pomeroy (1997) 112.

Pomeroy (1997) 229, but see Loman (2004) 165-215, who maintains the thesis that Greeks preferred to marry Greeks, and thus that Greco-Macedonian women must have formed a part of the original colonisation of the East.

⁸⁶⁶ Pomeroy (1984) 83-98, on esp. P. Elephantine I, P. Tebtunis I 104, P. Geneva I 21; Foucault (1986) 76. Burton (1995) 41. Restrictive citizenship laws in Alexandria, however, still favoured brides who were astai (Pomeroy op. cit. 45-47).

Introduction. Addiences are invited to identify with the aspect of the myth most appropriate for the occasion: at a 'real' wedding, the joyful celebration of a marriage, in tragedy, its disastrous aftermath. In the Hellenistic epithalamium, it is perhaps most difficult to tell which is the dominant aspect. Dover suggests that a Hellenistic poet could 'challenge readers intellectually by recherché allusions', but at the same time assume the 'right of creative variation of the inherited myth'. Hunter argues that the Epithalamium 'dramatises the process of "selective memory", see a process which contemporary Greeks in Egypt would be forced to undergo in order to accept the mythologies promulgated by their rulers as a means of understanding their world. At the same time, he claims, it 'challenges us to read "in Dorian fashion": to set aside the stories of Helen's wantonness and celebrate the glorious goddess'. If this is so, the poem's mythology, operates squarely within the tradition of the wedding song, engaging, whether on an active or subconscious level, with all aspects of the story, and allowing the reader to choose to 'read' those most applicable to their own circumstances.

Hellenistic Erôs

In the final section of this chapter, I will return to a particularly epithalamial aspect of Theocritus' ode: its presentation of love. Although the epithalamium is by nature an erotic genre, and as such the emphasis on the love of the newlyweds stands within a long-established tradition, in the Hellenistic period a particular representation of married love gains prominence, which becomes programmatic for later epithalamial writings. This mutual love (and the difficulties thereof) are emphasised throughout the Theocritean corpus.

_

⁸⁶⁷ See pp.2-5.

⁸⁶⁸ Dover (1971) lii.

⁸⁶⁹ Hunter (1996) 166.

⁸⁷⁰ Ibid.

Yet such love has always played a role in the epithalamium. Sappho's maidens sang σὰν...φ[ιλότατα καὶ νύμφας ιοκόλπω (fr. 30.4-5 V), and other wedding songs, such as fr. 112 V, stress the longed-for nature of the marriage, the groom's prayers for his bride, and her erotic, desirable beauty. The Danaid trilogy, whose choral odes bear such resemblance to wedding songs, presents 'the ideal sexual union [as] one between two persons both of whom are not merely consenting but eagerly desirous parties', 871 and other Aeschylean hymenaioi, particularly in Agamemnon, examine the problems that arise from such equal desire, particularly where Helen is concerned.⁸⁷² Equality of desire appears in Theocritus: Helen is άγαπατάν / μναστεύσας (4-5), a wooed and wedded wife, 873 and though she is τεὰ νυὸς (15) of Menelaus, the mutuality of their relationship is the image with which the Epithalamium leaves us:

εύδετ' ἐς ἀλλάλων στέρνον φιλότατα πνέοντες

καὶ πόθον.874

Theocritus' innovation stems from his focus on love in general throughout the Idylls. Like the wedding song, they represent often mutual love between unequal individuals, and very few depict the male homosocial erôs of the classical polis, or even the aristocratic love and hetaireia of the archaic/classical symposium. The locus amoenus, a 'setting of stylised natural beauty' away from the city, 875 is the setting for this love and had also long characterised the wedding song with its focus on unspoilt nature and beauty. This

⁸⁷¹ Sommerstein (2006) 244.

⁸⁷² See Ch.1, pp.39-42; Ch.2, p.103.

⁸⁷³ Cf. Aesch. PV 559-60.

⁸⁷⁴ Theoc. 18.54-55, cf. Sappho fr. 126 V: δαύοισ(') ἀπάλας ἔτα<ί>ρας ἔν στήθεσιν.

⁸⁷⁵ Hunter (1993) 13.

romanticised setting forms the crucible of married erôs in the Epithalamium (νεογράπτω θαλάμω, 3).

It is only through the *Idyll's* representation of this kind of love that any appropriate Ptolemaic connection may be sought. As mentioned above, the marriage of Ptolemy II and Arsinoe II, modelled on that of Zeus and Hera was represented as an ideal (or idealised) union. Arsinoe's love of her husband forms part of the Ptolemies' poetic self-projection: ἐκ θυμοῦ στέργοισα κασίγνητον τε πόσιν τε (17.130). Such love was also projected back onto their parents and seems to legitimise the marriage of Ptolemy I and Berenice:

τῷ οὖπω τινὰ φαντὶ άδεῖν τόσον ἀνδρὶ γυναικῶν ὅσσον περ Πτολεμαῖος ἑὴν ἐφίλησεν ἄκοιτιν. ἡ μὰν ἀντεφιλεῖτο πολὺ πλέον· ώδε κε παισί θαρσήσας σφετέροισιν ἐπιτρέποι οῖκον ἄπαντα, ἀστόργου δὲ γυναικὸς ἐπ' ἀλλοτρίῳ νόος αἰεί,

ρηίδιοι δὲ γοναί, τέκνα δ' οὐ ποτεοικότα πατρί.⁸⁷⁷

The storgê of spouses and lovers is a concern in the corpus, ⁸⁷⁸ but what is interesting here is the presentation of the ideal marriage as a husband who ἐφίλησεν ἄκοιτιν and wife who ἀντεφιλεῖτο πολὺ πλέον. This focus on the emotional subjectivity of both husband and wife is symptomatic of a reciprocal relationship expressed by most Hellenistic wedding

_

⁸⁷⁶ Theoc. 17.31-34, Posid. fr. 114. (esp. 7-8), cf. Ar. Av. 1720-65; on the hieros gamos of Zeus and Hera, Ch.5, esp. pp.223-35. Hom. II. 14.153-353, however, demonstrates how tensions may exist even within this model.

⁸⁷⁸ Theoc. 17.23.63; 23.63, death is **a**storgos in Epig. 16.4.

songs, though not by Erinna, who follows the model of Sappho's 'epithalamial' songs in focusing on the relationship between two girls, and their separation by marriage and death. 879

These poems show a concern for the private relationship between husband and wife, the day-to-day erotic and discursive negotiation of marriage as well as the extreme emotions of the wedding ceremony. 880 The increasing importance of the private sphere in Hellenistic life is almost too clichéd an explanation of focus on private relationships, yet it is appropriate to the wedding song and for articulation through the wedding song, which marks the establishment of so fundamental a relationship. One thing that should be emphasised is the privileging of the relationship between husband and wife. Apollonius' Alcinous decides: 'if [Medea was] sharing a husband's bed he would no longer seek to cut her off from a love sanctioned in marriage (κουριδίης φιλότητος)'. 881

If Medea is still a maiden, she must go home. If not, she must stay with Jason, though it may mean war. Her natal family retains no control over her reproductive capacity. She cannot return home to produce children for her father's oikos now that she is an epiklêros, even if indeed her part in the murder of the previous heir did not preclude this possibility. She belongs to her husband, as Helen now belongs to Menelaus ἔνας καὶ ἐς ἀῶ / κης ἔτος έξ έτεος (18.14-15). 882 In this age where women as well as men were part of the Greek diaspora, marriage implies finality, a departure from friends and kin which may only be

⁸⁷⁹ See Ch.1, pp.38-57; compare Theoc. 15.131: τὸν μὲν Κύπρις ἔχει, τὰν δ' ὁ ῥοδόπαχυς Αδωνις. Bion (Epitaph. Adon. 16-17, 64-66, 71-73) portrays Aphrodite and Adonis' experience of pain as mutual and interdependent, and likens this passion to their coitus; Apollonius focuses on the κουριδίης φιλότητος (Arg. 4.1120) and γλυκερη φιλότητι (1155-69) of Jason and Medea; Zeus the bull must seduce Europa (κατέθελγε, Mosch. 2.94) into loving him (κύσε, 96); and Achilles must use λόγον to win over Deidameia in [Bion] 2.26.

See Ch7, p.263-64 for this discursive ethic.

881 Ap. Arg. 4.1118-20, trans. Hunter.

⁸⁸² Medea's dependence on Jason was also emphasised in Eur. Med. e.g. 255-56: ἐγώ δ' ἔρημος ἄπολις οὖσ' ὑβρίζομαι / πρὸς ἀνδρός. Pomeroy (1984) 73 notes the possibility of isolation for a Greek woman in Egypt.

figurative or symbolic in depictions of Athenian citizen-marriage.⁸⁸³ As such, the marital relationship might carry increased emotional significance for women as well as men, as they became mutually dependent for philia in a manner previously unrepresented. But the majority of women would not have been as mobile as the early colonists; thus, the emphasis on mutual conjugal love should not be taken as merely symptomatic of a widespread social phenomenon.

It is the poetic emphasis on marital love and its use in Ptolemaic self-fashioning which marks a significant development in the poetry of this period, into which the representation of mutual love in the wedding song may be drawn. The discourse of the hymenaios expounded in previous chapters becomes public discourse, advocating a reciprocal love between husband and wife as the divine and political ideal and thus establishing it as a model for private emulation. There is a positive valuation of the heterosexual courtship and seduction of a 'good' wife such as Berenice or Arsinoe, which uses Hera and Aphrodite as its mythical paradigms. Where the wife will prove not to be 'good', however, poetic ironies are created, aimed at a knowledgeable, elite reader (i.e., Helen's separation from – and then reconciliation with – Menelaus, and the tensions involved in this series of events). Problematically or not, this poetry represents marriage as an exchange between men and women, rather than simply the 'exchange of women' common in structuralist analyses of archaic and classical Greek marriage and notable in the formula of enguê. 884

The epithalamium in this period appears to stand at a crossroads. It is a dense intertextual fabric, woven of lyric optimism and eroticism, tragic irony combined with epic resonances, and even a few comic aspects of characterisation (positive valuation of marriage

⁸⁸³ Cf. Mosch. 2.111-17. Such finality and the implication of movement might not, however, necessarily have meant freedom for women. Though some Hellenistic women are shown from inscriptional evidence to have moved through their own agency, most would still have been tied to their male relatives: 'women habitually followed their husbands and/or male relatives abroad, and a few individual women migrated alone or at least without a formal guardian' (Loman (2004) 201).

⁸⁸⁴ E.g. Men. Dysc. 842-44; see Introduction, p.22.

for the community, hieros gamos, and the benefits of marriage to a goddess). The following chapters will see it diverge along two separate paths: the formalistic, encomial elements will be developed by the prose orators, as we will see in Chapter 8, using the past to construct a vision of the epideictic present at the weddings of society's great and good. Another more philosophical branch focuses on the personal aspects of marital discourse and the private significance of the relationship – not an entirely new phenomenon, but one which is innovative in its context and articulation – as will be discussed with regard to Plutarch in the next chapter. It becomes the basis of a philosophical construction of marriage in which logos is the key component of erôs. Especially in the philosophical branch, the mutuality (especially of desire) between husband and wife remains an emphatic feature, as does the exchange and reciprocity of the sexual relationship. Moreover, the inclusion of this ideal in philosophy and epideictic keeps married erôs in the public sphere in the Imperial period.

The use of the epithalamial ideals in court poetry also means that the female experience gained in political prominence. The emphasis on, or valorisation of, the bride, must not be read exclusively in terms of Arsinoe's role in this court, since it already has long hymeneal provenance. But gender relationships feature prominently in the exploration and negotiation of the role of women in public and private life, representing an attempt to articulate the meaning of the marriage relationship in a time when philia itself was undergoing a fundamental transition. In addition, developments of a literary nature may have contributed to this picture. As the corpus of Greek literature began to be canonised and codified at the Library of Alexandria, scholar-poets must have studied the key features of various literary genres, and it is these key features which emerge in their reworking and composition of poetry. In the case of the epithalamium (this period sees the first assignment of Sappho's wedding songs to a book of their own as well as the cataloguing of Alexandria).

⁸⁸⁵ E.g. Ch.1, pp.45-46.

the central features are a focus on the beautiful bride, the setting of natural beauty for her courtship and consummation, the establishment of marital erôs, and the tension between positive and negative aspects of marriage, which are also apparent in the mythology used for it. It is these topoi that the Hellenistic epithalamiasts recreate for their own age, and re-imbue with meaning (literary and perhaps political), in an attempt to make sense of that age.

Is the portrayal of 'empowered' Hellenistic women and idealised marital love more striking because it contrasts so strongly with the agendas exposed by the poetry of previous chapters? The transition would have been more gradual, and less startling to a 3rd century BC audience than to us – less of a 'gap' that we see in the survival of our sources, and more of a 'development, a transition in truth. 886 Perhaps the change is generic: in Bucolic poetry, which is less connected with polis ideology than drama is, such representations can exist without posing a threat or even an antithesis to the polis. There is certainly a danger in identifying this form of representation as a specifically Ptolemaic development.

The poem itself looks back to a mythical past, a self-fashioning tool in any period, but in the Hellenistic, with its court-sponsored poets, most of all. It does so to create, negotiate, and legitimate the identity and discourse of its poetic patrons and subjects. It is not a straightforward borrowing of mythical exempla, but an intellectually-engaged creative process, in which 'meaning is created by the dismantling and reconstruction of great texts of the past'. 887 Theocritus, and his contemporaries and successors, were using specific models in specific ways. The Hellenistic epithalamium does not align itself with the classical Athenian ideal of 'repressed' womanhood. Tragic and comic resonances exist and provide an ironic foil for more traditionally 'epithalamial' elements, but they do not provide the whole meaning for these poems. The nuptial literature also looks back to the world of the partheneion and the Sapphic epithalamium, to the maiden chorus and a positively valued (especially in ritual) role

⁸⁸⁶ Cf. Dover (1971) lxx. ⁸⁸⁷ Hunter (1993) xiii.

for aristocratic women. Tensions typical of Attic drama exist in this model, as tension existed during this time over the queen's role in politics and cult, but on the whole they represent a predominantly positive scenario. No longer is the highest praise for a women 'to be least spoken of', 888 but to elicit praise in her own right, regardless of whether or not, like Helen, she deserved it – an unavoidable side-effect of the epithalamium's inclusion in court-poetry.

_

⁸⁸⁸ See however Pelling (2000) 190.

CHAPTER SEVEN

PLUTARCH'S CONIUGALIA PRAECEPTA: THE PHILOSOPHICAL

EPITHALAMIUM?

While the Hellenistic wedding song alluded to earlier models of poetry in its articulation of marriage, it nonetheless presented a view of marriage based on the mutual erôs of husband and wife. 889 Such mutuality is not new to the epithalamium; 890 the innovation lies in its adoption by the public self-representation of the Ptolemies. It becomes part of the promulgation of civic discourse, and possibly the functioning of private life – very different from the model of marriage in Classical Athens as a transaction between members of a homosocial male group. In the late first/early second century AD, Plutarch of Chaeronea develops this discourse further: mutuality between husband and wife is again emphasised, but the Athenian ideals of silence and submission for women are also valorised. A peculiar model of marriage relations emerges, in keeping with both old values and the emergence of new institutional structures and philosophies under the early Roman Empire.⁸⁹¹ The rites of transition inherent in the epithalamium are imagined by Plutarch as an ongoing process, whose telos is spiritual, as well as social, initiation.

Οίμαι καὶ τὸν λόγον ὁμοῦ συνεφαπτόμενον ὑμῶν καὶ συνυμεναιοῦντα (138B2-3), Plutarch muses in the opening chapter of his letter to Pollianus and Eurydice, Coniugalia Praecepta. For the scholar of the Greek wedding song, the syntactical combination of λόγον and συνυμεναιοῦντα is intriguing, for it implies an epithalamial quality to the discourse: this philosophical treatise contains features of the hymenaios. And no

889 Ch.6, pp.255-58. 890 See Ch.1, pp.73-76.

⁸⁹¹ See pp. 272-74.

ordinary hymenaios, for this wedding song is performed not by choruses of maidens and youths, but by logos – 'reason', or 'discourse' itself. Moreover, this discourse joins in the bridal hymn at the end of the first century AD, when the lyric wedding song made paradigmatic by Sappho had ceased to be composed. Begin as a replacement? What does it mean for a Greek philosopher in the Roman Empire to claim this genre as a model for his work?

Critics have previously aligned this work with other contemporary (particularly Stoic) discourses on duty, or those addressing the question ei gamêteon, 'should one marry?' 893 Others have looked to classical philosophy, particularly the education of the wife in Xenophon's Oeconomicus, for influences. 894 There is no question that, like Musonius, 895 Plutarch implies that marriage is the right course for the philosophical man, or that, as in Xenophon, he envisions such a man as the moral and intellectual teacher of his wife. In both form and content, however, Plutarch goes beyond all these examples to create a highly unusual text. The insertion of an authorial persona and details of his own life blurs the boundaries between epistolary, Platonist discourse and epithalamial lyric; and Ischomachus' instruction in household management in the Oeconomicus is ignored in favour of exhortation to Pollianus to teach, and to Eurydice to learn, philosophy – a philosophy of erôs with eschatological implications.

The existence of hymeneal motifs in Coniugalia Praecepta leads me to examine Plutarch's use and philosophical development of these topoi. Should the treatise be seen as a

⁸⁹² Philodemus (Mus. 4.119.28-31) writes in the first century BC: τὰ ποιήματ' ἐστίν, οὐχ ἡ μουσική, τὰ τὴν εἰρημένην ὑπ' αὐτοῦ παρεχόμενα χρείαν ἐν τοῖς ὑμεναί[οι]ς. However, Plutarch suggests the possibility of a sung hymenaios in Quaestiones Convivales: 654F6, 666A1; suggesting that it was not totally extinct.

⁸⁹³ E.g. Ps.-Dion. 2.2.3, Arius Didymus 55.2.20, Plut. Mor. 408C4, Epictetus ab Arr. dig. 3.7.19.2, Dio Or. 22.3.4, Ael. Theon. Prog. 120.15, 121.9, 15, 128.4-5, 12, Clement Protr. 11.113.1.2, Paed. 2.10.94.1.1-3, Hermog. Prog. 11.7, 33, Alex. in Ar. topic. 52.1, Lib. Prog. 13.1, Apth. Prog. 10.41.17, 42.11, Stob. Anth. esp. 67-68, Nic. Prog. 71.20, 72.20, 74.15. The subject was a common topic for progymnasmata. Foucault (1986) 154, Goessler (1999) 109-10.

⁸⁹⁴ Pomeroy (1999) 33-34, Xen. Oec. 7.11.4, Plut. Mor. 138C7 etc.

⁸⁹⁵ Musonius 14.

philosophical epithalamium, and if so, is it a forerunner of the philosophising, rhetorical epithalamios logos of the later Second Sophistic, as taught by Menander Rhetor and pseudo-Dionysius?

A philosophical hymenaios?

The introduction of Coniugalia Praecepta resembles traditional epithalamial imagery. The couple are shut up together in the wedding chamber with the blessing of the priestess of Demeter (συνειργνυμένοις, 138B2), 896 a blessing reminiscent of traditional makarismos. Plutarch's mention of music, particularly the hippothoron song, inducing the stallion to cover the mare (138B5), evokes the music of the hymenaios and similar exhortations to the bridegroom in the wedding song: ἀείδοισ[ι]ν φ[ιλότατα καὶ νύμ]φας ἰοκόλπω; γάμβρος †(εἰσ)έρχεται ἷισος "Αρευι†...ἀνδρος μεγάλω πόλυ μέσδων; οὕτω δὴ πρώιζα κατέδραθες ὧ φίλε γαμβρέ; ludete ut lubet, et brevi liberos date. 897

The first precepts, referring to the first sexual encounters between the bride and groom, are based on images of fruit and flowers. Solon ordered that the bride eat a quince (μήλου κυδωνίου, 138D3) in order to be sweet of lip and tongue, and Boeotian brides are crowned with asparagus (ἀσπαραγωνιᾶ, D6), a reminder that the ἥδιστον καρπὸν comes ἐκ τραχυτάτης ἀκάνθης (138D7-8). Such metaphors are attested for the loss of virginity in our earliest extant epithalamia, which may, as in Plutarch, also imply the

⁸⁹⁶ Cf. Theoc. 15.77: **ὁ** τὰν νυὸν...ἀποκλάξας, 18.5-6: κατεκλάξατο τὰν...Ελέναν **ὁ νεώτερος ᾿Ατρέος ὑιῶν**.

Sappho frr. 30.4-5, 111.5-6 V, Theoc. 18.8; cf. also the kateunastikos speech of Menander Rhetor (405.15-412.2) dedicated to the same function, Cat. 61. 204-5; cf. Ch.1, p.73-76.

reluctance of the bride to make this transition. 898 A deviation from the tone of the wedding song is noticeable, however, in the development by Plutarch of the motif of the untouchable fruit into a philosophical truism, οἱ δὲ τὰς πρώτας τῶν παρθένων διαφορὰς μὴ ὑπομείναντες οὐδὲν ἀπολείπουσι τῶν διὰ τὸν ὅμφακα τὴν σταφυλὴν ἑτέροις προϊεμένων (138D10-E2), counselling patience in the face of this resistance. 899 A comparison with the Amatorius, moreover, reveals that the idea of 'plucking' or 'cultivation' of the fertile female implicit in these agricultural images refers to the fruit of the union not as children, as supposed by the formula of enguê, but as virtue (the karpoi of the Muses that are to be Eurydice's reward for her education and philosophy, 146A23-5): while Protogenes, a pederast, argues that desire for women is not Love, because Love οὐκ ἐθέλει παραμένειν οὐδὲ θεραπεύειν ἐφ᾽ ἄρα τὸ † λυποῦν καὶ ἀκμάζον, εἰ καρπὸν ἡθους οἰκεῖον εἰς φιλίαν καὶ ἀρετὴν οὐκ ἀποδίδωσιν (750E4-6), Daphnaeus, in his vindication of love for women as the only true form of Love, insists that it is pederasty which is, in fact, akarpon (752B5) 900 and which is an impediment to virtue.

A further philosophical development may be seen in the use of divine figures. The traditional gods of the wedding night are present in the introduction of Coniugalia Praecepta: Aphrodite and the Muses, Hermes, Peitho, and the Graces, 901 but their function has also been adapted to the discursive epithalamium. Rather than 'acting most likely to win the bride over to willing compliance and...to escort her to her new life', 902 these figures are employed τὴν περὶ γάμον καὶ οἶκον ἐμμέλειαν ἡρμοσμένην παρέχειν διὰ λόγου καὶ

⁸⁹⁸ Sappho frr. 105a, b V, Ar. Pax 1337-40; also Seaford (1986) 50-59 on the use of this motif in Soph. Trach. 141-49 et al; see Introduction, pp.15-22; Ch.2, pp.88-89; Ch.3, pp.128-29; contra Ch.4, p.169.

⁸⁹⁹ Compare e.g. Men Rhet 407.9-11; Ch.8, pp.299-300.

⁹⁰⁰ The comparison of human with plant is developed later in the Amat.: βλαστήσεως ὁρμὴν ἔχοντα διαφαίνουσαν ὤραν καὶ κάλλος ἄμα σώματος καὶ ψυχῆς (757Ε7-9).

⁹⁰¹ See Ch.1, pp.53, 73-74, 75; Ch.2, pp.92-93; contra Ch.4, pp.144, 176.

⁹⁰² Patterson (1999) 131.

άρμονίας καὶ φιλοσοφίας προσῆκον (138C6-7). The importance of logos and philosophy to a harmonious marriage, and the role of the gods in facilitating these aims, appears to supersede their prior erotic function in the wedding song, or to contribute (sunumenaiounta) to it: ἵνα πείθοντες διαπράττωνται παρ' ἀλλήλων ἄ βούλονται, μὴ μαχόμενοι μὴδε φιλονεικοῦντες (138C10-D1).

Even the erotic language of the song has been employed in the philosophical cause. The ribaldry and fescennina iocatio which, as we have seen, is characteristic of the wedding song, ⁹⁰³ gives way to exhortations to perseverance in the sexual aspect of marriage, leading to the Plutarchian ideal of marital symbiosis:

ή τε νύμφη τῷ μὴ φυγόντι μηδὲ δυσχεράναντι τὴν πρώτην χαλεπότητα καὶ ἀηδίαν αὐτῆς ήμερον καὶ γλυκεῖαν παρέξει συμβίωσιν. 904

The (occasionally ithyphallic) sexuality of the epithalamium is replaced by an emphasis on aidôs, which a woman should wear in place of her clothes, ⁹⁰⁵ but more importantly, which a couple should show to one another as a sign of their love: τοὐναντίον γὰρ ἡ σώφρων ἀντενδύεται τὴν αἰδῶ, καὶ τοῦ μάλιστα φιλεῖν τῷ μάλιστα αἰδεῖσθαι συμβόλῳ χρῶνται πρὸς ἀλλήλους (139C7-9). The conception of aidôs as 'shame' has

_

⁹⁰³ Sappho frr. 110, 111.5-7 V, Ar. Pax 1340-43, Cat. 61.120; see Ch.5, esp. p.216.

⁹⁰⁴ Plut. Mor. 138D8-10.

⁹⁰⁵ Prof. Pelling pointed out in his comments on this thesis that Plutarch does not really do justice to the suggestions of the Herodotean statement that 'a woman takes off her modesty when she takes off her clothes' (Hdt. 1.8). The implications of aidôs in the story of Candaules wife, from which this quotation comes, are discussed by Cairns (1996) esp. 82: 'Gyges' remark both draws attention to the objective fact that an undressed woman has cast off the signs of her conformity to society's standards of honour and shame, and implies that, having done so, she no longer observes those standards. But his observation also constitutes a reason for his horrified rejection of the breach of decorum which he is being asked to commit. Thus he is not concerned only with the situation, attitude, or behaviour of the woman; on the contrary, the function of his utterance is to communicate his own respect for standards of honour and shame'.

led some commentators to suggest that Plutarch's attitude to sex was dominated by 'Victorian' prudery:

'Prudery, a repugnance for sexual contact, the denial of female sexual pleasure, cold functional sexual relations inside the bourgeois marriage, complemented for men by necessary liaisons with mistresses or prostitutes, comical efforts to mask public sexuality or nudity' have been claimed to form part of a perception of Victorian life, and it is clearly a perception with which one finds abundant echoes in the Moralia and the Lives.

This cannot be said to be the case in Coniugalia Praecepta and Amatorius. Aidôs must be understood as 'modesty', and occupies the same semantic field as sôphrosunê, the self-restraint that is the basis of the philosophical marriage. Indeed, the sôphrôn wife is encouraged μήτε φεύγειν μήτε δυσχεραίνειν τὰ τοιαῦτα τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἀρχομένου μήτ' αὐτὴν κατάρεσθαι (140C7-8). Mutual love, as we have seen, was part of the public discourse of the Ptolemies in Hellenistic Egypt. 907 In Plutarch, far from being 'cold' and 'functional', conjugal relations are thought to form the locus of the highest form of friendship, 908 and extra-marital relations are a source of pain (λυπεῖν καὶ συνταράττειν, 144D2) which a husband is wrong (ἄδικον, 144D1) to cause his wife. Most significantly, the sexual love of the married couple, and the pleasure they take in each other's bodies, are revealed in Amatorius as reflections of a truer, intelligible beauty and love with roots in Platonic philosophy:

⁹⁰⁶ Walcot (1998) 177, citing Walvin (1988) 120.

⁹⁰⁷ Ch.6, p.257

⁹⁰⁸ Plut. Mor. 751C11-D3: ...πολύ μαλλον εἰκός ἐστι τὸν γυναικῶν καὶ ἀνδρῶν ἔρωτα τῆ φύσει χρώμενον εἰς φιλίαν διὰ χάριτος ἐξικεῖσθαι.

πῶν ἐνταῦθα πειθομένῃ τὸ καλὸν εἶναι καὶ τίμιον, ἄν μὴ τύχῃ θείου καὶ σώφρονος Ἐρωτος ἰατροῦ καὶ σωτῆρος, <ὸς ἐνταῦθα μὲν> διὰ σωμάτων ἀφικόμενος ἀγωγὸς ἐπὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν, ἑξ Αιδου δ' εἰς "τὸ ἀληθείας πεδίον", οῦ τὸ πολὺ καὶ καθαρὸν καὶ ἀψευδὲς ἵδρυται κάλλος, ἀσπάσασθαι καὶ συγγενέσθαι διὰ χρόνου ποθοῦντας ἑξαναφέρων καὶ ἀναπέμπων εἰμενὴς οῖον ἐν τελετῆ παρέστη μυσταγωγός. Ἐνταῦθα <δὲ> πάλιν πεμπομένων αὐτῆ μὲν οὐ πλησιάζει ψυχῆ καθ' ἑαυτήν, ἀλλὰ διὰ σώματος. 909

The 'primary elements' of Coniugalia Praecepta – the marriage of a bride and groom who are also students of philosophy – allow Plutarch to negotiate the boundaries of genre and explore the intersections of Plato's discourse on love with the ideology of the epithalamium, extending the applications of philosophy in his creation of a treatise relevant to that marriage.

This Platonic discourse is a composite one, as I and others argue elsewhere, ⁹¹⁰ and its intersections with the epithalamium are intriguing. Plutarch takes particular aspects of Plato's theories on love – in particular the didactic role of Eros from the Symposium; ⁹¹¹ and the function of the beloved as 'mirror' and eschatological implications of the erotic mysteries from the Phaedrus; and the philosophical search for 'true' Beauty, adapted from the Republic – and applies them not only to a heterosexual, but also to a marital context. That the lovers, or

_

⁹⁰⁹ Plut. Mor. 764F7-765A6, cf. Plat. Symp. 209A1-212A7, Phdr. 248B, 254B.

⁹¹⁰ Badnall ([forthcoming] 2009), Rist (2001) 558, Martin Jr. (1984) 85 (both on Amatorius rather than Coniugalia Praecepta. I believe the full implication of Plutarch's epithalamial philosophy may be gained by reading the two texts together).

This motif is present not only in Diotima's speech (both in Socrates' 'instruction' by Diotima and in the education of the beloved by the lover, 209a1-c2), but also in those of Phaedrus (love teaches those who wish to $\kappa\alpha\lambda\hat{\omega}c$ $\beta\iota\hat{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, 178c4-7), and Pausanias (pederasty teaches excellence and wisdom, 184c2-e7).

rather the bridal couple, are philosophers is understood, and this philosophic tone colours the epithalamial elements of Plutarch's discourse. As discussed above, the image of fruit and flowers is transmuted from its traditional dichotomy of death/fertility to the image of metaphorical progeny in virtue. The same is true of the other epithalamial topoi used in Coniugalia Praecepta. Beauty, grace, and the physical act of love all become part of a programme for living designed to educate and elevate the soul. The traditional kallos of the couple, particularly the bride, exemplified by Sappho (e.g. fr. 112.3-5 V), 912 is thus rejected by Plutarch in favour of a model of inner beauty advocated by Socrates:

καλὸν οῦν καὶ τὴν οἰκοδέσποιναν, ὅταν ἐν ταῖς χερσὶν ἔχῃ τὸ ἔσοπτρον, αὐτὴν ἐν ἑαυτῆ τὴν μὲν αἰσχράν "τί οῦν, ἄν μὴ σώφρων γένωμαι;" τὴν δὲ καλὴν "τί οῦν, ἄν καὶ σώφρων γένωμαι;" τῆ γὰρ αἰσχρῷ σεμνὸν εἰ φιλεῖται διὰ τὸ ἡθος <μᾶλλον> ἤ τὸ κάλλος.913

Yet his use of 'Socrates' advice' is itself a rejection of Platonic thought. Where Diotima argued that the philosopher desires to give birth in the beautiful and that ἀνάρμοστον δ' ἐστὶ τὸ αἰσχρὸν παντὶ τῷ θείῳ (Symp. 206d1), for Plutarch, he aischra may possess a truer beauty in her character. He does not simply amalgamate Platonic discourse, but develops and 'corrects' it for his own heterosexual, philosophical project.

_

⁹¹² See Ch.1, pp.45-46.

⁹¹³ Plut. Mor. 141D2-7. The elder philosopher applied this model to young men and virtue, rather than women and sôphrosunê (141C10-D2), cf. Diog. Laert. 2.33.

Similarly, charis, used in hymeneal contexts to denote physical beauty and imply physical gratification, ⁹¹⁴ becomes another weapon in the wife's arsenal of inner virtues, and is also given a paradigm from philosophy:

Ο Πλάτων τῷ Ξενοκράτει βαρυτέρῳ τὸ ἡθος ὄντι τἄλλα δὲ καλῷ κἀγαθῳ παρεκελεύετο θύειν ταῖς Χάρισιν. οἷμαι δὴ καὶ τῇ σώφρονι μάλιστα δεῖν πρὸς τὸν ἄνδρα χαρίτων, ἵν' ὡς ἔλεγε Μητρόδωρος, ἡδέως συνοικῇ καὶ "μὴ ὀργιζομένη ὅτι σωφρονεῖ".

The mutual charis in the relationship between the spouses is prominent in Coniugalia Praecepta, and is associated with the idea of homonoia.

Again Plutarch applies a philosophical reading to a traditional concept. Homonoia was considered in Greek thought to be the chief blessing of a household: οὐ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ γε κρεῖσσον καὶ ἄρειον, / ἡ ὅθ' ὁμοφρονέοντε νοήμασιν οἷκον ἔχητον / ἀνὴρ ἡδὲ γυνη. 916 It implies consensus between husband and wife: like Odysseus and Penelope, a meeting of like minds. The harmonious functioning of such relationships provides a model for wider social relationships outside the oikos, a model which we have seen reversed in the use of the tragic hymenaios. In Coniugalia Praecepta, the requirements of genre – this is a treatise for a real marriage, not a dramatic subversion – dictate that the tragic breakdown of sexual and social relations cannot occur. Homonoia must be established if the transition is to be completed successfully. Nevertheless, Plutarch notes the interrelation of personal and political homonoia, and the consequences of their failure:

⁹¹⁴ LSJ s.v. χαρίζομαι, esp. 'to grant favours' erotically; see Ch.1, p.75.

⁹¹⁵ Plut. Mor. 141F2-142.2, cf. 142B1-5: a wife should cultivate her relationship with her husband through inner charis.

⁹¹⁶ Hom. Od. 6.182-4.

"συμβουλεύει περί ομονοίας, ός αύτον και την γυναικα και την

θεράπαιναν ιδία τρεῖς ὄντας ὁμονοεῖν οὐ πέπεικεν;" ἡν γὰρ ἔοικέ τις

ἔρως τοῦ Γοργίου καὶ ζηλοτυπία τῆς γυναικὸς πρὸς τὸ θεραιπαινίδιον.

εύ τοίνυν ήρμοσμένον τὸν οἶκον εἶναι δεῖ τῷ μέλλοντι άρμοζεσθαι

πόλιν καὶ ἀγορὰν καὶ φίλους.917

The result is an idealised 'rule by consensus', an (unequal) partnership which, as we will see,

is expressed in both public and private spheres. For Plutarch, to establish homonoia is to

accept one's place in a hierarchical relationship, whether within or outside of the oikos.

Swain suggests a parallel in first century writings between the method of achieving social

harmony and harmonious relations within the home in this vertical field, 918 but this parallel

does not take into account homonoia with a hierarchical master which we see articulated in

Coniugalia Praecepta in another musical simile:

΄ Ωσπερ, ἂν φθόγγοι δύο σύμφωνοι ληφθώσι, τοῦ βαρυτέρον γίγνεται τὸ

μέλος, ούτω πάσα πράξις εν οικία σωφρονούση πράττεται μεν υπ'

άμφοτέρων ομονοούντων, ἐπιφαίνει δὲ τὴν τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἡγεμονίαν καὶ

προαίρεσιν.919

⁹¹⁷ Plut. Mor. 144B12-C5, cf. Lyc. 19.3.5-6.

918 Swain (1999) 88. 919 Plut. Mor. 139C10-D2.

271

Russell's remarks on Plutarch's advice in Praecepta Gerendae Reipublicae are more telling: his aim 'could only be concord'. 920 which both bride and groom, but specifically Eurydice. are encouraged to develop (more than half the precepts are addressed to her alone). 'Peace is assured by the ruling power, freedom is conceded up to a point, prosperity and population are gifts of heaven...He counsels against resistance'. 921 So too in Coniugalia Praecepta does Plutarch address the delicate balance of power and freedom between ruler (husband) and ruled (wife), and counsel the female to accept her subordinate position:

ύποτάττουσαι μέν γάρ έαυτάς τοίς άνδράσιν επαινούνται, κρατείν δέ βουλόμεναι μάλλον τῶν κρατουμένων ἀσχημονοῦσι.922

The positions of Eurydice and the would-be Greek statesman are thus not exactly comparable - he operates in a context of homonoia between peers - though some elements, such as acceptance of the status quo, are mutually applicable. Moreover, in Praecepta Gerendae Reipublicae, the uncomfortable reality of Roman domination is ever-present in the Greek political sphere, but no mention is made in Coniugalia Praecepta of Roman influence on the position of the wife. 923 Under Roman law, all parties must consent to a marriage – a bride's resistance might therefore be more problematic than a mere literary trope. 924 Marriage

⁹²⁰ Russell (1973) 8. 921 Ibid, my emphasis.

⁹²² Plut. Mor. 142D10-E1. Yet Pollianus is advised to treat the subject in his care gently, οὐχ ὡς δεσπότην κτήματος ἀλλ' ὡς ψυχὴν σώματος (142E2-3), much in the same way as in the political advice: Plutarch 'is here preaching modesty to the Greek partners of empire, as he preaches humility to the Roman' (Russell 1973:9).

⁹²³ Foxhall (1999) 144 suggests that those elites who acquired Roman citizenship were more likely to make use of Roman family law in order to exploit this status and transmit it to their descendents; Native Greeks, while generally following Greek laws and polis customs, would nonetheless have been 'aware of Roman fashion,

custom, and to some extent, law'.

924 Digest 23.2.2: Nuptiae consistere non possunt nisi consentiant omnes, id est qui coeunt quorumque in potestate sunt, Saller (1993) 83; though this statement is problematic (87): 'even though in law a daughter's consent to a marriage may have been required, her father could in practice be assumed to take the initiative and bestow her (collocare) on a husband'. Paoli (1990) 108 adds: 'Digest 23.1.11-12 says a daughter's consent is

contracts, prominent since the Hellenistic period, specified the duties and obligations of husbands as well as wives, and their mutual agreement to enter into marriage. 925 Women could own property and initiate divorce. 926 In addition, Roman elite matronae might wield high levels of influence in both the domestic and political spheres. 927

In a milieu which had existed as the Roman province of Achaia since 27 BC, Plutarch's encouragement of Eurydice to adopt the Classical ideal of wifely submission might seem anachronistic. Adherence to such Classical models is often construed as a reaction of the subordinate Greeks to that domination. 928 Plutarch looks back to an age when Greece was at its most glorious and culturally affluent, 929 but may also reflect a reality in which provincial Greek elites operated outside of Roman cultural norms. Cultural continuity, not reaction, may therefore explain aspects of Plutarch's hymeneal discourse. Homonoia in Coniugalia Praecepta is also, like charis, inward-looking: a like-mindedness which both lays the foundation for, and acts as a guarantee of, the marital philotês expounded by the lyric wedding song (e.g. Sappho fr. 30.3-5 V). Plutarch's 'concord', however, extends beyond the wedding night represented in the verse examples and is conceptualised, as are all the precepts, as a philosophical way of life for both partners in the marital relationship. 930 We may also conclude that the other epithalamial motifs discussed above also refer more to the state of being married than the wedding itself, the traditional occasion and 'primary element'

necessary for marriage [Sponsalia sicut nuptiae consensu contrahentium fiunt: et ideo sicut nuptiis, ita sponsalibus filiam familias consentire oportet], but adds that anything short of positive resistance is taken for consent, and consent can only be refused if the proposed bridegroom is morally unfit [pr. Sed quae patris voluntati non repugnat, consentire intellegitur. 1. Tunc autem solum dissentiendi a patre licentia filiae conceditur, si indignum moribus vel turpem sponsum ei pater eligat]. Little girls of twelve [the minimum age for marriage at Rome] can have had small practical chance to refuse; but it must be remembered that your children in potestate might not be little boys or girls'. In the case of second marriages, particularly of mature women, a different balance of consent between paterfamilias and prospective bride may have to be taken into account. ⁹²⁵ See Ch.6, p.254.

 $^{^{926}}$ Plut. Mor. 140F4-5: κἂν ἡ γύνη πλείονα συμβάλληται, 144A6: ἀπόλειψιν γράφουσα.

⁹²⁷ Prominent examples include Octavia (Plut. Pub. 17.8, Marc. 30.10-11, Cic. 44.1, Ant. 31.1-87.6) and Fulvia (Ant. 10.3-30.3, Dio Cass. 47.8.4-48.28-3), wives of Antony; and Livia, wife of Augustus (Dio Cass. 48.15.4-58.2.7). Blomqvist (1997) 88-89 argues that Pompeia Plotina, wife of Trajan and adoptive mother of Hadrian, overshadows much of Plutarch's representation of women.

928 Alcock (1993) 28.

⁹²⁹ See also Alcock (1993) 195-96.

⁹³⁰ Homonoia is also mentioned as a long-term goal in later prose epithalamia; see Ch.8, p.317.

for lyric epithalamia. That the advice given to a newly-married couple should continue beyond the wedding night is a relatively limited motif in traditional epithalamia, though it is contained in some Latin examples (usque dum tremulum mouens / cana tempus anilitas / omnia omnibus annuit, Cat. 61.154-56). Those that do look to the future speak of blessings for the community or wish for fine children. 931 The theme of advice, though, can be explored in a treatise advocating marriage as a philosophical way of life. As Menander Rhetor later states, the appropriate themes for epithalamia are bridal chambers and alcoves, 932 not the correct ratio of male/female domination in a relationship, the potential licentiousness of one's groom, or how to be friend one's mother-in-law (precepts 6, 35-36, 44). While Coniugalia Praecepta uses images and ideas taken from the hymeneal tradition, its philosophical texturing as $\pi \hat{\omega} \zeta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ excludes it from this category; also from the lines of development that led from the hymenaios to the prose wedding speech:

The first leads from actual wedding songs and rituals to poetical versions of these, like those in Sappho or in Euripides' Phaethon. The second begins with the traditional custom of praising the returned victor or warrior, and leads through Pindaric epinicia to the rhetorical formulation of rules and methods of praise which was due to the fifth-century sophists or their fourth-century successors. These two lines first coalesce in what we may call rhetoricized wedding-poems: Theocritus 18 is a good example. Rhetorical prescriptions, like those of Menander, depend on both these lines of development: they draw both on the poems, 'rhetoricized' and not, and on the sophistic encomium tradition. 933

⁹³¹ See Ch.4, pp.149-52, 194-95; Ch.5, pp.221-22; Ch.6, pp.251-52; Ch.8, pp.306-7.
932 Men. Rhet. 399.12-15, 405.16-19; see Ch.8, p.309.

⁹³³ Russell & Wilson (1981) xxxiv.

Coniugalia Praecepta seems quite to belong to neither of these lines of development, though it contains some features of each. Moreover, though the text claims to be sunumenaiounta, can such an epistle, given μετὰ (after) τὸν πάτριον θεσμόν (138B1) truly lay claim to a tradition that was part of the process of this rite, performed ἐπὶ (at) τῷ θάλαμῳ? It is not an epithalamios; it appears to be created after the event, and refers to the future life of the couple. Like Sappho's 'epithalamial' poems, it is an 'epithalamial' text, one which uses topoi and language from the wedding song in order to evoke it. 934 It stresses a different aspect of the transition, though: not the separation of girls leading to marriage, but the long-term integration of man and wife following that ritual. As we saw in Sophocles, this reintegration is an ongoing process, dependent on the actions of both partners to establish an oikos and to sunoikein. Plutarch's text sets out a method for that sunoikêsis. As such, the post-transitional lament which sometimes characterises female conceptions of marriage is absent, and the institution presented in positive terms. 936

In both form and content, Coniugalia Praecepta pushes the boundaries of the epithalamium, and constitutes a philosophical discourse in which the wedding song is adapted to promote a philosophy based on erôs. Like the erotikoi logoi discussed by Goldhill, it functions as a stimulant for erôs, 937 while reflecting on and developing the tradition of erotikoi logoi. Like the playful, sophistic, erotic discourses of Leucippe and Cleitophon, the reactions of the protagonists to the text are placed under the aegis of their schooling in sôphrosunê. 938 But unlike the protagonists of the novel, the sôphrosunê of Pollianus and Eurydice is not compromised by this text – rather, Coniugalia Praecepta is expected to develop and strengthen that sôphrosunê. It takes ideas from the wedding which will be

⁹³⁴ See Introduction, p.10.

⁹³⁵ See Introduction, p.30; Ch.3, p.127.

⁹³⁶ See Ch.1, pp.52, 72; Ch.3, p.128-29.

⁹³⁷ Goldhill (1995) 68.

⁹³⁸ Goldhill (1995) 73.

relevant to the marriage as a whole – reciprocal charis, the nature of beauty, the appropriate conduct of sexual and community relations – and applies them to an art of leading married life for the sophos (wise) man and his sôphrôn (self-controlled) wife. It becomes a kind of epithalamium for those to whom marriage, rather than being a single act, is a continuing part of the process by which one examines and educates one's own soul, ⁹³⁹ reflects upon and constructs one's own identity; particularly Greek identity in the Roman Empire, a construction of paideia and philosophia. Because of the importance of the marriage bond for the construction of this identity, ⁹⁴⁰ we find in later Second Sophistic literature a valorisation of this bond above all others – it is no surprise then, that Plutarch, in a treatise emphasising the interconnectivity between marriage, education, and philosophy, should turn to the imagery and philosophy of the poetic genre that celebrates gamos above all else.

In praise of married erôs

The philosophical valorisation of marriage in the first century, then, owes much to the ideology of the epithalamium. The conjugal love expounded in Coniugalia Praecepta, further defined and praised in Amatorius, draws particularly heavily on the structure and content of the encomiastic tradition which constitutes Russell and Wilson's second 'line of development'. Here Eros (interchangeable with Gamos in the later orations) is personified, is praised for his birth or generation, beauty and accomplishments/virtues in a similar way to the encomium of Helen in Theocritus 18 (which in turn is indebted to the philosophical praise

⁹³⁹ Foucault (1986) 50-53.

⁹⁴⁰ See esp. Swain (1999) 89-90: 'Investment in the past had become a very personal matter and individual descent from named figures from the glorious past functioned as an important part of a general civic classicism. The perpetuation of this identity depended on reproduction, and it is not unreasonable to suggest that greater attention to the marriage relation and the marriage's role as a site for self-reflection should be connected with the preservation of the male elite at a time when self-consciousness of their own worth had reached new heights'.

of Eros given by Agathon in Plato's Symposium). 941 A comparison of these treatises may help us understand the relationship between Coniugalia Praecepta, Amatorius, the Platonic dialogue, and the wedding song.

The debt to Agathon's encomium is significant. While Plutarch utilises many of the discourses on love from the Symposium, 942 his own encomium of love is indebted not to Socrates' repetition of Diotima, but to the speech of Agathon which that repetition corrects. Plutarch does make use of the ascent of the soul from Diotima's teachings (Symp. 211b6-8), but his praise of love in Amatorius seems as though it returns to the καλὸν καὶ παντοδαπὸν λόγον (198b3) rejected by Socrates. Like Agathon (198e1-2), Plutarch appears to attribute the greatest and most beautiful characteristics to his subject.

When the subject of the encomium has been defined, it is first praised for its noble birth: Helen is called Ζανός θυγάτηρ, 943 but Plutarch, alluding to Agathon's encomium, praises the marriage god rather than the married couple. The divine origins of the god and his connection with nature are stressed in both Plato and Plutarch: Eros θεὸς...ἔφυ, and his divinity is insisted upon. 944 This divine love is the foundation for the most 'natural' kind of marriage in Coniugalia Praecepta:

σχεδον ούν και γάμος ο μεν των ερώντων ήνωμένος και συμφυής ἐστιν...οὕς συνοικεῖν ἄν τις ἀλλήλοις οὐ συμβιοῦν νομίσειε. 945

⁹⁴¹ Russell & Wilson (1981) xiv.

⁹⁴³ Theoc. 18.19, cf. also Men. Rhet. 402.21-403.25.

⁹⁴⁴ Plut. Mor. 756-763, cf. Plat. Symp. 197B7, Men. Rhet. 401.2-4, also Ps.-Dion. 2.2.8-15.

⁹⁴⁵ Plut. Mor. 142E10-14.

In addition to birth, the subject's physical appearance receives encomium. Such praise is traditional of the epithalamium (e.g. Sappho fr. 112.3-5 V), and is also given to Eros in Plato. His youth and beauty are emphasised: Agathon argues against Hesiod's assertion of Love's primordiality and calls him κάλλιστον ὄντα καὶ ἄριστον...πρῶτον μὲν νεώτατος θεῶν. 946 The god is described in a manner appropriate to the epithalamial couple: delicate, slender, and blushing or soft-skinned. 947 The systematic encomium of beauty is repeated by Theocritus: his maidens describe their friend as incomparable (18.20), wonder at the children of such a mother (21), and praise her with comparisons (26-31). 948 Interestingly, Plutarch deviates from this system: neither the bride, nor Love, receive any physical ekphrasis. Instead, much like the ideal beauty of the young wife, the beauty sought by Plutarch's Eros is inward-looking:

ἐκεῖ γὰρ ἀνακλᾶται πρὸς τὸ θεῖον καὶ νοητὸν κάλον· ὁρατοῦ δὲ σώματος ἐντυχὼν κάλλει καὶ χρώμενος οῖον ὀργάνῳ τινὶ τῆς μνήμης ἀσπάζεται καὶ ἀγαπᾳ, καὶ συνὼν καὶ γεγηθὼς ἔτι μᾶλλον ἐκφλέγεται τὴν διάνοιαν·949

Love is the mirror held by the housewife in Coniugalia Praecepta, whose reflection directs contemplation to higher realities than physical form (ἐν κατόπτροις εἴδωλον αὐτοῦ, Amat. 765F10): to the true form of Beauty itself, that of the soul, the êthos for which the wife should wish to be loved, which is reflected here in the philosophical contemplation of the

_

⁹⁴⁶ Plat. Symp. 195A7-B1, contra Hes. Th. 116-22; cf. Men. Rhet. 401.1-3 (Gamos and Eros as primordial gods); 404.31-405.2 (Gamos as ever-youthful, νέος ἐστὶν ἀειθαλὴς).

⁹⁴⁷ Plat. Symp. 195D1, 196A2, 5 (also Men. Rhet. 404.32), cf. Sappho fr. 115 V; Symp. 196A7 (also Men. Rhet. 404.32-405.1), cf. Sappho fr. 105a.1 V.

⁹⁴⁸ See Ch.6, pp.244-45.

⁹⁴⁹ Plut. Mor. 766A7-11.

husband. This concept of 'lover-as-mirror' is a Platonic construction, which, as in Plutarch, arises from the continued society and intercourse of lover and beloved (ὥσπερ δὲ ἐν κατόπτρῳ ἐν τῷ ἐρῶντι ἑαυτὸν ὁρῶν λέληθεν, Phdr. 255D6-7).

Though the analogies with Agathon's speech need not necessarily imply either marital or pederastic love, the 'mirror' image and references to the Phaedrus should warn of a possible homoerotic bias. In Plutarch's Alcibiades Socrates becomes a kind of reverse-mirror for Alcibiades: an εἴδωλον ἔρωτος (4.4) of whom the protagonist's love is matched only by his despite of himself. This in turn calls to mind Alcibiades' erotic antagonism towards his mentor at the end of the Symposium, and his frustration at Socrates' lack of reciprocation of his physical advances (215-22). Indeed, though in Amatorius Plutarch advocates married love, the beginning of the soul's ascent is still presented in terms of pederasty: ἔν τε σχήμασι καὶ χρώμασι καὶ εἴδεσι νέων ὤρᾳ στίλβοντα δείκνυσι καὶ κινεῖ τὴν μνήμην ἀτρέμα διὰ τούτων ἀναφλεγομένην τὸ πρῶτον (765B3-5). Even so, it is this love, which looks inward to a true reflection of the marital partner in one's own soul, that Pollianus and Eurydice are encouraged to develop:

ούτω τὸν ἀπὸ σώματος καὶ ὅρας ὀξὺν ἔρωτα τῶν νεογάμων ἀναφλεγόμενον δεῖ μὴ διαρκῆ μηδὲ βέβαιον νομιζεῖν, ἀν μὴ περὶ τὸ ἡθος ἱδρυθεὶς καὶ τοῦ φρονοῦντος ἁψάμενος ἔμψυχον λάβῃ διάθεσιν. 950

Those who love in this way, and live a life of philosophy, find that their soul grows wings and can progress upwards towards the divine. ⁹⁵¹ This contemplation of true or divine Beauty,

⁹⁵⁰ Plut. Mor. 138F3-7.

aided by Eros, seems to align the couple more with the philosopher seeking the Form of Good in Plato's Republic than with the bride and groom of the marriage hymn, ⁹⁵² yet the virtues of this god are more in keeping with both the encomiastic and epithalamial traditions. While the mortal partners (even Helen) may be praised for mundane accomplishments – crafts, music, and education ⁹⁵³ – the gods of love and marriage are given a universal sphere of influence, which directly benefits these mortals. Like Plato's philosopher-kings (Rep. 6.504A5-6), they are just and courageous, moderate and wise, and bestow the blessings of these virtues upon mankind. Plato and Plutarch acknowledge the inspiration of the god in similar ways:

ποιητής ὁ θεὸς σοφὸς οὕτως ὥστε καὶ ἄλλον ποιῆσαι· πᾶς γοῦν ποιητής γίγνεται, "κὰν ἄμουσος ἡ τὸ πρίν", οῦ ἀν Ἑρως ἄψηται. 954

The god's primary sphere of erotic love, however, while acknowledged, and indeed highly praised, in the philosophical texts, is given the more elevated function of leading men to the good and bringing harmony. 955

9

Plat. Phdr. 256B4-7. Here Plutarch again combines a hymeneal motif with Platonism in search of a philosophy of marriage, for the mirror is a traditional part of the bride's numphokomos. Like the hymenaios, the image is often used in tragedy to signify transgression, particularly of gender roles or the marriage bond, or to presage disaster: Aesch. Ag. 839; Eur. Hipp. 429, Med. 1161, El. 1071. This mirror, however, is employed positively, and its association with female kosmos is explicitly rejected in Con. Praec. in favour of an insistence that the wife provide a true reflection of her husband: 139E8-F1. This exhortation to Eurydice to subsume her own feelings in order to accurately mirror the moods of her groom has led some scholars to identify an anxiety in this motif – there is always the danger that the wife may not prove an accurate mirror, thus undermining his prohairesis and hêgemonia (Wohl 1997:177). Even the Platonic mirror, by implication, contains the potential for the distortion or subversion of accuracy, for its concave surface makes images appear as their opposites: Plat. Tim. 46A4-C7, cf. Cole (2000) 121-22. However, if we read Con. Praec. in conjunction with the Amatorius, which develops the philosophy of Eros contained in the wedding precepts, it appears that both partners may mirror one another, not reflecting to the outside world an image of personal askêsis and philosophical teaching, but refracting (anaklatai, Amat. 766A8) each other's gaze towards divine and intelligible Beauty itself.

⁹⁵² Like the Beauty sought by Plutarch's lover, the Good is the reality underlying mundane objects and concepts, cf. Plat. Rep. 6.508E.

⁹⁵³ Cf. Ch.6, p.243; Ch.8, p.304.

⁹⁵⁴ Plat. Symp. 196E1-3, cf. Plut. Mor. 762B7-10.

⁹⁵⁵ Plat. Symp. 197D5-E3.

Plutarch also acknowledges the elevated character of this Eros, which once again brings the lover to the contemplation of true Beauty. This is achieved by fusing a number of Platonic intertexts with epithalamial tradition in a variety of ways, to create a different and innovative text. In both Coniugalia Praecepta and Amatorius, Eros is the instrument of the elevation of one's being to a higher level of existence – a motif taken from the Phaedrus and developed for a new, epithalamial context. The ascent of the philosophical soul through the contemplation and pursuit of true (inner) beauty and enlightenment, in addition, draws in ideas from the Republic, though again, their application to a context of marital love gives new depth to the newlyweds' relationship.

The transition effected by marriage here is presented as a spiritual as well as social initiation – indeed, an eschatological one, for it encompasses the afterlife. Plutarch is often thought to have 'regarded marriage as a sacred institution', ⁹⁵⁸ but this perception of Eros goes further, to create a 'spiritualisation of marriage that can be seen throughout the Advice to the Bride and Groom...something new...not found in this form in any author before Plutarch'. ⁹⁵⁹ If, therefore, the marital bond is to be the locus of spirituality and philosophy, the source of the love which initiates one into $\tau \delta$ $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon i\alpha\zeta$ $\pi\epsilon\delta i\nu$, it is imperative that one who would pursue philosophy should marry in order to undergo this initiation. ⁹⁶⁰ As such, it cannot truly be argued, as does Walcot, that Plutarch's attitude to sex is:

Something essentially 'dirty', forced upon man by a combination of biological necessity and an inability to resist feminine wiles, and something, therefore, to be

⁹⁵⁶ See pp.268-69.

⁹⁵⁷ Cf. pp. 261, 272, 277.

⁹⁵⁸ Blomqvist (1997) 87.

⁹⁵⁹ Goessler (1999) 110.

⁹⁶⁰ In this Plutarch again seems to contest Plato, who never married (Ademantus τοῦ παιδίου is mentioned in Diog. Laert. 3.41, but this may be a nephew rather than Plato's son).

experienced rather than enjoyed, not spontaneously but at a set and regular time, in total privacy and without excessive passion.⁹⁶¹

While Eros is indeed presented as an undeniable force of nature as well as a god (757C10-14), 'feminine wiles' in Coniugalia Praecepta are directed towards the internal charis which facilitates the marriage relationship (141B11-C3), making sex an act of mutual and philosophical pleasure as well as the renewal of that relationship (ἀνανεοῦσθαι τὸν γάμον, 769B1-2). Time, place, and attitude all contribute, not to a polarisation of 'clean' or 'unclean' relations, but of 'sacred' and 'profane', of which the conjugal relation is held to be the most sacred, therefore to be approached with the utmost reverence (144B1-9). No longer are 'platonic' homoerotic relations perceived as the holiest bond – Plutarch maintains a dialogue with Plato in Coniugalia Praecepta and Amatorius which argues that marriage is 'ιερωτέρα (750C2), and indeed, that this sacred bond is achieved through physical consummation between husband and wife (144B1, 769A1-2).

The motif of sexual teaching in the Symposium has also been reconfigured for a nuptial usage: ⁹⁶² The thalamos is envisioned as a didaskaleion; a classroom, not simply of eutaxia or akolasia (145A1-2), but of philosophy, the teaching which will allow the wife, as well as the husband, to apprehend the intelligible Forms. Russell remarks on the high moral value attached to sex in marriage and its relation to 'the common sentiments that we find in the unphilosophical morality of Greece from the time of Menander onwards', ⁹⁶³ and Swain offers a more civic explanation, that the moralisation of sexual conduct was related to the

⁹⁶¹ Walcot (1998) 166.

⁹⁶² See p.261.

⁹⁶³ Russell (1973) 91

investment in civic life made by contemporary elites, ⁹⁶⁴ but it must also be noted in this text that one's relations with the lover – the mirror – are the locus of philosophy itself.

If the other is to be the mirror of the self, which directs the gaze towards the contemplation of truth, it is imperative that this other (in Coniugalia Praecepta, the wife) be able to act as such a mirror: that she provides an accurate reflection of one's self-definition, identity, and education. Thus Eurydice is encouraged to mirror her husband – in her thoughts and feelings, tastes and friends – to identify and meld with him as far as possible, creating an inner koinônia that goes far beyond the common management of household and children. While she is encouraged to have no feelings of her own, but to mirror his, Plutarch does acknowledge the need for mutual eunoia, and Pollianus, for his part, is advised to respect his wife's feelings and share his education with her (140A3-5, E1-2): the mutual and reciprocal creation of sympatheia by which they may both practise a philosophical marriage and thus win for themselves a kind of immortality.

Hymeneal philosophy?

The epithalamic themes and the emphasis on married Eros, developed in the encomium of the god in Amatorius, are both traditional and continue to be used in the rhetorical wedding speech. But Plutarch's vision of the philosophical marriage leading to contemplation of the divine, the purpose to which he puts these traditions, is unique. Purpose, content, and form all lift Coniugalia Praecepta from the hymeneal continuum.

⁹⁶⁴ Swain (1999) 89-90, on Galen De praen. ad. Post. 14.624.8-10: ἐν ἡ πάντες ἴσμεν ἀλλήλους ἐκ τίνων τε γεγόναμεν ὅπως τε παιδείας ἔχομεν καὶ κτήσεως καὶ τρόπου καὶ βίου: 'The perpetuation of identity depended on reproduction, and it is not unreasonable to suggest that greater attention to the marriage relation and the marriage's role as a site of self-reflection should be connected with the preservation of the male elite at a time when self-consciousness of their own worth had reached new heights'.

The lyric wedding song is part of the rites that are both instrument and guarantor of the transition of the parthenos to womanhood, to an adult role as a productive member of the household and of society. Plutarch, in applying his 'hymeneal philosophy' outside a specifically 'epithalamial' context, goes beyond the form and function of this tradition. He uses the epithalamium to create a philosophy with relevance beyond its immediate context which forms a lesson for life. Coniugalia Praecepta interacts with the hymenaios only to transcend the genre. The Sapphic motif of the 'roses of Pieria' in the epilogue provides the key to understanding this. For the epithalamium is made paradigmatic by Sappho and indeed forms a crucial part of her corpus of 'immortal daughters'. Plutarch, however, holds up the example of Sappho – though not an epithalamium – to Eurydice only to offer her a different (and to his mind at least, even better) form of immortality:

ει γὰρ ἡ Σαπφώ διὰ τὴν ἐν τοῖς μέλεσι καλλιγραφίαν ἐφρόνει τηλικοῦτον ὥστε γράψαι πρός τινα πλουσίαν

"κατθάνοισα δὲ κείσεαι, οὐδέ τις μναμοσύνα σέθεν

έσεται· οὐ γὰρ πεδέχεις ῥόδων

τῶν ἐκ Πιερίας",

πῶς οὐχί σοι μᾶλλον ἐξέσται μέγα φρονεῖν ἐφ' ἑαυτῆ καὶ λαμπρόν, ἀν μὴ τῶν ῥόδων ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν καρπῶν μετέχης, ὧν αἱ Μοῦσαι φέρουσι καὶ χαρίζονται τοῖς παιδείαν καὶ φιλοσοφίαν θαυμάζουσιν; 966

⁹⁶⁵ AP 7.407, cf. Williamson (1995) 13.

⁹⁶⁶ Plut. Mor. 145F3-146A9. This is a different usage of the 'fruit' and 'flower' topos than the loss of virginity (Introduction, pp.15-22).

The 'flowers of the Muses' are the immortal fame of poetry, but their 'fruits' which, as argued above, are constituted by aretê (cf. Amat. 750E4-6, 752B5) and cultivated by Eros, offer a superior form of immortality to those who admire education and philosophy: the immortality of the soul. ⁹⁶⁷ Plutarch's Muse is not merely a Muse of song but one of paideia and philosophia, and, as such, may join with a philosophical Aphrodite to 'ensure the tunefulness of marriage and home through discourse (logos), harmony and philosophy' (138C6-8). ⁹⁶⁸ Melos (song) is superseded by logos; Sappho's Lesbian Kreis gives way to Plutarch's household at Chaeronea as a locus for the education and socialisation of those for whom the text is intended.

It is tempting to read the elevation of the fruits of the Muses over the roses of Pieria as a Platonic assertion of the higher truth of philosophy over poetry, in keeping with the emphasis on education and the search for true Forms which relates to the Republic. ⁹⁶⁹ If Plutarch were advocating Plato's utopian rejection of poetry as a mimetic and dangerous art form, we would expect this attitude to be apparent throughout his work, and indeed, there is an anxiety as to the value of 'truth' in poetry contained in his references to lyric. ⁹⁷⁰ There is, however, self-consciousness in this positioning, as there is in Plato's simultaneous rejection and utilisation of poetic and rhetorical techniques. ⁹⁷¹ Perhaps because of his self-fashioning as an educator of Greeks, Plutarch appears more reconciled to the use of poetry as an educative and cultural tool. Other references to poetry in the corpus hold the lyric poets in high esteem – particularly Sappho, as the prime exponent of all things related to erôs: in

-

⁹⁶⁷ The traditional 'fruit' of marriage is, as pointed out to me recently by Prof. Pelling, children, and a similar transposition to 'spiritual' offspring takes place in Diotima's speech in Plat. Symp. 212a. Plutarch uses a traditional agricultural image for procreation (143B1-3) but develops this motif further in the concept of 'fruit of virtue', particularly in Amatorius.

⁹⁶⁸ Transl. Pomeroy (1999).

⁹⁶⁹ Plat. Rep. 603A7-B2. The study of mathematics is praised for the opposite effect (525D4-8). Mathematics directs the philosopher towards the study of dialectic, by which he may apprehend the intelligible Forms. Similarly, Plutarch suggests that educating Eurydice in this manner will direct her away from typically (potentially subversive) female concerns, such as witchcraft and dancing (Plut. Mor. 145C3-5).

⁹⁷⁰ E.g. Plut. Mor. esp. 16A7-B1 (Quomodo adolescens poetas audire debeat).

Murray (1996) 12-14: 'But although the dialogues are poetic they are not poetry, and it is poetry which is [Plato's] real target'.

Amatorius, Daphnaeus is encouraged to recite fr. 31, which is itself an 'epithalamial' song (763A1-6).⁹⁷²

Clues to his use of love poetry, particularly the epithalamium, are given in the opening lines (λόγον...συνυμεναιοῦντα) the answer lies in the conclusion: παιδείαν καὶ φιλοσοφίαν (146A8-9). This is not a discourse about the hymenaios, but a discourse about discourse (logos), education, and philosophy, and their importance within marriage. And here the idea of a 'locus of socialisation' becomes important, because wedding songs, with their ideals of beauty, charis, persuasion, and love, possessed a similar socialising function for young women as paideia, with its aim of justice, courage, temperance, and wisdom, did for young men: both referred to and anticipated the adult roles of each gender. A bride's education and socialisation, as we saw in Chapter 1, would normally take place under the direction of other women and was completed by her initiation by her husband into marriage and adulthood, ⁹⁷³ and music – the hymenaios in particular – would form a crucial part of this process.

In the first century AD, the idealised period of transition represented by Sappho might no longer have been the current model: 'the practicalities of Roman government entailed significant adjustments to the ways in which marriage and the family had traditionally been formulated as political entities'. 974 At the same time, the preservation of Greek identity and heritage took on even greater importance for the Greeks living under Rome, for which preservation of paideia became an essential aspect, even an ideal. And in Plutarch, whose

⁹⁷² See Ch.1, pp.42-46.

⁹⁷³ See Ch.1, esp. pp.67-68. Stafford (1999) 167 interprets a scene from an Eretria Painter epinetron as a 'traditional premarriage instruction session', wherein the bride-to-be is Harmony, attended by Aphrodite and Peitho, Kore and Hebe: '...the bride being decked out with sexual knowledge as well as with such trappings as jewelry and perfume'. The bride of Xenophon's Oeconomicus is presented as knowing as little as possible before her marriage, but the essential skills of textile production, literacy and sôphrosunê are present nonetheless (7.6, 14). ⁹⁷⁴ Foxhall (1999) 139.

'aim was to convey the essence of Hellenic paideia to his pupils, to his powerful contemporaries, and to posterity', ⁹⁷⁵ these two variables meet and must be resolved.

Eurydice has been Plutarch's pupil as much as Pollianus: διὰ στόματος ἀεὶ τὰς φωνὰς ἔχειν ἐκείνας ὧν καὶ παρθένος οῦσα παρ' ἡμῖν ἀνελάμβανες (145Ε3-4), and Plutarch enjoyed an intellectual friendship with Clea, her mother (or perhaps daughter), ⁹⁷⁶ indicating that the women of this circle had a freedom of movement and access to education far beyond that of their archaic and classical Greek contemporaries. With the increasing public role of women in this period, their education comes out of the gunaikônitis and into the public eye, and thus forms a suitable topic for a publishable treatise. Plutarch, if he is to provide a locus of socialisation for both sexes, must attempt to provide an education relevant to both. This explains the use of συνυμεναιοῦντα in the introduction: for while this is a text replete in traditional paideia, it also adopts the socialising and educative functions of the hymenaios to induct Eurydice into her adult role.

Recent scholarship has commented upon the difficulty in reconciling the two different models of gender relations apparently present in Plutarch: 977 on the one hand, he speaks happily of women's education, and recommends his wife's treatise On Adornment for Eurydice's reading (145A6-8), but on the other, he advocates a classicising ideal of female submission, silence, and seclusion. 978 The jocular approval of Ismenodora in Amatorius thus seems almost counter-intuitive, 979 and we wonder what to make of the presence of Eurydice in Plutarch's schoolroom. And yet, in this social context, the classicising ideal can hardly be said to correspond to the reality. Respectable women were seen in appropriate public situations. Timoxena acts as hostess in Plutarch's absence, and attends temples, sacrifices,

⁹⁷⁵ Russell (1973) 17.

⁹⁷⁶ See Pomeroy (1999) 34 & 42.

⁹⁷⁷ Foxhall (ed. Pomeroy 1999) 150.

⁹⁷⁸ Cf. also Blomquist (1997) 87.

⁹⁷⁹ Walcot (1998) 184. On randy widows and older women, see Hom. Od. 15.20-23, Ar. Eccl. 877-1079, Pelling (2000) 244.

and the theatre, where her manners are the wonder of all who behold her, a source of great pride to the philosopher (690C8-D7). It is assumed that Eurydice will accompany her new husband outside the house, though remain at home when he is away (139C3-5). Wives and daughters are also found in contexts traditionally pertaining to aristocratic education, such as in Septem Sapientium Convivium, in which the focus on wisdom and love serves to justify the presence of Cleobulina and Melissa. 980

All of these women, however, conform (or are expected to conform) to norms of female behaviour, suggesting that their public visibility may be less untraditional than many critics think. All are sôphrôn women, and if they are highly educated, that education is not in itself untraditional: that a husband should instruct his wife is a principle at least as old as Hesiod.⁹⁸¹ Women, too, had been trained in philosophy since the schools of the Pythagoreans, but Plutarch's resolution of these variables seems somehow different. I suggest that the cultivation of philosophy within the affective relation of husband and wife, evident in the insertion of his persona at the end of Coniugalia Praecepta, is the cause of this difference. As he teaches Timoxena in Consolatio ad Uxorem, the soul is immortal, and the love shared between philosophers gives it wings with which to ascend towards immortality (611D11-E1). By teaching philosophy to his wife, the husband can provide her with this immortality. Thus, Pollianus is encouraged to continue his wife's development within the context of their home and marriage:

τῆ γυναικὶ πανταχόθεν τὸ χρήσιμον συνάγων ὥσπερ αἱ μέλιτται καὶ φέρων αύτος εν σεαυτώ μεταδίδου και προσδιαλέγου, φίλους αυτή ποιῶν καὶ συνήθεις τῶν λόγων τοὺς ἀρίστους. πατήρ μὲν γάρ "ἐσσι"

 $^{^{980}}$ Mossman (1997) 125-26. 981 Hes. Op. 699. Lysistrata (Ar. Lys. 1124-27) claims to have learnt wisdom from her father and his friends. Cf. Pomeroy (1994) 268 on Xen. Oec.

αὐτῆ "καὶ πότνια μήτηρ ἠδὲ κασίγνητος"· οὖχ ἡττον δὲ σεμνὸν ἀκοῦσαι γαμετῆς λεγούσης "ἄνερ, 'ἀτὰρ σύ μοί ἐσσι' καθηγητὴς καὶ φιλόσοφος καὶ διδάσκαλος τῶν καλλίστων καὶ θειοτάτων". 982

This expression of the wife's dependence on the husband is modelled on Andromache's dialogue with Hector at Iliad 6.429, a scene that has been associated with a Sapphic wedding song.⁹⁸³ If the bedroom is to be a classroom for these lessons for life, then Coniugalia Praecepta, with its didactic function, is more epithalamial than it first appears.

Genre-bending

Plutarch's rhetorical and grammatical training became 'a powerful instrument of social and moral education', 984 and this education explains Plutarch's use of the epithalamium in Coniugalia Praecepta His own paideia, the core of his Greek identity, is put to use establishing the identity of the married couple; presiding, like Eros, over their initiation into adult life and life together, and their pursuit of philosophy leading to immortality. The text adopts features of the wedding hymn to make it relevant to the occasion of the marriage of two friends, a bride and groom only recently shut up in their wedding chamber (regardless of who might have read the text after its publication), and to a wider audience.

Coniugalia Praecepta also adopts the socialising function of the hymenaios. For Plutarch to claim this genre as a model for philosophy enables a meaningful transition to be

-

⁹⁸² Plut. Mor. 145B5-C2. The bee is a traditional image for female industry: Xen Oec. 7.32, Semonides fr. 7.83-9 West – the queen bee remains in the hive and oversees the labour of the worker bees. Here Pollianus is represented as a bee, suggesting that the seclusion associated with the image is developed in a different direction by Plutarch.

⁹⁸³ Pomeroy (1999) 5, cf. Schrenk (1994) 145.

⁹⁸⁴ Russell (1973) 43.

made by both partners to an adult relationship predicated on philosophical inquiry. While hierarchical, it posits a parity of experience between male and female erôs – an aspect of the epithalamium which first became part of public discourse in the Hellenistic period, and continues under the Roman Empire. The insertion of an authorial persona is self-reflexive, directing the viewer's gaze from the text to Plutarch's philosophy of erôs, exemplified by his own life and the lifestyle he recommends for his friends and readers. It is thus a statement of his ability to educate both sexes in a social, personal, and sexual bond which will both create and perpetuate a Greek identity through the 'fruits that the Muses bring': the virtue and divine truth attained by the philosophical marriage.

CHAPTER EIGHT

HOW TO COMPOSE AN EPITHALAMIUM: MENANDER RHETOR

'The third general topic is that of the bridal pair (τῶν νυμφίων). This is most elegantly (χαριέστατος) handled if it proceeds by complex counter-examination (συμπλοκὴν

άντεξεταστικώς)...'

(Menander Rhetor 403.26-28)

'It was love at first sight, nice and simple. She was nice, and he...'

(Best Man speech, 2005)

Menander Rhetor's Peri Epithalamiou and Peri Kateunastikou make an appropriate finale for this thesis. No Second Sophistic epithalamia survive, but in these prescriptions we see a reconfiguration of the tradition: looking back to the past to prescribe a method for the future. Menander's project is, as the quotations above demonstrate, highly successful: the speeches made by the Best Man and father of the bride at weddings today hark back (with a few adjustments to accommodate modern humour) to his programme of structured encomia.

The choruses of youths and maidens who performed our lyric wedding songs have vanished;⁹⁸⁵ commemoration and celebration of this rite of passage falls instead to the male sophist or rhetor. Communality of performance is no longer a feature, but the speaker still must convey the desirability of reproducing the community through marriage. And while the rhetor draws upon elements of the lyric wedding song in order to situate his work firmly

-

⁹⁸⁵ See Introduction, pp.11-14.

within the Greek literary tradition, the everyday philosophy and Platonic erôs of Plutarch is influential. As in Plutarch, marriage is presented as an initiation for both partners, which has fundamental implications for the representation of the transition in these sophistic texts. 986

Heath has recently demonstrated that Menander wrote within a continuous, if not thriving, tradition of rhetorical handbooks, 987 and that, far from being fanciful schoolbook exercises with little or no relevance to life, these treatises, like the poetic genres from which they were crystallised, arose from a real-life need.⁹⁸⁸ The primary element 'wedding' is distanced from the prescriptive text, but provides important occasions for the application of those prescriptions. Rhetoric became a vehicle for preserving Greek cultural heritage and the transmission of values shared by the audience (as did the hymenaios), at a time when that culture, already balanced between Greek identity and Roman domination, came increasingly under threat from social instability, 989 and its values were perceived as questioned and challenged by Christianity. 990 Not only does the epithalamial genre adapt and survive to the end of the Second Sophistic and into the Byzantine, Renaissance, and Modern eras, 991 but the very concept of genre also becomes integral to the preservation of the values it transmits. The strict definition of the occasions for public speech can be seen as a reflection of Greek identity, of man's place in the social structures of which he is a part. It is a formulation of practice, 992 for life as well as the art that imitates it.

⁹⁸⁶ See Ch.7, esp. p.282.

⁹⁸⁷ Heath (2004) 52-53.

⁹⁸⁸ Also Whitmarsh (2005) 56.

⁹⁸⁹ The 'third century crisis' of 235 to 285 AD, in which 'a new problem arose [the combination of Persian pressure to the east and Germanic invasion to the north] which exacerbated old weaknesses in the imperial system' (that the empire was militarily unready to face powerful adversaries, faced ongoing fiscal difficulties, and was governed by an unstable succession of emperors until Diocletian), Drinkwater (2005) esp. 58-59.

⁹⁹⁰ It is uncertain as to how far Christianity actually threatened traditional Greco-Roman values; cf. Clarke (2005) 589-671. It appears that the challenge Christianity was perceived to pose to traditional structures and institutions was manifested mainly in their refusal to sacrifice, thus undermining public religion and divine

⁹⁹¹ Wilson (1948) 37, Cameron (1965) 479-80, Viljamaa (1968) 125-31, with review by Musurillo (1969) 326-27, Tufte (1970), D'Elia (2002). 992 Russell & Wilson (1981) xi.

The treatises on wedding speeches are such a departure from our poetic examples that the best way to make sense of them is to return to the most basic questions of this dissertation:⁹⁹³ how is the epithalamium being developed by Menander (and Pseudo-Dionysius) in these prescriptions, and why? With what sort of model for gender relations does this text provide us? And how does this inform our knowledge of the cultural context of this work?

The Sophist's Seduction

The most striking and obvious development in the Second Sophistic epithalamium is its generic form. A rhetorical element had been present in elite nuptial literature at least since the Hellenistic period (the encomia of the Epithalamium for Helen), 994 but Menander Rhetor and Pseudo-Dionysius suppose a prose, rather than verse, form, extant in the later works of Himerius (fourth century) and Choricius (late fifth/early sixth century). This adaptation to prose, specifically to oratory, is not unique to the epithalamial genre, but rather part of a more general trend which sees many of the functions of poetry being taken over by prose from the fourth century BC;995 the spread of literacy (at least among upper-class Greeks) perhaps contributing to the composition and dissemination of many great rhetorical works of this period. Alongside the judicial and deliberative works of the Classical period, epideictic flourished as a means of display and commemoration of power and culture by and for those with access to the education that gave rise to these orations – the epitaphios in Thucydides can be seen as one example of the triumph of logos over melos: καὶ οὐδὲν προσδεόμενοι ούτε Ομήρου επαινέτου ούτε όστις έπεσι μεν το αυτίκα τέρψει, των δ' έργων

⁹⁹³ See Introduction, p.35.

⁹⁹⁴ Russell & Wilson (1981) xxxiii; see Ch.6, p251; Ch.7, pp.277-78.
⁹⁹⁵ Russell (1979) 111, Russell & Wilson (1981) xiii, Kennedy (1994) 225: 'by the first century poetry was in decline and its social functions were being taken over by epideictic prose'.

τὴν ὑπόνοιαν ἡ ἀλήθεια βλάψει. 996 This oration is a political prose speech expounding the values of the Athens for which the war-heroes perished, and as part of those values, encouraging the imitation of men, and the silence and restraint of women, towards the dead; replacing the almost ecstatic laments familiar from Homer. In its masculine sobriety and replacement of communal song by the voice of a single speaker, its exhortation to men and ideals of female behaviour, this literary oration may be seen as programmatic for the much later epithalamios logos.

The distinction between prose and verse is less polemical than may be assumed: the sophists and rhetors saw both as logos. 997 Logos covers many different meanings, not simply 'word', and its cognates, but also 'reason' and its associated ideas; effective forms of communication. 998 Thus could rhetoric assume the traditional role of poetry, for both possessed a similar dunamis and similar function:

The essence of the rhetorical attitude to literature is that every form of $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \varsigma$, verse or prose, is a form of persuasion, and is to be judged by its effectiveness for this purpose. 999

The persuasiveness with which students of rhetoric in later antiquity were taught to apply their arguments is, ¹⁰⁰⁰ I will argue, just as applicable to epideictic as to more 'civic' oratory. Peithô had always been inherent to the wedding song; 1001 now, it becomes its explicit and stated purpose, and those who would make these speeches received formal training in the art

996 Thuc. 2.41.4, see Loraux (1986) for the rejection of the thrênos by the epitaphios, also 53 for discussion of this quote. 997 Russell (1967) 131, on Gorgias Enc. Hel. 9.3-4.

⁹⁹⁸ LSJ s.v. λόγος.

⁹⁹⁹ Russell (1967) 132.

¹⁰⁰¹ See Ch.1, p.75; Ch.2, pp.92, 99; Ch.4, p.176; Ch.7, pp.266-67.

of persuasion. If public speech had previously been seen as seductive, ¹⁰⁰² how much more so when applied to that most seductive of contexts, the union of man and wife on their wedding night? This persuasion is unlike the peithô of the traditional epithalamium, for the speech addresses the wider audience, not the bride or groom, the 'primary elements' of the marriage hymn. ¹⁰⁰³ If the audience, who share in the cultural and educational system of the rhetor, have employed him to commemorate the occasion, they show themselves willing to be persuaded – or, as I will discuss, already so.

Kennedy describes epideictic rhetoric as 'any discourse that does not aim at specific action but is intended to influence the values and the beliefs of its audience'. 1004 Yet this 'influence' and 'persuasion' perhaps better describes deliberative and/or forensic oratory than epideictic. A 'display' speech performed by an elite Greek male for an audience of elite Greek males assumes a shared set of values and assumptions –peithô should perhaps also already be assumed; the epideictic orator is preaching to the choir. His persuasion may function more in the context of reaffirmation of those values, at which point it becomes ritualistic and symbolic rather than strictly functional – in much the same way that the language of peithô and erôs functioned within the ritualised, idealised space of the lyric epithalamium. 1005 In examining the rhetor's exhortations, it is therefore possible to understand some of the expectations and values of his audience.

In the context of the traditional epithalamium, this rhetorical persuasion would function to seduce the bride and groom into sexual union, thereby to effect the passage to adulthood of the girl and continue the household, and hence the society of which it was a

-

¹⁰⁰² Buxton (1982) 31.

¹⁰⁰³ Contrast the kateunastikos (addressed to the bridegroom), Ps.-Dion. Ars Rhet. 4.3.17-17 (to the couple).

¹⁰⁰⁴ Kennedy (1994) 4.

¹⁰⁰⁵ The epitaphios is again illuminating for such reaffirmation: οἱ μὲν πολλοὶ τῶν ἐνθάδε ἤδη εἰρηκότων ἐπαινοῦσι τὸν προσθέντα τῷ νόμῃ τὸν λόγον τόνδε...ἐπειδὴ δὲ τοῖς πάλαι οὕτως ἐδοκιμάσθη ταῦτα καλῶς ἔχειν (Thuc. 2.35.1-3).

part, through the production of legitimate children.¹⁰⁰⁶ In a prescriptive treatise, this function is more problematic. The speech assumes an elite audience, and if not all-male, at least (in the Greek east) likely to be sexually segregated.¹⁰⁰⁷ The audience are presented with encomia for all of the participants in the marriage, as well as some of its accourrements:

λόγος δ' ἐστὶν ὑμνῶν θαλάμους τε καὶ παστάδας καὶ νυμφίους καὶ γένος, καὶ πρό γε πάντων αὐτὸν τὸν θεὸν τῶν γάμων. 1008

While the prescribed topoi would seem to be merely a collection of commonplaces or thematic encomia, 1009 the material on the bridal chambers and alcoves, the couple and their families, and the god of marriage, convey ideals or norms of behaviour. In common with their later Renaissance manifestations, the public and performative nature of these wedding orations demonstrates the popularity and acceptance among their elite audiences, not only of the values espoused by the epithalamium, but also the portrayal of marriage and gender therein. 1010 The sophist enacts the seduction of the audience, both through the persuasiveness of his speech and the sanctioned eroticism of the genre, into acceptance of and complicity with his values; in the same way that peithô functioned in the poetic wedding song to win over the bride to acceptance and complicity with the union, but the participation of the audience in the occasions already indicates such complicity. 1011

The address of the author to the putative sophist envisages a male speaker, a man with access to a formal, rhetorical system of education, and thus one able to appreciate the divisions of epideictic apparent from the very openings of the texts: $\epsilon i \delta o \zeta$ or $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

. .

¹⁰⁰⁶ Cf. Ch.1, pp.73-76.

¹⁰⁰⁷ Russell (1979) 109.

¹⁰⁰⁸ Men. Rhet. 399.12-15.

¹⁰⁰⁹ Russell & Wilson (1981) xii; cf. also Kennedy (1994) 226 on such commonplaces in Ps.-Dionysius.

¹⁰¹⁰ D'Flia (2002) 381

¹⁰¹¹ See Patterson (1999) 131; Ch.7, p.266-67.

συντόνως, οἱ δὲ συγγραφικώτερον...συνέστραπται λόγος...προοίμιά τε ἑγκατεσκευασμένα (399.16-12). Ps.-Dionysius imagines a similar scenario: ὁπηνίκα κομιδῆ νέος ἀν παρ' ἐμοὶ τὴν πρώτην ὁδὸν τῶν ὑητορικῶν μετήεις...καὶ τούτων τὰ εἰς τὸν περὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ γάμου λόγον συντείνοντα καὶ τὴν προτροτὴν τὴν εἰς αὐτόν (Ars Rhet. 2.1.16-2.2.1). The language and educational scenario envisaged presuppose training beyond the means, not only of the masses, but also of women. The presence of sophists at elite wedding feasts is attested both in Menander (400.25) and by Lucian (Symp. 21.7), 'a display of culture, as well as wealth'. The male voice is probably our greatest indicator of an androcentric audience, for, as with the war-widow of the Thucydidean epitaphios, the bride (traditionally the central figure of the epithalamium) is little spoken of by or among men:

τῆς παρθένου δὲ φυλάξη διὰ τὰς ἀντιπιπτούσας διαβολὰς κάλλος ἐκφράζειν, 1013

Himerius is shown to not take this injunction too seriously, 1014 thus Menander seems to portray a deliberate anachronism; an 'oriental seclusion' or classical Athenian ideal of respectable invisibility for women.

As in the Hellenistic epithalamium, which uses lyric models of female ritual expression and praise of female beauty as its model, ¹⁰¹⁵ the sophistic wedding oration identifies with a particular cultural paradigm: in this case, the perceived acme of Hellenic

¹⁰¹² Russell (1979) 104.

¹⁰¹³ Men. Rhet. 404.11-12, cf. Thuc. 2.45.2.3-5; Russell (1979) 109: 'this is presumably a realistic touch, a concession to actual need'.

¹⁰¹⁴ Cf. Russell (1979) 109. ¹⁰¹⁵ See Ch.6, pp.261-62.

civilisation. ¹⁰¹⁶ In the assumption of poetry's hymeneal function by rhetoric, it is implied that the epithalamium as a vehicle for public female speech has disappeared. The gender-specific communality of the ritual is no longer present – at least, not in a form which supposes ritual interaction and interdependence. In the absence of these voices, the mixed emotions characteristic of women's wedding songs are no longer a feature. Not only does this genre now seem to espouse female silence and seclusion, but the negative aspects of the marriage rite which liken the nuptial transition to death are elided. The male-articulated wedding speech, like the masculine hymenaios of Aristophanes' Peace, values marriage as a purely positive and beneficial institution. 1017

Gone is the suggestion that the new gunê may view the loss of virginity, and with it the loss of her old life, with regret, ηρ' ἔτι παρθενίας ἐπιβάλλομαι; 1018 Instead, the address to the elite male audience takes its cue from a standard thesis of the rhetorical progymnasmata, 'should one marry?':

τὰ δὲ μετὰ τὰ προοίμια ἔστω περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ γάμου λόγος ὥσπερ θετικὸς καθόλου τὴν ἐξέτασιν περιέχων ὅτι καλὸν ὁ γάμος. 1019

Any potential protestations are dismissed as coy foreplay later in the kateunastikos:

¹⁰¹⁶ Whitmarsh (2005) 5: Philostratus (VS 1.481.22-26) roots the Second Sophistic in the 'prestigious tradition' of Classical Athens – although Aeschines is said to have founded the movement after his exile from that city; see Ch.7, p.274.

¹⁰¹⁷ For marriage to death, see esp. Ch.2, pp.82-83; Ch.3, pp.117-18; Ch.4, pp.146-47, 169, 185; contra esp. Ch.5, p.212 (rejuvenation).

¹⁰¹⁸ Sappho fr. 107 V; see esp. Introduction, pp.15-22.
1019 Men. Rhet. 400.31-401.2, cf. Ps.-Dion. Ars Rhet. 2.1; see Ch.7, p.264.

ἐὰν δέ σε καὶ ἀπατῶν ἐπιχειρήση αἱμύλα κωτίλλουσα, φύλαξαι τὴν ἀπάτην· περίκειται γὰρ καὶ ᾿Αφροδίτης κεστόν, ἐν ῷ διὰ λόγων ἐστὶν ἀπάτη· 1020

Russell sees the positivity of marriage as 'conventional' and notes 'how much it depended on popular philosophical preaching', ¹⁰²¹ and nowhere is this popular philosophy more apparent than in Menander's description of the god of marriage. As discussed in Chapter 7, the Menandrean Gamos owes much to the Platonic Eros, a theme which is expounded in Plutarch with a new emphasis on married love and its function as a path to virtue within the philosophical marriage. ¹⁰²² One may praise either Gamos or Eros, depending on which god the rhetor has chosen for personification at the outset. ¹⁰²³ The god is praised according to the same structure recommended for other orations in the treatise (including praise of the bridal couple): for his origins, nature, accomplishments, and actions, a combination of structure and subject which is clearly owed to both Plato and Plutarch. Marriage is divine:

ἄρξη δὲ ἄνωθεν, ὅτι μετὰ τὴν λύσιν τοῦ χάους εὐθὺς ὑπὸ τῆς φύσεως ἐδημιουργήθη ὁ γάμος, εἰ δὲ βούλει, ὡς Ἐμπεδοκλῆς φησι, καὶ <ὁ> ἔρως. 1024

He is both a demiurge and civilizing force:

1.0

¹⁰²⁰ Men. Rhet. 407.9-12.

¹⁰²¹ Russell (1979) 106.

¹⁰²² See Ch.7, pp.277-78.

¹⁰²³ Men. Rhet. 405.4-6.

 $^{^{1024}}$ Men. Rhet. 401.2-4, cf. Plat. Symp. 197b7, Plut. Mor. 756A2-3; Ch.7, p.278. In Ps.-Dion, marriage comes from the gods (Ars Rhet.): 2.2.6-16.

γενόμενος δὲ ὁ θεὸς οῦτος συνάπτει μὲν οὐρανὸν τῆ γῆ, συνάπτει δὲ Κρόνον τῆ 'Ρέα, συνεργοῦντος αὐτῷ πρὸς ταῦτα τοῦ ἔρωτος· εῖτα ἐφεξῆς ἐρεῖς ὅτι ἡ τῶν ὅλων διακόσμησις διὰ τὸν γάμον γέγονεν, ἀέρος, ἀστέρων, θαλάσσης· τοῦ γὰρ θεοῦ τούτου τὴν στάσιν παύσαντος καὶ συνάψαντος ὁμονοία καὶ τελετῆ γαμηλίω τὸν οὐρανὸν πρὸς τὴν γῆν, ἄπαντα διεκρίθη καὶ στάσιν οἰκείαν ἔλαβεν. 1025

Gamos (or Eros) also owes his physical form to Agathon's encomium of Love:

ότι νέος ἐστὶν ἀειθαλὴς ὁ Γάμος, λαμπάδα φέρων ἐν ταῖν χεροῖν, ραδινός, ἐρυθήματι τὸ πρόσωπον καταλαμπόμενος, ἵμερον ἀποστάζων ἐκ τῶν ὁμμάτων καὶ τῶν ὁφρύων. 1026

In addition, the accomplishments of the marriage god form the very basis of civilised society: through him exists sailing, farming, philosophy, astrology, laws and politics (401.22-26). This philosophical representation seems to suppose an audience of pepaideumenoi, and thus provide further evidence for a male audience, yet, as Plutarch's Coniugalia Praecepta and Amatorius show, it was equally possible for Greco-Roman women to appreciate the nuances of this portrayal. Though the primary element 'bride' does not feature as significantly in the rhetorical prescriptions as in previous epithalamia, it is possible to understand her as an indirect recipient of the oration. Plutarch's ideal wife talks only to or through her husband

-

¹⁰²⁵ Men. Rhet. 401.4-12, cf. Plat. Symp. 197a2-4. The function of the god as the origin of all civilised accomplishments is echoed in Diotima's speech: Eros is an intermediary between men and gods, the origin of manteia and magic (202e3-203a7); the demiurge of sôphrosunê and dikaiosunê (209a3-8); and the cause of elevation to science – particularly the science of beauty (211c5-8).

¹⁰²⁶ Men. Rhet. 404.31-405.2, cf. Plat. Symp. 195c1-196b2, contr. Plut. Mor. 766A6-11; Ch.7, pp.279-80.

(142D3-4); perhaps Menander's bride is addressed in the same way. Women are not so much effaced, as they are treated according to the mores of classicising 'respectability'.

Many traditional marriage hymns contain mythical or divine paradigms, and Menander's speeches recommend them as germane to the wedding oration. These narratives are often used as comparison with the bride or groom, elevating the mortal participants to a higher sphere. Here, Gamos provides an oblique exhortation to practice that which is praiseworthy. The attribution of these values to the god, and the benefits that arise from his influence, suggests that married life offers its own, secular, virtue. This is a sophistic adaptation of a Plutarchian marital aretê, and directly contradicts ascetic Christian values. The early Church Fathers 'generally followed Paul in holding that marriage was necessary but that celibacy was by far the better state', an ideal that existed in tension with the nature of marriage as a largely civic institution directed towards the ordered continuation of society. 1031

The rhetors, as part of the elite class on which that social system relied for its survival, promoted traditional values and institutions, ¹⁰³² and the assertion that virtue may be gained through marriage instead of its denial offers something to both pagan and Christian audiences. Menander's prescriptions are relevant to either religion (the Latin epithalamia demonstrate that mythological figures can also be used in Christian marriage poetry as personifications of their appropriate aspects, and have been shown to offer a sophisticated

¹⁰²⁷ Sappho frr. 23.3-4, 111.5-6 V, cf. Himer. Or. 9.16; Ar. Av. 1730-41, Luc. Symp. 41.4-11; Men. Rhet. 400.11-22, 401.28-402.20; the gods are imagined as being present at 404.20-29; comparisons are also used in the kateunastikos: 405.24-28, 408.33-409.8, with the gods again personified at 406.18-407.9, 411.9-13.

¹⁰²⁸ See Ch.2, p.86; Ch.4; p.153; Ch.5, p.224.

¹⁰²⁹ See Ch.7, esp. p.286.

¹⁰³⁰ D'Elia (2002) 390.

Cameron (1994) 154, Cooper (1996) 45-46: 'the narrative strategy of the Acts of Andrew and the other Apocryphal Acts borrows from and inverts the ideology of $er\bar{o}s$ and the city's regeneration...in the ancient novel'

¹⁰³² Whitmarsh (2005) 15: the sophists strove for a particular form of identity; a fusion of manliness, elitism, and Greekness.

development of the 'gods are present' theme), ¹⁰³³ and so creates a text that is widely applicable during a period of potential instability in the valuation of marriage itself. Clark notes the tension between the attraction to and support of the Church for the 'lofty virtue' of celibacy and proper deference to the wishes of one's family. ¹⁰³⁴ Menander holds out marriage as an alternative route to the virtuous life, an institution already regarded as positive by the Platonic and Stoic traditions (popular with pagans and Christians alike), ¹⁰³⁵ and one which, as the erotic works of Plutarch suggest, can itself lead to contemplation of and communion with the divine. ¹⁰³⁶

If the rhetor values marriage positively as a path to virtue, one would expect his encomia of the families to reflect this, but it is Ps.-Dionysius, not Menander, who speaks of the positive reflection on one's family of marriage. Marriage is a social transition not just for the couple, but for their community:

Καὶ ὅτι ἐκ τούτου πρῶτον μὲν συνοικίαι ἐγένοντο, εἶτα καὶ κῶμαι, εἶτα καὶ πόλεις. ἐκ δὲ τῶν ἐπιγαμῶν καὶ ἡ γνῶσις πλείων καὶ ἡ συγγένεια ὑπερορίοις. 1037

Menander, by contrast, speaks of their existing achievements. His injunction is telling: θηρευέτω γὰρ ὁ λόγος τὰ ἐνδοξότερα (403.17-18). If neither family has any distinction, the speaker is to pass quickly over this part of the speech onto praise of the bride

¹⁰³³ Roberts (1989) 333.

¹⁰³⁴ Clark (1993) 52.

¹⁰³⁵ See Ch.7, p.264.

¹⁰³⁶ See Ch.7, p.269.

¹⁰³⁷ Ps.-Dion. Ars Rhet. 2.5.11-14; see Introduction, pp.33-34. The idea of cross-border networks of marriage-alliance goes against the classical Athenian ideal, but was a feature of the provincial elite under the Empire (as well as those of Archaic and early classical Greece): Alcock (1993) 155. One corollary of this, however, could be 'a diminishing sense of local identity by indigenous ruling classes and their concomitant alienation from the rest of the subject population'. Menander Rhetor's prescriptions praise those who do not succumb to this alienation and remain involved in the civic life of their poleis.

and groom. The value-system of this section is apparent in its treatment rather than explicit content: if ideals are expressed through panegyric, then the encomium of those contracting the marriage is an exhortation to lamprotês, the acts of outstanding euergetism perceived to be the civic duty of the upper classes. ¹⁰³⁸

Indeed, in the same way that their parents are encouraged to perform the traditional achievements of the notable citizen, the young couple is envisaged to have mastered the achievements traditionally appropriate to their own roles: he in παιδεία, λύρα, μούσαις οτ λόγοις, she in σεμνότητι οτ ιστουργίαις καὶ 'Αθηνᾶς καὶ Χαρίτων ἔργοις, and both possess ἀρετὰς, σωφροσύνην, and ἐπιείκειαν (403.29-404.4). Virtue is treated equally as regards both sexes, a Platonic idea, but the division of qualities presents a very traditional model of gender: he is outstanding in education, music, letters; she in dignity and traditional female tasks. Is this another deliberate classicism? Or does it reflect a particular reality, in which the everyday roles of the married couple were not much changed in their basic structure since classical times? Though some women, particularly Roman aristocrats, are notorious for their assumption of public roles, 1039 for the great majority, the household and family would still have formed the basic fact of their existence. Pidelectic in praise of traditional gender roles promotes a positive valuation of these, or of the household as the central unit of community and society, as something desirable and praiseworthy.

Also desirable and praiseworthy is the beauty of the bridal couple, which should be treated as regards them both: $\pi\alpha\rho$ ' $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\hat{o}i\nu$ (404.5). This is hardly surprising in an

¹⁰³⁸ Plutarch (Dem. 2.2.1-3) states: ἡμεῖς δὲ μικρὰν μὲν οἰκοῦντες πόλιν, καὶ ἵνα μὴ μικοτέρα γένηται φιλοχωροῦντες. Alcock (1993) 102, 114, 154, 210, Whitmarsh (2005) 12: 'the dominant ideal of philotimia or ambitio provided a vertical framework within which the ambitious elite competed for the rewards of Roman recognition'.

¹⁰³⁹ See Ch.7, p.274.

This in itself was seen as a hindrance to virtue, male and female, by many Christian writers, whose treatises in praise of virginity 'either aim to persuade women that domestic life is drudgery or men that they do not need women to look after the house': Clark (1993) 98.

epithalamium, 1041 but it is subtly adapted to rhetoric articulated by the male voice. We have previously seen how Plutarch, in his marital philosophy, encourages the bride to cultivate an inner, rather than outer, beauty (141D4-5), 1042 and beauty, particularly seductive female beauty, is represented as dangerous and potentially disruptive to society $(\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\nu)$ in the earliest Greek texts. 1043

Menander Rhetor not only envisages female beauty positively, but in his equal treatment of the topos as regards both 'bride' and 'groom' (the 'primary elements') he creates parity between the two. The equal treatment of the participants is a traditionally epithalamic feature (Sappho frr. 112, 116, 117 V), suggesting the distinct but interdependent nature of male and female. In Imperial literature, this parity is explored more fully in the Greek novel: the central couple are equally young and equally beautiful. This allows for mutual desire which culminates in legitimate marriage. This beauty, then, is conducive to the continuance of society (it is an integral part of his exhortation to intercourse in the kateunastikos, 407.4-7).

This is a far cry from patristic assertions that physical attractiveness functioned as a foil, or even an indicator, of immorality. The virtues of femininity, which include chaste beauty, are represented in a positive, though conservative, light. In an Empire experiencing social instability, and in the face of the exempla offered by publicly powerful Imperial women and outspoken Christian martyrs, the rhetor holds out a dolon aipun of his own as a lure to sanctioned eroticism and the future stability of cities through legitimate reproduction – the $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \ \chi \alpha \rho \acute{\epsilon} \delta \sigma \alpha$ of the epithalamium, 1046 a blushing bride endowed no longer with a

¹⁰⁴¹ E.g. Sappho frr. 23, 106, 108, 112, 113, 115 V, Theoc. 18.20-38; Ch1, pp.73-76 (mutuality).

¹⁰⁴² Ch.7, p.270.

¹⁰⁴³ E.g. Hes. Th. 585-89; see Ch.5, pp.231-32.

¹⁰⁴⁴ See Ch.1, p.76.

Paul, Tertullian, John Chrysostom, Philo, and Jerome write on this subject: Bloch (1987) 11-15, Cameron (1994) 153

¹⁰⁴⁶ Sappho fr. 108 V.

'doglike mind' and 'deceitful nature', 1047 but with philosophic virtue as well as modest dignity and good looks, a benefit rather than a bane: μεταδιδόντων ἡμῶν ταῖς ἑαυτῶν γυναιξίν τῶν δυσχερῶν καὶ τῆ κοινωνία παρηγουρομένων (Ps.-Dion Ars Rhet. 2.5.3-4).

The gods of love and reproduction, imagined as present in the bridal chamber, are a further rhetorical strategy in this implementation of an idealisation of marriage, lending an almost sacred aspect to the consummation (further enhanced by the use of telos, implying 'initiation', throughout the kateunastikos). This erotic-sacerdotal element was, as we have seen, present in the hieros gamos motif of the Aristophanic hymenaioi, and to a lesser extent in Euripides' Phaethon. 1048 In Menander Rhetor, as well as in Plutarch, it elevates marriage to the level of mystic initiation, 1049 whose resultant olbos is a form of immortality: Plutarch's of the soul, Menander's of the human race. In addition, the presence of gods creates a charming and attractive setting for this initiation:

συνελήλυθε μέν οῦν ἡ πόλις, συνεορτάζει δὲ ἄπασα, πεπήγασι δὲ παστάδες οίαι οὐχ ετέρω ποτέ, θάλαμος δὲ πεποίκιλται ἄνθεσι καὶ γραφαῖς παντοίαις, πολλὴν δὲ τὴν 'Αφροδίτην ἔχει·1050

Concluding the speech with a prayer (404.28-29, cf. Theoc. 18.50-53) adds to this sacral atmosphere. Here the prescription turns again to the couple: τέξετε παίδας ὑμίν τε ομοίους καὶ ἐν ἀρετῆ λαμπρούς (404.27-28). The 'primary elements' are again the subjects of the rhetor's persuasion. His encomia may be directed at the bridal chambers and

¹⁰⁴⁷ Hes. Op. 67. ¹⁰⁴⁸ Cf. Ch.4, p.151; Ch.5, esp. p.223.

¹⁰⁴⁹ See Ch.7, p.282.

¹⁰⁵⁰ Men. Rhet. 404.17-20.

alcoves, the couple, their families, and the god, but his moral thrust is telling: 'bear children'.

The rhetor holds the audience in his power through the force of his logos, speaking to them

not only with the voice of their own class but also as the voice of their own self-interest.

With this kind of social cohesion in mind, marriage (as in Plutarch) is the seat of

homonoia, the concord by which the universe is ordered (401.9-12). Russell argues for the

obvious promotion of virtue in Ps.-Dionysius' treatise, but says that the moral emphasis is

'much less conspicuous' in Menander; 1052 I would argue that the morality of the text is

contained within its very epideictic structure, in the exhortation to virtue through panegyric

thereof, to the civic duty and civic piety appropriate to an educated, distinguished Greek,

evident in the reference to the imagery of civilisation on the shield of Achilles (405.8).

Menander offers a powerful argument for marriage, based on a convincing (if artistic)

treatment of traditional morals and models:

In the ideological contest between Christianity and paganism for the hearts and minds

of the Greeks, the sophists as a group were influential teachers of the old religion and

values. 1053

Marriage was not merely necessary, but beneficial, a worthy subject for epideictic and

therefore indicative of moral and social status. Classical models provide not simply attractive

examples for the present oration, but a value system prescribed for the future.

'Fight in a Manner Worthy of Your Fathers'

¹⁰⁵¹ See Ch.7, p.271. ¹⁰⁵² Russell (1970) 106, 108. ¹⁰⁵³ Kennedy (1994) 230.

306

Ancient literary models also provide the paradigm for the kateunastikos speech, the 'exhortation to intercourse'. 1054 This oration is roughly concordant with Ps.-Dionysius' Epithalamios, but differs in tone and content (the latter contains much the same material as his Methodos gamêliôn, but with an exhortation to concord) and indeed is envisaged to function for the song at the chamber door. The rhetor is imagined as deploying his persuasive force towards an individual (albeit with an audience present), to 'rise to the task' once the moment is upon him. The exhortation to intercourse is implicitly an exhortation to continue the household and community, and so, like the epithalamios logos, the kateunastikos has an inherent social function, speaking to the young man not only as a bashful bridegroom, but as an anêr (man/husband) among andres of his class. The genre is traditional, 1055 thus, the imagery and morality of this oration are largely conservative. Yet they provide clues to the interpretation of gender relations in the cultural context of this treatise.

The form of the text has changed from the familiar poetic epithalamium. The bridegroom is addressed directly in a number of wedding songs, either in praise (Sappho frr. 112.1, 115 V, Eur. Pha. 240-44, Ar. Pax 1332-33, Av. 1725) or in jest (Theoc. 18.9-11). He may be addressed either by women/girls (Sappho fr. 30.2-5 V, Eur. Pha. 218, Theoc. 18) or by men (Ar. Pax 1332-59). In Menander, the groom is addressed en route to the thalamos by the rhetor, and the variety in modes of address – as a brother or relation, as a fellow married man, or even a stranger (younger or older) - strongly resembles the divisions of the propemptic (departure) speech expounded by Menander, lending the oration a symbouleutic air. 1056 As such, the ithyphallic joking and fescennina iocatio, already absent from the philosophical treatise of Plutarch, disappears even from the bedroom speech:

 $^{^{1054}}$ Men. Rhet. 405.19-23, cf. Sappho frr. 110, 111 V; Theoc. 18. 1055 Men. Rhet. 405.19-21.

¹⁰⁵⁶ Cairns (1972) 236.

φυλακτέον δ' ἐν τούτῳ, μή τι τῶν αἰσχρῶν μηδὲ τῶν εὐτελῶν ἢ φαύλων λέγειν δόξωμεν, καθιέντες εἰς τὰ αἰσχρὰ καὶ μικρά, λέγειν γὰρ δεῖ ὅσα ἔνδοξά ἐστι καὶ ὅσα σεμνότητα φέρει καὶ ἐστιν εὐχαρῆ. 1057

Perhaps, however, ithyphallism is expressed in the suggestion of Heracles as a mythological model. The use of this hero signals at once a completely androcentric value system. The erotic exploits of Heracles are supposed to give the appearance of 'charm' to the logos (405.28), but this charm is distinctly masculine, even macho: if Deianeira could not bear to watch when he fought for her hand, 1058 what hope had the parthenos when confronted with such a paradigm? Moreover, the use of Heracles' love-affairs (τοῖς περὶ γαμῶν αὐτῷ καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ νυμφῶν πεπραγμένοις, 405.27-28) also appears to be problematic, for they introduce the motif of violence and disruption into a genre aimed at concord and cohesion, as well as the representation of hypersexuality on a truly 'epic' scale. 1059 The hero's exploits founded any number of dynasties in the ancient world, but his most famous unions formed the subject of tragedy: the murder of his wife and children in Euripides' Hercules Furens, and his erôs for Iole which results in the death of himself and Deianeira in Sophocles' Trachiniae. The literary Heracles could well be used as a model for 'how not to conduct a marriage'. He is an indifferent husband and father, ¹⁰⁶⁰ prepared to destroy societies in pursuance of his lust. 1061 Finally, he is destroyed by erôs, as Deianeira, desperate to keep him, inadvertently poisons him.

¹⁰⁵⁷ Men. Rhet. 406.4-7; see Ch.7, p.267.

¹⁰⁵⁸ See Ch.3, p.125.

¹⁰⁵⁹ Soph. Trach. 459-60, Paus. 9.27.6-7, Herodorus fr. 20 Fowler.

¹⁰⁶⁰ Soph. Trach. 31-33; Ch.3, p.129.

¹⁰⁶¹ Soph Trach. 359-365; Ch.3, p.130. The idea that Heracles may be an 'anti-epithalamial' figure is apparent in other tragedies, which refer to his failed or destructive hymenaioi with Iole (Eur. Hipp. 554): τλάμων ὑμεναίων.

Nor is Heracles the only problematic parallel recommended to the rhetor: he may also speak of the marriages of Dionysus, of Aeacus and Aegina, Peleus and Thetis, Zeus and Leda, Telemachus and Polycaste, or Anchises and Aphrodite. They are all divine or divinely-sanctioned marriages, but they are not without their difficult mythologies: Dionysus rescued Ariadne on Naxos, but in some traditions she was 'already married to Dionysus when she followed Theseus and was killed by Artemis'. Thetis was subdued to Peleus at the behest of Zeus and their son, Achilles, died in the sack of Troy. The result of Zeus and Leda's coupling was Helen and the Trojan War, and though Aeneas and the foundation of Rome resulted from that of Anchises and Aphrodite, he boasted of their liaison and was punished by the gods. Menander makes no distinction between happy marriages (Polycaste at least $\mu \iota \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$ $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \iota \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'Aφροδίτην with Telemachus, Hes. fr. 221.3 M-W) and those which are more ambivalent, nor does he include more 'idealised' unions in the kateunastikos: Zeus and Hera (for all its tensions, still the Sacred Marriage par excellence) or Hector and Andromache.

Viewed within the androcentric value system of the kateunastikos, these mythologies appear to complement, not detract from, the programme of the speech, which presents the exhortation in agonistic terms, and the imagery is defined by the model of 'courage in marriage' expressed in the opening of the treatise. On this competitive model, the female is presented as an adversary to be overcome, and marriage the prize:

1

¹⁰⁶² Men. Rhet. 408.31-409.8.

¹⁰⁶³ OCD s.v. 'Ariadne': Hom. Od. 11.321-25; contra Hes. Th. 947-49, Cat. 64.50, Ov. Her. 10.

¹⁰⁶⁴ Hom. II. 18.432-44, Pind. Isth. 8.26-45; contra Alc. fr. 42 V, Eur. IA 703, 1036-79 (Ch.4, pp.194-95), Cat. 64.19-21, 303-81.

¹⁰⁶⁵ OCD s.v. 'Anchises': H.H.Aphr. 286, Soph fr. 373 N, cf. Virg. Aen. 2.648-49, Servius on Aen. 2.35.

¹⁰⁶⁶ Cf. esp. Ch.4, p.188-95; Ch.5, p.224-25. Problematic marriages continue to characterise the Late Antique epithalamic corpus: Him. Or. 9.5.10-11 (Achilles and Hippodamia/Deidameia), 9.5.12-6.1, Chor. Or. 6.12.2-3 (Dionysus and Ariadne), Him. 9.6.4-6 (Pelops and Hippodamia), 12.10-13.1 (Olympias and Philip!), 14.14-15, Chor. 6.42 (Peleus and Thetis), Him. 9.17.1-2 (Heracles and Deianeira), 18.9-12 (Boreas and Oreithyia), 19.23-20.1 (Polyphemus and Galatea), Chor. 6.12.1-2 (Apollo and Daphne). Even Himerius' narratives of primordial, cosmogonic marriages are ambiguous: 9.8.1-2 (Oceanus and Tethys). Choricius uses more variety: Theseus' erôs for an Attic girl is mentioned but not elaborated (5.13.2-3), he draws positive exempla from history: Cyrus and Aspasia (14.1-2); the marriage of Demophon (17.1-10), and mentions Nausicaa's prospective marriage (6.41). The union of Zeus and Hera is mentioned – in the context of the Dios Apatê (6.17.1-3).

ἐπεὶ δὲ τελετή γάμου τὰ δρώμενα, βραβευτής δὲ Ἐρως, κῆρυξ δὲ Υμέναιος, καὶ **στάδιον** ὑμῖν ὁ θάλαμος, φέρε μὴ τὰ τῶν φευγόντων **ἐν** τοίς πολέμοις πάθης δεδοικώς καὶ πρὸ τῆς συμβολῆς τὴν τροπήν, ἀλλὰ

νόμισον ἔνθεν μὲν παρεστάναι σοι Πόθον, ἔνθεν δὲ Γάμον, Έρωτα δὲ

συμβραβεύειν, Ύμεναιον δ' ἐπιφωνείν, Ἰθι, τῶν πατέρων ἀξίως

άγωνιούμενος.¹⁰⁶⁷

Like the athletic victor or the triumphant warrior, additional timai are bestowed as a result of his victory, not simply the immortality of commemoration, but the immortality conveyed by

marriage itself, for which the mythical bridegrooms provide examples in Ps.-Dionysius:

οίον ὅτι Μενέλεως ἀθάνατος ἐγένετο διὰ τὸν γάμον τῆς Ελένης καὶ ὁ

Πηλεύς διὰ τὸν Θέτιδος, καὶ ὁ ᾿Αδμητος διὰ τὴν ᾿Αλκηστιν τὸν ἐκ τῆς

ειμαρμένης θάνατον διέφυγεν. 1068

Can an argument be made for a genuine eschatological implication here? Plutarch had

already developed a theory for the progression of the soul through marital erôs. 1069 Ps.-

Dionysius uses paradigms of heroes made immortal by the agency of their wives, and

Menander Rhetor argues in Peri Epithalamiou that marriage, through reproduction, makes

man σχεδον ἀθάνατον (402.18) and gives us ἀθανασίαν (21), and in Peri

Men. Rhet. 406.18-24; cf. Ch.5, pp.217-18.
 Ps.-Dion. Ars Rhet. 2.5.17-20.
 See Ch.7, p.269.

Kateunastikou that the couple achieves a telos. 1070 It must be said, however, that the 'immortality' envisioned by the rhetors is highly secular. Neither speaks of an afterlife – as one might expect in the context of a secular wedding oration. In Menander, immortality is achieved through procreation. 1071 An eschatology might be implied by these particular mythical examples (Menelaus would not die, but would go to the Elysian Fields, Peleus was immortalised by Thetis, Alcestis went to Hades and returned, Heracles was divinised after his death), 1072 but even in Ps.-Dionysius, who uses the greatest number of them, they are far removed from the marriage immortalised by the logos, and function not as models for that marriage, but as examples of ὅση ἀποτροπὴ τῶν δυσχερῶν διὰ γάμου (2.5.16-17). The initiate of the Mysteries receives olbos: in the blessings bestowed by marriage, these mythical unions parallel the makarismos bestowed upon the mortal bride and groom.

These narratives thus allow ambiguous mythologies to be incorporated into the genre. Even the tragic Heracles, who proved so problematic a model in an erotic context, receives these benefits in his apotheosis and marriage to Hebe, re-habilitating him to a hymeneal context:

"Ηβας τ' ἐρατὸν χροϊ-

ζει λέχος χρυσέαν κατ' αὐλάν.

ῶ Υμέναιε, δισ-

σούς παίδας Διὸς ήξίωσας. 1073

1

¹⁰⁷⁰ Men. Rhet. e.g. 406.4, 408. 16 (τελετή καὶ γάμος), 409.9 (τελοῦσι τὰ ὄργια τοῦ γάμου καὶ τελοῦνται), 410.8 (τελετής ἐρωτικής).

Again, we perceive an echo of Diotima's speech, in which immortality by procreation (here of spiritual children) precludes immortality of the soul, Plat. Symp. 208e2-209a3.

¹⁰⁷² Hom. Od. 4.561-69, Eur. Andr. 1254-58 (though Russell & Wilson (1981) 367 state that Peleus' immortalisation is 'a variant on the normal legend...his 'immortality' does not seem to be mentioned elsewhere'), Alc. 12-18 etc., cf. also Plat. Symp. 179c3-7, Eur. Heracl. 910-18 (below), Theoc. 17.20-33. 1073 Eur. Heracl. 915-18.

To the audience at large, marriage is presented as a beneficial and pleasant duty; to the young groom, an exhilarating contest with fantastic rewards that assimilates him to gods and heroes. This is not so innovative: Sappho compares the bridegroom to Ares, the war god (fr. 111.5 V), suggesting that the masculine ideology of 'marriage as struggle' has always been present in the genre alongside the feminine conception of 'struggle against marriage'. The performer of the epithalamium must mediate between these two extremes, soliciting charis from both parties and the wider community, but the male voice naturally privileges the former perspective.

As such, the young man is praised for his prowess (406.11), with the implication in this persuasive encomium that he may fail to live up to expectations. This militarisation of the wedding night, the injunction of Hymen to fight, is a variation of a traditional motif, the 'wounding' or 'death' of the maiden on the marriage bed, and a concomitant of the sexualised imagery of battle and the coming of age of a youth in that battle. Here it is presented in a positive light, an act worthy of the man's forefathers, situating him within a genealogical sequence which he will, by implication, continue by the act which he is about to perform. This reminds us that in the mortal marriage, the 'death' of the maiden must necessarily be followed by her 'rebirth' as a gunê, allowing the transition to culminate in reproduction.

The sexualisation of the agôn is made even more explicit by the subsequent imagery – the encomium of the girl's beauty is clearly an incitement to arousal. Not for the kateunastikos the exposition of philosophic virtue. Menander deems this extraneous to the argument:

¹⁰⁷⁴ Vernant (1999) 19-20 (= 1968:15); see p.17, n.56; Ch.2, p.90-1.

ού τὸ [ἐγκώμιον] ἀπὸ τῆς σωφροσύνης οὐδὲ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς φρονήσεως οὐδὲ τῶν λοιπῶν ἀρετῶν τῆς ψυχῆς, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς ὥρας καὶ τοῦ κάλλοῦς – τοῦτο γὰρ οἰκεῖον καὶ πρόσφορον μόνον. 1075

Rather, the erotic purpose of the genre is expounded by every topos, and traditional motifs are reclaimed for their original purpose: the sexual seduction of a young couple. The scene is set at the most appropriate moment for love, under the evening star familiar from Sappho and Catullus: ἔσπερος μὲν γάρ ἐστιν ὕπαιθρος καὶ λαμπρός (406.25). 1076

The chamber is a beautiful setting for erôs and the deities of love are on hand to offer assistance. 1077 The event is pictured with a dreamlike quality, in which the traditional wish for children is expressed as an epiphany of future good fortune (407.14-17). No matter what the season of the marriage, its imagery can be employed erotically to tempt the groom into bed: if it is spring, birdsong and the bloom of plants and mingling of trees are an appropriate counterpart to the mingling of the blooming couple; if autumn, they are to take their cue from the marriage of earth and heaven; winter makes the marriage-bed a cosy refuge from the cold; and summer's burgeoning is analogous to human fertility (408.9-26).

What is most interesting about this imagery is the vocabulary it employs to describe the bridegroom's experience: the consummation of marriage is presented throughout the kateunastikos as a telos, and the youth imagined rising from the marriage bed 'initiated'. This is a striking development in the epithalamium, for while marriage had always been envisioned as a woman's initiation into adulthood, a man was presumed to enter majority at ephebeia (eighteen years old), after which training he was admitted to the adult male citizen

 1075 Men. Rhet. 405.29-406.1, cf. 407.6-7, 411.5-6. Men. Rhet. 406.25-29, cf. Sappho fr. 104(a) V, Cat. 62.1-2; see Introduction, p.24. 1077 Men. Rhet. 407.4-9, 17-20, cf. Sappho fr. 194 V = Himer. Or. 9.4.

body. 1078 The ancient bridegroom is generally thought to be already an adult upon marriage, and to take a bride much younger than he, as counselled by Hesiod. 1079 In the literature of the Imperial period, however, there is a greater symmetry between the couple, which, as we saw in the discussion of epithalamioi logoi, is reflected in their ages. The groom of the kateunastikos is addressed as neaniskos or neania, 1080 and his bride's youth is referred to throughout, as in the novel. 1081 The reciprocity idealised by the ancient wedding song has found a new expression in the bedroom oration, ¹⁰⁸² presenting the idea of mutual initiation or fulfillment as an incentive to marriage. Both partners make the transition to adulthood, presented in the novel as a physical journey. With power now held centrally by the Emperor and enforced by a standing army, the young elite male no longer found his entry into adulthood in deliberating policy for, and fighting on behalf of, his fatherland, but could best serve his community by ensuring its propagation – initiation is therefore presented in these terms. The anêr is one who has done his civic duty by marriage (in the event that the bridegroom is an older man, civic duty forms part of his encomium: προσθήσεις δ' ώς ἵνα καὶ παίδας φυτεύσητε τῆ πατρίδι λόγοις ἐνακμάσοντας, φιλοτιμίαις, επιδόσεσι 408.6-8).

The religious implications of such erotic initiation continue in the fourth century to be explored in detail in the works of the early Church Fathers: in Jerome, Christ is the bridegroom, approaching the young Christian virgin (Ep. 22.19). The mystic telos is erotically charged, and seems to owe much to the language of the epithalamium and from the

¹⁰⁷⁸ Arist. Ath. Pol. 41.1.

¹⁰⁷⁹ Hes. Op. 695-98.

¹⁰⁸⁰ Men. Rhet. 406.1, 10; cf. νεανιεύματα (407.3).

¹⁰⁸¹ The reciprocal erôs thought to result has a social dimension: 'the author could rely on readers to see in the tale of young lovers an allegory of the condition of the social order and be influenced by his views of how that order should be perpetuated', Cooper (1996) 31.

¹⁰⁸² See Ch.1, p.73-76.

¹⁰⁸³ Cameron (1994) 157-58.

Old Testament Song of Songs, 1084 demonstrating that, though an ideological contest may indeed take place during this period between pagans and Christians, in which rhetors were at the forefront, nonetheless a dialogue of mutually applicable concepts and philosophies existed between the two, 1085 enabling Christian writers to adapt traditional images to their own uses, and lay Christians to live in relative harmony with existing social structures and ideologies. The idea of telos is therefore a persuasive strategy, in social, religious, and personal terms, towards marriage and its consummation for members of either faith:

The concern to preserve a religiously positive role for married couples, and a socially positive role for married women, would have been shared by Christians and pagans alike. 1086

The kateunastikos situates the use of pleasure firmly within marriage, acknowledging adult sexuality to be both a duty and a pleasure, and a contributing factor to both personal and social status.

This public aspect is concordant with the idea of a 'shame culture' expressed in the oration: the bridegroom's reputation depends on his performance. He is encouraged not to disgrace his prowess and strength, because τοσούτων μαρτύρων γενησομένων τη ύστεραία της τελετης (406.3-4). The crowd is constantly present, as in the stadion; watching his performance, deliberating, and placing bets (406.30-407.1). In the division of the speech expounded towards the end of the treatise, Menander notes that the rhetor may call upon the audience to join the exhortation and bring the groom to the chamber (410.16-18), and once there, the occasion is envisaged to turn into a loud celebration of his victory: $\eta \mu \hat{\epsilon i} \zeta$

¹⁰⁸⁴ Cameron (1994) 157. ¹⁰⁸⁵ Cf. Heath (2004) 87.

¹⁰⁸⁶ Cooper (1996) 82.

ρόδοις καὶ ἴοις στεφανωσάμενοι καὶ λαμπάδας ἀνάψαντες περὶ τὸν θάλαμον παίξωμεν καὶ χορείαν στησώμεθα, καὶ τὸν ὑμέναιον ἐπιβοώμεθα (409.9-12).

The epithalamial oration addressed generally a crowd of listeners, seducing them through reiteration of their shared values and aims; the bedroom oration does the same on an individual level. The two can stand for the polis/oikos dichotomy, with the latter a microcosm of the former, but no more 'private' than the 'visible invisibility' of the oikos in ancient thought. 1087 What goes on behind closed doors is an object for public speculation and public comment, as fitness for public life in the ancient world often depended on perception of private conduct. The concern of the epithalamios with homonoia is thus expanded in this oration: homonoia is a divine blessing, and part of the couple's public profile (along with children, the increase of property, and oikonomia), and part of the kerdos of marriage. ¹⁰⁸⁸ The young bridegroom of the kateunastikos is being judged by his audience as anêr (adult male citizen) on the basis of his performance as anêr (husband). The direct address means that the speaker can offer more personalised incentives and disincentives: a moment of singular eroticism should he succeed versus one of singular terror - public shame - should he fail. In presenting his speech in terms of the panegyric of the agôn, he creates the image of the bridegroom as the classical Greek athlete/citizen-soldier, at a time when the only role open for him may indeed be 'husband'. Like the hero of the Greek novel, the youth is imagined as embodying both these identities, as the logos embodies the values of both the old and the new world.

Marriage and Identity

¹⁰⁸⁷ Cf. Cooper (1996) 14. ¹⁰⁸⁸ Men. Rhet. 407.16, 22, 411.15.

The use of a discourse of marriage and sexual relations as a tool for rhetorical self-fashioning seems to take a new direction in the Roman Empire. Cooper discusses Veyne's theory that the Roman aristocrat invented a rhetoric of conjugal love to compensate for his emasculation in the public realm, a sociosexual structure that seems also to be pertinent in the literature of the eastern (Greek) Empire. In keeping with the implications of the oikos:domus/polis:civitas microcosm/macrocosm, this is explained as an attempt to elicit affection from one's wife as from one's social inferiors, where before the elite male had exacted fearful subservience. This model must be adapted from the context of its dichotomy between Republic and Empire, since the idea of 'fearful subservience' may be inappropriate in a Greek political context. ¹⁰⁸⁹ In a wedding oration performed before an (entirely?) elite male audience, the injunction of man and wife to homonoia must surely, as in other literature of the period, fashion an identity of suitability for public concord. We have already seen in Plutarch how both the wife and the statesman must cultivate this virtue (824D4-7); ¹⁰⁹⁰ it appears as an ideal not only in the rhetorical handbooks but also in the speeches which make use of the strategies of those handbooks, an 'extension of philia between individuals to the city'. ¹⁰⁹¹

Moreover, the representation of traditional Greek values in these orations — in the epithalamios, Platonic virtues, public liturgies, and on the part of the couple, music, education, housekeeping, aretê, and beauty; in the kateunastikos, the military and athletic vigour of the young man — may well reflect the promotion of Greek identity associated with the Second Sophistic, an attempt to recreate the splendour of the past in the somewhat uncomfortable political reality of the present, which can also be seen in the sophists' use and definition of the poetic genres of past literary glories as the basis of their speeches.

. .

¹⁰⁸⁹ Cooper (1996) 1-2.

¹⁰⁹⁰ See Ch.7, pp.271-75.

¹⁰⁹¹ Salmeri (2000) 81: this is an Aristotelian definition by which Dio Orr. 38.11, 40.26, 41, 41.13 may be compared with Arist. EN 1155a24-25, 1167a22-b24. In advising the Emperor, Dio takes a similar line on marriage to Plutarch (Or. 3.122, cf. Plut. Mor. 142E7-143A5): γυναῖκα δὲ οὐ κοίτης μόνον ἢ ἀφροδίσιων κοινωνὸν νενόμικεν, βουλῆς δὲ καὶ ἔργων καὶ τοῦ ξύμπαντος βίου συνεργόν. Whitmarsh (2005) 63, however, notes the ambiguous relationship of Dio's orations with Menander's prescripts.

The social reality had changed, however, and this is evident both in the changed form of the epithalamium (a male prose oration for an androcentric audience), as well as in its content. The genres cannot be, as Cairns argues, timeless and unchanging, ¹⁰⁹² but are bound up in their cultural contexts and, as we have seen throughout this thesis, put to work for a variety of purposes, by many different kinds of literature, within those contexts. Yet the retention of basic elements – the beautiful young bride and groom, the romantic setting, the love between the two and their place within the community – enables its basic function both to be performed and manipulated across contexts.

With what picture of gender and society do the prescriptions present us? If we consider the didactic function of panegyric, in its praise of particular values as models for imitation, we are offered an 'ideal public image of women' 1093 as a model to her kind. She is beautiful, dignified, domesticated, and virtuous. She is also totally silent, for the epithalamium has moved from communal articulation, through male representation, and into an oration by and for men. The speech tells us much about perceptions of sexuality, but it is entirely male sexuality, and no attempt is made, as it is by the tragedians and in Hellenistic poetry, to address its implications for women. For men, marriage and sexual relations are promoted as positive, a benefit to civilization and to one's person, a route to virtue, a passage into adulthood and an indicator of suitability for the responsibilities thereof. Marriage is the basic fact of society and its continuation.

How does this image relate to reality? I would not go as far to say that 'in a society premised on honour and shame, rhetoric was reality', 1094 but it was certainly used to influence it, if only in the perceptions of its audience. Heath describes the third century AD as 'a period of crisis and recovery' in terms of culture, 1095 and I would argue that this also took place in

¹⁰⁹² Cairns (1972) 32; see Introduction, pp.7-10.

¹⁰⁹³ D'Elia (2002) 421.

¹⁰⁹⁴ Cooper (1996) 4. 1095 Heath (2004) 84.

terms of its value-system, whose 'recovery' is presented by the rhetoricians. The theory of rhetoric expounded by Menander Rhetor and Ps.-Dionysius had a real-life application in this context, with epideictic eloquence not only a reflection of the honour and identity of the speaker, 1096 but a persuasive tool in the dissemination of a culture and its values in crisis. If, in this recovery, they hearken back to a classicising past, they look to the most powerful expression of what it meant to be Greek, allowing the 'expression of traditional values of Hellenic culture in an age dominated by the realities of Roman rule and later by the threat of Christianity'. 1097

¹⁰⁹⁶ Ihid

¹⁰⁹⁷ Kennedy (1994) 232; see Ch.7, p.274; this chapter; p.298-99.

CONCLUSION

Marriage is a point of crisis in social relations: between individuals, between families, and between communities. The songs of marriage provide valuable information as to how that crisis was negotiated, particularly with respect to the husband/wife relationship. The epithalamium thus becomes an invaluable tool for the examination of gender relations in the ancient world, their articulation through wedding ritual, and the representation of this articulation in literature. Though the majority of our information is focalised through maleauthored material, we can nonetheless draw certain conclusions about the public perception of marriage through these texts. I have discussed what each text or author tells us about the Greek wedding song in the conclusion to each chapter; here I will elucidate some general points about how the epithalamium informs our understanding of the ancient world. I opened this thesis with the sub-headings genre, gender, and ritual, and it is to those categories that I will return in this section.

Firstly, ritual. In Chapter 1 we saw how the imagery of the wedding song might form a progression from separation (lamentation) to reintegration (celebration), consonant with Van Gennep's tripartite scheme of rites of passage. The wedding was a communal ritual in which both genders participated according to their traditional spheres of activity, aimed at establishing the couple within the community. The 'initiation' of the bride into adulthood in marriage was seen as part of a wider structure of female initiation, enclosed by rites of maturation and marriage, and containing a liminal period between menarche and marriage during which the parthenos might undertake ritual activities which would prepare her for her role as a gunê.

Because wedding songs could be performed at all stages of this process, they can be used in literature to remark on various aspects of the marital transition. The 'epithalamial' songs of the Danaids and Antigone correspond to the separational stage and the dangers of incomplete transition (Ch. 2.1, 3.1). Deianeira experiences a failure of reintegration (Ch. 3.2). Helen attempts to go through the process a second time, and offers a salutary lesson on the tensions inherent in the exchange of women between oikoi – tensions which overshadow her representation even in Theocritus (Ch. 2.3, 4.3, 6). Though Sappho composed epithalamia which relate to a number of stages, in literary depictions, we see a diachronic movement towards focus on reintegration in the period under study: the Aristophanic plays end with 'happy ever after' exodoi (Ch. 5); the Hellenistic epithalamium focuses on the affective relationship between husband and wife which becomes part of civic discourse in the Imperial period (Ch. 6); Plutarch and Menander Rhetor draw together the strands of 'transition' and 'mutuality' to present marriage as a dual initiation – the former into the Mysteries of philosophical Eros, the latter into adulthood for both bride and groom (Ch. 7, 8).

It cannot be said that the epithalamium is a specifically 'female' genre. Some aspects of gendering, however, can be noted. The first is in perspective: the female voice tends (though this is not true in Phaethon, Ch. 4.1) to focus on the personal aspects of marriage, particularly the separation of the parthenos from her friends and family. The male voice expresses the concerns of the community or polis: that though marriage may be an ambivalent and potentially threatening institution, it is also a positive and civilising force, which reproduces the community and contributes to human civilisation. Indeed, only when a female is married is she considered 'tamed' or 'civilised'. This gendering is most often expressed through the 'reaping'/'cultivation' dichotomy.

Marriage is the feminine telos, and in its focus on the defining moment of feminine existence, the wedding song might be said to be gendered in a further sense. Though the topoi

of 'separation' and 'departure' are often stressed, the songs examined here also point towards a high valuation of marriage by women. Antigone, Electra and Polyxena feel cheated of their 'due' of marriage (Ch. 3.1). Deianeira exists in perpetual erêmia because she has never experienced sunoikêsis with Heracles (Ch. 3.2). Hecuba cannot believe Cassandra's joy in her union with Agamemnon – she raised her daughters for worthy husbands, as Iphigenia was raised (Ch. 4.2, 4.3). In their contrasting of that valuation with the male-directed action around it, these texts also betray an anxiety about the tenuous position of the (particularly Athenian) woman in the house (the 'other within'), and the problems of woman as an object of exchange, whether in the context of aristocratic gift exchange (cf. Ch.1), contractual ekdosis (Ch. 2-5), or under the liberating but still subordinating marriage contract of the later periods (Ch. 6-8). Those texts which relate to 'real' weddings, particularly those of Plutarch and Menander Rhetor, aim to mitigate that tension by setting out a programme for incorporation, either directly (as in the precepts of the Con. Praec., Ch. 7) or indirectly (though panegyric of correct adult roles, as in Menander, Ch. 8).

Finally, genre. It has been useful to adapt Cairns' theory of genre as 'content' rather than examine the epithalamium in terms of genre as 'form' in this project, because the wedding song cannot be said to exist as a 'genre' in the same way as epic, tragedy, comedy, and bucolic are. This adaptation consists in utilising some of his terminology, without embracing anachronistic notions of universal and unchanging generic rules – if poetry is bound up in its performance context, certain elements contained in the text will indicate that context. The 'primary elements' and topoi of the wedding song occur in a variety of 'forms': sometimes with the insertion of entire lyric odes into one of these forms, at others simply as a reference which draws our attention to the issues raised by the hymenaios in a particular text. The lyric genre of epithalamium makes a transition of its own in the course of this project: through a variety of forms, from communal verse to male-authored prose. The epithalamial

text itself can even serve as a metaphor for transition, as in the Hellenistic epithalamium, which forms a literary crossroads between the archaic and classical past and the (perhaps more formalised) literary future – in addition, it looks back to the marital transitions of the past to articulate problems of philia in the present day, which will remain influential on the composition of later epithalamia (Ch. 6).

Indeed, the hymenaios can be called a discourse of philia. In all our texts, it highlights this relationship – either encouraging its formation, or remarking on its problems. It explores not only the marital relationship, but also those in the wider community and, in some texts, the cosmos. The cultivation of philia might be said to be the objective of the hymenaios – as such, it is the aim of the marital transition. It has at its root the bond of family, ¹⁰⁹⁸ thus we must question the position of the wife as an outsider in the house. She is the other who must become part of the self: her reintegration must be accomplished for marriage to strengthen and perpetuate the household. Tragic failures of incorporation draw attention through a negative paradigm to an ever-present fear and possibility, but are not a 'realistic' option in ancient Greek marriage. The crisis of social relations in marriage discussed at the beginning of this conclusion in fact results from the blurring of the most fundamental boundary in our understanding of Greek thought. In this crisis, we learn how truly delicate was the balance between male and female, self and other, in the ancient world.

¹⁰⁹⁸ LSJ s.v. φίλος, 'beloved', 'dear', also 'friend', 'kith and kin'.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adler, A. (ed. 1967-71) Suidae Lexicon, in 2 volumes, Stuttgart. Allan, W. (ed. 2008) Euripides: Helen, Cambridge. Allen, T.W. (2nd ed. 1963) Homeri Opera, tomus III: Odysseae Libros I-XII Coninuens, Oxford. ____ (2nd ed. 1963) Homeri Opera, tomus IV: Odysseae Libros XIII-XXIV Continuens, Oxford. ____ (ed. 1912, repr. 1946 (ed. corr.)) Homeri Opera, tomus V: Hymnos, Cyclum, Fragmenta, Margiten, Batrachomyomachiam, Vitas Continuens, Oxford. Arnaldez, R. (ed. 1961) Les Oeuvres de Philon D'Alexandrie: De Opificio Mundi, Paris. ____ (& Poulloux, J., eds.) Les Oeuvres de Philon D'Alexandrie: De Aeternitate Mundi, Paris.

- Barlow, S.A. (ed. 1986) Euripides: Trojan Women, Warminster.
- Bethe, E. (ed. 1900, repr. 1998) Pollucis Onomasticon, fasc.1: lib. 1-4 Continuens, Stuttgart & Leipzig.
- Brown, A. (ed. 1987) Sophocles: Antigone, Warminster.
- Bühler, W. (ed. 1960) Die Europa des Moschos: Text, Übersetzung und Kommentar, Weisbaden.
- Burian, P. (ed. 2007) Euripides: Helen, Oxford.
- Burnet, J. (ed. 1907) Platonis Opera, tomus V: Tetralogiam IX Definitiones et Spuria Continuens, Oxford.

- Bywater, I. (ed. 1894, repr. 1962) Aristotelis ethica Nicomachea. Oxford.
- Campbell, D.A. (ed. 1982) Greek Lyric: Sappho and Alcaeus, Cambridge MA.
- Carey, C. (ed. 2007) Lysiae Orationes cum Fragmentis, Oxford.
- Chantry, M. (ed. 1994) Scholia in Thesmophoriazusas; Ranas; Ecclesiazusas et Plutum, pars III.IVa: Scholia in Aristophanis Plutum, Groningen.
- Civiletti, M. (ed. 2002) Filostrato: Vita dei Sofisti, Milan.
- Collard, C. (ed. 1995) Phaethon, in Collard, C., Cropp, M. J., & Lee, K. H. (eds.) Euripides: Selected Fragmentary Plays, vol. I, Warminster.
- Colonna, A. (ed. 1951) Himerii Declamationes et Orationes cum Deperditarum Fragmentis, Rome.
- Conacher, D.J. (ed. 1988) Euripides: Alcestis, Warminster.
- Costa, V. (ed. 2007) Filocoro di Atene, vol. I, Rome.

Diggle, J. (ed. 1970) Euripides: Phaethon, Cambridge.

Iphigenia Aulidensis, Rhesus, Oxford.

- Dain, P. (trans. 2005) Mythographe du Vatican III: Traduction et Commentaire, Franche-Compté.
- Davies, M. (ed. 1991) Poetarum Melicorum Graecorum Fragmenta, vol. I, Oxford.
- Delattre, D. (ed. 2007) Philodème de Gadara: Sur la Musique, vol. II, Paris.
- Diels, H, & Kranz, W. (eds. 1951, 6th ed. repr. 1966): Die Fragmente der Vorsokratiker, vol. I, Berlin.
- (ed. 1981) Euripidis Fabulae, tomus II: Supplices, Electra, Hercules, Troades, Iphigenia in Tauris, Ion, Oxford.
 (ed. 1984) Euripidis Fabulae, tomus I: Cyclops, Alcestis, Medea, Heraclidae, Hippolytus, Andromacha, Hecuba, Oxford.
 (ed. 1994) Euripidis Fabulae, tomus III: Helena. Phoenissae, Orestes, Bacchae,

Dindorf. W. (ed. 1855, repr. Amsterdam 1962) Scholia Graeca in Homeri Odysseam, vol. II, Oxford.

Dover, K.J. (ed. 1971) Theocritus: Select Poems, Basingstoke.

Drachmann, A.B. (ed. 1910, repr. 1967 Amsterdam) Scholia Vetera in Pindari Carmina, vol. II: Scholia in Pythionicas, Leipzig.

Dunbar, N. (ed. 1995) Aristophanes: Birds, Oxford.

Erbse, H. (ed. 1950) Untersuchungen zu den attizistischen Lexika, Berlin.

Fairbanks, A. (ed. 1931) Philostratus: Imagines, London.

Felten, J. (ed. 1913) Nicolai progymnasmata (Rhetores Graeci 11), Leipzig.

Finglass, P.J. (ed. 2007) Sophocles: Electra, Cambridge.

Fischer, K.T. (post Bekker, I. & Dindorf, L.) & Vogel, F. (3rd eds. 1888, repr. Stuttgart 1964)

Diodori Bibliotheca Historica, vol. II, Leipzig.

Foerster, R (ed. 1915, repr. Hildesheim 1997) Libanii opera: vol. VIII, Leipzig.

___ (ed. 1929) Choricii Gazei Opera, Leipzig.

Fordyce, C.J. (ed. 1961) Catullus, Oxford.

Fraenkel, E. (ed. 1950) Aeschylus: Agamemnon, in 3 vols., Oxford.

Fraenkel, H. (ed. 1961, repr. 1970 (1st ed. corr.)) Apollonii Rhodii Argonautica, Oxford.

Friis Johansen, H. & Whittle, E.W. (ed. 1980) Aeschylus: The Suppliants, in 3 vols., Copenhagen.

Giardina, I.C. (ed. 1966) L. Annaei Senecae Tragoediae: Hercules Furens, Troades, Medea, Phaedra, Bologna.

Gow, A.S.F. (ed. 1950) Theocritus, Cambridge.

____ & Page, D. (eds. 1965) The Greek Anthology: Hellenistic Epigrams, Cambridge.

Griffith, M. (ed. 1983) Aeschylus: Prometheus Bound, Cambridge.

(ed. 1999) Sophocles: Antigone, Cambridge.

Henderson, J. (ed. 1987) Aristophanes: Lysistrata, Oxford.

____ (ed. 2000) Aristophanes, vol. III: Birds, Lysistrata, Women at the Thesmophoria, Cambridge MA.

Hense, O. (ed. 1905) C. Musonii Rufi reliquae, Leipzig.

Henry, R. (ed. 1967) Photius: Bibliothèque, vol. V, Paris.

Heylbut, H. (ed. 1887) 'Ptolemaeus Περὶ διαφορᾶς λέξεων', Hermes 22: 388-410.

Hunter, R. (ed. 1999) Theocritus: A Selection, Cambridge.

Hubert, C. (ed. 1971) Plutarchus Moralia, vol. IV, Leipzig.

Hubert, C., Pohlenz, M. & Drexler, H. (eds. 1960) Plutarchus Moralia, vol. V.I, Leipzig.

Kaibel, G. (ed. 1887-90) Athenaei Naucratitae Deipnosophistorum, in 3 vols., Leipzig.

Kannicht, R. (ed. 2004) Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta, vol. V.I: Euripides, Göttingen.

Kassel, R. & Austin, C. (eds. 1983-) Poetae Comici Graeci, in 8 vols, Berlin.

Keaney, J.J. (ed. 1991) Harpocration: Lexeis of the Ten Orators, Amsterdam.

Knox, P.E. (ed. 1995) Ovid: Heroides, Select Epistles, Cambridge.

Jacoby, F. (ed. 1923-1958, repr. 1954-1969) Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker, Leiden.

Jebb, R.C. (ed. 1900, repr. Bristol 2004) Sophocles: Plays. Oedipus Coloneus, Cambridge.

Jones, C.P. (ed. 2005) Philostratus: The Life of Apollonius of Tyana, books V-VIII, Cambridge MA.

Jones, H.S. & Powell, J.E. (1942, repr. 1970) Thucydidis historiae, vol. I, Oxford.

Jones, W.H.S. & Ormerod, H.A. (eds. 1926, repr. 1977) Pausanias: Description of Greece, vol. II: books III-V, Harvard.

Kenyon, F.G. (ed. 1920, repr. 1963) Aristotelis Atheniensium Respublica, Oxford.

Kulscár, P. (ed. 1987) Mythographi Vaticani I et II, Brepols.

Labourt, J. (ed. 1949) Saint Jérôme: Lettres, tome I, Paris.

Lasserre, F. & Livadaras, N. (eds. 1992) Etymologicum magnum genuinum. Symeonis etymologicum una cum magna grammatica. Etymologicum magnum auctum, vol. II, Athens.

Latte, K. (ed. 1953-66) Hesychii Alexandrini Lexicon, in 2 volumes, Copenhagen.

Lee, K.H. (ed. 1976) Euripides: Troades, Basingstoke.

Legrand, Ph.-E. (ed. 1930-54, repr. 1963-70) Hérodote: Histoires, in 9 vols., Paris.

Lenfant, D. (ed. 2004) Ctésias de Cnide: la Perse, l'Inde, autres Fragments, Paris.

Leone, P.L.M. (ed. 1968) Ioannis Tzetzae Historiae. Naples.

Littré, É. (ed. 1854, repr. Amsterdam 1962) Oeuvres complètes d'Hippocrate, vol. VIII. Paris.

Lloyd-Jones, H. & Wilson, N.G. (eds. 1990) Sophoclis Fabulae, Oxford.

Lloyd-Jones, H. (ed. 1994) Sophocles, vol. II: Antigone, Women of Trachis, Philoctetes, Oedipus at Colonus, Cambridge MA.

Long, H.S. (ed. 1964, repr. 1966) Diogenis Laertii Vitae Philosophorum, tomus prior, Oxford.

MacDowell, D.M. (ed. 1982) Gorgias: Encomium of Helen, Bristol.

MacLeod, M.D. (1972) Luciani Opera, tomus I, Oxford.

Maehler, H. (ed. 1989) Pindari Carmina cum Fragmentis, pars II: Fragmenta, Indices, Leipzig.

Marshall, P.K. (ed. 1993): Hyginus: Fabulae, Stuttgart.

Martini, E. (ed. 1996) Antoninus Liberalis *ΜΕΤΑΠΜΟΡΦΩΣΕΩΝ ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΗ*(Mythographi Graeci vol. II.I), Leipzig.

Meinke, A. (ed. 1855-56) Ioannis Stobaei Florilegium, in 2 vols., Leipzig.

Monro, D.B. & Allen, T.W. (3rd eds. 1919) Homeri Opera, tomus I: Iliadis Libros I-XII Continuens, Oxford.

___ (3rd eds. 1920) Homeri Opera, tomus II: Iliadis Libros XII-XXIV Continuens, Oxford.

Mullach, F.W.A. (ed. 1867, repr. 1968) Fragmenta philosophorum Graecorum: vol. II, Paris.

Murray, P. (ed. 1996) Plato on Poetry: Ion, Republic 376e-398b, Republic 595-608b, Cambridge.

Mutschmann, H. (ed. 1961) Sexti Empirici Opera, vol. III: Adversus Mathematicos Libros I-VI Continuens, Leipzig.

Mynores, R.A.B. (ed. 1969) P. Vergili Maronis: Opera, Oxford.

Neri, C. (ed. 2003) Erinna: testimonianze e frammenti, Bologna.

Nickau, K. (ed. 1966) Ammonii qui dicitur liber de adfinium vocabulorum differentia, Leipzig.

Olson, S.D. (ed. 1998) Aristophanes: Peace, Oxford.

Page, D. (ed. 1951) Alcman: The Partheneion, Oxford.

 (ed. 1955)	Sappho	and	Alcaeus:	An	Introduction	to	the	Study	of	Ancient	Lesbian
Poetry, Ox	ford.										

___ (ed. 1962, repr. 1967 (1st ed. corr.)) Poetae melici Graeci, Oxford

___ (ed. 1972) Aeschyli: Septem Quae Supersunt Tragoedias, Oxford.

___ (ed. 1981) Further Greek Epigrams, Cambridge.

Paton, W.R., Pohlenz, M. & Sieveking, W. (2nd eds. 1972) Plutarchi Moralia, vol. III, Leipzig.

& Wegehaupt, J. (2nd eds. 1974, repr. in Pomeroy, Oxford 1999) Plutarchi

Moralia, vol. I, Leipzig.

Pfeiffer, R. (ed. 1949) Callimachus, Oxford.

Podlecki, A.J. (ed. 2005) Aeschylus: Prometheus Bound, Oxford.

Pohlenz, M. & Sieveking, W. (eds. 1922) Plutarchi Moralia, vol. III, Leipzig.

Pomeroy, S.B. (ed. 1994) Xenophon, Oeconomicus: A Social and Historical Commentary, Oxford.

(ed. 1999) <i>Plutarch's</i> Advice to the Bride and Groom and A Consolation to His Wife:
English Translations, Commentary, Interpretive Essays, and Bibliography, Oxford.
Rabe, H. (1892) Syriani in Hermogenem commentaria, vol. I, Leipzig.
(ed. 1913, repr, Stuttgart 1969) Hermogenis opera, Leipzig.
(ed. 1926) Aphthonii progymnasmata (Rhetores Graeci 10), Leipzig.
Radermacher, L. & Usener, H (ed. 1929, repr. Stuttgart 1965) Dionysii Halicarnasei quae
exstant: vol. VI, Leipzig.
Radt, S. (ed. 1977) Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta, vol. IV: Sophocles, Göttingen.
(ed. 1985) Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta, vol. III: Aeschylus, Göttingen.
Reed, J.D. (ed. 1997) Bion of Smyrna: The Fragments and the Adonis, Cambridge.
Richardson, N.J. (ed. 1974) The Homeric Hymn to Demeter, Oxford.
Rowe, C.J. (ed. 1986, 2 nd ed. (corr.) 1988) Plato: Phaedrus, Warminster.
(ed. 1998) Plato: Symposium, Oxford.
Russell, D.A. & Wilson, N.G. (eds. 1981) Menander Rhetor, Oxford.
Sandbach, F.H. (ed. 1972) Menandri reliquiae selectae. Oxford.
Schenkl, H. (ed. 1916, repr. 1965) Epicteti dissertationes ab Arriano digestae, Leipzig.
Schwartz, E. (ed. 1888) Scholia in Euripidem, in 2 vols, Berlin.
Slings, S.R. (ed. 2003) Platonis Rempublicam, Oxford.
Solmsen, F., Merkelbach, R., & West, M.L. (eds. 1970, 3 rd ed. 1990) Hesiodi: Theogonia,
Opera et Dies, Scutum; Fragmenta Selecta, Oxford.
Sommerstein, A.H. (ed. 1985) Aristophanes: Peace, Warminster.
(ed. 1987) Aristophanes: Birds, Warminster.
(ed. 1990) Aristophanes: Lysistrata, Warminster.
(ed. 2006) Hermione or The Women of Phthia, in Sommerstein, A.H., Fitzpatrick, D.
& Talboy, T (eds.) Sophocles: Selected Fragmentary Plays, vol. I, Oxford.

____ (ed. 2008) Aeschylus, vol. I: Persians, Seven Against Thebes, Suppliants, Prometheus Bound, Cambridge MA.

Spengel, L. (ed. 1854, repr. 1966) Rhetores Graeci: vol. II, Leipzig.

Stählin, O. (ed. 1972) Clemens Alexandrinus, band I: Protrepticus und Paedagogus, Berlin.

Sweeney, R.D. (ed. 1997) Lanctantii Placidi: In Statii Thebaida Commentum, vol. I, Stuttgart & Leipzig.

Thalheim, T. (ed. 1903) Isaei Orationes, Leipzig.

Theodoridis, C. (ed. 1982-) Photii Patriarchae Lexicon, Berlin.

Thilo, G. & Hagen, H. (1878-1902) Servii Grammatici qui Feruntur in Vergilios Aeneidos Libros, in 3 vols, Leipzig.

Van der Valk, M. (ed. 1987) Eustathii Archepiscopi Thessalonicensis Commentarii ad Homeri Iliadem Pertinensis, vol. IV, Leiden.

Vian, F. (ed. 1987) Les Argonautiques Orphiques, Paris.

Viré, G. (ed. 1992) Hygini De Astronomia, Stuttgart & Leipzig.

Voigt, E.-M. (ed. 1971) Sappho et Alcaeus: Fragmenta, Amsterdam.

von Arnim, J. (ed. 1893-96, repr. 1962) Dionis Prusaensis quem vocant Chrysostomum quae exstant omnia, in 2 vols., Berlin.

Wallies, M. (ed. 1891) Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca, vol. II.II, Berlin.

Wendel, C. (ed. 1958) Scholia in Apollonium Rhodium Vetera, Berlin.

Wagner, R. (ed. 1929, repr. 1996) Mythographi Graeci, vol. I: Apollodori Bibliotheca, Stuttgart & Leipzig.

Wessner, P. (ed. 1905) Aeli Donati Quod Terentus Commentum Ferenti, vol. II, Leipzig.

West, M.L. (ed. 1966) Hesiod: Theogony, Oxford.

 (ed. 1971, repr. 1989) Iambi et Elegi Graeci, editio altera vol. I, Oxford.
 (ed. 1978) Hesiod: Works and Days, Oxford.

Willis, J. (ed. 1963) Ambrosii Theodosii Macrobii Saturnalia, Leipzig.
Ziegler, K (2nd ed. 1964) Plutarchi Vitae Parallelae, vol. II.I, Leipzig.
(3rd ed. corr. 1994) Plutarchi Vitae Parallelae, vol. II.I, Stuttgart & Leipzig.
(ed. corr. 1994) Plutarchi Vitae Parallelae, vol. II.II, Stuttgart & Leipzig.
(ed. 1996 (ed. corr.)) Plutarchi Vitae Parallelae, vol. III.I, Stuttgart.

(ed. 2000) Plutarchi Vitae Parallelae, vol. I.I, München & Leipzig.

Secondary Material:

Anderson, C. & Dix, T.K. (2007) 'Prometheus and the Basileia in Aristophanes' Birds', CJ 102:321-27.

Alcock, S.E. (1993) Graecia Capta: The Landscapes of Ancient Greece, Cambridge.

Alexiou, M. (1974) The Ritual Lament in Greek Tradition, Cambridge.

Allan, W. (2005) The Andromache and Euripidean Tragedy, Oxford.

Armstrong, D. (1986) 'Sophocles, Trachiniae 559ff', BICS 33:101-2.

Avagianou, A. (1991) Sacred Marriage in the Rituals of Greek Religion, Zürich.

- Badnall, T.P. ([forthcoming] 2009) 'Harvesting the Fruits of Virtue: φιλία, ἔρως and ἀρετή in Plutarch', in Ribeiro Ferreira, J., Leão, D.F., Tröster, M. & Dias, P.B. (eds.), Symposion and Philanthropia in Plutarch, Coimbra: pages tbc.
- Barchiesi, A. (2001) 'The Crossing', in Harrison, S.J. (ed.) Texts, Ideas and the Classics: Scholarship, Theory and Classical Literature, Oxford: 142-63.
- Barker, A. (2004) 'Transforming the Nightingale: Aspects of Athenian Musical Discourse in the Late Fifth Century', in Murray, P. & Wilson, P. (eds.) Music and the Muses: The *Culture of Mousikē in the Classical Athenian City*, Oxford: 185-204.

- Basta Donzelli, G. (1984) 'Arsinoe Simile ad Elena (Theocritus Id. 15.110)', Hermes 112: 306-16.
- Bennett, C. (1994) 'Concerning "Sappho Schoolmistress", TAPA 124: 345-47.
- Bloch, R.H. (1987) 'Medieval Misogyny: Woman as Riot', Representations 20:1-14.
- Blok, J.H. (2001): 'Virtual Voices: Towards a Choreography of Women's Speech in Classical Athens', in Lardinois, A. & McClure, L. (eds.) below: 96-116.
- Blomqvist, K. (1997) 'From Olympias to Aretaphila: Women and Politics in Plutarch' in Mossman, J.M. (ed.) Plutarch and his Intellectual World: Essays on Plutarch, London:73-97.
- Bosworth, A.B. (1993) 'The Humanitarian Aspect of the Melian Dialogue', JHS 113:30-44.

Bowie, A.M. (1993, repr. 1995) Aristophanes: Myth, Ritual and Comedy, Cambridge.

Bowra, C.M. (1934) 'The Occasion of Alcman's Partheneion', CQ 28:35-44.

Brosius, M. (2006) The Persians: An Introduction, Abingdon.

- Burkert, W. (trans. Bing, P., 1983) Homo Necans: The Anthropology of Ancient Greek Sacrificial Ritual and Myth, Berkeley.
- Burton, J.B. (1995) Theocritus' Urban Mimes: Mobility, Gender and Patronage, California.

Buxton, L.G.A. (1982) Persuasion in Greek Tragedy: A Study of Peitho, Cambridge.

Cairns, D.L. (1996) "Off with her AI $\Delta\Omega\Sigma$ ": Herodotus 1.8.3-4', CQ 46:78-83.

Cairns, F. (1972) Generic Composition in Greek and Roman Poetry, Edinburgh.

- Calame, C. (trans. Collins, D. & Orion, J. 1997) Choruses of Young Women in Ancient Greece: Their Morphology, Religious Role, and Social Function, Lanham.
- ___ (trans. Lloyd, J. 1999) The Poetics of Eros in Ancient Greece, Princeton.
- ____ (2004) 'Choral Forms in Aristophanic Comedy: Musical Mimesis and Dramatic Performance in Classical Athens', in Murray, P. & Wilson, P. (eds.) Music and the Muses: The Culture of Mousikē in the Classical Athenian City, Oxford: 157-84.

- Cameron, A. (1965) 'The Wandering Poet: A Literary Movement in Byzantine Egypt', Historia 14: 470-509.
- Cameron, A. (1994) 'Early Christianity and the Discourse of Female Desire', in Archer, L.J., Fischler, S. & Wyke, M. (eds.) Women in Ancient Societies: An Illusion of the Night, Hampshire: 152-68.
- Caraveli, A. (1986) 'The Bitter Wounding: The Lament as Social Protest in Rural Greece', in Dubisch, J. (ed.) Gender and Power in Rural Greece, Princeton: 169-94.
- Chandler, A.R. (1934) 'The Nightingale in Greek and Latin Poetry', CJ 30: 78-84.
- Clark, I. (1998) 'The Gamos of Hera: Myth and Ritual', in Blundell, S. & Williamson, M. (eds.) The Sacred and the Feminine in Ancient Greece, London: 13-26.
- Clark, G. (1993) Women in Late Antiquity: Pagan and Christian Lifestyles, Oxford.
- Clarke, G. (2005) 'Third-Century Christianity', in Bowman, A. K., Garnsey, P., & Cameron, A. (2nd eds.) The Cambridge Ancient History, vol. XII: The Crisis of Empire, A.D. 193-337, Cambridge: 589-671.
- Cole, M. (2000) 'Admiration's Double Labor: Phaedrus in the Mirror', American Imago 57:121-40.
- Contiades-Tsitsoni, E. (1990) Hymenaios und Epithalamion: das Hochzeitslied in der frühgrieschischen Literatur, Stuttgart.
- (1994) 'Euripides Pha. 227-244, Tro. 308-341, Iph. Aul. 1036-1079', ZPE 102:52-60.
- Cooper, K. (1996) The Virgin and the Bride: Idealised Womanhood in Late Antiquity, Cambridge MA.
- Craik, C. (1990) 'Sexual Imagery and Innuendo in the Troades', in Powell, A. (ed.) Euripides, Women and Sexuality, London: 1-15.
- Croally, N. (1994) Euripidean Polemic: The Trojan Women and the Function of Tragedy, Cambridge.

- Cropp, M. & Fick, G. (1985) Resolutions and Chronology in Euripides: The Fragmentary Tragedies, BICS Supplement 43, London.
- Cyrino, M.S. (2004) 'The Identity of the Goddess in Alcman's Louvre Partheneion (PMG 1)', CJ 100:25-38.
- Dalley, S. (2007) Esther's Revenge at Susa: From Sennacherib to Ahasuerus, Oxford.
- Depew, M. & Obbink, D. (2000) Matrices of Genre: Authors, Canons, and Society, Cambridge MA.
- D'Elia, A.F. (2002) 'Marriage, Sexual Pleasure, and Learned Brides in the Wedding Orations of Fifteenth-Century Italy', Renaissance Quarterly 55:379-433.
- Drinkwater, J.F. (2005) 'Maximinius to Diocletian and the 'Crisis', in Bowman, A. K., Garnsey, P. & Cameron, A. (eds.) The Cambridge Ancient History, vol. XII: The Crisis of Empire, A.D. 193-337, Cambridge: 28-89.
- DuBois, P. (1988) Sowing the Body: Psychoanalysis and Ancient Representations of Women, Chicago.
- Dué, C. (2006) The Captive Woman's Lament in Greek Tragedy, Austin.
- Dunn, F.M. (1996) Tragedy's End: Closure and Innovation in Euripidean Drama, Oxford.

Finkelberg, M. (1991) 'Royal Succession in Heroic Greece', CQ 41:303-16.

Finnegan, R. (1995) Women in Aristophanes, Amsterdam.

Foley, H.P. (1985) Ritual Irony: Poetry and Sacrifice in Euripides, Ithaca.

 (2000) The Comic Body in Greek Art and Drama' in Cohen, B. (ed.) Not the
Classical Ideal: Athens and the Construction of the Other in Greek Art, Leiden: 275-
311.

(2001) Female Acts in Greek Tragedy, Princeton.

Forbes Irving, P.M.C. (1990) Metamorphosis in Greek Myths, Oxford.

- Foucault. M. (trans. Hurley, R. 1986) The Care of Self: Volume 3, The History of Sexuality, Harmondsworth.
- Foxhall, L. (1999) 'Foreign Powers: Plutarch and Discourses of Domination in Roman Greece', in Pomeroy, S.B. (ed.), above: 138-50.
- Fowler, D. (1987) 'Vergil on Killing Virgins', in Whitby, Mi., Hardie, P., & Whitby, Ma. (eds) Homo Viator: Classical Essays for John Bramble, London: 185-98.
- Fulkerson, L. (2008) 'Helen of Troy and Literary Portrayals of Women's Remorse', paper presented at the Classical Association Conference, Liverpool.
- Garland, R. (1990) The Greek Way of Life: From Conception to Old Age, London.
- Garvie, A.F. (1969, repr. Bristol 2006) Aeschylus' Supplices: Play and Trilogy, Cambridge.
- Gentili, B. (trans. Cole, A. T. 1988) Poetry and its Public in Ancient Greece: From Homer to the Fifth Century, Baltimore.
- Goessler, L. (1999) 'Advice to the Bride and Groom: Plutarch Gives a Detailed Account of his Views on Marriage' in Pomeroy, S.B. (ed.), above: 97-115.
- Goldhill, S.D. (1984) Language, Sexuality and Narrative in the Oresteia, Cambridge.

 (1986) Reading Greek Tragedy, Cambridge.
- ____ (1995) *Foucault's Virgin*ity: Ancient Erotic Fiction and the History of Sexuality, Cambridge.
- Gow, A.S.F. (1940) 'Philology in Theocritus', CQ 34:113-16.
- Greene, E. (ed.) Reading Sappho: Contemporary Approaches, Berkeley & Los Angeles.
- Gregory, J. (1991) Euripides and the Instruction of the Athenians, Michigan.
- Griffin, J. (1980) Homer on Life and Death, Oxford.
- (1990) 'Characterisation in Euripides: Hippolytus and Iphigenia in Aulis', in Pelling,(ed.) Characterisation and Individuality in Greek Literature, Oxford: 128-49.
- Griffith, M. (1977) The Authenticity of 'Prometheus Bound', Cambridge.

- ____ (2001) 'Antigone and Her Sister(s): Embodying Women in Greek Tragedy', in Lardinois, A. & McClure, L. (eds.) below: 117-36.
- Griffith, R.D. (1989) 'In Praise of the Bride: Sappho fr. 105(A) L-P, Voigt', TAPA 119: 55-61.
- Griffiths, A.H. (1970/1972) 'Alcman's Partheneion: The Morning After the Night Before', QUCC 14:1-30.
- Gutzwiller, K. (ed. 2005) The New Posidippus: A Hellenistic Poetry Book, Oxford.
- Hague, R.H. (1983) 'Ancient Greek Wedding Songs: The Tradition of Praise', Journal of Folklore Research 20:131-43.
- Halleran, M.R. (1991) 'Gamos and Destruction in Euripides' Hippolytus', TAPA 121:109-21.
- Hallett, J.P. (1996) 'Sappho and her Social Context: Sense and Sensuality', in Greene, E. (ed.), above: 125-42.
- Hamilton, R. (1989) 'Alkman and the Athenian Arkteia', Hesperia 58:449-72.
- Heath, M. (2004) Menander: A Rhetor in Context, Oxford.
- Henderson, J. (1975) The Maculate Muse: Obscene Language in Ancient Comedy, Yale.
- Henry, E.O. (1998) 'Maithil Women's Song: Distinctive and Endangered Species', Ethnomusicology 42:415-40.
- Herington, C.J. (1967) 'Aeschylus in Sicily', JHS 87:74-85.
- ____ (1986) Aeschylus, Yale.
- Hinds, S. (1998) Allusion and Intertext: Dynamics of Appropriation in Roman Poetry, Cambridge.
- Holst-Warhaft, G. (1992) Dangerous Voices: Women's Laments and Greek Literature, London.
- Holzhausen, J. (2002) 'Pandora und Basileia: Hesiod-Rezeption in Aristophanes' "Vögeln", Philologus 146:34-45.

Hornblower, S. & Spawforth, A. (3rd eds. 1996) The Oxford Classical Dictionary, Oxford. Hunter, R. (trans. 1993) Apollonius of Rhodes: Jason and the Golden Fleece, Oxford. (1996) Theocritus and the Archaeology of Greek Poetry, Cambridge. Johnson, M. (2007) Sappho, London. Jolles, A. (1914) 'Hymen, Hymenaios', in Kroll, W. (ed. 1916) Paulys Real-Encyclopädie der Classischen Altertumswissenschaft, band 9, Stuttgart: 126-30. Just, R. (1989) Women in Greek Law and Life, London. Kahil, L & Icard, N. (1988) 'Helene', Lexicon Iconographicum Mythologiae Classicae, vol. IV.1 (489-569) & IV.2 (291-359), Zürich & München. Kennedy, G.A. (1994) A New History of Classical Rhetoric, Princeton. King, H. (1983, repr. 1993) 'Bound to Bleed: Artemis and Greek Women', in Cameron, A. & Kuhrt, A. (eds.) Images of Women in Antiquity, London: 109-27. Kitzinger, R. (1991) 'Why Mourning becomes Electra', CA 10:298-327. Knox, B. (1978) Word and Action: Essays on the Ancient Theater, Baltimore. Konstan, D. (1979) 'A Note on Theocritus Idyll 18', CPh 74:233-34. (1995) Greek Comedy and Ideology, Oxford. Kosak, J.C. (2004) Heroic Measures: Hippocratic Medicine in the Making of Euripidean Tragedy, Leiden. Kovacs, D. (2003) 'Toward a Reconstruction of Iphigenia Aulidensis', JHS 123:77-103. Kuiper, K. (1921) 'De Theocriti Carmine XVIII', Mnemosyne N.S. 49:223-42. Lanata, G. (trans. Robins, W. 1996) 'Sappho's Amatory Language', in Greene, E. (ed.), above: 11-25. Lane, N. (2006) 'Some Illusive Puns in Theocritus, Idyll 18 Gow' QUCC 83:23-26. Lardinois, A. (1994) 'Subject and Circumstance in Sappho's Poetry', TAPA 124: 57-84.

(1996) 'Who Sang Sappho's Songs?' in Greene, E. (ed.), above: 150-72.

(2001) 'Keening Sappho: Female Speech Genres in Sappho's Poetry', in Makin
Silence Speak, below.
& McClure, L. (eds. 2001) Making Silence Speak: Women's Voices in Gree
Literature and Society, Princeton.
Leduc, C. (1992) 'Marriage in Ancient Greece', in Pantel, P. S. (ed.) A History of Women i
the West: From Ancient Goddesses to Christian Saints, Cambridge MA: 233-94.
Lidov, J.B. (2002) 'Sappho, Herodotus, and the "Hetaira", CP 97:203-37.
Llewellyn-Jones, L. (2003) Aphrodite's Tortoise: The Veiled Woman of Ancient Greece
Swansea.
Lloyd, M. (1984) 'The Helen Scene in Euripides' Troades', CQ 34:303-13.
(1992) The Agon in Euripides, Oxford.
Loman, P. (2003) Mobility of Hellenistic Women, PhD dissertation, University of
Nottingham.
Loraux, N. (1981) 'Le lit, la guerre', L'Homme 21:36-76.
(trans. Sheridan, A. 1986) The Invention of Athens: The Funeral Oration in the
Classical City, Cambridge MA.
(trans. Forster, A. 1987) Tragic Ways of Killing a Woman, Cambridge MA.
Luschnig, C.A.E. (1971) 'Euripides' Trojan Women: All is Vanity', CW 65:8-12.
(1985) Tragic Aporia: A Study of Euripides' Iphigenia at Aulis, Berwick.
Maas, P. (1914) 'Hymenaios', in Kroll, W. (ed. 1916) Paulys Real-Encyclopädie de
Classischen Altertums Wissenschaft, band 9, Stuttgart: 130-34.
Macleod, C.W. (1974, repr. in Collected Essays, Oxford 1983) 'Form and Meaning in th
Melian Dialogue', Historia 23:385-400.
(1982) 'Politics and the Oresteia', JHS 102:124-44.
(1983) 'Thucydides and Tragedy', in Collected Essays, Oxford.

- Macurdy, G.M. (1944) 'Had the Danaid Trilogy a Social Problem?', CP 39:95-100.
- Mason, P.G. (1959) 'Kassandra', JHS 79:80-93.
- Martin, H.H. Jr. (1984) 'Plutarch, Plato and Eros', CB 60:82-88.
- McLaren, A. & Chen, Q. (2000) 'The Oral and Ritual Culture of Chinese Women: Bridal Lamentations of Nanhui', Asian Folklore Studies, 59:205-238.
- McClure, L. (1999) Spoken Like a Woman: Speech and Gender in Athenian Drama, Princeton.
- Mead, L. M. (1939) "The Troades of Euripides, G&R 8:102-9.

Michelakis, P. (2006) Euripides: Iphigenia at Aulis, London.

- Meridor, R. (1984) 'Plot and Myth in Euripides' Heracles and Troades', Phoenix 38:205-15
- Mossman, J.M. (1995) Wild Justice: A Study of Euripides' Hecuba, Oxford.
- ____ (1997) 'Plutarch's Dinner of the Seven Wise Men and its Place in Symposion Literature', in Mossman, J.M. (ed.) Plutarch and his Intellectual World: Essays on Plutarch, London: 119-40.
- ____ (2005) 'Women's Voices', in Gregory, J. (ed.) A Companion to Greek Tragedy,
 Oxford: 352-65.
- Murnaghan, S. (1984): 'Antigone 904-920 and the Institution of Marriage', AJPh 107: 192-207.
- Murray, G. (2nd ed. 1946a) Euripides and his Age, Oxford.
- ___ (1946b) Greek Studies, Oxford.
- Musurillo, H. (1969) 'Review: Studies in Greek Encomiastic Poetry of the Early Byzantine Period by Toivo Viljamaa', CW 62:326-27.
- Muth, R. (1954) "Hymenaios" und "Epithalamion", WS 67:5-45.
- Nagy, G. (1973) 'Phaethon, Sappho's Phaon, and the White Rock of Leukas', HSCP 77:137-77.

Naiden, F.S. (2007) 'The Fallacy of the Willing Victim', JHS 127:61-73.
Oakley, J.H. (1982) 'The Anakalypteria', AA 1982:113-18.
& Sinos, R.H. (1994) The Wedding in Ancient Athens, Wisconsin.
Ogden, D. (1999) Polygamy, Prostitutes and Death: The Hellenistic Monarchies, London.
Ormand, K. (1993) 'More Wedding Imagery: Trachiniae 1053 ff', Mnemosyne 46: 224-26.
(1999) Exchange and the Maiden: Marriage in Sophoclean Tragedy, Austin.
Padel, R. (1974) "Imagery of Elsewhere": Two Choral Odes of Euripides, CQ 24:227-41.
Pantelia, M.C. (1995) 'Theocritus at Sparta: Homeric Allusions in Theocritus' Idyll 18',
Hermes 123:76-81.
Paoli, U.E. (trans. Macnaghten, R.D. 1990) Rome: Its People, Life and Customs, Bristol.
Parker, H.N. (1993) 'Sappho Schoolmistress', TAPA 123:309-51.
Patterson, C. (1991) 'Marriage and the Married Woman in Athenian Law', in Pomeroy, S.B.
(ed.) Women's History and Ancient History, Chapel Hill: 48-72.
(1999) 'Plutarch's Advice to the Bride and Groom: Traditional Wisdom through a
Philosophic Lens', in Pomeroy, S. B. (ed.), above: 128-37.
Pelling, C. (ed. 1997) Greek Tragedy and the Historian, Oxford.
(2000) Literary Texts and the Greek Historian, London.
Peponi, AE. (2007) 'Sparta's Prima Ballerina: Choreia in Alcman's Second Partheneion (3
PMGF), CQ 57:351-62.
Pomeroy, S.B. (1984) Women in Hellenistic Egypt: From Alexander to Cleopatra, New
York.
(1997) Families in Classical and Hellenistic Greece, Oxford.
Rabinowitz, N.S. (1993) Anxiety Veiled: Euripides and the Traffic in Women, Cornell.
Rau, P. (1967) Paratragodia: Untersuchung einer komischen Form des Aristophanes,
Munich.

Reckford, K.J. (1972a) 'Phaethon, Hippolytus and Aphrodite', TAPA 103:405-32.
(1972b) 'Review of Phaethon by Euripides; James Diggle', The Modern Language
Journal 56:338-39.
Redfield, J.M. (2003) The Locrian Maidens: Love and Death in Greek Italy, Princeton.
Rehm, R. (1994) Marriage to Death: The Conflation of Wedding and Funerary Rituals i
Greek Tragedy, Princeton.
Rist, J.M. (2001) 'Plutarch's "Amatorius": A Commentary on Plato's Theories of Love?', Commentary on Plato's Theories of Love?'
51:557-75.
Robbins, E. (1991) 'Alcman's Partheneion: Legend and Choral Ceremony', CQ 44:7-16.
Robert, C. (1921) 'Zu Euripides' Troerinnen', Hermes 56:302-13.
Roberts, M. (1989) 'The Use of Myth in Latin Epithalamia from Statius to Venantiu
Fortunatus', TAPA 119:321-48.
Russell, D.A. (1967) 'Rhetoric and Criticism', Greece & Rome 14.2:130-44.
(1973, repr. Bristol 2001) Plutarch, London.
(1979) 'Rhetors at the Wedding', PCPS 25:104-17.
Saller, R.P. (1993) 'The Social Dynamics of Consent to Marriage and Sexual Relations: The
Evidence of Roman Comedy', in Laiou, A.E. (ed.) Consent and Coercion to Sex an
Marriage in Ancient and Medieval Societies, Washington: 83-102.
Salmeri, G. (2000) 'Dio, Rome and the Civic Life of Asia Minor', in Swain, S. (ed.) Di
Chrysostom: Politics, Letters, and Philosophy, Oxford: 53-92.
Schrenk, L.P. (1994) 'Sappho Frag. 44 and the 'Iliad'', Hermes 122:144-50.
Scodel, R. (1980) The Trojan Trilogy of Euripides, Göttingen.
Seaford, R. (1985): 'The Destruction of Limits in Sophocles' Electra', CQ 35:315-323.
(1986) 'Wedding Ritual and Textual Criticism in Sophocles' 'Women of Trachis'
Hermes 114:50-59.

- (1987) 'The Tragic Wedding', JHS 107:106-30. Segal, C. (1981) Tragedy and Civilization: An Interpretation of Sophocles, Norman. Sissa, G. (trans. Goldhammer, A., 1990) Greek Virginity, Cambridge MA. Skinner, M.B. (1996) 'Women and Language in Archaic Greece, or, Why is Sappho a Woman?' in Greene, E. (ed.), above: 175-92. Smith, W.D. (1979) 'Iphigeneia in Love', in Bowerstock, G.W., Burkert, W. & Putnam, M.C.J. (eds.) Arktouros: Hellenic Studies Presented to Bernard M.W. Knox on the Occasion of his 65th Birthday, Berlin: 173-80. Snyder, J.M. (1989) The Woman and the Lyre: Women Writers in Classical Greece and Rome, Bristol. Sommerstein, A.H. (1996) Aeschylean Tragedy, Bari. (1997) 'The Theatre Audience, the Demos and the Suppliants of Aeschylus', in Pelling, C. (ed.) Greek Tragedy and the Historian, Oxford: 63-79. (2006) 'Rape and Consent in Athenian Tragedy', in Cairns, D. & Liapis, V. (eds.) Dionysalexandros: Essays on Aeschylus and his Fellow Tragedians in Honour of Alexander F. Garvie, Swansea: 233-51. Sorum, C.E. (1982) 'The Family in Sophocles' Antigone and Electra', CW 75:201-11. Sourvinou-Inwood, C. (1978) 'Persephone and Aphrodite at Locri: A Model for Personality Definitions in Greek Religion', JHS 98:101-121. (1988) Studies in Girls' Transitions: Aspects of the Arkteia and Age Representation in Attic Iconography, Athens. (1989) 'Assumptions and the Creation of Meaning: Reading Sophocles' Antigone', JHS 109:134-48.
- Stafford, E.J. (1999) 'Plutarch on Persuasion', in Pomeroy, S.B. (ed.), above: 162-72.

Stern, J. (1978) 'Theocritus' Epithalamium for Helen', RBPh 56:29-37.

- Stigers, E.S. (1981) 'Sappho's Private World', in Foley, H.P. (ed.) Reflections of Women in Antiquity, New York: 45-61.
- Stone, L.M. (1981) Costume in Aristophanic Comedy, New York.
- Stroup, S.C. (2004) 'Designing Women: Aristophanes' Lysistrata and the "Hetarization" of the Greek Wife', Arethusa 37:37-73.
- Suter, A. (2003) 'Lament in Euripides' Trojan Women', Mnemosyne 56:1-28.
- Swain, S. (1999) 'Plutarch's Moral Program', in Pomeroy, S.B. (ed.), above: 85-96.
- Swift, L.A. (2006a) 'Mixed Choruses and Marriage Songs: A New Interpretation of the Third Stasimon of the Hippolytus', JHS 126:125-40.
- ____ (2006b) The Hidden Chorus: Echoes of Genre in Tragic Lyric, DPhil dissertation, University of Oxford.
- Taaffe, L.K. (1993) Aristophanes and Women, London.
- Taplin, O. (1977) The Stagecraft of Aeschylus: The Dramatic Use of Exits and Entrances in Greek Tragedy, Oxford.
- Thomson, G. (1941, 4th ed. 1973) Æschylus and Athens: A Study in the Social Origins of Drama, London.
- Torrance, I. (2005) 'Andromache Aichmalotos', Hermathena 179:39-66.
- Treu, M. (2007) 'The Aristophanic Chorus on the Italian Stage', in Hall, E. & Wrigley, A. (eds.) Aristophanes in Performance, 421 BC AD 2007: Peace, Birds and Frogs, London: 255-75.
- Tufte, V. (1970) The Poetry of Marriage: The Epithalamium in Europe and its Development in England, Los Angeles.
- Tyrrell, W.B. (1999) 'Antigone's Unnoticed Rite of Passage', in Padilla, M.W. (ed.) Rites of Passage in Ancient Greece: Literature, Religion, Society, Lewisburg: 148-57.
- Van Gennep, A. (trans. Vizedom, M.B. & Caffee, G.L. 1960) The Rites of Passage, Chicago.

- Vernant, J.-P. (1968, 3rd ed. 1999) Problèmes de la guerre en Grèce ancienne, Paris.
- ____ (transl. Lloyd, J. 1980) Myth and Society in Ancient Greece, Brighton.
- ____ (1985, repr. as 'The Figure and Function of Artemis in Myth and Cult', in Zeitlin (ed.
 - 1991) Mortals and Immortals: Collected Essays, Princeton: 195-206) Le mort dans des yeux: Figures d'autre en Grèce Ancienne, Paris.
- Viljamaa, T. (1968) Studies in the Greek Encomiastic Poetry of the Early Byzantine Period, Helsinki & Helsingfors.
- Volkommer, R. (1994) 'Peleus', in Lexicon Iconographicum Mythologiae Classicae, vol. VII.1 (251-69) & VII.2 (182-209), Zürich & München.
- Walcot, P. (1998) 'Plutarch on Sex', Greece & Rome 45:166-87.
- Walsh, G.B. (1974) 'Iphigenia in Aulis: Third Stasimon', CP 69:241-48.
- Walvin, J. (1988) Victorian Values, London.
- Webster, T.B.L. (1972) 'Review of Euripides: Phaethon, by J. Diggle', AJPh 93:627-30.
- West, M.L. (1977) 'Erinna', ZPE 25:95-119.
 - ___ (1990) Studies in Aeschylus, Stuttgart.
- Whitmarsh, T. (2005) The Second Sophistic, Oxford.
- Wilamowtiz-Moellendorff, U. von (1888, repr. 1971) 'Phaethon', in Kleine Schriften I: Klassische griechische Poesie, Berlin: 110-47.
- ___ (1913) Sappho und Simonides: Untersuchungen über Griechische Lyriker, Berlin.
- Wilkins, J. (1990) 'The State and the Individual in Euripides' Plays of Voluntary Self-Sacrifice', in Powell, A. (ed.) Euripides, Women and Sexuality, London: 177-94.
- Williamson, M. (1995) Sappho's Immortal Daughters, Cambridge MA.
- Wilson, E.F. (1948) 'Pastoral and Epithalamium in Latin Literature' Speculum 23:35-57.
- Wilson, L.H. (1996) Sappho's Sweetbitter Songs: Configurations of Female and Male in Ancient Greek Lyric, London.

Winkler, J.J. (1981) 'Gardens of Nymphs: Public and Private in Sappho's Lyrics', in Foley, H.P. (ed.) Reflections of Women in Antiquity, New York: 63-89. Wohl, V. (1997) 'Scenes from a Marriage: Love and Logos in Plutarch's Coniugalia Praecepta', Helios 24:170-92. (1998) Intimate Commerce: Exchange, Gender and Subjectivity in Greek Tragedy, Texas. Wright, M. (2005) Euripides' Escape-Tragedies: A Study of Helen, Andromeda and Iphigenia in Tauris, Oxford. Young, A.M. (1951) 'Of the Nightingale's Song', CJ 46: 181-84. Zeitlin, F.I. (1986) 'Thebes: Theatre of Self and Society in Athenian Drama' in Euben, J. (ed.) Greek Tragedy and Political Theory, Berkeley: 101-41. (1990) 'Playing the Other: Theater, Theatricality and the Feminine in Greek Drama', in Winkler, J.J. & Zeitlin, F.I. (eds.) Nothing to do with Dionysos? Athenian Drama in its Social Context, Princeton: 63-96. (1993) 'Staging Dionysus between Thebes and Athens, in Carpenter, T.H. & Faraone, C.A. (eds.) Masks of Dionysus, Ithaca: 147-82.

(1996) Playing the Other: Gender and Society in Classical Greek Literature, Chicago.